

Through E-mail

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT, JODHPUR

No. Gen/XIX/Misc/976/2026/..2.51

Date : 10/07/2026

From : Registrar General,
Rajasthan High Court,
Jodhpur.

To : **All the District & Sessions Judges,
Rajasthan.**

Sub : Sending a copy of Record of Proceedings / Order Dt. 15.05.2026
passed in Special Leave Petition (Crl.) No. 2053 of 2026.

Sir

With reference to above cited subject, I am directed to send herewith a copy of the Record of Proceedings / Order Dt. 15.05.2026 passed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India, New Delhi in **Special Leave Petition (Crl.) No. 2053 of 2026** titled **Ayushi Mittal @ Ayushi Agarwal vs. State of Rajasthan & Anr.** with request to circulate the same amongst all the Courts situated in your judgship for necessary action.

Encl.: As above.

Yours Sincerely,



10/07/26

REGISTRAR (ADMN.)



2000-1105



ITEM NO.16

COURT NO.1

SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)
No(s).2053/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated
11-12-2025 in SBCRLMIBA No.13229/2025 passed by the High
Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jodhpur]

AYUSHI MITTAL @ AYUSHI AGARWAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 36740/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE
IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 36741/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM
FILING O.T.

Date : 15-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing
today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Tushar Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Arun Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Amarjeet Singh, AOR
Ms. Rashi Chaudhary, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Divyank Panwar, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Rajpal, Adv.
Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, AOR

Mr. Suryaprakash V. Raju, A.S.G.
Mr. Zoheb Hussainzoheb Hussain, Adv.
Ms. Sairica Raju, Adv.
Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.
Mr. Animesh Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
ARJUN BISHT
Date: 2026.05.16
10:39:59 IST
Reason: —

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. In view of the finding given by the Registrar

(Judicial), the officers/officials of the Registry are advised to remain more careful in future.

2. The petitioner happens to be the wife of the main accused. Their Company - M/s Ablaze Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd. (AISPL) is said to have duped investors to the tune of Rs. 3700 crores (wrongly mentioned as Rs. 37000 crores in our order dated 23.03.2026).

3. The petitioner and her associates are arrayed as accused in 257 similar cases. She is said to have been granted bail in 254 cases.

4. The instant petition pertains to the petitioner's prayer for bail in FIR No.18/2018, registered at Police Station Nayashehar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan, under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code 1860.

5. The petitioner was first arrested on 26.12.2017 by Uttar Pradesh Police in FIR No.259/2017, registered at Police Station Kavi Nagar, Uttar Pradesh. The instant FIR No.18/2018 was registered on 10.01.2018. The FIR reveals a digital marketing-based scam, in which the company, AISPL offered paid online tasks like sharing advertisements in return for promised earnings. Users were required to purchase IDs/packages by paying upfront money with assurance of regular return. This is how hundreds of investors on a pan-India basis have been duped.

6. Though considering the gravity of the offence and its financial ramifications, coupled with the fact that there are hundreds of victims throughout the country, we would

have declined bail, but for the following mitigating circumstances:

(i) The petitioner is a woman and was inducted as Director after her marriage.

(ii) The Kingpin appears to be her husband, who is also in custody.

(iii) Substantial assets of more than Rs.650 crores have already been attached by the Enforcement Directorate, in addition to Rs.130 crores by Uttar Pradesh Special Task Force (UPSTF).

7. It is further claimed that the Company in default has already refunded over Rs.2600 crores to the investors. This is an unverified fact, and hence, no reliance can be placed.

8. Be that as it may, the petitioner has been in custody since the year 2017. There are multiple cases in different parts of the country, and the conclusion of the trial will take time.

9. Taking into consideration all the attending circumstances, the prayer for bail is allowed, and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bikaner.

10. It is, however, directed that no Court shall de-freeze the assets attached by the Enforcement Directorate, UPSTF or any other State Agency till all the trials are concluded. Similarly, the Enforcement Directorate/State

Police or any other Investigating Agency shall be entitled to attach the left out immovable/movable assets of the petitioner, her family, close relatives or all those associates, who are suspected to have been the beneficiary of the defrauded money. None of such assets shall be released by any judicial/quasi-judicial body or PMLA Court without the leave of this Court.

✓ 11. The Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bikaner, shall impose such other conditions which will ensure that the petitioner appears before the different Courts and does not evade the process of law.

✓ 12. This order shall not be treated as a parity for the purpose of considering the prayer for bail by the petitioner's husband or any other accused.

13. The Special Leave Petition is, accordingly, disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand closed.

(ARJUN BISHT)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

1
All Communications Should be
Addressed to Registrar by
Designation and not by Name.
Pin Code - 110001

Delivery Mode: Speed Post

D. No. 5659/2026 /SEC-II-D
SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
NEW DELHI
16th May, 2026

From:

The Assistant Registrar,
Supreme Court of India, New Delhi.

To,

*1 THE REGISTRAR,
HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR
RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR
DISTRICT- JODHPUR, RAJASTHAN
REF: S.B. CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL
APPLICATION NO. 13229/2025

PID: 135003/2026 IN SLP(CRL)
NO.2053/2026 (SEC II-D)

*2 THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS
JUDGE NO. 5,
BIKANER, RAJASTHAN
DISTRICT- BIKANER, RAJASTHAN
REF: MISC. CRL. NO. 73/2025; CIS NO.:
1428/2025

PID: 135004/2026 IN SLP(CRL)
NO.2053/2026 (SEC II-D)

*3 THE ADDITIONAL CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE,
DISTRICT- BIKANER, RAJASTHAN
REF: FIR NO. 18/2018 DATED 10.1.2018
REGISTERED AT P.S.-NAYAYSHEHAR

PID: 135005/2026 IN SLP(CRL)
NO.2053/2026 (SEC II-D)

*4 THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN,
THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY, HOME
DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT,
DISTRICT- JAIPUR, RAJASTHAN

PID: 135006/2026 IN SLP(CRL)
NO.2053/2026 (SEC II-D)

*5 THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH,
THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY, LAL
BAHADUR SHASTRI BHAWAN,
SAROJINI NAIDU MARG,
DISTRICT- LUCKNOW, UTTAR PRADESH

PID: 135007/2026 IN SLP(CRL)
NO.2053/2026 (SEC II-D)

6 THE JAILOR,
DISTRICT JAIL-LUCKNOW,
DISTRICT- LUCKNOW, UTTAR PRADESH

PID: 135008/2026 IN SLP(CRL)
NO.2053/2026 (SEC II-D)

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) No. 2053 OF 2026

(From the Judgment and Order dated the 11th December, 2025 of the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jodhpur, , RAJASTHAN in SBCRLMIBA No. 13229 of 2025)

AYUSHI MITTAL @ AYUSHI AGARWAL

... Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ANR.

... Respondent(s)

Sir,

Please find enclosed herewith a certified copy of this Hon'ble Court's Record of Proceeding dated

15th May, 2026, passed in the matter above mentioned, along with schedule containing full amended cause title of the parties, for necessary action.

* You are requested to communicate this Hon'ble Court's order to the concerned Trial Court and other authorities expeditiously.

Yours faithfully,


16/5/26

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

- 1 Mr. Amarjeet Singh (adv.)
- 2 Ms. Nidhi Jaswal (adv.)
- 3 Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma (adv.)


16/5/26

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR



Certified to be true copy
Assistant Registrar (Judl.)
Krishnakr.
16-5-26 2026
Supreme Court of India

ITEM NO.16

COURT NO.1

SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)
No(s).2053/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated
11-12-2025 in SBCRLMIBA No.13229/2025 passed by the High
Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jodhpur]

AYUSHI MITTAL @ AYUSHI AGARWAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

26071008

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 36740/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE
IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 36741/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM
FILING O.T.

Date : 15-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing
today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Tushar Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Arun Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Amarjeet Singh, AOR
Ms. Rashi Chaudhary, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Divynk Panwar, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Rajpal, Adv.
Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, AOR

Mr. Suryaprakash V. Raju, A.S.G.
Mr. Zoheb Hussainzoheb Hussain, Adv.
Ms. Sairica Raju, Adv.
Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.
Mr. Animesh Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. In view of the finding given by the Registrar

(Judicial), the officers/officials of the Registry are advised to remain more careful in future.

2. The petitioner happens to be the wife of the main accused. Their Company - M/s Ablaze Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd. (AISPL) is said to have duped investors to the tune of Rs. 3700 crores (wrongly mentioned as Rs. 37000 crores in our order dated 23.03.2026).

3. The petitioner and her associates are arrayed as accused in 257 similar cases. She is said to have been granted bail in 254 cases.

4. The instant petition pertains to the petitioner's prayer for bail in FIR No.18/2018, registered at Police Station Nayashehar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan, under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code 1860.

5. The petitioner was first arrested on 26.12.2017 by Uttar Pradesh Police in FIR No.259/2017, registered at Police Station Kavi Nagar, Uttar Pradesh. The instant FIR No.18/2018 was registered on 10.01.2018. The FIR reveals a digital marketing-based scam, in which the company, AISPL offered paid online tasks like sharing advertisements in return for promised earnings. Users were required to purchase IDs/packages by paying upfront money with assurance of regular return. This is how hundreds of investors on a pan-India basis have been duped.

6. Though considering the gravity of the offence and its financial ramifications, coupled with the fact that there are hundreds of victims throughout the country, we would

have declined bail, but for the following mitigating circumstances:

(i) The petitioner is a woman and was inducted as Director after her marriage.

(ii) The Kingpin appears to be her husband, who is also in custody.

(iii) Substantial assets of more than Rs.650 crores have already been attached by the Enforcement Directorate, in addition to Rs.130 crores by Uttar Pradesh Special Task Force (UPSTF).

7. It is further claimed that the Company in default has already refunded over Rs.2600 crores to the investors. This is an unverified fact, and hence, no reliance can be placed.

8. Be that as it may, the petitioner has been in custody since the year 2017. There are multiple cases in different parts of the country, and the conclusion of the trial will take time.

9. Taking into consideration all the attending circumstances, the prayer for bail is allowed, and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bikaner.

10. It is, however, directed that no Court shall de-freeze the assets attached by the Enforcement Directorate, UPSTF or any other State Agency till all the trials are concluded. Similarly, the Enforcement Directorate/State



Police or any other Investigating Agency shall be entitled to attach the left out immovable/movable assets of the petitioner, her family, close relatives or all those associates, who are suspected to have been the beneficiary of the defrauded money. None of such assets shall be released by any judicial/quasi-judicial body or PMLA Court without the leave of this Court.

11. The Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bikaner, shall impose such other conditions which will ensure that the petitioner appears before the different Courts and does not evade the process of law.

12. This order shall not be treated as a parity for the purpose of considering the prayer for bail by the petitioner's husband or any other accused.

13. The Special Leave Petition is, accordingly, disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand closed.


16/5/26
(ARJUN BISHT)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS


16/5/26
(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR


AMENDED MEMO OF PARTIES
(AS PER HON'BLE COURT'S ORDER DT. 23.03.2026)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRL) NO. 2053 OF 2026
(Under Order XXII Rule 2(1) of the Supreme Court Rules 2013)

(Under article 136 of the Constitution of India)

(Against the Common Impugned Final Judgment and order dated
11.12.2025 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature for
Rajasthan at Jodhpur in S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. -
13229 of 2025)

With

Prayer for Interim Relief

IN THE MATTER OF:

	BEFORE THE TRIAL COURT	BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT	BEFORE THIS HON'BLE COURT
1.	AYUSHI MITTAL @ AYUSHI AGARWAL Wife of Shri Anubhav	Accused	Petitioner Petitioner

Mittal,
Daughter of Raghuveer
Saran, Aged about 32 years,
resident of 86A, Harjindra
Nagar, 2 Babulal Ka Hattha
Kanpur Sadar, Uttar
Pradesh (Presently Lodged
In Central Sub Jail
Lakhnau)


VERSUS

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| 1. State of Rajasthan | Prosecution | Respondent | Respondent |
| Home Department | | No. 1 | No. 1 |
| Through Chief Secretary | | | |
| Tilak Marg, C Scheme | | | |
| Ashok Nagar, Jaipur | | | |
| Rajasthan 302005 | | | |
| India | | | |
| | | | |
| 2. Directorate of Enforcement | Not a Party | Not a Party | Respondent |
| Through Director | | | No.2 |
| PMLA LKO | | | |
| Lucknow Zonal Office | | | |
| Business Park 2 nd floor | | | |

166 Ashoka Marg
Lucknow -226006


DATED: 04.04.2026
NEW DELHI

FILED BY



[AMARJEET SINGH]
AOR FOR PETITIONER

* Impleaded as respondent no. 2
vide non'812 court's order dt 23/3/26



ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SUPREME COURT OF INDIA