No. : **Gen/XV/174/2025** /458 **Date :** 13/02/26

From: Registrar General,
Rajasthan High Court,
Jodhpur.

To : All the District and Sessions Judges,

Sub.: Compliance of direction of Hon'ble Supreme Court's Order dt. 27.01.2026 passed in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 1112 of 2025 Shaheen Malik Vs. Union of India & Anr.

Sir,

With reference to above cited matter I am directed to enclose herewith copy of order dt. 27.01.2026 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 1112 of 2025, Shaheen Malik Vs. Union of India & Anr. in which Hon'ble Court has directed to expeditious conclusion of trials in the matters concerning acid attacks in a time-bound manner and if required, even on an out-of-turn basis.

You are therefore request to circulate the same amongst all the trial Courts in your judgeship with the direction to take necessary action for disposal of the trials in the matters concerning acid attacks as directed by Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Encl.: As above.

Your sincerely

Shiva Singh
13/02/26

REGISTRAR (ADMN.)

ITEM NO.28 COURT NO.1 SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s).1112/2025

SHAHEEN MALIK Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR. Respondent(s)

Date : 27-01-2026 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) :Ms. Sija Nair, Adv.
Mr. Anuj Kapoor, AOR
Mr. Shivom Sethi, Adv.
Mr. Nandeesh Nanda, Adv.
Mr. Arnav Abhishek, Adv.
Mr. Kishan Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Madiah Shahjar, Adv.
Ms. Huda Naaz, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mrs. Archana Pathak Dave, A.S.G.
Mr. Vaibhav Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Kamal Digpaul, Adv.
Ms. Mili Baxi, Adv.
Mr. Anmol Chandan, Adv.
Ms. Vanshaja Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Aman Mehta, Adv.
Dr. N. Visakamurthy, AOR

Ms. Manisha Ambwani, AOR

Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR

Mr. Ravi Raghunath, AOR
Ms. Nishtha Jindal, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Abhikalp Pratap Singh, AOR
Ms. Aagam Kaur, Adv.
Ms. Kashish Jain, Adv.

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
ARJUN BISHT
Date: 2026.01.28
17:08:51 IST
Reason:

Mr. Siddharth Garg, Adv.

Mr. B. Shravanth Shanker, AOR
Ms. Grahita Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. B. Yeshwanth Raj, Adv.

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, AOR
Mrs. Shashi Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. Robin Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Shweta Jayshankar Dwivedi, Adv.
Ms. Smriti Singh, Adv.

Ms. Abha Sharma, AOR
Mr. Anupam Maurya, Adv.
Mr. Praneet Das, Adv.

Mr. Avneesh Arputham, AOR
Mr. Ankit Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Kumar Abhishek, Adv.
Mr. P. Venkatraju, Adv.
Ms. G. Sushmita, Adv.
Mr. Sravan Kumar Karanam, AOR

Mr. Karthik S.D., AOR

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR
Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Varij Nayan Mishra, Adv.

Ms. Disha Singh, AOR
Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Shrikant Kenjale, AOR
Mr. B. Dhanajay, Adv.

Mr. Ramesh Babu M. R., AOR

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Sr. A.A.G.
Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, AOR
Ms. Sabarni Som, Adv.
Mr. Aman Dev Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Nikunj Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Sarthak Arya, Adv.
Ms. Ishika Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Eashwar, Adv.
Ms. Richa Verma, Adv.

Mr. Shovan Mishra, AOR

Ms. Anubha Agrawal, AOR

Ms. Radhika Gautam, AOR

Mr. Manish Kumar, AOR
Mr. Divyansh Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Saurav, Adv.

Mr. Pavan Kumar, AOR
Mr. Akhlesh Kumar Soni, Adv.
Mr. Neelam, Adv.
Mr. Pushkar Anand, Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Ratra, Adv.

Mr. Ambhoj Kumar Sinha, AOR
Ms. Neeti Bhardwaj, Adv.

Ms. Anuradha Arputham, AOR
Mr. Mridul Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Divyakant Lahoti, AOR
Mr. Kartik Lahoti, Adv.
Mr. Adith Menon, Adv.
Ms. Akanksha Soni, Adv.
Ms. Shubheksha Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Maheshwari, Adv.
Ms. Praveena Bisht, Adv.
Ms. Vindhya Mehra, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Vinayakam Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Tripathi, Adv.

Ms. Vandana Sehgal, AOR
Mr. Mohit Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Manan Verma, AOR
Mr. Vikas Negi, Adv.
Mr. Sumit Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Pranjal Tandon, Adv.
Ms. Mansi Diwakar, Adv.

Mr. P. I. Jose, AOR
Ms. P S Chandralekha, Adv.

Ms. K. V. Bharathi Upadhyaya, AOR
Ms. Pritama, Adv.
Ms. Shaivani Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Bipin Vinayak Chandan, Adv.
Mr. Sufyan Hasan, Adv.
Ms. Hema Malik, Adv.

Ms. Nidhi Mittal, AOR
Ms. Jaya Choudhury, Adv.

Mr. Prabir Chatterjee, Adv.
Mr. Mrigank Prabhakar, AOR
Mr. Dilip Kumar Chatterjee, Adv.
Mr. Rajit Lal Moitra, Adv.
Mr. Arindam Mukherjee, Adv.
Ms. Oindrila Das, Adv.
Mr. Debomoy Roy, Adv.
Ms. Astha Singh, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR
Mr. T.K. Nayar, Adv.

Mr. Sahil Bhalak, AOR
Mr. Tushar Gir, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Anil Khanna, Adv.
Mr. Ritik Arora, Adv.
Mr. Shivam Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Mihir Joshi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. Pursuant to the order dated 04.12.2025, around 17 High Courts have sent the details of pending cases concerning 'Acid Attacks'. It appears that the maximum number of cases, i.e., 198 cases are pending in the State of Uttar Pradesh, followed by 160 pending cases in West Bengal, 114 pending cases in Gujarat, 68 pending cases in Bihar, and 58 pending cases in Maharashtra.

2. Except for the 17 High Courts mentioned in the Office Report, the status reports from other High Courts are still awaited.

3. We request all the High Courts to consider the desirability of taking a decision for expeditious conclusion of the trials in the matters concerning acid attacks, in a time-bound manner and if required, even on an out-of-turn basis.

Re: Rehabilitation Scheme for the Victims of Acid Attack

4. While we have directed the High Courts to expedite and take the trials in the matters concerning acid attacks to their logical conclusion, it is equally incumbent upon the State and all concerned authorities to consider, formulate, and act upon appropriate rehabilitation measures for victims of acid attacks. As such, all the State Legal Services Authorities are directed to submit a report with respect to the scheme, if any, implemented by them for the grant of compensation, rehabilitation and medical aid to the victims of acid attack. If no such specific scheme has been formulated so far, we request the Executive Chairpersons of the State Legal Services Authorities to do the needful and submit a compliance report.

5. In addition, all the States and Union Territories are also directed to furnish the following information:

(i) The incidents of acid attack reported (year-wise).

(ii) Whether charge-sheets in those cases were filed or not?

(iii) How many cases have been decided and how many are still pending at the trial stage?

(iv) How many appeals arising out of the trials of acid attacks are pending?

(v) The brief particulars of each victim of acid attack, their academic qualifications, current employment status, marital status, the medical treatment and the details of the expenditure incurred or committed to be incurred by the State on such treatment.

6. The States are further directed to furnish separate details, including particulars of the victims of forceful ingestion/consumption of acid.

7. The needful shall be done by the State Governments, the High Courts, as well as the State Legal Services Authorities within a period of four weeks.

8. Post the matter on 09.03.2026.

(ARJUN BISHT)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI T.C.)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR