

**MINUTES OF MEETING OF THE ELECTION COMMITTEE HELD ON 17.03.2025 AT 04.00 PM  
IN THE CHAMBER OF THE HON'BLE CHAIRMAN, ELECTION COMMITTEE, R.C.B.A.  
ELECTION-2024.**

**Chaired by :** Dr. Vijay Kumar Dahiya, Ld. Judge In-Charge, Mediation/Chairperson

**CORAM:-**

| S.No. | NAME               | DESIGNATION       |
|-------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 1     | Sh. Sanjeev Bisla  | Advocate – Member |
| 2     | Sh. Aseem Bhardwaj | Advocate – Member |

**In the presence of :**

**Sh. Sunil Dutt, Nodal Officer, Election Committee, RCBA, Rohini.**


- AGENDA:**
- 1) Consideration of the application received from Sh. Vinkle Goyal, Advocate
  - 2) Consideration of the application received from Sh. Dileep Kumar, Advocate

A representation dated 30.01.2025 addressed to the Hon'ble Chief Justice, Delhi High Court, has been filed by Sh. Vinkle Goyal, Advocate, wherein dissatisfaction has been made regarding the inquiry conducted by this Committee in terms of earlier letter dated 15.01.2025 issued by Ms. Sarabjeet Kaur, Deputy Registrar (Mgt. & Coord. Cell-I), Delhi High Court.

It is alleged by the applicant that MoM of this Committee dated 21.01.2025 were silent about the mode of payments made by two Advocate Members namely Sh. Ashok Kumar Vashisth Membership no. A-1092 and Sh. Santosh Singh, Enrl. No. D/1439/2003, and both these members have not paid the dues from their own account and thus have violated the mandate of the judgment passed in ***WP (C) 10363/2021 titled as Lalit Sharma & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors.***

The Committee has gone through the allegations made in the representation dated 30.01.2025 and had also gone through the forms of Members whose names were mentioned by the applicant and matter has been discussed in detail.

It is pertinent to mention herein that the objections regarding payment of 'dues' were imposed by this Committee, only on the basis of the list of Members provided by the Rohini Court Bar Association to this Committee.



Contd.....

Furthermore, the Members of RCBA have paid their dues in RCBA BAR office, therefore, this Committee has no first hand knowledge/access regarding the mode of payment made by Members of their dues. Therefore, this Committee has earlier also replied the same issue as raised by the Member in his present representation.

However, it is worth mentioning herein that all the Members of RCBA were made aware and were also apprised by RCBA about the payment of their dues from their own bank account in terms of the mandate of the judgment *Lalit sharma (supra)*.

This Committee, in its minutes of meeting, time and again had mentioned that the mandate of the judgment *Lalit sharma (supra)* is to be followed in letter and spirit. The Committee has always acted in a fair and transparent manner and all the minutes were uploaded on the Website of the District Courts, North West, Rohini.

In view of the same, averments made by the applicant in his application are frivolous and devoid of merits in as much as all these allegations have already been decided while disposing off the previous representation also, therefore, the present representation deserves to be rejected and is hereby rejected. This application is disposed off accordingly.

Necessary intimation to this effect be sent to Sh. Vinkle Goyal, Advocate.

Another application has been filed by Sh. Dilip Kumar, Advocate Enrl. No. D-520/2012, RCBA no. 1437, seeking inclusion of his name in the Voters list and for issuance of proximity card.


Record has been called from the office.

It has been reported that the name of the applicant is mentioned at Sl. no. 1103, DAK no. 1770, wherein the objection has been raised regarding "payment of dues". This fact has also been admitted by the applicant in its objection form filed that an amount of Rs. 450/- was paid by his nephew (son of sister) as he was not well at the relevant time.

The Committee has gone through the declaration form of the applicant as well as the objection filed by him before the Committee. It is apparent that as per the list submitted by RCBA, it was shown that the Member had not cleared his dues from his own account and, as such it is a clear violation of the judgment passed in *Lalit Sharma & Ors. (supra)*.

The Committee is of the unanimous view that the name of Member could not be incorporated in the list of eligible voters for RCBA Elections- 2025 and, the application filed by the applicant deserves to be dismissed and is hereby **dismissed**.

Contd....




Minutes of the meeting be uploaded on the Website of Rohini Courts, Delhi.

Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.



(SANJEEV BISLA)  
ADVOCATE/ MEMBER



(ASEEM BHARDWAJ)  
ADVOCATE/ MEMBER



(DR. VIJAY KUMAR DAHIYA)  
JUDGE IN CHARGE MEDIATION CENTRE, ROHINI  
CHAIRPERSON, ELECTION COMMITTEE, RCBA-2024.