

THE COURT OF SH. NEERAJ GAUR :JUDGE FAMILY COURT
NORTH- DISTRICT: ROHINI COURTS, : DELHI

RTI Appeal No.08/23
dt : 24.11.2023

RTI Appeal No.09/23
dt. 25.11.2023

First Appeal Under Section 19 (1) of RTI Act, 2005

Appellant (s)/Complainant (s)	Respondent (s)
Rajesh Kumar S/o Sh. Raje Ram R/o Plot No.186, Sanjay Nagar, Bawana, Delhi-110039.	Public Information Officer under RTI Act O/o Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North District, Rohini Courts, Delhi

Date of receipt of RTI request	Date of Registration of First Appeal	Date of Final Order
17.11.2023 & 20.11.2023 respectively	24.11.2023	04.12.2023

Present : None for the appellant.

Ms. Meenu Kocher, branch in-charge, RTI on behalf of PIO
alongwith Ms. Anuradha Bhargav, JA.

Court notice to the appellant issued in respect of 2 RTI Appeals
received back unserved with the report that the address is incomplete.

As the appellant has not appeared and court notice issued to him
received back unserved, I shall proceed to decide the appeal.

Put up for orders during the course of the day.

(Neeraj Gaur)
Judge, Family Courts
Rohini Courts/Delhi/04.12.2023
First Appellate Authority, RTI

ORDER

1. These are first appeals u/s 19 (1) of the RTI Act 2005. The appellant has mentioned that he has sent 2 RTI queries dt. 16.11.2023 vide speed post No. ED-494407131-IN and ED-494407145-IN to the PIO, North, Rohini courts, Delhi. At the outset, the PIO has informed that the same RTI query has been sent through 2 different speed-posts, one addressed to the PIO and the other mentioning the name of Sh. Satish Kumar, Ld. ASJ. The appellant seems to have filed 2 separate appeals in respect of same RTI application.
2. Appellant is aggrieved by the fact that the necessary information has not been sent by the PIO within the stipulated time. It is pertinent to note that the appeal no.08/23 was received on 24.11.2023 whereas the appeal no.09/23 was received on 25.11.2023.
3. As per Rule 7 (1) of the RTI Act, the information in response to an RTI request is to be furnished within 30 days provided that where the information concerns the life and liberty of a person, the same is to be provided within 48 hours. It is submitted on behalf of PIO that there are 63 queries made in the application. In Pratap Kumar Jena Vs. Ministry of Health and Family decision no. CIC/SG/A/2012/000814 dt. 09.05.2012, the Central Information Commission has held that to invoke proviso to Section 7 (1) of the Act, some imminent danger to life or liberty has to be proved. In the case in hand, the appellant has not assisted as to how his life or liberty was under threat. As such, the appellant cannot invoke the proviso to Section 7 (1) of the Act. Even otherwise, the PIO has informed that the RTI query has been replied vide reply dt. 25.11.2023. The appeals are devoid of merits and are

accordingly **dismissed**.

4. No order as to costs.
5. RTI Branch is directed to upload the copy of this order on the online portal of RTI as the present RTI appeal was received through electronic mode only.
6. Copy of order be given dasti.

(Neeraj Gaur)
Judge, Family Courts
Rohini Courts/Delhi/04.12.2023
First Appellate Authority, RTI