

—:: कार्यालय प्रधान जिला एवं सत्र न्यायाधीश, उज्जैन (म.प्र.) ::—

पृष्ठांक 7661 / एक-6-2/11

उज्जैन, दिनांक 28 JUL 2025 जुलाई 2025


प्रतिलिपि :-

1.

(समस्त न्यायिक अधिकारीगण जिला उज्जैन)

की ओर माननीय उच्च न्यायालय मध्यप्रदेश, जबलपुर द्वारा उक्त ज्ञापन Compliance of directions of Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh Jabalpur order dated 26-03-2025 passed in AA No. 16 of 2024 (Madhya Pradesh Power Transmission Company Ltd. Vs V.K. Udyog Ltd.) के संबंध में प्रेषित ज्ञापन क्रं. ए/2431 दिनांक 07.04.2025 की प्रति मय सहपत्रों के सूचनार्थ, पालनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित।

संलग्न : उपरोक्तानुसार


प्रधान जिला एवं सत्र न्यायाधीश,
उज्जैन (म.प्र.)

HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH: JABALPUR

No. A/2431.../
II-4-1/2010

Jabalpur, dated 7./04/2025

To,

The Principal District and Sessions Judge(s),
All in the State (M.P.)

Subject:- Compliance of directions of Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur Order dated 26.03.2025 passed in AA No. 16 of 2024, in the case of Madhya Pradesh Power Transmission Company Ltd. Vs. V.K. Udyog Ltd.

Sir/Madam,

Please find enclosed herewith a copy of the Order passed by Honourable High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur dated 26.03.2025 in **AA No. 16 of 2024**, (Madhya Pradesh Power Transmission Company Ltd. Vs. V.K. Udyog Ltd.).

As directed, I request you to bring the same into the knowledge of all the Judicial Officers under your kind control for information, compliance and necessary action.

Encl:- As above.

MUKESH RAWAT

REGISTRAR District Establishment

Endt. No. A/2432.../
II-4-1/2010

Jabalpur, dated 7./04/2025

Copy forwarded to Registrar (J-I) for information and appropriate action.

MUKESH RAWAT

REGISTRAR District Establishment

-7 APR 2025

ST-77

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT JABALPUR

AA No. 16 of 2024

(MADHYA PRADESH POWER TRANSMISSION COMPANY LIMITED Vs V.K.UDYOG LTD.)

Dated : 26-03-2025

Shri Aditya Khandekar - Advocate for Appellant.

Shri K.R. Thakre - Senior Advocate with Ms. Simran Mamtani -
Advocate for Respondent.

Request for adjournment is made on behalf of appellant on the ground that arguing counsel is not available.

Said request is strongly opposed by learned Senior Counsel for respondent who submits that he is an outstation counsel and had to travel from Kolkata and no prior intimation was given that an adjournment would be sought. He further states that the appellant has obtained an interim protection on 23.01.2024 and, thereafter he has been avoiding to address arguments and an application for vacating interim protection has already been filed.

We note that on 17.03.2025, a similar request for adjournment was made on behalf of the appellant and a specific date of today i.e. 26.03.2025 was fixed, with the consent of the counsels. Once again, a request for adjournment is made.

Subject appeals emanates out of orders rejecting the objections filed by the appellant under Section 34 of Arbitration Conciliation Act and there is an unconditional stay from taking steps pursuant to the arbitral awards.

In the interest of justice and subject to payment of cost of Rs.1 Lakh,

as a last opportunity to address arguments, re-notify on 22.04.2025 at 02:30 PM.

We are informed that the Arbitral Tribunal record was available with the commercial court. However, while transmitting the record to this court, the commercial court has separated the Arbitral Tribunal record and transmitted only the commercial court record on an understanding that the direction to transmit the commercial court record did include a direction to transmit the Arbitral Tribunal record and the record of Arbitral Tribunal has been returned to the Tribunal.

We direct the Registry to ensure that whenever there is a direction to requisition the commercial court record, said requisition should also include a direction to commercial court to transmit all available record with the commercial court including the record of Arbitral Tribunal.

Registry shall requisition the Arbitral Tribunal record from the commercial court or from the Arbitral Tribunal, wherever the same may be available and append the same for the next date of hearing.

Interim order to continue till next date of hearing.

(SANJEEV SACHDEVA)
JUDGE

(VINAY SARAF)
JUDGE

Shub