

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 88/20

PS: Dayalpur

S/v Tanveer Malik

U/s 147/148/149/307/153/505/120-B/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/SI Rajeev Bamal, STF Crime Branch in person.

Sh. Javed Akhtar, Adv for accused.

(javedakhtar64@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is an application for cancellation of interim bail of accused Tanveer Malik which was granted to him vide order dated 01.05.2020.

Reply filed by counsel for accused has been inadvertently listed separately in today's cause list though it should form part of this application. Hence, it is now annexed at the back side of cancellation application.

During arguments Id APP has stated that the case of accused does not fall within criteria mentioned by the High Power Committee of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi as per its minutes of meeting dated 07.04.2020.

On the other hand, Id counsel for accused has brought attention of the court to the subsequent paras of copy of minutes of meeting in item no. 3 of the meeting, wherein it is mentioned that, *"It is clarified that the decision taken by this Committee for release of prisoners on "interim bail" vide criterion adopted in the meeting dated 28.03.2020 and the one adopted hereinabove today, shall in no way affect the rights of other UTPs, who do not stand covered under these categories, from invoking the jurisdiction of concerned courts for grant of regular / interim bail. The concerned courts on filing of applications by such UTPs may consider the same on merits, in accordance with law."*

FIR No. 88/20  
PS: Dayalpur  
S/v Tanveer Malik  
U/s 147/148/149/307/153/505/120-B/34 IPC

During further arguments, Id APP and IO have raised apprehension that accused may abscond if he is released on personal bond without taking bail bond from him and without imposing any conditions.

Heard. Keeping in view the apprehensions of prosecution, the interim bail order of this court dated 01.05.2020 is modified to the extent as under:-

1. That accused shall furnish bail bond by one surety to the tune of Rs.20,000/- besides the personal bond already mentioned in order dated 01.05.2020, to the satisfaction of Id Duty MM / Jail Superintendent.
2. The accused shall not interfere in further investigation and shall not influence or threaten the witnesses in any manner;
3. Accused shall not misuse the liberty and shall not engage in any offence during his bail period.

The cancellation of application of prosecution stands disposed off in view of above stated amendments to order dated 01.05.2020.

The breach of any of abovesaid conditions shall tantamount to cancellation of bail automatically and IO will be entitled to arrest the accused and put him behind bars for the said violation.

Application stands disposed off accordingly. Copy of order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent. Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI / 30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 88/20

PS: Dayalpur

S/v Tanveer Malik

U/s 147/148/149/307/153/505/120-B/34 , IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/SI Rajeev Bamal, STF Crime Branch in person.

Sh. Javed Akhtar, Adv for accused.

(javedakhtar64@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is an application for cancellation of interim bail of accused Tanveer Malik which was granted to him vide order dated 01.05.2020.

Reply filed by counsel for accused has been inadvertently listed separately in today's cause list though it should form part of this application. Hence, it is now annexed at the back side of cancellation application.

During arguments ld APP has stated that the case of accused does not fall within criteria mentioned by the High Power Committee of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi as per its minutes of meeting dated 07.04.2020.

On the other hand, ld counsel for accused has brought attention of the court to the subsequent paras of copy of minutes of meeting in item no. 3 of the meeting, wherein it is mentioned that, *"It is clarified that the decision taken by this Committee for release of prisoners on "interim bail" vide criterion adopted in the meeting dated 28.03.2020 and the one adopted hereinabove today, shall in no way affect the rights of other UTPs, who do not stand covered under these categories, from invoking the jurisdiction of concerned courts for grant of regular / interim bail. The concerned courts on filing of applications by such UTPs may consider the same on merits, in accordance with law."*

FIR No. 88/20  
PS: Dayalpur  
S/v Tanveer Malik  
U/s 147/148/149/307/153/505/120-B/34 IPC

During further arguments, Id APP and IO have raised apprehension that accused may abscond if he is released on personal bond without taking bail bond from him and without imposing any conditions.

Heard. Keeping in view the apprehensions of prosecution, the interim bail order of this court dated 01.05.2020 is modified to the extent as under:-

1. That accused shall furnish bail bond by one surety to the tune of Rs.20,000/- besides the personal bond already mentioned in order dated 01.05.2020, to the satisfaction of Id Duty MM / Jail Superintendent.
2. The accused shall not interfere in further investigation and shall not influence or threaten the witnesses in any manner;
3. Accused shall not misuse the liberty and shall not engage in any offence during his bail period.

The cancellation of application of prosecution stands disposed off in view of above stated amendments to order dated 01.05.2020.

The breach of any of abovesaid conditions shall tantamount to cancellation of bail automatically and IO will be entitled to arrest the accused and put him behind bars for the said violation.

Application stands disposed off accordingly. Copy of order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent. Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI / 30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 98/20

PS: Khajuri Khas

S/v Mustkeem

U/s 109/114/147/148/149/186/188/353/332/427/435/436/34 IPC & 3/4 PDPP Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/Insp. Rajnikant in person.

(rajnikantdp@gmail.com)

Sh. Ankur Sharma, Adv for accused.

(aman.narula.20@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is bail application of accused Mustkeem.

Submissions heard.

Counsel for accused stated that accused is in JC since 25.02.2020.

He is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He has nothing to do with the alleged offence. The applicant is ready to abide by all the conditions of bail which this court wants to impose upon him.

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP and IO have stated that accused is involved in serious offences of rioting, burning and looting of public property and case is still under investigation and there is apprehension that accused may threaten the witnesses and influence investigation if he is released on bail at this nascent stage, more so when the eyewitnesses had identified him as part of rioters group which caused destruction of public property. Bail application is strongly opposed.

FIR No. 98/20  
PS: Khajuri Khas  
S/v Mustkeem

U/s 109/114/147/148/149/186/188/353/332/427/435/436/34 IPC & 3/4 PDPP Act

Heard. Reply filed by IO perused.

Keeping in view the fact that chargesheet has already been filed in this case and no purpose would be served by keeping the accused in JC, and also keeping in view the personal difficulty of accused mentioned in the bail application as he is only bread earner of the family and he is not a habitual offender, the regular bail application is allowed and accused is directed to be released from JC in this case, if not required in any other case, on furnishing of the personal bond alongwith one surety bond in sum of Rs. 20,000/- each, subject to the satisfaction of Id. Duty MM / Jail Superintendent, and subject to following conditions:

1. The accused shall not interfere in further investigation and shall not influence or threaten the witnesses in any manner;
2. Accused shall not misuse the liberty and shall not engage in any offence during his bail period.

The breach of any of abovesaid conditions shall tantamount to cancellation of bail automatically and IO will be entitled to arrest the accused and put him behind bars for the said violation.

Copy of order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 692/17  
PS: Seelampur  
S/v Faizan  
U/s 307/186/353/34 IPC &  
25/27 Arms Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/ W.SI Esther Dazii Duo in person.  
(estherduo@yahoo.com)  
Sh. Mohd. Javed, Adv for accused.  
(javeed9540@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is interim bail application u/s 439 CrPC of accused Faizan on humanitarian ground due to spread of Covid-19.

Reply of IO filed.

Submissions heard. Bail application and reply perused.

The counsel for accused has stated that investigation qua the present accused has been completed and case is undertrial and accused is in JC since 2017 and therefore, no purpose will be served by keeping the accused in custody. There is no possibility of accused tampering with the evidence because all the public witnesses have been examined. Accused has been falsely implicated in this case and he is innocent and he is only bread earner of his family.

On the other hand, ld. Addl. PP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application on the ground that accused is a habitual offender and is involved in various other offences besides this FIR. Data of previous history of accused from SCRB has been filed on record according to which accused was involved in


FIR No. 692/17  
PS: Seelampur  
S/v Faizan  
U/s 307/186/353/34 IPC &  
25/27 Arms Act

various offences of theft and robbery and is also involved in one case of murder besides this case.

Keeping in view the fact that accused is a habitual offender involved in various heinous offences, no ground for interim bail is made out as the case of the accused does not fall within criteria laid down by HPC of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020



**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 120/20  
PS: Bhajanpura  
S/v Uzair  
U/s 147/148/149/436/380 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/SI Ved Pal in person.

Sh. Abid Hussain, Adv for accused.

(abidadvocate4@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is bail application u/s 439 CrPC of accused Uzair.

Reply of IO filed. Perused.

Submissions heard.

Counsel for accused stated that accused is in JC since 20.03.2020.

There is no name in the FIR. He is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He has nothing to do with the alleged offence. The applicant is ready to abide by all the conditions of bail which this court wants to impose upon him.

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP and IO have stated that accused is involved in serious offences and regular bail is strongly opposed.

Keeping in view the fact that chargesheet has been filed in this case and accused is no more required to be kept in JC for any purpose, and keeping in view the personal difficulty of accused mentioned in the bail application as he is only bread earner of the family, the regular bail application is allowed and accused is directed to be released from JC in this case, if not required in any other case, on furnishing of the personal bond alongwith one surety bond in sum of Rs. 20,000/- each, subject to the satisfaction of Jail Superintendent/Duty MM, and subject to

FIR No. 120/20  
PS: Bhajanpura  
S/v Uzair  
U/s 147/148/149/436/380 IPC

following conditions:


1. The accused shall not influence or threaten the witnesses in any manner;
2. Accused shall not misuse the liberty and shall not engage in any offence during his bail period.

The breach of any of abovesaid conditions shall tantamount to cancellation of bail automatically and IO will be entitled to arrest the accused and put him behind bars for the said violation.

Copy of order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 89/20  
PS: Bhajanpura  
S/v Uzair  
U/s 147/148/149/436/457/380 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/ASI Satender in person.

Sh. Abid Hussain, Adv for accused.

(abidadvocate4@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is bail application u/s 439 CrPC of accused Uzair.

Reply of IO filed. Perused.

Submissions heard.

Counsel for accused stated that accused is in JC since 20.03.2020 .

There is no name in the FIR. He is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He has nothing to do with the alleged offence. The applicant is ready to abide by all the conditions of bail which this court wants to impose upon him.

On the other hand, ld. Addl. PP and IO have stated that accused is involved in serious offences and regular bail is strongly opposed.

Keeping in view the fact that chargesheet has been filed in this case and accused is no more required to be kept in JC for any purpose, and keeping in view the personal difficulty of accused mentioned in the bail application as he is only bread earner of the family, the regular bail application is allowed and accused is directed to be released from JC in this case, if not required in any other case, on furnishing of the personal bond alongwith one surety bond in sum of Rs. 20,000/- each, subject to the satisfaction of Jail Superintendent/Duty MM, and subject to

FIR No. 89/20  
PS: Bhajanpura  
S/v Uzair  
U/s 147/148/149/436/457/380 IPC

following conditions:


1. The accused shall not influence or threaten the witnesses in any manner;
2. Accused shall not misuse the liberty and shall not engage in any offence during his bail period.

The breach of any of abovesaid conditions shall tantamount to cancellation of bail automatically and IO will be entitled to arrest the accused and put him behind bars for the said violation.

Copy of order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 102/20  
PS: Bhajanpura  
S/v Uzair  
U/s 147/148/149/380/451 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/SI Ved Pal in person.

Sh. Abid Hussain, Adv for accused.

(abidadvocate4@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is bail application u/s 439 CrPC of accused Uzair.

Reply of IO filed. Perused.

Submissions heard.

Counsel for accused stated that accused is in JC since 20.03.2020 .

There is no name in the FIR. He is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He has nothing to do with the alleged offence. The applicant is ready to abide by all the conditions of bail which this court wants to impose upon him.

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP and IO have stated that accused is involved in serious offences and regular bail is strongly opposed.

Keeping in view the fact that chargesheet has been filed in this case and accused is no more required to be kept in JC for any purpose, and keeping in view the personal difficulty of accused mentioned in the bail application as he is only bread earner of the family, the regular bail application is allowed and accused is directed to be released from JC in this case, if not required in any other case, on furnishing of the personal bond alongwith one surety bond in sum of Rs. 20,000/- each, subject to the satisfaction of Jail Superintendent/Duty MM, and subject to

FIR No. 102/20  
PS: Bhajanpura  
S/v Uzair  
U/s 147/148/149/380/451 IPC

following conditions:


1. The accused shall not influence or threaten the witnesses in any manner;
2. Accused shall not misuse the liberty and shall not engage in any offence during his bail period.

The breach of any of abovesaid conditions shall tantamount to cancellation of bail automatically and IO will be entitled to arrest the accused and put him behind bars for the said violation.

Copy of order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

  
(TYAGITA/SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 107/20  
PS: Bhajanpura  
S/v Uzair  
U/s 147/148/149/380/436 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/SI Ved Pal in person.

Sh. Abid Hussain, Adv for accused.

(abidadvocate4@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is bail application u/s 439 CrPC of accused Uzair.

Reply of IO filed. Perused.

Submissions heard.

Counsel for accused stated that accused is in JC since 20.03.2020 .

There is no name in the FIR. He is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He has nothing to do with the alleged offence. The applicant is ready to abide by all the conditions of bail which this court wants to impose upon him.

On the other hand, ld. Addl. PP and IO have stated that accused is involved in serious offences and regular bail is strongly opposed.

Keeping in view the fact that chargesheet has been filed in this case and accused is no more required to be kept in JC for any purpose, and keeping in view the personal difficulty of accused mentioned in the bail application as he is only bread earner of the family, the regular bail application is allowed and accused is directed to be released from JC in this case, if not required in any other case, on furnishing of the personal bond alongwith one surety bond in sum of Rs. 20,000/- each, subject to the satisfaction of Jail Superintendent/Duty MM, and subject to

FIR No. 107/20  
PS: Bhajanpura  
S/v Uzair  
U/s 147/148/149/380/436 IPC

following conditions:


1. The accused shall not influence or threaten the witnesses in any manner;
2. Accused shall not misuse the liberty and shall not engage in any offence during his bail period.

The breach of any of abovesaid conditions shall tantamount to cancellation of bail automatically and IO will be entitled to arrest the accused and put him behind bars for the said violation.

Copy of order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020



(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)

FIR No. 1087/17  
PS: New Usmanpur  
S/v Mohd Faizan  
U/s 498-A/406/304-B/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/Insp. Ratnesh Kumar Singh in person.  
(ratneshksinghpb@gmail.com)  
Sh. S.U. Mirza, Adv for accused.  
(sumirza@yahoo.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is interim bail application of accused for grant of bail for a period of 45 days in wake of Covid-19, for accused Faizan. It is stated that accused is in JC since 26.10.2017 and has spent more than 2 ½ years in jail during trial and his case falls in criteria laid down by HPC of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in its minutes of meeting dt. 18.05.2020.

During arguments, IO has stated that accused is not involved in any other criminal case and this case is pending for evidence.

Keeping in view the abovestated facts and circumstances, it is clear that the case of accused falls within criteria of cases mentioned at item no. 6 of minutes of meeting dt. 18.05.2020 of High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court. Hence, interim bail application is allowed and accused is directed to be released on interim bail for period of 45 days on furnishing of the personal bond alongwith one surety bond in sum of Rs.50,000/- each, subject to the satisfaction

FIR No. 1087/17  
PS: New Usmanpur  
S/v Mohd Faizan  
U/s 498-A/406/304-B/34 IPC

of Jail Superintendent, and subject to following conditions:


1. The accused shall not influence or threaten the witnesses in any manner;
2. Accused shall surrender to the Jail Superintendent concerned on the next date after expiry of 45 days from the date of furnishing of bail bond and
3. Accused shall not misuse the liberty and shall not engage in any offence during his interim bail period.

The breach of any of abovesaid conditions shall tantamount to cancellation of bail automatically and IO will be entitled to arrest the accused and put him behind bars for the said violation.

Copy of order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent and copy of order be given dasti to IO as well as counsel for accused.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 87/20

PS: Dayalpur

S/v Krishan Kant Dhiman

U/s 147/148/149/436/427/302/120B IPC

& 3, 4 PDPP Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/ Insp. Ritesh from Crime Branch, in person.

Sh. K.K. Tyagi, Adv for accused.

(kapilkertyagi@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is an application for cancellation of interim bail of accused Krishan Kant Dhiman granted vide order dt. 01.05.2020 on the grounds mentioned in the application.

Reply already filed.

Submissions heard.

During arguments ld Addl. PP has stated that the case of accused does not fall within criteria mentioned by the High Power Committee of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi as per its minutes of meeting dated 07.04.2020.

On the other hand, ld counsel for accused has brought attention of the court to the subsequent paras of copy of minutes of meeting in item no. 3 of the meeting, wherein it is mentioned that, *"It is clarified that the decision taken by this Committee for release of prisoners on "interim bail" vide criterion adopted in the meeting dated 28.03.2020 and the one adopted hereinabove today, shall in no way affect the rights of other UTPs, who do not stand covered under these categories, from invoking the jurisdiction of concerned courts for grant of regular / interim bail. The concerned courts on filing of applications by such UTPs may consider the same*

FIR No. 87/20  
PS: Dayalpur  
S/v Krishan Kant Dhiman  
U/s 147/148/149/436/427/302/120B IPC  
& 3, 4 PDPP Act

*on merits, in accordance with law."*

During further arguments, Id APP and IO have raised apprehension that accused may abscond if he is released on personal bond without taking bail bond from him and without imposing any conditions.


Heard. Keeping in view the apprehensions of prosecution, the interim bail order of this court dated 01.05.2020 is modified to the extent as under:-

1. That accused shall furnish bail bond by one surety to the tune of Rs.20,000/- besides the personal bond already mentioned in order dated 01.05.2020, to the satisfaction of Id Duty MM / Jail Superintendent.
2. The accused shall not interfere in further investigation and shall not influence or threaten the witnesses in any manner;
3. Accused shall not misuse the liberty and shall not engage in any offence during his bail period.

The cancellation <sup>of</sup> application of prosecution stands disposed off in view of above stated amendments to order dated 01.05.2020.

The breach of any of abovesaid conditions shall tantamount to cancellation of bail automatically and IO will be entitled to arrest the accused and put him behind bars for the said violation.

Application stands disposed off accordingly. Copy of order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent. Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 100/20  
PS: Bh. Pura  
S/v Suhail  
U/s 147/148/149/427/436 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO is absent.


None for accused.

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is bail application u/s 439 CrPC of accused Suhail.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective email IDs for webex online meeting for 05.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 169/18  
PS: Crime Branch  
S/v Darshan Singh  
U/s 20/25/29 NDPS

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

None for accused.

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

None has appeared on behalf of accused physically or through video conferencing.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for NDOH.

Be fixed for reply and arguments for 01.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 373/14  
PS: New Usmanpur  
S/v Amit Gupta  
U/s 363/302/201/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

None for accused.

(manigrover001@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for NDOH.

Be fixed for reply and arguments for 02.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 842/19

PS: New Usmanpur

S/v Waseem

U/s 376(2) (n)/376D/328/506/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/SI Ms Reena Kumari in person.

Sh. S M Khan proxy counsel for Mohd Abbas, Adv for accused.

(abbaskhanadvocate@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

Reply filed by the IO. Copy supplied.

Ld. Proxy counsel for accused has requested for some more time for addressing arguments.

The officials of bail section are directed to send fresh link for meeting online through webex to counsel for accused, IO and ld APP for NDOH.

At request, be fixed for arguments on bail application on 02.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020



**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 477/18

PS: Bh. Pura

S/v Shahjad

U/s 302/34 IPC & 120B and 27 of Arms Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

None for accused.

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

None has appeared on behalf of accused physically or through video conferencing.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for NDOH.

Be fixed for reply and arguments for 01.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 406/16

PS: Bh.Pura

S/v Majid Khan

U/s 3(2)/3 (4) of MCOCA Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

None for accused.

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

None has appeared on behalf of accused physically or through video conferencing.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for NDOH.

Be fixed for reply and arguments for 01.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 321/16  
PS: Gokul Puri  
S/v Roshan  
U/s 363/302/201 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO is absent.

Sh. Abid Hussain, Adv for complainant.

(abidadvocate4@gmail.com)

Sh. R.K. Kochar, Adv for accused.

(naseemahmed666@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Reply filed. Perused.

Notice be sent to Jail Supt. for report of good conduct of accused through Bail Section.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for 02.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 181/20

PS: B. Pura

S/v Sheru Raja

U/s 147/148/149/186/353/332 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

Sh. Mohd. Yusuf, Adv for accused.

(rohit12.kataria@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for NDOH.

Be fixed for reply and arguments for 05.06.2020.



(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 149/19  
PS: Bh. Pura  
S/v Pankaj  
U/s 323/341/304/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

Sh. Mohd. Yusuf, Adv for accused.

(rohit12.kataria@gmail.com)


ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for NDOH.

Be fixed for reply and arguments for 05.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 477/19

PS: Bhajanpura

S/v Mohsin @ Vicky

U/s 302/341/120B/34 IPC & 27 Arms Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

Sh. Iqrar Siddiqui, Adv for accused.

(mannumeenu4@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for NDOH.

Be fixed for reply and arguments for 02.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 08/12

PS: N.U.Pur

S/v Farmaan

U/s 302/304A/120B/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

Sh. Mohd. Yusuf, Adv for accused.

(rohit12.kataria@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for NDOH.

Be fixed for reply and arguments for 05.06.2020.



(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 88/20

PS: N.U.Pur

S/v Shakir

U/s 147/148/149/307 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

Sh. Mohd. Yusuf, Adv for accused.

(farhaan.anr11@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for NDOH.

Be fixed for reply and arguments for 05.06.2020.



(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020



**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 355/18  
PS: K. Nagar  
S/v Mohit Sharma  
U/s 498-A/304-B/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/SI Ankit Kumar in person.

None for accused.

(advoc.plbehl@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

IO has filed reply, according to which, chargesheet has already been filed in concerned court.

Submissions heard.

The officials of bail section are directed to send fresh link for meeting online through webex to counsel for accused, IO and Id APP for NDOH.

At request, be fixed for arguments on bail application on 06.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 410/18  
PS: Seelampur  
S/v Sohail @ Sunny  
U/s 307/34 IPC & 27 Arms Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

Sh. Ashok Kumar, Adv for accused.

(adv.ashok3@gmail.com)


ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for NDOH.

Be fixed for reply and arguments for 03.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 135/20  
PS: Dayalpur  
S/v Shamim  
U/s 147/148/149/436 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

Counsel for accused.

(lexjudis.law@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for NDOH.

Be fixed for reply and arguments for 03.06.2020.



(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 515/19

PS: Seelampur

S/v Mohd. Faik Akhtar

U/s 376/328/120-B IPC & 6 POCSO Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/SI Ms. Esther Dazil Duo in person.  
Complainant in person.  
Mr Abdul Gaffar, Adv for accused.  
(lexjudis.law@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is interim / regular bail application of accused Mohd Faik Akhtar on the ground that applicant and prosecutrix are in relationship since past 5 years and they want marry each other but to some mis-understanding, the prosecution registered FIR against accused but everything has been resolved now and prosecutrix has visited the accused in jail many times and she is an adult now and wants to marry with accused of her own will. Accused is in JC since 20.12.2019.

Reply filed by IO perused. Copy of statement of prosecutrix u/s. 164 Cr. PC filed by counsel for accused perused.

Submissions heard. The IO and Id APP have strongly opposed the bail application.

Perusal of statement of prosecutrix u/s 164 of Cr. PC reveals that as per version of prosecutrix, accused had compelled her for physical relations and blackmailed her. Accused has been charged with very serious offences.

Hence, no ground for bail is made out. Bail application stands dismissed. Application stands disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 275/20  
PS: Gokalpuri  
S/v Ankar@Arjun  
U/s 8/12 POCSO ACT

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/ASI Ms Poonam in person.  
Sh. Iqrar Siddiqui, Adv for accused.  
(mannumeenu4@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is first regular bail application of accused.

Counsel for accused stated that accused is in JC since 08.05.2020. He is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He has nothing to do with the alleged offence. The applicant is ready to abide by all the conditions of bail which this court wants to impose upon him.


Reply filed by IO perused.

Statement of prosecutrix u/s 164 of Cr. PC also perused, in which prosecutrix has rescinded from her previous statement given to police against accused who is her step father.

However the case is still under investigation and IO has strongly opposed the bail application on the ground that there is no permanent address of accused and accused may commit similar offence, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the fact that investigation is at the nascent stage and there is apprehension that accused may commit similar offence and may influence the witnesses, no ground for bail is made out, hence, bail application is dismissed.

The bail application is disposed off accordingly. Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 252/20

PS: Shastri Park

S/v Naeem Ahmed

U/s 420/468/471/188/269/270/34/120B IPC & 3 Epidemic Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/SI Shailendra Tiwari in person.  
(shailendratiwari214@gmail.com)  
Sh. Abid Hussain, Adv for accused.  
(abidadvocate4@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard. Bail application and reply perused.

This is interim bail application for accused Sh Naeem Ahmad on the ground that accused was arrested on 15.05.2020 from his house and falsely implicated in the present case.

The counsel for accused has stated that after arrest of accused, his mother namely Saira became seriously ill and getting treatment from hospital and he is required to take care of his mother.

On the other hand, Id. APP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application and stated that accused had a nexus for gaining monetary profit during lockdown and intention in making, preparing and distribution of forged movement pass and travel permission. Accused had a technical support for preparing forged movement pass and permission and in this case, accused had arranged forged and fabricated e-pass for the travellers in connivance with other accused persons.

Heard. Keeping in view the fact that investigation is at the nascent stage and there is apprehension that accused may tamper with evidence and may influence the witnesses, no ground for bail is made out.

The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 105/20  
PS: Gokalpuri  
S/v Arjun  
U/s 147/148/149/436/427 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/ASI Sushil Kumar in person.  
Sh. Ashok Kumar Adv for accused.  
(Adv.Ashok@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is third bail application u/s 439 CrPC of accused Arjun whereas it is mentioned in the application that it is first bail application.

Reply of IO filed.

Submissions heard. Bail application and reply perused.

The counsel for accused has stated that accused has been falsely implicated in this case and he is innocent and he is only bread earner of his family.

On the other hand, ld. Addl. PP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application and stated that accused was active member of gang of rioters who looted and destroyed the public property and is involved in very serious offences, for different incidents of rioting in North-East.

Accused is charged with very serious offences and investigation is still at initial stage and there is apprehension that accused may threaten/influence the witnesses and may tamper with evidence if he is released on bail at this stage, hence, no ground for bail is made out.

The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI/30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 254/19

PS: N.U. Pur

S/v Arun

U/s 498-A/406/304-B/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/ Insp. Vijay in person.

(sho-newusmanpur-dl@nic.in)

Ms. Alka Singh and Sh. Vaibhav Tomar, Adv for accused.

(adv.alkasingh@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is an interim bail application u/s 439 CrPC of accused Arun.

Reply of IO filed. Perused.

Submissions heard.

This is an interim bail application on grounds of ~~criticisms~~<sup>leg</sup> mentioned in minutes of meeting of HPC dt. 18.05.2020 of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. Notice be issued to concerned Jail Suptd., through Bail Section for report.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for 01.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020



**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 64/17

PS: Kh. Khas

S/v Jyoti

U/s 302/120B/201/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Insp. Rajnikant for IO in person.

Ms. Alka Singh and Sh. Vaibhav Tomar, Adv for accused.

(adv.alkasingh@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Reply not filed by IO and IO is stated to be on leave due to death of his mother.

Last opportunity is granted to IO for filing reply.

This is an interim bail application on grounds of criteria<sup>top</sup> mentioned in minutes of meeting of HPC dt. 18.05.2020 of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. Notice be issued to concerned Jail Suptd., through Bail Section for report.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for 04.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 108/18  
PS: K.Nagar  
S/v Sudesh Kumar  
U/s 302/363 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

Sh. Abid Hussain, Adv for accused.

(adv.dhaka@yahoo.co


ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Reply has not been filed by the IO.

Notice be issued to the IO through bail section for reply and notice be also sent to the Jail Superintendent for report of conduct of accused.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for NDOH.

Be fixed for reply and arguments for 03.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 172/19  
PS: Kh.Khas  
S/v Balraj  
U/s 392/397/411 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Previous IO/Insp. Rajnikant in person.

(rajnikantdp@gmail.com)

Sh. Dinesh Kumar, Adv for accused.

(dineshlc1@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

Reply has not been filed by the previous IO.

IO Insp. Rajnikant states that case has been transferred to DIU and he will inform the concerned IO and will send him copies of the documents for verification of the medical documents.

Copies of the documents be supplied by the counsel for accused to the previous IO Insp. Rajnikant for verification.

At request, be fixed for verification / report of the documents and reply and arguments for 04.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 85/20  
PS: Dayalpur  
S/v Komal Mishra  
U/s 147/148/149/436 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

Sh. Arjun Singh, Adv for accused.

(advocatea.s.rohatki@gmail.com)


ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for NDOH.

Be fixed for reply and arguments for 04.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 99/19  
PS: Shastri Park  
S/v Shankar Yadav  
U/s UNKNOWN

23.05.2020

Present: Sh. Tofeeq Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

Sh. Parvesh Kumar, Adv for accused.

(praveshkc92@gmail.com)


ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for NDOH.

Be fixed for reply and arguments for 05.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
23.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 137/20  
PS: Bhajanpura  
S/v Prince  
U/s 147/148/149/457/380 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/SI Naeem Ali in person.

None for accused.

(gaurav32140@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

Reply filed by the IO.

The officials of bail section are directed to send fresh link for meeting online through webex to counsel for accused, IO and Id APP for NDOH.

Be fixed for arguments on 03.06.2020.



(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 139/20  
PS: Bhajanpura  
S/v Prince  
U/s 147/148/149/457/380 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/SI Rahul in person.

None for accused.

(gaurav32140@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

Reply filed by the IO.

The officials of bail section are directed to send fresh link for meeting online through webex to counsel for accused, IO and Id APP for NDOH.

At request, be fixed for arguments on 03.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No.246/19  
PS: Khajuri Khas  
S/v Ankit Kumar  
U/s 22/29 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/Insp. Rajnikant on behalf of IO in person.

(rajnikantdp@gmail.com)

Sh. Jitender Sethi, Adv for accused.

(sethiassociatesdelhi@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

Substitute IO/Insp. Rajnikant has stated that he has not received bail application and medical documents, due to which, he could not verify the medical documents.

Copy thereof be supplied to the IO for verification.

At request, be fixed for verification / report and further arguments on bail application for 03.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020



**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 150/14  
PS: Khajuri Khas  
S/v Amir  
U/s 302/323/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Insp. Rajnikant in person.

None for accused.

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Reply not filed.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to supply of the application to the IO.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective email IDs for webex online meeting for 05.06.2020.

Be fixed for reply and arguments on 05.06.2020.



(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 187/18

PS: K.Nagar

S/v Suraj Pandey @ Suraj Kumar Pandey

U/s 498-A/304-B/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO is absent.

Sh. D.K. Singh, Adv for accused.

(dksinghassociates2007@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Reply not filed.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and notice be also sent to concerned Jail Suptd. to report regarding good conduct of the accused.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for 06.06.2020

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)

FIR No. 51/20

PS: Bh. Pura

S/v Sombeer

U/s 304/365/424/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO is absent.

Sh. Chandan Mishra, Adv for accused.

(chandanmishraadvocate@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective email IDs for webex online meeting for 11.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 27/14  
PS:Special Cell Lodhi Road  
S/v Arshi Iqbal @ Nadeem  
U/s 21 NDPS Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO is absent.

Sh. Chandramani Grover Adv for accused.

(manigrover001@gmail.com)


ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

IO has not sent any reply.

Notice be issued to IO through Bail Section with copy of medical documents of wife of accused for verification.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for 2.6.2020

Be fixed for report and further arguments on 02.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 406/16  
PS: Bhajanpura  
S/v Gaurav @ Golu  
U/s 3 / 4 MCOCA Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/SI Rahul in person.

(Rahul.rk8819@gmail.com)

Sh. Chandermani Grover, Adv for accused.

(manigrover001@gmail.com)


ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Reply filed by IO.

This is an interim bail application of accused on the ground of his medical condition.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to send notice to concerned Jail Suptd. to report regarding medical condition of accused.

Officials of Bail Section are also directed to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective email IDs for webex online meeting for 04.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / RKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 213/19  
PS: Karawal Nagar  
S/v Boby  
U/s 376/363/366 IPC &  
6 POCSO Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO is absent.

Sh. Dinesh Kumar, Adv for accused.

(dineshlc1@gmail.com)


ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

The accused has filed interim bail application alongwith certain medical documents which have not been verified by the IO.

Notice be issued to the IO through bail section with copy of all the documents for verification of medical documents.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective email IDs for webex online meeting for 04.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 549/17

PS: N.U.Pur

S/v Arshad

U/s 302/120-B/212/34 IPC &

27 Arms Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO is absent.

Sh. Praksh Priyadarshi, Adv for accused.

(prakashpandey19@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Reply has not been filed by IO.

Notice be issued to IO through Bail Section for reply and notice be also sent to Jail Supt. for report of conduct of accused.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for 04.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 549/17

PS: N.U.Pur

S/v Adil

U/s 302/120-B/212/34 IPC &

27 Arms Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO is absent.

Sh. Praksh Priyadarshi, Adv for accused.

(prakashpandey19@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Reply has not been filed by IO.

Notice be issued to IO through bail section for reply and notice be also sent to Jail Supt. for report of good conduct of accused.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for 04.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020



**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 134/20

PS: Dayalpur

S/v Faisal Farooq

U/s 147/148/149/307/395/436/455/120B /34 IPC &

25/27 Arms Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. D.K. Bhatia, Ld. Spl. PP for the State.  
IO/SI Pankaj alongwith ACP Anil Dureja in person.  
(lion.pankaj88@gmail.com)  
Sh. Mohit Bhardwaj, Adv for accused.  
(mohitbhardwaj1@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is an application for grant of interim bail to accused Faisal Farooq.

Reply of IO filed. Perused.

Submissions heard.

At this stage, counsel for accused has requested for withdrawal of the present application.

At his request, the present bail application is disposed off as withdrawn.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 299/19  
PS: Sonia Vihar  
S/v Rohit Pandey  
U/s 304B/498A/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/W.Insp. Dorodhia in person.

(sho-soniavhr-dl@nic.in)

Sh. Ravindra Narayan, Adv for accused.


(raghavnarayan.93@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Reply has not been filed by IO.

IO has stated that she could not file reply due to some confusion.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective email IDs for webex online meeting for 03.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / RKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 35/20  
PS: Gokul Puri  
S/v Jatin Sharma  
U/s 147/148/149/302/120B/34 IPC

30.05.2020


Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO Insp. Vinay Tyagi, SIT Crime Branch.  
(arjunsingh2043@gmail.com)  
Sh. Pramod Nagar, Adv. for accused.  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

The IO has already filed reply and has joined webex meeting.

The counsel for accused has filed interim bail application on ground of studies of accused but no educational documents have been filed. Copy of educational documents and college ID proof of accused be filed and copy be supplied to IO through Bail Section.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to send copies of educational documents of accused to IO for verification and send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for 03.06.2020.

Be fixed for verification of documents and arguments on 03.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 252/20

PS: Shastri Park

S/v Mohd Akil

U/s 420/468/471/188/269/270/34/120B IPC & 3 Epidemic Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

Sh. Gaurav Dalal, Adv for accused.

(ad.pradeepteotia@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

Ld counsel for accused requested for withdrawal of the present application due to some personal grounds.

In the interest of justice, present bail application is dismissed as withdrawn.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 67/20

PS: N.U. Pur

S/v Mohit Nagar

U/s 147/148/149/436/380/427/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/ASI Narender Singh in person.

Sh. Ashok Kumar, Adv for accused.

(adv.ashok3@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Reply of IO filed. Perused.

Submissions heard.

Ld counsel for accused requested for withdrawal of the present application due to some personal grounds.

In the interest of justice, present bail application is dismissed as withdrawn.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / RKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 252/20

PS: Shastri Park

S/v Mohd Shoaib

U/s 420/468/471/188/269/270/34/120B IPC & 3 Epidemic Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

Sh. Gaurav Dalal, Adv for accused.

(ad.pradeepteotia@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

Ld counsel for accused requested for withdrawal of the present application due to some personal grounds.

In the interest of justice, present bail application is dismissed as withdrawn.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.



(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 111/20  
PS: New Usman Pur  
S/v Prem Prakash  
U/s 147/148/149/427/436/380 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/ASI Sitaram in person.

(s9871275366@gmail.com)

ACP Saurabh Chandra in person.

Sh. Ashok Kumar, Adv for accused.

(adv.ashok3@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

This is bail application u/s 439 Cr.PC of accused Prem Prakash.

Reply of IO filed.

Counsel for accused stated that accused was arrested on 09.03.2020.

He is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He has nothing to do with the alleged offence. The applicant is ready to abide by all the conditions of bail which this court wants to impose upon him.

On the other hand, ld. Addl. PP and IO have stated that accused is involved in serious offences of rioting, burning and looting of public property and case is still under investigation and there is apprehension that accused may threaten the witnesses and influence investigation if he is released on bail at this nascent stage. Bail application is strongly opposed.



FIR No. 111/20  
PS: N.U.Pur  
S/v Prem Prakash  
U/s 147/148/149/427/436/380 IPC

Heard. Reply filed by IO perused.

Keeping in view the above-stated facts and circumstances and also keeping in view the personal difficulty of accused mentioned in the bail application as he is only bread earner of the family and he is not a habitual offender, the regular bail application is allowed and accused is directed to be released from JC in this case, if not required in any other case, on furnishing of the personal bond alongwith one surety bond in sum of Rs. 20,000/- each, subject to the satisfaction of Id. Duty MM / Jail Superintendent, and subject to following conditions:


1. The accused shall not interfere in further investigation and shall not influence or threaten the witnesses in any manner;
2. Accused shall not misuse the liberty and shall not engage in any offence during his bail period.

The breach of any of abovesaid conditions shall tantamount to cancellation of bail automatically and IO will be entitled to arrest the accused and put him behind bars for the said violation.

Copy of order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020



**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 89/20

PS: New Usman Pur

S/v Prem Prakash

U/s 147/148/149/436/34/186/332/353/455 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/ASI Sitaram in person.

(s9871275366@gmail.com)

ACP Saurabh Chandra in person.

Sh. Ashok Kumar, Adv for accused.

(adv.ashok3@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

This is bail application u/s 439 Cr.PC of accused Prem Prakash.

Reply of IO filed.

Counsel for accused stated that accused was arrested on 09.03.2020.

He is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He has nothing to do with the alleged offence. The applicant is ready to abide by all the conditions of bail which this court wants to impose upon him.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP and IO have stated that accused is involved in serious offences of rioting, burning and looting of public property and case is still under investigation and there is apprehension that accused may threaten the witnesses and influence investigation, if he is released on bail at this nascent stage. Bail application is strongly opposed.

Heard. Reply filed by IO perused. During arguments, IO has admitted that accused was formally arrested in this case on his disclosure

FIR No. 89/20  
PS: N.U.Pur  
S/v Prem Prakash  
U/s 147/148/149/436/34/186/332/353/455 IPC

statement in other case and no substantial evidence has been brought forth till date against accused in this case.

Keeping in view the above-stated facts and circumstances, no purpose would be served by keeping the accused in JC, and also keeping in view the personal difficulty of accused mentioned in the bail application as he is only bread earner of the family and he is not a habitual offender, the regular bail application is allowed and accused is directed to be released from JC in this case, if not required in any other case, on furnishing of the personal bond alongwith one surety bond in sum of Rs. 20,000/- each, subject to the satisfaction of Id. Duty MM / Jail Superintendent, and subject to following conditions:


1. The accused shall not interfere in further investigation and shall not influence or threaten the witnesses in any manner;
2. Accused shall not misuse the liberty and shall not engage in any offence during his bail period.

The breach of any of abovesaid conditions shall tantamount to cancellation of bail automatically and IO will be entitled to arrest the accused and put him behind bars for the said violation.

Copy of order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 80/20

PS: Dayalpur

S/v Shah Alam

U/s 147/148/149/436/427/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/SI Vineet Kumar in person.  
(er.vineet23@gmail.com)  
Sh. Javed Ali, Adv for accused.  
(advjaf@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard. Bail application and reply perused.

This is interim bail application for accused Sh Shah Alam.

The counsel for accused has stated that accused has been falsely implicated in this case and accused has been only formally arrested in the present case by the police, neither his police custody ever been sought nor any recovery has been effected from him regarding this case. It is stated that accused is in JC since 22.04.2020.

On the other hand, ld. APP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application and stated that accused is younger brother of the main accused in the present FIR i.e. Tahir Hussain, Councilor (Nigam Parshad – AAP) and was active member of gang of rioters who looted and destroyed the public property and is involved in very serious offences. IO has stated that accused person is knowingly and deliberately involved in anti-national activities, disturbed the peace and harmony of the area and challenge the rule of law.

Heard. Accused is charged with very serious offences and investigation is still at initial stage and there is apprehension that accused may tamper with evidence or may influence / threaten the witnesses, hence, no ground for bail is made out.

The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 102/20

PS: Dayalpur

S/v Javed Ahmed

U/s 147/148/149/427/436 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/SI Vineet Kumar in person.  
(er.vineet23@gmail.com)  
Sh. Nasir Ali and Sh. Abdul Gaffar, Adv for accused.  
(nasiraliadvocate@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard. Bail application and reply perused.

This is second bail application for accused Sh Javed Ahmed on the ground that brother of the accused is totally disabled and unable to walk and talk properly and he is bed ridden and unable to do any work. The counsel for accused has stated that accused is residing with his family and he is a student and falsely implicated in this case. Counsel for accused further stated that as per contents of FIR, no ingredients of offence u/s 436 IPC are made out against accused.

On the other hand, Id. APP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application and stated that accused was active member of gang of rioters who looted and destroyed the public property and is involved in very serious offences. IO has stated that as per statement of witness Sh. Suresh Chand Gupta u/s. 161 Cr. PC recorded on 06.03.2020 in this case, the offence of looting and burning of house of witness was made out due to which section 436 Cr. PC was added and investigation is under progress but yet to be completed.

Heard. Accused is charged with very serious offences and investigation is still at initial stage and there is apprehension that accused may tamper with evidence or may influence / threaten the witnesses, hence, no ground for bail is made out. The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly. Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGIYA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI /30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 105/20

PS: Gokalpuri

S/v Dharamveer

U/s 147/148/149/380/427/436 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/ASI Sushil Kumar in person.  
Sh. Santosh Kumar Sharma and Sh. Sanjay Thakur, Adv for accused.  
(kumarsanthoshhh@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard. Bail application and reply perused.

This is bail application for accused Sh Dharamveer on the ground that accused was arrested only by doubt as he was standing in his street and police officials has implicated him by saying that the accused is showing in the CCTV Footage while accused was standing in his street.

The counsel for accused has stated that mother of accused is old widow lady and suffering from high sugar and high BP and no one to take care of her except accused and moreover is a student just passed 12<sup>th</sup> class and is preparing for competitive exam and has to get admission in BA.

On the other hand, ld. APP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application and stated that accused was active member of gang of rioters who looted and destroyed the public property and is involved in very serious offences. IO has stated that investigation is under progress but yet to be completed.

Heard. Investigation is still at initial stage and there is apprehension that accused may tamper with evidence or may influence / threaten the witnesses, hence, no ground for bail is made out. The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 73/20

PS: Gokalpuri

S/v Dharamveer

U/s 147/148/149/380/427/436 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/ASI Sushil Kumar in person.  
Sh. Santosh Kumar Sharma and Sh. Sanjay Thakur, Adv for accused.  
(kumarsanthoshhh@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.


Submissions heard. Bail application and reply perused.

This is bail application for accused Sh Dharamveer on the ground that accused was arrested only by doubt as he was standing in his street and police officials has implicated him by saying that the accused is showing in the CCTV Footage while accused was standing in his street.

The counsel for accused has stated that mother of accused is old widow lady and suffering from high sugar and high BP and no one to take care of her except accused and moreover is a student just passed 12<sup>th</sup> class and is preparing for competitive exam and has to get admission in BA.

On the other hand, ld. APP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application and stated that accused was active member of gang of rioters who looted and destroyed the public property and is involved in very serious offences. IO has stated that investigation is under progress but yet to be completed.

Heard. Investigation is still at initial stage and there is apprehension that accused may tamper with evidence or may influence / threaten the witnesses, hence, no ground for bail is made out. The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly. Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 488/19

PS: Seelampur

S/v Anuj Gupta

U/s 376/328/313/323/365/366/506 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

SI Esther for IO in person.

Sh. S.Hasai Zaidi, Adv for accused.

(hasanturabkiid@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is bail application for interim bail of accused Anuj Gupta.

Reply of IO filed.

Submissions heard. Bail application and reply perused.

The counsel for accused has stated that accused has been falsely implicated in this case and he is innocent and he is only bread earner of his family. It is further stated that father of accused is heart patient and there is nobody to take his care.

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application on the ground that accused is charged with very serious offences and prosecutrix has given statement u/s 164 CrPC against accused

Accused is charged with very serious offences and the prosecutrix has leveled serious allegations of sexual abuse against accused in her statement u/s 164 CrPC. Hence, no ground for bail is made out.

The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.



(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 119/20

PS: Bh.Pura

S/v Irshad

U/s 147/148/149/427/379/436 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

IO/ASI Arvind in person.

(arvindkumar.4872@gmail.com)

Sh.S.N. Khan, Adv for accused.

(snkadvocate@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is bail application u/s 439 CrPC of accused Irshad.

Reply of IO filed. Perused.

Submissions heard.

Counsel for accused stated that accused is in JC since 12.03.2020.

There is no name in the FIR. He is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He has nothing to do with the alleged offence. The applicant is ready to abide by all the conditions of bail which this court wants to impose upon him.

On the other hand, ld. Addl. PP and IO have stated that accused is involved in serious offences and regular bail is strongly opposed.

Keeping in view the fact that chargesheet has already been filed and no purpose would be served by keeping accused in JC, and also keeping in view the personal difficulty of accused mentioned in the bail application as he is only bread earner of the family and he is not a habitual offender, the regular bail application is allowed and accused is directed to be released from JC in this case, if not required in any other case, on furnishing of the personal bond alongwith one



FIR No. 119/20  
PS: Bh.Pura  
S/v Irshad  
U/s 147/148/149/427/379/436 IPC

surety bond in sum of Rs. 20,000/- each, subject to the satisfaction of Jail Superintendent/Duty MM, and subject to following conditions:


1. The accused shall not influence or threaten the witnesses in any manner;
2. Accused shall not misuse the liberty and shall not engage in any offence during his bail period.

The breach of any of abovesaid conditions shall tantamount to cancellation of bail automatically and IO will be entitled to arrest the accused and put him behind bars for the said violation.

Copy of order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 72/18  
PS: Crime Branch  
S/v Safi  
U/s 21/29 NDPS Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO is absent.  
Sh. Mohd. Imran, Adv for accused.  
(mymail.imran@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is bail application u/s 439 CrPC for interim bail of accused Safi.

Reply of IO already filed.

Report of Jail Suptd. has been received.

Submissions heard. Bail application and reply perused.


The counsel for accused has stated that accused is suffering from chronic patient of sugar and BP. He undertakes not to tamper with the witnesses or investigation in any manner.

On the other hand, ld. Addl. PP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application and stated that accused is charged with very serious offence regarding recovery of commercial quantity of NDPS substance and he was previously involved in two similar offences.

Report has been received from Jail Suptd. according to which accused is being given proper treatment for his BP and diabetes. Hence, no ground for interim bail is made out since accused is a habitual offender.

The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 172/20  
PS: Bhajanpura  
S/v Akhil Bharadwaj  
U/s 147/148/149/435/380/436 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/ASI Zamil Khan in person.  
Sh. Ashok Kumar, Adv for accused.  
(adv.ashok3@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

This is bail application u/s 439 Cr.PC of accused Akhil Bharadwaj.

Reply of IO filed.

Counsel for accused stated that accused is in JC since 19.03.2020. He is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He has nothing to do with the alleged offence. The applicant is ready to abide by all the conditions of bail which this court wants to impose upon him.

On the other hand, ld. Addl. PP and IO have stated that accused is involved in serious offences of rioting, burning and looting of public property and there is apprehension that accused may threaten the witnesses, if he is released on bail. Bail application is strongly opposed.

Heard. Reply filed by IO perused.

Keeping in view the fact that chargesheet has been filed and no purpose would be served by keeping the accused in JC, and also keeping in view the personal difficulty of accused mentioned in the bail application as he is only

FIR No. 172/20  
PS: Bhajanpura  
S/v Akhil Bharadwaj  
U/s 147/148/149/435/380/436 IPC

bread earner of the family and he is not a habitual offender, the regular bail application is allowed and accused is directed to be released from JC in this case, if not required in any other case, on furnishing of the personal bond alongwith one surety bond in sum of Rs. 20,000/- each, subject to the satisfaction of the Id. Duty MM / Jail Superintendent, and subject to following conditions:


1. The accused shall not interfere in further investigation and shall not influence or threaten the witnesses in any manner;
2. Accused shall not misuse the liberty and shall not engage in any offence during his bail period.

The breach of any of abovesaid conditions shall tantamount to cancellation of bail automatically and IO will be entitled to arrest the accused and put him behind bars for the said violation.

Copy of order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 270/20

PS: Kh.Khas

S/v Aakash

U/s 323/363/342/354A/376/511 IPC & 8 POCSO Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/W.SI Rakhi in person.  
(rakhi.cctns@gmail.com)  
Sh. Lokesh Singh, Adv for accused.  
(luckysingh957@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is bail application u/s 439 CrPC of accused Aakash.

Reply of IO filed. Perused.

Submissions heard.

Counsel for accused stated that accused is suffering in JC since 19.03.2020. The counsel for accused has stated that accused has been falsely implicated in this case and he is innocent and he is only bread earner of his family.

On the other hand, ld. Addl. PP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application and stated that the accused committed heinous offences against the prosecutrix who was a minor at the time of offence, and no leniency shall be shown to accused.

The reply of IO as well as statement u/s 164 CrPC of prosecutrix has been perused in which she has leveled very serious allegations against accused. There is apprehension that accused may threaten/influence the victim and witnesses, hence, no ground for bail is made out.

The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 66/20  
PS: Shastri Park  
S/v Sandeep Kumar  
U/s 147/148/149/380/436/427 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/ASI Narender Singh in person.  
ACP Saurabh Chandra in person.  
Sh. Ashok Kumar, Adv for accused.  
(adv.ashok3@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

This is bail application u/s 439 Cr.PC of accused Sandeep Kumar.

Reply of IO filed.

Counsel for accused stated that accused is in JC since 09.03.2020.

He is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He has nothing to do with the alleged offence. The applicant is ready to abide by all the conditions of bail which this court wants to impose upon him.

On the other hand, ld. Addl. PP and IO have stated that accused is involved in serious offences of rioting, burning and looting of public property and there is apprehension that accused may threaten the witnesses, if he is released on bail. Bail application is strongly opposed.

Heard. Reply filed by IO perused.

Keeping in view the fact that chargesheet has been filed and no purpose would be served by keeping the accused in JC, and also keeping in view


FIR No. 66/20  
PS: Shastri Park  
S/v Sandeep Kumar  
U/s 147/148/149/380/436/427 IPC

the personal difficulty of accused mentioned in the bail application as he is only bread earner of the family and he is not a habitual offender, the regular bail application is allowed and accused is directed to be released from JC in this case, if not required in any other case, on furnishing of the personal bond alongwith one surety bond in sum of Rs. 20,000/- each, subject to the satisfaction of the Id. Duty MM / Jail Superintendent, and subject to following conditions:

1. The accused shall not interfere in further investigation and shall not influence or threaten the witnesses in any manner;
2. Accused shall not misuse the liberty and shall not engage in any offence during his bail period.

The breach of any of abovesaid conditions shall tantamount to cancellation of bail automatically and IO will be entitled to arrest the accused and put him behind bars for the said violation. Copy of order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent. Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 87/20

PS: New Usmanpur

S/v Aman Abbas

U/s 147/148/149/307 IPC & 25/27 Arms Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/SI Amit Kumar in person.  
ACP Saurabh Chandra in person.  
(amitkumarchauhan@hotmail.com)  
Mr. Vijay, Adv for accused.  
(vijaye401@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard. Bail application and reply perused.

This is first bail application for regular bail of accused Sh Aman Abbas.

The counsel for accused has stated that accused has been falsely implicated in this case and he is innocent and he is only bread earner of his family.

On the other hand, ld. APP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application and stated that accused was part of mob who were involved in riots, stone pelting and firing of bullets due to which one person was injured and accused alongwith other co-accused persons have been charged with serious offence u/s. 307 IPC besides other sections, in this case.

Bail application, FIR and reply of IO perused. Accused is charged with serious offence of attempt to murder and investigation is still under process, therefore, no ground for regular bail is made out. The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly. Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020



**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 37/20

PS: G.Puri

S/v Lalit

U/s 147/148/149/302/395/396/201/432/435/412/120B/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
Insp. Vinay Tyagi and IO/SI Arjun Singh in person.  
(arjunsingh2043@gmail.com)  
Sh. Ravinder Narayan, Adv for accused.  
(raghavnarayan.93@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard.

This is bail application for accused Sh Lalit.

The counsel for accused has stated that accused has no criminal antecedents and he is falsely implicated in this case.

On the other hand, ld. APP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application and stated that accused was part of mob who were involved in riots, stone pelting and firing of bullets due to which one person died and there was huge loss of property.

Bail application, FIR and reply of IO opened from sealed cover and perused. As per request of IO, the reply is directed to be kept in sealed cover.

Keeping in view the fact that accused is charged with serious offence of murder u/s. 302 IPC besides other sections and investigation is still under process, therefore, no ground for interim bail is made out.

The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.



(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 946/19  
PS: New Usmanpur  
S/v Vijay Kumar  
U/s 354/354B/506 IPC &  
10/12 POSCO Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/W.SI Reena in person.  
(tanwer3reena@gmail.com)  
Sh. Prabhakar Avasthi, Adv for accused.  
(prabhakaravasthi697@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is third bail application u/s 439 CrPC of accused Vijay Kumar. First bail application was dismissed on 29.02.2020. Second bail application was dismissed on 20.05.2020.

Reply of IO filed.

Submissions heard. Bail application and reply perused.


The counsel for accused has stated that accused has been falsely implicated in this case and he is innocent and he is only bread earner of his family.

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application and stated that accused is father of prosecutrix but he committed heinous offences against the prosecutrix who is a minor child, and no leniency shall be shown to accused.

The reply of IO as well as statements u/s 164 CrPC of two children have been perused in which they have leveled very serious allegations against accused who is their father. There is apprehension that accused may threaten/influence the victim and witnesses and may indulge in similar offences, hence, no ground for bail is made out.

The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 63/20

PS:Bhajanpura

S/v Suhail

U/s 147/148/149/151/152/186/353/332/436 IPC &

3 / 4 PDPP Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/SI Naeem Ali in person.  
(naeemalidpsi2012@gmail.com)  
Sh. R.P. Singh, Adv for accused.  
(cyberpoint32@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is bail application u/s 439 CrPC of accused Suhail.

Reply of IO filed.

Submissions heard. Bail application and reply perused.

The counsel for accused has stated that as per FIR, there is no public witness in this FIR and it is mentioned that the crowd has committed an offence against the person. There is no name of any person in the FIR. Accused has been falsely implicated in this case and he is innocent and he is only bread earner of his family.

On the other hand, ld. Addl. PP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application and stated that accused was active member of gang of rioters who looted and destroyed the public property and is involved in very serious offences.

Accused is charged with very serious offences and investigation is still at initial stage and there is apprehension that accused may influence the witnesses, hence, no ground for interim bail is made out.

The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 477/19  
PS: Bhajanpura  
S/v Mohsin @ Vicky  
U/s 302/341/120B/34 IPC & 27 Arms Act

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

None for IO.

Sh. Iqrar Siddiqui, Adv for accused.


(mannumeenu4@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

IO has not filed any reply and not sent any reply through e-mail.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to call for reply from IO through e-mail and to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for NDOH.

Be fixed for reply and arguments for 02.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 92/20

PS: Dayalpur

S/v Tanveer Malik

U/s 147/148/149/307/436/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/SI Kuldeep Singh and SI Manoj Kumar in person.  
Sh. Javed Akhtar, Adv for accused.  
(javedakhtar64@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard. Bail application and reply perused.

This is first bail application for regular bail of accused Sh Tanveer Malik.

The counsel for accused has stated that accused has been falsely implicated in this case and has not been named in the present FIR and accused himself student of law.

On the other hand, ld. APP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application and stated that accused was part of mob who were involved in riots, stone pelting and firing of bullets due to which one person was injured and accused alongwith other co-accused persons have been charged with serious offence u/s. 307 IPC besides other sections, in this case.

Bail application, FIR and reply of IO perused. Accused is charged with serious offence of attempt to murder and investigation is still under process, therefore, no ground for regular bail is made out.

The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly. Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 91/20  
PS: Dayalpur  
S/v Tanveer Malik  
U/s 147/148/149/307/436/34 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/SI Kuldeep Singh and SI Manoj Kumar in person.  
Sh. Javed Akhtar, Adv for accused.  
(javedakhtar64@gmail.com)  
ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

Submissions heard. Bail application and reply perused.


This is first bail application for regular bail of accused Sh Tanveer Malik.

The counsel for accused has stated that accused has been falsely implicated in this case and has not been named in the present FIR and accused himself student of law.

On the other hand, ld. APP and IO have strongly opposed the bail application and stated that accused was part of mob who were involved in riots, stone pelting and firing of bullets due to which one person was injured and accused alongwith other co-accused persons have been charged with serious offence u/s. 307 IPC besides other sections, in this case.

Bail application, FIR and reply of IO perused. Accused is charged with serious offence of attempt to murder and investigation is still under process, therefore, no ground for regular bail is made out. The bail application is dismissed and disposed off accordingly.

Soft copy of the order be uploaded to the internet today itself.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)  
ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI  
30.05.2020

**(Court hearing through Video Conferencing)**

FIR No. 70/20

PS: Bh.Pura

S/v Prakash Chand Savita @ Neetu

U/s 147/148/149/302/307/396/436/455/201 r/w 120B IPC

30.05.2020

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmed, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

SI Umesh for IO in person.

(umeshdpsi@gmail.com)

Sh. Chandan Mishra, Adv for accused.

(chandanmishraadvocate@gmail.com)

ASI Jitender Malik, Naib Court on duty.

This is bail application u/s 439 CrPC of accused.

Reply of IO filed. Perused.

**Notice be also sent to Jail Supt. for report regarding medical condition of the accused.**

The IO has sent request for some other date of hearing as he is held up in some other urgent matter.

Copy of bail application supplied to SI Umesh for IO.

Officials of Bail Section are directed to send link for meeting on-line to all concerned parties through their respective e-mail IDs for webex online meeting for 11.06.2020.

Be fixed for report and arguments on 11.06.2020.

  
(TYAGITA SINGH)

ASJ on Duty / NE / KKD / DELHI

30.05.2020