

State Vs. Sekh Sardul Zara Khan

FIR No. 181/20

U/s 376/506 IPC

PS: Gandhi Nagar

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : Ld. APP for the State is not being able to join the link through video conferencing.

Sh. D K Singh, ld. counsel for applicant/accused.

IO/SI Juli Goswami is present.

Reply to the bail application is filed by the IO. IO is directed to produce the prosecutrix through video conferencing on the date fixed at 11.00 AM.

Put up on 02.06.2020 for further consideration.

Order be given dasti.

(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi

30.05.2020.

State Vs. Shanti
FIR No. 571/18
U/s 302/120-B/34 IPC
PS: Kalyan Puri

30.05.2020


Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 11.30 AM.


Reply to the bail application is filed.

Medical report of accused has not been filed, in terms of order, dated 26.05.2020. Let medical report of accused be called from concerned jail authorities, for further consideration, 01.06.2020.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

At this stage, at 11.40 AM, Sh. Dinesh Kumar, ld. counsel for applicant/accused appears and he is apprised about the order passed today and next date of hearing.


(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Ravi Kumar
FIR No. 384/19
U/s 395/397/412/120-B/34 IPC r/w Section 25/27 Arms Act
PS: Mandawali

30.05.2020


Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 11.20 AM.

Reply to the bail application is not being filed, by the IO, despite order, dated 26.05.2020. Let the report be called from the IO/SHO concerned and notice be issued to the Nodal Officer, SI Govind Rawat, Mobile No.9899833601, also DCP concerned for non-filing of the reply.

Put up on 02.06.2020 for further consideration, in the matter. IO shall also be present.


Order be given dasti.



(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

At this stage, at 2.10 PM Ct Harbir Singh has filed reply to the bail application, therefore, notice/(s) need not be issued. Sh. Siddhartha Singh, Id. counsel for applicant/accused has also filed an application for amendment in the bail application. List the matter for consideration on date fixed.



(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Vinod Kumar @ Chhotu
FIR No. 2288/14
U/s 302/120-B/34 IPC & 25/27 Arms Act
PS: Shakarpur

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.


Present : Ld. APP for the State is not being able to join the link through video conferencing.

Sh. Anurag Singh and Sh. Anand Kataria, ld.
counsels for applicant/accused.

It is already 11.25 AM and video conferencing is not possible.

Let a certificate of good conduct of accused be called from concerned jail authorities, for further consideration, in the matter, for 01.06.2020.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Muzaffar
FIR No. 126/17
U/s 328/379/34 IPC
PS: Shakarpur

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 11.25 AM.

Reply to the application is not being filed. Let IO to file reply to the application, for further consideration, on 02.06.2020.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Rihan @ Owaisi @ Abbu Don

FIR No. 276/18

U/s 304/308/341/34 IPC

PS: Mayur Vihar

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : Ld. APP for the State is not being able to join the link through video conferencing.

Sh. S K Singh, ld. counsel for applicant/accused.

It is already 11.25 AM and video conferencing is not possible.

Reply to the bail application is not being filed by the IO. Sh. Singh, submits that previous involvement of the accused be called. Let a report be called from IO/SHO concerned, in the matter, also previous involvement of the accused be also called from the concerned PS, for 02.06.2020.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi

30.05.2020.

State Vs. Kayyum @ Kayam

FIR No. 142/17

U/s 302 IPC

PS: New Ashok Nagar

30.05.2020


Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 11.45 AM.

Reply to the application for grant of interim bail is not being filed by the IO, despite orders, dated 23.05.2020 & 27.05.2020. Let the report be called from the IO/SHO concerned and notice be issued to the Nodal Officer, SI Govind Rawat, Mobile No.9899833601, also DCP concerned for non-filing of the reply.

Put up on 04.06.2020 for further consideration, in the matter. IO shall also be present.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi

30.05.2020.

State Vs. Ankit
FIR No. 71/20
U/s 302/201/120-B/34 IPC
PS: New Ashok Nagar

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.


Present : Ld. APP for the State is not being able to join the link through video conferencing.

Ms. Palak Munjal, Advocate telephonically requested that main counsel Sh. Rajpal Kasana is residing at Noida, Uttar Pradesh and he could not come to the court. She further submits that video conferencing is not possible.

Reply to the bail application is not being filed by the IO, despite order, dated 27.05.2020. Let the report be called from the IO/SHO concerned and notice be issued to the Nodal Officer, SI Govind Rawat, Mobile No.9899833601, also DCP concerned for non-filing of the reply.

Put up on 03.06.2020 for further consideration, in the matter. IO shall also be present.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Suman
FIR No. 71/20
U/s 302/365/201/120-B/34 IPC
PS: New Ashok Nagar

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.


Present : Ld. APP for the State is not being able to join the link through video conferencing.

Sh. Amit Kumar Bhati, proxy for Sh. R.S.Garia, Id. counsel for applicant/accused.

Reply to the bail application, moved U/s 439 Cr.P.C., is not being filed, by the IO, despite order, dated 27.05.2020. Let the report be called from the IO/SHO concerned and notice be issued to the Nodal Officer, SI Govind Rawat, Mobile No.9899833601, also DCP concerned for non-filing of the reply.

Put up on 01.06.2020 for further consideration, in the matter. IO shall also be present.

Order be given dasti



(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

Gr. green
copy.
Amit
by dktu P. 9963/08

State Vs. Sanjeev Gupta
FIR No. 208/20
U/s 376 IPC
PS: Ghazipur

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : Sh. Yadvender Singh, Ld. Additional PP for the
State through video conferencing at 12.10 PM.

Sh. Pratap Sahni, ld. counsel for applicant/accused.

Sh. Sahni, submits that when the application for grant of anticipatory bail U/s 438 Cr.P.C. was moved, he was not aware of the correct FIR number and the correct FIR number in this case, pertaining to PS Ghazipur, Delhi, U/s 376 IPC is 209/20 and not 208/20. Even, reply has been filed by the IO/PSI Priyanka (not present), mentioning therein FIR number 309/20 and not FIR number 208/20. He further submits that even he would file a written application, in this regard.

IO/PSI Priyanka is directed to produce the prosecutrix through video conferencing on 02.06.2020 at 12.00 PM.

Put up on 02.06.2020 for further consideration, in the matter. Order be given dasti.

(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Ravi Nagar
FIR No. 250/13
U/s 302/364//201/120-B/34 IPC
PS: Pandav Nagar

30.05.2020


Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : Ld. APP for the State is not being able to join the link through video conferencing.

Sh. Jitender Sethi, ld. counsel for applicant/accused through Video Conferencing.

Sh. Sethi, draws my attention towards the affidavit of Smt. Santos Nagar, i.e., mother of the applicant/accused and his further submission is that verification report of accused in respect of discharge in 2013 case, in FIR No.250/13, PS Pandav Nagar, Delhi, U/Ss. 302/364/201/34 IPC, also his good conduct report from the jail authorities and his period of custody be called for. Accordingly, IO to verify and report whether accused has been discharged or not in 2013 case. The good conduct report of accused and his period of custody be also called from jail authorities, for 02.06.2020.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. 202/17
FIR No. Anil Kumar Sharma
U/s 420/409/406/120-B IPC
PS: EOW

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.


Present : Ld. APP for the State is not being able to join the link through video conferencing.

Sh. Manoj Singh, ld. counsel for applicant/accused.

Reply is filed by IO.

Sh. Singh, submits that he has already uploaded two relevant orders on email of the District Court, dated 29.05.2020, passed in FIR No.113/2018 and FIR No.110/18, by the Ld. Court of Ms. Mona Tardi Kerketta, CMM, District Shahdara, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, however, those orders have not been annexed with the present application physically. The concerned official of Facilitation Centre, Karkardooma is directed to immediately place those orders on record. At request of Sh. Singh, the matter be put up on 01.06.2020 for further consideration.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Dheeraj Kumar
FIR No. 358/16
U/s 302/34 IPC & 25/27 Arms Act & 3/181, 39/192 M.V.Act
PS: Geeta Colony

30.05.2020


Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : Ld. APP for the State is not being able to join the link through video conferencing.

Sh. Shekhar Tyagi, ld. counsel for applicant/
accused.

Reply to the interim bail application is filed. Let a good conduct report of accused be called from concerned jail authorities, for further consideration, on 02.06.2020.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Raj @ Goli
FIR No. 151/18
U/s 392/397/411/120-B/34 IPC
PS: Shakarpur

30.05.2020


Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 12.20 PM.

Reply to the bail application is filed.

Put up on 03.06.2020 for consideration, in the matter.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Avnish @ Kalu
FIR No. 294/16
U/s 363/364/365/302/201/34 IPC
PS: Krishna Nagar

30.05.2020


Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 12.05 PM.

Reply to the interim bail application is not being filed, by the IO, despite order, dated 28.05.2020. Let the report be called from the IO/SHO concerned and notice be issued to the Nodal Officer, SI Govind Rawat, Mobile No.9899833601 for non-filing of the reply.

Put up on 03.06.2020 for report/further consideration, in the matter. IO shall also be present.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Sandeep Kumar @ Johnny
FIR No. 375/18
U/s 302/307/365/395/396/397/482/120-B IPC r/w Section 25/27 Arms
Act
PS: Mayur Vihar


30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.


Present : Sh. Shekhar Tyagi, ld. counsel for applicant/
accused.

This is an application for grant of interim bail, moved U/s 439 Cr.P.C., on behalf of applicant/accused Sandeep Kumar @ Johnny. Let a report be called from IO/SHO concerned, for further consideration, in the matter, for 02.06.2020.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

At this stage, at 1.00 PM SI Vishvendra Singh, has filed reply to the interim bail application. Be put up on date fixed.


(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Rakesh Saroha

FIR No. 62/20

U/s 323/506/452/34 IPC

PS: Gandhi Nagar

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 12.20 PM.

This is an application for grant of bail, moved U/s 439 Cr.P.C., on behalf of applicant/accused Rakesh Saroha. Let a report be called from IO/SHO concerned, for further consideration, in the matter, for 04.06.2020.

Order be given dasti.



(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi

30.05.2020.

State Vs. Anand Prakash Dabriyal

FIR No. 80/20

U/s 498-A/406/34 IPC

PS: New Ashok Nagar

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 12.25 PM.

This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail, moved U/s 438 Cr.P.C., on behalf of applicant/accused Anand Prakash Dabriyal. Let a report be called from IO/SHO concerned, for further consideration, in the matter, for 02.06.2020. IO shall also be present.

Order be given das1.7

(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi

30.05.2020.

State Vs. Harsha Devi
FIR No. 80/20
U/s 498-A/406/34 IPC
PS: New Ashok Nagar


30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 12.25 PM.

This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail, moved U/s 438 Cr.P.C., on behalf of applicant/accused Harsha Devi. Let a report be called from IO/SHO concerned, for further consideration, in the matter, for 02.06.2020. IO shall also be present.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi

30.05.2020.

State Vs. Pooja
FIR No. 80/20
U/s 498-A/406/34 IPC
PS: New Ashok Nagar


30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 12.25 PM.

This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail, moved U/s 438 Cr.P.C., on behalf of applicant/accused Pooja. Let a report be called from IO/SHO concerned, for further consideration, in the matter, for 02.06.2020. IO shall also be present.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Rani @ Laxmi
FIR No. 80/20
U/s 498-A/406/34 IPC
PS: New Ashok Nagar

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 12.25 PM.

This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail, moved U/s 438 Cr.P.C., on behalf of applicant/accused Rani @ Laxmi. Let a report be called from IO/SHO concerned, for further consideration, in the matter, for 02.06.2020. IO shall also be present.

Order be given dasti.

(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Partik Kannoujiya
FIR No. 264/20
U/s 376 IPC
PS: Laxmi Nagar

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.


Present : Ld. APP for the State is not being able to join the link through video conferencing.

Sh. Deepak Ghai, ld. counsel for applicant/accused.

Sh. Ghai wants sometime to file some other printouts on record and seeks time. Video conferencing is not possible.

This is an application for grant of bail, moved U/s 439 Cr.P.C., on behalf of applicant/accused Partik Kannoujiya. Let a report be called from IO/SHO/DCP concerned, for further consideration, in the matter, for 01.06.2020. Prosecutrix be also produced by the IO through video conferencing on the next date. IO shall also be present.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Rajesh Kumar @ Master Ji @ Rajesh Kumar Rawat

FIR No. 2288/2014

U/s 302/120-B/34 IPC r/w Section 25/54/59 Arms Act

PS: Shakarpur


30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 12.25 PM.

This is application for grant of interim bail, moved U/s 439 Cr.P.C., on behalf of applicant/accused Rajesh Kumar @ Master Ji @ Rajesh Kumar Rawat. Let a report be called from IO/SHO concerned, for further consideration, in the matter, for 05.06.2020. IO shall also be present.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi

30.05.2020.

State Vs. Hemant
FIR No. 33854/2019
U/s 379/392/397/34 IPC
PS: New Ashok Nagar


30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 12.25 PM.

This is second application for grant of bail, moved U/s 439 Cr.P.C., on behalf of applicant/accused Hemant. Let a report be called from IO/SHO concerned, for further consideration, in the matter, for 01.06.2020.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Ripon Roy
FIR No. 440/18
U/s 308/323/34 IPC
PS: New Ashok Nagar

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 12.25 PM.

This is an application for grant of bail, moved U/s 439 Cr.P.C., on behalf of applicant/accused Ripon Roy. Let a report be called from IO/SHO concerned, for further consideration, in the matter, for 05.06.2020.

Order be given dasti.



(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Ghanshyam
FIR No. 313/13
U/s 302/201/120-B/34 IPC
PS: Mandawali

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : Ld. APP for the State is not being able to join the link through video conferencing.

Sh. Mukesh Sharma, ld. counsel for applicant/
accused.

Sh. Sharma, submits that good conduct report of accused be called from jail authorities. Let the report regarding good conduct report of accused be called from concerned jail authorities, for 02.06.2020.

Order be given dasti.

At this stage, reply to the interim bail application is filed by IO.


(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Waseem Akram @ Lambu

FIR No. 383/19

U/s 186/353/307/34 IPC

PS: Pandav Nagar

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 12.30 PM.

This is an application for grant of interim bail, moved on behalf of applicant/accused Wasim Akram @ Lambu. Let a report be called from IO/SHO concerned, for further consideration, in the matter, for 05.06.2020.

Order be given dasti.



(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi

30.05.2020.

Received
geth copy
by
rekor
D/3946/2016
30-5-20

State Vs. Neeraj
FIR No. 89/19
U/s 392/397/411/34 IPC
PS: Pandav Nagar

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.


Present : Ld. APP for the State is not being able to join the link through video conferencing.

Sh. Naveen Singla, ld. counsel for applicant/
accused.

Reply to the interim bail application is not being filed, by the IO, despite order, dated 29.05.2020. Let the report be called from the IO/SHO concerned and notice be issued to the Nodal Officer, SI Govind Rawat, Mobile No.9899833601, also DCP concerned for non-filing of the reply.

Put up on 01.06.2020 for further consideration, in the matter. IO shall also be present.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Karan
FIR No. 32/20
U/s 392/397/411/34 IPC
PS: New Ashok Nagar

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.


Present : Ld. APP for the State is not being able to join the link through video conferencing.

Sh. Naveen Singla, ld. counsel for applicant/
accused.

Reply to the interim bail application is not being filed, by the IO, despite orders, dated 28.05.2020 & 29.05.2020. Let the report be called from the IO/SHO concerned and notice be issued to the Nodal Officer, SI Govind Rawat, Mobile No.9899833601, also DCP concerned for non-filing of the reply.

Put up on 01.06.2020 for further consideration, in the matter. IO shall also be present.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Ansh Bhandari
FIR No. 113/20
U/s 393/436/452/34 IPC r/w Section 27/54/59 Arms Act
PS: Krishna Nagar

30.05.2020


At 3.30 PM, this Court has received a telephonic call from the side of Sh. S.K.Gandhi, Advocate/Id. Counsel for applicant/accused pleading for preponement of date of hearing on the grounds that vide order, dated 16.05.2020, the applicant/accused Sh. Ansh Bhandari was granted interim bail for a period of 10 days from the date of his release on furnishing SB/PB in the sum of Rs.10,000/-, to the satisfaction of Court concerned and his slot for video conferencing was reserved at 3.10 PM to 3.20 PM but neither he received any link to join a meeting nor he was informed that the application shall be heard physically and therefore if the date is not preponed, the accused shall have to surrender on the expiry of period of 10 days from the date of his release, i.e., 21.05.2020 and under such circumstances, order may kindly be made so that he is not made to surrender on 31.05.2020.

Since video conferencing was not possible due to poor Internet network and none had appeared for the accused on repeated calls at 12.25 PM, as such, it is hereby ordered that the accused need not surrender on 31.05.2020 and his application for grant of extension of interim bail shall be considered on 01.06.2020 and accordingly, further orders shall be made in this regard, after hearing his application, regarding further extension



of interim bail, in the matter.

Put up on 01.06.2020. A copy of this order be sent to the Superintendent Jail concerned and also to the IO/SJO concerned for further consideration, in the matter, on 01.06.2020. IO shall also be present in person and shall also ensure the presence of Id. counsel for the complainant Sh. Pradeep Teotia through video conferencing. Earlier date stands cancelled.



(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Mohd. Dulare
FIR No. 36/20
U/s PIA
PS: 392/34 IPC

30.05.2020


Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 11.45 AM.


Reply to the interim bail application is not being filed, by the IO, despite orders, dated 23.05.2020 & 27.05.2020. Let the report be called from the IO/SHO concerned and notice be issued to the Nodal Officer, SI Govind Rawat, Mobile No.9899833601, also DCP concerned for non-filing of the reply.

Put up on 01.06.2020 for further consideration, in the matter. IO shall also be present.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

At this stage, reply to the bail application is filed, therefore, notice need not be issued. Put up on date fixed.


(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Prithviraj
FIR No. 413/19
U/s 354/354-B/323/34 IPC
PS: Krishna Nagar

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.


Present : Ld. APP for the State is not being able to join the link through video conferencing.

Sh. Amar Chauhan, proxy for Sh. Raman Sharma, ld. counsel for applicant/accused requested telephonically that main counsel Sh. Raman Sharma is not available today.

Reply to the anticipatory bail application, moved U/s 438 Cr.P.C., is not being filed, by the IO, despite orders, dated 21.05.2020, 23.05.2020 and 26.05.2020. Let the report be called from the IO/SHO concerned and notice be issued to the Nodal Officer, SI Govind Rawat, Mobile No.9899833601, also DCP concerned for non-filing of the reply.

Put up on 04.06.2020 for further consideration, in the matter. IO shall also be present.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

At this stage, at 1.00 PM, Ms. Priyan Chauhan, prosecutrix appears and she is identified by the IO present SI Narender Pal.

She has noted down the date of hearing and states that she would appear on 04.06.2020 during hearing of the bail application on that day. IO also files his report.

(Saratan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State Vs. Yash
FIR No. 108/19
U/s 307/34 IPC r/w Section 25/57 Arms Act
PS: Geeta Colony


30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : Ld. APP for the State is not being able to join the link through video conferencing.

Sh. D K Singh, ld. counsel for applicant/accused.


Reply to the bail application is not being filed by the IO, despite orders, dated 27.05.2020 & 29.05.2020. Let the report be called from the IO/SHO concerned and notice be issued to the Nodal Officer, SI Govind Rawat, Mobile No.9899833601, also DCP concerned for non-filing of the reply. Put up on 01.06.2020 for further consideration, in the matter. IO shall also be present. Order be given dasti.



(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

At this stage, at 11.30 AM, reply to the interim bail application is filed by SI Shashi Kant, therefore, notice need not be issued. However, a report regarding good conduct of the accused be called from Jail Authorities.



(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

MSN Garments Vs. Mohd. Kashif
CC No. 59478/2016
U/s 138 NI Act
PS: Krishna Nagar

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : Ld. APP for the State is not being able to join the link through video conferencing.


Sh. A S Khan, ld. counsel for applicant/convict.

It is already 11.00 AM and video conferencing is not yet linked and concerned personnel from the Computer Branch is directed to initiate login as early as possible. It is submitted by Sh. Khan that vide order, dated 01.05.2020, the accused Sh. Mohd. Kashif was granted interim bail for 30 days from the release of the applicant subject to furnishing PB/SB etc. to the satisfaction of the concerned Court with the condition that if after the lapse of 30 days from today, i.e., 01.05.2020, the applicant does not surrender before the Court / Jail Authorities, the entire amount of the bail bond and surety bond was recoverable alongwith the convict/applicant facing the other legal consequences for not abiding by the terms and conditions, on which the applicant was admitted to interim bail. Sh. Khan, submits that convict/applicant has already been released from the jail on 01.05.2020 and appeal is not yet filed due to filing not being allowed during the period of lockdown. Under the

circumstances, the interim bail granted to the convict/applicant is further extended for a further period of 15 days, i.e., upto 15.06.2020 on the old PB/SB etc., which shall hold valid upto 15.06.2020 on the all conditions attending thereto.

Put up on 15.06.2020.

Order be given dasti.


(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

Copy -
Revised
As per Sanatan Prasad
30/05/20.
EN D-1123/98.

State Vs. Niranjana
FIR No. 56/20
U/s 307/120-B IPC & 27 Arms Act
PS: Mandawali

30.05.2020

Pursuant to Order No.3105-3125/Judl. Br. East/KKD/Delhi, dated 15.04.2020, present application has been assigned to the undersigned.

Present : None on call at 10.30 AM.


Sh. U.A. Khan, ld. counsel for applicant/accused telephonically requested that he cannot come today and further submits that earlier on two occasions, the matter was listed for filing reply to the bail application by the IO, however, reply could not be filed. Let the report be called from the IO/SHO concerned and notice be issued to the Nodal Officer, SI Govind Rawat, Mobile No.9899833601, also DCP concerned for non-filing of the reply. Put up on 02.06.2020 for reply/further consideration, in the matter. IO shall also be present. Order be given dasti.



(Sanatan Prasad)

Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

At this stage, at 1.10 PM, SI Santosh Kumar Yadav files reply to the bail application, therefore, notices need not be issued. Put up on date fixed.



(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District & Sessions Judge
(East)/Karkardooma Courts/Delhi
30.05.2020.

State vs. Devangana Kalita

FIR No. 50/2020

PS: Jafrabad

U/S: 147/148/148/186/353/283/332/323/307/427/120B/34/188 IPC, 25
Arms Act, 3/ 4 PDPP

30.05.2020

Present: None for the State.

Counsel for the accused/applicant.

An application for seeking ground of arrest of accused Devangana Kalita received through e-mail.

Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant has appeared in person without any notice and advanced arguments.

It is the case of the applicant that this court may direct the police to supply the grounds of arrest, remand applications and the order authorising the custody of the applicant u/s 167 Cr.P.C. She has relied upon the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case titled ***Youth Bar Association of India vs. Union of India, AIR 2016 SC 4136***; and of Hon'ble Kerala High Court in the case titled ***Sunny vs. State of Kerala, decided on 08.08.2011***.

Record perused.

The applicant has annexed the order of the Ld. Duty MM dt. 28.05.2020 and order of Ld. Jail MM dt. 28.05.2020. The copy of the present FIR is annexed with the present application. There is no provision of law which casts obligation upon the police/investigating Agency to supply documents, supply the remand application and the order authorising the remand before filing of the charge-sheet. Further, the question of illegality/irregularity in arrest of accused/applicant, have to be examined/contested before the Magistrate before whom the applicant/accused is produced after fresh arrest.

Perusal of order dt. 28.05.2020 also shows that the accused was represented by Legal Aid Counsel Sh. Sunder Lal on 28.05.2020 and the said order does not reflect that the grounds of arrest have been denied to the accused or the Legal Aid counsel despite request to this effect.

Contd....2/-

P. Nandk
30/5/2020


Not only this, the accused was produced after police custody of four days as per the application. There is no averment in the application that at the time of grant of the police custody, the accused has been denied the access to counsel or were not communicated to the grounds of arrest despite requests.

Also as per the order of the Ld. Jail Duty MM dt. 28.05.2020, the copy of the order passed on the same date while remanding the accused persons in custody, have already been provided.

The judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court relied by the counsel for the accused deals with the question of uploading of FIR and not with the question of supply of remand application or the orders authorising the custody. The FIR has been annexed alongwith the application itself and hence it is clear that the copy of the FIR has already been supplied to the accused/applicant. Also, the judgment of Hon'ble Kerala High Court on which Ld. Counsel has placed reliance, is not binding upon this court and is only applicable to the right to get certified copy of the remand report and casts no obligation upon the police to supply the same.

Even otherwise, the remand application moved by the Investigating Agency or the order authorising the custody of accused is not an incriminating material and hence it cannot be said that the accused has a fundamental right to get copy of the same from Investigation Agency. Hence, application is dismissed.

Copy of the order be sent to the Computer Branch for uploading the same on the website. Copy of the order be also sent to the A.O. (Judicial), who shall supply the same to the parties in terms of office order no. 2204-2221/D&SJ SHD/KKD/Delhi dated 20.04.2020 of the Ld. District & Sessions Judge, Shahdara District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi.


(Prayank Nayak)

Duty MM/Shahdara/KKD/Delhi
30.05.2020

State vs. Satya Prakash
FIR No. 0645/19
PS: Seemapuri
U/S: 25 Arms Act


30.05.2020

Present: None.

The present bail application has been received through e-mail.

Reply be called from concerned IO for **31.05.2020**.

Copy of the order be sent to the Computer Branch for uploading the same on the website. Copy of the order be also sent to the A.O. (Judicial), who shall supply the same to the parties in terms of office order no. 2204-2221/D&SJ SHD/KKD/Delhi dated 20.04.2020 of the Ld. District & Sessions Judge, Shahdara District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi.


(Prayank Nayak)
Duty MM/Shahdara/KKD/Delhi
30.05.2020

State vs. Pawan @ Suresh Singh
FIR No. 52/19
PS: Crime Branch
U/S: 25 Arms Act


30.05.2020

Present: None.

The present bail application has been received through e-mail.

Reply be called from concerned IO for **31.05.2020**.

Copy of the order be sent to the Computer Branch for uploading the same on the website. Copy of the order be also sent to the A.O. (Judicial), who shall supply the same to the parties in terms of office order no. 2204-2221/D&SJ SHD/KKD/Delhi dated 20.04.2020 of the Ld. District & Sessions Judge, Shahdara District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi.


(Prayank Nayak)
Duty MM/Shahdara/KKD/Delhi
30.05.2020

State vs. Unknown
E-FIR No.010128/2020
PS: Jafrabad
U/S: 379 IPC

30.05.2020

Present: None.

An application has been moved on behalf of applicant to release the case property i.e. vehicle bearing no. DL-6SAY-0881 on superdari.

This court is of the view that the application can be decided henceforth without hearing any arguments, in view of the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Manjeet Singh vs State 214 (2014) DLT 646.

In view of above-mentioned, the case property i.e. vehicle bearing no. DL-6SAY-0881 be released to the applicant/rightful owner after verification of his ownership and on preparing the panchnama in terms of judgment of Manjeet Singh vs State 214 (2014) DLT 646 for the purpose of secondary evidence and obtained the signatures of accused, if arrested. IO is directed to file objections if any.

All the formalities prescribed in judgement of Manjeet Singh vs. State be strictly complied with.

Panchnama alongwith photographs/CD as well as negative of the photographs be filed alongwith charge-sheet.

The application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be sent to the Computer Branch for uploading the same on the website. Copy of the order be also sent to the A.O. (Judicial), who shall supply the same to the parties in terms of office order no. 2204-2221/D&SJ SHD/KKD/Delhi dated 20.04.2020 of the Ld. District & Sessions Judge, Shahdara District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi.

(Prayank Nayak)
Duty MM/Shahdara/KKD/Delhi
30.05.2020