

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Thursday, the 16th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 178/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000237-2024)

Raja Murugan, S/o. Hariram

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,
Nesamony Nagar Police Station,
Crime No. NIL

Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by the petitioner praying to grant anticipatory bail to him.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner appeared in person and submitted that he is a practicing Advocate and on 17.02.2024 the Nesamony Nagar Police remanded the petitioner in Crime No.15/2024 under POCSO Act and ITPA Act and he was in District Jail, Nagercoil and Central Jail, Palayamkottai for almost 70 days, though bail was granted in 35 days through his own written bail petition and was not able to arrange

sureties being in jail and the police did not inform and the police had also planned to put the petitioner on Goondas during the time in jail and the Village Administrative Officer had gone to his native place to check the family status and informed the petitioner's father that the petitioner is in jail and the police will try to file another false case against the petitioner. It is believed that the police is taking money from the petitioner's former wife in Madurai, she got divorced, but is taking revenge on petitioner by paying money to police for filing false case and Goondas Act and extractfr money from him. The petitioner has only one case of Judicial Magistrate Court in CC No.1034/2023 and the petitioner apprehend that he may be arrested by the respondent police at any time and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that already the petitioner was arrested in crime No.15/2024 of Nesamony Nagar Police Station registered under POCSO Act and now no petition is pending before the respondent police and the police is not searching him and this petition is not maintainable.

Considering the argument of the learned Public Prosecutor that no petition is pending before the respondent police, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 16th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

