In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present: Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.

Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,

Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

Crl.M.P. No. 30/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000111-2024)

Sandhu, S/o. Rajendran (A1)

.. Petitioner

 $/V_{S}$./

Sub Inspector of Police,

Eraniel Police Station,

Crime No. 164/2024 of Eraniel Police Station,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru M. Beslin Jagadheese, u/s 438 Cr.P.C., praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that on 23.04.2024 at about 10.15 A.M., while the informant and her family members were with their house, the accused abused the defacto complainant and her family members in filthy language, assaulted the defacto complainant and threw stone towards the informant's house, caused

injuries and also criminally intimidated them. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the defacto complainant and the petitioner are neighbours and on 23.04.2024, this petitioner and two others assaulted the husband of the defacto complainant with hand and then they pelted stone at the defacto complainant and she sustained injury on her forehead and the injured have been discharged from the hospital and the investigation of the case is not yet over.

Considered the objection of the learned Public Prosecutor. Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the fact that the injured were discharged from the hospital, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused with conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on his appearing before the court concerned the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. The petitioner shall appear before the court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
- 2. After release, the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

- 3. The petitioner shall also make himself available before the respondent as and when required.
- 4. The petitioner shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
- 5. The petitioner shall not leave the jurisdictional police limit without prior permission.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in P.K.Shaji/Vs./State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To

The Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel. (through e-mode)

The Sub Inspector of Police, Eraniel Police Station. (through court cell e-mode)

The counsel for the petitioner.