

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 3/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000017-2024)

Nithin S/o. Sahayam

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,

Anjugramam Police Station,

Crime No. 92/2024 of Anjugramam Police Station,

Rep. by P.P. Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru G. Geon Jenish u/s 439 Cr.P.C.
praying to grant bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
294(b), 307 and 506(ii) of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that on 21.03.2024 at about 11.00 P.M.,
the accused used filthy language against the defacto complainant and poured petrol
on him and caused injuries on his chest, abdomen, right hand, left upper hand, right

thigh, right knee and neck and also criminally intimidated him. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged occurrence and this case has been falsely foisted against the petitioner with an intention to harass him and the petitioner is in judicial custody from 22.03.2024 i.e. for the past 45 days and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that there is a previous enmity between the brother of this petitioner and one Elgin, W/o Kumar. So on 21.03.2024 this petitioner quarrelled with Elgin, which was questioned by the defacto complainant. So the petitioner came with petrol bomb to burn Elgin. This was also questioned by the defacto complainant. So the petitioner poured petrol all over the body of the defacto complainant and set fire to him and he sustained severe injury. This petitioner was arrested on 22.03.2024 and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the investigation of the case is over and the case has been charge sheeted and this petitioner has two previous cases and he has serious objection to grant bail to the petitioner and in the event of considering this application, stringent condition is to be imposed.

Considering the nature of the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/Accused and also considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioner/accused is in the judicial custody from 22.03.2024 i.e. for the past 47 days and also considering the argument of the

learned Public Prosecutor that the case has been charge sheeted, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused with conditions.

In the result, the petitioner/Accused is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.III, Nagercoil and after release the petitioner shall appear and sign before the Judicial Magistrate No.III, Nagercoil daily at 10.30 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is allowed.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.III, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)
The Inspector of Police, Anjugramam Police Station. (through court cell e-Mode)
The Superintendent, District Jail, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)
The counsel for the petitioner.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 4/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000001-2024)

G. Sijin,

S/o Gladiston @ Appukuttan

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,

Nithiravilai Police Station,

Crime No. 67/2024 of Nithiravilai Police Station,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor,

Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru N. Chellappan, u/s 439 Cr.P.C.
praying to grant bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
174 Cr.P.C., and 306 of IPC @ 306 of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that the marriage between the deceased
and the accused took place on 15.12.2014 and due to the wedlock, they had no
children. This accused is a drunkard and had illicit relationship with other ladies and

he used to quarrel with the deceased in drunken mood. On 20.03.2024 the sister of the accused informed the parents of the deceased that they found the deceased was unconscious and hence she was taken to hospital and there she declared dead. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that this petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the real fact is that the petitioner and the deceased were living happily, but due to the physical condition of the deceased, they had no children. So the deceased was depressed and she was consoled by the petitioner, but on the date of occurrence the petitioner who is a fisherman used to stay at Kerala for deep sea fishing, at that time she had committed suicide and the petitioner has been in judicial custody from 30.03.2024 and the earlier bail petition in CrI.M.P.No. 2155/2024 was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court, Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil on 25.04.2024 and the investigation of the case is almost over and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the petitioner and the deceased are husband and wife. They got married on 15.12.2014. They had no children. This petitioner used to abuse his wife as stated that "பிள்ளை பெறாத மலடி". Moreover, this petitioner had illicit relationship with other ladies also. This petitioner is a drunkard. On 20.03.2024 this petitioner abused his wife stating that மலடி and told her that if she has died, he can marry another lady and her pulled her hair. On the same day at 6.30 P.M. the petitioner returned to

home and found that the deceased has died by committing suicide by hanging herself. This petitioner was arrested on 30.03.2024. Originally the case was registered under 174 of Cr.P.C., and subsequently altered u/s 306 of IPC. This petitioner abetted her to commit suicide and after the commission of offence, the petitioner absconded and thereafter he was arrested by the police and the earlier bail application was dismissed on the ground that there is a possibility to alter the section of law, because eight injuries have been found in the postmortem report. Now the prosecution decided to laid charge sheet against the petitioner for the offence u/s 306 of IPC and he has serious objection to grant bail to the petitioner and either this petition may be dismissed or if this petition is allowed, stringent condition is to be imposed.

Considering the nature of the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/Accused and also considering the fact that the petitioner/accused is in the judicial custody for the past 39 days and by this time the major portion of the investigation would have been completed, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused with conditions.

In the result, the petitioner/Accused is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kuzhithurai and after release the petitioner shall appear and sign before the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kuzhithurai daily at 10.30 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is allowed.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation

of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To

The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kuzhithurai. (through e-Mode)

The Sub Inspector of Police, Nithiravilai Police Station. (through court cell e-Mode)

The Superintendent, District Jail, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)

The counsel for the petitioner.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 8/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000074-2024)

S. Vijay, S/o. Swamidhas (A4)

..Petitioner

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,
Colachel Police Station,
Crime No. 370/2020 of Colachel Police Station,
Rep. by P.P. Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru R. Augustin, u/s 439 Cr.P.C.,
praying to grant bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
147, 148, 447, 294(b), 323, 324, 307 and 506(ii) of IPC @ 447, 294(b), 324, 323,
307, 506(ii), 326 and 109 of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that the unknown persons unlawfully
entered into the house of the informant, abused him in filthy words, assaulted him,
caused injuries and also criminally intimidated him. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he is the son of the defacto complainant and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name is not found in the FIR and he has been falsely implicated in this case and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioner has been in judicial custody from 24.04.2024 and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the defacto complainant and A2 are husband and wife and they are living separately. On 12.09.2020 this petitioner and other accused trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and assaulted him with stick and beer bottle and this petitioner was arrested on 24.04.2024 and he has one previous case under NDPS Act and other accused are still absconding and the investigation is not yet over and he has serious objection to grant bail to the petitioner and the petition may be dismissed.

Considering the nature of the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the fact that the petitioner/accused has been in judicial custody for the past 14 days and the occurrence was happened on 12.09.2020 and by this time the major portion of the investigation would have been completed, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused with conditions.

In the result, the petitioner/Accused is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel and after release the petitioner shall

appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is allowed.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel. (through e-Mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Colachel Police Station. (through court cell e-Mode)
The Superintendent, District Jail, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)
The counsel for the petitioner.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

Crl.M.P. No. 10/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000009 -2024)

Stephen, S/o. Maria Nayagam

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,

Eraniel Police Station,

Crime No. 144/2024 of Eraniel Police Station,

Rep. By Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

... Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru A. Venkatesh, praying to relax the condition imposed on the petitioner previously.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

Offence u/s 379 of IPC.

The petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by Principal Sessions court, Nagercoil on 23.04.2024 in Crl.M.P.No.2260/2024 with condition that the petitioner should appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition regularly from 26.04.2024 and prayed that the condition may be relaxed.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition from 27.04.2024 and the investigation of the case is not yet over.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the duration of compliance of condition and the stage of investigation, this court is inclined to modify the condition.

In the result, condition is modified that the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police weekly once i.e. on every Monday at 10.00 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is partly allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Nagercoil.

To
The Judicial Magistrate Court, Eraniel (through e-Mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Eraniel Police Station (through court cell e-Mode)

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge.
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No.11/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000010 -2024)

Agnithurai @ Akindurai S/o. Vettivel (A4) ... Petitioner

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,
Eathamozhi Police Station,
Crime No.33/2024 of Eathamozhi Police Station,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil. ... Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru S. Anto Cletus Raj, praying to relax the condition imposed on the petitioner previously.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the Petition.

Offence alleged u/s 147, 148, 294(b), 307, 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC.

The petitioner was granted bail by the Principal Sessions Court on 03.04.2024 in CrI.M.P. No.1875/2024 with condition that the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has

been complying the condition regularly from 06.04.2024 and the petitioner is college going student and prayed that the condition may be relaxed.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition from 06.04.2024 and the investigation is not yet over.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the duration of compliance of condition and the stage of investigation, this court is inclined to modify the condition.

In the result, condition is modified that the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police weekly once i.e. on every Monday at 10.30 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is partly allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Nagercoil

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.III, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Eathamozhi Police Station. (through court cell e-Mode)

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge.
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No.12/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000007 -2024)

Aswin @ Ashwin S/o. Jaya @ Jayaraj Alexander (A1) ... Petitioner

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,
Karungal Police Station,
Crime No.41/2024 of Karungal Police Station,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil. ... Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru T. Balamurali, praying to relax the condition imposed on the petitioner previously.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the Petition.

Offence alleged u/s 147, 341, 342, 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC.

The petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court on 20.02.2024 in CrI.M.P. No.1111/2024 with condition that the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders. Subsequently, as per order in CrI.M.P. No.1702/2024, dated 19.03.2024 the

condition was modified that the petitioner should appear and sign before the respondent police weekly once i.e. on every Monday at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been complying the modified condition regularly from 25.03.2024 and the petitioner is a poor coolie and due to the said condition, he is unable to do his daily works and prayed that the condition may be relaxed.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition from 25.03.2024.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the duration of compliance of condition, this court is inclined to relax the condition.

In the result, condition is totally relaxed and accordingly this petition is allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Nagercoil

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel. (through e-Mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Karungal Police Station. (through court cell e-Mode)

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge.
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No.13/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000013 -2024)

Askar Ali @ Mohammed Askar (A8)

S/o. Seyad Mohammed

... Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,

Kottar Police Station,

Crime No.368/2023 of Kottar Police Station,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

... Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru S. Suresh Kumar, praying to relax the condition imposed on the petitioner previously.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the Petition.

Offence alleged u/s Man Missing @ u/s 147, 148 and 302 IPC @ 147, 148, 342, 364, 201 and 302 of IPC.

The petitioner was granted bail by the Principal Sessions Court on 02.03.2024 in CrI.M.P. No.1397/2024 with condition that the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition regularly from 05.04.2024 and the case has been charge sheeted and taken on file as PRC No.19/2024 pending before the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Nagercoil and prayed that the condition may be relaxed.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition from 05.04.2024 and the case has been charge sheeted.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the fact that the case has been charge sheeted and the duration of compliance of condition, this court is inclined to relax the condition.

In the result, condition is totally relaxed and accordingly this petition is allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Nagercoil

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Kottar Police Station. (through court cell e-Mode)

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 14/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000006-2024)

Mahesh, S/o. Nellyyappan (A4)

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,

Karungal Police Station,

Crime No. 2/2024 of Karungal Police Station,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

... Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru A. Maria Stephen, praying to relax the condition imposed on the petitioner previously.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

Offence u/s 147, 294(b), 302, 323, 324, 341 and 506(ii) of IPC.

The petitioner was granted bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil on 16.03.2024 in CrI.M.P.No.1626/2024 with condition that the petitioner should appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition regularly from 19.03.2024 and the case has been

charge sheeted and taken on file as SC 159/2024 and posted on 03.06.2024 for further proceedings before the Principal Sessions Court, Nagercoil and prayed that the condition may be relaxed.

The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition from 20.03.2024 and the case has been charge sheeted.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the fact that the case has been charge sheeted, this court is inclined to relax the condition.

In the result, condition is totally relaxed and accordingly this petition is allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Nagercoil.

To
The Inspector of Police, Karungal Police Station. (through court cell e-Mode)

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

Crl.M.P. No. 15/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000011-2024)

Nadarajan, S/o. Ponnupillai (A4)

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,

Kaliyakkavilai Police Station,

Crime No. 13/2024 of Kaliyakkavilai Police Station,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

... Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru A. Venkadesh, praying to relax the condition imposed on the petitioner previously.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

Offence u/s 379 of IPC and Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals

(Development and Regulation) Act, 1957.

The petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil on 29.01.2024 in Crl.M.P.No.323/2024 with condition that the petitioner should appear and sign before the respondent police

daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders and subsequently the condition was modified on 28.02.2024 in CrI.M.P.No.1083/2024 with condition that the petitioner should appear and sign before the respondent police weekly once i.e on every Monday at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition regularly from 04.03.2024 and prayed that the condition may be relaxed.

The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition from 04.03.2024.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the duration of compliance of condition, this court is inclined to relax the condition.

In the result, condition is totally relaxed and accordingly this petition is allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Nagercoil.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kuzhithurai. (through e-Mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Kaliyakkavilai Police Station.
(through court cell e-Mode)

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.
Crl.M.P. No. 16/2024
(CNR.No.TNKK00-000012 -2024)

Selvakumar @ Anish, S/o. Ayyappan (A5) .. Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,

Kottar Police Station,

Crime No. 368/2023 of Kottar Police Station,

Rep. By Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

... Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru S. Suresh Kumar, praying to relax the condition imposed on the petitioner previously.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

Offence u/s Man Missing & @ u/s 147, 148, 302 of IPC & @ as 147,
148, 342, 364, 201, 302 of IPC.

The petitioner was granted bail by Principal Sessions court, Nagercoil on 14.03.2024 in Crl.M.P.No.1578/2024 with condition that the petitioner should appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders. Subsequently, the condition was modified on 22.04.2024 in Crl.M.P. No.2242/2024 with condition that the petitioner should appear and sign before the respondent police weekly once i.e. on every Monday at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been complying the modified condition regularly from 29.04.2024 and the case has been charge sheeted and taken on file as P.R.C.No.19/2024 pending before the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Nagercoil and the case is posted for committal on 08.05.2024 and prayed that the condition may be relaxed.

The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition from 29.04.2024 and the case has been charge sheeted.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the duration of compliance of condition and also considering the fact that the case has been charge sheeted, this court is inclined to relax the condition.

In the result, condition is totally relaxed and accordingly this petition is allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Nagercoil.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)
The Inspector of Police, Kottar Police Station. (through court cell e-Mode)

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

Crl.M.P. No. 17/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000025-2024)

1. M. Rajesh, S/o. Murugan (A1)

2. T. Murugan, S/o Thangappan (A2)

3. T. Krishnan, S/o Thangappan (A3)

.. Petitioners

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,

Kottar Police Station,

Crime No. 359/2023 of Kottar Police Station,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

... Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru C. Azhakesan, praying to relax the condition imposed on the petitioners previously.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

Offence u/s 447, 294(b), 323 and 506(i) of IPC.

The petitioners were granted anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil on 06.02.2024 in Crl.M.P.No.713/2024 with condition that the petitioners should appear and sign before the respondent police

daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders and subsequently the condition was modified on 11.03.2024 in CrI.M.P.No. 1520/2024 with condition that the petitioners should appear and sign before the respondent police weekly once i.e on every Monday at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been complying the condition regularly from 18.03.2024 and prayed that the condition may be relaxed.

The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioners have been complying the condition from 18.03.2024.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioners/accused and also considering the duration of compliance of condition and the stage of investigation, this court is inclined to relax the condition.

In the result, condition is totally relaxed and accordingly this petition is allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Nagercoil.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)
The Inspector of Police, Kottar Police Station.(through court cell e-Mode)

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 18/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000033-2024)

Arun Shaju, S/o Rajagopal

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,
Colachel Police Station,
Crime No. 133/2023 of Colachel Police Station,
Rep. by P.P. Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Legal Aid defense counsel Tmt R.M. Meenakshi,
u/s 439 Cr.P.C., praying to grant bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
294(b), 326, 307 and 394 of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that the husband of the informant is
working as a Supervisor in Tasmac shop at Colachel. The husband of the informant

prefer to take the money from the tasmac shop to his house daily and on the next day he deposit the money in the bank. On 08.07.2023 at 10.30 P.M. while the husband of the informant along with one Lalin Jio were returning home with money and while they came in front of the house of one Shaji, one unknown person with an intention to grab the money, uttered obscene words and cut the defacto complainant's husband's head with a sickle. The defacto complainant's husband tried to stop the accused and got injuries on his left elbow and again when he tried to stab, the defacto complainant's husband sustained injuries on his three right fingers and elbow. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the offences alleged against him and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the petitioner has been in judicial custody from 26.07.2023 and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the investigation of the case is over and the case has been charge sheeted and taken on file in SC No.422/2023 pending before the Assistant Sessions Court, Eraniel and already the petitioner has filed three bail petitions before the Principal Sessions Court Nagercoil in Crl.M.P.Nos. 4816/2023, 7179/2023 and 466/2024 and the same were dismissed on 12.09.2023, 02.01.2024 and 29.01.2024 respectively and already the petitioner has been detained under Goondas Act and now the Goondas proceedings has been set aside on 24.04.2024 and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the husband of the defacto complainant is working as a salesman in Tasmac shop. On 08.07.2023 at 10.30 P.M. the husband of the defacto complainant was returning his home with sale amount, this petitioner waylaid him and inflicted cut injury with aruval on his head and right elbow and attempted to snatch the amount. Then this petitioner was arrested on 25.07.2023 and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the investigation is not yet over. This petitioner is having 15 previous theft and robbery cases and he is a rowdy element and he is a threat to the society and he has been detained under Goondas Act under P.D.No.46/2023 dated 07.09.2023 and the Goondas Act against the petitioner has been confirmed by the Advisory Board and he has serious objection to grant bail to the petitioner and let him face trial from the prison and this petition may be dismissed.

Considering the nature of the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the fact that the petitioner/accused is in the judicial custody from 26.07.2023 and also considering the argument of the learned counsel for the petitioner/accused that the case has been charge sheeted and taken on file in SC No.422/2023 pending before the Assistant Sessions Court, Eraniel and Goondas proceedings initiated against the petitioner has been set aside on 24.04.2024 and the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused with conditions.

In the result, the petitioner/Accused is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to

the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel and after release the petitioner shall appear and sign before the Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel daily at 10.30 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To

The Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel.

The Assistant Sessions Judge, Eraniel. (through e-Mode)

The Inspector of Police, Colachel Police Station. (through court cell e-Mode)

The Superintendent, District Jail, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)

The counsel for the petitioner.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 19/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000059-2024)

Jegansingh, S/o Thangamohan

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,
Anjugramam Police Station,
Crime No. 110/2024 of Anjugramam Police Station
Rep. by P.P. Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru N. Shanmuga Satheesh, u/s 439 Cr.P.C., praying to grant bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s 294(b), 427, 323, 342 and 506(ii) of IPC @ 294(b), 323, 307 and 506(ii) of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that on 31.03.2024 at about 10.45 A.M., the accused persons went to the defacto complainant's hotel and asked three chicken 65 parcel. But chicken 65 was not available in the hotel. So the accused persons

abused the defacto complainant in filthy words and damaged the aluminum grill rod, and cool drinks bottle, assaulted the defacto complainant and another one person with broken bottle and also criminally intimidated them. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR and his name is not found in the FIR and the petitioner has been in judicial custody from 04.04.2024 and the earlier bail petition in CrI.M.P.No. 2162/2024 was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court, Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil on 23.04.2024 on the ground that the injured not yet discharged from the hospital and now the injured was discharged from the hospital and the investigation of the case is almost over and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that on 31.03.2024 at 10.45 P.M. this petitioner and two others went to a hotel run by the defacto complainant to purchase chicken 65, but chicken 65 was not available in the hotel. So there was a wordy altercation between the supplier and this petitioner and other accused. This petitioner and other accused damaged the cool drinks bottle and this petitioner and another one accused caught hold the supplier, A1 stabbed him with broken bottle on his stomach and he sustained severe injury and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the investigation of the case is not yet over and he has serious objection to grant bail to the petitioner and the petition may be dismissed.

Considering the nature of the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioner/accused is in custody for the past 34 days and by

this time the major portion of the investigation would have been completed, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused with conditions.

In the result, the petitioner/Accused is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.III, Nagercoil and after release the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is allowed.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.III, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)
The Inspector of Police, Anjugramam Police Station. (through court cell e-Mode)
The Superintendent, District Jail, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)
The counsel for the petitioner.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 20/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000014-2024)

Selvin Jeba Kumar S/o. Selvin Kesari

..Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,
Thiruvattar Police Station,
Crime No.61/2024 of Thiruvattar Police Station,
Rep. by P.P. Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru A. Venkatesh, u/s 439 Cr.P.C.,
praying to grant bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
294(b) and 302 of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that the deceased and the petitioner were
relatives and civil dispute is pending before the Civil Court and due to that enmity, on
19.02.2024 at about 2.30 P.M., when the deceased was on his way to home, the
petitioner used filthy words against him and attacked him with hammer on his nose

and due to the injury, he died. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged offence and due to civil dispute between them, this case has been registered against the petitioner and the investigation of the case is almost over and the petitioner is in judicial custody from 19.02.2024 and this is the 2nd application and the earlier application in CrI.M.P. No.2154/2024 was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court, Nagercoil on 17.04.2024 and now for the past 78 days, the petitioner is in judicial custody and the investigation is also over and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that this petitioner is nephew of the deceased and there is a property dispute between them and on 19.02.2024, this petitioner abused the deceased and assaulted him on his nose with hammer and so, he died on the spot and this petitioner was arrested on 20.02.2024 and the investigation of the case is over and the Public Prosecutor has given approval for the draft charge sheet and he has serious objected to grant bail to the petitioner and the petition may be dismissed.

Considering the nature of the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/Accused and also considering the fact that the petitioner is in the judicial custody from 19.02.2024 i.e for the past 79 days and also considering the argument of the learned Public Prosecutor that the investigation of the case is over, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused with conditions.

In the result, the petitioner/Accused is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Padmanabhapuram and after release the petitioner shall appear and sign before the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Padmanabhapuram daily at 10.30 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is allowed.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Padmanabhapuram. (through e-Mode)
The Inspector of Police, Thiruvattar Police Station. (through court cell e-Mode)
The Superintendent, District Jail, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)
The counsel for the petitioner.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 21/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000049-2024)

Sabari Girish @ Girish S/o. Sakthitharan

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,
Eraniel Police Station,
Crime No.96/2023 of Eraniel Police Station,
Rep. by P.P. Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru T. Sivakumar, u/s 439 Cr.P.C.,
praying to grant bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
294(b), 324, 506(2) of IPC @ 294(b), 324, 302, 506(2) of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that on 08.03.2024 at about 9.30 A.M.,
when the informant husband was came near by the petitioner/accused house, the
petitioner/accused used filthy words against him and assaulted him with stone and

criminally intimidated him and he was admitted in the hospital for treatment and subsequently he died on 16.03.2023. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the offences alleged against him and he has not committed any offence as alleged and he was arrested on 09.03.2024 and has been under judicial custody from that date onwards and this is the 2nd application and the earlier application in CrI.M.P. No.2161/2024 was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court, Nagercoil on 17.04.2024 and the investigation of the case is over and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the petitioner used to abuse the deceased and his wife and children and on 08.03.2024, the petitioner abused the deceased in filthy language, this was questioned by the deceased. So this petitioner assaulted the deceased on the occipital region on his head with stone and the case has been registered u/s 294(b), 324, 506(2) of IPC and this petitioner was arrested on 09.03.2024, but the injured succumbed to his injuries on 16.03.2024. So section of law was altered u/s 294(b), 324, 302, 506(2) of IPC and stone was recovered and the investigation is not yet over and he has serious objection to grant bail to the petitioner and the petition may be dismissed.

Considering the nature of the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/Accused and also considering the fact that the petitioner/accused is in the judicial custody from 09.03.2024 i.e. for the past 60 days and by this time, the

major portion of the investigation would have been completed, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused with conditions.

In the result, the petitioner/Accused is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel and after release the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is allowed.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel. (through e-Mode)
The Inspector of Police, Eraniel Police Station. (through court cell e-Mode)
The Superintendent, District Jail, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)
The counsel for the petitioner.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

Crl.M.P. No. 22/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000039-2024)

Safeek @ Satham, S/o.Gani @ Kani (A26)

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,

Kanyakumari Police Station,

Crime No. 156/2024 of Kanyakumari Police Station,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

... Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru R. Arjun Subash @ Mano, praying to relax the condition imposed on the petitioner previously.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

Offence u/s 147, 148, 294(b), 307, 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC and

Section 3(1) of TNPPDL Act and 4 of TNPHW Act.

The petitioner was granted bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil on 23.04.2024 in Crl.M.P.No.2263/2024 with condition that the petitioner should appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00

A.M. until further orders.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition regularly from 26.04.2024 and due to the condition, he could not do his daily works and prayed that the condition may be relaxed.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition only from 26.04.2024 and the investigation of the case is not yet over.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the duration of compliance of condition and the stage of investigation, this court is inclined to modify the condition.

In the result, condition is modified that the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police weekly once i.e on every Monday at 10.00 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is partly allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Nagercoil.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Nagercoil (through e-Mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Kanyakumari Police Station. (through court cell
e-Mode)

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

Crl.M.P. No. 24/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000050 -2024)

Aadhi Gopan @ Muthu Krishnan,

S/o. Gopakumaran Nair.

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,

Eraniel Police Station,

Crime No. 459/2023 of Eraniel Police Station,

Rep. By Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

... Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru A. Paul Raj, praying to relax the condition imposed on the petitioner previously.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

Offence u/s 457& 380 of IPC.

The petitioner was granted bail by Principal Sessions court, Nagercoil on 17.04.2024 in Crl.M.P.No.2153/2024 with condition that the petitioner should appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has

been complying the condition regularly from 19.04.2024 and due to the above said condition, the petitioner is unable to do his daily works and prayed that the condition may be relaxed.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition only from 20.04.2024 and the investigation is not yet over.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the duration of compliance of condition and the stage of investigation, this court is inclined to modify the condition.

In the result, condition is modified that the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police weekly once i.e. on every Monday at 10.00 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is partly allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Nagercoil.

To
The Judicial Magistrate Court, Eraniel (through e-Mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Eraniel Police Station (through court cell e-Mode)

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

Crl.M.P. No. 25/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000058 -2024)

Kannan, S/o. Thangavel

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,

Eraniel Police Station,

Crime No. 36/2024 of Eraniel Police Station,

Rep. By Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

... Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru T. Sivakumar, praying to relax the condition imposed on the petitioner previously.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

Offence u/s 379 of IPC.

The petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by Principal Sessions court, Nagercoil on 15.02.2024 in Crl.M.P.No.1013/2024 with condition that the petitioner should appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders. Subsequently, the condition was modified on 20.03.2024 in Crl.M.P. No.1601/2024 with condition that the petitioner should appear and sign before the

respondent police weekly once i.e. on every Monday at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been complying the modified condition regularly from 25.03.2024 and due to the above said condition, the petitioner is unable to do his daily works and prayed that the condition may be relaxed.

The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition from 01.04.2024.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the duration of compliance of condition, this court is inclined to relax the condition.

In the result, condition is totally relaxed and accordingly this petition is allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Nagercoil.

To
The Judicial Magistrate Court, Eraniel (through e-Mode)
The Inspector of Police, Eraniel Police Station (through court cell e-Mode)

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

Crl.M.P. No. 26/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000055-2024)

Ashkinth @ Ishvanth, S/o.Ramakrishnan (A1)

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,

Kanyakumari Police Station,

Crime No. 153/2024 of Kanyakumari Police Station,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

... Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru P. Subramonian, praying to relax the condition imposed on the petitioner previously.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

Offence u/s 341, 294(b), 323 and 506(i) of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act.

The petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil on 15.04.2024 in Crl.M.P.No.2066/2024 with condition that the petitioner should appear and sign before the respondent police

daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition regularly from 20.04.2024 and due to the condition, he is unable to do his daily works and prayed that the condition may be relaxed.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition from 20.04.2024 and the investigation of the case is not yet over.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the duration of compliance of condition and the stage of investigation, this court is inclined to modify the condition.

In the result, condition is modified that the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police weekly once i.e on every Monday at 10.00 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is partly allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Nagercoil.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Nagercoil (through e-Mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Kanyakumari Police Station. (through court cell
e-Mode)

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 27/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000051 -2024)

Kesin Jini, S/o. Alexander (A2)

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,

Vellichanthai Police Station,

Crime No. 164/2023 of Vellichanthai Police Station,

Rep. By Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

... Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru T. Sivakumar, praying to relax the condition imposed on the petitioner previously.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

Offence u/s 379 of IPC.

The petitioner was granted bail by Principal Sessions court, Nagercoil on 10.04.2024 in CrI.M.P.No.2023/2024 with condition that the petitioner should appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition regularly from 15.04.2024 and due to the above said

condition, the petitioner is unable to do his daily works and prayed that the condition may be relaxed.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition from 15.04.2024 and the investigation is not yet over.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the duration of compliance of condition and the stage of investigation, this court is inclined to modify the condition.

In the result, condition is modified that the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police weekly once i.e. on every Monday at 10.00 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is partly allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Nagercoil.

To
The Judicial Magistrate Court, Eraniel (through e-Mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Vellichanthai Police Station (through court cell e-Mode)

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 28/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000110-2024)

and

CrI.M.P. No. 31/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000106-2024)

Mathan Raj @ Mathankumar, (A1)

S/o. Sudhakar @ Sudarsan

.. Petitioner in CrI.M.P.No.28/2024

Starvin @ Vignesh, (A3)

S/o. Arul

.. Petitioner in CrI.M.P.No.31/2024

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,

Aralvaimozhy Police Station,

Crime No. 147/2024 of Aralvaimozhy Police Station,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

These two petitions are filed by Advocates Tvl. S. Dhinesh and N.Sivakumar respectively, u/s 438 Cr.P.C., praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner in both petitions.

COMMON ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petitions.

These two petitions are filed by different accused, but in same crime number.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s 448, 294(b), 427, 323, 506(ii) of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act.

The case of the prosecution is that on 22.04.2024 at about 8.30 P.M., the accused persons entered into the house of the defacto complainant, abused the informant in filthy language, damaged the glass of a two wheeler, auto rickshaw and television and assaulted the defacto complainant, caused injuries and also criminally intimidated him. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner in CrI.M.P.No.28/2024 submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the offences alleged against him and he has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned counsel for the petitioner in CrI.M.P.No.31/2024 submitted that in fact, when the petitioner was going to the shop at MGR Nagar, Aralvaimozhi, the defacto complainant's husband was quarreling with some others and the petitioner was watching the quarrel from the shop and the defacto complainant suspected the petitioner and used filthy words against him and tried to assault and then the petitioner moved that place and the petitioner/A3 is a final year Polytechnic student and the allegation against him is totally false and he is in no way connected with the

alleged offence and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that there is a previous enmity between the defacto complainant and the 1st accused and on 22.04.2024, these petitioners trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and damaged the television and attempted to stab the defacto complainant with knife and then they assaulted the defacto complainant with hand and they damaged the two wheeler also and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the investigation of the case is not yet over and he has serious objection to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners and both petitions may be dismissed.

Considered the objection of the learned Public Prosecutor. Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused in both petitions with conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on their appearing before the court concerned the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Boothapandy subject to the following conditions:-

1. The petitioners shall appear before the court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release, the petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

3. The petitioners shall also make themselves available before the respondent as and when required.
4. The petitioners shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
5. The petitioners shall not leave the jurisdictional police limit without prior permission.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Boothapandy. (through e-mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Aralvaimozhy Police Station. (through court cell
e-mode)
The counsel for the petitioner in both petitions.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

Crl.M.P. No. 29/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000032-2024)

Sivan @ Siva, S/o.Ramakrishnan

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,

Asaripallam Police Station,

Crime No. 117/2023 of Asaripallam Police Station,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

... Respondent

This petition is filed by Legal Aid Defense Counsel
Tmt. R.M. Meenakshi, praying to relax the condition imposed on the petitioner
previously.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

Offence u/s 354(A)(1)(i), 354, 376(2)(j), 376(2)(k) of IPC and Section 4
of TNPHW Act, 2002.

The petitioner was granted bail by the Principal Sessions Court,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil on 23.03.2024 in Crl.M.P.No.1775/2024 with condition

that the petitioner should appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition regularly from 14.04.2024 and due to the condition, he could not able to do his daily works and prayed that the condition may be relaxed.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition only from 14.04.2024 and it is a rape case and the investigation is not yet over.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the duration of compliance of condition and the stage of investigation, this court is inclined to modify the condition.

In the result, condition is modified that the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police weekly once i.e on every Monday at 10.00 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is partly allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Nagercoil.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Nagercoil (through e-Mode)
The Inspector of Police, Asaripallam Police Station. (through court cell
e-Mode)

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 30/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000111-2024)

Sandhu, S/o. Rajendran (A1)

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,
Eraniel Police Station,
Crime No. 164/2024 of Eraniel Police Station,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru M. Beslin Jagadheese, u/s 438 Cr.P.C., praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that on 23.04.2024 at about 10.15 A.M., while the informant and her family members were with their house, the accused abused the defacto complainant and her family members in filthy language, assaulted the defacto complainant and threw stone towards the informant's house, caused

injuries and also criminally intimidated them. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the defacto complainant and the petitioner are neighbours and on 23.04.2024, this petitioner and two others assaulted the husband of the defacto complainant with hand and then they pelted stone at the defacto complainant and she sustained injury on her forehead and the injured have been discharged from the hospital and the investigation of the case is not yet over.

Considered the objection of the learned Public Prosecutor. Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the fact that the injured were discharged from the hospital, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused with conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on his appearing before the court concerned the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel subject to the following conditions:-

1. The petitioner shall appear before the court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release, the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

3. The petitioner shall also make himself available before the respondent as and when required.
4. The petitioner shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
5. The petitioner shall not leave the jurisdictional police limit without prior permission.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel. (through e-mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Eraniel Police Station. (through court cell e-mode)
The counsel for the petitioner.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 28/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000110-2024)

and

CrI.M.P. No. 31/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000106-2024)

Mathan Raj @ Mathankumar, (A1)

S/o. Sudhakar @ Sudarsan

.. Petitioner in CrI.M.P.No.28/2024

Starvin @ Vignesh, (A3)

S/o. Arul

.. Petitioner in CrI.M.P.No.31/2024

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,

Aralvaimozhy Police Station,

Crime No. 147/2024 of Aralvaimozhy Police Station,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

These two petitions are filed by Advocates Tvl. S. Dhinesh and N.Sivakumar respectively, u/s 438 Cr.P.C., praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner in both petitions.

COMMON ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petitions.

These two petitions are filed by different accused, but in same crime number.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s 448, 294(b), 427, 323, 506(ii) of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act.

The case of the prosecution is that on 22.04.2024 at about 8.30 P.M., the accused persons entered into the house of the defacto complainant, abused the informant in filthy language, damaged the glass of a two wheeler, auto rickshaw and television and assaulted the defacto complainant, caused injuries and also criminally intimidated him. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner in CrI.M.P.No.28/2024 submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the offences alleged against him and he has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned counsel for the petitioner in CrI.M.P.No.31/2024 submitted that in fact, when the petitioner was going to the shop at MGR Nagar, Aralvaimozhi, the defacto complainant's husband was quarreling with some others and the petitioner was watching the quarrel from the shop and the defacto complainant suspected the petitioner and used filthy words against him and tried to assault and then the petitioner moved that place and the petitioner/A3 is a final year Polytechnic student and the allegation against him is totally false and he is in no way connected with the

alleged offence and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that there is a previous enmity between the defacto complainant and the 1st accused and on 22.04.2024, these petitioners trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and damaged the television and attempted to stab the defacto complainant with knife and then they assaulted the defacto complainant with hand and they damaged the two wheeler also and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the investigation of the case is not yet over and he has serious objection to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners and both petitions may be dismissed.

Considered the objection of the learned Public Prosecutor. Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused in both petitions with conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on their appearing before the court concerned the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Boothapandy subject to the following conditions:-

1. The petitioners shall appear before the court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release, the petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.

3. The petitioners shall also make themselves available before the respondent as and when required.
4. The petitioners shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
5. The petitioners shall not leave the jurisdictional police limit without prior permission.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Boothapandy. (through e-mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Aralvaimozhy Police Station. (through court cell
e-mode)
The counsel for the petitioner in both petitions.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge./Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No.32/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000112-2024)

Sunil S/o. Charles (A3)

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,
Marthandam Police Station,
Crime No.345/2023 of Marthandam Police Station,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru M. Bino Vins Kumar, u/s 438
Cr.P.C., praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that on 09.09.2023 at about 8.30
P.M.,when the informant went to his friend's house to drop him by his two wheeler,
the accused jointly restrained them and scolded the informant with filthy language

and assaulted him with iron rod and caused injury criminally intimidated them. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in fact, the informant and his friend only created unnecessary problem with the accused and the informant gave a false petitioner before the respondent police and the respondent police also registered a false case without proper investigation and the petitioner is innocent and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the co-accused/A1 and A2 were granted anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Nagercoil in CrI.M.P. No.5018/2023 and 6315/2023 on 20.09.2023 and 17.11.2023 respectively and the investigation is almost over and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that on 09.09.2023 this petitioner and 5 others waylaid the defacto complainant and his friend. Then they abused the defacto complainant and assaulted him with hand and A3 assaulted the defacto complainant and his friend with iron rod on his head and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the investigation is not yet over and co-accused was granted anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Nagercoil on 17.11.2023 in CrI.M.P.No. 6315/2023 and if this petition is allowed, same condition is to be imposed.

Considered the argument of the learned Public Prosecutor. Considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital and the co-accused was granted anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Nagercoil on 17.11.2023 in

Crl.M.P.No. 6315/2023, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused with conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on his appearing before the court concerned the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kuzhithurai subject to the following conditions:-

1. The petitioner shall appear before the court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release, the petitioner shall appear and sign before the Sub Inspector of Police, Eraniel Police Station daily twice at 10.00 A.M. and 5.00 P.M. until further orders.
3. The petitioner shall also make himself available before the respondent as and when required.
4. The petitioner shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
5. The petitioner shall not leave the jurisdictional police limit without prior permission.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the

Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kuzhithurai. (through e-mode)

The Sub Inspector of Police, Marthandam Police Station. (through court cell e-mode)

The Sub Inspector of Police, Eraniel Police Station (through court cell e-mode)

The counsel for the petitioner.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 33/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000103-2024)

Vinoth. G, S/o. Ganapathy (A2)

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,

Kottar Police Station,

Crime No. 137/2024 of Kottar Police Station,

Rep. by P.P. Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate T.M. Jinusha, u/s 438 Cr.P.C., praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant belong to DMK Party that on 20.04.2024 around 11.15 P.M. while the defacto complainant and his friend one Dhilipkumar were standing near the petty shop located near Vattavilai Junction, at that time the accused persons abused the defacto complainant and assaulted him with hand and leg caused injuries and also criminally intimidated him. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR and the petitioner has no previous cases and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the earlier anticipatory bail petition in CrI.M.P. No. 2312/2024 was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court, Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil on 26.04.2024 on the ground that the injured not yet discharged from the hospital and now the injured has been discharged from the hospital and the co-accused A1 was released on bail by the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Nagercoil on 29.04.2024 in CrI.M.P.No.3461/2024 and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that on 20.04.2024 this petitioner and three other accused abused the defacto complainant and A1 assaulted the defacto complainant with hand on his eyebrow and A2/this petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant with stone on his head and one Dhilipkumar came to rescue the defacto complainant, A1 assaulted him with iron rod on his head and A3 and A4 assaulted the defacto complainant with stick and iron rod and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the investigation is not yet over and the victim and the petitioners belongs to different community and tension prevails in that locality and he has serious objection to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and either this petition may be dismissed or if this petition is allowed, the petitioner may be directed to comply the condition in some other district.

Considered the objection of the learned Public Prosecutor. Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital and the co-accused A1 was released on bail by the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Nagercoil on 29.04.2024, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused with conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on his appearing before the court concerned the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Nagercoil subject to the following conditions:-

1. The petitioner shall appear before the court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release, the petitioner shall appear and sign before the Judicial Magistrate No.I, Thoothukudi daily twice at 10.30 A.M. and 5.00 P.M. until further orders.
3. The petitioner shall also make himself available before the respondent as and when required.
4. The petitioner shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
5. The petitioner shall not leave the jurisdictional police limit without prior permission.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation

of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To

The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Nagercoil. (through e-mode)

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Thoothukudi.

The Sub Inspector of Police, Kottar Police Station. (through court cell e-mode)

The counsel for the petitioner.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

Crl.M.P. No.35/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000060-2024)

Viji @ Thanga Vijayakumar S/o. Thangappan (A2)

..Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,

Kotticode Police Station,

Crime No.254/2011 of Kotticode Police Station,

Rep. by P.P. Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Tmt. S. Sumitha, u/s 439 Cr.P.C.,
praying to grant bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
294(b), 397 and 506(ii) of IPC.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the case has been
charge sheeted and taken on file as S.C No.36/2013 pending before the Assistant
Sessions Court, Padmanabhapuram and the case is pending for trial. On 15.11.2023
due to his illness, the petitioner failed to appear before the trial court and due to his

non appearance, the learned Assistant Sessions Judge issued Non Bailable Warrant against the petitioner and on execution of warrant, the petitioner was arrested by the respondent police on 05.02.2024 and the earlier bail application in CrI.M.P. No.1842/2024 was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Judge, Nagercoil on 26.03.2024 and petitioner undertakes to appear before the court in future and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that this petitioner is A2 in S.C No.36/2013 on the file of Assistant Sessions Court, Padmanabhapuram. On 30.03.2022 this petitioner did not appear before the court and the learned Assistant Sessions Judge issued Non Bailable Warrant against him and subsequently this petitioner filed a petition in CrI.M.P.No.394/2022 u/s 70(ii) of Cr.P.C., to recall warrant and the warrant was recalled by the Assistant Sessions Judge. Again on 12.10.2022 the case was posted for examination of L.W.1 to L.W.3. and on that date L.W.1. and L.W.2. were present before the court, but this petitioner did not appear and no representation for the petitioner. So the learned Assistant Sessions Judge issued Non Bailable Warrant against the petitioner. Subsequently this petitioner filed a petition in CrI.M.P.No.27/2023 u/s 70(ii) of Cr.P.C., to recall warrant and the warrant was recalled by the Assistant Sessions Judge. Again on 15.11.2023 the case was posted for examination of L.W.2 to L.W.5. were present and on that date L.W.2 and L.W.4. were present, 1st accused was also present, but this petitioner did not appear before the court and no representation for

the petitioner. So the witness could not be examined and Non Bailable Warrant was issued against this petitioner. Subsequently this petitioner was arrested by the police on 05.02.2024 and the case was posted on 24.04.2024 and on 24.04.2024 L.W.4 and L.W.5 were examined as P.W.2 and P.W.3. and case stands posted on 05.06.2024 for examination of L.W.2., 3, 6 to 9 and the petitioner has six previous cases and if this petitioner is released on bail, definitely he will abscond and he will not co-operate for trial and he has serious objection to grant bail to the petitioner and the petition may be dismissed.

Considered the argument of the learned Public Prosecutor. Trial has commenced and is in midway and due to the non appearance of the petitioner/accused, several times the learned Assistant Sessions Judge issued Non Bailable Warrant against the petitioner, this court is not convinced that the present petitioner/accused will be available for the trial in the event of release on bail and the earlier bail petition was dismissed on 26.03.2024 and there is no change of circumstances, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/accused. Hence, this petition is dismissed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

Crl.M.P. No. 36/2024 (CNR.No.TNKK00-000047-2024)

Crl.M.P. No. 56/2024 (CNR.No.TNKK00-000062-2024)

and

Crl.M.P. No. 90/2024 (CNR.No.TNKK00-000046-2024)

M. Manikumar, S/o Murugan (A6) .. Petitioner in Crl.M.P.No.36/2024

T.K.Ram, S/o Thulasiram (A7) .. Petitioner in Crl.M.P.No.56/2024

Muthu Ramesh Dass, (A3)
S/o Sankara Narayana Ramasamy .. Petitioner in Crl.M.P.No.90/2024

/Vs./

Forest Range Officer,
Boothapandy Forest Range,
W.L.O.R. No. 2/2024 of Boothapandy Forest Range,
Rep. by Special Government Pleader, Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

These three petitions are filed by Advocates Tvl. T. Santhosh Kumar, T. Saranya and C. Saravanan respectively, u/s 439 Cr.P.C., praying to grant bail to the petitioner in all petitions.

COMMON ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petitions.

These three petitions are filed by different accused, but in same O.R. number.

The petitioners/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s 2(2), 2(11), 2(18), 2(23), 2(31), 2(33), 39, 44, 50 and 51 of the Wild Life Protection Act, 1972.

The case of the prosecution is that on 10.04.2024 at about 10.00 A.M. the accused persons carry business for selling ivory without licence. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner in Crl.M.P.No.36/2024 submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he is a native of Theni district and he was working in a mutton stall at Kamayakoundenpatti in Theni District and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he has been in judicial custody from 17.04.2024 and the earlier bail petition in Crl.M.P. No. 114/2024 was dismissed by the Special Judge for Forest Offence Cases, Nagercoil on 26.04.2024 and the properties were already seized and the investigation of the case is almost over and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

The learned counsel for the petitioner in Crl.M.P.No.56/2024 submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he is a native of Theni district and he was working in a private company and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he has been in judicial custody from 18.04.2024 and the earlier bail petition in Crl.M.P. No. 115/2024 was dismissed by the Special Judge for Forest Offence Cases, Nagercoil and the properties were already seized from A3 and A4 at Nagercoil and the co-accused A1 moved before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court vide

Crl.OP(MD) No.6214/2024, wherein the Hon'ble High Court granted interim bail and the investigation of the case is almost over and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

The learned counsel for the petitioner in Crl.M.P.No.90/2024 submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he has been in judicial custody from 10.04.2024 and the earlier bail petition in Crl.M.P. No. 116/2024 was dismissed by the Special Judge for Forest Offence Cases, Nagercoil on 26.04.2024 and the properties were already seized and the investigation of the case is almost over and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

The learned Special Government Pleader for Forest Offence Cases opposed the application and submitted that on 09.04.2024 at about 5.00 P.M., the Forest Ranger, Thirunelveli got secret information and based upon the information, the Forest Ranger, Tirunelveli along with his subordinates came to Kanyakumari Division, Boothapandi Forest Range, Nagercoil and when they were conducting patrol duty near Hindu College, Nagercoil, they found 4 persons standing near car in a suspicious manner. Immediately the forest officials went near to them and the accused persons tried to escape with the car and bike, and the forest officials arrested the accused 3 and 4 and they conducted enquiry and when searched the car, they found 2 ivory and subsequently, the Special Team handed over the properties and the vehicle along with accused persons to the concerned forest range and the case was registered and subsequently on 18.04.2024, the forest officials again conducted enquiry and they arrested A5 to A7 and he has serious objection to grant bail to the

petitioners and the petitions may be dismissed.

The case of the prosecution is that on 10.04.2024 at around 10.00 A.M., when the accused 1 to 4 attempted to transport elephant tusk for the purpose of selling in Maruthi Suzuki ALTO bearing Regn. No.TN 69 BD 0388, the forest officials who were conducting vehicle check-up, seized elephant tusk and A1 and A2 were reported to have fled away from the scene and A3 and A4 have been arrested at the scene of occurrence with seized contraband. As per the case of prosecution, the 3rd accused Muthu Ramesh Dhas had implicated the 1st accused as the person who offered to sell the pair of elephant tusk, for which he requested the 3rd accused Muthu Ramesh Dhas to find purchasers and the 2nd accused and the 4th accused are the friends of 3rd accused who informed him about the availability of purchasers. It is pursuant to this, according to the 3rd accused, the elephant tusks were brought by the 1st accused which was being transported at the time of apprehension. It is the further case of the prosecution that subsequently, the Control Room, Madurai Region received secret information, upon which, the accused 5 to 7 were brought for enquiry on 17.04.2024 in connection with the present WLOR No.2/2024 and during the investigation, it was found that the elephant tusks, that were transported were handed over by 5th accused to the 6th accused who in turn handed it over to the 7th accused, a relative of the 1st accused and the 7th accused, in turn, had handed it over to the 1st accused, upon which, the said elephant tusks came to be in the possession of the accused 1 to 4. The 5th accused was said to have made confession to the effect that he got hold of the elephant tusks three years back, which was lying behind a bush in a grazing area. When he brought them and informed about the same to the 6th accused,

he took away the elephant tusks. The 6th accused was said to have confessed to the effect that he had requested one Rajesh and through him, he sold the same to one Ram namely the 7th accused. The 7th accused is said to have confessed to the effect that he had handed them over to Rajaram, the 1st accused and it is these elephant tusks which were seized by the forest authorities from the 3rd and 4th accused by being transported. Considering the nature of the above allegations against the petitioners and the manner in which their involvement in the alleged offences is claimed to have been identified by the prosecution, this court is of the view that the present petitions deserve to be allowed.

In the result, the petitioners/Accused are ordered to be enlarged on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of the Special Judge, Special Court for Forest Offence Cases, Nagercoil and after release the petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent forest range office daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders and accordingly all the three petitions are allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To

The Special Judge, Special Court for Forest Offence Cases, Nagercoil.

(through e-Mode)

The Forest Ranger, Boothapandy Range Office. (through court cell e-Mode)

The Superintendent, District Jail, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)

The counsel for the petitioner in all the three petitions.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge./Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No.37/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000107-2024)

Sasi Kumar S/o. Chinna Nadar (A2)

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,
Puthukadai Police Station,
Crime No.97/2024 of Puthukadai Police Station,
Rep. by P.P. Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru N. Chellappan, u/s 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
379 of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that on 06.04.2024 at about 10.00 A.M.,
while the police party were on rounth duty, they found a tipper tempo bearing Regn.
No.TN 75 F 2895 contained in M-sand without valid pass and permit. Hence the
charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is only the owner of the vehicle and he had no knowledge of the alleged occurrence and the case has been cooked up against the petitioner and the investigation of the case is almost over and the vehicle with contraband has been seized and the co-accused/A1 was released on bail by the lower court on 12.04.2024 in CrI.M.P. No.4645/2024 and this is the 2nd application and the earlier application in CrI.M.P. No.2220/2024 was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court, Nagercoil on 29.04.2024 and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that on 06.04.2024, A1 illegally transported M-sand in a tempo and A1 arrested by the police and this petitioner is the owner of the tempo and A1 illegally transported contraband in collusion with this petitioner and tempo was seized along with contraband and the investigation is not yet over.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the fact that the vehicle along with contraband was seized and the occurrence was happened on 06.04.2024 and by this time the major portion of the investigation would have been completed, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused with conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on his appearing before the court concerned the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kuzhithurai subject to the following

conditions:-

1. The petitioner shall appear before the court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release, the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.
3. The petitioner shall also make himself available before the respondent as and when required.
4. The petitioner shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
5. The petitioner shall not leave the jurisdictional police limit without prior permission.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To

The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kuzhithurai. (through e-mode)

The Sub Inspector of Police, Puthukadai Police Station. (through court cell e-mode)

The counsel for the petitioner.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 38/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000121-2024)

1. Arul Selsan (F.I.R. - Arul Jeniker), S/o. Sesaiya (A1)
2. Bruzlin Zenith (FIR - Jenith), S/o. Peter (A2) .. Petitioners

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,
Colachel Police Station,
Crime No. 93/2024 of Colachel Police Station,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil. .. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru P. John Xavier, u/s 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

ORDER

Heard both side. Perused the petition.

The petitioners/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
294(b), 323 and 506(ii) IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that on 27.04.2024 at about 3.00 P.M. the
accused abused the informant in filthy words, assaulted him with stick, caused

injuries and also criminally intimidated him. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they are the own brother in laws of the defacto complainant and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and due to family dispute, this false case has been registered against the petitioner and the injured was discharged from the hospital and except the offence u/s 506(ii) of IPC, all other offences are bailable in nature and the investigation is almost over and the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the petitioners are brother in laws of the defacto complainant and on 27.04.2024 these petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant with hand and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the investigation of the case is not yet over.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioners/accused and though the learned Public Prosecutor objected that the investigation is not yet over, considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused with conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on their appearing before the court concerned the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel subject to the following conditions :-

1. The petitioners shall appear before the court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release, the petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.
3. The petitioners shall also make themselves available before the respondent as and when required.
4. The petitioners shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
5. The petitioners shall not leave the jurisdictional police limit without prior permission.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel. (through e-mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Colachel Police Station. (through court cell e-mode)
The counsel for the petitioners.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 42/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000119-2024)

1. Mathan S/o. Narayanan (A1)

2. Selvam S/o.Narayanan (A2)

.. Petitioners

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,

Kanyakumari Police Station,

Crime No.200/2024 of Kanyakumari Police Station,

Rep. by P.P. Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru A. Paul Raj u/s 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

ORDER

The petitioners/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
294(b), 323 and 506(i) IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, 2002.

The case of the prosecution is that on 22.04.2024 at about 10.00
P.M.,when the defacto complainant was in his house, the accused went there and A1
argued with the defacto complainant and his grandmother and used filthy words
against them, assaulted them and criminally intimidated them. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are
innocent and nothing had happened as alleged in the FIR and they have been falsely

implicated in this case and the earlier application in CrI.M.P. No.2336/2024 was dismissed on 26.04.2024 by the Principal Sessions Judge, Nagercoil on the ground that the injured person is not yet discharged from the hospital and investigation is preliminary stage and now the change of circumstances investigation is almost over and the injured person was discharged from the hospital and the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant and his grandmother and totally there are two injured persons in this case and the injured have been discharged from the hospital and the investigation of the case is not yet over.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioners/accused and though the learned Public Prosecutor objected that the investigation is not yet over, considering the fact that the injured have been discharged from the hospital, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused with conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on their appearing before the court concerned the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Nagercoil subject to the following conditions :-

1. The petitioners shall appear before the court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.

2. After release, the petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.
3. The petitioners shall also make themselves available before the respondent as and when required.
4. The petitioners shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
5. The petitioners shall not leave the jurisdictional police limit without prior permission.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the, Additional Mahila Court, Nagercoil learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Nagercoil (through e-mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Kanyakumari Police Station.
(through court cell e-mode)
The counsel for the petitioners.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 43/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000109-2024)

1. Arul Raj S/o. Asari (A1)

2. R. Ratheesh S/o. Rajendra Prasath (A2)

.. Petitioners

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,

Nithiravilai Police Station,

Crime No.89/2024 of Nithiravilai Police Station,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru N Chellappan, u/s 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

ORDER

Heard both side. Perused the petition.

The petitioners/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
379 of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that on 03.05.2024 at about 4.00 A.M.,
while the police party were on rounth at Nambali, they received a secret information
that JCB bearing Regn. No.TN 75 C 9105 and a Tipper Tempo bearing Regn. No.TN

74 AL 3618 were removing red sand from the property of one Babu with his permission. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that it is a false case and only for statistical purpose it has been registered and the petitioners are owner cum driver of the JCB and Tipper Tempo respectively and the vehicles were hired by the owner of the property to demolish a old building and no red sand was transported and the vehicles were seized and the investigation is almost over and the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they are ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that on 03.05.2024, these petitioners illegally excavated soil from the private land with JCB and loaded the soil in a tempo and A1 is the owner cum driver of the JCB and A2 is the owner cum driver of the tempo and the vehicles with contraband were seized and the investigation is not yet over and he has serious objection to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners and the petition may be dismissed.

Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioners/accused and also considering the fact that the vehicles along with contraband was seized and the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused with conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on their appearing before the court concerned the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kuzhithurai subject to the following

conditions :-

1. The petitioners shall appear before the court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release, the petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.
3. The petitioners shall also make themselves available before the respondent as and when required.
4. The petitioners shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
5. The petitioners shall not leave the jurisdictional police limit without prior permission.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kuzhithurai. (through e-mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Nithiravilai Police Station.
(through court cell e-mode)
The counsel for the petitioners.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 45/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000116-2024)

Anusha, D/o. Manikandan

..Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,

Puthukadai Police Station,

Crime No.171/2022 of Puthukadai Police Station,

Rep. by P.P. Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru A. Sundar, u/s 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
420 and 406 of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is running a
Finance at Panchikattuvelai, Painkulam. On 13.06.2022, one unknown woman
pledged fake gold jewels in the finance company of the defacto complainant and
obtained Rs.34,000/-. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is
innocent and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the

petitioner never did any such act and based on the confession of one Jesuraja in Crime No.111/2022 of Kotticode Police Station, this petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the co-accused was granted bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil on 17.08.2022 in CrI.M.P. No.4354/2022 and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that on 13.06.2022, the petitioner and her husband pledged 2 sovereigns of spurious jewels and obtained Rs.34,000/- from the defacto complainant and the husband of the petitioner gave fake name and address and totally 10 cases i.e. 5 cases of Puthukadai Police Station, 3 cases of Karungal Police Station, one case of Eraniel Police Station and one case of Kotticode Police Station were registered against the petitioner and her husband and the husband of the petitioner was released on bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Nagercoil on 17.08.2022 in CrI.M.P.No.4354/2024 and the investigation of the case is not yet over.

Considered the objection of the learned Public Prosecutor. Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the fact that the co-accused was granted bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Nagercoil on 17.08.2022 and the case is of the year 2022 and by this time the major portion of the investigation would have been completed, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused with conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on her appearing before the court concerned the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on her

executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kuzhithurai subject to the following conditions:-

1. The petitioner shall appear before the court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release, the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.
3. The petitioner shall also make herself available before the respondent as and when required.
4. The petitioner shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
5. The petitioner shall not leave the jurisdictional police limit without prior permission.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kuzhithurai. (through e-mode)
The Inspector of Police, Puthukadai Police Station. (through court cell e-mode)
The counsel for the petitioner.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 46/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000111-2024)

1. Thatheyus S/o. Eliyas (A1)

2. Howtham @ Gautham Raj S/o. Yujin Raj @ Eugin Raj (A2) .. Petitioners

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,

Nithiravilai Police Station,

Crime No.86/2024 of Nithiravilai Police Station,

Rep. by P.P. Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru A. Paul Raj, u/s 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

ORDER

The petitioners/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
341, 294(b), 324, 307 and 506(ii) IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that there is a previous enmity between
the informant and the petitioner and on 20.04.2024 at about 8.15 P.M., when the
informant and his friend came near Chinnathurai K.R. Puram in his motor cycle, the

accused restrained the informant and used filthy language against him and assaulted him with iron rod and wooden stick and criminally intimidated him. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the counter case in crime No.85/2024 has been registered against the informant and the petitioners are innocent and nothing had happened as alleged in the FIR and the injured was discharged from the hospital and this is the 2nd application and the earlier application in CrI.M.P. No.2303/2024 was dismissed on 25.04.2024 and the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that on 19.04.2024 there was a wordy altercation between the defacto complainant and the petitioners at the polling station in the election for the Lok Sabha and then, on 20.04.2024, these petitioners abused the defacto complainant and A1 stabbed on the neck of the defacto complainant with knife and A2 assaulted the defacto complainant with wooden rod and A3 assaulted the defacto complainant with iron pipe and he sustained severe injuries and the injured was discharged from the hospital after a long period and A1 has one previous case and A2 has two previous cases and it is a case u/s 307 of IPC and weapon is to be recovered from these petitioners and the investigation is not yet over and he has serious objection to grant anticipatory bail to these petitioners and either this petition may be dismissed or if this petition is allowed, stringent condition is to be imposed.

Considered the objection of the learned Public Prosecutor. Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioners/accused and also considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused with conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on their appearing before the court concerned the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kuzhithurai subject to the following conditions :-

1. The petitioners shall appear before the court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release, the petitioners shall appear and sign before the Judicial Magistrate No.I, Thoothukudi daily twice at 10.30 A.M. and 5.00 P.M. until further orders.
3. The petitioners shall also make themselves available before the respondent as and when required.
4. The petitioners shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
5. The petitioners shall not leave the jurisdictional police limit without prior permission.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation

of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kuzhithurai. (through e-mode)
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Thoothukudi.
The Sub Inspector of Police, Nithiravilai Police Station.
(through court cell e-mode)
The counsel for the petitioners.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 47/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000115-2024)

Anusha, D/o. Manikandan

..Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,

Puthukadai Police Station,

Crime No.170/2022 of Puthukadai Police Station,

Rep. by P.P. Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru A. Sundar, u/s 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
420 and 406 of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is running a
finance at Sakthi Nagar, Painkulam. On 06.06.2022, one Sabitha pledged fake gold
jewels in the finance company of the defacto complainant and obtained Rs.31,000/-.
Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is
innocent and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the

petitioner never did any such act and based on the confession of one Jesuraja in Crime No.111/2022 of Kotticode Police Station, this petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the co-accused was granted bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil on 17.08.2022 in CrI.M.P. No.4353/2022 and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that on 06.06.2022, the petitioner and her husband pledged spurious jewels and obtained Rs.31,000/- from the defacto complainant and the petitioner's husband gave fake name and address and totally 10 cases i.e. 5 cases of Puthukadai Police Station, 3 cases of Karungal Police Station, one case of Eraniel Police Station and one case of Kotticode Police Station were registered against the petitioner and her husband and the husband of the petitioner was released on bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Nagercoil on 17.08.2022 in CrI.M.P.No.4353/2024 and the investigation of the case is not yet over.

Considered the objection of the learned Public Prosecutor. Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the fact that the co-accused was granted bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Nagercoil on 17.08.2022 and the case is of the year 2022 and by this time the major portion of the investigation would have been completed, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused with conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on her appearing before the court concerned the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on her

executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kuzhithurai subject to the following conditions:-

1. The petitioner shall appear before the court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release, the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.
3. The petitioner shall also make herself available before the respondent as and when required.
4. The petitioner shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
5. The petitioner shall not leave the jurisdictional police limit without prior permission.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kuzhithurai. (through e-mode)
The Inspector of Police, Puthukadai Police Station. (through court cell e-mode)
The counsel for the petitioner.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 48/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000052-2024)

Sunil Singh, S/o Vedakkan

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,

Colachel Police Station,

Crime No. 47/2024 of Colachel Police Station,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor,

Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru S. Varatharajan, u/s 439 Cr.P.C.,
praying to grant bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
294(b), 323, 324 of IPC @ 294(b), 323, 324 and 302 of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that on 05.03.2024 at 7.00 P.M. the
accused was in a drunken mood, beaten the deceased Vedakkan and further attempted
to pour brandy into the mouth of the deceased and since the deceased has closed his
mouth, he sustained injury on the lip and then on 07.03.2024 the injured succumbed
to his injuries on 07.03.2024. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the deceased is the father of the petitioner and the deceased has deserted his wife 24 years back and the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the petitioner has been under judicial custody from 08.03.2024 and the earlier bail petition in CrI.M.P. No.2160/2024 was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court, Nagercoil on 23.04.2024 and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that this petitioner and his aged father were residing in a same house. On 05.03.2024 this petitioner abused his father and assaulted him. Then he poured brandy mixed with poison into his mouth forcibly and he sustained injury on his mouth. Originally a case was registered u/s 294(b), 323 and 324 of IPC and on 07.03.2024 the injured succumbed to his injuries. So the section of law was altered u/s 294(b), 323, 324 and 302 of IPC and the petitioner was arrested on 08.03.2024 and the investigation of the case is almost over.

Considering the nature of the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused and also considering the fact that the petitioner/accused has been in judicial custody for the past 61 days and also considering the argument of the learned Public Prosecutor that the investigation of the case is almost over, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused with conditions.

In the result, the petitioner/Accused is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel and after release the petitioner shall

appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is allowed.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel. (through e-Mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Colachel Police Station. (through court cell e-Mode)
The Superintendent, District Jail, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)
The counsel for the petitioner.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

CrI.M.P. No. 49/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK0V-000104-2024)

1. Harish, S/o. Kumaraswamy (A1)

2. Bill Clinton, S/o. Joseph (A2)

.. Petitioners

/Vs./

Sub Inspector of Police,

Nesamony Nagar Police Station,

Crime No. 62/2024 of Nesamony Nagar Police Station,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru N. Chellappan, u/s 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

ORDER

Heard both side. Perused the petition.

The petitioners/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s
294(b), 324 and 506(ii) IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that on 28.04.2024 at about 4.15 P.M. due
to previous enmity, the accused abused the informant in filthy words, assaulted him
with beer bottle and plastic chair, caused injuries and also criminally intimidated
him. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the investigation is almost over and the informant is a rowdy element and he has several cases and the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that already the defacto complainant assaulted the 1st accused and a case was registered by the Kottar Police Station and there was a previous enmity between them. On 28.04.2024 these petitioners and another one accused abused the defacto complainant and assaulted him with beer bottle and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the investigation of the case is not yet over and A2 has three previous cases and in the event of considering this application, A2 may be directed to comply the condition in some other district.

Considered the objection of the learned Public Prosecutor. Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioners/accused and also considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused with conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on their appearing before the court concerned the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Nagercoil subject to the following conditions :-

1. The petitioners shall appear before the court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release, the petitioners shall appear and sign before the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Nagercoil daily at 10.30 A.M. until further orders.
3. The petitioners shall also make themselves available before the respondent as and when required.
4. The petitioners shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
5. The petitioners shall not leave the jurisdictional police limit without prior permission.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Nagercoil. (through e-mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Nesamony Nagar Police Station. (through court cell
e-mode)
The counsel for the petitioners.

In the Court of Vacation Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge/Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Wednesday, the 8th day of May, 2024.

Crl.M.P. No.50/2024

(CNR.No.TNKK00-000004-2024)

Arul Prabhu S/o. Jeeva Dhas

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

Inspector of Police,
Karungal Police Station,
Crime No.77/2024 of Karungal Police Station,
Rep. by P.P. Nagercoil.

.. Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru J. Sajin, u/s 439 Cr.P.C., praying to grant bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

The petitioner/accused alleged to have been committed the offence u/s 436 and 109 of IPC.

The case of the prosecution is that the 1st accused is the husband of the informant and the 1st accused often harassed the informant by demanding dowry from her parents and the informant got loan and built a new house near her mother's home

and thereafter, the accused went abroad for his job and he did not go his job regularly and not sent any money to the informant to remit the loan and he came to India and thereafter, he came to home after consuming liquor and harassed the informant and child and on 24.03.2024 at about 10.30 A.M., when the informant returning back to home, large fume was came from her house and the informant informed the fire service and they came to the spot and extinguished the fire, but all the things were burned and damaged articles worth is more than Rs.8 lakhs. Hence the charge.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the offences alleged against him and the real fact is that from the date of marriage itself the informant not respected the petitioner/A1 and his family members and always made quarrel with them that the petitioner/A1 not handsome and not match for her, but considering the family welfare, the petitioner/A1 had given Rs.5,00,000/- to build the new house and before one month, the informant set fire to the house and gave a false complaint and the respondent enquired the matter and warned the informant and in order to revenge and harass the petitioner/A1, again the informant set fire to the house and gave a false complaint and the petitioner is innocent of the offences alleged against him and the investigation is almost over and the petitioner has no previous case and the petitioner was arrested on 15.04.2024 and has been under judicial custody from that date onwards and this is the 2nd application and the earlier application in CrI.M.P. No.2236/2024 was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Judge, Nagercoil on 26.04.2024 and the petitioner is ready to abide by any

condition and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that this petitioner and defacto complainant are husband and wife and this petitioner used to quarrel with his wife and she filed a petition before the police and it is a routine habit of the petitioner and the defacto complainant purchased a land and constructed a house with the help of her parents and the petitioner demanded to transfer the land in his sister's name and on 18.02.2024, the petitioner set fire to mattress and for that, she lodged a complaint and it was given CSR number as 253/2024, dated 04.03.2024 and on 03.03.2024, this petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant and the matter was compromised and again on 24.03.2024, this petitioner set fire to the entire house and subsequently fire service extinguished the fire and total damage is Rs.8 lakhs and the investigation of the case is not yet over and this petitioner was arrested on 15.04.2024 and he has strong objection and the petition may be dismissed..

Considering the nature of the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/Accused and also considering the fact that the petitioner/accused is in the judicial custody from 15.04.2024 i.e. for the past 26 days and by this time, the major portion of the investigation would have been completed, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused with conditions.

In the result, the petitioner/Accused is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to

the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel and after release the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is allowed.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel. (through e-Mode)
The Inspector of Police, Karungal Police Station. (through court cell e-Mode)
The Superintendent, District Jail, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)
The counsel for the petitioner.