

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

4912-4924

No. _____/DHC/Gaz/G-2/Judgment/2021

24th,
Dated: _____ December, 2021

From:

The Registrar General,
High Court of Delhi,
New Delhi-110003.



To,

1. The Principal District & Sessions Judge (HQ), Tis Hazari Courts Complex, Delhi.
2. The Principal District & Sessions Judge (East), Karkardooma Courts Complex, Delhi.
3. The Principal District & Sessions Judge (South -West), Dwarka Courts Complex, New Delhi.
4. The Principal District & Sessions Judge (Shahdara), Karkardooma Courts Complex, Delhi.
5. The Principal District & Sessions Judge (South-East), Saket Courts complex, Delhi.
6. The Principal District & Sessions Judge (West), Tis Hazari Courts Complex, Delhi.
7. The Principal District & Sessions Judge (New Delhi), Patiala House Courts Complex, New Delhi.
8. The Principal District & Sessions Judge (North-West), Rohini Courts Complex, Delhi.
9. The Principal District & Sessions Judge (North), Rohini Courts Complex, Delhi.
10. The Principal District & Sessions Judge- Cum- Special Judge (PC Act) (CBI) Rouse Avenue Courts Complex, New Delhi.
11. The Principal District & Sessions Judge (North-East), Karkardooma Courts Complex, Delhi.
12. The Principal District & Sessions Judge (Officiating) (South), Saket Courts Complex, New Delhi.
13. The Principal Judge, Family Court (HQs), South-West, Dwarka, New Delhi.

Sub: Corrected order/Judgment dated 23.09.2021 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in M.A No. 665 of 2021 in Suo Moto Writ Petition (Civil) No. 3 of 2020 titled "In Re Cognizance for Extension of Limitation" - regarding.

Sir/Madam,

I am directed to refer to this Court's letter No. 3512-3524/DHC/Gaz/G-2/Judgment/2021, dated 06th October, 2021, on the above subject, and to forward herewith copy of corrected order/Judgment dated 23.09.2021 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in M.A No. 665 of 2021 in Suo Moto Writ Petition (Civil) No. 3 of 2020 titled "In Re Cognizance for Extension of Limitation" and to request you to circulate the same amongst all judicial officers working under your control for information and necessary compliance.

Encl: As above.

Offg. P. DBS J. (Sec.)
29/12/21

Yours faithfully,

Pramod Kumar
(Pramod Kumar)
Joint Registrar (Gazette-IB)
for Registrar General.

Corrected

Reportable

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION**

Miscellaneous Application No. 665 of 2021
In SMW(C) No. 3 of 2020

IN RE: COGNIZANCE FOR EXTENSION OF LIMITATION

O R D E R

1. Due to the outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic in March, 2020, this Court took *Suo Motu* cognizance of the difficulties that might be faced by the litigants in filing petitions/ applications/ suits/ appeals/ all other proceedings within the period of limitation prescribed under the general law of limitation or under any special laws (both Central and/or State). On 23.03.2020, this Court directed extension of the period of limitation in all proceedings before the Courts/Tribunals including this Court w.e.f. 15.03.2020 till further orders.
2. Considering the reduction in prevalence of COVID-19 virus and normalcy being restored, the following order was passed in the *Suo Motu* proceedings on 08.03.2021:

"1. In computing the period of limitation for any suit, appeal, application or proceeding, the period from 15.03.2020 till 14.03.2021 shall stand excluded. Consequently, the balance period of limitation remaining as on 15.03.2020, if any, shall become available with effect from 15.03.2021.

Signature Not Verified
Date: 14.03.2021
Page: 1 of 1

2. In cases where the limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 14.03.2021, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 15.03.2021. In the event the actual balance period of limitation remaining, with effect from 15.03.2021, is greater than 90 days, that longer period shall apply.

3. The period from 15.03.2020 till 14.03.2021 shall also stand excluded in computing the periods prescribed under Sections 23 (4) and 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, Section 12A of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 and provisos (b) and (c) of Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 and any other laws, which prescribe period(s) of limitation for instituting proceedings, outer limits (within which the court or tribunal can condone delay) and termination of proceedings.

4. The Government of India shall amend the guidelines for containment zones, to state.

"Regulated movement will be allowed for medical emergencies, provision of essential goods and services, and other necessary functions, such as, time bound applications, including for legal purposes, and educational and job-related requirements."

3. Thereafter, there was a second surge in COVID-19 cases which had a devastating and debilitating effect. The Supreme Court Advocates on Record Association (SCAORA) intervened in the *Suo Motu* proceedings by filing Miscellaneous Application No.665 of 2021 seeking restoration of the order dated 23.03.2020. Acceding to the request made by SCAORA, this Court passed the following order on 27.04.2021:

"We also take judicial notice of the fact that the steep rise in COVID-19 Virus cases is not limited to Delhi alone but it has engulfed the entire nation. The extraordinary situation caused by the sudden and second outburst of COVID-19 Virus, thus, requires extraordinary measures to minimize the hardship of litigant-public in all the states. We, therefore, restore the order dated 23rd March, 2020 and in continuation of the order dated 8th March, 2021 direct that the period(s) of limitation, as prescribed under any general or special laws in respect of all judicial or quasi-judicial proceedings, whether condonable or not, shall stand extended till further orders.

It is further clarified that the period from 14th March, 2021 till further orders shall also stand excluded in computing the periods prescribed under Sections 23 (4) and 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, Section 12A of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 and provisos (b) and (c) of Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 and any other laws, which prescribe period(s) of limitation for instituting proceedings, outer limits (within which the court or tribunal can condone delay) and termination of proceedings.

We have passed this order in exercise of our powers under Article 142 read with Article 141 of the Constitution of India. Hence it shall be a binding order within the meaning of Article 141 on all Courts/Tribunals and Authorities."

4. In spite of all the uncertainties about another wave of the deadly COVID-19 virus, it is imminent that the order dated 08.03.2021 is restored as the situation is near normal.
5. We have heard learned Attorney General for India, Mr. Vikas

Singh, learned Senior Counsel for the Election Commission of India, Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, learned counsel for the SCAORA and other learned Advocates. There is consensus that there is no requirement for continuance of the initial order passed by this Court on 23.03.2020 and relaxation of the period of limitation need not be continued any further. The contention of Mr. Vikas Singh is that the order dated 08.03.2021 can be restored, subject to a modification. He submitted that paragraph No.2 of the order dated 08.03.2021 provides that the limitation period of 90 days will start from 15.03.2021 notwithstanding the actual balance of period of limitation in cases where limitation has expired between 15.03.2020 and 14.03.2021. According to him, the period of limitation prior to 15.03.2020 has to be taken into account and only the balance period of limitation should be made available for the purpose of filing cases.

6. The order dated 23.03.2020 was passed in view of the extraordinary health crisis. On 08.03.2021, the order dated 23.03.2020 was brought to an end, permitting the relaxation of period of limitation between 15.03.2020 and 14.03.2021. While doing so, it was made clear that the period of limitation would start from 15.03.2021. As the said order dated 08.03.2021 was only a one-time measure, in view of the pandemic, we are not inclined to modify the conditions contained in the order dated 08.03.2021.

7. The learned Attorney General for India stated that paragraph

No.4 of the order dated 08.03.2021 should be continued as there are certain containment zones in some States even today.

8. Therefore, we dispose of the M.A. No.665 of 2021 with the following directions: -

- I. In computing the period of limitation for any suit, appeal, application or proceeding, the period from 15.03.2020 till 02.10.2021 shall stand excluded. Consequently, the balance period of limitation remaining as on 15.03.2020, if any, shall become available with effect from 03.10.2021.
- II. In cases where the limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 02.10.2021, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 03.10.2021. In the event the actual balance period of limitation remaining, with effect from 03.10.2021, is greater than 90 days, that longer period shall apply.
- III. The period from 15.03.2020 till 02.10.2021 shall also stand excluded in computing the periods prescribed under Sections 23 (4) and 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, Section 12A of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 and provisos (b) and (c) of Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 and any other laws, which prescribe period(s) of limitation for instituting proceedings, outer limits (within which the court or tribunal can condone delay) and termination of

proceedings.

- IV. The Government of India shall amend the guidelines for containment zones, to state.

"Regulated movement will be allowed for medical emergencies, provision of essential goods and services, and other necessary functions, such as, time bound applications, including for legal purposes, and educational and job-related requirements."

.....CJI.
[N. V. RAMANA]

.....J.
[L. NAGESWARA RAO]

.....J.
[SURYA KANT]

New Delhi,
September 23, 2021.

ITEM NO.301 Court 1 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Miscellaneous Application No.665/2021 in SMW(C) No.3/2020

IN RE COGNIZANCE FOR EXTENSION OF LIMITATION

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

XXXX

Respondent(s)

IA No. 55865/2021 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION
IA No. 116735/2021 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 80945/2021 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 90588/2021 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 65908/2021 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 55869/2021 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 83300/2021 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION
IA No. 80949/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT
IA No. 68800/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT
IA No. 68797/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT
IA No. 80992/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT
IA No. 80989/2021 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION
IA No. 116732/2021 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION
IA No. 90585/2021 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION
IA No. 83297/2021 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION
IA No. 55867/2021 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT
IA No. 65905/2021 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

Date : 23-09-2021 This application was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE L. NAGESWARA RAO
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT

For Appearing parties

For UOI Mr. K.K. Venugopal, AG
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, AOR

For applicant(s) Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, Adv.
Mr. Manoj K. Mishra, Adv.
Dr. Joseph S. Aristotle, Adv.
Ms. Diksha Rai, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Jain, Adv.

Mr. Atulesh Kumar, Adv.
Dr. Aman Hingorani, Adv.
Ms. Anzu Varkey, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Aljo Joseph, Adv.
Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Ramkrishna, AOR

For State of A.P.

Mr. S. Niranjan Reddy, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mahfooz Ahsan Nazki, AOR
Mr. Polanki Gowtham, Adv.
Mr. Shaik Mohamad Haneef, Adv.
Mr. T. Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy, Adv.
Mr. Amitabh Sinha, Adv.
Mr. K.V. Girish Chowdary, Adv.

For Patna High Court

Mr. P.H. Parekh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sameer Parekh, Adv.
Mr. Kshatrashal Raj, Adv.
Ms. Tanya Chaudhry, Adv.
Ms. Pratyusha Priyadarshini, Adv.
Ms. Nitika Pandey, Adv.

For Registrar General, High Court of Meghalaya

Mr. Soumya Chakraborty, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.
Ms. Shashi Pathak, Adv.

For Election Commission of India

Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Dipesh Sinha, Adv.
Ms. Pallavi Barua, Adv.
Mr. Prateek Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Nijhawan, Adv.
Mr. Aman Bhalla, Adv.
Ms. Anindita Mitra, AOR

Mr. Pawan Reley, Adv.
Mr. Akshay Lodhi, Adv.
Mr. Vinod Sharma, AOR
Mr. Joydip Roy, Adv.
Mr. Sajal Awasthi, Adv.
Mr. Binod Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Parijat Som, Adv.

For High Court of Allahabad

Mr. Yashvardhan, Adv.
Mr. Apoorv Shukla, Adv.
Ms. Smita Kant, Adv.
Ms. Ishita Farsaiya, Adv.
Ms. Prabhleen Kaur, Adv.
Ms. Kritika Nagpal, Adv.

For High Court of
M.P.

Ms. Bhavya Bhatia, Adv.

Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR
Mr. Aakash Nandolia, Adv.
Ms. Sagun Srivastava, Adv.

For Gauhati High
Court

Ms. Sunieta Ojha, AOR

Mr. P. I. Jose, AOR
Mr. Prashant K. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Jenis V. Francis, Adv.

For State of
Meghalaya

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv.

For Bombay &
Gujarat High Court

Mr. Sahil Tagotra, AOR

Mr. A.P. Mayee, Adv.

M/S. Vkc Law Offices, AOR

Mr. Mukesh K. Giri, AOR

For Calcutta
High Court

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR
Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.

For Arunachal
Pradesh

Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, Adv.
Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.

For High Court of
Chhattisgarh

Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Adv.
Ms. Nidhi Mittal, Adv.

For High Court of
Delhi

Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.
Mr. Dhruv Tamta, Adv.

Ms. Pratibha Jain, AOR

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, AOR

Mr. Divyakant Lahoti, AOR
Mr. Parikshit Ahuja, Adv.
Ms. Praveena Bisht, Adv.
Ms. Madhur Jhavar, Adv.
Ms. Vindhya Mehra, Adv.
Mr. Kartik Lahoti, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Maheshwari, Adv.
Ms. Shivangi Malhotra, Adv.

For High Court of
Jharkhand

Mr. Tapes Kumar Singh, AOR
Mr. Aditya Pratap Singh, Adv.
Mrs. L. Bhaswati Singh, Adv.

Mr. Aditya Narayan Das, Adv.

Ms. Uttara Babbar, AOR
Mr. Manan Bansal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We dispose of the M.A. No.665 of 2021 with the following
directions: -

- I. In computing the period of limitation for any suit, appeal, application or proceeding, the period from 15.03.2020 till 02.10.2021 shall stand excluded. Consequently, the balance period of limitation remaining as on 15.03.2020, if any, shall become available with effect from 03.10.2021.
- II. In cases where the limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 02.10.2021, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 03.10.2021. In the event the actual balance period of limitation remaining, with effect from 03.10.2021, is greater than 90 days, that longer period shall apply.
- III. The period from 15.03.2020 till 02.10.2021 shall also stand excluded in computing the periods prescribed under Sections 23 (4) and 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, Section 12A of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 and provisos (b) and

(c) of Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 and any other laws, which prescribe period(s) of limitation for instituting proceedings, outer limits (within which the court or tribunal can condone delay) and termination of proceedings.

IV. The Government of India shall amend the guidelines for containment zones, to state.

"Regulated movement will be allowed for medical emergencies, provision of essential goods and services, and other necessary functions, such as, time bound applications, including for legal purposes, and educational and job-related requirements."

As a sequel to disposal of MA No.665/2021, pending interlocutory applications, including the applications for intervention/impleadment, also stand disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
COURT MASTER (NSH)
(Signed reportable order is placed on the file)

OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE (HQ): DELHI

No. 116 - 116 Genl/HCS/2022

Most Urgent/Out at once

Dated, Delhi the 03 JAN 2022

Sub: Circulation of Corrected Order/Judgment dated 23/09/2021 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in M.A. No. 665 of 2021 in *Suo Moto Writ Petition (Civil) No. 3 of 2020* titled as "In Re-Cognizance for extension of limitation" for information and necessary compliance.

1. All the Judicial Officers posted in Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi.
 2. The Ld. Registrar General, Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, New Delhi for information.
 3. PS to Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge (HQs), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi for information.
 4. The Chairman, Website Committee, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi with the request to direct the concerned official to upload the same on the Website of Delhi District Courts.
 5. The Director (Academies), Delhi Judicial Academy, Dwarka, New Delhi for information as requested vide letter no.DJA/Dir.(Acad)/2019/4306 dated 06.08.2019.
- Dealing Assistant, R&I Branch for uploading the same on LAYERS.
For uploading the same on Centralized Website through LAYERS.

Encl.: As above.

(RAKESH PANDIT)

Officer in Charge, Genl. Branch, (C)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi