Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)



AMARAVATI, Dt:27.10.2021.

ROC No. 114-A/JUDL/2021

To

All the Unit Heads in the State of Andhra Pradesh.



Sir/Madam.

As directed, I am herewith communicating the order dated 07.10.2021 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Satender Kumar Antil vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and another in SLP (Crl.) No.5191 of 2021 and further requested to circulate copy of the order to all the Officers/Courts working in Your Unit, for compliance of the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, scrupulously, without any deviation.

PRINCIPAL DISTRICT COURT, EAST GODAVARI AT RAJAMAHENDRAVARAM
DATED - 30.08.2022

Communicated to all the Judicial Officers in the Unit of East Godavari District for compliance with the direction issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, scrupulously without any deviation and requested to download the order copy of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in "Satender Kumar Antil Versus Central Bureau of Investigation & another" in Special Leave Petition (Crl.)No.5191 of 2021.

30-8-2022

P. V 770H-

To
All the Judicial Officers working in the Unit including the
Secretary, District Legal Services Authority, Rajamahendravaram.

Copy to the Superintendent (Translator), Rajamahendravaram.

Copy to the System Officer-In-charge is directed to upload the order copy in the District Court website in East Godavari at "Circular Notice".

3 ok

All Communications Should be Addressed to Registrar by Designation and not by Name. Pin Code - 110001

From:

The Assistant Registrar, Supreme Court of India, New Delhi.

To,

strar (Jud

RECEIVED

OCI

*1 THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD, DISTRICT- ALLAHABAD, UTTAR PRADESH (REF: CRL. MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL

NO. 7598 OF 2021)

29

for the State

THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH, AMARAVATI, DISTRICT- HYDERABAD, ANDHRA PRADESH

3 THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY, DISTRICT- MUMBAI, MAHARASHTRA

THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA, DISTRICT- KOLKATA, WEST BENGAL

THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, DISTRICT- BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

6 THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF DELHI, DISTRICT- NEW DELHI, DELHI

THE REGISTRAR, GAUHATI HIGH COURT, DISTRICT- GUWAHATI, ASSAM

8 THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT, DISTRICT- AHMEDABAD, GUJARAT

THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, DISTRICT- SHIMLA, HIMACHAL PRADESH

10 THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR PID: 118395/2021 IN SLP(CRL) AND LADAKH, DISTRICT- SRINAGAR, JAMMU & KASHMIR

11 THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, <u> Delivery Mode: Registered</u>

D. No. 15509/2021 /SEC-II SUPREME COURT OF INDIA NEW DELHI 09th October, 2021

Z 0 DCT 2021

High Court for Telangana, hyusi

PID: 118386/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II) ECTION

PID: 118387/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

PID: 118388/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

PID: 118389/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

PID: 118390/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

PID: 118391/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

PID: 118392/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

PID: 118393/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

PID: 118394/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

strar (Juoy

ECEIVED

Landhi

PID: 118396/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

DISTRICT- RANCHI, JHARKHAND

12 THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, DISTRICT- BANGALORE, KARNATAKA

PID: 118397/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

13 THE REGISTRAR. HIGH COURT OF KERALA, DISTRICT- ERNAKULAM, KERALA

PID: 118398/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

14 THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH, DISTRICT- JABALPUR, MADHYA PRADESH

PID: 118399/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

15 THE REGISTRAR. MADRAS HIGH COURT, DISTRICT- CHENNAI, TAMIL NADU

PID: 118400/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

16 THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR AT IMPHAL, DISTRICT- IMPHAL EAST*, MANIPUR

PID: 118401/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

17 THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA, DISTRICT- EAST GARO HILLS, SMILLONG NO.5191/2021 (SEC II) **MEGHALAYA**

PID: 118402/2021 IN SLP(CRL)

18 THE REGISTRAR. HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, DISTRICT- CUTTACK, ORISSA

PID: 118403/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

19 THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA, NO.5191/2021 (SEC II) DISTRICT- PATNA, BIHAR

PID: 118404/2021 IN SLP(CRL)

20 THE REGISTRAR. HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, NO.5191/2021 (SEC II) DISTRICT- CHANDIGARH, CHANDIGARH

PID: 118405/2021 IN SLP(CRL)

21 THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN, DISTRICT- JODHPUR, RAJASTHAN

PID: 118406/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

22 THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM, GANGTOK, DISTRICT EAST, SIKKIM

PID: 118407/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

23 THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA, DISTRICT- HYDERABAD, TELANGANA

PID: 118408/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

24 THE REGISTRAR. HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA, AGARTALA, DISTRICT-GOMATI, TRIPURA

PID: 118409/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

25 THE REGISTRAR. HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL, DISTRICT- NAINITAL, UTTARAKHAND

PID: 118410/2021 IN SLP(CRL) NO.5191/2021 (SEC II)

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) No. 5191 OF 2021

(From the Judgment and Order dated the 01st July, 2021 of the HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD, , UTTAR PRADESH in CRMABA No. 7598 of 2021)

SATENDER KUMAR ANTIL

Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

VERSUS

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION & ANR.

... Respondent(s)

Sir,

* In continuation of this registry's letter dated 28.07.2021 and 16.09.2021, please find enclosed herewith a certified copy of this Hon'ble Court's Record of Proceeding/Order/Judgment dated **07th October, 2021**, passed in the matter above mentioned, along with schedule containing full cause title of the parties, for necessary action.

You are requested to communicate this order to respective benches of high court and concerned trial courts for necessary compliance.

Please acknowledge receipt.

Yours faithfully,

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1 Mr. Akbar Siddique (adv.) c-15, Basement, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi 110013 New Delhi , Delhi

2 Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma (adv.) 207-b Phase Ii Pocket A Mayur Vihar Delhi-91 New Delhi , Delhi

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ITEM NO.15 Court 6 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION II

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 5191/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 01-07-2021 in CRMABA No. 7598/2021 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad)

SATENDER KUMAR ANTIL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 105098/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT

IA No. 105096/2021 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION

IA No. 90323/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date: 07-10-2021 The matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Akbar Siddique, AOR

Mr. Rajneesh Chuni, Adv.

Mr. Malik Javed Ansari, Adv.

Mr. Chirag Madan, Adv.

Mr. Hardik Rupal, Adv.

Mr. Parv Garg, Adv.

Mr. Adeel Talib, Adv.

Mr. Fareed Siddiqui, Adv.

Mr. Shashank Gaurav, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. S.V. Raju, Ld. ASG

Ms. Sairica Raju, Adv.

Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.

Ms. Priyanka Das, Adv.

Mr. Udai Khanna, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

Mr. Vikram Chaudhary, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Pranjal Krishna, Adv.

Mr. E.C. Agrawala, AOR

Mr. Vikram Chaudhri, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Harshit Sethi, Adv.

Mr. Keshavam Chaudhri, Adv.

Ms. Anzu. K. Varkey, AOR

Ms. Ria Khanna, Adv.

Mr. Kapil Dahiya, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

Application for intervention is allowed.

We have been provided assistance both by Mr. S.V. Raju, learned Additional Solicitor General and Mr. Sidharth Luthra, learned senior counsel and there is broad unanimity in terms of the suggestions made by learned ASG. In terms of the suggestions, the offences have been categorized and guidelines are sought to be laid down for grant of bail, without fettering the discretion of the courts concerned and keeping in mind the statutory provisions.

We are inclined to accept the guidelines and make them a part of the order of the Court for the benefit of the Courts below. The guidelines are as under:

"Categories/Types of Offences

- A) Offences punishable with imprisonment of 7 years or less not falling in category B & D.
- B) Offences punishable with death, imprisonment for life, or imprisonment for more than 7 years.
- C) Offences punishable under Special Acts

containing stringent provisions for bail like NDPS (S.37), PMLA (S.45), UAPA (S.43D(5), Companies Act, 212(6), etc.

D) Economic offences not covered by Special Acts.

REQUISITE CONDITIONS

- Not arrested during investigation.
- 2) Cooperated throughout in the investigation including appearing before Investigating Officer whenever called.

(No need to forward such an accused along with the chargesheet (Siddharth Vs. State of UP, 2021 SCC online SC 615)

CATEGORY A

After filing of chargesheet/complaint taking of cognizance

- a) Ordinary summons at the 1st instance/including permitting appearance through Lawyer.
- b) If such an accused does not appear despite service of summons, then Bailable Warrant for physical appearance may be issued.
- c) NBW on failure to failure to appear despite issuance of Bailable Warrant.
- d) NBW may be cancelled or converted into a Bailable Warrant/Summons without insisting physical appearance of accused, if such an application is moved on behalf of the accused before execution of the NBW on an undertaking of the accused to appear physically on the next date/s of hearing.
- e) Bail applications of such accused on appearance may be decided w/o the accused being taken in physical custody or by granting interim bail till the bail application is decided.

CATEGORY B/D

On appearance of the accused in Court pursuant to process issued bail application to be decided on merits.

CATEGORY C

Same as Category B & D with the additional condition of compliance of the provisions of Bail uner NDPS S. 37, 45 PMLA, 212(6) Companies Act 43 d(5) of UAPA, POSCO etc."

Needless to say that the category A deals with both police cases and complaint cases.

The trial Courts and the High Courts will keep mind the aforesaid auidelines considering bail applications. The caveat which has been put by learned ASG is that where the accused have not cooperated in the investigation nor appeared before the Investigating Officers, answered summons when the Court feels that judicial custody of the accused is necessary for completion of the trial, where further investigation including a possible recovery is needed, aforesaid approach cannot give them benefit, something we agree with.

We may also notice an aspect submitted by Mr. Luthra that while issuing notice to consider bail, the trial Court is not precluded from granting

interim bail taking into consideration the conduct of the accused during the investigation which has not warranted arrest. On this aspect also we would give our imprimatur and naturally the bail application to be ultimately considered, would be guided by the statutory provisions.

The suggestions of learned ASG which we have adopted have categorized a separate set of offences as "economic Offences" not covered by the special Acts. In this behalf, suffice to say on the submission of Mr. Luthra that this Court in Sanjay Chandra vs.CBI, (2012) 1 SCC 40 has observed in para 39 that in determining whether to grant bail both aspects have to be taken into account:

- a) seriousness of the charge and
- b) severity of punishment.

Thus, it is not as if economic offences are completely taken out of the aforesaid guidelines but do form a different nature of offences and thus the seriousness of the charge has to be taken into account but simultaneously, the severity of the punishment imposed by the statute would also be a factor.

We appreciate the assistance given by the learned counsels and the positive approach adopted by the learned ASG.

The SLP stands disposed of and the matter

6

need not be listed further.

A copy of this order be circulated to the Registrars of the different High Courts to be further circulated to the trial Courts so that the unnecessary bail matters do not come up to this Court.

This is the only purpose for which we have issued these guidelines, but they are not fettered on the powers of the Courts.

Pending applications stand disposed of.

[CHARANJEET KAUR] ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS COURT MASTER (NSH)

[POONAM VAID]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

4-34

[ORDER XXII RULE.2 (1)]

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

(Under Article 136 of the Constitution of India)

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO. 519) OF 2021

(Arising out of the Impugned final judgment and order dated 01.07 2021 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad Allahabad in CRIMINAL MISC ANTICIPATORY BAIL No. 7598/2021.)

IN THE MATTER OF:

Satender Kumar Antil

CBI & Anr

Versus

Respondents

POSITION OF PARTIES

BETWEEN:

BEFORE BEFORE THIS

District HIGH

HON'BLE

Court COURT

COURT

Satender Kumar Antil

R/o 563, Ground Floor

Section 4, Vaishali, I.E

Sahibabad, Ghaziabad,

Uttar Pradesh 201010

Applicant

Petitioner

Petitioner

1. Central Bureau Of Investigation
Through Dinecton
Anti-Corruption Branch, Ghaziabad

Room No 133-139. Ist Floor

CGO, Complex, Hapur Road,

Kamala Nehru Nagar, Ghaziabad

Uttar Pradesh.

Respondent No. 1 Respondent No. 1 Respondent No. 1

2. Sh Ranpal Singh

S/o Amal Singh

Partner M/s Black Eagle

Security and Allied Services

R/o GG 36 SNG Plaza, Omega I

Near Pari Chowk Greator Noida.

Uttar Pradesh.

Respondent No.2 Respondent No.2

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 136 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

To.

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE OF INDIA AND HIS COMPANION JUSTICE OF THE HON'BLE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA.