SUNITHA GANDHAM REGISTRAR (VIGILANCE) FAC.REGISTRAR (ENQUIRIES)



AMARAVATI Date: 09.02.2023

R.O.C.NO.20/OP CELL-E/2023

To

All the Unit Heads.

Sub: District Judiciary - Email dated 08.02.2023 received from Supreme Court of India, New Delhi - MA.No.2022 in MA No.1849 of 2021 in SLP (Crl.) No.5191 of 2021, dated 06.02.2023 - Satender Kumar Antil Vs.Central Bureau of Investigation - Information Called for - Regarding.

Ref: High Court's letter dated 09-02-2023 from the Registrar (Judicial), HighCourt of Andhra Pradesh.

Adverting to the subject and reference cited supra, as per the direction of Hon'ble Supreme Court, I request you to furnish the Court-wise information in the prescribed Proformas (Part-A and Part-B) on or before 13.02.2023 to the email of soopcellhighcourt@gmail.com, so as to enable the Registry to transmit the same to the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

This may be treated as MOST URGENT and Proformas are enclosed herewith.

Yours sincerely,

FAC.REGISTRAR (ENQUIRIES)

DISTRICT COURT, KRISHNA, MACHILIPATNAM, DT.10.02.2023.

While enclosing the Formats in Part-A & Part-B received from the Hon'ble High Court of A.P, the Officers are requested to go through the contents of Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in MA.No.2022 in MA No.1849 of 2021 in SLP (Crl.) No.5191 of 2021, dated 06.02.2023 in Satender Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and submit the information in Part-A & Part-B by 10.30 AM on 13.02.2023 to the email address of soopcellhighcourt@gmail.com so as to submit the same to the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. This may be treated as most Urgent (One copy of Statement to be submitted to the District Court email to the District Court email krishnadistrictcourt@gmail.com also.

Dis No: 1167

PRINCIPAL DISTRICT JUDGE, KRISHNA, MACHILIPATNAM.

Hon'ble High Court's Letter dt.09.02.2023 along with Two Formats in Part-A & Part-B (The information is sent to the mail address of the Courts and also web site of Krishna district

Copy to:

1.All the Judicial Officers in the District

2.Court Manager, District Court, Krishna, Machilipatnam for information

3.System Officer, District Court, Krishna, Machilipatnam with a request to place the Letter and two Formats in the web site of Krishna district.

PART A

District and Courtwise details:

| | | | No. |
|--|--|---|---|
| | | | Name of the Court |
| | | | No the Court the direction issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as "Arnesh Kumar vs State of Bihar" (2014) 8 SCC 273 is being made specially with regard to section 41 and 41 A of CrPC |
| | | | whether any accused has been granted bail due to non-compliance of section 41 and 41 A CrPC |
| | | | whether courts are insisting for bail application while considering the bail application under section 88, 170, 204 & 209 CrPC |
| | | | insisting for bail laid down in the application while considering the bail application under section 88, 170, 204 & 209 CrPC laid down in the laid down in the judgement passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Sidharth Vs state of UP (2021) 1 SCC 676 is being strictly complied with. |
| | | | whether directions Whether the passed in Bhim Singh vs regular bail UOI (2015) 13 SCC 605 application are for release of Under trial decided within 2 Prisoners eligible for bail weeks. under section 436A of CrPC are being complied with. |
| | | | Whether the regular bail application are decided within 2 weeks. |
| | | , | Whether the anticipatory bail application are bing decided within six weeks. |

District and Courtwise details:

| | | | | No SI. |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | the Court |
| | | | | Sl. Name of No. of Under trial No the Prisoners identified who Court are unable to comply with the bail condition (List is to be enclosed) Whether the under to prisoners mentioned column 3 have been informed about their right u/s 440 (2) Cr |
| | | | | rial l in |
| | | | | Total no of applications received under Section 440(2) CrPC (List to be annexed) |
| | | | | Number of Regular bail applications not decided within 2 weeks of institution. Number of anticipato bail applications not decided within six weeks of institution. |
| | | | | Number of Regular bail applications not decided within 2 weeks of institution. Number of anticipatory bail applications not decided within six weeks of institution. |