## MOST IMMEDIATE

No. P-17024/27/2003-RC Government of India Ministry of Rural Development (Department of Rural Development) \*\*\*\*

> Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi, Dated the 11th August, 2005.

To

Shri P.K. Mohanti,

Secretary,

Rural Development Department,

/पीएस /स प्राति पं रा Dehradun.

Subject: Implementation of Phase III (2003-04) of PMGSY in Uttaranchal -

Sir,

I am directed to refer Government of Uttaranchal letters No. 968/MO1-11/URRDA/05 dated 5th July 2005 and No. 970/MO1-11/URRDA/05 dated 5th July 2005 on the subject mentioned above and to convey the approval of the Government of India for deletion of the following road works from the list sanctioned under Phase III (2003-04) of PMGSY:-

- i) Pindari Gotha Motor Road in Udham Singh Nagar district.
- ii) Khamia Block-3 (L-27) -Daura Dam Colony Road in Udham
- I am also directed to convey the approval of the Government of India to the revision in the length of Km 325 of SH-41 to Chandranagar road in Nainital district from 9.70 kms. to 4.00 kms. and the cost from Rs. 76.98 lakh to Rs. 28.74 lakh.
- As regards the road work viz. Tauli Binhar Motor Road in Vikas Nagar block of Dehradun district, the following clarification may please be

....2/-

- a) The alignment approved for the road work from Tauli to Binhar has been depicted differently in CN1 Format and the Vikas Nagar Block Map. As such, the correct position of alignment compatible with both of them may be intimated to this Ministry, after necessary reconciliation.
- b) The proposed modified alignment for connecting Lehanga and Binhar appears to be part of L022 and L030. The correct position is required may be shown on the map after making necessary corrections in the Core Network before sending it to the Ministry of Rural development for approval.
- Nagar district, it has been observed that in the new alignment of Khassi Bag to Eichta via village Gidhaur, the Habitation of Khassi Bag is not in the Core Network of the Block. Therefore, the proposed alignment may be verified with respect to the Core Network of the Block and intimated to this Ministry. As regards the proposal for sanction of 80m span bridge, the State Government is to bear the pro-rata cost beyond 25m as per the PMGSY Guidelines. Accordingly, the concurrence of the State Government for bearing the cost of the bridge beyond 25 metre span along with a separate DPR for the bridge may be sent to this Ministry for consideration.
- 5. The revisions proposed by Government of Uttaranchal, in respect of the road works sanctioned under Phase III (2003-04) of PMGSY, indicate that adequate care was not taken by the PIU while preparing the DPRs/submitting the proposals. The State Government is, therefore, requested to put in place a mechanism to check the DPRs to avoid recurrence of such mistakes necessitating revision of the proposals after Ministry of Rural Development has given its approval.

Yours faithfully,

(C.R.K Nair)

Director (RC) Tel. No. 23386378