



Very Important/Urgent

**OFFICE OF DIRECTOR SECONDARY EDUCATION HARYANA
PANCHKULA**

Order No. 10/1-2021 Admn(4)

Dated, Panchkula the

A copy of letter No. DA/CS/54944 dated 03.05.2024 received from Chief Secretary to Government, Haryana regarding CWP No. 10020 of 2024 titled as Twinle Vs. State of Haryana and others is forwarded to the following for information & necessary action:-

1. Director, ^{General}Elementary Education Haryana, Panchkula.
2. Director SCERT Haryana, Gurgram.
3. All the District Education Officers in the State.
4. District Attorney (HQ).
5. All Officers/Superintendents Secondary (HQ).
6. Superintendent Coordination Branch (S).
7. Superintendent Admn. (Elementary).
8. PA/DSE.
9. PA/Additional Director (MSS).
10. PA/ Additional Director Administration.
11. PA/ Joint Director Admn.
12. PA/ Additional Director Academic.
13. All the Assistants Admn. Branch (Sec.)
14. IT Cell (HQ) for website.


SUPERINTENDENT ADMN.
for DIRECTOR SECONDARY EDUCATION
HARYANA PANCHKULA

1776 Ad(4)
9/5/24

From

The Chief Secretary to Government Haryana
Chandigarh

To

1. All the Administrative Secretaries to Govt. of Haryana.
2. All Head of the Departments in the State of Haryana.
3. The Managing Directors/Chief Administrators of all the Boards/Corporations in the State of Haryana.
4. All the Divisional Commissioners in the State of Haryana.
5. All the Deputy Commissioners in the State of Haryana.

Memo No. DA/CS/ 54944 Dated: 03.05.2024

ACSE (O.L)
6.5.24
ACSE
6/5/24


Subject:- CWP No. 10020 of 2024 titled as Twinkle Vs. State of Haryana and others.

DA

I have been directed to refer to the subject noted above and to say that the Hon'ble High Court disposed of CWP No. 10020 of 2024 titled as "Twinkle Vs. State of Haryana and others" vide order dated 02.05.2024 to consider and decide the representation received from the petitioner for joining alongwith notional benefit against the post of steno typist under EPBG category within a period of four weeks and after decision any benefit accruing to the petitioner will also be released. The office of Advocate General, Haryana vide D.O. letter dated 03.05.2024 has communicated that the claims of similarly situated employees be considered and decided on parity to avoid unnecessary filing of the writ petitions in the High Court. A copy of the D.O. letter received from the office of Advocate General, Haryana is enclosed herewith for perusal and necessary action.

You are, therefore, requested to take immediate necessary action in the matter under intimation to this office.

ACSSE.....
No. 24957.....
Date 6/5/24


District Attorney
for Chief Secretary to Govt. Haryana

Endst. No. DA/CS/

Dated: 03.05.2024

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department
2. The Special Secretary, Human Resources Department.

District Attorney
for Chief Secretary to Govt. Haryana

ADAN 09/5/24
S. Adnan.
09/5/24
04-4

HARISH NAIN




D.O. No. 1438 H/AG

सहायक महाधिवक्ता, हरियाणा।

Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana. 03.05.2024

Dated, the: _____

दिनांक : _____



Subject: CWP No. 10020 of 2024 titled as Twinkle Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.

Dear

The above mentioned writ petition seeking writ of mandamus directing the official-respondents to give joining to the petitioner alongwith notional benefit for the post of Steno-Typist (Both Language) under the EBPB category was listed for hearing before Hon'ble Mr. Justice H.S.Sethi on 2nd May, 2024. The petitioner has relied upon various orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court which have been annexed as Annexures P-19 to P-22 and P-24 to P-26 passed in case of similarly EPBG Category situated employees. The Hon'ble Court has disposed of the writ petition vide order dated 2nd May, 2024 on the statement made on behalf of the State that the representation received in Office of the concerned authority will be decided by the concerned authority within a period of four weeks and after decision of the representation, any benefit accruing to the petitioner will also be released.

Similar writ petitions are coming up for hearing on day to day basis before the Court whereby the candidates recommended by the Commission are seeking direction to the respondent-State to give them joining on the advertisement. You are requested to decide the claim of the similarly situated employees on parity to avoid unnecessarily filing of the writ petitions in the Hon'ble High Court.

The petitioner has relied upon writ petitions being CWP No. 8861 of 2022 titled as Sushil Kumar & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors., decided on 14.11.2022, CWP No. 12095 of 2022 titled as Mohit Vs. State of Haryana & Ors., decided on 30.05.2022, CWP No. 20307 of 2021 titled as Dharam Pal & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors., decided on 10.01.2023, RA-CW-18-2023 in CWP No. 20307 of 2021 titled as Dharam Pal & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors., decided on 31.01.2023, CWP No. 3619 of 2024 titled as Praveen Kumar & anr. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors., decided on 27.02.2024, CWP No. 7248 of 2024 titled as Manish Vs. State of Haryana & Ors., decided on 01.04.2024, CWP No. 7252 of 2024 titled as Sohan Lal Vs. State of Haryana & Ors., decided on 01.04.2024 (P-19 to P-22, P-24 to P-26) and the Judgment rendered in CWP No. 28996 of 2023 titled as Amit Kumar &

Praveen Kumar

Dates
3/5
PT-putup
DDA