

**Before**

**UTTARAKHAND ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**Misc. Application No. 13 of 2026**

**In the matter of:**

Application seeking re-determination of Tariff for Galogi SHP (3.5 MW) of UJVN Ltd. under Section 62, 86(1)(a) and 94(1)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with relevant Regulations, Orders and Guidelines of the Commission.

**In the matter of:**

UJVN Ltd.

... Petitioner

**AND**

**In the matter of:**

Uttarakhand Power Corporation Ltd.

... Respondent

**CORAM**

**Shri M.L. Prasad**

**Chairman**

**Shri Anurag Sharma**

**Member (Law)**

**Shri Prabhat Kishor Dimri**

**Member (Technical)**

**Date of Hearing: May 15, 2026**

**Date of Order: May 15, 2026**

Heard the Petitioner and the Respondent in the matter.

The Commission observes that the present Petition has been filed seeking re-determination of tariff of Galogi SHP on account of capital expenditure incurred towards RMU works, which were completed on 22.02.2022.

The Commission further notes that the Petitioner had approached the Commission on 11.02.2026 by way of Petition, i.e. after a lapse of almost four years from the date of completion of the RMU and had requested for condonation of delay on the basis of various reasons for delay as stated in the Petition. UPCL had also objected to the inordinate delay in filing the Petition by UJVN Ltd. UPCL during the hearing submitted that proper prudence check may be carried out before allowing the claims of UJVN Ltd. wherein it appears that they had no objection in the admittance of the application.

Although the justification for delay given by the Petitioner is not fully convincing and could well have been avoided. However, it is noteworthy that the Regulation also does not specify any generic tariff for projects undergoing RMU and it cannot be overlooked that substantial expenditure has been incurred by the Petitioner towards renovation and modernization of the Plant, which has reportedly resulted in enhancement of generation and the benefit of the same are being derived by the Respondent at a comparatively lower price. The Petitioner has further submitted that the delay has not caused any additional financial implication of IDC due to time overrun, and in fact the Petitioner has been able to achieve a much higher generation of 8.08 MUs in place of 2.81 MUs after RMU. The Petitioner further pointed out that the per unit cost claimed through its Petition is only Rs. 4.12/kWh whereas the generic tariff applicable is Rs. 6.33/kWh. From perusal of provisions of RE Regulations, 2018 it appears that the principles as applicable for entertaining the Petition for project specific tariff as per Regulation 13 of RE Regulations, 2018 cannot be squarely applied to the Petition for determination of tariff for RMU under the said Regulation.

The Commission is of the view that outright rejection of the Petition at this stage may not only have adverse financial implications for the Petitioner but can give rise to various other complications. Accordingly, in the peculiar facts and circumstances in the matter and considering the implication of rejection of the Petition, in the interest of justice the Commission decides to condone the delay and admit the Petition upon the condition of the Petitioner depositing an amount of Rs. 51,000/- in "Mukhya Mantri Rahat Kosh", Uttarakhand (SBI A/c No. 30395954328).

Therefore, in the light of above discussion, the Petition is admitted and UPCL is directed to file its comments/reply on the merits of the Petition within 45 days from the date of this Order, with an advance copy to the Petitioner.

Ordered Accordingly.

**(Prabhat Kishor Dimri)**  
Member (Technical)

**(Anurag Sharma)**  
Member (Law)

**(M.L. Prasad)**  
Chairman