

LAW COMMISSION OF INDIA

FOURTEENTH REPORT

(REFORM OF JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION)

[VOL. II—CHAPTERS 30—57]

MINISTRY OF LAW GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

CONTENTS

Снарт	Vor., I <u>I</u>		Page No
I29	(Vot. I)		FAGE NO.
30.	₩rin		557-670
31.	Administrative Rodies		67x— 6 98
3±.	Law Referm and Legislation		699-713
33.	Organization of Cominal Courts		714-732
34-	Investigation by the Police		733—764
35.	Prosecuting Agency-Director of Public Prosecutions		7 65—7 75
. 36-	Debtys in Criminal Triels and Inquiries		776—788
97.	Committee Proceedings		789-797
3Ĥ-	Criminal Appeals		798—8E9
394	Criminal Revisions and Inherens Practs		8208 3 0
40.	Admittation of Criminal Justice General		831—845
41.	Separation of Indicial and Executive Functions .		850 - 863
42-	Trial by Jury		864-873
45-	Proceedings		874 905
44.	Anklhre Predesh		926-947
45.	Assau		948-964
46.	Bihar		965—989
47-	Rombin		990—1016
4ñ.	Korala		10171034
49.	Medbya Predestrick		1035 - 1052
дa.	Medray		1053— 105 9
51.	Myacre		1070—1081
52	Onset		10-4-1100
53.	Punjab		1110-1127
34	Rojaschen ,		1128 1152
55-	Otter Pradesh		1153E184
16	West Bengal		1185-1221
57.	Ministry of Justice and Implementation of Recommenda	tions .	1222—1226
	Note by Slari V. K. T. Clari		1237—1229
	Note by Dr. N. C. Sep Gupu		1230—1241
			• .

APPENDICES

			PAGE NO.
APPENDIX I			
Questionauire .			. 1242—1264
Др ети озк II			
List of Wittester state	numed by the Law C	commission .	J265—1282

I. The jurisdiction conferred by the Constitution on all jurisdiction . the High Courts by article 226 is a notable advance upon under ordthe position that existed in regard to the issue of prerogative writs before the advent of the Constitution. Almost in identical words, the Constitution conferred similar jurisdiction on the Supreme Court restricting it to cases of the infringement of Fundamental Rights.

Prior to the commencement of the Constitution, the Pro-Consjurisdiction to issue prerogative write existed only in the invitoral three High Courts of Calculta, Madras and Bombay in the position. exercise of their original jurisdiction. These High Courts were held to have derived this jurisdiction by reason of their having inherited it from the Charter establishing the Supreme Courts in the three Presidency Towns. Not only was the power exercised by the three High Courts confined to the local limits of their original jurisdiction but it was restricted to the authority to issue writs vested in the Courts of the King's Bench Division of the High Court in England at the time of the establishment of the Supreme Courts in these towns.

- 3. Under the Constitution, every High Court has now Present been vested with this jurisdiction. The jurisdiction extends position. throughout the territories in relation to which the High Court exercises jurisdiction so that its ambit has been greatly onlarged and includes the territory over which the High Court exercises not only original but also appellate jurisdiction. The nature of the jurisdiction itself has been amphified by the use of the expression "directions, orders "or writs" including the specified writs mentioned in the article. Further, these directions, orders or writs can be issued not only for the enforcement of Fundamental Rights but also "for any other purpose",
- 4. The conferment of such a wide jurisdiction concur- The need rently, in the case of Fundamental Rights, on the High for it. Courts and the Supreme Court was, perhaps, inevitable. The constitution-makers, having included a bill of rights in the Constitution, had necessarily to provide for remedica for their enforcement. They also envisaged a Welfare State with its necessary mass of parliamentary and subordinote legislation which would involve constant interference with the normal life of the citizen. Such intense legislative activity and the enforcement of the regulations made under statutes by administrative agencies made it essential to formulate procedures which would enable the citizen to approach the courts to obtain speedy and effective redress

against an unconstitutional enactment or unwarranted executive action. Articles 32 and 226 would, therefore, seem to be an indispensable part of the structure creeted by our Constitution.

 It is not surprising that full advantage of the expeditions and effective remedy provided by orticle 226 of the Constitution has been taken. It is effective not only in that it confers wide powers on the courts to issue directions, orders or writs; it is effective also because of its small cost, Generally, the court fee on applications under article 228. has been rightly fixed at a very low figure. The charging of higher court fees on applications for vindication, among others, of Fundamental Rights would in most cases amount to a denial of these rights. The remedy has been expeditious because the High Courts have justifiably given the disposal of writ petitions precedence over other work by reason of the urgency of the questions involved. No doubt, in some High Courts, applications under article 226 have impeded the other work of the Courts. That, however, can be no ground for the abolition or curtailment of the scope of this provision. The constitution-makers intended the High Courts to shoulder this additional burden and if the grant of this jurisdiction requires additional strength in the High Courts, provision for such strength should not be grudged.

Need to strengthen the High Cours.

Beneficial effects.

The beneficial effects of this new jurisdiction cannot. he over-estimated. Its existence has made the citizen conscious that the State exists primarily for his good and that, under its laws, he has rights of which he can obtainquick enforcement by the highest court in the State at a very reasonable cost. The knowledge that a citizen can bring a matter in a summary manner before the courts in a few days' time after the promulgation of the law or order has made our Government departments wary in their actions. The very large number of statutes and orders which have been struck down by the High Courts in the exercise of their jurisdiction under article 226 is a powerful testimony to the effective nature and the essential utility of the remedy. Our endeavour, therefore, must be to preserve this wide and effective jurisdiction and help to make the remedy function with expedition so that it may truly serve its purpose.

No esse for curtailetest of justs-diction.

Writ Petitions : institutions and dispesals. 7. The statement below gives the figures of the institution, disposal and pendency of writ applications in the various High Courts during the years 1954—56.

Comparative Statement shawing the number of writ petitions (excluding unit appeals) instituted, disposed of and pending in the various High Courts for the year 1954, 1955 and 1956

Name of the St			L'Astra d'Arust 200	at the ag of the	Pegin-		cHured		T of pi	for disne	T3]		posed of		Fending th	ut the cli tyter	uec of	B
			1954	1955	i yań	1954	1953	1928	1954	1955	1955	1954	1935	1956	1954	1055	1956	- Remarks
	1		2	я	4	•	0	7	R	ע	10	ή.	[2	13	ta	rs	16	ry
yndlina 3	Nedesh		665 (A)	Rott	957	253	792	:177	any	iéya	uafig	4I	649	197	đafi	957	1637	(A) Thu figure 15.1 cates the penning to on 5-7-1957.
,m		٠.	,6	ņo	91	1:9	85	£2!	134	155	L#Z	ē4	ra4	72	7u	31	fic	ID) 712 writ retigion Wint disposed of h transfer ho che Andline High Court
uh = r	•	-	235	343		473	408	416	74 8	761	gEg	4P.a	348	469	347	37,	520	(E) (a) writ peri limis were dispute ed of by transfer to the Mysocc and Koreta High Court
ambiy			•27	313	255	6 78	1332	:616	1004	1345	1901	692	105c	1479	313	285	1^2	(P) tay writ post tions were received at by transfer from PCPSU.
ends.	•		142	100	301	209	460	(G)	361	<u>96a</u>	980	261	359	橋	100	:175	535	(G) cob writ pec). Cods word ceceiv- ed by transfer from Makers.
	төдевр		277	3 98	406	594	577	593	711	814	943	473	407	4611	138	çoğ	483	(II) is will yet; then were dispense of by transfer to Madrie.
adras			1601	61fi	¥ 35	317	1010	122 7	2413	1627	±3V∓	1612 (D)	792	(B)	őzś	435	1699	(M) 14 Writ parl- tions were received by transfer from Acrain High Count

	-			3	4				ŧ	2	tc 	11	LS	23	14	15	τ6	17
Mysoce+			7.2	;;;	N.A.	"",	717	N _i A.	215	358	N.A.	. 114	tijā	N.a,	171	51 9	N.A.	(*) The figures blacker desired to Magazen select to the official years 1954-1954 and 1962-1956.
Ociesa			ńΓ	367	594	456	416	ATI	452	707	1066	690	1),8	580	707	19.	484	
Punjub			260	997	399	852	740	992 (F)	1002	тону	1.501	900	643 -	66c	307	300	254	The shire-tation "N. A." stands for "SX wealthis",
Rajentoo	-	-	977	414	300	Gge	≫.,	491	J6Rg	777	772	1857	476	443	419	371	114	
Outer Pres	tesh		1474	1560	146	0,54	eati:	5001	3036,	3022	5570	7497	2479	2227	2500	746	3294	
West Hone	28		533	595	657	703	647	1067	جزود	1304	1724	459	585	583	S # 5	557	1111	

.

8. It appears from the figures which we have been able Extent to collect that a number of High Courts have just managed delays, to keep pace with the writ applications which have been filed before them from year to year. But some of them have undoubtedly lagged far behind. It is of the essence of the relief contemplated by article 226 that it should be very speedily granted. The delays in dealing with these applications not only inconvenience the citizen whose rights are threatened or infringed but they also hamper the State in the discharge of its manifold administrative functions. We notice that, in some of the High Courts, there were pending on the 1st January, 1957, writ applications filed in the years 1954 and 1955. Some have been pending for an even longer period, though this may be due to special reasons. The statement below shows the years of the institution of writ applications pending in the various High Courts on 1st January, 1957.

Comparative statement shotting the pandency of unit politicus (excluding unit appeals) in the various High Courts according to the year of institutions of the proceeding at on 1-1-1957

Name of t	hę Sta	ile.	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	Total	Remarks
	I		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	IO
hodhra .		٠.	7	25	14	±3	33	541	994	:697	
heyaton ,					••		5	31	44	80	
Sibar A)	٠	•		ι	ta	14	33	150	533	741	(A) The figures shown against the State of Bihar facture the miscellaneous judicial gases also.
orobły (R)				,	3	4	65	146	N.A.	221	(B) The figures shown egginst the State of Bootsty indicate the position as on 7-1-1956.
(prele			••	I	3	8	10	79	434	535	
Jedhya Pre	desh	•			6	••	13	7 5	299	393*	(*) It appears that the total pendency was 483. All the pending well petitions do not seem to have been accounted for on amount of reorganisation of Madbya Pratesh High Court.
Addres.				á	54	4	32	92	625	1000	
lysort	-						7	41	134	182	
riasa					6		98	151	229	484	

Poziab (C)	 	••		19	43	337	NA	399 (C) The figures shown against the State of the Purish indicate the position is on 1-1-1956.
Rajesthad .			ż	3	15	<3	276	349
Uttor Pradesh		I		r	28	T43	3121	3294
West Bengal (D)		••	r	2	τf	Y3±	NA	146 (D) The figures shown against the State of Word Bengal indicate the position as on 1-1-1956 on the Original Side of the High Court.
								The letrops "NA" stand for "Not available".
							-	

.

.

Target time for diagonal.

Need for close secuting. 9. We have already indicated elsewhere that, as far as possible, these applications should be disposed of within a period of six months from the date of their institution. We have also dealt elsewhere with measures which can be usefully adopted for scrutinising these applications at the admission stage so that the files of the Courts may not be clogged by applications which prima facie have no merit and which are bound eventually to fail.

Hearing by beach or single judge.

 It is necessary to briefly discuss the manner of disposol of applications under article 228 followed by the different High Courts, in view of the delays which have arisen in their disposal by some of the High Courts. All High Courts examine these applications before admitting them. In some of the courts, this examination is made by a Bench, while in others a single Judge deals with them at the admission stage. The advantage of a Bench dealing with the matter initially is that, if admission is refused, no question arises of a Letters Patent Appeal being filed against the order of refusal. The practice of admissions being dealt with by a bench is followed on the appellate side in Bombay where we are told that a large number of applications are rejected at this stage after a fairly full hearing of the applicant's counsel. We should have thought that multiplicity of proceedings could be avoided, if initially a bench dealt with the matter. In Madras, however, the practice is different. There, a single judge deals with anmissions. Thereafter, if the application is admitted, there is a hearing on the merits also by a single judge. A Letters Patent Appeal lies from the decision of the single judge. but the appeal is not a matter of course. A Bench of Judges decides whether the appeal should be admitted. If the appeal is admitted, it is eventually heard on the merits by the Bench. At first sight, this procedure seems to be cumprous and dilatory but the figures made available to us of the number of applications filed, the number admitted and eventually the number in which an appeal is filed and admitted indicate that the Madras method is not unsatisfactory in the results it yields. In Allahabad also, a practice somewhat similar to that in Madras is followed in the matter of dealing with applications under article 226.

To be left to the High Coners. 11. In view of the conflicting opinions expressed, it is difficult for us to make a definite recommendation on the subject. What is to be aimed at is very expeditious disposal of these applications and within the time indicated by us above, viz.. six months. It may be that conditions in different States differ and that what one High Court may have found satisfactory may not work equally well in other High Courts. We would, therefore, leave it to each High Court to devise its own procedure in these matters.

We may, at this stage, deal with two matters which have been brought to our notice in regard to the procedure adopted in writ applications.

 In our Report on the Specific Rollef Act, we recom- Taking of included the repeal of section 45 of that Act as we have now, evidence in article 226 of the Constitution a provision which is of a lin with in article 226 of the Constitution, a provision which is of a proceed-far more comprehensive nature. We have, in that report, ing. recommended that in order that the court may, whenever it deems it necessary, determine controverted questions of fact in disposing of writ applications, it should frame rules enabling such evidence to be led either on affidavits or viva voce. A number of High Courts have already made such rules. We invite attention in this connection to para. 27 of the report referred to above.

13. We also invite attention to a view, widely expressed Gone of to us on behalf of State Governments, that courts grant *** at any at an interim stays in writ applications for readily so that a number of administrative measures of importance are held up for considerable periods. Such stays have, it is said, born granted in a number of matters which, having dragged on for a considerable time, have eventually been dismissed. The figures collected by us do indicate that, in some High Courts, an interim stay is granted in a large number of cases and, sometimes, almost as a matter of course. is obviously very undesirable. An application for a stay in any matter is required to be supported by special circumstances. That should be so in a greater degree in the case of an extraordinary remedy in the nature of an application under article 226.

14. We are emphatically of the view that the courts Need for should be very circumspect in dealing with applications for circums-Interim stay. We have been informed that, in some of the pection. .High Courts at any rate, it is almost an accepted rule that a stay will not be granted before the respondent is heard. There may be cases in which an immediate order of stay is unavoidable. Such cases may be dealt with by granting an immediate stay for a very short time within which the respondent could be served with a notice and be heard. In dealing with applications under article 226, courts are bound to see, while safeguarding the rights of the citizen, that the machinery of administration is not unnecessarily impeded.

15. It has been suggested that the remedy provided by Stors in article 226 has been availed of by assessees in taxation taxation matters and that in many cases this has resulted in holding matters. up of assessment and collection proceedings by orders of interim stay. Indeed, the cvil was said to be so great that at one time it was suggested that article 226 should not apply to texation matters.

The statement set out below shows the number of writ petitions relating to Central and State texation laws in the various High Courts during the years 1954, 1955 and 1956 and the number of petitions in which stay orders were made.

3

Statement sharing the number of unit petitions relating to Central and State Taxation Laws in various High Course during the Jean 1954, 1955 and 1955.

								1954				
Name of the States						Number of with petitions instituted during the year	Number of witts relating to Central Taxation Laws Instituted during the year	Number of write relating to State Taxation Laws instituted during the year	Tetal of columns 3 & 4	Number in which Stay Order grant- ed (Caural Taxation Laws)	Number in which Stoy Order grapt- ed (State Texation Laws)	Total of collumns 6 & 7
		I				2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Ацађта	-					228	3	4	7	2	ı	3
Assam		•		-	-	112	2	21	23		32*	32
Bibor					-	413	4	6	τO	1		1
Витођау						*78	157	537	694	r	I to	111
Keraba	-			-		219	3	93	35	19	29	42
siadhya Pr	Ades	h				934	7	34	41	ŊA	:1	17
Мештва		α				827	91	32	123	31	4	35
Мужи _{се}	-					173	23	44	67	NÁ	NΑ	NA.
Ociseo						406	8	71	79	7	70	77
խա յսն						852	10	ģū	40	6	5	11
Rajasthan						692	2		2		2	11
Ittar Prade	esê)					1564	2	135	137	2		
Vest Bang			-		,		_		int supplied.	-	132	194

^{*}This figure includes the cases pending from the previous year in which Stay was greated.

Name of the States						Number of writ pethtions ignitioted during the year	Number of writs relating to Central Taxation Laws Instituted thering the year	Number of write relating to State Taxation Laws instituted during the year	Total of columns 10 & 11	Number in which Stay Order gran- ed (Central Tagation Laws)	Number in which Stay Order great-ed (State Tugation 1995)	Total of columns 13 & 14
				~~~ ~~~	·	9	10	II	12	13 .	74	15
Andhru						792	2G2	γ8	180	61	43	104
Aasaro	-					85	5	τ4	14		3	3
Biher					-	418	6	. 5	11	1		τ
Boenbay						1032	240	631	871	3	77	30
Kerale						460	55	84	199	32	76	201
Madhya P	rades	th .				577	4	23	27	1	3	9
Madras			-			1101	82	27	159	20	21	41
Мукоге						257	28	77	105	NA	NA	NA
Orissa						400	2	94	96	z	85	87
Panjab						740	13	11	24	7	4	11
Rejesthan					-	365	••	2	2		••	
Ottar Prud	EFF					1461	27	101	128	23	77	100
<b>W</b> ен Веде	لم							1	Not supplied.			

.

Name of the States				Number of writ petitions testituted during the year	Number of writs relating to Central Taxation Lows Institu- ted during the year	Number of write relating to State Tuxation Laws Instituted during the year	Total of columns 17 & 13	Number in which Stay Order grant- ed (Ceptrol Tugotlan Laws)	Number In which Stay Order grant- ed (State Taxation Laws)	Tatal of columns 20 & 21			
	··	~				3 bi	17	18	19	20	21	23	_
Andbia						7177	126	98	214	64	58	133	••
Aasam						221	6	4	10	1		r	
Bihar					,	616		6	7		I	ι	r
Bombay						1616	341	1095	1436	4	92	95	;
Kerula						679	39	93	192	, 26	81	107	
Madhya Pi	rade:	sl·				535	7	23	29	ι	. 3	4	
Medias				,		1557	173	179	352	37	Ay	120	
Mysore						254	33	85	1t8	МА	NA 1	NA	
Огіван						472	R	48	56	-5	44	49	
Punjah						992	7	6	r3	r	3	5	
Rajasthan						471		35	35		37	30	
Uttur Prød	leah.					5025	73	173	246	56	125	181	
West Roog	a) 	<u> </u>	-				<u>.</u>	13	Not supplied.				

We do not think that the figures collected bear out the very sweeping statements which have been made from time to time, in this connection. No doubt interim stay applications have been granted too readily by some of the High Courts in taxation matters as in other cases. The matter is, however, capable of being easily corrected and needs no such drastic remedy as has been advocated. If No case greater care is to be exercised in granting interim stays in for abeliance. writ applications generally, even greater care is needed in tion of pulsations. dealing with applications which concern the assessment and collection of Central or State taxes. If such care is exercised, there need be no apprehension of any interference to any substantial degree in the assessment and collection of taxes. One may not forget, in this connection, that several reported cases indicate that the grant of an order of stay even in taxation matters was fully justified in as much as the orders made were manifestly unjust and oppressive. It may be that some cases, particularly cases invulving questions as to the jurisdiction of the officer conducting the assessment or of the vires of the substantive or the procedural laws, must involve a stay of proceedings. remedy, in such cases, is to expedite the hearing of these matters, giving them precedence in the list of article 226 matters posted for heaving. Indeed a general rule may be made by the High Courts giving precedence, in the matter of hearing, to all applications concerning the assessment and collection of Central and State taxes.

 The decision of the Supreme Court in the case of the Territorial. Election Commission v. Saka Venkata¹ Ran has greatly jurisdiction restricted the utility of the jurisdiction conferred on the Courts
High Courts by article 226. The court has held that, in order under to enable the High Court to exercise jurisdiction under that which article, the authority against whom the order or direction is 2260. sought must be located within the jurisdiction of the High Court. The Government of India and several statutory authorities and Tribunals, the operations of which extend throughout the country, have their headquarters in Delhl. As a result of that decision, High Courts other than the High Court of the Punjab have found themselves unable to exercise jurisdiction under article 226, when the statutory authority or official concerned has headquarters in Delhi. This tends to defeat the very purpose of the jurisdiction conferred by article 226 which is to enable a person to seek a remody under that article in respect of acts done in violation of his rights within the State by an application to the High Court of his own State.

A later decision of the Supreme Court³ seems to have modified the earlier view but the matter is by no means clear.

In our view, this hardship imposed upon a person seek- Need for ing relief needs removal.

modifica-

¹**A** , **I** , **R** , 1959 **S** , C. p. 216. A. Thangul Kuniu Mudaliar v. M. Venkatacholam Porti, A. I. R. 1936 S. C. p. 246.

Summary of secommendations.

- 19. Our recommendations regarding the writ jurisdiction of the High Courts under article 226 may be summarised as follows:—
  - (1) The writ jurisdiction of the High Courts has served a very useful purpose and should under no circumstances be restricted.
  - (2) The strongth of the High Courts should be increased wherever necessary to enable them to deal with this extra work expeditiously.
  - (3) Writ petitions should be disposed of within a period of six months from the date of their institution. The present duration of these petitions in some of the High Course is too long.
  - (4) These petitions should be carefully scrutinised at the admission stage and a rule mist issued only in proper cases.
  - (5) It should be for the individual High Courts to decide, having regard to the local circumstances, whether writ petitions should be beard by a single judge or by a bench in the first instance.
  - (6) Rules should be framed by the High Courts on the lines indicated in our Report on the Specific Heliet Act to enable them to record evidence and to determine, if necessary, disputed questions of fact in proper cases in proceedings under article 226.
  - (7) The courts should be circumspect in granting stays in writ petitions and normally stay should be ordered only after giving notice to the respondent and hearing him.
  - (3) To emergent cases, when an experte stay is ordered, it should be operative only for a very short time within which the respondent should be served with notice and heard.
  - (9) Care should be particularly exercised in granting stays in revenue matters in which it is proposed to stay the assessment or collection of taxes.
  - (10) Steps should be taken to remove the hardship on the citizen created by the decision in Election Commission v. Saka Venkata Rao.

#### 31.—ADMINISTRATIVE BODIES

 Our Constitution cannot function and no nation can merch along the true democratic way of life without a true and continuous realisation of the importance of the rule of law and of judicial review of legislative and executive action. Our Constitution in its preamble aspires to build The course a sovereign democratic republic dedicated to the ideals of in the Consjustice, liberty, equality and fraternity. With these ends titution. in view its provisions embody in express terms the power of judicial review in the courts of the land, a power which was recognised in the United States only after a long struggle.

The Constitution has further taken care to provide uswith the bulwark of an integrated and irremovable judiciary so that our new born democracy may be assured of proper growth under the wings of a watchful and vigilant group of judges.

- 2. Yet, it has become usual among politicians at the III-informa-Centre and in the States while paying lip service to the ed criticism. majesty and dignity of the law to decry the Judges as sitting in an "ivory tower" and failing to keep pace with what the politicians think are progressive ideas of the times. They have not hesitated out of their wisdom to admonish the judges and tender officious advice that their job did not consist in "sitting wearing 'wig and gown' for a number of hours a day and look very learned".
- 3. Legislation has also been frequently passed placing hoportance executive action above the courts of law and thus imperilling of the role the very authority of the rule of the law in our infant of law. democracy. Referring to certain legislation passed by the State of Bihar, a Chief Justice of India was constrained to observe; "Legislation such as we have now before us is calculated to drain the vitality from the Rule of Law which our Constitution so unmistakably proclaims, and it is to be hoped that the democratic process in this country will not function along these lines211. It has therefore become necessary to emphasise the importance of the rule of law and the role of the judiciary as essential to the orderly development of domicracy in India.

One of our Constitution-makers, a distinguished lawyer stated: "The proper functioning of democracy to which this country is committed depends on the rule of law being

Rain Prisad Narsysh Sahi vs. The State of Bihar and others A. I. R.

1959 S. C. p. 215 St p. 217.

Printe Minister Nehrij at the State Law, Mighsters' Conference beld. in Dulhi in 1957.

the basis of our institutions and that in its turn depends upon the position accorded to the Supreme Court and the High Courts in the constitutional structure and their relationswith other organs of Government'.

The same idea was expressed in more forceful language by a Judge of the Calcutta High Court in the following words: 'A nation that does not know how to respect the rule of law and the judiciary as its final interpreter is a nation that is not fit for the democratic way of life".2 Ordered society and democratic progress are inseparable from them.

As was said by Viscount Sankey, "Amid the crosscurrents and shifting of sands of public life, the Law is like a great rock upon which a man may set his lect and be safe, while the inevitable inequalities of private life are not so dangerous in a country where every citizen knows that in the Law courts, at any rate, he can get justice".

The rule of law and iudicial review (n a welfare State.

4. The rule of law and judicial review acquire greater significance in a welfare State. The maintenance of law and order and the prevention of external aggression are but a part of the functions of such a State. It has a variety of other activities which bring it into constant touch with the life of the citizen. Elsewhere, we have given figures of the vast amount of legislation which has: been charted during the last three years by the Union and the States, a great deal of which impinges in a variety of ways on our lives and occupations. Much of it also confers large powers on the executive. The greater therefore is the need for coaseless enforcement of the rule of law, so that the executive may not, in a belief in its monopoly of wisdom and in its zeal for administrative efficiency, overstep the bounds of its power and spread its untacles into the domains where the citizen should be free to enjoy the liberty guaranteed to him by the Constitution.

Its special Importance in India.

5. While what has been said above is true of any welfare State, it is of far greater importance in a democracy like ours. In our exuberance to establish a welfare State we are apt to be impatient and ride roughshod over individuals' rights as matters of little consequence. is not to be encouraged. Respect for such rights is the very essence of democracy. As a socialistic Prime Minister of the United Kingdom has stated "Democracy is the rule of the majority with respect for the right of minorities".

^{&#}x27;Address delivered by Sir Alladi Krishnaswamy . Iyet or the Diamond Jubilee Celebration of the Madras Advocates' Association on 17-4-1949

A. I. R. 1949 Journal, 35.

*Mr. Justice P. R. Mukheriee's speech at the leasuguration of the Logs. Study Cittle of the Calcutte Small Cause Court Club on 10-3-51 (A. I. R 1951 Januard p. 38).

*Clicd by the Committee on Minister's powers Report, page 6.

The country having stagnated for over one hundred and fifty years under foreign rule, our legislatures are now trying to advance the nation in all directions. In their zeal to achieve quick results, they have not infrequently enacted legislation interfering with the vital and daily functions of the citizen. In order that their policies may go forward uninterrupted, they have endeavoured to entrench the executive and succumbed to the temptation of restricting the powers of the courts.

6. Not must we lost sight of the peculiar circumstances which brought us independence. Our success in the struggle for freedom was brought about very largely by the efforts of a powerful political party with a single well-knit political organisation. That party has now come into power all over the country and is in charge of the infant democracy born of our independence. The party and its organisation wield an almost unlimited influence throughout the country. The legislatures which have been elected reflect in large majorities in most of the States the views of this party. There is no effective opposition in a large number of legislatures. In such conditions, both the legislatures and the executive inevitably tend to be intolerant and sometimes even contemptuous, of the decisions of the courts interpreting laws in a manner which they consider to be opposed to their policies. This tendency to trample ruthlessly upon the rights of the individuals with the aid of a steam roller majority is to be deprecated. As has been said:

"Whereas in India today, the Legislature is really dominated by a single party and where the Press is not functioning fully as the fourth estate of the Realm, the executive must be kept in bounds until opposition has grown by a conscience of its own. Authority has tended to give the executive a taste for blanket powers which it is almost impossible to contest in a Court of law. The last of our defences, the judiciary is being rendered less effective by reason of the drafting of our laws and ordinances which make it almost impossible for the action of the executive to be questioned."

This position was envisaged by Chief Justice Kanta as far back as 1948. He said:

"In view of the fact, however, that the opposition is negligible, the position of the Judiciary becomes all the more important. In the Legislative Assembly a bill could be passed and made into an Act without much difficulty . ... Having regard to this position of the Legislature. If the Executive Government, which is now responsible to the Legislature, does acts which

^{*}Quoted from the Eastern Beonomist dated the 8th April, 1949 by Dr. Sir C. P. Rumaswamy Tyer in Ida address at the Diamond Jubilet referention of the Madres Advocates' Association on 16-4-1949, A. J. R. 49, Journal D. 37 at p. 38.

¹²² M. of Law-43.

encroach upon the liberty of the subject, the onlyforum which can give redress against the irregular action of the Executive, is the Court".

It was said by Jefferson in the early days of the United States of America: "The executive in our Government is, not the sole, it is scarcely the principal object of my jealousy. The tyranny of the Legislature is the most formidable dread at present and will be for many years".

Critisian, The true tule of the courts.

7. The legislator chaing at the restrictions imposed upon his power by the Constitution has failed to appreciate the new role of the judiciary under the Constitution. On occasions he has complained of the attitude of the courts, sometimes in unbecoming language. He forgets that this role has been expressly cast upon the courts for the common weal. The Constitution in express terms requires the courts to act as a supervisory body in the matter of laws alleged to encroach upon the exercise of fundamental rights.

The line as to how far a law shall go in derogation of the citizen's fundamental rights is, according to the Constitution, to be drawn by none other than the judiciary. Governments and their policies may change. What contributes to the stability of the State is its judiciary. A nation may afford to lose its confidence in its King or even in its Parliament but it would be an evil day if it loses its confidence in its judiciary. Amidst the strident clamour of political strife and the tunnolt of the clash of conflicting classes, the courts of law remain steadfast and impartial. Only a real and full acceptance of these principles, can enable our new born democratic republic to survive. Easing ourselves on this fundamental assumption, we now turn to a survey of some of the problems arising out of our rapid march towards a welfare State.

Growth of Governmeanal Powers 3. Society in the twentieth century has become exceedingly complex and governmental functions have multiplied. The change in the scope and character of Government fromnegative to positive that is from the laissez faire to the public service state, has resulted in the concentration of considerable power in the bands of the executive branch of Government. The direct result of this has been the growth of administrative law.

The problem before us thus is to prevent the potential threat to justice and freedom from the greatly extended powers and functions of the modern State.

Welfare schemes are planned and introduced by the Government in all progressive democratic States. To devise and carry out any general welfare scheme, it is always:

^{*}Speech at the inaugurorion of the Assem High Court A. I. R. 1948: Journal p. 14.

^{*}Cited by G. C. Venkata Subbanso : Legal Pillars of Democracy., p. 94-

necessary to affect adversely some private rights of pro-Tor probperty and personal liberty. These facts have to be faced by km. all those who live in a Welfare State. The trend is the same in all countries whether it is in the United Kingdom. the United States of America, France or India. The real problem therefore is "to reconcile freedom and justice for the private citizen with the necessities of a modern government charged with the promotion of far-reaching social or economic policies".1

9, in order that Government may be able to discharge Delegation In order that Government may be agie to discharge of legista-the variety of functions which it is called upon to under-tive powers. take it has become the practice to delegate legislative powers as well as powers of adjudication to administrative OFFARIS.

We have elsewhere referred to the growing volume of delegated legislation in our country and the measures needed to ensure its scrutiny before its ensetment so that questions may not later arise as to its vives and its compatibility with other laws. The extent to which Parliament is competent to delegate powers of legislation has been examined by the Supreme Court in the Delhi Laws Reference.3 What we are concerned with in this chapter is the delegation of powers of adjudication in administrative and quasi-judicial matters to tribunals consisting of administrative officers or bodies at different levels.

 The subject of administrative adjudication has recent years received serious attention in all countries, conive Administrative adjudication is said to be a major threat to Tribunals. the rule of law. For, as Dicey has explained "any encroschment on the jurisdiction of the courts and any restrictions on the subjects' unimpeded access to them are bound to jeopardize his rights......

It. The increasing tendency in England to invest administrative agencies with powers of adjudication has been thus explained: "The trend in recent years has been Their to subordinate individual rights to what is conceived to be increme. the public welfare. Parliament seems to have been apprehensive test its social policies might be nullified by decisions in the courts in protection of individuals whose rights are invaded. It is natural that the executive, charged with carrying out a social policy, should view with distrust any interference with that policy. Where, therefore, there may arise a dispute between, on the one hand, an individual whose property is taken or whose other rights have been invaded, and on the other those who are charged with executing that polley, such disputes have been increasingly

⁻Rule of Law by Sir William Patrick Spens, British Journal of Administestive Law, Vol. II 1955-56, p. 4. In the Delhi Laws Act, 1912, 1951 S.C.R. 747. See also Reinstain

Singh p. Chairman, Patna Administrative Committee, A.I.R. 1954, S.C. p. 569.

withdrawn from the traditional courts and vested in administrative courts or bodies, or in Ministers executing quasi-judicial functions."

The resscap,

12. The view of Prof. Robson, a noted authority on Administrative Law is not very different. He observes: "This tendency is not the result of a well-thought out constitutional principle. Its growth was haphazard, sporadie and unsystematic. Yet it was not, on the other hand, due to a fit of absentmindedness. Parliament did not merely overlook the courts of law. But the possibility of setting up new organs of adjudication which would do the work more rapidly, more cheaply, more efficiently than the ordinary courts; which would possess greater technical knowledge and fewer prejudices—against government; which would give greater heed to the social interests involved and show less solicitude for private property rights; which would decide disputes with a conscious effort at furthering the social policy embodied in the legislation; this prospect offered solid advantages which induced the legislature to extend, in one sphere after another, the administrative furiadiction of government departments so as to include judicial functions affecting the social services. In doing so, Parliament was only repeating a process which has happened again and again in the history, not only of England but of many civilised countries."2

Dicey and several others following him entirely misunderstood the true nature of the Code of rules which constituted the droit administratif in France and thought that there existed no administrative tribunals or administrative law in England. He concluded: "It would be a grave mistake if the recognition of the growth of official law in England led any Englishman to suppose that there exists in England as yet any true administrative tribunals or any real administrative law."²

The position in England 13. It is true as Lord Hewart, then Lord Chief Justice of England stated in his address to the American Bar Assocration in September 1927 that the common law of England does not recognize any droit administratif.⁴ It is also true that in England there exists no system akin to the French. But it does not follow that England is without a system of administrative law. This seems to have been later recognised by Dicey himself.⁵ It cannot be denied

^{*}Simon-Administrative Precedure and the Rule of Law, British Journal of Administrative Law, Vol. I, 1954-55, p. 74.

[&]quot;Justice and Administrative Law 3rd Edition, pp. 442-443.

Dieny - Low of the Constitution, Statefition issued in 1914 Introduction aliv.

^{*}The times, Sepr. 1, 1927 and Sepr. 30, 1927 cited by Robson op. 64., p. 32.

The Administrative Law in England Developments by A.V. Dicey; Law Quarterly Review, Volumo 31, page 148;

that today there exists in England a vast body of administrative law and numerous bodies other than courts of law exercising judicial or quasi-judicial functions. The growth of administrative law is due to the expansion in the functions of government from one field to another and the progressive limitation of the rights of the individual in the interests of the community as a whole. Therefore at the present day there has arisen a need for "a technique of adjudication better fitted to respond to the social requirements of the time than the elaborate and costly system of decision provided by litigation in the courts of law."1

14. As it has been asserted that the French system of administrative tribunals with the Conseil D'etat at its head can serve as a model for a system of administrative tribunals in our country, we propose to examine the French system in some detail.

The French system of administrative law-or the The French "Droit administratif" as it is commonly referred to is system. "Dross administratify as it is commonly reserved to is No un-clearly a form or branch of law which governs the dealings festered of State officials with private citizens. The popular concept freedom tion that in France, the State officials in their official deal- to the ings with private citizens are above the law, or are a law executive. unto themselves, is erroneous. The official transgressing the bounds of law or acting contrary to the rules of natural justice in his dealings with the citizen is subject to a greater and more effective control in France than in some Anglo-Saxon countries,

15. The essential idea which underlies and gives mean- he name. ing to "droit administratif" is that "the position and liabillties of State officials, and the rights and liabilities of private individuals in their dealings with officials as such, form a separate and distinct chapter of law, which depends upon principles different, indeed, from the principles of the ordinary law, but nevertheless legal principles. Nor is it that the rights and liabilities of private individuals in their dealings with officials as such are matters which are beyond or beneath the reach of established legal procedure. It is rather that for these matters a special precedure is provided which has its own Courts, its own cases, its own precedents, and its own methods".2

The system has developed remarkably during the last century and that which was once administrative has become more and more judicial in character and in fact at no time was the judicial element absent. Thus, the droit administratif is a definite system of law with set rules and principles which, however, differ from the rules and principles of ordinary law,

The system is administered by a tribunal which applies judicial methods of procedure. To borrow the words of

¹Rabson pap. clr., **p**. 33.

^{*}Hewart : New Despecians, p. 39 to 40.

Lord Hewart, "'droit administratif' is administered by real tribunals, known to the parties, and these tribunals apply definite rules and principles to the decision of disputes, and follow a regular course of procedure, though the rules and principles applied are different from those of the ordinary law governing the relations of private citizens as between themselves. Moreover, the tribunals give reasons for their decisions and publish them. In a word, the 'administrative tribunals' of the Continent are real Courts, and what they administer is law, though a different law from the ordinary law. More than that, the 'droit administratif' is a regular system of law, applicable not only to all matters pertaining to the public service, but also to all disputes between the Government or its servants on the one hand and private citizens on the other hand".

The Consult
detail
Its compredition \$:
and functioning.

16. The body known as the Conseil d'etat has supreme jurisdiction to correct the docisions of various administrative authorities. The council functions for all practical purposes like a judicial body, though the councillors occupy only an administrative position. Their position is in no way less independent than that of an ordinary Judge. The proceedings are conducted in public and the parties have the right to be represented by counsel. On matters decided, comprehensive judgments are delivered. These constitute valuable precedents for the future. The Council being the final judge regarding the appropriateness of the performance of an executive act, is rightly said to represent the "science and the moral conscience" of the administration.

Pewers.

17. The Council exercises very wide powers over administrative tribunals. Where the Council is constituted as the final court of appeal from the administrative tribunals, it goes into the merits of questions of law as well as fact. In other cases, the Council will entertain an application in revision to test the legality and propriety of the impunged decision of the tribunal. Even in cases of revision, the council is not restricted to an examination of the ertor of law apparent on the face of the record. In the French system, unless the judicial or quasi-judicial order has set out the appropriate reasons, it would be treated as ex-facie bad and quashed. Even where the administrative authority is not required to function as a tribunal, whenever it appears to the council from the seriousness of the questions involved that it is appropriate to give a hearing to the person affected, it will insist upon such a procedure being followed. This is to prevent what it calls violation de la loi. This is a very broad concept which includes both procedural and substantive requirements.

The council requires an irreducible minimum of reasons for every administrative act or order being set out. First,

Hewart New Despotitus, p. 45.

^{*}Sieghart : Government by Decree, 1950, p. 247.

Chere must be no desournement de pouvoir, a concept akin to the doctrine of mala fides. Secondly, facts set out in justification of the order must be true and the council will satisfy itself of their truth. It will also call for the administrative file and examine it. The citizen will be permitted to adduce external proofs. But it must be noted that the rules of evidence are not the same as those applied by civil courts. The jurisdiction exercised by the council prevents the administrative authority getting away with any discretionary act merely by referring to some damaging but false fact against a citizen. Thirdly, the Council requires that the grounds on which the order proceeds must conform to what it considers to be in law the true scope and object of the legislation to which the order purports to give effect. Even where a statute uses words which prima facie appear to confer wide and unlimited powers on an administrative authority, the council confines the administration strictly to objects which are permitted by it. Even in cases where no grounds are stated upon the face of the order, the council, whenever it considers necessary, may require the authority concerned to turnish reasons and grounds for its acts.

18. Professor Hamson has emphasized the great utility of the Council in its parent country in safeguarding the linusity. rights of the citizen and has contrasted the protection given by it to the chizen with the position obtaining in England. According to him, the French institution provides greater sufeguards for the rights of citizens than the English courts of law, save in cases of false imprisonment. Its failure in such cases is due to the fact that the council has no concern with the ordinary administration of justice and has no control over the magistracy and the courts,

Certain examples of cases with which the council has managed dealt will illustrate this proposition.* In what is popularly control of known as the Ecole case, the Council directed the Minister executive to allow three candidates to take the competitive examination for entry into. Government service, when the Minister had banned their participation on the ground of their slieged communist leanings. Again when a Prefect had prevented a news vendor from plying his trade, the order was quashed by the Council. We may contrast these cases with the decision of the High Court in England in expanse Parker,3 in which the High Court expressed its fnability to interfere with an order of the Commissioner of Police cancolling a cab driver's licence.

^{*}Hauson, Executive Discretion and Judicial Control, London (1954). **Դ**ՐԻ 194 ԹԱ

[&]quot;These illustrations and the comment of the French Commissaire dat "Government on the Liversidge case are based on Homson p. 24 and the followring pages,

^{*}All England Law Reports (1983) Volume 7, page 717.

The reaction of French administrative lawyers to the decision in Liversidge v. Anderson' is particularly noteworthy. When the decision in that case upholding the doctrine of subjective satisfaction of the Minister in a case of preventive detention (also followed in India in the Gopalan' case) was explained to the French Commissaire du Government, that official was unable to comprehend the explanation of that case. According to the French officials of the Conseil d'etat, the actual decision in Liversidge's case was one which must be unacceptable in any civilised country and more particularly in a country which after all had invented the term, the rule of law.

The position in France it seems would have been asfollows:

If a French Minister is to be satisfied, he must as a Minister have reasonable grounds upon which his satisfaction is based and having such grounds he is automatically under a duty to disclose them to the competent administrative court, should the body so require it. Consequently the court would be in a position to judge the adequacy of the ground on which a Minister's order of preventive detention is passed.

The Conseil d'etat has taken upon itself the task of inquiring into the propriety of the refusal on the part of m, Minister to grant a passport. This according to Indian Law is entirely a matter for the discretion of the executive.

The extept of im control. 20. The jurisdiction which enables the council to quash-executive decisions is based on the concept of ultra vives. By virtue of this jurisdiction, it has become possible for the council to control not merely the exercise of powers not warranted by law but also the exercise of a power for a purpose different from that for which it was granted. This brings within the purview of its control a vest field of administrative activities which, in the past, was within the field of discretionary acts. In substance, the council has thus virtually abolished the notion of the unfettered discretion of the administrative authorities.

As a well-known French authority has stated, "Just as there is no longer an act of State so there is no longer a discretionary act, an act that is to say of a sheerly discretionary character..... The Council of State can always take account of the purpose by which an act is determined and annul it if it thinks that the administration, however much within its formal competence, has pursued an end other than that which the law had in view when conferring the powers. Thus we have what is called abuse of power.

¹³⁹⁴² A.C. 206.

^{*}A. K. Gupalan, e. The State, 1950 S.C.R. 88.

[•]V. G. Row et. The State of Madrus, A.I.R. 1954 Mad. p. 240.

At bottom this is simply an ultra pires act. The official violates the enabling statute when he does something for an object he has no right to pursue. The phrase "abuse of power" is felicitous because it clearly shows the way in which the violation of the law becomes apparent",1

21. We annex to this Chapter a brief note setting out the History historical growth of the Council and its working and procedure. We shall point out later how its very peculiar growth rooted in French history and tradition makes it unsuitable for being adopted with any success in India.

22. In the United States, various attempts have been Position made to secure a uniform administrative procedure in U.S.A. embodying the principles of natural justice. Administrative procedure is now regulated by the Administrative Administrati enacts a formula upon which opposing social and political forces have come to rest. It contains many compromises and generalities and, no doubt, some ambiguities. Experience may reveal defects".2

It is a comprehensive piece of legislation which prescribes three types of rule-making procedures: (1) discretionary, (2) administrative and (3) adjudicatory. We are concerned only with the adjudicatory procedure prescribed by the Act.

The Act provides that in every case where a statute Statutory requires an adjudication to be made after an opportunity requirefor a hearing has been given, certain procedural require ments ments shall be observed. The Act also provides for certain adjudicaexceptions.

A person entitled to an administrative hearing must be given such specific notice of the time and place of the hearing and of the charges against him as will enable him to formulate a defence. A notice received in any manner other than that prescribed by the statute will not be a legal notice.

It provides that the notice issued to the private party by an Administrative Agency shall set forth "the legal authority and jurisdiction under which the hearing is to be held" and "the matter of fact and law asserted".

It may be noticed here that the right to be represented by counsel or by a qualified representative, though not a member of the Bar, is universally recognized in administrative enforcement proceedings. The Administrative Procedure Act also provides that when a hearing takes place "every party shall have the right to represent his

¹Duguit : Law in the Modern State, 1919, pp. 184-186 quoted in-Siegharr, Government by Decree, p. 248.

Wong Yang Sung v. McGrath 339, U. S. 33 at pp. 40-41.

case or defence by oral or documentary evidence.... and to conduct such cross-examination as may be required for a true and full disclosure of the facts".

The Act also authorises administrative tribunals to summon witnesses at the request of the party concerned upon his furnishing information as to the general relevance and scope of the evidence sought to be so obtained.

The Act also makes it clear that the exclusionary rules of evidence followed by the ordinary courts have no place in administrative proceedings. It expressly provides that any oral or documentary evidence may be received. It must, however, be noticed that a safeguard against possible prejudice to the individual by means of this rule is provided by the right to judicial review which is discussed later.

It should also be noticed that the Act expressly provides that where decisions are made on the basis of "official notice of a material fact not appearing in the evidence in the record, any party shall on timely request be afforded opportunity to show the contrary" [Section 7(d)].

Scope of Judicial Review. 23. The Act of 1946 has expressly given the courts power when reviewing the decisions of Administrative Tribunals to consider the whole record and to take due account of prejudicial errors. The same Act also provides that when the courts review administrative findings based upon legally inadmissible evidence they are to satisfy themselves that there is a residuum of legal evidence to sustain the findings and in the absence of such residuum, they can quash or set aside the proceedings. Although the courts normally insist upon the parties exhausting all their administrative remedies by way of appeal and do not substitute their own findings of fact for those of the administrators, yet under the Act they have the power to see that the decision is in accordance with "reliable, probative and substantial evidence".

The foregoing brief sketch will show that the Act was framed to suit the special conditions obtaining in that country. It is not a model which can be easily copied in India. Even in its parent country, the Act has been fulsomely praised and bitterly denigrated. It does seem however to have made some contribution to the control of powers of administrative bodies in the United States. We may conclude our short account of this enactment with the following words of Professor Louis L. Jaffe in a recent article:

"The A. P. A. is clearly not a prolegomenon to all future administrative procedure acts, it is not a codification of formal doctrine, it is not a model for any other State or country. But it has made an important contribution to administrative law because its spirit and philosophy do have general validity."

Public Law 1936 p. 220.

- 24 The subject of administrative tribunals and its impact on the rule of law have been also the subject of study by various committees in England.
- 25. The Committee on Ministers' powers was appointed in 1929 under the Chairmanship of Right Honourable the Earl Donoughmore to examine the problems of delegated legislation and the judicial and quasi-judicial powers exercised by persons or bodies appointed especially by the Ministers and to suggest suitable safeguards necessary to ensure the Supremacy of law.
- 26. After a thorough study of the problem the Committee its mmade the following recommendations:

соитупленьdations.

- After making a distinction between judicial. quasi-judicial and administrative functions, the Committee suggested that judicial functions should normally be entrusted only to the ordinary courts of law; any deviations from this wholesome principle must be regarded as exceptional and requiring valid justiffcation.
- (2) Where Parliament considers it necessary to depart from this course, judicial functions should be entrusted to a Ministerial Tribunal other than the Minister personally. Any tribunal constituted should be independent of the Minister in the exercise of its functions.
- (3) Though the quasi-judicial functions naturally. fall within the province of the Ministers, yet where it appears that the Minister may be disqualified by an 'interest' which would prejudice the impartial discharge of the judicial functions involved in the quasiindicial decision, it would be desirable to entrust the judicial functions to a tribunal whose adjudication would be binding on the Minister.
- (4) Before any decision, judicial or quasi-judicial is given, the parties should be given the opportunity of stating their case and knowing the case they have to meet.
- (5) Likewise a decision given by the Minister or by the tribunal should be in the form of a reasoned document which should be available to the parties.
- (6) where a statutory public inquiry is held in connection with the exercise of judicial or quasijudicial functions by Ministers, the report of the person holding the inquiry should be published. Only exceptional circumstances of public policy should justify any departure from this principle,
- (?) The supervisory jurisdiction of the High Court to compel the Ministers and the tribunals to keep

within their powers should be maintained intact. A simpler and less expensive procedure commensurate with the needs of the modern times may be devised.

- (3) Any party aggrieved by the judicial decision of the Minister or tribunal should have an absolute right to appeal to the High Court on questions of law. Here also a uniform and simple procedure may be established.
- (9) Instead of maintaining the legal distinction between an excess of jurisdiction wherein certiovari is the appropriate remedy and an error of law wherein an appeal is appropriate, a new form of procedure applying to both may be devised. Such a procedure should provide the time limit within which an appeal may be brought. Further, the appeal should be determined in a summary manner after being heard by a single judge whose decision should, as a general rule, be that
- (10) On any issue of fact, as a general rule, there should be no appeal to any court of law from the decision of a Minister or tribunal. However, in certain exceptional cases an appeal on fact may be allowed to an appellate tribunal constituted by the Lord Chancellor and consisting of three persons, the Chairman being a Barrister or Solicitor. The procedure ought to be explicitous and governed by rules made by the Lord Chancellor on the Rule Committee.
- (11) Lasrly, the Committee expressed the view that it was not desirable to devise in England a system analogous to the French system.

Spens Committee. 27. In 1955, a Committee of the Inns of Court Conservative and Unionist Society under the Chairmanship of Right Honourable Sir Patrick Spens undertook a study of this problem. The object of the study was thus stated by the Committee: "Our object, then, is to reconcile freedom and justice for the private citizen with the necessities of a modern government charged with the promotion of farranging social and economic policies. We firmly believe that such a reconciliation can be brought about".

The themes underlying this study by the Spens Committee were four:

First: there was a growing feeling that the citizen was no longer having the protection of an objective law from the capricious exercise of arbitrary power by an executive officer enjoying a very wide grant of discretionary power. Secondly, it was being felt that a system which makes inadequate provision for review of the decisions of its servants enshrines and sanctions

error. Thirdly, it was being felt that there had been a failure to observe, if not a deliberate disregard of, the rules of natural justice, such as the rules against bias, the duty to hear both sides and to give reasons for the decisions made. Fourthly, in view of all these it was firmly believed that fairness and justice should be as much an object of the administrative process as of the logal process.

The Committee was of the opinion that the most urgent and imperative reform called for was the giving of reasons for the decisions made by a Minister and a tribunal. Otherwise in its opinion Parliamentary democracy would degenerate to bureaucratic absolutism.

While the Donoughmore Committee recommended a similar review in respect of judicial and quasi-judicial decisions, the Spens Committee recommended such a review even in cases of disputed administrative decisions. Committee was of the view that even in these cases, the Minister while announcing his decision should state in a letter or by some other reasonable mode of publication, the authority under which he had exercised the discretion, the material facts of which he was satisfied and the reasons which had impelled him to his decision. Such a procedure, the Committee felt, would do away with the arbitrary and capricious exercise of discretionary power. The Committee also was clearly of the opinion that an appeal should always lic from an administrative decision on any point of law and any attempts to exclude it would mean the "direct subversion of the rule of law".

For certain reasons which it gave, the Committee was of the view that it was not practicable to entrust the task of hearing these appeals to Parliament or to the Queen's Bench Division of the High Court or to the Privy Council. It expressed the view that the existing procedures should wherever possible be modified to meet the modern needs. Administrative efficiency would not justify the relaxation of the rule of law.

28. It reached the conclusion that it was necessary to Adminisereate a new division of the High Court of Justice to be Division called the Administrative Division presided over by a High of the Such an Administrative Division would be High Court Judge. an appropriate and effective machinery of control. It would Cour. be part of the traditional system of courts. It would have the requisite high standing and authority. It was essential that it should be so constituted as to be able to approciate the requirements of administration and to balance them fairly against the rights of individual citizens. The procedure to be followed should be simple, flexible and speedy. It should be informal and not bound by strict rules of evidence that normally apply. In the High Court.

The Administrative Division should, in the opinion of the Committee, have the following powers:

- (1) It would be entitled to call for a statement of the facts and reasons upon which the disputed decision was based.
- (2) Where there has been a bearing by a tribunal, it should have the power to review the findings of fact, the reasons given by the tribunal and the Minister. These various powers of review could be exercised suitably and in accordance with the circumstances.
- (3) In cases where there has not been a hearing by a tribunal before the decision of the Minister, the Administrative Division should be empowered to receive complaints from persons affected, alleging that a material fact on which the decision was based was incorrect. If a prima facte case was disclosed by the complaint the Administrative Division would call for evidence and determine the accuracy of the facts found by the Minister and review the reasons given by the Minister.
- (4) The phreseology of subjective satisfaction adopted in a statute should not prevent the Administrative Division from reviewing a decision. It would be its function to decide whether there was any reasonable cause to support the Minister's belief withinthe meaning of the subjective phraseology.
- (5) The Administrative Division would have power to decide all questions of law. There could be no question of exclusion of appeal on points of law from the decision of any Administrative tribunal or other administrative hody.
- (6) Where it is found that there has been a material error of fact or law, the Administrative Division should have the power to annul the decision or order a reneering or to substitute new facts or reasons or recommendations.
- (7) The Administrative Division of the High Court should also have the power to grant in addition to the above, any remedy—which can under existing—law—be granted in the High Court including such remedica—as can be granted only—by a Divisional Court.

It would thus be clear that the most important suggestion made by the Committee was the creation of a suitable instrument of review—a new Administrative Division of the High Court—which would hear appeals on points of law from the decision of any administrative body and would also have the power to decide whether the reasons given for a decision can bear examination. It would not, however, review the actual exercise of discretion or any statement of departmental policy which would naturally fall within the sphere of control by Parliament,

19. Though the Donoughmore Committee had submitted a Report in 1932 after a thorough study of the question, much had happened during the interval of twenty-five years which had elapsed after the submission of its Report. In the post-war years, the relationship between the State and the citizen had become further complicated in view of the number of specialized tribunals that had been set up. These facts led to the appointment of a Committee presided over by Sir Oliver Franks in November 1955 for the consideration of the question of Administrative Tribunals. The Committee consisted of lawyers, Members of Parliament, constitutional specialists, former Ministers and others with a knowledge of the administrative process.

Though there was a widespread belief that tribunals set up were in substance a part of the machinery of the Government, the Franks Committee did not accept such a contention and was of the opinion that these tribunals were independent organs of adjudication. The Committee reviewed the work of over ten different tribunals set up under different Acts for specialised purposes which were beyond the competence of ordinary courts. The Committee noticed the divergence in the quality of the membership of the tribunals and in the procedures adopted by them. It expressed the view that good administration demanded not merely the attainment of the objectives of policy securely and without delay but also that the citizens be satisfied that the State was in the execution of its policies acting with "reasonable regard to the balance between the public interest which it promotes and the private interest, which it disturbs". In order that such a balance may be attained the Franks Committee made certain general recommendations.

30. The Committee was of the view that as far as its repossible, adjudications involving the administration and commentate individual entired should preferably be left to the ordinary courts of law rather than to a tribunal or to a Minister, excepting where for reasons of cheapness, accessibility, freedom from technicality, expedition and expert knowledge of a particular subject, a tribunal may be considered more appropriate. The Committee set out three basic requirements for the saisfactory working of such tribunals.

- (a) They should manifest openness—which requires publicity of proceedings and knowledge of the essential reasoning underlying a decision.
- (b) They should show fairness—which calls for a clear procedure that enables parties to know their rights, to present their cases fully, and to know the cases they have to meet.
- (c) They should display importality—which requires the freedom of the tribunals from the

[&]quot;Report, 5, pare. 21.

influence, real or apparent, of departments concerned with the subject-marter of their decisions.

The Committee proposed the creation of two standing councils on tribunals which would take the form of permanent statutory bodies. These councils one for England and Wales and the other for Scotland would keep the working of the tribunals continuously under review—an arrangement that would free the tribunals from the objection that they appear to be instruments of official policy rather than bodies protecting the citizen.

Finally, the committee was of the opinion that in all cases, the ultimate control in regard to matters of law should be exercised by the traditional courts.

The Franks Committee very pertinently observed: "each country seeks to work out for itself, within the framework of its own institutions and way of life, the proper balance between public and private interest. It follows that translation of the practice of one country into the procedures of another is not likely to be appropriate, although since the basic issue, the relationship between the individual and the administration, is common, there will continue to be adventage in comparative study".

That also has been the object of the comparative study we have made of the procedures in France and the United States and the proposals made from time to time by responsible bodies who studied the problem in the United Kingdom.

Coreign Syttems :

-General .

31. While each country has evolved procedures best suited to its legal system and tradition certain broad features emerge from our examination. The French system has its origin in the political and legislative set up of France. In its examination of administrative decisions both on facts and law, the French system is much wider in its sweep than the system that prevails in the United Kingdom and the United States of America. Decisions of administrative tribunals and officers which would not be open to examination in the latter countries are set aside in France. For this purpose the French citizen has to approach special tribunals operating under special laws and bound by precedents of their own.

In the United Kingdom and the United States, the recommendations made and the legislation introduced leave untouched the powers of the courts to reach and quash administrative decisions. All the three Committees which have considered the problem in England have recommended that the jurisdiction which the courts have at the moment by way of writs of certiorari and mandamus in regard to these administrative tribupals should continue and the procedure simplified so that the citizen may find it easier

^{*}Report, p. 90.

to approach the ordinary courts. What they appear to have in mind are procedures, somewhat analogous to those our Constitution has provided in articles 32, 130 and 226. But the Committees recommended that the existing powers of the courts should be supplemented by the creation of an administrative division of the High Court or by the conferring of a right of appeal on questions of law either to a newly constituted administrative division of the High Court or to the ordinary courts. In the United States also, the powers of the ordinary courts by way of the writ of -certiorari and other write remain unaffected. The legislation in the United States lays, down, as we have already useen, standard procedures for observance by administrative bodies. What therefore has to be thought of in our -country is not the curtailment of the existing powers of the courts in regard to administrative decisions. The continucance of these powers is essential and imperative. What is nceded are supplementary and extended powers which will enable greater control to be exercised by the ordinary courts on the administrative bodies so that the rule of law will be enforced and the citizen protected.

The study of the French system by Prof. Hamson referred to above tells us how peculiarly French in origin and development is the system which has at its head *Conseil DEtat and how unsuitable such an institution would be in England or any other country.

Nor as appears from the observations of Professor Jaffe -quoted above, is the American Administrative Procedure Act a model which we can without radical modifications. copy in India.

Our problem therefore is to suggest some supplementary and effective measures which can be conveniently litted into the pattern of our constitutional and judicial framework.

32. The provisions relating to judicial control of admi- Existing nistrative process enshrined in articles 32, 136, 226 and 227 position of our Constitution, may now be averaged. -of our Constitution, may now be examined.

Avai**labl**e remediés,

33. Article 32 which empowers the Supreme Court to Article 32. issue inter alia suitable prerogative writs for enforcing the fundamental rights guaranteed in Part III is itself a guaranteed remedial right. A citizen whose fundamental right has been infringed can, if he so chooses, directly approach the Supreme Court without having to go to the High Courts in the first instance. All actions of the admimistration judicial, quasi-judicial or semi-judicial, ministerial or even purely discretionary are within the control of the Sunreme Court. The Court can by the issue of an -appropriate writ quash the offending order even though the impound action is purely of an administrative character,2

Romesh Thapper es. State of Madeus, 1950 S. C. R. 594.

Dr. Ram Krishen Rhardwaj on The Store of Della A. I. R. 1953 :S.C, 318,

¹²² M. of Law-14,

Article 136,

34. Article 136 confers plenary powers upon the Supreme Court and inter alia enables it to entertain appeals from the determination or an order made or passed in any cause or matter by any tribunal in the territory of India, other than those constituted under any law relating to the armed forces. As was pointed out by Mahajan C. J. in the Dhakesweri Cotton Mills Case, it is neither possible to define with any precision the limitations on the exercise of the discretionary jurisdiction vested in the Supreme Court by virtue of the constitutional provision contained in article 136 nor is it feasible to fetter the exercise of this power by any set formula or rule.

"When the Court reaches the conclusion that a personhas been dealt with arbitrarily or that a court or tribunal within the territory of India has not given a fair deal toa litigant, then no technical hurdles of any kind like thefinality of finding of facts or otherwise can stand in the way of the exercise of this power because the whole intenand purpose of this article is that it is the duty of this-Court to see that injustice is not perpetrated or perpetuated by decisions of courts and tribunals because certain laws: have made the decisions of these courts or tribunals final and conclusive".

But the power of the Supreme Court under article 32 is restricted only to cases of the infringement of fundamental rights. Article 136 would also seem to empower the court to entertain appeals only from the orders of tribunals—that is, bodies which are under an obligation to act obligation to act judicially or quasi-judicially.

Article 227.

35. Article 227 gives the High Courts only powers of superintendence and does not empower them to admit appeals, as article 136 does, it would, also appear to be restricted in its application to bodies which are under amobiligation to act judicially or quasi-judicially.

Article 226.

36. The real constitutional protection of the citizent against arbitrary administrative action is article 226 which expowers the High Courts to issue any directions, orders or write not only for enforcing fundamental rights but "for any other purpose".

In our chapter on Writs we have pointed out how largely this constitutional provision has been availed of by the citizen, particularly for the vindication of his fundamental rights. The fundamental rights conferred by the Constitution would have lost much of their significance but for this simple and quick remedy which the Constitution has provided against their infringement.

The High Courts' jurisdiction under article [226 still remains to be authoritatively defined. Will it extend to:

A. I. R. 1955 S. C. 65.

At 1990 69.

purely administrative action as distinguished from quasijudicial determination? However, it has been clearly laid down that the High Courts acting under article 226 do not and cannot sit in judgment as courts of appeal in cases where the statute invests the administrative authority with power and discretion. The Supreme Court said:

"However extensive the jurisdiction may be" (under article 226) "it seems to us that it is not so wide or large as to enable the High Court to convert itself into a court of appeal and examine for itself the correctness of the decisions in view and decide what is the proper view to be taken or order to be made".1

Judicial decisions would appear to lay down that the courts will interfere under article 226 in cases of excess or want of jurisdiction or an error of law apparent on the face of the record or of violation of the principles of natural justice. The Court may also interfere in cases of findings of lact when these findings are based on no cyidence at all or on irrelevant evidence. The courts will also interfere where the authorities have failed to comply with some mandatory provision of the law. However, it still remains to be decided whether it is open to the courts acting under article 228 to interfere with purely administrative action,

37. We have also some remedies like a suit for a decla-Omer ratory decree under section 42 of the Specific Relief Act or remedies. for an injunction. These though more extensive in scope than article 226 are less speedy and are therefore often valueless.

38. The number of Indian statistics which constitute admi- Adminisnistrative authorities, purely administrative and quasi-trative judicial, is legion. Some of these affect valuable rights of Bodies the citizen and impose onerous obligations upon parties. These may be broadly classified as our revenue and taxation laws, labour laws and land laws. Some of them provide no right of appeal or revision even to higher administrative authorities. Others confer right of appeal and revision but these lie to the higher administrative authority and not to any judicial authority. It is only in a few cases that we find an ultimate appeal or revision given to a court of law. Finally, in a number of statutes care is taken to exclude in express terms the appearance of lawyers before the admidistrative bodies and to bar the courts from entertaining any appeal or revision.

It is surprising that duties of customs should be levied on sea and land frontiers under laws which leave not only the determination of the duty but the levy of penalties of confiscation and fine to administrative officers and provide an appeal and revision to superior officers, prescribing no procedure whatever for the hearing of these appeals and

Wesnappa Pillai os Raman and Raman Ltd., 1952 A. I. R. S. C. page 192 at page 196.

revisions. Not infrequently under these Acts, the citizen is subjected to heavy penalties without any opportunity of a review by a judicial authority in matters of a clearly quasi-judicial nature.

39. Another class of disputes in which the validity of administrative action is frequently challenged in the courts are those in which Government servants seek eredress for real or fancied violations of their constitutional safeguards or the breach of the rules regulating their conditions of service.

A large volume of case law has developed on this subject and the number of such cases are increasing. This is in part due to the growing complexity and unintelligibility of the rules which has ted a judge of the Supreme Court to remark!...., no one can be blamed for not knowing where they are in this wilderness of rules and regulations, and coinced words and phrases with highly technical meanings. Instances are to be found in the Law Reports of many frivolous applications. In a number of cases the courts had however to intervene because it was found that clear injustice had been done as a result of a deliberate violation of clear and mandatory provisions of the Constitution or the service rules.

The problem presented by such petitions seeking redress in service matters serious consideration. For the reasons already stated we do not favour a curtailment of the jurisdiction of the High Courts under article 226. At the same time there is danger that if a large number of petitions of this kind continue to be filed, the High Courts may be turned into tribunals for deciding disputes between the Government and its employees.

A simplification and re-drafting of the relevant rules is very necessary. In addition we would also recommend the establishment at the Centre and the States of an appellate tribunal or tribunals presided over by a legally qualified Chairman—and with experienced civil servants as members to which can be referred memorials and appeals from government servants in respect of disciplinary and other action taken against them.

The establishment of such a tribunal or tribunals will serve a double purpose. Apart from providing a speedy remedy in genuine cases of injustice, the existence of a speaking order drawn up by a qualified tribunal will enable the courts to reject all frivolous petitions summarily entertain and only those cases where their intervention is really necessary in view of the importance of the constitutional and legal points involved.

There is also a vast field of administrative action in which an administrative authority may contravene the law

Per Bose. J., K. S. Szeniyasan est. Union of India, A. I. R. 1938 Sup. at page 432.

without opportunity to the injured citizen to obtain redress. from any judicial authority for the unlawful action of the authority.

 That broadly is the problem which needs a solution. In solving it we have to bear in mind some basic considerations.

It would be derogatory to the citizens' rights to establish Estaba system of administrative courts which would take the lishment place of the ordinary courts of law for examining the validity of administrative action. It may be that in view of Tribunals certain inherent advantages like speed, cheapness, proce undedural simplicity and availability of special knowledge in strable. extra-judicial tribunals, these may be useful as a supplementary system. But it will not be right to conceive them as a device to supplant the ordinary courts of law) It would be unthinkable to allow judicial justice administered by courts of law to be superseded by executive justice administered by administrative tribunals. It would be a step backward to erect in the place of deliberate judicial tribunals restrained by formal procedure and deciding according to fixed principles, off hand administrative tribunals, which the relations of individuals with each other and with the State would be adjusted summarily according to notions for the time being of administrative officers, unfettered by any rules as to what general interest or good conscience demands. So conceived, the administrative court might well be regarded "as a court of politicians enforcing a policy, but not a court enforcing the law"—a description which Maitland gave to the Court of Star Chamber. One may in this connection use the felicitous phraseology of Mr. E. F. Woodle, President of the Cleveland Bar Association: "Justice cannot stand half free and half englayed * * * * We cannot have in this country two systems of dispensing of justice one * * * sofeguarded by restrictions and limitations and privileges which have been found to be wise and necessary through centuries of experience, and another system administered largely in disregard of our principles of surisprudence, largely in disregard of the limitations, restrictions and privileges which our courts have found it wise and necessary to observe".1

Though we may imbue our administrative tribunals with a greater judicial spirit and insist upon their observance of the rules which would obtain in any system moulded on the principles of natural justice, the ultimate review must be with our High Court judiciary. However sound and wellequipped an administrative judiciary may be, it connot command from the public the confidence which the třremovable – superior judiciary in High Courts enjoyed for over a century and more. Any scheme of

¹³⁸ Journal of the American Judicature Society 118-119 (1944) clied by Walter Cellillorn; Individual Perident and Governmental Response.

administrative adjudication which has not at its open the High Court or a High Court Judge in some manner, will fail of its purpose.

Halsting countitutions] contains not to be curtuiled. 4l. It is of equal importance to remember that any measure we suggest and which we adopt should be supplementary and in addition to the constitutional remedies that are now open to the citizens. Their beneficial effect has, as noted elsewhere, been felt throughout the country and has kept even the highest executive constantly on the alert for fear of their action being quickly challenged in a court of law. It would be disastrous to remove this most salutary restraint on the administration. It is not surprising that suggestions for the modification of some of these constitutional remedies have been recently voiced by the executive. In our view, these suggestions must be sternly discountenanced.

If resort to these constitutional remedies results in delaying administrative action the remedy is to strengthen the Courts, for dealing expeditiously with these matters.

Summary of recommentations.

- We shall now summarize our recommendations.
- (1) The existing jurisdiction of the Supreme Court and the High Courts which enables them to examine to a limited extent the action of administrative bodies should be maintained unimpaired.
- (2) The creation of a general administrative body like the Conseil dietat in France is not practicable in our country.
  - (3) Decisions should be demarcated into-
    - (a) judicial and quasi-judicial, and
    - (b) administrative.
- (4) In the judicial and quasi-judicial decisions, an appeal on facts should lie to an independent tribunal presided over by a person qualified to be a Judge of a High Court. He may be assisted by a person or persons with administrative or technical knowledge. The tribunal must function with openness, fairness and impartiality as laid down by the Franks Committee.
- (5) In the case of judicial or quasi-judicial decisions, an appeal or a revision on questions of law should lie to the High Court. Special machinery can, if necessary, be provided to assist the High Court Judge. The suggestions made by the Spens Committee may be (adopted) in this connection.
- (6) In the case of administrative decisions, provision should be made that they should be accompanied by reasons. The reasons will make it possible to test the validity of these decisions by the machinery of appropriate writs.

- (7) The tribunals delivering administrative judgments should conform to the principles of natural justice and should act with openness, fairness and impartiality.
- (8) Legislation providing a simple procedure embodying the principles of natural justice for the functioning of tribunals may be passed. Such procedure will be applicable to the functioning of all tribunals in the absence of special provision or provisions in the statutes constituting them.
- (9) Appropriate legislation will have to be enseted to provide for tribunals to operate in the manner indicated above for the discharge of quasi-judicial or judicial functions by administrative bodies or officers, which may be entrusted to them by any legislation.

## APPENDIX

## THE HISTORY OF THE CONSER D'ETAT

The origins of the Conseil detat founded by Napoleoni can be traced back to pre-revolutionary France. It was: modelled on the earlier Council D'-Roy. The members of the Council were a group of administrators designed toassist the Government in the formulation of policy and it. was parallel to and distinct from the Council of Ministers, Originally no doubt, it was intended to act as a shield of the Government and was entrusted with the task of deciding questions of jurisdiction and preventing the ordinary courts from encroaching on the field of administration. however, also began from early times to entertain certain. actions against the Government and has throughout maintained a distinction between its advisory and judicial functions. In view of the value of the Council as an instrument of revising Government Bills and securing justice in certain cases, it survived the restoration of the Bourbons. and was continued even under the Orleans monarchy. 1891, an ordinance was passed reorganising the contentious or judicial business of the Council. Gradually, as the prestige of the Council increased, its decisions ceased to be advisory and in 1872, executory force was given to all its judgments. At about the same time its power to decide is not unknown for ambitious men to seek a change, Younger members of the Conseil are occasionally encouraged to go on deputation for a time to the active administration or the foreign service for the time being and to return to their original position in the Conseil without gain or loss of seniority. The Council is not associated with active administration in the sense that it is not immediately responsible either for policy or the actual execution thereof but it serves to advise the administration generally on the formulation of policy and its execution. It is a dynamic body having in its personnel, people of varying ages.

Though the Council as a unit is primarily an administrative body yet its judicial business is strictly separated from the non-judicial. When the Council meets to transact its judicial business, all the members of the administrative sections excepting four, who are annually chosen to represent their administrative colleagues, are excluded from its deliberations and take no part in making the final decision. The entire Council works as one unit and there is a strong esprit de corps among its members, though it is divided into sections for the sake of convenience. The members of the Council engaged in executive work accept the decisions of the sections contentieux (the Judicial section) as their own and support it in their dealings with

the Ministries of Government. In the case of an internal dispute, the views of the section contentieur finally prevail and instances are not unknown when that section has annulled orders of the Council acting as a whole in administrative matters. The section contentieux of the Conseil d'etat, the judicial organ, is only one of the five sections into which the Council divides itself for the purpose of conducting its business but it comprises more members than the other four put together. Thus in 1953, of the 149 members on the staff of the Council, more than 80 were members of that section. Alone of the five sections, the section du contentieur is divided into sub-sections, nine in number. Of these, sections 5—9 deal with matters of technical and lesser importance like tax cases, disputed elections and claims against the Government arising out. of accidents in which Government vehicles are involved. The other four sections deal with the ordinary judicial business. It is very easy to invoke the jurisdiction of the Council. The intervention of an advocate is not necessary and the request or petition may be drafted with extremeinformality. The inquisitorial process of the court will beto it that a substantially good case is not unduly prejudiced by the lack of an able advocate.

The procedure followed in the Council is as follows: -

As soon as a petition is received in court it is numhered and is allotted to a particular sub-section, otherwise known as a sous section. Once a case has been assigned to a sub-section, the President of that section assigns it to a supporteur, usually a junior member of that section. It is the duty of this ropporteur to follow to the end the case assigned to him. He studies the papers and calls for such files and papers. as he may find necessary. After gathering the necessary material, he submits a report which summarises the pleadings of the parties, the evidence, the draft order which he proposes and the questions of law and fact which arise in the case. The first part is read out at the final public hearing and thereafter the parties may have their day in court. Counsel for both sides including the Government may address the court. The matter is then discussed between the members of the subsection incharge of the case and another sub-section and the Commissaire du Government is present at the discussion. After the case has been discussed and the advocates of the parties have made their submissions, the Commissioner who acts as the embodied conscienceof the court and is usually a civil servant in the middle rank of the hierarchy sums up the case in open court and sets out the points of law and fact involved, the contentions of the parties and finally suggests a draft order. This he does after the advocates of the parties have had their say. In effect, he charges the membersof the court as a judge charges the jury. In view of thefact that the Council is not bound by his views even on questions of law, he might perhaps be more appropriately compared to the Judge-Advocate at a court mertial.

Thereafter the sections discuss the matter among themselves and an order is prepared and is given effect to.

It should be noticed that apart from this public hearing, the Council has also resort to what may be called the inquisitorial procedure. Once it is satisfied that the complainant has made out a prima facie case, the court does not insist upon the adversary procedure being followed. It becomes rather the duty of the court to satisfy itself as to the truth of the matter. It carries on correspondence with the Government Department in question, to ascertain the reason for any particular decision which has been taken. Basically the procedure of the court is a written and scaret one. All proceedings, except the final public session, take place in private but in the presence of the parties. The filing of documents and interrogatories normally takes the place of examination and cross-examination of witnesses.

As we have already seen, counsel are permitted to appear before the Conseil d'etat. The number so permitted is very small, being limited to 60 and they are the same body of men as those who practise before the court of cassation.

Under the procedure followed by the Council, no surprise is possible as to a witness action tried in the ordinary civil courts.

Normally, before the court renders judgment in a particular case, it takes time for consideration and normally a forthight is necessary for this purpose. But it is very rarely that a judgment is delayed in any case beyond fifteen days.

The law of the Conseil d'etat is unlike the law of the French civil courts which is based upon the civil codes; instead it resembles the English Common Law and has developed from precedent to precedent. Attempts are made by parties to rely on precedents and to distinguish one case from another. The decisions of the Council are published and reported.

## 32.—LAW REFORM AND LEGISLATION

 The need for a constant scruting of the law by a body The role permanently constituted for the purpose was chylsaged as march Law far back as 1837 by Lord Macaulay in his letter to Lord Commis-Auckland presenting the first part of the Indian Code sion : Referring to the questions which might be raised in regard Views of to the construction of the Code he stated: Macaulay,

"Such questions will certainly arise, and, unless proper precautions be taken, the decisions on such questions will accumulate till they form a body of law of far greater bulk than that which has been adopted by the Legislature * * * * it is most desirable that measures should be taken to prevent the written law from being overlaid by an immense weight of comments and decisions. * * * * All the questions thus reported to the Government might with advantage be referred for examination to the Law Commission, if that Commission should be a permanent body. In some cases it will be found that the law is already sufficiently clear, and that any misconstructions which may have taken place is to be attributed to weakness, carelessness, wrongheadedness, or corruption on the part of an individual, and is not likely to occur again. In such cases it will be unnecessary to make any change in the Code. Sometimes it will be found that a case has arisen respecting which the Code is silent. In such a case it will be proper to supply the omission. Sometimes it may be found that the Code is inconsistent with itself. If so, inconsistency ought to be removed. Sometimes it will be found that the words of the law are not sufficiently precise. In such a case it will be proper to substitute others. Sometimes it will be found that the language of the law, though it is precise as the subject admits. is not so clear that a person of ordinary intelligence can see its whole meaning. In these cases it generally be expedient to add illustrations such as may distinctly show in what sense the Legislature intends the law to be understood, and may render it impossible that the same question, or any similar question, should ever again occasion difference of opinion. In this manner every successive edition of the Code will solve all the important questions as to the construction of the Code which have arisen since the appearance of the edition immediately preceding.

Speaking of the need for constant revision and reenactment of the various laws, Sir James Stephen stated

^{*}Cited by Achatya t Codification (Tagore Law Lectures), pp. 142-143.

Need for periodical sevision of laws.

3. Nor is the need for such constant scrutiny and revision confined to countries with a codified system of laws. The need has also been felt in England and other countries where a great part of the law is unwritten. It was in 1836 that Lord Langdale, Master of the Rolls, emphasised the importance of constant revision of the laws. He said:

Position in England, "It will be admitted that it is the first duty of Government to provide for the dug administration of justice * * * *. But justice * * * * depends on the law, and it becomes necessary for the Government to take care that the law, on which justice in its practical application depends, is in as good a state as the advancement of knowledge, the state of society and other circumstances will permit. The constant fluctuation of all human affairs * * * makes it absolutely necessary * * * that such corresponding changes as wisdom and experience may sanction should from time to time be made in the law."

After deploring the fact that the Chancellor, upon whom the duty devolved, found it utterly impossible to perform it and the absence of a Minister able to bestow time on the subject, he went on to say:—

"Every Government has struggled with the difficulty, and at times even attempted to dissemble it; but the present arrangement of the offices does not afford to the executive Government and to the legislature such regular and constant information respecting the state of the law, the proceedings and situation of the courts, and all other matters relating to the administration of civil and criminal justice, nor such assistance in the preparation of new laws, as may afford the best guide to safe and useful improvement, and the most securecheck to rash and ignorant proposals to change."

Periodical revision necessary in India.  In our country, the third Law Commission presided over by Lord Romilly again emphasised the need for a

^{*}Gazerre of India Supplement, May 4, 1872, p. 534.

^{* &}amp;* Citedia the Law Quarterly Review, Vol. LXIX 1951 (1951 5) pp. 50-61.

vigilant scrutiny of the law from time to time for the purpose of resolving the difficulties that have been noticed m its working and with a view to the clarification of all matters of doubt. The Report stated:

"For the prevention of this great evil the enacted law ought, at intervals of only a few years, to be revised. and so amended as to make it contain as completely as possible, in the form of definitions, of rules, or of illustrations, everything which may from time to time be deemed fit to be made a part of it, leaving nothing to rest as law on the authority of previous judicial decisions. Each successive edition after such a revision should be enacted as law, and would contain, sanctioned by the Legislature, all judge-made law of the preceding interval deemed worthy of being retained. On these occasions, too, the opportunity should be taken to amend the body of law under revision in every practicable way, and especially to provide such new rules of law as might be required by the rise of new interests and new circumstances in the progress of society".1

5. The question of the appropriate machinery which Need for could be entrusted with this important duty of revision of special body the law from time to time was considered by Acharya in for law his Tagore Law Lectures for the year 1912. He stated:

"A Permanent Law Commission or a Ministry of Justice is essentially necessary for further codification in this country for various reasons: (a) If codification is to be done well, it must be done by experts whose time is valuable. The case is not as though the Indian Legislative Department had nothing to occupy itself with except codification. Even if it were to leave this work undone it would still remain the hardest worked of all the Indian departments. It could not do more without strengthening its staff or seeking extrinsic aid".2 The Permanent Law Commission will render this extrinsic aid. (b) Such a Commission or Ministry of Justice will supply the improved machinery to work new materials into the codes during the interval between periodical revisions. (c) Such a Commission is necessary for receiving complaints against the law, because cases of hardship continually occur that never enter courts of Justice, and defects in the law are often suggested to lawyers in private practice, by cases neither in court nor in hand, which they forget in the hurry of business, but which might be made available for law reform if there is a Commission to which they might be notified".3

Report dated 23rd June, 1863, Gazette of India Extraordinary, July 18**64**, p. 56.

Ilbert's Legislative Methods and Forms pp. 151-152.

^{*}Tagore Law Lectures: Lecture IX Feasibility of Further Codification in British India pp. 314-315.

 Efforts have been repeatedly made during the British days, to set up in India, a body designed to bring about the needed revision of the law.

Parlier
setempts.
Somme
Law
Revision
Committee.

As early as 1921, a Statute Law Revision Committee: consisting of the then President of the Council of State as Chairman and six members of the Legislature was established. Its task was limited to preparing for the consideration of the Covernment "measures of consolidation and clarification as might be necessary to secure the highest attainable standard of formal perfection in the Statute Law of India." It was also intended that the Committee should be a permanent and independent body which would also assist the Government in effecting necessary reforms from a purely legal point of view. The Committee continued towork till about 1932. It succeeded in consolidating the law relating to merchant shipping, criminal tribes, succession, forests and torus; but, gradually, the Committee ceased to-function effectively. The Government did not avail of the services of this Committee when they took up more important measures for codification and consolidation.

Propossia for crtation of such a body,

7. In 1925, Dr. Gour moved a Resolution recommending to the Governor-General-in-Council to take steps for the appointment of a Law Commission. The proposal, however, failed to find support. In 1947, a Resolution was moved by him in the Constituent Assembly to the same effect, but it was withdrawn on the assurance given by the then Law Minister.

Racemmendorions of the Rankin Committee, 8. The Rankin Committee referred to the need for the constant revision of laws in the following words:—

"For our purposes it is beyond question that the most useful recommendation to be made is that the codes we have should be revised carefully and at frequent intervals. Here there is much to be gained and daily difficulties can be removed. The best code must necessarily produce a crop of new litigation. The existing codes cover a very large area and in amending them it is possible to know within limits what one is doing".

Law revision abroad.  Measures have been taken in other countries to constitute bodies for the purpose of revising the laws from time to time.

In New York State. A Law Revision Commission, a full-time salaried body, was set up in New York as far back as 1934. It appears to have done extremely useful work in examining the laws: already enacted and has, from time to time, made reports recommending a large number of legislative changes. Indeed, the legislative machinery of the State has not found the time to carry out all the suggestions made by the Commission which is still functioning.

[&]quot;Givil Justice Committee Report, p. 536, para, 5.

A Law Revision Committee was set up in the United In the Kingdom in the year 1934. Its function was "to consider Kingdom, how far, having regard to the statute law and to judicial decisions, such legal maxims and doctrines as the Lord Chancellor may from time to time refer to the Committee require revision in modern conditions". In practice, however, the Committee, like its post-war successor, chose subjects for its consideration with the approval of the Lord Chancellor and enunciated certain recommendations most of which were accepted and enacted into statutes.

A similar Committee called the Law Reform Committee was appointed in 1952 "to consider, having regard especially to judicial decisions, what changes are desirable in such legal doctrines as the Lord Chancellor may from time to time refer to the Committee". Its labours have resulted in the enactment of some legislation. It may be mentioned that the activities of those committees were not confined to suggesting changes in the existing laws. It was open to them to suggest legislation in fields not covered. Suggestions of this character made by them have also been put on the Statute Book.

 It is unnecessary to emphasise the imperative need Function of for the establishment of a permanent body of the nature such a bodyindicated in India not only for the purpose of revising laws establishment desirin the manner discussed above, but for the purpose of con-able. solidating co-ordinating and re-modelling them in the light of modern legal concepts.

 Such a body will have to consist of experts who will Composition. devote continuous attention to this ardnous work. Most of of this body. our statutes have not undergone substantial revision or examination for many years, and the task involved in revising them and in incorporating into them the views judicially expressed from time to time can only be performed by a competent body of persons of experience giving their entire attention to it. As observed by Prof. Goodhart: "Law Reform, if it is to be properly done, is not the work of a few spare afternoons"."

12. Bodies of this character will have to be appointed at Bodies at the State level also in order that State legislation may also State be subjected to scrutiny from time to time. In this conacction, we refer with satisfaction to the appointment by the State of West Bengal of a Statute Revision Committee constituted in 1952 which has since been reconstituted as the Law Commission consisting of a Chairman and a member.

13. So far, we have dea't with the question of the Sengiry of Tevision of laws already made. Of no less importance is from: the question of the scrutiny of (a) legislation which may Legislation, from time to time be undertaken by Parliament, and (b) delegated legislation.

Presidential Address on the Holdsworth Club on Law Reform, cited by R. E. Megarry, Canadian Bar Review 1956, page 108.

'Staturory Logislaction.

 It is widely recognised that modern conditions have led to an enormous growth of legislative activity. Within the last few years, Government has been called upon to legistate upon a variety of topics in an atmosphere of haste. to deal with situations and contingencies that didarise in the pre-innependence period. Without reflecting in any manner on the patience and ability of our legislators, it must be admitted that laws have been passed which, with more leisure for scrutiny, would have avoided many defects subsequently found to exist and which have called for amending Acts. Cases have frequently prisen where a statute or a part of it has been struck down as being unconstitutional by the High Court or the Supreme Court. The point of view from which the legislature scrutinizes a statute is entirely different from that of a hody of legal experts. One cannor, therefore, expect-Parliament to be in a position, even if it were so inclined, to examine the contents of each statute and its effect in such a manner as to ensure that every part of it lies within the limits of the legislative power or that it does not affend the Fundamental Rights guaranteed by the Constitution or that it is otherwise a sound and workman-like piece of legislation.

Deficiencies -of the present system-

:Suggested :;певацев.

Emergent legislation: scrutiny not possible. 15. It is in our view, practicable to provide for a considered scrutiny of legislation undertaken by Parliament. Legislation may for this purpose, perhaps, be divided into legislation which is emergent and legislation, the enactment of which can wait for a time. It is obvious that it would be difficult to provide scrutiny by an expert body of legislation which is of an emergent character. Such legislation will have to be examined by the Law Ministry and the Ministry concerned with the aid of their own staff in order to see that it conforms to constitutional requirements and that it is in other respects proper.

Other thator legislagion; psice security reconstructded,

16. However, in regard to fresh major legislation, as distinguished from routine or amending legislation, the enactment of which can wait for scrutiny by an expert body, we would recommend that it should, as a rule, be sent for examination to the expert body, whose creation we have recommended, before its submission to Parliament, Such a course has been adopted with advantage in some legislation which has recently and in the past been passed by Parliament. We have in our mands certain provisions of the recent Central Sales Tax Art (LXXIV of 1956) and legislation like the Partnership Act and the Sale of Goods Act enacted several years ago. The adoption of such a course will avoid pitfalls and shortcomings such as have been brought to light by the decisions of the courts in regard to several statutes.

Delegated liegislagion, 17. As an mevitable consequence of the increasing amount of legislation, the legislatures have found it necessary to confer upon specified authorities the power to make rules, regulations or bye-laws for the purpose of carrying out the object of the enactments. Such delegated legislation

largely exceeds in quantity the amount of direct legislation and is necessary for conserving parliamentary time for the discussion of matters of principle and importance, leaving the making of detailed regulations to the departments concerned. In framing these regulations, very wide and general powers are exercised by the departments; the only restraint on the discretion of the department in framing them is that they should not be ultra vires the parent Act. No adequate machinery is, however. provided for the scrutiny of the regulations so framed nor is sufficient care bestowed on their drafting.

18. Not a few of the petitions filed under article 226 of Need for the Constitution in the High Courts have raised the scruting. question of the vires of such rules. Frequently, the High Courts have been called upon to pronounce judicially upon the validity of subordinate legislation and a great deal of their time is taken up in determining its validity and even its scope. It is obvious, therefore, that a great amount of judicial time can be saved if delegated legislation made by these subordinate authorities were made subject to an independent and expert scrutiny before it is permitted to take effect.

19. While conferring powers to make rules or regula- Prior tions on subordinate authorities, some of the statutes rion. require that these rules should be published before they can be brought into force. Other require that these rules should be placed on the Table of the Houses of Parliament or the State Legislature after publication; some others empower the Legislature to alter or vary them after they have been placed on the Table of the House. In some cases, while power to alter or vary is not specifically given, the rules or regulations are required to be placed before the House for a specified period before they come into operation.

20. The requirement that the rules should be placed Parties on the Table of the House was presumably intended to mestary ensure that the members of the Legislature would examine writing. those rules and if they found that those rules exceeded the authority of the statute itself or contained provisions which conflicted with the constitutional provisions protections or were otherwise undesirable, action could be taken by a member to have the matter set right. It was thus left to the individual enterprise of a member of the Legislature to take upon himself this difficult task of examining the rules. It may be broadly stated that this expectation was not generally realised.

21. We may, however, draw attention to an important step taken towards surmounting this difficulty by the Luk Sabha in providing by its. Rules certain salutary procedures for the examination of statutory rules. The Sabha has established a Committee called "The Committee on Subordinate Legislation" for the scrutiny of orders. Rule 271 of the Rules of Procedure and conduct of business of 122 M. of Law-45.

The Lek Sebba Committee on Subadment Legislation. the Lok Sabha gives a wide definition of an "order" which includes statutory rules. Rule 272 provides that after each order referred to in Rule 271 is laid before the House, the Committee shall, in particular, consider:

- "(i) whether it is in second with the general objects of the Constitution or the Act pursuant to which it is made:
- (ii) whether it contains matter which in the opinion of the Committee should more properly be dealt with in an Act of Parliament;
  - (iii) whether it contains imposition of any tax;
- (iv) whether it directly or indirectly hars the jurisdiction of the courts;
- (v) whether it gives retrospective effect to any of the provisions in respect of which the Constitution or the Act does not expressly give any such power:
- "(ix) whether for any reason its form or purport calls for any elucidation".

The Committee was nominated by the Speaker in December, 1953, and it has submitted a number of reports. All rules required to be laid on the Table of the House are examined by the members of the Committee with the aid of notes prepared by the Secretariat. Whenever the members feel that a particular order transgresses the limits of propriety, they formulate questions to be answered by the Ministry or Department concerned; the questions are then consolidated and a questionnaire is prepared by the Parllament Secretariat. The Committee is authorised to examine on oath, if necessary, witnesses representing the department which has issued the rules in question.

The Committee has recommended that the lack of uniformity in the various statutes in regard to the manner in which rules were to become operative and to be laid on the Table of the House should be avoided and that in all future ensetments conferring rule-making powers on Government, provision should be made in express terms that the rules should be laid on the Table of the House for a uniform total period of thirty days before the date of their final publication and that they should be subject to such modifications as the House may find necessary.

Its functioning, The position in the States. 22. There is no doubt that the Committee constituted by the Rules of the Lok Sabha has performed and is performing very useful functions and keeps subordinate legislation under control. The activities of the Committee have earned the commendation of Sir Ceceil Carr, an authority on subordinate legislation, who has described its work as that of "a rigorous and independent body". Committees on similar lines have been constituted by several of the State

^{&#}x27;Public 1.cw, 1956, page 218.

Legislatures. We recommend the establishment of a similar Committee by all the State Legislatures.

23. The question however, still arises whether a scrutiny exacting of the regulations by an expert body would not be desirable, of rules However close the scrutiny by the Parliamentary Com- by m mittee may be, it cannot subject the rules to an examina- expertion such as a qualified body of experts can give. Various body. points would be looked at by the expert committee which the Parliamentary Committee would be unlikely to consider. We would, therefore, recommend that whenever possible, the more important rules made under an Act should be submitted for prior scritting to the permanent body we have recommended. A Committee of this body may be entrusted with the task of examining delegated legislation before its publication and before it is laid on the Table of the House. The Committee will, undoubtedly, have to be assisted in its deliberations on the rules by a representative of Government in the concerned department. Such association is necessary in order that the Committee may have before it the reasons and the point of view which have led to the formulation of a particular rule or rules. The procedure we are recommending need not cause any delay in the publication of the rules or in their being laid on the Table of the House provided a proper procedure is evolved for the examination of those rules by the Committee of the permanent body.

 In the United Kingdom, a Committee known as the The posi-Select Committee on Statutory Instruments is constituted tion in by the House of Commons. Its duty is to consider every ted Kingstatutory rule or order laid in draft before the House upon dom. which proceedings may be or may have been taken in either House (i.e. all rules which require to be confirmed by a resolution of the House, or can be annulled in like manner). The Committee considers the rule or order from points of view similar to those outlined in the Rules of Procedure of the Lok Sabha.

 As a result of the recommendations of this Com- The Stamittee, the Statutory Instruments Act of 1946 was passed totary in England. This Act applies to every statutory instru-Iostoment and includes every order-in-council and any form ments of subordinate legislation made by a Minister; and it provides for the publication, printing and sale of copies of such instrument. It requires that copies of an order which is required by any law to be laid before Parliament shall be laid on the Table of the House as required prior to its coming into operation except in cases where it is necessary to bring it into force before copies are so laid, in which case, reasons have to be given to the House, House is competent to present an address for annulment of the order within forty days in cases where the statutory instrument is made expressly subject to annulment by Resolution of either House. In other cases, the instrument is not to come into force until after the expiry

of ferty days from the date of its being laid before the House. This Act ensures that statutory instruments are properly published and made available to the public and that no penalty for the contravention of a statutory instrument shall be incurred unless such publication is made. It seems to us that a general provision of this nature could with advantage be included in our General Clauses Act.

Drafting of legistation, 26. The process of law making assumes far greater importance in a democratic State, particularly, in a democratic welfare State. The laws tend to become more and more numerous covering, as they do, most phases of a citizen's social and industrial activities. Very often, legislation is hastily conceived and still more hastily prepared. Finally, it is deliberated upon, amended and adopted in large committees and assemblies which are not places suitable to the formulation of precise ideas and to the framing of accurate phraseology.

Increase In legisistion

- 27. One may refer to the tremendous increase in legislative output during recent years. Thus, while from 1933 to 1940 only two hundred and forty-nine Acts were passed by the Central Legislature, the number of Acts passed by Parliament during the last eight years is as large as five hundred and eighty. In addition, several ordinances, regulations and President's Acts have also been promulgated. Most of the Acts are followed by the framing of a multitude of rules and regulations under them.
- There has been heavy legislative activity in the States also. Before the recent reorganization of the States, about twenty-four State legislatures were occupied with making laws in the erstwhile Part A, Part B and Part C States, and their legislative output during the last few years has been truly colossal.

Burden on the draftsmen. 28. With such mass legislation continually on the anvil, the problem is to devise measures which will keep the stream of law clear and pellucid. What can be done to make these multitudinous laws brief; clear, precise and free from contradictions and ambiguities? The increased legislative activity has undoubtedly imposed a heavy burden upon those who are entrusted with the task of drafting legislation. An Act generally lays down only the broad policy of the law. For implementing its provisions, rules and regulations have usually to be made. The drafting of such subordinate legislation entails an even heavier burden on the draftsmen.

The importance of the role of a draftsman in the present set-up cannot be over-emphasised. It has been said that the draftsman is to the legislatures and the Governments what the pen is to the poet and the brush to the artist.

29. Our draftsmen seem to have stood the stress to Defects which they have been subjected not too hadly. Quite a in draftlarge number of our Acts and the rules framed under them inghave been subjected to judicial scrutiny during the last few years. Though they have not been generally found defective, cases have not been infrequent where the courts have commented on "bad drafting", "looseness of expres-sion" and "not happily worded" enactments and rules. That legislation is often hastily conceived and ill-drafted is shown by the large number of occasions on which soon after the passing of the principal Act, the Government has to come forward with an amending Act to make provision for the omissions and the defects in the principal Act. Out of the total number of four hundred and three Acts (this figure excludes the one hundred and two Appropriation Acts, Finance Acts and the like) passed during the period 1950-56 by Parliament, not less than 217 were amending Acts. It is not unlikely that quite a few of these were intended to rectify defects in the principal Acts.

30. In justice to the draftsman, it must, however, be Ressons . said that these defects are not always his responsibility, for de-So hastily has legislation sometimes to be prepared that he is asked to be ready with a Bill in a matter of days or even hours. Often enough he has to work on barest outline of policy and clothe the skeleton himself. What is worse is that the draft Bill prepared by him undergoes in the legislative process changes (about which he is not consulted) at the hands of those who think that they know the art of drafting better.

31. We are of the view that in the matter of preparing Essentials, legislation two things are essential. Firstly, the proposed Adequate legislation should be well considered. For this purpose, trained sufficient time should be given to the draftsmen and the draftsadministrative officials to deliberate upon all its impliestions so that they may take into account all the possible circumstances and situations which ought to be provided for by the legislation. Secondly, our draftsmen should be well trained and abould also be persons equipped with "wide knowledge and a great mastery of language". They should be capable of having a "precise conception of the objects desired and to express those objects in unambiguous phrases".

32. The Government of India have recently issued a Preparamemorandum on the "Preparation and Passing of Bills," the bills. It contains detailed rules to be observed and instructions to be followed by the administrative Ministries with a view to ensuring that the draftsmen get adequate instructions, time and material for preparing the Bills. The first stage in the preparation of a Bill is the formulation of the legislative policy. This is a metter that has to be settled

Hasty drufting.

Inadequate institut tjuma. by the administrative Ministry in the light of administrative, financial, political and other considerations. There has been a general complaint, very strongly voiced, at the recent Conference of All India Draftsmen that the administrative Ministries seldom apply their minds in determining the legislative policy, and instead of giving precise instructions to the draftsmen, send sketchy notes, not clearly indicating the policy, and expect the draftsmen to draft the Bill within a short time for presentation to Parliament.

Legislative programme to be planted.

33. We are of the view that what is really needed is an adequate advance planning of the legislative programme. In emergencies, of course, legislation may have to be framed at short notice, but normally one should imagine that the expected programme of legislation would be settled at least a year ahead. We are informed that. in England, official draftsmen refuse to draft Bills if they are not mentioned in their scheduled list; and that the Government not infrequently has to request the members of the Bar to get their Bills drafted on payment of fabulous feet. If the programme of legislation is settled well in advance not only would greater opportunity be afforded for the proper consideration of the proposed legislation by the administrative Ministry, but it will also ensure all possible material facilities, instructions and advice being given to the draftsmen. A great deal depends upon the care and skill with which the draftsman is given instructions. He has to be given the whole of the legislative proposals in full detail and has to be acquainted with the complete background of the proposed legislation. Aport from the definite legislative policy, the draftsman should have ample time to discuss matters with the officials of the administrative Ministry concerned who should be readily available for consultation.

Propet instructions to the drafts-man.

34. We understand that the procedure at the Centre, when it is decided to undertake legislation, is as follows:

Procedure in preparing legislation.

Matters involving legislation have to be first brought before the Cabinet for decision. If the Minister in charge of an administrative Ministry decides after consulting the Ministry of Law that legislation on any particular topic should be sponsored in Parliament, he causes a self-contained summary setting out the facts and the legislative measures proposed to be prepared. This summary is vetted in the Ministry of Law before submission to the Cabinet for approval. The summary is expected to be drafted in a manner so as to fully bring out the need for legislation and the implications of the proposed legislation, the Ministry which initiated the legislative proposal, prepares an office memorandum indicating

⁴Proceeding of the All India Legal Draftsmen's Conference, February, 1958.

sufficiently the grounds on which it has been decided to legislate. This memorandum is sent to the Ministry of Law for taking steps for drafting the Bill for introduction in Parliament. It appears that notwithstanding these rules, in a large number of cases such memoranda are not furnished to the draftsmen, possibly owing to the lack of trained officials in the administrative Ministries. The result is that the drafting of Bills is made very difficult. We believe that the procedure followed in the preparation of Bills in the States is similar. What we wish to emphasize is that to achieve better results, it is imperative that full and adequate instructions should be given to the draftsmen in time so as to enable them to do justice to their task.

35. When a Bill is before the Legislature, it frequently Patianeahappens that the Government accepts amendments pro- tary posed by the members. Members of Parliament may not mens, always realize the full legal implications of the amendments proposed by them. It is, therefore, necessary that such amendments, before they are finally accepted, should be thoroughly examined by the draftsmen who should not be hustled to produce drafts of such amendments at a moment's notice. Sufficient time should be given to the draftsmen to scrutinise them.

36. We are also of the view that the drafts of all im- Circulaportant Bills, unless they are urgent, should be circulated Bills for to the High Courts, Bar Associations and the interested cliciting members of the public for eliciting opinions.

apindon,

37. We may also draw attention to a small but important step in the procedure of preparing a draft Bill. We understand that in England, draftsmen usually work in pairs. Working together they get an opportunity to discuss the draft each of them has prepared and to exchange ideas and to point out the errors or omissions, if any, in the drafts. We think this is a very useful practice which may be advantageously adopted in our country. It is bound to reduce errors and defects in drafting.

38. The need for the training of our draftsmen cannot Training be over-estimated. Our draftsmen are daily being called of draftsupon to shoulder an ever-increasing burden. They are men, expected to have both imagination and clarity of thought and, as far as possible, some knowledge of the subjectmatter with which they deal. They cannot, of course, be expected to be experts in every field of knowledge; out, whenever they are required to draft legislation concerning a technical subject, the concerned administrative officials are expected to instruct them suitably. Nevertheless, it is necessary that the draftsmen should have the art and the requisite experience of stating the formal law in precise and unambiguous language, so as to bring out clearly the true intention of the legislature. Drafting is an art which is cultivated and acquired only after considerable

School for drafting not feanible. experience of actual drafting. Initial training at the hands of experienced draftsmen is essential to the new-comer. We understand that this matter was discussed at the recently held All India Conference of Draftsmen. The conference considered two proposals in this regard, namely, (1) starting of a training school for draftsmen and (2) the revival of the "attache system". It was suggested that if a school were started, junior draftmen from States could be trained for a period of one or two years in the school under the guidance of some trained draftsmen. Such a scheme would, however, be very expensive, and we are not quite sure, if a suitable number of trainees could be spared by the States every year who could be usefully absorbed in the State service after training.

Another method; the arra-p che system.

39. We think that the second alternative, viz., the "attache system" under which junior draftsmen from the States would be deputed to the Ministry of Law for treining would be a less expensive measure. Normally, such training is given for slx months. We are, however, of the view that such a short period is totally inadequate for Importing the requisite training to the States' draftsmen. The period of training should be longer, at least twelve months, and, during this period, the States' draftsmen should be asked to try their hand at drafting and to produce drafts of Bills under the guidance and instructions of senior draftsmen of the Union Government. We believe that a period of one year's intensive training in the Law Ministry, would greatly help in meeting the increasing needs of the States for trained draftsmen,

Modifications,

Training abroad,

40. But the Law Ministry has itself to be adequately equipped with competent draftsmen who can do justice to the drafting of Union legislation, both substantive and delegated, and help to train draftsmen from the States. We suggest that arrangements be made by the Union Government to send their rising young draftsmen for training under the Parliamentary draftsmen in England.

It is true that the perfect statute, has never been written and never will be. The utmost that a draftsman can do is, perhaps, to try to reduce doubts and ambiguities to a minimum. The success of the draftsman can be measured only the extent to which he achieves this end. We believe the measures which we have suggested will, to some extent, help him towards this goal.

Summary of recommendations.

- 4I. Our recommendations with regard to the periodical revision of law and the drafting of legislation can be summarised as follows:—
  - (1) There should be a permanent body or Commission at the Centre charged with the duty of periodically revising Central enactments in the light of developments in law and practice and for consolidating and remodelling them in the light of changed conditions and fresh legislation.

- (2) If this work is to be properly done, this body should consist of fulltime members.
- (3) Similar bodies should be established in the States for a similar purpose.
- (4) Whenever Government proposes to undertake fresh legislation on a matter which is not emergent, it should refer the proposals for examination by the expert body whose establishment has been recommended.
- (5) The legislative programme of the Government should be adequately planned in advance so that the draftsman is given sufficient time for preparing proper Bills.
- (6) Generally, before important Bills are introduced, they should, unless they are of an emergent character, be circulated to the public, the courts and the Bar Associations for inviting criticisms and opinions.
- (7) Full and adequate instructions should be given to the draftsmen before they are asked to draft Bills.
- (8) As far as possible, the English practice of draftsmen working in pairs should be adopted in India.
- (9) When amendments are moved and accepted in Parliament, the draftsmen should be given sufficient time for scrutinising and reahaping them.
- (10) Delegated or subordinate legislation which is likely to prove difficult should also be referred to the permanent body of experts for prior scrutiny before being brought into force.
- (11) Committees similar to the Committee of the Lok Sabha on subordinate legislation should be established in all States.
- (12) Provisions similar to those contained in the English Statutory Instruments Act of 1946 should be incorporated in the General Clauses Act,
- (13) Steps should be taken to ensure that the draftsmen at the Centre and in the States receive adequate training.
- (14) Draftsmen in the States should be trained in the Law Ministry for a period of one year.
- (15) Suitable draftsmen may be deputed by the Union Government to undergo training in England.

## 33.—ORGANISATION OF CRIMINAL COURTS

Classes of estimated courts.

- 1. The hierarchy of criminal courts has remained practically unchanged since the chacument of the Criminal Procedure Code in 1898. The provisions of the Code control the working of these courts down to the minutest detail. Some slight variations have been introduced in those States where the executive has been separated from the Judiciary. These details have been dealt with in a separate chapter and do not require to be specified here. The following are the existing classes of criminal courts:—
  - (1) High Courts.
  - (2) Courts of Session (including Additional and Assistant Sessions Judges).
    - (3) District Magistrates.
  - (4) Magistrates of the First Second and Third Class.
    - (5) Presidency Magistrates.
    - (6) Honorary or Special Magistrates.
    - (7) Panchayat Courts.

The panchayat or village courts are constituted by special Acts.

High Courts, Original jurisdiccion.

Of all the High Courts, only those of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay exercised ordinary original criminal jurisdiction. The High Courts of Madras and Bombay have, however, recently been deprived of this jurisdiction by the creation of sessions courts at the seats of the High Courts. All offences triable by a court of session arising within the ordinary original civil jurisdiction of these two High Courts are now tried by the courts of sussion established for the purpose, namely, the City Sessions Court, Madras and the Court of Session for Greater Bombay. In the State of West Bengal also, Act XX of 1953 has been passed creating a City Sessions Court for Calcutta for the trial of offences triable by a court of session arising within the ordinary original civil juris-diction of the Calcutta High Court. This Act, however, lays down that certain of the more serious offences, that is, those falling under sections [31-134, 302, 307, 396, 468 and 477-A of the Indian Penal Code and abetments of and attempts to commit these offences should continue to be tried by the High Court. This Act, though passed in 1953, was brought into force by a notification of the Government only early in 1957." The High Court at Calcutta, therefore, exercises ordinary original criminal jurisdiction within the limits of its ordinary original civil jurisdiction in respect of the offences set out above.

 All the High Courts possess both appellate and revi-Appellate sional jurisdiction under the Code.

Lapsceiz juristic-

4. Normally, a sessions division is constituted and a Sessions court of session presided over by a sessions judge is courts. established for every revenue district. In some parts of Their the country, however, a sessions division is constituted jurkatefor more than one revenue district. The State Govern tion ment may appoint additional sessions judges or assistant sessions judges to preside over such Courts if the volume of work makes it necessary. Their powers are defined in the Code. It should be noted that, barring cases falling under section 198-B. Criminal Procedure Code, Sessions Courts have no power to take cognizance of and try cases other than those committed to them by the magistrates. But they exercise both appellate and revisional jurisdiction to the extent provided by the Code.

Except in those States where the separation of the Magis-

executive from the judiciary has been effected resulting trace's in a slight modification of the pattern of the hierarchy of courts of magistrates, the district magistrate is the head of the magistracy in the district. All magistrates of the Taedis-first, second and third class, including magistrates of the trict first class specially empowered under section 30 of the magis-Criminal Procedure Code and honorary or other special time, magistrates and even additional district magistrates are subordinate to him. Apart from the statutory duties laid upon him by the Criminal Procedure Code and other enactments, the district magistrate's principal function is to ensure the proper working of the magisterial machinery. His supervisory duties are by no means the least important though, in the States where separation of the

6. Under the control of the district magistrate, a large number of stipendiary or honorary magistrates of the first, second or third class function, who between them cuspose of the bulk of the criminal work. The powers or these magistrates are described in detail elsewhere. Generally speaking, the lower class of magistrates deals with comparatively minor offences, whether under the Indian Penal Code or under other special or local law. The more serious offences are dealt with by the superior magistrates, or in appropriate cases committed to the court of session for trial.

judiciary from the executive has not been effected, they

are rarely discharged in a satisfactory manner.

 Presidency magistrates are appointed in the three Presidency! towns of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay for the trial of all metauslesser offences, that is, other than those triable by the court is. of session or the High Court in the presidency towns. These presidency magistrates are not superior to magistrates of the first class in so far as the powers conferred upon them by the Code are concerned; but they enjoy certain

privileges, such as the right of recording evidence in a condensed form. The limits of the non-appealable sentences that can be passed by them are higher than those applicable to first class magistrates and even to courts of session. Presidency magistrates can, under the Code, commit cases to the High Court or the sessions court for trial.

Honorary magiatrates, 8. In addition to the various classes of magistrates appointed by Government who hold judicial posts under the State, the State Government is authorised under section 14 of the Criminal Procedure Code to confer upon any person who holds or has held any judicial post under the Union or the State or possesses such other qualifications as may, in consultation with the High Court, be specified by it, the powers of a magistrate in respect of particular cases or categories of cases or generally in regard to cases in any local area. Such magistrates are called honorary or honorary special magistrates.

Their role.

Though the power under this section has been exercised fairly freely, the practice of appointing honorary magistrates appears to have been given up in some States in recent years. The honorary magistrates are intended to give relief to the stipendiary magistrates in their work; most of the work entrusted to them is of a petly type which does not require to be dealt with by a trained judicial officer. and which would needlessly clog the files of the stipendiary magistrates. The institution of honorary magistrates is also helpful as a method of associating the public with criminal judicial administration. In practice, these magistrates are appointed where the volume of criminal work is very large and the regular magistracy feels the need for additional help. As the appointment of a sufficient number of paid magistrates in some areas would impose a heavy financial burden upon the State, suitable persons are chosen and appointed in those areas to work as Honorary Magistrates,

Exicut of the system of honozary magistrues. 10. In the States of Assam, Madhya Pradesh, Mysore, Orissa and Rajasthan, the institution of honorary magistrates is not in vogue. In the Punjab, the system has been discontinued, though it continues to prevail in the Delhi State area.

Ia U.P.

In Uttar Pradesh, the honorary magistracy has helped to expedite the disposal of criminal cases and to relieve the congestion in criminal courts. They dispose of nearly twenty-five per cent, of the criminal work in the State. In 1956, there were three hundred and fifty-seven honorary magistrates in the State of Uttar Pradesh. Some of these magistrates sit singly as honorary special magistrates and the rest in Benches. Important police cases are not, as a rule, sent to these magistrates for trial; but complaint cases of less importance and petty cases under the various local and other Acts are disposed of by them. The Government

of Utlar Pradesh has stated that the duties performed by these magistrates, if entrusted to stipendiary magistrates would cost the exchequer several lakhs of rupees every year.

In the State of Medras also, those honorary magistrates in Madhave served a very useful purpose. According to the chief presidency magistrate, Madras, in the year 1956, out of a total of two lakhs of cases, the honorary magistrates disposed of one lakh thirty-two thousand and odd cases. The problem in large towns is the extremely large number of petty cases which calls for disposal; the lask of finding the necessary number of honorary magistrates in such places is simpler masmuch as retired judicial officers and other capable persons are more easily available there than in the mofussil.

The Code contemplates two classes of honorary magistrates special and bench magistrates. Men of greater experience can be appointed to sit singly whereas others can be empowered to sit in benches.

II. We may here refer to the Rules framed by the Appointment Government of Uttar Pradesh in regard to the appointment and minof honorary magistrates. Appointments are made by the log State Covernment on the recommendation of the district of honorary committees of which the district magistrate and the district magistrate. and sessions judge, one member of the Bar elected by the traces District Bar Association and six non-officials not being to U.P. lawyers, are members. Certain qualifications are prescrib-Particular stress is laid upon the reputation of the person appointed and his freedom from pecuniary embarrassment. What is more important to notice is that these rules provide for a short period of training of about three months to a person appointed for the first time as an honorary magistrate. After familiarizing homself with the Penal Code, the Criminal Procedure Code and the Evidence Act under the guidance of a senior stipendiary magistrate, he has to watch the progress of trials in courts, to take notes of evidence, and to write out judgment for the approval of the magistrate. We have not been informed how this system of training has worked; at any rate, the authorities have endeavoured to see that the usefulness of the person appointed is enhanced by a suitable training. The courts of the honorary magistrates are also expected to be inspected by the sessions judge or the district magistrale.

12. In other States, like West Bengal, however, it is the district collector who makes a recommendation to the Covernment for the appointment of honorary magistrates. In Bombay, the appointments of these special Judicial magistrates, as they are called, are made by the State Government in consultation with the High Court. In the Delhi State area, a selection committee consisting of the

sessions judge, the district magistrate and the Home Secretary, recommends the persons to be appointed. A course of practical training is also given to the magistrates,

Utility
of honorary
magintrutes

13. It is clear from the facts stated in regard to Uttar Pradesh and Madras that honorary magistrates are capable of serving a very useful purpose in relieving the paid magistracy from a large number of petty criminal cases, particularly in the larger towns. We recommend that the system should be tried in other States where it has not sofar been used. Care should, however be taken to provide a proper machinery for recommending suitable persons for appointment. It would be preferable if the appointments. are made by the State Governments with the concurrenceof the High Courts, The High Court would be in a position. Pecommend. retired judicial officers suitable persons after eliciting. apinion the judiciary subordinate to it. It may be pointed out that, like other magistrates, honorary magistrates should sit during fixed hours and should be provided with the necessary stalt.

Mode of trial, 14. The mode of trial in these courts is not different from that obtaining in other courts. They follow the pettern hid down for trial of summons or warrant cases or for summary trials which is set out elsewhere.

Criminal Counts in Hagland

- 15. We may, at this stage, refer to the hierarchy of the English criminal courts. They are:---
  - Courts of summary jurisdiction (Magistrates' courts);
    - (2) Courts of quarter session;
    - (3) Courts of assizes,

The lowest of these courts, the magistrates' courts, are presided over either by two or more Justices of the Peace or one Stipendlary Magistrate either sitting alone or with Such a court is competent to try and convict an accused person for what may be broadly described as summary offences; but the same court is also competent to make an inquiry and commit an accused person to take his trial either at the quarter sessions or at the assizes. The Court of quarter session corresponds roughly to the court of session in our country but, instead of a single judge, it consists of a number of justices with a legally qualified Chairman, the qualification being ten years standing as a Barrister or as a Solicitor having such legal experience as is sufficient in the Lard Chancellor's opinion. Trial before these courts is by jury. has jurisdiction to try all indictable offences, except those expressly excluded by law. Like our sessions courts, courts of quarter session have jurisdiction to hear appeals from the magistrates' courts. While hearing appeals, the justices sit by themselves without a jury. Courts of assizes are superior courts which are presided over by a Judge of a High Court. In fact, under the Judicature Act, 1925, all

Courts of assizes are branches of the High Court. Only very serious offences are tried before them on commitment and trial is by jury.

16. The above description shows that our system of Court criminal courts is substantially similar to the English streets system. The structure of our criminal courts cannot not com-be said to be complicated and it appears to us plicated, said to be complicated and it appears to that there is no room for its simplification. is, however, scope for improvement in the methods of trial and for investing the lower classes of magistrates with larger powers. We shall proceed to examine the classification of offences and the powers given to magistrates courts in regard to trial in order to see how far improve- " ments can be effected.

17. We have, in an earlier chapter, referred to the large General number of persons who are brought before the criminal features. courts not only as accused persons but also as witnesses. In addition to those who actually figure in the criminal courts as witnesses, a large number of persons would have been examined by the police at the stage of the inquiry. There are also cases in which a number of persons would have been interrogated by the police in the course of inquiries into suspected commission of offences which did not ultimately lead to the filing of charge-sheets. Further, by the very nature of a criminal proceeding, it is sometimes necessary for a witness to appear more than once in court. Sometimes, adjournments due to various reasons are also responsible for making a witness come to court on more than one occasion and they have to be paid batta and travelling allowance for this purpose out of State funds. In view of the very targe number of persons with whom they have to deal, it is very necessary that criminal courts should function with ressonable expedition and efficiency.

18. Criminal courts, designed by the State for the punishment of the offender against the law, control of crime and the maintenance of law and order, should function in an orderly and efficient manner. Every measure tending to increase their efficiency should be welcome. If large number of persons are needlessly taken away from their ordinary activities for making this organization function, not only will their means of livelihood be affected but the resources of the country as a whole, which depend on the work done by the individual citizen, will suffer. It is true that the maintenance of law and order, the punishment of the offender and an effective control over crime are essential. It is equally true that the attendance of a large number of persons at the courts is inevitable, if these purposes are to be served. But it is imperative that the taking away of persons from their normal avocations should be reduced to the minimum period of time possible. From this broad point of view, the quick, efficient and smooth working of the machinery of criminal courts has vital importance.

Jurisdiction of esiminai courts.

19. All offences under the Indian Penal Code have been classified in Schedule II to the Criminal Procedure Code into offences which are triable exclusively by a court of session; or by a court of session, or a presidency magistrate or a magistrate of the first class or even a magistrate of the second class in the alternative; or by a magistrate in Only very few offences are exclusively triable by a court of session. In the generality of cases, the more serious offences are triable oither by a court of session or a presidency magistrate or a magistrate of the This concurrent jurisdiction has been profirst class. vided because though the offence might be of a serious nature, in the majority of cases it may not call for the infliction of a punishment heavier than what the magistrate can impose.

Pirst Class magiscrates

- 20. Whether a case is to be tried by a first class magistrate or is to be sent to the court of session for trial depends largely on the facts of that case and on whether the proper punishment for that offence would exceed the limits of the powers of the first class magistrate. For limits of the powers of the first class magistrate. For instance, the offence of rioting armed with a deadly weapon, which is punishable with imprisonment up to three years, is triable by a court of session, a presidency magistrate or a first class magistrate; but it is very seldom that an accused charged with this offence is committed to the court of session. When that is done, he is always charged with some other offence which is generally exclusively triable by the court of session. Other cases where the magistrate is not competent to impose a sentence of sufficient severity are also taken to the court of session. Before a case is tried at the court of session, there has to be a preliminary inquiry by a court authorised to hold such an inquiry and the accused has to be committed to the sessions to undergo his trial. There is, accordingly, a double investigation, as It were, an initial inquiry by a magistrate followed by a trial in the court of session.
- 21. Another feature that has to be noticed is that even when a magistrate tries a case for which the punishment prescribed exceeds his own powers of punishment, rarely does he award even the maximum sentence that he can A first class magistrate's power in this regard immose. is limited to two year's imprisonment. If, for example, such a magistrate tries an offence under section 326, Indian Penal Code, namely, voluntarily causing grievous hurt by dangerous weapons, the punishment for which may extend to ten years' imprisonment, he rarely awards a sentence of two years. In the normal run of cases triable by magistrates, therefore, the sentence imposed by them falls, short of the maximum of their powers and where it is considered necessary to commit such cases to the court of session. it is for the reason that the sentence to be awarded would exceed the maximum which a first class magistrate can impose. There seems to be no reason why in such cases, the magistrate's powers to impose sentences should

not be raised so that such cases can be tried by the magistrate himself instead of having to be placed before the court of session involving, as it does a preliminary inquiry and a trial.

- Under section 30 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. authority has been given to the State Government to invest any district magistrate, presidency magistrate or a magistrate of the first class with powers to try, as a magistrate, all offences not punishable with death or imprisonment for life or with imprisonment for a term exceeding seven There is a proviso to this section adoed by the recent amendment which requires that a magistrate, before he is invested with such powers, should have exervised, for not less than ten years, the powers of a magist-rate not inferior to those of a first class magistrate. A magistrate so empowered may pass any sentence, except . a sentence of death or imprisonment for life or imprisonment for a term exceeding seven years. The Legislature evidently proceeded on the view that a person who has exercised the powers of a magistrate of the first class for not less than ten years is a fit person to be invested with such enlarged powers. This section recognizes that it is by no means unusual or improper to invest a first class. magistrate with power to try more serious offences and invest him also with the power to award severe sentences of Impalsonment.
- 23. We, therefore, feel justified in recommending that all first class magistrates with five years' experience may be given the power to impose a sentence of imprisonment up to four years provided that the judiciary is separated from the executive. If this recommendation is accepted, Schedule II to the Code will have to be amended to enlarge the list of offences triable concurrently by the court of session and the court of the magistrate. The provision for specially empowering first class magistrates under section -30, Criminal Procedure Code, will also have to be repealed.
- 24. It should then be the normal rule that all cases which are so concurrently triable should be tried by the courts of magistrates and except for reasons to be recorded, such cases should not be committed to courts of session. This, in our view, would also have the adventage of reducing the time taken in the disposal of cases which at present have to be sent up to the court of session for trial.
- 25. Except in those rare cases when a magistrate takes cognizance of a case upon information received from any person other than a police officer or upon his own knowledge or suspicion, criminal cases are initiated either on the basis of a police report or a private complaint, but generally most cases arise out of a police report. In whatever manner the case might come before a court, the procedure provided by the Code of Criminal Procedure prior 122 M, of Lew—46.

to the recent amendment was the same. The Code devised two methods for dealing with them by effecting a division of cases into summons cases and warrant cases.

₩ωταη! ¢нжэ,

- 26. A warrant case means a case relating to an offence-punishable with death or imprisonment for life or imprisonment for a term exceeding one year. All other cases are summons cases. This division is important as the method of procedure to be adopted in the trial of the two-classes of cases varies. In a warrant case which is also-triable by a court of session, if the magistrate finds that the offence is of a nature that justifies trial by the court of session, he can, if competent to commit, make an inquiry and commit the accused to take his trial before that court,
- 27. The trial of warrant cases is fairly elaborate. By the recent amendment of the Code, the procedure applicable to warrant cases arising on police reports was made-different from that applicable to those instituted on private complaints. Summons cases are of a less serious nature: Accordingly, for their trial, a simpler form of procedure is devised.

Proceedine in summenous outline.

 Whether a summons case prises on a private com-plaint or on a police report, the accused, on his first appearance in court, is informed of the particulars of the offence which he is alteged to have committed and is asked to show cause why he should not be convicted. It is not necessary to frame a formal charge. If he admits the commission of the offence, his admission is recorded and if he shows no sufficient cause why he should not be convicted, conviction: follows. If the accused does not make such an admission,. then the magistrate proceeds to take all the evidence produced in support of the prosecution; he hears the accused' and takes all the evidence produced for the defence. proper cases, the magistrate may, on application by either: side, summon witnesses. Upon taking evidence as aboveand examining the accused, the magistrate either finds the accused guilty and convicts him or finds, the accused, not guilty and acquits him. It is open to the magistrate, howeyer, to convict the accused of any offence triable under this procedure, if such an offence (though it is different. from that mentioned in the complaint) is made out fromthe facts admitted or proved.

Ртосвоите випрес. 29. In the above class of cases, evidence of both sides is required to be ready and is recorded at a single sitting and is followed by a judgment without any delay. The trial of a case under this procedure is thus designed to occupy the minimum amount of time. As offences triable by this procedure are of a minur nature, power has been given to the magistrate to acquit the accused if the complainant does not appear on any date fixed for hearing. The complainant is also at liberty to withdraw his complaint with the permission of the magistrate.

39. We have noticed that the procedure of trial in Extension summons cases laid down in Chapter XX of the Code of mone Criminal Procedure leads to expeditious disposal of cases, procedure The procedure is, however, available only in respect of a desirable, comparatively few offences; for, a summons case is one which is not punishable with a term of imprisonment exceeding one year. Prior to the amendment of the Code by Act XXVI of 1955, this limit was six months. During the debate in the Rajya Sabha, it was stated that prior to the amendment, only seventy eight offences under the Penal Code came under the category of summons cases and that the amendment added only twenty six more offences. The amendment accordingly has not enlarged to any appreciable extent the number of cases triable by this procedure. The creation of numerous statutory offences during recent times which are, for the most part, technical in nature and involve nothing more than a violation of or a non-compliance with a rule or regulation calls for a specdier deter-mination of those cases. Even under the Indian Penal Code, there are several offences of the same kind, but differing in degree, which at present have different modes of trial.

31. The division between summons cases and warrant Division cases is undoubtedly arbitrary. What the Legislature into sumintended scems to be that offences which can be described sad as somewhat serious, applying the test of the punishment warrant which the law provides, should be tried with more deliberation than others not so serious. The arbitrary nature of arbitrary. the division into summons and warrant cases will be clear from a consideration of the following instances. An offence ander section 168, Indian Penal Code, is committed when e public servent unlawfully engages himself in trade and is punishable with simple imprisonment upto one year or fine or both. This is accordingly a case triable under the summons procedure. Section 169, Indian Penal Code deals with an offence committed by a public servant in unlawfully buying or hidding for property the punishment for which extends to imprisonment for two years. The case is triphic under the warrant procedure. There is, as far as we can see, no difference in principle in the two types of offences; but for a purely arbitrary division, on the basis of the punishment provided by the law, there is no reason why the latter offerior should not also be tried as a aummons case. The offence of wrongfully confining any person (Section 342 I. P. C.) carries a punishment of imprisonment extending up to one year, while the offence of wrongful confinement for three or more days (Section 343 I. P. C.) is punishable with imprisonment up to two years; the offence becomes graver still when the wrongful confinement is for ten or more days, and the maximum punishment provided for this offence is three years (Section 344 I, P. C.). The first alone is triable as a summons case, although all the three offences under sections 342, 343 and 344, Indian Penal Code are of like nature. The essential

ingredients of the offence of wrongful confinement are the same in all these three cases except for the duration of wrongful confinement which is the particular circumstance that makes the offence lighter or graver. No prejudice will be caused to an accused person, if all these offences are made triable under the summons procedure.

Three years imprisonment limit for summons cases.

32. It, therefore, seems to us that, as a general rule, all offences which do not carry punishment of imprisonment for more than three years can be tried under the summons procedure, without any prejudice to the accused. If this course is adopted, the result will be the addition of one hundred and twenty seven more offences under the 1. P. C. alone to the existing list of offences triable by the procedure applicable to summons cases.

Procedure in warrant cases, On private complaint.

33. We shall now deal with the procedure as it applies to cases arising from a private complaint. In these cases, the inquiry commences with the examination of the witnesses. for the prosecution in the presence of the accused. The accused has the right to cross-examine them. If, after taking such evidence and examining the accused, the magistrate thinks that no case against the accused has been made out which, if unrebutted would warrant his conviction, the magistrate discharges him. The magistrate is computent to discharge the accused at any carlier stage of the case if, for reasons to be recorded, he considers the charge to be groundless. If, however, on the evidence and the examination of the accused, the magistrate thinks that there is ground to presume that the accused has committed an offence triable as a warrant case, which he is competent to try and adequately punish, he proceeds to frame a charge. The charge is read out and explained to the accused, and he is asked whether he is guilty or has any defence to make. If he pleads guilty, the plea is recorded and the magistrate convicts him. If the accused pleads not guilty, the case is adjourned after recording the plea, and, at the next hearing, the accused is asked if he wishes to eross-examine any of the prosecution witnesses already examined. The witnesses he desires to cross-examine are made available for the purpose. Thereafter the evidence of the remaining prosecution witnesses is recorded and the witnesses summoned by the accused for his defence are also examined. The magistrate then passes judgment either of acquittal or of conviction. In this class of cases also, the magistrate is at liberty to discharge the accused, if the complainant is absent on any date fixed for the hearing of the case before the charge has been framed, provided that the offence is not a cognizable offence or may be lawfully compounded.

On police report,

34. The procedure outlined above formerly applied to all warrant cases alike whether they arose out of a private complaint or on a police report. But the recent amendment of the Code has made a material alteration in the procedure

in police cases. In these cases, there would have been a prior police investigation. Police officers would have examined and recorded statements of witnesses and gathered the material necessary for the prosecution of the offender. The Code requires that following such investigation, the investigating officer should submit a report to the magistrate setting out the names of the parties, the nature of the evidence, the names of persons who appear to be acquainted with the circumstances of the case and such other matters. Before the commencement of the proceed-police ings in the court, the officer is required to furnish to the same accused a copy of the report forwarded to the magistrate, ments a copy of the first information report and copies of all other supplied documents or extracts thereof on which the prosecution by acrolles, including statements and confessions made and quel recorded under section 164 and the statements of witnesses free of recorded under section 161 Cr. P. C.

Before the commencement of the inquiry the magistrate is required to satisfy himself that the documents referred to above have been furnished to the accused. In cases tried under the amended warrant procedure, those records are expected to take the place of the actual examination of the witnesses prior to the framing of the charge. They constitute the "inquiry" stage in contrast to the later Charge proceedings which form the "trial" of the accused for the framed offence with which he is charged. The magistrate examines on their these documents, makes such examination of the accused, penast. if any, as he thinks necessary. After giving the prosecution and the accused an opportunity of being heard, the magistrate either discharges the accused, if he considers the charge to be groundless, or proceeds to frame a charge in writing against the accused. It will be noticed that under this amended procedure, the initial examination of the prosecution witnesses is dispensed with and a charge is framed against the accused straightway if, upon a perusal of the documents and the statements made by the witness-es during the police investigation and upon hearing the prosecution and the accused, the magistrate believes that there is ground for presuming that the accused has committed an offence. The time that would be taken by examination of the prosecution witnesses and their crossexamination by the accused at this stage is thus saved. In substance, therefore, under this procedure, the trial of the accused commences on the very first day of the hearing of a case. The charge is framed in the manner stated above and the magistrate proceeds to fix a date for the examination of the witnesses thereafter. On this adjourned date, all the evidence that is produced in support of the prosecution is recorded by the mogistrate. The accused is then permitted to cross-examine the prosecution witnesses. The Code directs the magistrate to thereafter question the accused on the case generally before the accused is saked to enter on his defence. The answer given by the accused may be taken into consideration in the trial of the case.

Thereafter, the accused either produces his witnesses or causes them to be summoned and they are examined and cross-examined. This marks the conclusion of the case. After hearing the arguments on either side, the magistrace proceeds to give judgment.

Differences between the two procedutes,

35. The differences between the two warrant procedures. in their application to cases instituted on police reports and on private complaint are substantial. In so far as the duration of the proceeding is concerned, the amended procedure certainly has the effect of shortening it. Apart from the time occupied in summoning the witnesses and procuring their attendance, one stage of the proceeding, as it obtained earlier, has been done away with, altogether. While under the earlier procedure the magistrate had to examine all or some of the prosecution witnesses before the framing of the charge and make them available for cross-examination by the accused, both at the stage of inquiry and subsequently after the framing of the charge, the amended procedure requires them to be examined and cross-examined only after the framing of the charge. The former procedure also required that after recording the plea of the accused, the trial should be proceeded with only on an adjourned date. This interval was designed to enable the accused to study the evidence against him and to call for further cross-examination, one or more of the It gave him, prosecution witnesses examined earlier. therefore, the opportunity to cross-examine the prosecution witnesses on two different occasions. That opportunity has been taken away. The witnesses are not, therefore, now required to attend the court on more than one occasion. On these two heads alone, there has been a considerable saving of time and expense in the conduct of the proseculion,

Objections to the new procedure.

36. Many are the objections to the new procedure that have been urged before us. Several lawyers with large criminal practice have complained that the new procedure places the accused in a very disadvantageous position in that he does not know all the "evidence" against him till ofter the charge has been fromed. In a way, this is no doubt true, because the charge is framed after a perusal of the documents prepared by the police during investigation and on the strongth of the statements recorded by the investigating officer of persons who are yet to be examined as prosecution witnesses. Obviously, the statements recorded by the police officer during investigation are not "evidence" led before the court. Leaving saide the question of the reliability of the statements recorded by the police, it is argued that these statements are not tested by cross-examination and that it is not proper to place reliance upon them even for framing a charge against the accused. It is said that the police in an excess of zeal may record only those parts of the statements of the witnesses which are favourable to the prosecution, that at the stage of

inquiry by the police, interested parties might make statements without being under any obligation to speak the truth and that it is not possible for the investigating officer to check these statements in the manner in which a crossexamination on behalf of the accused can test them. It was also claimed that the former procedure which gave the accused the "right" to cross-examine the prosecution witnesses at two stages was a very valuable right and that the accused had been prejudiced by that right being taken away.

37. It is true that under the new procedure, the charge Objections against the accused is based upon the statements made by envered. witnesses to the police and other documents. It may also be conceded that in relying upon these statements for the purpose of framing a charge, the Code requires the magistrate to accept them in advance as evidence though they have not been made on oath in the presence of the accused. It must, however, be remembered that they do mot form part of the evidence on the basis of which the magistrate will finally proceed to deliver judgment. The magistrate is not entitled at that stage to rely upon these police statements. He has to proceed only upon the evidence that has been duly recorded by him in the presence of the accused who had the right and the opportunity to eross-examine the witnesses. All that the magistrate does in the opening stages of the case is to examine these statements, examine the accused if he thinks it necessary, hear the prosecution and the accused and, if he is of the opinion that there is ground for presuming that the accused has committed an offence, to frame a charge.

It must be conceded that while under the old procedure a charge had to be framed only if the magistrate took the view that it was necessary after hearing the witnesses in the case, he has, under the new procedure, the liberty to discharge the accused without framing a charge, only if, after perusing the records of statements and the documents, be considers that the case against the accused is groundless. There is no doubt that the number of cases in which the magistrate would find it possible to discharge the accused merely on a perusal of the statements would be far fewer than those in which he can do so after the examination of the witnesses.

But we are of the view that the mere fact that a charge has been framed against the accused in the above monner does not east any heavier burden upon him. Though, technically under the old procedure, there was an inquiry followed by a trial only if a charge was framed, the burden of facing the inquiry under it was not less onerous than that of facing a trial. The unussion of the inquiry stage cannot, by itself, be regarded as having prejudiced the accused in any manner, particularly, as it was open to the magistrate to frame a charge before the entire prosecution evidence had been recorded.

There is, perhaps, some substance in the claim that the right of the accused to cross-examine the prosecution wit-nesses a second time after the framing of the charge had some value. But the availability of the second opportunity to cross-examine the witnesses after the charge, generally resulted in counsel waiving or limiting the initial crossexamination before the framing of the charge. In most cases, the witnesses were subjected to an effective crossexamination at only one of these two stages. It may be that in a very small percentage of complicated cases, a further moss-examination of some of the prosecution witnesses in the light of the entire evidence might serve some useful purpose and might elucidate some points in favour of theaccused. Even under the amended Code, ample powers. are given to the magistrate to recall any witness for furthercross-examination. What was formerly a right of the accused, has now been made to depend upon the proper exercise of judicial discretion by the Magistrate. If he is Satisfied that such further cross-examination is necessary for the purpose of justice, it is open to him to call the witnesses for further cross-examination.

Porther curtailtocut not desirable.

38. We must, however, strike a note of caution against making further introads upon the procedure in a feverish search for expedition. Speedy administration of criminal justice is, undoubtedly, of the greatest importance to the well-being of society; but at the same time, in any criminal proceeding, the life and liberty of the citizen are in hazard; the elementary principles of justice require that an accused person should not be denied a fair opportunity of defending himself. Any afteration in the procedure which would. Infringe that right will utilimately bring the administration of justice itself into disrepute. Men with ripe experience. have deplored the fact that in India "owing to factions in villages, lack of public co-operation with the administration of criminal justice, distrust of the police force, absence of social conscience, perjury is rife.... It is no doubt truethat these factors work often in favour of the occused; but this fact does not lessen the need for ensuring that an accused person is given a fair tial and has no cause for com-plaint, that his case has not been considered fully and fairty. The true remedy for the evils mentioned above has to be found in measures other than an amendment of the procedural laws.

Ementjol steps in a tale stiminal trist,

- There are four principal steps in a criminal trial;
- Informing the accused of the offence he is charged with having committed;
- (2) Examining the prosecution witnesses in his presence and giving him an opportunity to test that evidence by cross-examination;
- (3) Giving the accused an opportunity of explaining the circumstances in the evidence against him; and

- (4) Giving him an opportunity to produce his evidence in defence. However much a proceeding may be shortened, none of these four steps which are vital to a just hearing can be done away with. Whether a case is tried under the old or the new procedure, the procedure itself is generally not the cause of delay in the duration of the trial. The reasons for the delay and the methods for avoiding them are examined later.
- 40. The conclusion we reach is that the amended warrant Amended procedure has not in any manner operated to the prejudice procedure of the accused. But it would not be proper to shorten it may further. It has to be repeated that the further. It has to be remembered that the more serious offences call for very careful examination and trials of the accused for them cannot be rushed for achieving a quick administration of justice. To do so would be to sacrifice the principles of natural justice to mere expedition. Swift injustice is worse than terdy justice.

41. A medification in procedure which ensures speedy Summery disposal of cases is known as the procedure for summary trials. The procedure is, however, not suitable for adoption in complicated cases which call for detailed scrutiny.

42. The shortening of the duration of a case in a summary Courts trial is achieved not so much by a radical pruning of the scorpprocedure as by empowering a magistrate to record the tent to evidence in a more summary fashion. Generally speaking, marily. certain kinds of offences, mostly of a petty nature, are triable under this procedure. All cases not punishable with death, or imprisonment for life or imprisonment for a term exceeding six months are triable in this fashion, in addition to certain other offences specified in section 260 of the Criminal Procedure Code. Only district magistrates and magistrates of the first class specially empowered in this behalf by the State Government are competent to adopt this procedure. An important exception is that a magistrate who has been empowered under section 30 of the Code to award ennanced sentences and to try as a magistrate some of the more serious offences is not authorised to adopt this procedure in the trial of these serious cases.

43. The procedure relating either to the trial of summons Proce we cases or warrant cases has to be followed by a magistrate in such even if he tries cases summarily, except for some slight cases. modifications. In cases where no appeal lies, it is not necessary for the magistrate to record the evidence of the witnesses or to frame a formal charge; but certain parti-Abbreviated culars have to be noted by him in a prescribed form precedure. In case of conviction, "a brief statement of the reasons" has to be given in this form. In cases where an appeal lies, the substance of the evidence of the witnesses and a judgment also has to be recorded. The most important limitation on the powers of a magistrate trying a case under this

Limitations on the power.

procedure is that he cannot impose a sentence of imprisonment for a term exceeding three months. The magistrate has, therefore, to determine either upon a perusal of the record or after hearing the prosecutor and the accused, whether the case should be tried summarily or not. If he decides to try the case summarily, then, the next question for determination would be the procedure to be followed to a summons case or to whether that relating Therefore, the magistrate will have to warrant case. determine either upon the facts on record, or after the examination of the witnesses, whether the case would be one in which an appealable sentence would be passed. It may be mentioned that under section 414, Criminal Procedure Code, no appeal lies in cases tried summarily in which a magistrate acting under section 260, Criminal Procedure Code, passes a sentence of fine not exceeding Rs. 200. To that extent, therefore, he will have to judge before hand what sentence would have to be awarded in the event of the accused being found guilty. In the majority of cases tried summarily, the facts are not likely to be complicated and an opinion in this respect can easily be formed by the magistrate. If the magistrate decides that the case is one in which a non-appealable sentence would eventually be passed if the accused is found guilty, the evidence of the witnesses would not have to be recorded, nor a formal charge framed. The particulars of the case, the plea of the accused and finding are recorded in a prescribed form. In appealable cases, in addition to these details, the magistrate has to reduce to writing the substance of the evidence of the witnesses and also to record a judgment before he passes sentence.

Defects of the proreduce,

- 44. The procedure for the summary trial of offences is one devised for use by a fairly experienced magistrate. In the majority of cases, non-appealable sentences are likely to be passed; no evidence would be recorded and no judgment written. There would thus be practically no record which could be scrutinised by a court of revision. It follows, therefore, that only experienced magistrates should be invested with this power. It is undeniable that by this procedure, a great deal of time occupied in recording the evidence which, under the Code, has to be read over to the witness in his language and admitted by him to be correct is sayed. Nevertheless, we must point out that the court of revision sometimes finds it difficult to do justice to a convicted person, if the record which it has does not contain even the substance of the evidence of witnesses. We have discussed this aspect of the matter and made appropriate recommendations in the chapter on "Criminal Revisions."
- 45. There seems also no reason why in cases which are tried summarily, two different kinds of procedure should be followed. When the Code defines the offences triable summarily and provides for the appointment of specially empowered magistrates for the purpose of holding such

trials, it would seem to be sufficient to provide a uniform procedure for trial of such cases, whether they are warrant cases or summons cases. The majority of the offences which Deringcan be tried in this manner is that in which the punishment rion between provided is imprisonment for six months or less. They summans provided is imprisonment for six months of less. They would be summons cases. Even in those specified offences and prowhere the warrant-case-procedure is to be followed, the coduce to maximum sentence that can be passed is limited to three go in months. No particular advantage would, therefore, be summery derived in following the more complicated warrant proce-dure, if the case is to be tried summarily. A uniform procedure in all cases triable summarily can, therefore, be adopted without any untoward consequences,

46. It will be noticed that expeditious disposal of these Recording causes results only from the summary manner in which of the the evidence is recorded. Hence, it has been provided that in all summons cases and coses summarily triable under section 260(t) clauses (b) to (m) Cr. P.C., any magistrate of the first or the second class, even if he is not specially empowered to try cases summarily, need record only memorandum of the substance of the evidence of the witacsses (Section 355). But the trial will not be a summary one attracting the provisions relating to appealable and non-appealable cases; the different procedures, according as the case is a summons or a warrant case, will have to be strictly followed.

47. The Chapter on Summary Trials also contains Second provisions whereby the State Government can empower any magicinates. Hench of magistrates invested with the powers of the second to or third class magistrate to try summarily curtain offences suppresent under the Indian Penal Code and also offences under the to try Municipal Acts, Conservancy Laws, or Police and other marily. Acts, which are punishable only with fine or with imprisonment for a term not exceeding one month with or without fine and attempts to commit any of the specified offences. This power can be conferred only upon Benches of magistrates and not on individual magistrates. In States where there are magistrates of the second class, a power enabling them, sitting singly, to try such cases summarily would achieve expedition.

- 48. We may summarise our conclusions on this head as Summary follows: --পে জেল-
  - The structure of criminal courts in our country is not complicated and does not need simplification.
  - (2) The institution of honorary magistrates is capable of serving a very useful purpose by relieving the regular magistracy from the large number of petty cases. It also serves to associate the public with the administration of criminal fustice.

- (3) Care should be taken in appointing suitable persons as honorary magistrates and the State Government should make such appointments with the concurrence of the High Court.
- (4) Honorary magistrates like other magistrates, should sit during fixed hours and be provided with the necessary staff.
- (5) After the judiciary has been separated from the executive, first class magistrates of five years' experience may be empowered to impose a sentence of imprisonment up to four years.
- (6) Consequential amendments to Schedule II to the Criminal Procedure Code will have to be made and the existing provisions for specially empowering first class magistrates under section 30 of the Criminal Procedure Code will have to be repealed.
- (7) All cases relating to offences punishable with imprisonment for a term not exceeding three years should be classified as summons cases and the summons procedure adopted in their trial.
- (8) The new procedure prescribed for the trial of warrant cases instituted on police report has not prejudiced the accused but there is no room for shortening or curtailing the procedure further in such cases.
- (9) In all cases tried summarily only the procedure relating to the trial of summons cases should be followed.
- (10) State Governments may be empowered to authorise second class magistrates sitting singly to try summarily those cases in which summary procedure can now be followed by a Bench of second class magistrates.

## 34.—INVESTIGATION BY THE POLICE

 For the purpose of police investigation, offences Communite under the Indian Penal Code are divided into cognizable and nonand non-cognizable offences. Cognizable offences are offences, defined as those in which a college offences, defined as those in which a police officer can effect an Such cases are specified in arrest without a warrant. column 3 of Schedule II to the Criminal Procedure Code.

The principal difference between cognizable and non- The discognizable offences is, that a police officer on receipt of tinging. information of a cognizable offence has the power of investigation, including the power of arrest, But in non-cognizable offences, a police officer has no such power, unless the investigation is authorised by a competent magistrate. In the case of offences against laws other than the Indian Penal Code, a broad classification is, that those which are punishable with imprisonment for three years and upwards are cognizable while those with lower limits of punishment are non-cognizable. The Code has also placed upon every police officer the general duty to interpose and prevent, to the best of his ability, the commission of any cognizable offence.

3. The general authority to investigate a cognizable investigation offence is found in Section 156 of the Code. This section cognizable confers upon the police officer unrestricted power to offence. investigate into cognizable offences without the orders of a magistrate. He may start the investigation either on a report by some person or, even of his own motion, whon Procedure on his own knowledge or on the basis of some reliable of contract though informal intelligence, he may record a report and plaint. commence the investigation. In the case of cognizable offences, a police officer on receipt of a report has to reduce it to writing and get the statement signed by the com-The substance of the report has also to be entered in a book kept for the purpose. He is also obliged to send a report of the commission of the offence to the magistrate through such superior police officers as the State Government may direct. He thereafter proceeds to the spot for the purpose of investigating into the case. however not obligatory upon the police to investigate into each and every cognizable case. It is open to a police officer not to undertake investigation, if the case is not of a serious nature or there is no sufficient ground for entering on an investigation. In such cases, however, he is bound to report the fact with his reasons to the Magistrate competent to take cognizance of such offences on a police report. The police rules usually provide, that this discretion must be exercised by the police officers very cautiously and as a safeguard against the possible abuse

of this power, it is generally provided, that all such cases should be brought to the notice of the Superintendent of Police.

Callerries of evidence

Power to examine wimteste.

4. The investigating officer has full powers to summon and examine witnesses (subject to certain exceptions) who appear to be acquainted with the circumstances of the The examination of witnesses is not on oath and case. The person it need not be in the presence of the accused. so questioned is bound to answer all questions, but not bound to give incriminating answers-Nor is an obligation east on him to answer truly. No prosecution can be launched against a person who refuses to answer incriminating questions or gives false answers in reply to the questions put by a police officer. A police officer is prohibited from offering any inducement or giving any threat to a person whom he examines, but he is not bound to caution such persons against making any voluntary statement which he may be disposed to make. The statements of these witnesses may be reduced to writing and, if tho officer does so, a separate record of the statement of each witness has to be maintained.

Power 10 call for and stize articles.
Power of searth,

5. Whenever any document, article or thing is required for the purpose of investigation, a police officer can call for the production before him of the same, and it is the duty of the person in possession or control of the document to produce it before him. But if this procedure is likely to prove ineffective, the police officer is authorised to make a search without warrant, if need be, after observing certain formalities which include the presence of respectable witnesses. A record of the proceedings of search along with any articles selzed, is forthwith sent to the nearest magistrate. A copy of the record of such search has to be furnished to the owner or occupier of the place searched,

Noncognizable cues.

- 6. As pointed out earlier, a police officer is not competent to investigate non-cognizable offences without the orders of a magistrate. If a complaint of the commission of such an offence is made to him, he notes the substance of the complaint in a book kept for that purpose and refers the informant to the magistrate. It is open to the magistrate on taking cognizance of such complaint, to direct a police officer to make an investigation even if it is a non-cognizable offence; but in the absence of such a direction the police officer is not competent to undertake the investigation thereof.
- 7. Normally, whenever any potson is arrested or detained the investigation is expected to be completed within twenty four hours, but where the investigation cannot be so completed and there are grounds for believing that the accusation or information is well-founded, the police officer is bound to transmit to the nearest magistrate a copy of the entries in the diary and has to produce the

Arrest and investigation, accused before him. It is then open to the magistrate to authorise the detention of the accused for a term not exceeding fifteen days on the whole. Except for special reasons to be recorded in writing, a detention in the custody of the police is not contemplated.

8 If after the investigation it appears to the police Subseofficer that there is not sufficient evidence in the matter, quent he can release the accused on a bond to appear before a magistrate if and when so required. If, however, there is sufficient evidence, the accused has to be produced before the magistrate or if the case is a bailable one and the accused is able to give security, he has to be bound over to appear before the magistrate. Any article necessary to be produced in the case and seized by the police has also to be forwarded to the magistrate. police officer is also required to bind over the complainant to appear before the magistrate. Witnesses also may, at the discretion of the police officer, he bound over to appear before him.

- At this stage, that is, the conclusion of the investi- Police? gation, the police officer has to forward a report, called report. the police report to the magistrate setting out the names of the parties, nature of the information, names of the witnesses and stating whether the accused, if arrested, has been forwarded in custody or has been released on his bond. All these steps taken by the police officer as stated above ensure, that all the materials necessary for the prosecution of the affender are placed in the hands of the magistrate at the earliest opportunity.
- 10. One of the very important details preceding the Supply of commencement of the enquiry or trial is the duty cast documents to the upon the police officer to furnish certain documents free to the of cost to the accused person. These are:—

- A copy of the police report submitted to the magistrate.
- (2) A copy of the first information report recorded. by the police officer.
- (3) Copies of all other documents or relevant extracts thereof on which the prosecution proposes to rely
- (4) Copies of the statements of the witnesses recorded during the investigation whom the prosecution proposes to examine.
- (5) Copies of the statements of confessions recorded under section 164 of the Code.

This requirement of furnishing copies of the above documents to the accused person has been introduced by the recent amendment of the Code. As the manner of holding an inquiry or trial in cases arising on police report.

· Its Perposs, has been altered by the amendment, the supply of these documents to the accused person is intended to make a full disclosure to him of all the facts and circumstances in the case against him. Every effort is thus made by the Code as amended, to ensure that the accused person is not taken by surprise and is made aware of the evidence that is likely to be brought against him during the enquiry. This amendment of the Code has been generally welcomed.

Dolays in investigation.

11. At the places we visited, we heard vohement complaints about the inordinate delays in the investigation of offences and the general inefficiency of the investigating Some high-ranking police officers frankly admitted that investigation had "terribly deterlorated". Except in some of the less serious offences, an investigation is not generally completed within twenty four hours. In fact, in several cases an accused person is detained in custody for the full period of fifteen days which the law allows and is thereafter discharged for wont of a final Generally, an investigation almost invaripolice report. ably takes several days even in the less complicated cases. In the more complicated cases, investigations have very The quality of investigaoften taken months in finish. tion is also poor. It has been repeatedly asserted that the large number of acquittals in courts is due to inefficient The investigating officers still continue to investigation. adopt old, timeworn methods of investigation. little has been done to initiate them in the use of modern and scientific methods. They suffer from lack of adequate training, lack of legal assistance and from the absence of effective supervision by senior officials.

Inadequary of parsonnel.

It is the general complaint of the police officers that the department is very much understaffed and has to meet a very heavy demand on its personnel. The requirements of the law and order situation, bundobust duties, escort of prisoners to the court, patrol duties, traffic arrangements, protection of the V.I.Ps, the growth of crime in general and the creation of new types of offences during the last few years, have all increased the work of the Police considerably, while the police strength has remained more or less at the same level. A considerable part of the police force is concentrated on the prevention and detection of offences against social welfare laws like prohibition. The Inspector General of Police, Punjab told us. that several of the senior officers had left the country and junior men had become burdened over-night with new responsibilities which they could not adequately shoulder. This is no doubt a passing phase. But the inspector-General further stated that having regard to the increase in population and the increased incidence of crime in the Punjab, the police department was very much under-stoffed. According to the Punjab Police Rules, every additional fifty cases recorded at a police station require one more investigating officer. On this basis the State requires not less than five hundred more investigating

officers and three thousand more men for watch and ward According to him, the Punjab has got the smallest police force in proportion to its population.

- We are told that in England, which is much smaller. in area than Utter Pradesh and has a considerably lesser population, the police strength is about twice that of Ustar Pradesb.
- 14. The territorial jurisdiction of a police station is also Large generally very large and runs into several square miles. Artists We work informed by the Inspector-General of Police, Behar, that while in England there is one policeman for every five bundred persons and he has not to travel on an average more than three to four miles to discharge his duties. India has only one policeman for every seven hundred and ninety people. In Bihar, there is one police-man for every one thousand four hundred persons and he has to trovel as many as twenty five miles without the aid of transport. A sub-inspector of Police in Bihar has charge of an area of one hundred and filly to two hundred square miles with a population of two lakhs. It is often usual for a police officer who is actually engaged in the investigation of a serious offence at a spot far away from the police station to receive a message giving information of the commission of another offence at another corner of his beat. The police officer may, accordingly have more than one case simul(ancously pending investigation on his hands and has to move backwards and forwards from one place to the other; and this in the mofussil on account of look of adequate transport and had roads, is by no means easy. In addition to the sub-inspector of police who is in charge of a police station, there is generally a head constable who is empowered to investigate some of the simpler types of cases. Even with two officers, the investigation of all crimes occurring within the Jurisdiction of the police station cannot be satisfactorily attended There are also several routine duties which have to be performed by the personnel of a station. In the result the investigation of cases soldom receives adequate attertion from a police officer.
- We have heard a number of Inspectors General and Sueigh. Commissioners of Police complain of the inadequate to be strength of the police staff. They emphasise that with red. the growth of population force ought to be a proportionate. increase in the police staff and such an increase has not been made. This is a matter which requires a careful and detailed scruting and consideration by the Governments, if the investigation of offences is to be speedy and effective.

16. Instances were given to us of investigating police Methods of officers not having taken even the elementary precaution investigs-1 of making a search for finger prints or drawing a plan of the scene of the occurrence. The senior police officials

who appeared before us admitted that many police officers do not have sufficient training in the matter of investigation. The old methods of investigation still hold sway and there is generally a tendency to obtain confessional statements and neglect independent investigation which may yield conclusive results. It is admitted on all hands that the methods of investigation call for improvement.

Facilities
for transport
and sclentific investigation
lackling.

- 17 In recent years great progress has been made in foreign countries in the application of science as an aid to The introduction of motor cars, giving police work. greater mobility to the police forces, the use of wireless to facilitate dissemination of messages, gradual development of police laboratories to help in investigation, are some of the methods by which the investigation of crime has been modernized. Almost every police officer whom we examined, emphasised the need of the introduction of scientific methods of investigation in our police system. The Inspector-General of Police, Punjab, complained that the absence of scientific aids to investigation was a severe handicap in the detection of crime. No police station is provided with elementary technical facilities, nor do the officers possess the training, necessary to make use of such facilities. He also complained that investigations are unnecessarily delayed by the need of obtaining reports from the Chemical Examiner and securing other expert evidence which take a great deal of time. The Inspector-General, Bihar, also told us that the police force was not provided with adequate means of transport with the result, that by the time the investigating officer reached the place of crime, most of the clues would have already disappeared. We were also told by Inspector General of Police. Himachal Pradesh, that leaving aside towns where some flying squads had been stationed which could reach the scene of occurrence very quickly, in the rural police stations, the average time taken to reach the scene of the offence was about twenty four hours.
- 18. Speed is the most important factor in gathering valuable evidence. The Inspector General, Punjab, therefore, suggested that each police station should have a jeep at its disposal so that the investigating officer could move freely from place to place in his jurisdiction. We think it is obvious that in order to solve the problem of unsatisfactory and delayed investigations, it is essential that provision of quick means of transport (like motor cars, Jeeps and cycles) wireless sets, trained photographers, expert in finger-prints, forensic laboratories and other technical assistance has to be made available to the Police Departments to enable them to modernize the existing methods of investigation.

Goreroment's efforts, 19. The recent debate on the Home Ministry's Demand for grants indicates that the need of improving the methods of investigation is being recognised by Covernment though

anot to the extent required. The Home Minister recently estated in Parliament.

"There was also. I think, some observation to the effect that the police has to be trained in modern methods. That aspert of the matter too has not been ignored by us.

"Apart from our intelligence Bureau, we have got a number of institutions, the Detective Training School, the Finger Bureau for giving training in that art, Fire Training Services and Emergency Training Service and a Forensic Laboratory. And it is also under consideration whether something should not be done to train people for obtaining degrees in Criminology and allied subjects. So the question of improving the methods of investigation has not been neglect-This has also been receiving due attention. We have our Police Training School in Abu and it is now intended to overhou! the system in a way and have the best of the policemen, or as suitable and efficient as may be, trained in that school."

20. Another complaint relating to the method of investi- Pleasures gation by the police was that the cases were not investi-investiga-gated by one officer but by several officers in succession. We were told in Madhya Pradesh that there were cases in which no less than half a dozen police officers had taken part in the investigation at different stages. Such cases were not infrequent. On many occasions, while the investigating officer was in the midst of the investigation, he would be called away in connection with some other duty. The result would be that he would either suspend the investigation or hand it over to a junior officer. Many a time, investigating officers were transferred without being allowed to finish the investigations on hand. Further, the general practice appears to be that even in murder cases, investigation is first started by head constables who record some statements of witnesses. These witnesses are then in turn examined by a sub-inspector of police and a circle inspector, one after the other and very often variations occur in the versions of witnesses. It may be that the witnesses themselves make such varying statements or it may be that the manner of recording evidence by different officers results in differing statements. These varying statements destroy the effectiveness of the evi-dence of the witnesses whose statements are taken. Piecemeal investigation is one of the principal defects in investigation of which frequent advantage is taken by the defence. We therefore suggest, that as far as possible, the investigation of an offence should be undertaken by a single officer, with the assistance of junior officers when-

Lok Subha Debare Aured 15th April 1958, Second Series, Vol. XV... No. 46, Column 9986.

ever necessary. The entire responsibility for the investigation should however rest upon him.

Investigation by Junior officers,

21. It also appears that investigation of serious crimes is often colleged to officers below the rank of a sub-inspector of police. We think that it is desirable that the investigation of serious offences should be invariable undertaken by senior officers like the inspector or even the deputy superintendent of police. In fact the Police Standing Orders do generally require the investigation of such offences to be made by senior officers. Not unoffen, however, the investigation is conducted by a junior officer, and the senior officer merely signs the papers as if he had conducted it. The conduct of the investigation by the senior officer will not only ensure a better and more efficient three-ligation but also conduct to a greater measure of public confidence in the police department.

Separation of invertigains branch,

- 22, It has also been stated to us that on account of the various duties of police officers, it is not practicable for them to give exclusive and single-minded attention to the investigation of drame. As already stated, it sometimes happens that a police officer while investigating a particular offence is suddenly called upon to attend to some other duty and he has either to suspend the investigation of hattell tower to a junior officer. The Inspector-General of Police. West Bengal, trankly stated that the police officers do not give to the investigation of lesser offences, the amount of care and attention which they require. Having regard to the insufficiency of personnel and their varying duties, it is difficult to expect from them either the thoroughness or the promptness in investigation which are the characteristic features of the police force in the western countries.
- It was suggested that the police personnel entrusted. with investigation of crime should be separate and distinct from the police staff entrusted with the enforcement of law and order and other miscellaneous functions. said that if this was done the detection of crime would get the exclusive attention it needs and that such a course would lead not only to greater specialisation in the art of investigation but will also promote speedy (letection of Though a separate trained staff for the purpose erime. of investigation is desirable, in our view the two parts of the police organisation cannot be kept in water-tight compariments. The senior police officers were not very sanguine of the success of any such separation. They agreed that in the larger lowns it would be practicable tohave a senarate investigating staff. In fact, there is a: separate branch generally known as the Crime Branch operating in the larger cities and we gather that the separation of the staff in these cities is working satisfactorily. If the two wings of the police are separated in the mofussil, there may be lack of co-operation between there. It was aiso said that police officers dealing with law and order

would be better able to obtain information in the course of investigation on account of their closer contacts with the people than officers exclusively entrusted with the The Inspector General task of investigating into crime. of Police, West Bengal, told us that as an experimental measure, a central pool of experienced officers has been formed for each district in West Bengal and the more important mays were entrusted to their for investigation. When an officer of the central pool coes to investigate an important case, the local officer is also associated with the This not only avoids professional jealousy investigation. but also ensures that if the local officer is needed elsewhere, his absence would not affect the continuity of the investigation

24. We think on the whole that there is great force in the suggestion that, as far as practicable, the investigating agency should be distinct from the police staff assigned to the enforcement of law and order We do not however suggest absolute separation between the two branches. Even officers of the police department have taken the view that if an officer is entrusted with investigation duties, his services should not be required for other work while he is engaged to investigation. The separation of the investigating machinery may involve some additional cost. We think however, that the exclusive attention of the investigoting officer is essential to the conduct of an efficient investigation and the additional cost involved in the impicimentation of our proposal is necessary. The adop-, tion of such a separation will ensure undivided attention to the detection of crimes. It will also provide additional strength to the police establishment which needs an increase in most of the States.

25 The need for a systematised training for the police Training. officers in proper methods of investigation cannot be overemphasised. Skifful investigation is an art which can be learnt only by training and experience. We were told that on secount of the nigration of several officers after the partition of the country, the gap in the higher posts was filled by promotion of officers who were lacking in experience of investigation. This also resulted in the police force suffering from lack of experienced staff at the lower levels. The police force has thus lost a great many of the officers who had been trained by sheer experience. We think it is imperative that training centres should he established in different parts of the country for the training of men selected for detection work. We understand some institutions of this kind already exist in Uttar Predesh, Bombay and West Bengal and some other States. The existing institutions are, it appears, not adequately equipped and sufficient in number to meet the needs of the police forces. Instruction in crime investigation should in our view be given to every officer who is recruited to the police force or at any rate to recruits intended for investigation work. The Inspector General.

Madhya Pradesh, suggested that short refresher courses; of instruction may be arranged for senior officers from time to time. We are of the view that the question of training of police officers engaged in investigation work requires the urgent attention of the State Governments.

Logal
melstence
to investipating
officers.

26. One of the causes of defective investigation which often results in acquittals is the lack of legal assistance at the stage of investigation. Most of the investigating officers are not law graduates, not do they have sufficient knowledge of law and the procedure of the law courts. They are often unable to appreciate the significance or importance of a particular piece of evidence to the pro-secution case. Whether any links in the chain of evidence connecting the accused with the crime are missing, whether any connected matters require to be investigated in order to fill up lacunae in the prosecution case, whether sanction for the prosecution is necessary and such other matters, cause difficulties which the investigating officers find it difficult to solve or even to appreciate. It is true that such difficulties generally arise only in the investigation of serious crimes, particularly where the proof of them depends upon circumstantial evidence, or where the evidence consists of entries in books of account and in like-In such cases the police officers do sometimes seek the advice of the public prosecutor but that is not often Elsewhere, we have suggested the creation of the office of a Director of Public Prosecutions at the district level, who can render such legal assistance to the police. as may be necessary even at the stage of investigation. We have also suggested that the functions of the Director of Public Prosecutions may be delegated to the assistant public prosecutors at the sub-divisional level, so that the local police officers can directly seek from them legal assistance, whenever necessary.

Lack of supervision.

27. A large number of witnesses whom we examined were of the view that there was not only incompetence. and negligence but a great deal of corruption among police Not only was the investigation defective, but evidence was deliberately distorted and often a dishonest record of the evidence was prepared by the police. Some of the lawyer witnesses went to the length of stating that the daily record of investigation by the police officers was The rules did require usually written up at a later date. that copies of this record should be sent from time to time. to the magistrate through the immediate superior police officer, but these rules were often disregarded. As the idea persists among most of the junior police officers that chejr promotion will largely depend upon the number of convictions they are able to obtain, in their anxiety to obtain convictions or from other motives, these officers not unoften deliberately concect false evidence to connect the accused with the crime. Two Inspectors-General conceded that there was some measure of truth in some of theseallegations against the police officers.

28. We are of the view that if the pernicious practice of measuring the efficiency of the investigating officers by the number of convictions obtained by them exists it should at once be put a stop to. It is importative that any notion that their promotions depend on the number of convictions they obtain should be eradicated from the minds of the investigating officers. They should be told that their promotions will depend not upon the number of convictions that result but upon their integrity and the quality of their investigation.

We feel that these defects and dishonest practices can be remedied only by a very strict supervision of the work of the investigating officer by the senior departmental officials. Some of the higher police officers stated to us that on account of their pre-occupation with administrative duties, the senior officers were not able to devote sufficient attention to the supervision of the work of the investigating officers. The Committee of Inquiry into the working of the scheme of separation of the Judiciary from the Executive in Madras stated in 1952 as follows:

"The fairly effective supervision over investigations conducted by head constables and sub-inspectors that prevailed in the past has to a large extent disappeared.... Quite a number of deputy superintendents and assistant superintendents were prepared to concede, though not officially, that they just had not the time sufficient to go through the case disries submitted to them.... but something must be done to provide for effective supervision of investigation by circle inspectors and by sub-divisional police officers."

In what manner this supervision should be exercised is a matter of detail which can be worked out by the department itself. We may however broadly suggest that better returns, insistence upon prompt despatch of case diaries, scrutiny of case-diaries, frequent visits of sentor police officers to police stations, and the appointment of special officers of the rank of a deputy superintendent of police to undertake the work of supervision are perhaps some of the methods which may achieve this purpose. We must emphasise, however, that strict supervision is a vital need of the police department today in view of the enormous powers which the police, including those in the lowest ranks, can wield.

29. The Police officers have complained that investigation Public is hampered by lack of co-operation on the part of the co-operation. It is said that it is not unusual for even persons the commission of an offence to evade or attempt to evade giving evidence. In our view, one of the reasons for this lack of co-operation

¹ Report page 183 para, 601.

is the scant regard which the police department pays to the convenience of persons who may offer to give evidence and the general discourtesy and even suspicion with which they are treated. Witnesses should receive a far better treatment both when they appear before the police and in court than they actually receive. The manner of their cross-examination by the opposing counsel not unoften borders on the insulting and offensive. This naturally leads to a disinclination on their part to appear in court. No one can expect a citizen, zealous though he may be, to assist in the detection of crime and the promotion of justice, to interrupt his normal life and avocation if he is to be subjected to such treatment. Very often the provision of elementary conveniences to persons appearing in courts as witnesses is sadly lacking. Witnesses in the rural and smaller urban areas are not assured even of their expenses of going to and coming from police stations and courts. All these factors undoubtedly add to the difficulties of investigation.

- 30. The Inspector General of Police, West Bengal, fully appreciated the difficulties of the witnesses. He told us that the long delay in the disposal of cases leads to difficulties in the production of witnesses; witnesses forget many of the details; and they are not examined when they appear. In fact, the witness feels he is harassed by having to appear in court more often than necessary.
- 31. In dealing with the question of the want of cooperation on the part of the public with the police, we cannot ignore the eastwhile traditions of the Indian police, the part they were compelled to play in the history of the country and the use to which they were put in the past, The police force was not unoften employed as a weapon of oppression by the then ruling power. The past is too recent to be forgotten by the public. As some of the police officers have conceded, in those days they could detain witnesses with impunity for a considerable length They inspired fear and were never looked upon of time. with trust or confidence. Even though that fear of the police no longer exists, their continued use in law and order situations prevents the citizen from regarding them as the This is another and protectors of his rights and liberties. an important reason for the investigating staff being as far as possible separated from the rest of the police organisa-As to the wider question of begetting public cooperation, the police force can carn the confidence of the public only by the rectitude of its own future conduct. What is needed is an orientation in the outlook of the police officers towards their duties and their attitude zowards the public so that the people should consider them as friends to whom they could resort for succour and aid. This is bound to take time. But we hope that In the meanwhile, the division of the police into two wings as suggested above, will help in inducing the co-operation of the public in the detection and investigation of crime.

## CONFESSIONS.

We shall now deal with confessions. The Indian Evidence Act, 1872 has laid down certain rules regarding confessions. Section 24 provides that a confession made Administraby an accused person which appears to the Court to have by of been caused by an inducement, threat or promise having Con-reference to the charge against the accused person, pro-festions, ceeding from a person in authority under the circumstances mentioned in the section, is irrelevant in a criminal proceeding. By section 25 a confession made to a police officer is prohibited from being used as against the person accused of any offence. Further, under section 26, no confession made by an accused person in the custody of a police other can be proved against him unless he made it in the immediate presence of a magistrate.

33. A "confession" has been explained by the Privy What is a Council as a statement which "must either admit in terms confer-the offence or at any rate substantially all the facts relied tion? the offence, or at any rate substantially all the facts which constitute the offence". A self-exculpatory statement will not amount to a confession. A statement so worded that some of the facts establish the commission of an offence while some others are exculpatory in nature thereby negativing the offence would obviously be not a conlession. Section 27 of the Evidence Act permits the proving of a slatement, whether it amounts to a confession or not, if in consequence of that statement, any fact deposed to as discovered.

34. It is not necessary to deal with the voluminous District case-law on the true interpretation of section 27. The of the extent of its application has been told down by the police, Supreme Court in a recent decision." The important and controversial question which arises for consideration is; whether the prohibition against the admission of confessional statements made to a police officer is justified in the present conditions. It was claimed by the police officers all over India that this provision, based on a lack of confluence in the integrity and honesty of the police, for making should in view of our independent status and the changed confessions set-up in the country, be discarded and a certain measure almisof trust be reposed in the police. It was urged that the sible, restrictions upon the admissibility of a confessional statement were imposed at a time when the police in general did not enjoy a good reputation. The conditions have now altered and persons who man the higher levels of the police machinery belong to the same strata of society as those in the other services of the State, including the

Pakala Narayan aswami as. The King Empetor 66 I. A. p. 66 m page 81 *Ramkirs man Mithanial Sharma eg. State of Bambay A.LR. 1935 Я. С. р. 104.

judiclary. It was claimed that the character of the policeas a whole had risen considerably and that it was desirable that confessions made to police officers should be made admissible in evidence subject of course to the court examining their probative value as in the case of any other evidence. It was conceded that historically considered, the police organization had come into existence primarily for the maintenance of law and order and for the support of the foreign rule and that its function of detection and investigation of crime were in the past treated as of secondary importance. In days when the police force was used for the suppression of the people, the Government was not much interested in the beneficial aspects of the police organization. The two ideas were to a certain extent mutually inconsistent. It was not necessary for the Government to get a police officer of high integrity if it was proposed to make use of him for suppressing national aspirations. These facts were recognized by the police officers and they conceded that under conditions which existed in the pre-independence period, their character and status were not such as to inspire public confidence. But they claimed that it was of the utmost importance in the present conditions that the police should be shown a greater measure of confidence. in the interests of the development of the nation. remains to be considered whether this claim can be accepted; and if so; to what extent we can advance in this respect

Contrage with the Rugheth police lower.

 We may refer in this connection to the position of the London Merropolitan Police Force.

This Force was established in 1829. The first two Commissioners of Police laid down the following two principles in 1829.

- (1) That the Police are not above the law but subject to it like any ordinary citizen and answerable for every action exceeding their legal powers; and
- (2) That the Police must be strict and impartial and must seek to administer the Law without fear or favour whatever the political, national or social complexton of the persons with whom they have to deal. Sir Harold Scott, himself a Commissioner of Police, observes:

"The Police in this country have fortunately never been involved in politics. Not only are the individual officers expressly forbidden to take part in political activities but it has become the rule of Government of whatever party to avoid any action which might impair the reputation of impartiality which the Police have gained over so many years."

Scotland Yard by Sir Harold Scott, p. 18.

Ibid page 17-

During the blitz for the first time, people who looked at the Police as their natural enemies found that the Police were in fact their best friends."

In answer to the off-repeated question how a London policeman is regarded by every law-abiding citizen as a friend and helper, Sit Herold Scott writes:

"My reply was always that this relationship is not to be achieved in a day and it is necessary to begin a hundred years ago with the sound principles laid down by Mayne and Rowan. Successive generations of Police officers have been schooled to regard thomselves not as mosters but as servants of the public. They have been taught that even when proseculing a case against an offender they must be scrupulously fair and that when they have put a case before the court, their duty is done; and that they are not concerned with the verdict. If there is anything to be said in favour of the accused, it must not be withheld from the court after conviction, and if a piece of evidence favourable to the accused comes to light during their inquiry, it must as a matter of course be communicated to the defence."

36. When the police officers who gave evidence before us attacked the provisions in the Indian Evidence Act as derogatory to the force as a whole, they referred to the law in England in support of their point of view. They pointed out that in England a statement made to a police officer is accorded in evidence. They pleaded that the Position Police in India should be similarly treated. In taking up in India this position, they seemed to ignore the circumstances different, which have made the Indian policeman what he is. It must be conceded that in India, the police force as a whole is not, even today regarded as a friend of the citizen. This is natural as the facts and circumstances of its creation and the use made of it by an alien government cannot be forgotten so soon. The principles referred to above which entered into the making of the Metropolitan Police Force were at no time sought to be adopted in the formation of our police force. It is the application of these principles for over a century which has made the Englishman regard the policemen as his friend and protector. In order that the citizen in this country should come to look upon the Indian policeman in the same manner, the police force in the country will have for many years to conform to the principles and practice which have governed the conduct of the British Police. Such a course of conduct alone can win for them the confidence and esteem of the public.

37. The police officers who gave evidence secured to Relaxation forget that the rules laid down in the Evidence Act are of sections not a reflection on individual members of the police force 25 and 26.

¹ Mar page 22. * Joint page 98.

All statuments to police cannex he made admissible.

but only a recognition of the imperfections of the system as it prevails today. The large mass of offences in our country are investigated only by the subordinate police officials. The high sense of fairness and justice which might actuate the superior personnel does not pertueate the lower ranks. To make a confession made to a subordinate police official admissible in evidence would therefore be fraught with dangerous consequences. It is soldow that a confession is voluntarily made to a police officer. It is probably on'y after a considerable amount of cusstioning that a statement is obtained from An accused person. At what stage the questioning takes the form of undestrable methods can never be known. The questioning itself may be of such a nature as to deprive the statement of its voluntary character. The reasons which have led to the laying down of the rules mentioned above are equally valid today. We are, therefore, unable to accept the suggestion that these provisions of the Indian Evidence Act should be mediced so, as to make all confessions neade to the police or at a time when the accused persons are in the custods of the police, admissible in evidence.

A suggested relaxed tion,

Statements
to super
tion
allicate.

88. It is, however, true that the superior officers of the police are today recruited from the same social strata as officers of other departments including even the judiciary. The change that can be suggested must therefore be a imited one and must have reference to these officers. Though some of the lawyer witnesses who appeared before us were not inclined to see any merit in the proposal that the higher officers of the police should be regarded as persons fit to be trusted in this respect, a large number expressed themselves in favour of it. We are of the view that officers of the status of a deputy superintendent of police and above might be trusted and that confessions made to them can be accepted in evidence. This relaxation must necessarily be restricted to cases which such officers themselves investigate. If the investigation is, in the hands of a subordinate police officer, he might so work on the accused as to bring him to the point of making a confession and then produce him before the superior officer. In such cases the safeguard of the confession having been made to a superior police officer will not be present. In cases of serious offences and grave crimes, it should be the general rule that a superior officer of the police of the status referred to should conduct the investigation. If during such an investigation, a confessional statement is made by an accused it should be made admirsible in evidence. A rule should also be made obliging the police officer before he receives such a statement to warn the accused person that any statement made by him may be used in evidence against him. We are aware that this proposal can be of only limited application. This is, however, a matter in which we must proceed with great caution and we can only make a beginning, the scope being broadened later on proof of its successful working.

59. We are further of the view that this change cannot be extended at once all over the country. We are sug-areas to be gesting it as an experimental measure. We feel that it should be first tried in the Presidency towns or places of like impurlance where investigations can be conducted by superior police officers and where the average citizen would be more educated and conscious of his rights. The extent to which this change in the law helps the administration of justice will have to be carefully watched for some years before its extension to other areas can be decided upon. If our proposal regarding the admissibility of such confessions is accepted, consequential amendments to sections 35 and 26 of the Indian Evidence Act would be necessary.

In the three Presidency towns, we have a magistracy which is directly under the control of the High Court. We feel, therefore, that such a magistracy would take a detached view of the evidence before it and not be led into accepting without due scrutiny, the evidence in the shape of such confessions. The introduction of this change in other areas should in our view be preceded by the separation of the judiciary from the executive. Without this safeguard, we would not feel justified in recommending the admissibility of confessional statements, made even to superfor police officers.

Even the limited change proposed by us was objected to by certain witnesses on the ground that if the accused is willing to make a confession it should be easy for the police. to produce him before the magistrate so that, after observing the formalities laid down in section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code, a judicial confession might be recorded. No doubt, when an offence has been deliberately committed, the accused person is unlikely to confess to the offence in a fit of remorse. However, in the normal run of cases where an investigation speedily follows upon the report of the offence and the offender is present during the investigation or is aware of the evidence collected against him, he is likely to leel impelled to state how the offence was committed. It is concrally the time-lag that causes an accused person to refran from admitting the facts. The delay that would be occasioned by having to produce the accused before a magistrate would thus have the effect of preventing the accused from admitting the facts.

49. Section 27 of the ladian Evidence Act is in the nature Section of an exception to the rigid requirements of the carlier Byidence sections 25 and 26. The view has been repeatedly express. Act. ed that it has been grossly misused. It is urged that while sections 25 and 26 are intended to afford protection to the accused, that protection is to a large, extent destroyed, by the ingenuity of the police officers in recording the "information" given by the accused. The information is deliberately recorded in a manner so as to make it appear

that it has led to the discovery of some facts incriminating the accused person. Section 27 follows the English law, where the principle underlying it is called the theory of confirmation by subsequent facts. But the admissibility of the information, whether it amounts to a confession or not, is bounded by the requirement that only "so much of such information" as relates "distinctly" to the fact discovered can be proved. The view that any information which served to connect the object discovered with the offence charged was admissible, was negatived by the Privy Council in Pulakuri Kotayya vs. Emperior.

It has been urged on the one hand that even on the interpretation put by the Privy Council on section 27, its operation leads to an abuse by the police, as the police manipulate the information recorded by them in the manner mentioned above and that therefore section 27 should be repealed. This view has been met by the argument that the section embodies a well-accepted principle of English criminal jurisprudence and that there is no reason. why a statement by an accused person which is corroborated by the discovery of a fact should not be available for use against him. We are not prepared on the materfall which we have been able to gather to recommend a repeal of the section. To discard this principle accepted for years both in British and Indian criminal law would be to impose a handicap on the proof of crime in our courts. The repeal of the section will also be contrary to the principles which have been accepted by our courts generally that statements by accused persons and accomplices could be acted upon, if corroborated by independent testimony or facts. It may be that a closer study may reveal some method of preserving in many cases the valuable evidence of the commission. of a crime consistently with preventing abuse by the police of this method of adducing proof of the crime. This aspect of the matter may be considered by us later when the revision of the Indian Evidence Act is taken up.

Mindification in special scess, However, the recommendation which we have made in regard to the admissibility of confessions made to superior police officers in the Presidency towns will necessitate abrogation of the rule laid down in section 27 in cases in which such confessions are admissible. The rule in the section is, as a ready stated, in the nature of an exception to the requirements of the provisions of sections 25 and 28. As we are relaxing the application of the requirements of that section in the case of statements made to superior police officers in the Presidency towns, there can be no room for the application of the exception in such coses.

Corroboration of retracted confessions. 41. There is no statutory requirement that the confession of an accused person, later retracted, should be corroborated before it is acted upon. In a large number of

⁴ A. I. R. 1947 P. C. 57.

cases, prisoners who have made lengthy and detailed confessions duly recorded under section 164 Criminal Procedure Code, and have reiterated them in the committing magistrate's court resile from these confessions in the court of session. The task of the Judges in such cases is made very difficult. Judicial decisions have therefore laid down the rule that while a conviction on a retracted confession is not illegal, yet prudence dictates that a conviction should be based on such a confession, only if it is corroborated by independent testimony. The rule of practice and prudence requiring corroboration of a retracted confession has achieved the status of a principle of law and has been universally recognised and acted upon. We would suggest that this rule might be given statutory recognition.

## STATEMENTS OF WITNESSES BURING INVESTIGATION

42. A police officer making an investigation is authorised Exemiseto examine any person supposed to be acquainted with the rion of facts and circumstances of the case. The statement so by the made to the police officer by the witnesses is generally police. reduced to writing. The person examined is bound to answer all questions relating to the case put to him by the officer, other than questions the answers to which would expose him to a criminal charge or to other penalty. The Criminal Procedure Code of 1882 was slightly different, in that, it called upon the person to answer the questions "truly". The word "truly" has been omitted in the present Code.

- 43. The recent amendment of the Code in 1955 has Their resulted in making the record of such a statement a very importance. important one. Formerly, a statement made to a police officer during an investigation could be used only by the accused person for the purpose of contradicting the witness in the manner provided by section 145 of the Evidence Act. The recent amendment has made such a statement also available to the prosecution with the leave of the court for a similar purpose. In addition, in the procedure relating to the trial of warrant cases instituted on police report, the magistrate is competent to frame a charge in writing against the accused, upon a perusal of all the statements recorded by the police, other documents and after hearing the prosecution and the accused. In fact, in such cases the statements recorded by the police form the basis for the framing of the charge against the accused and the trial. In all such cases the accused has to be furnished with copies of these statements so that he might know at the outset, the nature and volume of the evidence against him. The importance of these statements has thus been greatly increased under the new procedure.
- 44. There is another point which needs to be noticed. Section 162 provides that no statement reduced to writing in the manner required by section 161 by a police officer

shell be signed by the person making it. That was the position even prior to the recent amendment. Though the statement is not required to be signed by him, the witness can be contradicted by his earlier statement made to the poince if he is colled by the prosecution in the inquiry or the trial.

Amendmen: of in this (2) Gr P. C

The difference between the Act of 1882 and the present. Criminal Procedure Code is, that the witness is now under  $oldsymbol{a}$  duty only to make a statement in zeply , to questions put: to him by a police officer in relation to the case, but is not obliged to answer these questions truly. It is no doubt true that the statement made before a police officer during an investigation is not one made on hath and it does not subject the maker of it to a prosecution for perjury even if it is found to be false. However, it is said that it is only proper that the law should require the witness to speak. the truth even during investigation. The deletion of the word "truly" seems, it was sold, virtually to auggest. that the version of the witness need not be the true one. Some police officers have therefore urged that the Code should be amended by reintroducing the word "truly" in section. 161 (2) .

But the position is not so simply. Under section 177, Indian Pena. Code, "Whoever, being legally bound to fornish information on any subject to any public servant, as such, furnishes, as true, information on the subject which he knows or has reason to believe to he false, shall be punished... " A witness examined by a police officer under section 161 of the Criminal Procedure Code is flegally bound to answer questions put to him with reference to the case under investigation. If the Cone continued to be worded as it was in 2882, requiring the person to arswer such questions "truly", the witness would become liable to a prosecution under section 177, if it was shown that the information furnished by the witness was false to his. knowledge or that he had reason to believe it to be false. It is difficult enough to get witnesses to speak to facts. aglevant to the investigation of an offence; if the threat of prosperation were to be held over their heads, it might deterwith rases shill turther from giving information and seriously impede the investigation. It might also be, that notwithstanding that the version of a witness is true, the detail result of the prosecution might be the discharge or acquittal of the accused; in such an event, the witness's version might well be held to be untrue and the witness might become liable to a prosecution. It is presumably for these and like reasons that the world "Imily" was dropped from the provision. We are therefore of the view that it is not desirable. to restore the language used in the Code of 1882,

Not advisabie

45. Except for the few amendments introduced in 1955, the Code in this regard is mainly in the same form as in 1898. The Code continues to provide that the statement made to a police officer, if reduced to writing shall not be-

Record of the stateuptent of a withten. signed by the witness making it. We presume that it was so provided because of the prevalence of illiteracy among the people and the incompetence or want of integrity in the police in the earlier days. It would certainly be unsafe to ask a person either to sign or to make a thumb impression acknowledging the correctness of a statement which he could not read for himself. It seems to have been assumed that the police were unreliable. Statements made to them were not admissible in evidence. Even if a statement purporting to have been recorded by a police officer was read over to the witness, there was no guarantee that in the reading over of the statement, a dishonest police officer would read to him the statement as recorded in fact. All these reasons, perhaps, justified the enactment of the provision.

Under the amended Code, the statement of a witness can be used to contradict him not only by the accused but by the prosecution as well. If the witness has spoken to a particular set of circumstances relating to the case when he was examined by a police officer and later during the examination in the court be gives a different version, it is open to the prosecution to make use of his earlier statement under section 145 of the Evidence Act. The witness can accordingly be cross-examined; and if it is intended to contradict him by the writing, his attention must be called to those parts of it which are to be used for the purpose of contradicting him. Such a procedure counct possibly advance the case of the prosecution. At the most the proseculion may be able to convince the court that the witness is a liar. The witness usually protests that his earlier statement was not properly recorded by the police, that it was not read over to him (no provision requiring it to be read over exists) and that he was not aware of what the police officer recorded. The magistrate has in these elecumstances to consider generally, having regard to the surrounding circumstances, whether what the witness had stated before the police could be true. But his earlier statement connot be treated as evidence in the case merely because he has been effectively contradicted. If there is other evidence which goes to support the witness's earlier version. the magistrate may, relying on such other evidence, convict the accused and discard the evidence of the witness who · has been effectively contradicted. If there is no such other evidence the witness's later statement in court would alone be eyidence, which can be acted upon. Notwithstanding the use of section 145 of the Indian Evidence Act, the earlier version can never become substantive evidence. We are for these reasons not satisfied that the amended provision īs of much use.

46. However, it needs consideration whether in the light of present-day conditions when the extent of literacy has substantially increased, it should not be provided that the statement of a witness should be reduced to writing and 122 M. of Law-48.

signed by him, propided the witness is capable of reading what has been so recorded. Psychologically, persons who have witnessed the commission of an offence are generally eager to state the true version, if they are questioned soon after the commission of the offence and before their memory. of the events has faded away or other considerations or influences have worked on them. If the witness is literate in the sense that he can read for himself—there should be no objection to get the witness to sign and date the statement and also certify that he has read it and that it is in accord with what he stated. Such a provision may act as a valuable check upon the tendency of the witnesses either to waver or to be won over by the other side. A greater reliance will be placed upon statements so signed and verified, if it is later found necessary to contradict the witness in the manner provided in section 145 of the Evidence Act.

From the point of view of satisfactory investigation, such a provision may be of great use. The percentage of acquittals in criminal cases has reached a high figure; and this is not always due to the police being unable to place adequate evidence before the courts. What often happens is, that the witnesses when they appear to give evidence in courts display a tendency to reduce the effectiveness of their evidence by deposing to a version different from that given by them in their statements to the police. The Inspector-General of Police, Bihar, told us that at least fifty per cent of the police cases failed because the witnesses turned completely hostile under the influence brought to bear upon them by the accused and his supporters.

Statements to be signed in certein circumstances. If, therefore, the law enables the police to get the witness to sign and date the statement, if the witness can read for himself what has been recorded, it should go a great way towards combating the tendency of the witnesses turning hostile. We recommend an amendment to section 162 making such a provision. We are aware that limited as it is only to literate persons, such an amendment of the law does not go far; but it would certainly mark a desirable advance upon the present position.

Statements to be recorded. 47. The importance of the statements of witnesses has been greatly increased by the amended procedure in sections 207A and 251A of the Code. Among the documents that are to be supplied to the accused under section 173, copies of the statements of witnesses recorded by the police are perhaps the most important. On the basis of these statements, the magistrate will proceed to frame a charge and it is on that basis that the accused will have to formulate his defence. While making suitable amendments to the other provisions of the Code in the light of the introduction of sections 207A and 251A, section 161 has not been touched. We have therefore to consider whether section 161 requires amendment.

Under this provision, any police officer making an investigation may examine orally any person supposed to be acquainted with the facts and circumstances of the case. Such person shall be bound to answer all questions relating to such case put to him by such officer excepting those which might expose him to a criminal charge or forfeiture. Section 161(3) runs as follows:

"The police officer may reduce into writing any Statement made to him in the course of an examination under this section, and if he does so, he shall make a separate record of the statement, of each such person whose statement he records".

The section does not compel the police officer to record the statement of the witnesses examined by him. It is optional on his part to do so. In what manner the statements should be recorded is also not specified in the section. He may record merely the substance of what the witness states or he may make only notes thereof. But if he does record the statements of witnesses, the law requires that a separate record of the statement of each witness shall be made. What we wish particularly to refer to is, that unrestricted discretion has been given to the investigating officer to reduce or not to reduce to writing any statement made to him in the course of his investigation. The purpose of section 173 requiring copies of the statement of witnesses to he supplied to the accused would be wholly deleated, if the police officer either does not record the statements or does it in such a perfunctory manner that the usefulness of the record is lost. It has to be remembered, that apart from furnishing the foundation for the charge, such statements of the witnesses are available to the accused as well as the prosecution with the leave of the Court under section 162 for the purpose of contradicting the witnesses. It seems to us, therefore, that in the case of persons whom the prose cution proposes to examine as its witnesses, the law should insist that the investigating officer should record the statements of the witnesses as far as possible in their own words and that no discretion abould be left to him to record or not to record such a statement. The accused person should be entitled to receive a copy of the statements of witnesses who are to be called to give evidence against him. Unless it is made the duty of the police efficer to record the statement and a copy of the statement is required to be furnished to the accused, the purpose underlying the recent amendments of the Code would lend to be deleated.

 We have been informed that considerable difficulty is Searches. being experienced by the police officers in obtaining the presence of respectable residents of the locality at searches made under Chapter VII of the Code. Section 108 requires that the officer about to make a search shall call upon two or more respectable inhabitants of the locality to attend and witness the scaret. The read even issue an order in writing to them to so 300 A person who without reasonable

Difficulty of getting reppectable search witnesses:

cause refuses or neglects, to attend and witness, a search, when colled upon to do so by an order in writing, is liable to punishment under section 187 of the Indian Penal Code. The police officers have stated that respectable persons do not desire to get involved in searches of this kind and make. attempts to evade acting required to set as witnesses. What probably prevents respectable persons from serving as witnesses is the fact, that subsequently they may have to appear a number of times at the police station or in court to giveevidence. It is probable that if such witnesses are required to attend court frequently, and are treated, with proper courtesy they might be available in a larger number. The difficulty appears to have assumed grave proportions, as we were told that on several occasions the police officers had failed to obtain the attendance of any persons of "the locality" and that prosecutions had failed for this reason. It is obvious that it might sometimes be difficult to get as witnesses to the search, inhabitants of the locality by reason of their interest in the accused or other causes. If, for wantof such persons in the focality, other respectable persons. not of the locality are called to be witnesses to the search. the search should not be vitiated; but nevertheless it should. be for the prosecution to show that no such persons were available in that locality. We would therefore suggest that the law should not insist upon the presence of persons of the particular locality and it should be sufficient it respectable persons, wherever they might be found, attended and witnessed the search. We suggest that section 103 of the Code should be amended accordingly.

Remand.

Detentions beyond recentlyfour hours, Authority of magistrate necessary.

49. The provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure lay. special emphasis on the need for the expeditious completion. of investigations. Section 173 provides that "Every investigation under this Chapter shall be completed without unnecessary delay". In the investigation of an offence a: police officer generally arrests a person. Section 61 of the Code lays down that "No police officer shall detain in custody a person arrested without warrant for a longer: period than * * * * * is reasonable and such period shall not* * * * * exceed twenty-four hours exclusive of the time necessary for the journey from the place of arrest to the-Magistrate's Court". Article 22 of the Constitution makes a similar provision. Under these provisions, no person can be detained in custody beyond the specified period without the authority of a magistrate. Section 167 of the Criminal Procedure Code enables the magistrate to direct such determing or guspody.

Remand during investigation 50. The opening words of section 167 contemplate that an investigation into an offence after the arrest of the accused should normally be capable of completion within twenty-four hours. Failing that, it is incumbent upon the investigating officer, in cases where there are grounds for believing that the accusation is well-founded, to forward the accused person to the nearest magistrate, whether such

magistrate has or has not the jurisdiction to try the case. At the same time, he has to forward to that magistrate a copy of the entries in the diary relating to the case. The moment the accused person is produced before the magistrate, he passes into judicial custody. Thereafter, it is for the magistrate to decide whether the accused person should be retained in judicial custody or whether for furthering the progress of investigation he should be placed in the custody of the police. The magistrate is expected to decide the question judicially on a consideration of the facts and after considering whether the circumstances of the case require that the accused should be placed in police custody. The importance which the law attaches to the liberty of the individual needs no emphasis. It is in recognition of this principle that a magistrate, authorizing detention in the costody of the police is required by the section to record his reasons for doing so. If the order is passed by a magistrate other than the district magistrate or sub-divisional magistrate, he is further directed to forward a copy of his order with his reasons to his immediate superior,

51. Under section 167, whatever the nature of the custody Duration of the accused person, the magistrate is not competent to authorise the detention of the accused for a term exceeding fifteen days in all. The law therefore clearly contemplates that in the generality of cases, there should be no occasion for an investigation to be protracted beyond a period of tifteen days.

52. What should happen at the end of the fifteen days of Remand remand under section 167 has been the subject of some controversy. If at the end of that period no police report as days. required under section 173 is filed, the question orises whether it is competent for the magistrate to continue to detain the accused person in custody. The latter part of section 167(2) which contemplates an analogous position states that if the magistrate "has not jurisdiction to try the case or commit it for trial, and considers further detention unnecessary, he may order the accused to be forwarded to a Magistrate having such jurisdiction." Under that sub-section, if the magistrate having jurisdiction to try the case or commit it for trial comes to the conclusion that the facts revealed by the police diary do not warrant the further detention of the accused person, he can order the release of the accused forthwith. If on the other hand he thinks that there is sufficient reason, for believing that the accusation or information is well-founded and that further detention of the accused person is necessary for the completion of investigation into the case by the police, the question arises whether he can exceed the time limit of fifteen days set to the detention of the accused person under the section,

Submission of preliminary charge sheet.

 It has come to our notice—that even in such cases. there has arisen a practice under which magistrates remand the accused to custody and authorise his further detention purporting to exercise powers under section 344 of the Criminal Procedure Code. It is a matter of doubt whether section 344 has any application at all at the stage of investigation. It is possible to take the view that the section applies only to inquiries and trials. It may be said that a magistrate having jurisdiction to try the case or commit it. for trial, acquires jurisdiction only on the presentation of a police report to him. Section 173 provides that as soon as the investigation is completed, "the officer in charge of the police station shall forward to a Magistrate empowered to take cognizance of the offence on a pollee-report, a report in the form prescribed. ...., ". It would appear that the police report can be submitted only after the completion of the investigation. We understand that in some States a practice prevails of filing, what are called "preliminary" charge sheets after the expiry of the fifteen days contemplated by section 167(2) and the magistrate is then asked to grant a further remand under section 344. The final report under section 173 is filed later; sometimes months after the apprehension of the accused. In such cases, it is a matter of doubt whether a magistrate can be said to have taken cognizance of an offence because police report in the form prescribed has not been placed before him by the officer in charge of the police statlon. The magistrate may no doubt have jurisdiction to try the case; that has relation only to the nature of the offence and the requirements contained in Schedule II to the Code. But taking cognizance of an offence is something different from merely having jurisdiction to try a case. Broadly stated, a magistrate takes cognizance of the offence upon a report in writing of such facts made by any police officer [section 190(1) (b)]. Having taken cognizance, the magistrate becomes competent to commence the inquiry or the trial, as the case may be, and to take steps for the summoning of witnesses and their examination. Section 344 occurs in Chaper XXIV which deals with "General provisions as to Inquiries and Trials". Sub-section (1A) of section 344 states:-

"If from the absence of a witness, or any other reasonable cause, it becomes necessary or advisable to postpone the commencement of, or adjourn any inquiry or trial, the Court may,..... from time to time, postpone or adjourn the same . . . . . . and may by a warrant remand the accused if in custody:

Provided that no Magistrate shall remand an accused person to custody under this section for a term exceeding lifteen days at a time."

The language of the section would seem clearly to show that the power of remand conferred by it can be exercised

only after the magistrate has taken cognizance of the offence and if it becomes necessary thereafter to postpone the commencement of the inquiry or trial for reasons to be stated. It seems to us to be difficult to invoke the power given under this provision in cases where a police report in the prescribed form has not been filed before the magistrate. A preliminary charge sheet clearly will not be a police report in the prescribed form.

Apparently, however, the explanation to this section seems to be relied upon by some magistrates in justifying a remand even in cases which should legitimately come within the scope of section 187. The explanation reads: --

"If sufficient evidence has been obtained to raise a suspicion that the accused might have committed an offence, and it appears likely that further evidence may be obtained by a remand, it is a reasonable couse for a remand".

Whatever the true meaning of the explanation, it obviously cannot be read into section 167 and made applicable at a stage prior to the filing of the posice report.

54. There is a conflict of opinion' on the question whether Conflict on the expiration of the fifteen days specified in section 167 decisions. without a police report being filed, the magistrate is bound to release the arrested person or whether the period of remand can be extended by the magistrate under his powers under section 344 Criminal Procedure Code.

55. It seems to us that considering the scheme of these Amendment provisions, there is no warrant for the continued detention suggested. of a person beyond a period of fifteen days under section 167. Nor would section 344 he applicable, till a police report in the prescribed form has been filed and the court taken cognizance of the case. The solution of the difficulty lies in the Legislature providing specifically for the contingency of a remand after the expiry of fifteen days by on appropriate provision which, while meeting the needs of a full and proper investigation in cases of serious crime, will still safeguard the liberty of the person of the individual.

No doubt serious offences require a much longer time for investigation; but no one can contemplate an accused person being kept in custody for months awaiting the completion of the investigation and the filing of the police report. We would not have dealt with this matter at such length but for the fact brought to our notice that in some of the northern States, accused persons were being kept under remand for long periods extending over several months without any police report being filed in the courts. If section 344 is to be utilised in such cases, it would mean in effect, giving an unrestricted licence to the pulice and

^{*}Kull Charan au. State, A. J. R. 1955, Atlahabad 462,

the discretion of the magistrate could seldom be effectively exercised.

In view of the conflict of judicial opinion on the question, it is desirable that the law should be clarified by providing in section 167, that if investigation is not completed within 15 days and the police are therefore unable to file the report under section 173, the Magistrate may in suitable cases remand the accused to custody for a term not exceeding filteen days at a time. The law most, however, fix a maximum time-limit beyond which an accused person cannot be detained without a police report being filed before a magistrate competent to take cognizance of the offence.

The postrion in the United & Kingdom.

56. In this connection we may point out that in the United Kingdom even a person occused of a serious offence like treason or felony cannot be kept in prison indefinitely awaiting his trial. The trial has to commence within a specified period.

If a person is charged with treason or felony "he can insist upon being tried at the first-sessions after his committal, or if he is not then tried, upon heing hailed, unless the witnesses for the Crown cannot appear. If he is not tried at the second sessions after his commitment, he can insist upon his release without bail. The prisoner can apply for a writ of habeas corpus which would ensure his being brough: to a speedy trial. In this connection we would also invite attention to the provisions of Section 497(3)A of the Criminal Procedure Code. It provides that in cases triable by a magistrate, if the trial of persons accused of a non-bailable offence is not concluded within a period of sixty days from the date flast fixed for taking evidence, such person shall be released on bail unless the magistrate for reasons to be recorded refuses it.

\$, 497(3);A. Cr. P. C.

The Code itself thus contemplates the release of a person undergoing—trial for an offence if he has been in custody for some time and the trial has not been concluded

It would be indeed anomalous that a person should—be entitled under the provisions of this section—to be enlarged on bail after his trial has commenced and yet it should be permissible to keep him indefinitely in custody at the stage of investigation preceding his trial.

We would, therefore, recommend that the period during which a person can be remanded to custody at the stage of investigation should under no circumstances exceed sixty days.

SUPPLY OF COPIES TO THE ACCUSED PERSONS

Importance of police Materials.

57. What we have already said shows how important to the accused is the supply of copies of documents referred to

Diger's Law of the Constitution, page 218 (9th edition).

in section 173. However, we have noticed a tendency to meet this vital requirement as a mere formality. We have heen shown copies of statements of witnesses supplied accused persons which were wholly illegible. The carbon copies, supplied are made in a careless manner so that even the write himself would not be able to make ou. what he writte. Counsel have told us that such copies made. It impossible for accused persons to have any idea of the evidence against them and that the advantage which the accused was supposed to derive from these copies being megiate supplied to bim, had become allosory in a majority of copies. cases. Such difficulties probably arise only in cases where there are a large number of accused persons and numerous copies of the statements and other documents have to be prepared. On the other side, while police officers admit That these copies are not as well prepared as they might be, they complain that the preparation of these copies has through a very heavy burden upon the police staff. Generally, it is the station writer or some other person attached to the police station who prepares these copies. Additional staff is employed when the work becomes particularly heavy. The police officers suggested that in the circumstances the court itself might be empowered to prepare and supply copies to the accused persons. Under section  $\mathfrak{Z}(A(1))$  and under section 207A(3), a duty has been least on the magistrate "to satisfy himself that the documents referred to in section 173 have been farmished to the accused and if he finds that the accused has not been furnished with such documents or any of them, he shall cause them to be so furnished." The Magistrate has to satisfy himself in regard to this matter at the commencement of the trial or inquiry. Under this section, therefore, the magistrate is under no duty and has presumably no power to ascertain in advance of the date of the inquiry or trial whether the copies have been furnished as required. The magistrate should, in our view, satisfy himself that the copies supplied are of such a character that the accused is able to read them and know their contents. If therefore, on the date of the inquiry or trial, the magistrate finds either that copies have not been supplied or that copies supplied illegible, he should direct the police to supply the required or proper copies and adjourn the inquiry or trial. We have not been told whether adjournments on this ground have become indeessary in a large number of cases. We are of the view that since the law has cest a duty upon the magis- Maria rate trate to see that the accused has been supplied with the to supply relevant copies, it would be desirable to entrust the magis-copies. trate with the duty of supplying the copies to the accused person. Immediately after the filing of the police report, the magistrate can after considering the volume of the papers to be copied, fix the date of inquiry or trial. He could, thereafter see that the copies are prepared well in advance of the data of the inquiry or the trial and supplied to the accused. Additional staff will be necessary whether

Emablishment to be pressabled the duty is entrusted to the police establishment or to the magistrate. The advantage of entrusting this duty to the magistrate will be to ensure despatch and a proper control over the copying establishment. If the police establishment is entrusted with this task, the police officers' investigatory and other functions may suffer by the addition of these administrative duties. We therefore recommend that the Code he amended and the duty of preparing and supplying copies to the accused persons be faid on the magistrate, the magistrate being given additional staff for the purpose,

Volumi-Daus decuments.

Taspection to syffice,

58. The accused person is also entitled to be supplied. with copies of "all other documents or relevant extracts." thereof, on which the prosecution proposes to rely". In some cases, the evidence largely consists of account books and entries. It is easy to see that in such cases, a large number of entries, probably covering whole pages of account books, might be relied upon by the prosecution. If the law is to be strictly followed copies of numerous pages of account books will have to be supplied to the accused. We were told that such a difficulty had to be taced in West Bengal in some cases. These bulky documents would be lying in court and could be made available for the perusal of and examination by the accused and his counsel. There seems therefore to be little purpose in requiring copies of these to be made and furnished to the accused at the cost of a great deal of labour and expense. Section 173 should therefore, be amended suitably by vesting a discretion the court that in the case of documents considered voluminous, the supply of copies might be dispensed with, the originals themselves being made available to the accused or his Counsel for perusa!, examination and taking notes in the court house.

Summery of recommendations, 58. Our recommendations regarding investigation by the police may be summarised as follows:—

- (1) The State Government should undertake a careful examination of the adequacy of the strength of the police in relation to the prevalence of crime.
- (2) The police, particularly the members of the investigation force, should be trained in modern methods of investigation and the application of science to criminal investigation.
- (3) Refresher courses should be arranged for senior officers.
- (4) The police should be provided with the necessary modern equipment.
- (5) Serious offences should invariably be investigated by senior police officers.
- (6) As far as practicable, the investigation of a case should be the responsibility of one officer.

- (7) Wherever possible, there—should be separate investigating officers who do not have other duties than investigation of crime.
- (8) Superior police officers should exercise greater control and supervision over the investigation carried out by their subordinates.
- (9) The time has not yet come for making confessions to police officers generally admissible in evidence.
- (10) However, a beginning may be made by permitting confessions made to superior police officers in the Presidency towns and other places of like importance admissible in evidence in cases which have been investigated by such officers themselves.
- (11) In such cases there should be no scope for the application of section 27 of the Evidence Act which should be suitably amended.
- (12) Before confessions to superior police officers are made admissible in respect of any local area, the judiciary in that area should be separated from the executive.
- (13) The rule of prudence requiring corresponstion of retracted confessions should be given statutory recognition.
- (14) When a police officer records a statement under section 161 of the Criminal Procedure Code, the person making the statement, if he is able to read it for himself should be required to read what has been recorded and sign and date it and certify that it is a correct record of his statement.
- (15) The law should be amended so as to provide that the investigating officer should record the statement of every person whom the prosecution proposes to examine as a witness and that the statement should as for as possible be in the witness's own words.
- (16) Section 103 of the Criminal Procedure Code may be amended so as to permit the officers conducting a search to call as witnesses even persons not residing in the locality.
- (17) Section 167 of the Criminal Procedure Code should be amended to enable a magistrate to remand an accused into custody for a period exceeding fifteen days if investigation is not completed within that period. The law should, however, also fix a maximum period beyond which such a remand cannot be granted

- (16) The duty of supplying copies of statements of witnesses, documents and the like to the accused should be placed upon the court and not upon the police.
- (15) The court should be provided with additional staff for this purpose,
- (20) In cases where the documents to be supplied to the accused are voluminous, the court might be empowered to dispense with such supply and instead allow the accused and his counsel to inspect them in court.

## 35.—PROSECUTING AGENCY—DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS

 A Public Prosecutor is appointed by the State Govern-Therete of. ment under Section 492 of the Criminal Procedure Code, Public generally, or in any case, or for any specified class of cases Protections, in any local area. His duties principally consist in con-Appointducting prosecutions on behalf of the Government and in mon of appearing on behalf of the State in proceedings like Public criminal appeals, revisions and other matters in the Protecutor, sessions courts and the High Courts. He is competent to His duties appear and plead before any court in any case that is and powers. entrusted to him. He is empowered by law with the consent of the court to withdraw from the prosecution of any person. Though it is no part of his statutory duties, he has also sometimes to advise the Police and other Government departments with regard to prosecution of offenders, if called upon to do so.

2. The integrity of a person chosen to be in charge of a The role of prosecution does not need to be emphasised. The purpose the public of a criminal trial being to determine the guilt or innocence processor. of the accused person, the duty of a Public Prosecutor is not to represent any particular party, but the State. The proscention of accused persons has to be conducted with the utmost fairness. In undertaking the prosecution, the State is not actuated by any motives of revenge but seeks only to protect the community. There should not therefore be ' an unscendy engerness for, or grasping at a conviction". A Public Prosecutor should be personally indifferent to the result of the case. His duty should consist only in placing all the available evidence irrespective of the fact whether it goes against the accused or helps him, before the court, in order to aid the court in discovering the truth. It would thus be seen, that in the machinery of justice, a public prosecutor has to play a very responsible role: the importiality of his conduct is as vital as the impartiality of the court itself.

3. There was a time when the Public Prosecutor was Normbinelined to regard himself as the right-hand man of the minister to Superintendent of the Police. Occasionally complaints are the Police. still heard that some Public Prosecutors function as though they are a part of the police machinery. But we believe that this tendency has now largely disappeared. Fairly senior members of the Bar are now appointed Public Prosecutors and it is unlikely, that they would sacrifice their independence and self-respect and conduct themselves as supordinate of the District Superintendent of Police.

Ansar Wasudeo Chandikar o. The King Emperor A.I.R. 1924 Nagyan 243 at page 244.

Assistance at the stage of investigation.

 In the investigation of important criminal cases, the assistance of the Public Prosecutor is frequently sought by the investigating agency itself. The majority of cases which are of a simple nature are handled by the police without much difficulty. Now and again, however, there arise very important and complicated cases, which require legal assistance even during the stage of investigation. Whether any particular link in the chain of cyldence is missing, whether any connected aspect of the matter requires to be investigated in order to fill up a possible lacuna in the prosecution case, whether sanction for the prosecution is necessary and such other matters cause difficulty to the investigating officers during the investiga-tion and before they file a police report. When such difficulties arise, the Public Prosecutor is generally approached for advice and assistance by police officials. The Public Prosecutor has however no power to interfere in the investigation, nor can be call for the police papers and scrutinise them or otherwise examine the available evidence before a report is actually filed in court. This is somewhat anomalous. Though he is responsible for the conduct of the prosecution in court, he has no opportunity of controlling or shaping the materials on which the case is to be founded and put before the court.

Organisation of Prosecuting Agency.

In Magistrates Courts. 5. Before we proceed to suggest measures for the improvement of the Prosecuting Agency, we may outline the salient features of this organisation in some of the States. There is no uniformity in the prosecuting organisation in India. Generally speaking, prosecution in magisterial courts is in the hands of either the police efficials or persons recruited from the Bar and styled "Police Prosecutors" or "Assistant Public Prosecutors". These officers work under the directions of the Police department. The Public Prosecutor who is entrusted with the prosecution of trials in sessions courts is under the general control of the District Magistrate.

In the States of Andhra Pradesh and Madras, however, the system of police officers functioning as Prosecutors has been completely given up. Even in the Magistrates' Courts, prosecutions are conducted by a class of officers known as Assistant Public Prosecutors who are recruited from the Bar and are under the control of the District Collector. There exists in Madras a regular cadre of whole-time Assistant Public Prosecutors. In Bombay, these officers, though they are recruited from the Bar, are styled "Police Prosecutors". In the Punjah, the Prosecuting Agency is a part of the Police Department itself and is headed by a Deputy Superintendent of Police in charge of prosecution work in all the Magistrates' Courts. In Uttar Pradesh, a Prosecuting Inspector is generally appointed either from the Bar or from the Police Department, If he has worked for three years as an investigating officer and has either a degree in Law or holds a certificate

of having passed LLB. (Previous) examination of the Allahabad University in the subject of the Indian Penal Code, the Criminal Procedure Code and the Evidence Act. In other States, police officers including Head Constables. who need not possess any legal qualification are authorised to conduct prosecutions in the Magistrates Courts. They are called "Prosecuting Inspectors," or "Prosecuting Sub-Inspectors," according to their rank in the Police Department. In Bihar, law graduates are recruited both from the Bar and the Police Establishment for appointment as Assistant District Prosecutors and they are under the control of the Police Department,

6. In most of the States, the Public Prosecutors are not be Seeigns whole time employees. In Bombay and Madras, the Public Courts. Prosecutors are not whole time Government servants. They are paid monthly retainers and daily fees for the work done. They are also entitled to accept private work Subject to certain restrictions. In some of the districts of the Punjab, Public Prosecutors are whole-time Government servants.

there is no centralized prosecuting Prosecution 7. ln England. agency. The Common Law theory is that prosecution of Machinery an offender is essentially the concern of the aggrieved England. party. In contrast with this, in Scotland, the right to institute and carry on criminal proceedings is that of the State. But even in England, the great majority of prosecutions are in fact instituted and conducted by the police. Even where the prosecution is started by a private citizen, it is normally conducted by the police in all cases of serious offences. In a preliminary enquiry, the prosecution may be conducted by a police officer, but serious offences which cannot be tried auminarily are handed over to a Counsel engaged by the Police through a Solicitor. In the case of offences of exceptional gravity, the responsibility of initiating the prosecution lies with an officer known as the "Director of Public Prosecutions." He is an official Director of appointed by the Home Secretary from among barristers Public Proor solicitors of ten years' standing. He does not conduct secutions. the prosecutions himself, but is generally represented by a Counsel in court. He has his own legal and administrative staff to assist him. The Director's main functions are as follows': --

- He gives advice to police authorities, Govern- H/s duice. ment departments, and others:
- (2) He prosecutes (a) all offences punishable with death (b) cases referred to him by Government departments, if he thinks there should be a prosecution, and cases which appear to him to be of importance or difficulty or, which for any other reason require his intervention.

¹ The Prosecution of Offences Regulation, 1946 and under the Proserultion of Offences Acra. Clied in Archibald Pleading, Evidence & Prectice In Criminal cases 3314 Fulltifit, 1983-9 115-114.

- (3) The Police must report to him certain offences specified in the Regulations, like offences of murder, man-slaughter, rape, sexual offences, sedition, public mischief, bribery and corruption of public servants, fraudulent conversion by a public official, solicitor or trustee, and others. The list of such offences is a long one.
- 8. In respect of these and certain other specified offences, reports are sent to the Director of Public Prosecutions by the chief officer of Police from every police district. This enables him to keep a check on the final result of the investigations into these offences. He is also informed of every case in which the prosecution for an offence instituted before examining justices or a court of summary jurisdiction is wholly withdrawn or is not proceeded with within a reasonable time. In such cases if the Director is satisfied that some circumstance, e.g. improper conduct, has arisen in connection with the proposed withdrawal, he can intervene and carry on the prosecution under his statutory powers.

However, the most important duty of the Director is to give advice, whether on his own initiative or when asked, to Covernment departments. Chief Officers of the Police, and other officers, in any matter relating to a crime which appears to him to be of sufficient gravity. One of his important duties is to advise the investigating agency in important and serious cases. Such advice is particularly snight in matters where technical evidence may be required e.g. of a medico-legal kind, or where questions arise which may require examination by an expert accountant or some scientific expert.

Prosecutors in U.S.A.

In the American Legal System, Prosecutors are generally elected by the people for a fixed term, and appear to enjoy even greater powers than their counter-parts in England. The American Prosecutor has the power to investigate into the case himself. Every Prosecutor has a senarate investigating staff attached to his office. The District Prosecutor may disregard the police investigation or he may supplement it with his own. In cases of grave and heinous crimes, where indictment by Grand Jury is not obligatory (that is so in many States), the Prosecutor has the exclusive power to decide, whether to send the accused for trial or not. Being an elected official, the District Prosecutor is independent of the police and other executive influences and enjoys complete discretion in the matter of in tiation and conduct of prosecution. He, therefore, occupies an important position in the machinery of He exercises considerable initiative in criminal jus@ee. the matter of investigation, and his concurrent powers of investigation which the police enable him to exercise an effective check on police investigation.

Meyers: The American Legal System, P. 704 and following pages.

10. Can we with advantage introduce in India some useful aspects of the systems that obtain in England and in United States?

11. We have pointed out earlier, that in most of the Main defeats States, the prosecutors in the Magisterial courts are either too muchi-police officers, who may or may not be legally qualified, or nery in members of the Bar; but they all function as a part of the lotic. Police Department, However experienced the specially appointed police officers might he, want of legal knowledge or legal qualifications must affect adversely prosecutions conducted by them. On account of a lack of adequate knowledge of law, and particularly of case law and the law of evidence, such prosecuting officers are not capable of presenting their cases with ability and effectiveness. As compared with counsel appearing for the accused, who are all legally qualified and trained, their performance is bound to be inadequate. The burden of proving a case is upon the prosecution, and the prosecution ought to be represented by advocates, as able if not abler than the lawyers for the accused. In any case, there can be little dispute about the general principle, now largely accepted, that the presceutors ought to be legally qualified persons and should be recruited from the Har.

 12. It must not also be forgotten that a police officer is Limitations generally one-sided in his approach. It is no reflection of a Police upon him to say so. The Police Department is charged Prosecutor. with the duty of the maintenance of law and order and the responsibility for the prevention and detection of offences It is naturally anxious to secure convictions. Not infrequently, relevant witnesses are kept back by the prosecution. Intimidation of defence witnesses is also not unusual. These are the results of an excess of zeal by the police officers and a want of a realization of their true function. But if the purity of judicial administration is to be maintained, such conduct must be sternly checked. We have also been told of police officers of the lower grade in charge of the prosecutions deliberately weakening their cases out of corrupt motives. It is obvious that by the very fact of their being members of the police force and the nature of the duties they have to discharge in bringing a case to court, it is not possible for them to exhibit that degree of detechment which is necessary in a Prosecutor. It is to be remembered that a belief prevails unlong police officers that their promotion in the department depends upon the number of convictions they are able to obtain as presecuting officers. Finally, the only control or supervision of the work of these prosecuting officers is that exercised by the departmental officials.

13. The Public Prosecutor in India is almost wholly weakness occupied with the conduct of prosecutions in the Sessions of the spe-Courts and in appearing for the State in criminal appears tem to or revisions and like matters. Apart from such advisor latia. or revisions and like matters. Apart from such advisory

functions as he may discharge when requested to do so bythe District Magistrate or the District Superintendent of Police, he has no control over the cases before they come to the court. Even in the exercise of the power to with-. draw from a presecution, he is controlled to a large extent. by the District Magistrate or the District Superintendent of Police. On account of the practice that has prevailed. for a long time, the Public Prosecutor has come to occupy a subordinate position. Even when he is aware of the defects in the prosecution evidence, he is not in a position to influence the future course of the prosecution. rarely consulted at the crucial stages of investigation and has no opportunity of guiding the investigating agency fu., the matter of gathering relevant evidence.

A large number of complaints never come to court for the reason that the police after investigation report them. to be not worth proceeding upon grounds of insufficient evidence or legal difficulties. It is true that in such cases, the complainant can himself directly file a complaint. propriety of dropping the prosecution in such cases is as matter that is at present examined only by the departmental officials. The Public Prosecutor is unable tointerfere in any of these matters, being regarded more orless as a subordinate official under the control of the District Magistrate and the District Superintendent of Police.

Semeration. на Авсист.

14. It has been suggested, and we see great usefulness... of Prosecution in the suggestion, that the prosecuting agency should beseparated from and made independent of its administrative. counter-part, that is the Police Department, and that it should not only be responsible for the conduct of the prosecution in the court but it should also have the liberty of scrutinising the evidence particularly in serious and important cases before the case is actually filed in court. Such a measure would ensure that the evidence in support: of a case is carefully examined by a properly qualified: authority before a case is instituted so as to justify the expenditure of public time and money on it. It would. also ensure that the investigation is conducted on proper lines, that all the evidence needed for the establishment. of the guilt of the accused has been obtained, The actual: conduct of the prosecution by such an independent agency will result in a fairer and more importial approach by theprosecutor to the case.

A Director of Public Prosecu-Liozaé for mach District.

15. We therefore suggest that as a first step towards improvement, the prosecuting agency should be completely: separated from the police department. In every district a separate prosecution department may be constituted and placed in charge of an official, who may be called as "Director of Public Prosecutions". The entire prosecution machinery in the District should be under his control. Inorder to ensure that he is not regarded as a part of thepolice department, he should be an independent official,. directly responsible to the State Government. The departments of the machinery of the criminal justice, namely the investigation department and the prosecuting department should thus be completely separated from each other.

- 16. The principal functions of the Director of Public His Prosecutions should be as follows:— functions.
  - (1) He should be the Head of the entire prosecuting machinery in the district and exercise administrative control over all the Prosecutors in the sessions courts and in the magisterial courts of the district.
  - (2) He should arrange for the prosecution of all cognisoble cases, through Assistant Public Prosecutors and distribute the work among them. He should bimself conduct important prosecutions.
  - (3) He should receive copies of the first information reports in all cognizable cases and also case digries and other reports which are at present sent to magistrate's courts and are soldern looked into by them.
  - (4) Every police charge-sheet before it is faid in the court, should be scrutinised in his department so that, in case any difficulty or lacuna exists in the prosecution case, it should be possible to remedy it by further investigation on the lines indicated by his department.
  - (5) He should advise the Police Department, or other Government Departments at the District level, on the legal aspects of a cose, at any stage of criminal proceedings, including the stage of investigation. His advice will be particularly helpful in difficult and important cases, like cases involving charges of conspiracy, fraud and forgery, cases based on circumstantial evidence and evidence gathered from account books, especially of firms or corporations.
  - (6) In important and difficult cases he may, with the approval of the State Government, engage advocates as Special Public Prosecutors to appear in sessions courts or even in magisterial courts on behalf of the State.
  - (7) Cases in which the police department decides not to initiate prosecutions should similarly be scrutinised by his department.
  - (8) He should examine all cases of acquittals, or cases where there is a conviction only for a minor offence, the accused having been acquitted of more serious offences. This will enable him to find out the causes of acquittal, and devise methods to avoid miscarriage of justice.
  - (9) He should encourage the presecutors to consult him in all cases of difficulty.

Affill-sime Govern-2 ment Sorvant 17. It is necessary that the Director of Public Prescutions should be a full time Government servant and should not be allowed the right of private practice. The nature of his duties is such that if he were allowed the right to practise privately, his personal interest may clash with the due performance of his duties. There have been occasional complaints, about the failure of part-time public prosecutors to perform their duties properly and efficiently. Being in a position to confer favours, they are able to obtain advantages for themselves in private practice. We therefore recommend in the interest of integrity and efficiency, that the practice of appointing part-time prosecutors be abandoned.

Pey.

18. It is difficult to suggest a definite pay scale for the Director, for the conditions vary in each State. But we think that the present public prosecutors can be drawn upon to fill the office of the Director. Taking into account the fact that the daily fees paid to them are not considerable, there should be no difficulty in arranging the payment of a fair salary to them. It should therefore be possible to attract efficient members of the bar to these posts.

Qualified Assistants

In order to enable the Director of Public Prosecutions to efficiently perform his functions, it is necessary that he should be assisted by competent legally qualified assist-We suggest that a separate cadre of prosecutors may be established in every State and one or two Assistant . Public Presecutors with the necessary clerical staff could be appointed to assist the Director of Public Prosecutions in the discharge of his functions. It is possible that some of the functions of the Director of Public Prosecutions like the scrutiny of police reports and the rendering of legal advice to the police department, could be delegated to the Assistant Public Prosecutors at the sub-divisional level, subject to the overall superintendence of the Director. These are however matters of detail, which can be worked out by the State Governments, having regard to the local conditions and the nature and amount of criminal work in each State. We believe that these proposals, if accepted, will go a long way towards strengthening the prosecuting agency and keeping an effective indirect check on the investigating machinery.

Need of Improved Conditions of Service, 20. In addition to the Public Prosecutor or the Additional Public Prosecutor, who looks after the criminal work in Sessions Courts, Additional or Assistant Sessions Courts, prosecuting officers needed for prosecuting work in the magistrates' courts are also appointed from among the members of the Bar. So far as we have been able to ascertain, only in the States of Andhra Pradesh, Bombay and Madras, does there exist a separate cadre of officers.

known as the Assistant Public Prosecutors. The remuneration paid to the Public Proscentors who are often drawn from the higher levels of the Bar, is not altogether adequate.

In some of the heavy courts, the whole time of the Public Prosecutors is taken up by Government work and they have time to take up private work. It is therefore necessary that they should be adequately remunerated. The Assistant Public Prosecutors in Madrus and Bombay, are full time officers. Their pay is below the level of that of a District Munsif. In order to better their prospects, In order to better their prospects, in Antibra Predesh and Madras, they have been declared eligible for recruitment as members of the subordinate judiciary. The remoneration paid to them is even more inadequate than that paid to the Public Prosecutors. Assistant Public Prosecutor or prosecuting officer attending to criminal work in the courts of more than one or two magistrates, it will be appreciated, carries a very heavy burden of work. One cannot expect efficiency from these officers if they are not paid satisfactorily. We therefore recommend that the conditions of service of and the remuneration payable to these officers should be suitably The nature and extent of the revision needed will be a matter for the consideration of each State Government.

 Our attention was drawn to difficulties arising from Co-operathe lack of co-operation between the Police Department tion herween and the Public Prosecutors. The Public Prosecutor has Prosecuting to be placed in full possession of all the relevant facts and Agency documents. In the case of a private complaint, a counsel and the engaged by a party has the opportunity of examining all Police. the documents and even of questioning witnesses, so that, he may be able to place a precise case before the court. A Public Proscentur, we were told is frequently denied such an opportunity. It is true that he is furnished with the case diary which contains the statements of the witnesses and with copies of the documents relevant to the case. But not infrequently, it becomes necessary for the Public Prosecutor to know more fully what a witness is likely to say. The statements of the witnesses are rarely recorded verbatim so that a mere perusal of the case diary does not give him enough information. Occasionally also, he wishes to communicate with the investigating officer in order to accuraing himself more thoroughly with the facts appearing from the diary and the documents, is also important that he should have the assistance of the police officer in cases where defence witnesses are to be eross-examined. The Public Proscentors do not however get sufficient assistance in these matters. The police officers appear, to treat the case as at an end, once they have completed the investigation and submitted the police report. Though the police officers should, in fairness to the accused. not adopt a partisan attitude and try to manoeuvre matters

so as to secure a conviction, it certainly is a part of their duty to see that all information needed for a proper trial is furnished to the prosecutor. In our view, it should be the duty of the Superintendent of Police, as the head of the Police in the District and as the person intimately connected with the control of crime, to see that the prosecutors are given all the assistance and information they need at the trial, Perhaps the Public Prosecutor now regards himself rightly as an officer of court, interested only in the proper presentation of cases. He does not wait on the District Superintendent of Police as was done in the British days. This is as it should be and the Police should appreciate and accept the new shape of things. Perhaps a more efficient co-ordination between the Prosecutors and police officers may be brought about by the acceptance of our recommendation for the appointment of a Director of Prosecutions for each district.

Tegiping.

- 22. It was said that the recruitment of prosecutors from the Bar had not yielded satisfactory results. An Inspector-General of Police expressed the opinion that police prosecutors were better equipped than lawyer prosecu-tors. The chief advantage, it was said, lay in the fact that the Police Prosecutor had an intimate knowledge of the process of investigation; he had also training in other branches of police work, It seems to us that if this lack of acquaintance with methods of police investigation affects the competence of a lawyer-prosecutor a training for about six months may be given to him. He may during his training watch the investigation of cases and learn more precisely how the police department functions, before a police report is laid before a Magistrate. The training should be effective and the recruit should be made acquainted with those aspects of investigation of cases which would enhance his usefulness as a prosecutor.
- Summery of 23. Our recommendations regarding the Prosecuting tectumes agency may be summarised as follows:—
  - All prosecutors should be legally qualified and be recruited from the Bar,
  - (2) The prosecuting agency should be separated from the Police Department.
  - (3) There should be a whole-time officer responsible for prosecutions in each district styled the Director of Public Prosecutions,
  - (4) His duties and functions should be as set out in paragraph 16 ante.
  - (5) Under him there should be a cadre of wholetime prosecutors responsible for conducting prosecutions who may discharge some of the functions of the Director at the Sub-Divisional level.

- (6) The conditions of service of Public Prosecutors and Assistant Public Prosecutors should be improved and their emoluments roised.
- (7) Assistant Public Prosecutors should be trained in the police department for six months.

## 36.- DELAYS IN CRIMINAL TRIALS AND INQUIRIES

Separation as 2 mosts to speedy disposal.

I. An important measure, which is bound to result in the speedier disposal of criminal cases is the separation of the judiciary from the executive. It has been amply demonstrated in some of the States where it is in force, that it results in a quicker disposal of cases. In non-separation States, the magistrates are frequently compelled to put aside criminal judicial work in order to attend to urgent executive duties. Apart from this the expedition which can be achieved only by a close and continuous scrutley of the magistrates' work by a High Court Judge, as in Madras, is possible only if the judiciary is separated and not under the control of the High Court.

Canses of delay. /

2. The other causes which occasion delay in the disposal of criminal cases are not very different from those that obtain in civil cases. The absence of witnesses, absence of counsel, adjet remembers—on adequate grounds or otherwise—crowded lists and failure to examine witnesses though present, absence of a system of day-to-day hearing, and delay in the delivery of judgments are all causes which lead-to-delay in criminal cases also.

Wiche subs.

We have earlier called attention to the reluctance of witnesses to appear in Courts. We were informed in several-States, particularly in Bihar and Madhya Pradesh that witnesses, including the investigating and other police officers often failed to attend the Courts, and, in consequence, cases had frequently to be adjourned. The adjournments caused considerable hardship to the accused, specially if he was in custody. We were told in Bihar that even sessions cases had to be adjourned several times on account of the non-attendance of witnesses. A large number of judicial officers and lawyers who were examined in Bihar, also attributed the failure of witnesses to attend as the principal cause of the delay in the disposal of criminal cases. It was said by the judicial officers that the police did not serve the summonses in time, while the police stated that the summonses were issued too late by the Courts for service. in time. These, in our view, are matters which should be dealt with at the district level by a close co-operation between the Sessions Judge or the District Magistrate and the District Superintendent of Police. The Magistrates must undoubledly share part of the blame for the failure of witnesses to attend which not infrequently arises from careless postings, and a failure to examine witnesses who have attended court and are present,

The prosecution of cases instituted on police report is undertaken by the State. These cases usually involve offences which need to be punished in the interests of society. The expenses of the prosecution are borne by the State. The State provides the Courts and their machinery and the prosecuting agency. The State, however, overlooks the important circumstance that if the convenience of the witness is not attended to and his reasonable expenses are not paid, the prosecution which has been started in the interests of society will suffer for want of the necessary evidence.

 No provision is as a rule to be found in the court Convenience. houses for the convenience of the witnesses when they come of wimesto courts In several States the witnesses have to wait sea not constraint the Item to the geometry of the search between under the trees in the grounds of the court houses or in the verandahs of the court houses. No protection is provided to them from the sun and rain. No conveniences of any kind exist. In some Courts, there is what is known as the witnesses' shed, roofed but exposed on all sides. In many places even this shed is made use of for other court ригровеь,

5. The scales of travelling allowance and daily batta inadequate paid to the witnesses are very inadequate in many States, travelling II frequently happens that the budget provision for these allowance. expenses and patta is itself inadequate so that it soon gets exhausted and witnesses attending the courts after the allotted sum has been expended are not paid even the inadequate travelling allowance and batta that is ganctioned.

fi. We may in this connection refer to a rule which in certain outsins in the portion of the State of Mysore which constituted the old Mysore State. It states: "The attendance of complainants and witnesses at the criminal courts being a public duty, payment should be made only to such as are Mysore. poor, or to those who have been put to considerable expense in attending the court. And, as a general rule, not more than four annes will be allowed to each witness for every day's attendance at the court, or for every day's journey of ten miles to and from court."

The rule appears to have classified the witnesses into four cosses: the batta being Rs. 3, Re. 1, Annes 8 and annas. 4 for each of these classes,

The Inspector-General of Police, Mysore told us that witnesses attending the magistrate's courts were not being paid any travelling allowance or botta at all. He stated that they were being hold "something" in the Sessions Court. The officer had served in four States and told us that Mysore was the only State where witnesses were not paid any batta,

The majority of the witnesses who appear in magistrate's courts would belong to the classes entitled to payment at the rate of annas eight or annas four per day. It

¹ The Criminal Rules of Practice and Circular Orders, Vol. I, Chapter XXX, 1934 Edition, page 163.

is obvious that the amounts provided by the rules cannot be a sufficient recompense to these witnesses for the trouble and expense to which they are put. It is idle to talk in a sanctimonious manner of it being the duty of the citizen to attend as witnesses in criminal courts when the persons concerned would by reason of attendance in courts be taken away from their daily vocations and be put to the expense of travelling and food during the time that they are attending the courts. The suggestion that a subsistence allowance of annes four for a day is adequate even for witnesses of the lowest class is ludicrous.

Kerala.

We are informed that in the State of Kerala a sum of rupees twenty five is allotted annually to each criminal court for meeting the expenses of witnesses appearing in that court. Once the amount of Rupees twenty-five is exhausted, the witnesses cease to be paid anything at all. It is not surprising that in these circumstances in that State witnesses who are served with process very frequently do not attend court.

A further evil was brought to our notice in this connection. In several of the inferior courts the batta intended for the witnesses fails to reach them either wholly or in part. Payments are made by ministerial officers who make some unwarranted deductions from these monies before paying them to the witnesses or pocket them altogether.

Adequate
Provision to
be made
for batta
and conventimess,

It is regrettable that while Governments have been repeatedly expressing their concern over delays in the disposal of criminal cases, they should forget the difficulties created by their own action in this respect. It is imperative that proper conveniences should be provided for the witnesses in court houses and that they should not be herded together and treated, as they are in some places, as cattle. It is necessary also to take immediate action to prescribe adequate scales of allowances for the witnesses and adequate budget provision for such payments. It is necessary also to provide a proper machinery for supervision so that the witnesses may be assured of the receipt in full of the allowances meant for them.

Publishment of rocuson ( witnesses,

8. Even when the witnesses have been served in due time to enable them to appear before the Court but fail to do so, the magistrates seldom take any penal action. Under the Criminal Procedure Code, it is open to the magistrate to file a complaint and to have the witnesses prosecuted for non-attendance under section 174 of the Penal Code. Section 195 of the Criminal Procedure Code lays down that no court shall take cognizance of an offence punishable under section 174 Indian Penal Code except on the complaint in writing of the public servant concerned. Thus, a magistrate who has issued a summons for the appearance of a witness and finds that the witness though served does not appear, cannot proceed to deal with the witness himself but must file a complaint before a competent court having jurisdiction.

 The recent amendment to the Code has however sexten. introduced a new provision in section 485-A. It provides Criminal that where a witness who has been summoned to appear Procedure before a criminal court neglects without just excuse or fine refuses to attend, the Court before which the witness is to appear may take cognizance of the offence and after a summary trial sentence him to a fine not exceeding one hundred rupees. This provision is in the nature of an exception to the general prohibition contained in section 195 of the Criminal Procedure Code. The Legislature, however, appears to have overlooked the fact that under section 487, no judge other than a Judge of a High Court shall try any person for any offence referred to in section 195 when such offence is committed before himself or in contempt of his authority except as provided in section 480 and 485. Section 480 lays down the procedure for certain cases of contempt and authorises a criminal court to take cognizance of the offences specified therein. Section 485 lays down the man-ner of deating with a witness or a person called to produce a document who either refuses to answer questions or to produce the document required. Except in these two A lease. classes of cases, the Judge of a criminal court or a Magistrate cannot my any person for any offence referred to in section 195. In view of the problettion contained in section 487, it appears to be a matter of doubt whether the new section 485A which was intended to confer the power on criminal courts to deal with a witness who has wilfully absented himself, has in fact conferred such a power. Section 487 would appear to require amendment so as to create an exception in respect of proceedings under section 485A.

. 10. If section 485A has not altered the position the Storm wemagistrate would have in such cases to file a complaint tion to be which would be heard by some other magistrate having taken. jurisdiction. It is not surprising that the magistrates have not availed themselves of the power to file complaints against withesses who have remained absent though served with summonses. Such proceedings if adopted by the magistrates would throw an additional burden of work upon them. It is no doubt open to magistrates to issue warrants under which the witnesses can be arrested and produced before the Court in such cases. In our view magistrates should not hesitate to adopt this drastic measure in cases in which the witnesses have wilfully remained absent. Magistrates and Sessions Judges should not besitate to forfeit the bonds of witnesses who after having been bound over to appear on a particular day fail to appear, Stern action on the part of presiding officers by way of the issue of warrants and the forfeiture of bonds cannot fail to have a salutary effect all round and result in a marked Improvement in the attendance of witnesses.

11. In order that steps could be easily taken against Serios 45, recalcitrant witnesses, we recommand that the summary Countries

Procedure Code to be Amended. power contemplated in section 485A should be made available to all criminal courts by a suitable amendment of section 437. The criminal courts should in all proper cases utilise this provision to punish witnesses who flout the processes of courts.

Services of Bummonses,

12. Mogistrates generally send a packet containing summonses by post to the concerned police station within whose jurisdiction the witnesses reside. Generally no record is kept by the Station House officer to show the receipt of the packet of summonses. Often the police officors alloge that these summonses were not received by them or did not reach them in time to effect service. In this connection we may draw attention to certain instructions framed in the State of Madras. These rules provide that a magistrate, whenever he has to issue summonses should hand over the summonses to a police officer of that station which has to serve them if he appears before him that day in any examection or is otherwise present in court. This would be easy because summonses would be ready in respee, of the cases which are posted a fortnight or three weeks ahead. Ordinarily, the police officers or constables of that station would appear in the court of the magistrate either in connection with a pending case or for the delivery of property or the return of watrants and other purposes. The summonaes are hunded over to the police officer on such occasions and his acknowledgment is taken in a register kept for the purpose. A similar register is maintained at the Police Station in which the receipt of the summonses is recorded against the name of the court, framediate action is expected to be taken for the service of summonses and the served copies thereof have to be sent to the Court in advance of the hearing. Superior Police Officers have to inspect these registers, with a view to ensuring that prompt action is taken on receipt of the summonses. They are also sutherized to look into the register of summonses kept by the Court and compare them with the police registers. We have referred to certain other details of this method in our chapter on the Supervision of Subordinate Courts. Similar instructions issued elsewhere would, in our view, help to avoid delays arising from non-service of summonses. These instructions will achieve their purpose only if their working is properly supervised.

Adequacy of Protecuting Staff. 13. With the increase in the incidence of crime and in the number of crimical courts, it is obvious that there should be a corresponding increase in the strength of the prosecuting agency. Though it may not be possible, nor even recovery, to provide a prosecutor for each and every court, a sufficient number has nevertheless to be made available so that the trial of the cases may not be delayed for lack of a prosecuting officer. There have been complaints of such delays having occurred. It is importative that the work of courts should not be delayed or impeded for want of a prosecuting officer.

In cases where a single prosecuting officer is provided for two or more courts the practice generally is that each court fixes a number of days which are devoted exclusively for cases arising on police reports. These days are so arranged that the days fixed by one court for such cases do not clash with the days fixed by the other or other courts. This practice normally enables the prosecuting officer in move from one court to another and to allead the different courts on the days fixed by them. But such arrangements do not always work satisfactorily, as the number of cases requiring the Prosecutor's assistance would fluctuate. This is however a matter which may be arranged so as to avoid delays in courts by the local officers such as the District Magistrate and the District Superintendent of Police. But it must be pointed out that the provision of an adequate strength of Prosecuting Officers is essential to the spendy disposal of the cases.

 Quite often cases are adjourned in magistrates' courts Absence of on the ground that the prosecuting police officer or the the Proseassistant public prosecutor is not present in court. Such cutorabsence may arise from a variety of reasons. In cases where the absence is due to an inadequacy of the prosecuting staff, the only remedy would be to increase its strength suitably.

But even with the existing strength delays can to a large extent be avoided by a methodical posting of the cases. If the same prosecutor has to accend more courts than one, it should be possible to fix definite days in the week in which a prosecutor is to appear in a perticular court and cases in which his presence is required should invariably be posted. to those days.

Similarly in cases which are prosecuted by officers of departments other than the police it should not be difficult for the magistrate to ascertain in advance the convenience of the departmental officers in charge of the prosecution and to post all cases prosecuted by that department to a particular date suitable to him.

It may also be pointed out that many cases, particularly petty ones, need not be adjourned merely by reason of the absence of the prosecutor. In Madras this difficulty has been got over by instructions to the presiding officers that in simple cases when the prosecutor is absent the magistrate. should himself examine the prosecution witnesses on the basis of the memorandum annexed to the charge sheet which indicates the facts to which the witnesses are expected to denose.

16 Cases under special Acts appear to suffer greater Cases delays. In such cases the Court has generally to rely on eader the posice for the apprehension of the accessed and the Acce. service or summonses though in some of these cases such as those under the Forest Act, the Excise Acts or the Municipal Laws, the departmental agency also undertakes a part of

these duties. Police officers naturally do not display the same amount of interest in these cases which are primarily the concern of the other departments as in cases under the Indian Penal Code, even where the successful conduct of the prosecution depends to some extent upon the cooperation of their Department. It must also be noted that cases under these special enactments are far more numerous now-s-days than formerly. When, as happens fairly frequently, the departmental personnel fail to apprehend the accused or effect service on the witnesses, the task may finally be entrusted to the police. The delay arising in the disposal of these cases only emphasises the need for efficiency in the service of processes, particularly in the cases handled by the departments themselves.

15. The Court is practically powerless in the matter because the case has necessarily to be kept pending by reason of the failure to apprehend the accused or to serve the witnesses. In some of these cases, espeially petty ones which burden the files from month to month without any progress being made for the causes mentioned, the presiding magistrate may well draw the attention of the department concerned to the position and suggest a withdrawal of the cases unless be considers them suitable for taking action under section \$12 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

Delaye ia postinga val http://gg.

17. These delays and the way in which they can be remedied have been examined in the chapter on Supervision. We do not wish to repeat what has been stated there. The problem is essentially one of supervision, capable of remedy by alert and watchful superior judicial officers.

Inadequacy

Magin
erisi

strength.

18. A major reason for delays in the disposal of criminal cases is undoubtedly the inadequacy of magisterial strength in the various States.

Stepturation States

It is easy in States where the separation of judiciary from the executive has been effected to ascertain the sufficiency or otherwise of the number of magistrates baving regard to the files of criminal cases. In several such States we came across heavy magisterial files. This congestion can be relieved and the necessary despatch in criminal work achieved only by the appointment of additional magistrates. In some States we were informed that even though the fligh Court and the Government have recognised the need for appointing more magistrates, the appointments were not made because of the absence of buildings in which the magistrates' courts could be housed. Clearly, the remedy lies in a greater realisation by the State Governments of their responsibility in the matter of the administration of criminal justice.

Other States.

In regard to the States in which the judiciary has not yet been separated from the executive, the task of assessing the adequacy of magisterial strength is difficult. The Chief Justice of Bihar has in a note referred to the extreme difficulty in assessing the number of magistrates

necessary as the Executive Magistrates did not devote their whole time to resgisterial work. The officers are burdened with both magisterial and executive daties, and they seem to work on no fixed pattern, their executive duties very often calling them away by fits and starts from their magisterial work. NotWithstanding these difficulties, however, we have been able to reach the conclusion that even in these States, the magisterial scrength needs to be augmented. If separation is not introduced, it would be advisable as far as possible to divide these officers into two groups and assign some officers to purely magisterial work while others may be entrusted solely with executive duties. Apart from yielding other bene-ficial results, such a division will tend to the quicker dis-Division posal of magisterial work as an officer will devote himself of continuously to a particular kind of work. Interruptions officers, and adjournments due to the urgent demands of miscellaneous executive work, which are a common feature in the non-separation States, will be avoided.

19. But unfortunately even where the need for adding Difficulties to the strength of the magistracy is realised, other ad-accommoda ministrative requirements such as buildings seem to stand tion etc. in the way of making adequate provision. We fail to see any justification whatever for this situation. If the proper working of the machinery of criminal justice is essential, which is not denied, and if for its working we need more magistrates, the so-called difficulties have to be faced and overcome. No purpose is served by crying oursolves hoarse with complaints about the inordinate delays of the law and blaming the judiciary and procedural laws for them, when the Government responsible for the administration of justice do not appoint much needed additional judicial personnel even though repeatedly called upon to do so. What is needed is a radically different approach to the problem on the part of many of the State Governments.

We understood that while the arrears in the Presidency The position. Magistrates' Courts in Bombay would justify the appoint in Bombay ment of additional magistrates, there is no place for them and Bhar. to hold their Courts, if appointed. The problem therefore becomes a financial one and the Government have to find finds for building court-houses if suitable buildings cannot be rented or requisitioned. In Bihar, we learnt that in six districts in which separation had been introduced, the need for twenty four additional judicial magistrates was felt as far back as in 1956. In the nonseparation areas, as many as ninety-four more magistrates were said to be required. Even so, the Government while appreciating the need, were unable to depute the required number of reagistrates "in view of the acute shortage of experienced officers required for various deve- west lopment works). In West Bengal, also the lack of accom- Bongai, modation has prevented the appointment of

magistrates. The problem exists in a more or loss scute form in other States also.

We can only conclude with the observation that the matter really rests with the State Governments. It is for them, realising as they do, the need for increasing the strength of magistrates for the due administration of justice to make proper provision in this regard as early as possible.

Plea of guilty in the absence of accused.
Section 130, Motor Vehicles Act.

20. A method by which delays in the disposal of cases can be reduced and the time of the courts saved has relation to the large volume of petty cases which the magistrates are now-a-days called upon to try. Section 130 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1989, provides for the summary disposal of cases arising under that Act in respect of a specified class of offences thereunder. The section makes it possible for the accused person to plead guilty to the charge by registered letter and remit to the court as fine such sum not exceeding Rs. 25 as the Court may specify. We need not refer to the other parts of the section. Though this Act has been in force from 1939, we understood, that it was not till recently that this procedure was largely tried in the case of traffic offences in the city of Madras. It appears that this procedure is not being used adequately or at all elsewhere. The expedition with which cases of this kind can be disposed of under this procedure and leaving the court free to utilise its time for more important cases, hardly needs emphasis. question, that we propose to consider is whether this procedure can be extended to a large number of minor offences.

The position in Rugiand.

- 21. The question has recently been considered in England where a Committee' was appointed "to consider what changes, if any should be made in the law relating to the trial of minor offences in magistrates' courts with a view to saving the time of the courts and of witnesses without prejudicing the rights of the defendant, and in particular to recommend whether changes should be made:—
  - (a) to permit a defendant to enter a plea of gailty without appearing before the Court's, ..., ..., ".

The Committee began by referring to the increase in the proportion of road traffic cases. It pointed out, that it had "become the practice, in an increasing proportion of cases, for the defendant not to appear; and it would, indeed, he unreasonable to require a defendant to come from a distance to appear in a comparatively unimportant case, since the cost of attending, including the loss of a day's work, might well exceed the penalty likely to be imposed by the justices. The habit of writing a letter to

^{4.} Departmental Committee on the Summary Trial of Minor Offences,

Report page 5, page 7.

the court, in lieu of attending, has grown and is now widespread, and this saves the time of the defendants," It was, however felt by the Committee that there was a substantial waste of time of the police for the reason that whether the accused person pleaded guilty or not by post, the police officer had necessarily to allend the Court not knowing what the plea would be. To meet this difficulty, the Home Office appears to have issued certain circulars. In these circulars it was suggested that the defendants should be invited to say whether they intended to appear in Court. It was, however, made clear that no defendant should be sentenced to hoprisonment in his absence. After examining the provisions of the Magistrates' Courts Act, 1952, the Committee came to the conclusion that a change should be made in the law to permit the defendant to enter a plea of guilty without appearing before the Court, where the offence is necessarily triable in the magistrate's court and the proceedings were initiated by a summons.

It recommended that the defendant should be permitted to inform the Court in writing that he desired to enter a plea of guilty without appearing before the Court and that such a plea should be treated as one entered by a defendant who had appeared before the Court. The Committee also recommended as a safeguard that in such cases the defendant should be served together with the summons with a notice inviting him to say whether he wished to enter a plea of guilty without appearing before the Court and also with a concise statement of the facts of the case. The recommendations which the Committee made were further subject to the condition that where the Court had accepted the plea of guilty the accused should not be sentenced to imprisonment and that certain other orders should not be passed against him without giving him a further opportunity to appear.

In making these recommendations, the Committee expressed the view, that it was not proper to confine this procedure to motoring offences. The Committee thought it would be wrong for any new procedure to apply only to motoring offences and they understood their terms of reference to involve the question whether they could devise an acceptable procedure by which a plea of guilty could be entered by a defendant without appearing before the Courts. They felt that it would be best to confine the operation of any such new procedure to those cases, which the experience of the Courts had shown, could safely be dealt with in the absence of the defendant. They, ac-Recon-cordingly, proceeded to determine the class of minor mendations offences to which this new procedure could be made ap of the plicable. They finally recommended that the procedure mental should apply to all offences which are necessarily triable Commitsummarily, provided that the proceedings were initiated tea-

Report page 6, para 6.

by the issue of a summons.' Their recommendations were given statutory effect by the Magistrates' Court Act, 1957 (5 and 6 Eliz, 2 Ch. 29).

Scope for application in the contract of the c

- 22. To what offences such a procedure as is contemplated in section 130 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939, can be extended is a matter which calls for detailed scrutiny. This procedure has already shown its usefulness in the disposal of traffic cases. It should be of equal value in cases of breaches of rules or regulations under various local and certain other Acts most of which are punishable with fines only. Though the extension of this procedure to cases under the Judian Penal Code cannot be recommended without further consideration, its extension to minor offences created by special or local Acts is in our opinion feasible.
- 23. We may point out that in England, the Committee took the view that it was impossible to catalogue all offences to which the new procedure could be applied as any such catalogue would become out of date, almost overnight and that there was no convincing reason for a gradual extension. They therefore formulated a general rule that the procedure should apply only to proceedings initiated by the issue of a summons, in case of offences not punishable with imprisonment; offences punishable with imprisonment but only on a second or subsequent conviction, and offences punishable with imprisonment, on first-conviction; but that it would not be applicable if the case warranted the imposition of a sentence of imprisonment.

Expension recommended.

Suggested procedure. 24. As indicated, there are a large number of petty offences, mostly of a technical kind, in which the imposition of a fine as penalty would meet the ends of justice. In those cases, where there is every likelihood of the accused pleading go by, the need for the examination by the courts of a large number of witnesses, often public servants, may be avoided if the above procedure is employed.

ployed.

There are in fact a large number of offences which can be compounded on payment of a penalty fixed by the prosecuting department: in those cases, the fact that an offence has or has not been committed is not determined by a court of law; nor is the penalty to be imposed decided by a court after taking into consideration all the circumstances of the case. The existence of such a system of composition of offences in our statutes provides ample justification for applying a procedure similar to that provided in section 130 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939.

25. In all cases suitable to be dealt with in this manner we recommend the adoption of the procedure outlined

below.

The prosecution should initially decide whether the procedure should be applied. If the prosecution decides to adopt the procedure, a summons should be issued to the accused, along with a concise statement of the facts of

Report, page 16, para 36.

the case and a notice asking him to state if he wishes to plead guilty without appearing in Court. The notice should also state the fine that would be imposed on his entering a plea of guilty. The Court in fixing the penalty would take into consideration the facts of the case. The accused person would be at liberty to remit the fine to the Court by money order. On the fine being received, the case would stand disposed of. We do not wish to enter into the further details of the procedure. Assistance can in this respect be derived from the Magistrate's Court Act. 1957 (5 and 6 Eliz. 2 Ch. 29).

267 Some police officers thought that the enforced ap- Censia proronce of the accused in the Court had a deterrent effect objections. and should not therefore be dispensed with. It is wrong in principle to take the view that having to appear in the Courf is by itself a punishment with a deterrent effect. We do not agree with this view. These petty offences are not deliberately committed. The fact that a fine had to be paid will certainly tend to make the persons concerned more careful in the future.

27. It has been suggested that mobile courts should be Mobile established to ensure speedy dispusal of criminal cases cours. particularly in the larger cities. We understand that such a court has been evented in the city of Madras and is working satisfactorily. It deals with a large number of potty cases in which the accused generally plead guilty and are ordered to pay small fines. A magistrate is required to go to a particular place at a given time, where the accused from the neighbouring localities are brought before him for trial. He works from 7-30 a.m. to 11 a.m. holding court at three different centres during these hours. In ninety per cent, of the cases, the accused plead guilty. The cases in which they claim to be tried are transferred to the regular magistrates. We were told that the mobile court in Madrus disposed of as many as 13000 cases in 1954, 38000 in 1955 and 46000 in 1956. In Calcutta also there is a mobile court, which functions once in a week. We were told that it was working quite satisfactorily, and that i the system could not be extended because of the insufficient number of magistrates.

28. Having regard to the success of these courts in forestor-Madras and Calcutte, we recommend that such courts be tion created in all large cities and towns, particularly where mounded. there arise for disposal a large number of petty cases. The institution of such courts will reduce the inconvenience to the accused and witnesses, and relieve the regular magistraces of these petty cases, leaving them time to give better attention to the more important cases.

29. Our recommendations regarding delays at the plan trials and inquiries can be summarized as to lowביים ביותנדי בל recens-ಸಾಲಾಭವದೆಯಾ.

 The judiciary should be separated from the executive to ensure the speedy disposal of cases.

- (2) The rates of batta and travelling allowances for witnesses attending criminal courts should be revised.
- (3) Adequate budget provision should be made to enable the courts to pay travelling and other allowances to all witnesses who attend in obsdience to a summons.
- (4) Provision should be made for the convenience of witnesses who attend courts.
- (5) The provision for summary punishment of recalcitrant witnesses in section 48\$A of the Criminal Protedure Code should be made effective by a suitable amendment of section 487.
- (6) Presiding officers should not besitate to take firm action against witnesses who remain absent notwithstanding service of summons by the issue of warrants, forfeiture of bonds, and by instituting prosecutions.
- (7) The measures set out in paragraph 12 and other similar measures should be adopted to ensure that summoness are served in time.
- (8) A strict system of supervision should be devised to prevent delays arising from unmethodical postings.
- (9) Steps should be taken to ensure that cases under special Acts initiated by departments other than the police are given necessary attention by the prosecution.
- (10) The strength of the magistracy should be increased to the required level wherever the existing strength is inadequate.
- (11) Provision should be made for the accommodation of new coarts when they are established.
- (12) A provision similar to that set out in section 130 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939, and explained in detail in paragraphs 20 and 25 enabling an accused to plead guilty without appearing in court should be made applicable to the trial of petty offences under special and local Acts.
- (13) In the large cities, mobile courts on the lines of those now working in Calcutta and Madras, should be established,

## 37—COMMITTAL PROCEEDINGS

1. Offences triable by a Court of Session are indicated Who may in column 8 of Schedule II to the Code of Criminal Pro-commitcedure. All Presidency Magistrates, District Maglatrates, Magistrates of the First Class, Sub-Divisional Magistrates and Magistrates other than Third Class Magistrates empowered to do so, may commit a person for trial. A preliminary inquiry is conducted into these cases by such Magistrates and it usually takes the following shape:

The difference between cases instituted on a police Committed report and otherwise than on a police report which has Proceedbeen noticed in the trial of warrant cases is, generally reside speaking, maintained in these preliminary inquiries. In report cases instituted on police report the Magistrate on receipt cases. of the report fixes a date for the inquiry not later than fourteen days from the date of the receipt of the report unless for reasons to be recorded, he thinks that a later date should be fixed. Thereafter, processes to compel the attendance of witnesses or for the production of documents are, if necessary, issued. On the date so fixed, the Magistrate satisfies himself that the documents specified in section 173 of the Code have been furnished to the Procedure. accused. If that has not been done, he takes steps to see that they are so furnished. The Code provides, that the Magistrate shall then "proceed to take the evidence of such persons, if any, as may be produced by the prosecution as witnesses to the actual commission of the offence alleged". It is, however, open to him to examine other witnesses for the proscention if he thinks it necessary to do so in the interests of justice. The accused is at liberty to cross-examine the witnesses examined by the prosecution. After the witnesses for the presecution have been examined and the decuments considered, the Magistrate may it necessary, examine the accused for the purpose of enabling him to explain any circumstances appearing in the evidence against blm. If on a consideration of the prosecution evidence, the decuments and the examination of the accused and after hearing the prosecution and the accused, the Magistrate finds "no grounds for committing the accused" to the Court of Session for trial, be records his reasons therefor and discharges the accused. **ப்**. எவ the other hand, the Magistrate feels that the accused "should be committed for trial", he frames a charge which is read and explained to the accused. The accused is also furnished with a copy of the charge free of cost. accused is then required to furnish a list of persons whom he wishes to examine on his behalf at the trial. The Magistrate thereafter makes an order committing the accused to take his trial before the Court of Session or the

High Court, as the case may be. The Magistrate then summons the defence witnesses to appear before the trial court. The presecution witnesses appearing before the Magistrate are bound over to appear when called open by the Court, of Session or the High Court.

Private complaint caeci.

Procedure.

In cases instituted otherwise than on a police report, the Magistrate proceeds to bear the complainant and all his witnesses in the presence of the accused who is permixted to cross-examine those witnesses. It is open to the accused to call witnesses on his behalf. The accused is then examined. If the Magistrate finds that "there are not sufficient grounds for committing the accused person for trial", he discharges him. It is open to the Magistrate to discharge the accused, "at any previous stage of the ease" if, "for reasons to be recorded by such Magistrate, he considers the charge to be groundless". If, on the other hand, the Magistrate "is satisfied that there are sufficient grounds for committing the accused for trial", he frames a charge which is explained to the accused. A list of witnesses is then furnished by the accused. It is open to the Magistrate to summon and examine any of these witnesses. The Magistrate then proceeds to make an order of committal unless after hearing the witnesses for the defence (examined by him) he is satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for committing him, in which event he concels the charge and discharges the accused,

Examination of writnesses not obligotory.

 The provision in sub-section (4) of section 207A of the Code of Criminal Procedure that "the Magistrate shall then proceed to take the evidence of such persons, if any, as may be produced by the prosecution as witnesses to the actual commission of the offence alleged, has caused considerable difficulty. The view has been taken by some High Courts' that the section gives a discretion to the prosecution as to which of the witnesses to the actual commission of the offence it will produce before the Magistrate and that the Magistrate is not bound to examine even cycwitnesses to the commission of the crime, it none is pro-duced by the prosecution though in his discretion he may do so. In such cases, the Magistrate, would have to reach a decision whether he should commit the accused or discharge him on the basis of the documents placed before him. Normally, the prosecution would take care to see that the ancuments do indicate prima facie the commission of an offence so that an order of committal is made by the Magistrate. On this interpretation it would be open to the Magistrate to commit a person even though not a single witness to the crime has been produced and he may do so even in cases where the documents produced show that there are such withesses.

(rifficulties of such sur interpretacloss.  Such an interpretation of this provision will, it is felt, result in practically every acqueed person being com-

This re Thirdural Theyar and others. A. 1, R. 1938 Madras page 135.

splitted. All that the Magistrate will be required to do is to see that the accused person has been furnished with the specified documents, and that these documents disclose the commission of an effence. It would also seem that on such an interpretation, some of the following sub-sections will not have much meaning. If the prosecution do not choose to examine any witnesses, it is difficult to see how the Magistrate can discharge the duty laid on him by the section. It is true that the Magistrate sitting in the committal court is not expected to weigh the evidence in order to decide whether such evidence is sufficient to ensure a conviction by the trial court. However, it is his duty under the section to form an opinion whether "such anidence and documents disclose to grounds for committing the accused person for trial". It further becomes his duty. if he is of such opinion, to discharge the accused. It is apparent that if no evidence is called by the prosecution at will be impossible for the Magistrate to form an opinion on the "evidence" among other things which he is required to do by sub-section (5). Similarly, sub-section (7) also speaks of the framing of the charge following "upon such evidence being taken, such documents being considered". In the absence of any evidence being led by the prosecution it would seem difficult, it not inspossible, for the Magistrate to comply with the requirements of sub-scruon (7) also. it appears to us that it could not have been the intention of the amended section that such a result should be reacted and that the interpretation put on the sub-section (4) of Section 207A is unsound.

The interpretation seems to rest on reading the words "as may be produced" as incaning witcesses who are paysically brought before the Magistrate by the prosecution. An examination of the preceding provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure will show that the words cannot bear that meaning. Under section 170(2) of the Code, when a case is sent to a Magistrate upon an investigation under Chapter XIV, it is the duty of the police officer to require the complainant and so many of the persons who appear to such efficer to be acquainted with the circumstances of the case as he may think necessary, to execute a bond to appear before the Magistrate as thereby directed and prosecute or give evidence in the matter Section 171 inter also requires that no witness on his way to a court shall be required to accompany a notice officer. It is obvious, therefore, that it is not possible for a police officer to insist upon a witness accompanying him to the Court. Normally, it will not be possible for him to infimate a definite date for the appearance of the witnesses in the Magistrate's Court, for giving evidence because as we have noticed, the fixing of the date for the inquity is a matter for the Magistrate. Sub-section (2) of section 207A which enables a police officer to apply to the Magistrate inger alia for the issue of a process to compet the attendance of any witness clearly indicates that in an inquiry under section 207A it is not the police officer who produces witnesses but that the witnesses are to be brought before the Magistrate by the issue of a process. Having regard to these provisions, it would appear that the expression in sub-section (4) of section 207A that "such persons, if any, as may be produced by the prosecution", has reference to the witnesses to the actual commission of the offence who have been summoned under sub-section (3) of section 207A.

Views of the Calcutte High Court. 5A. The Calcutta High Court! has also held that subsection (4) does not impose a duty on the prosecution to produce before the committing Magistrate all or any of their witnesses to the actual commission of the offence alleged. It has, however, held that under the later part of the same sub-section the Magistrate has, even in cases where the prosecution has not called any witnesses, to form an opinion whether it is necessary in the interests of justice to take the evidence of any prosecution witnesses, whether they be witnesses to the actual commission of the offence or not. The Court observed that if the Magistrate did not take this course he will be acting illegally as he will be failing to exercise the power which he is required by law to exercise.

Another view. As Reminetion of witnesses abligatory.

- 6. Yet another views taken by some of the High Courts is that the word "may" in sub-section (4) must, having regard to the context of the sub-section, be understood as meaning "shall" and that the prosecution is bound to produce before the Magistrate all witnesses to the actual commission of the offence alleged.
- 7. We are clearly of the opinion that the language of section 207A vests certain judicial functions in the Magistrate in the exercise of which he has to form a judgment and exercise a discretion, which functions he will be unable to exercise unless the prosecution has led before him evidence of the witnesses to the actual commission of the offence, where such witnesses are stated to exist. These difficulties of interpretation seem to have led the Madras-High Court to issue administrative instructions to all Committing Magistrates to examine all important prosecution witnesses. We accordingly recommend that the language of sub-section (4) should be altered so as to make it clear that it is obligatory on the prosecution to examine before the inquiring Magistrate all witnesses or at any rate all important witnesses to the actual commission of the offence.

Recording of evidence to be ubligatory. Clarification suggested.

Advantages of this

COMPACT.

8. Apart from the considerations mentioned above, the recording of such evidence by the inquiring Magistrate would seem to be necessary from another point of view. It

⁴Manickchand Chordhory Vs. The State 62 C. W. N. 94.

[&]quot;State Vs. Govindan Thampe A. J. R. 1957 Travencore Cochin in sa Kunjan Raghavan & other A. J. R. 1957 Karala, p. 32 M. Pavalappa Vs. The State of Mysore. A. J. R. 1957, Mysore 64. State Vs. Ramratan Bhudhan A. I. R. 1957, Madhya Bharar, page 7.

is essential that the evidence of important witnesses should be recorded at the earliest point of time after the commission of the offence. So long as we retain the committal stage, the inquiry before the Magistrate would afford the earliest opportunity for recording such evidence. The importance of this early record arises from the practice of witnesses going back upon the statements made by them. to the police earlier. A statement recorded by the police under section 161 is not part of the evidence; nor can a statement recorded under section 164 be used as evidence except in certain circumstances. The evidence recorded hefore the committing Magistrate can, however, be used as evidence at the trial under section 288 of the Code of Criminal Procedure under the conditions therein mentioned. If a witness to the actual commission of the offence alleged is not examined in the committal court by the prosecution, it may be that when he is first examined in the sessions court, he may resile from his statement made to the police. The prosecution would thus be denied the use of valuable evidence and the prosecution may fail, The accused will also have the advantage of knowing the case against him. It is thus necessary in the interests of justice that a provision should be made, so long as we retain committal proceedings, making it obligatory on the prosecution to lead before the inquiring Magistrate the evidence of all or at any rate the important witnesses to the commission of the alleged offence.

9. The purpose of the recent enactment of section 207A Abolition for the purpose of providing a shortened form of com- of the mittal proceedings in Police report cases was, as is well-committed ! known, intended to lead to a speedier disposal of original cases and avoid as lar as possible a duplication in the taking of evidence by the committing Magistrate and the sessions court. Since this amendment of the Code, a view has been put forward suggesting that the time has now arrived for doing away with committal proceedings altogether in these cases. The main argument in support of this view is that the accused has, by reason of the documents furnished to hiro under the provisions of section 207A, full notice of what is alleged against him, that no useful purpose is served by the attenuated committal proceedings now in vogue and that the case should go straight to the sessions court which will finally deal will, the matter,

10. The views expressed to us in reply to our plyided Ourstiannaire and the oral evidence before us were sharply views. divided on this aucstion. One view supported the abolition of the committal stage altogether so that the sessions court may be seized straightaway of these police report cases. On the other hand a large section of opinion emphatically supported a reversion to the old full committal proceeding. There was little support for the continuation of the present shortened committal procedure.

There were complaints by certain pulice officials as to the difficulty of complying with the present requirement of furnishing copies or extracts of documents to the accused persons particularly in complicated cases, where numerous documents and accounts were being relied on. On the other hand, a number of lowyers who had appeared for the accused in the new committal proceedings complained of the hardly legible copies of the documents which were being furnished by the police.

11. The main argument of those who advocated a return

-Cose for the old procedure.

Baselit to the accused.

to the old procedure was that the documents and the evidence of such witnesses as were examined by the police did not adequately inform the accused of the true nature of the case and the evidence against him. The pagused thereby laboured under a disadvantage under the new procedure. Under the old procedure all material witnesses were examined before the Magistrate, so that the accused had definite and clear evidence on nath stating the facts against him, which gave him a clear picture of the case he had to meet. Under the new procedure, all that he was entitled to have were vague statements made to the police which was not evidence and which the witnesses could with impunity after or improve upon when they gave evidence. It was further said that all evidence not being required to be led before the magistrate and the accused and being at liberty to lead evidence before him under the new procedure, the magistrate was hardly in a position to excreise the judicial functions which are vested in him under the new procedure. In the result he arted merely as a recorder of such evidence, as may be led before him and passed the matter on to the Sessions Court. The result, it is said, is that not only are the magstrate deprived of the opportunity of having before them material on which they could exercise their discretion to discharge the accused but they are led to send to the Sessions Court, cases which they themselves chuld well have In the words of the Chief Justice of a major High Court, "now-a-days, in almost every criminal case where the offence involved may be fried either by a magistrate of the First Class or a Court of Session, the Magistrates invariably commit the case to Sessions and free themselves of further responsibility in regard to those cases". Not unnaturally, cases sent up in this manner to the court of session without examination result in a large numher of acquittals. This forager in the public mind a belief that persons really guilty are being acquirred; and thereby the administration of justice is brought into disregute.

Saving of the Sessions Court,

We have already referred in part to the reasons advanced in support of the view that committal proceedings should be abolished. In a sense, the very arguments used by those who express themselves in favour of a reversion to the old system are relied on by those who advocate the abolition of the committal procedure altogether. They

draw attention to the very unsatisfactory nature of the new committal procedure, argue that they serve no useful purpose and advocate the abolition of committal altogether.

12. Having given exreful consideration to the subject we Abolition are of the view that the abolition of the committed proceed- of committed ings altogether will not be justified. We have reached this proceeding conclusion for the following reasons:

insrilied.

- (1) There are hound to be a certain number of cases brought up before the Magistrate on a police report in which the Magistrate should, if he exercises his discretion properly, discharge the accused and it would not be right to burden the highly paid judicial officers who preside over the courts of sessions with duties which should be discharged by Magistrates.
- (2) It would be unjust to an occused person against whom there is no primus facie case to deny him the opportunity of satisfying the magistrate that there should be no committal of the case to the court of session by leading, if necessary, evidence on his behalf. To expose a person to the anxiety and expense of a trial in a sessions court on the hearing of a charge, which in all likelihood would fail, is a course repugnant to notions of justice and fairplay.
- (3) As pointed out already, the evidence of witnesses recorded in the committal proceedings is of great value as the car lest record on oath of their statements and delays in recording this evidence will encourage the lendency which already exists in witnesses to swerve from the **truth**.
- (4) The large percentage of acquittals which regults from the magistrates acting merely as conduit pipes to send the cases on to the sessions court results in shaking public confidence in the administration of criminal law and the functioning of criminal courts.
- 13. The real remotly would, therefore, seem to lie not The case in doing away with committal proceedings altogether but in making them effective so that they may serve their true nurpose. There is no reason why properly regulated committal proceedings should couse delays in criminal trials. In fact the Inspector General of Police in Madras stated in his cyndence before as that in his experience committal proreeding under the old system did not occasion any consi-Berable delays.

 In England, in prosecutions for serious offences. A The Law proliminary examination is held by an "examining" justice in England, or justices, also called a magistrate, before the accused is sent up for trial. This procedure of preliminary examination was originally embedied in section 12 of the Criminal Justice Act, 1925 and now finds a place in a recent consolidating enactment called the Magistrates Courts Act, 1952

and the rules framed under it. The procedure provided by it substantially corresponds to the old committal procedure, now applicable to cases instituted otherwise than on a police report. However, there are some counts of difference. The proceedings need not be held in public. The Magistrate is not strictly bound by the rules of evidence though in practice, the procedution evidence is led as at a trial. The accused is entitled to call evidence and examine himself as a witness. The examining justice or magistrate decides whether the accused person should be committed for trial.

At the preliminary inquiry the prosecution has to satisfy the Presiding Officer that there is sufficient evidence against the accused to justify his being put on trial. The evidence is recorded in the oresence of the accused, each witness being examined by counsel for the prosecution and cross-examined by the accused or his counsel. The evidence of all the prosecution witnesses is reduced to writing and read over to the witnesses in the presence of the accused and signed by them. The examining Justice or Magistrate need not be satisfied that the accused person is guilty before be makes an order for committel. His duty is to find "on consideration of the evidence and on any statement of the accused", whether "there is sufficient evidence to put the accused upon trial by a jury for any indictable offence".

Notwithstanding the preliminary examination prescribed for indictable offences, the trials for these offences are, we are informed, not delayed.

In the United States,

The American system also provides safeguards. against persons being put on trial for serious offences without a preliminary inquiry. There appears to be first a preliminary examination before a Magistrate "who is under the duty to bind him" (the accused) "over as soon as he is inclined on the whole to believe that there is probable cause to suppose that he may be guilty". This is followed by an inquiry by the Grand Jary-a body of citizenswho have to deliberate "as to whether 'probable cause' has been shown-whether enough of showing has been made to warrant trying the accused on the charges contained in the indictment." Only if such cause has been shown, is the accused to be indicted. The procedure adopted in the United States thus engines that the accused gets an adequate opportunity of knowing the case of the prosecution before he is put on trial. It is also clear that as a result of the two inquiries above-mentioned, only persons against whom there is prima facie evidence of the commission of an offence are compelled to stand trist.

In other countries.

16. Our investigations show that a similar practice of having a preliminary investigation before subjecting a

Puthkammer: Administration of Criminal Law, page 93.

^{*}Hid. page 227.

person to trial for serious offences is also provided in the judicial systems orevailing in the Western European Countries.

- 17. We may also here advert to the difference in the Duty of phraseology of section 207A(6) and section 209(1) which make trate. appears to be significant. Under the former, the Magistrate is to discharge the accused. "If he is of opinion that, such Charge is evidence and documents disclose no grounds for commit. Caller. ting the accused person for trial". Under the latter, the Magistrate is to discharge the accused "if he finds that there are not sufficient grounds for committing the accused person for trial". It would appear that the difference in the language used is deliberate and intended to make the former provision stronger in layour of a committal. Under it, even if he is of opinion that the grounds, are not sufficient for committing the accused, the Magistrate would have no alternative but to commit him, unless he is prepared to go further and form an opinion that there are no grounds for commitment. Obviously, the discretion which the Magistrate can exercise under the latter provision is wider. He has to bring his mind to bear on the sufficiency or otherwise of the grounds. We are not aware of the expression of any judicial opinion on the true construction of the language used in the former provision. It may be that the use of this phrascology has been in part responsible for the Magistrate making committals which have been described as "practically automatic",
- 18. Having regard to what has been said above, it may Need to be suggested that while there is a great deal to be said in grin be suggested that while there is a great near to be said in present favour of reverting to the old committed procedure, there is experience very little to be said in layour of its abolition and pechaps of new still less in favour of maintaining it in its amended form procedure. But we feel that if would not be right to reach definite conclusions on this question in view of the fact that the amended committal procedure has been in operation only for a little over two years. Greater experience of its working has, we think, to be gained before a decision is reached to make radical changes in legislation so recently enacted. We are, however, clearly of the opinion that the defects and difficulties in its working, which we have noticed above, should be remedied by suitable antendenents in section 207A of the Code.

## 197 We, therefore, recommend that-

- (a) section 207A(4) may be amended so as to Recomprovide that all witnesses, to the commission of the mendations. crime and all other important witnesses should be examined in the committal proceedings; and
- (b) section 207A(6) may be amended so as to enable the Magistrate to exercise a judicial discretion whether a case should or should not be committed by forming an opinion whether there are or are not sufficient, grounds for committing the accused person for trial.

## 98.—CRIMINAL APPEALS

Right of appeal in criminal asses, 4. Under the Code of Crimmal Procedure there is, generally speaking, a right of appeal, with some exceptions which we shall indicate later, from a conviction by any court to a higher court designated in the Code.

Prone sengences of naggistelies.

Formerly, appeals from convictions by a magistrate: of the third class who is competent to impose a sentence of imprisonment and exceeding one month and/or the not exceeding one hundred rupees, and a magistrate of the second class who is competent to impose a sentence. mprisocopent not exceeding six months and/or fine not exceeding live bondred rupees lay to the district magistrate or to a first class magistrate invested, with appellatepowers. The Code, as amended in 1955, however, provides that appeals by persons convicted at a trial held by magis-trates of these two classes (second and third class) shall lie to the court of session and may be heard by an additionall session judge or an assistant sessions judge. Appeals from: convictions by a first class magistrate who is competent to impose a sentence of imprisonment not exceeding two years. and/or fine not exceeding two thousand supecs also lie to the court of session, but such appeals are board only by the sessions judge or an additional sessions judge to whom they are made over either by the sessions image or by the State Government by general or special order. Appeals, however, against orders made under section 122 of the Codeby these magistrates refusing or rejecting a surety still. Deunder section 408A to the district magistrate. We recommend that these appeals should lie to the courf of session.

Appears from disgrict and profidency Togistrotes. A district magistrate being only a magistrate of the first class under the Cade, appeals from his judgments and orders also follow a similar course. But, in cases of conviction for an offence under section 124A, Indian Per-Code, appeals lie to the High Caurt, Further, all appeals from the judgments and orders of presidency magistrates whose powers of punishment are equal to those of first class magistrates lie to the High Court.

Appuals from sextian 30 magisa 10168.

Appeals from convictions by magistrates who are specially empowered under section 30 of the Code to impose sentences of imprisonment not exceeding seven years also lie to the High Court, if the sentence imposed by them exceeds four years; otherwise, appeals lie to the court of session.

Assistant sessions judges—are competent to pass sentence of imprisonment for a term unit exceeding ten from ten years. Appeals from any sentence passed by such judges passed by for a term exceeding four years lie to the High Court; in the court all other cases, appocals lie to the court of session. Appeals of session. against convictions by a sessions judge or an additional sessions judge who is competent to pass any sentence authorised by law lie to the High Court.

- A person convicted on a trial held by the High Court Appeals in the exercise of its ordinary criminal jurisdiction can in from orders. certain c.reumstances, appeal under section 41LA of the of the Code: such an appeal has to be heard by a Division Bench Counof the High Court,
- A right of appeal to the Supreme Court from lany Appeals to judgment, final order or sentence of a High Court in a the criminal proceeding is also permitted by article 134 of the Count. Constitution, if the High Court certifies that the case is a fit one for appeal and, in certain other circumstances, when a sentence of death has been passed. In addition, the Constitution has provided for appeals by special leave under article 136
- 6. The Code confers a right of appeal not only against Appeals orders of conviction but also against certain other orders, dening Hae orders requiring security for keeping the peace or for eners, good behaviour, orders refusing to accept or rejecting surctics and orders under sections 250, 486, 476-B, 515, 524 etc. of the Code.

7. Though, ordinarily, a conviction by a criminal court is No right subject to an appeal to a higher court as provided in the of appeals Code, there are homography contain pages is provided in the of appeals Code, there are, however, certain cases in which the Code cases. does not give a right of appeal. They are:

- Generally speaking, where an accused person has pleaded guilty in the trial court, such trial court being cuber a magistrate of the first class or a presidency mag strate, a court of session or the High Court, there is no right of appeal except as to the extent or legality of the sentence (Section 412);
- (2) Where a magistrate of the first class, a district magistrate or a court of session imposes a sentence of line not exceeding fifty rupers, there is no right of appeal, (Section 413);
- (3) There is no right of appeal where a person has been convicted by a court of session and sentenced to imprisonment for a period not exceeding one month. (Section 413):
- (4) Where a presidency magistrate, the court of session for Greater Bombay or the High Court passes a sentence of imprisonment not exceeding six months or of fine not exceeding two hundred rupees, an appeal is barred by the Code. (Sections 411 & 413);

(5) There is no right of appeal when a person tried summarily has been sentenced to a fine not exceeding two hundred rupees. (Section 411).

Exception to the rule of nonappealability.

8. A special provision in the Code, however, enables an accused person to file an appeal even in the above-mentioned cases where the punishment therein mentioned is combined with any other punishment (section 415) or where he has been convicted in one trial along with other persons and an appealable judgment has been passed in respect of the other persons. (Section 415A).

Restriction on right of appeal.

9. It will be observed that an appeal lies in all cases against a conviction by a magistrate of the second or the third class. In the generality of cases of convictions by magistrates of the first class and sessions judges, a single appeal is provided, except in petty cases and from certain summary convictions. Unlike in civil cases, there is no right of second appeal. The High Court has, however, a revisional jurisdiction which can be availed of by a convicted person who has no right of appeal or who has failed to succeed in the appellate court. We shall deal with the revisional jurisdiction and its scope elsewhere.

Removal not favoured,

10. It was represented to us that as a conviction, for a criminal offence is a stigma which may result in farreaching consequences to the convicted person in his social and public life a right of appeal should be given in all cases. We are unable to agree with this view. We are of opinion that in not granting a right of appeal in the cases detailed above, the Code has proceeded on sound practical considerations of expediency. The right of appeal which amounts to a right to a re-hearing is not a natural or inherent right of any person. It is essentially the creation of statute. These provisions have been in existence for many decades and do not appear to have worked injustice. They make for finality in a number of petty cases. Nor has our lattention been drawn in the course of our inquiries to any real hardship having resulted from them demand for their removal appears to us to be based more on theoretical grounds than on any actual instances of their unsatisfactory operation. In cases of clear injustice, it is always open to the person aggrieved to invoke the revisional jurisdiction of the High Court.

Adomalies in the ngh; of speed,

11. We may here refer to certain anomalies in regard to rights of appeal which, in our view, need to be removed. As set out above, a sentence upto six months' imprisonment or a fine upto two hundred rupees by a presidency magistrate is not appealable. The main reason for not permitting appeals in such cases would appear to be that the presidency magistrates are or at any rate were at one time, highly paid officers, generally solected from men of experience at the Bar or highly experienced judicial officers. Appeals from sentences passed by them lie to the

High Court. The non-appealable sentences which they Powers of may pass are, therefore, naturally higher than those of presidency ordinary first class magistrates. They have been exercising these powers ever since the Code came into existence. Experience has shown that the institution of presidency magistrates has been very useful. Notwithstanding the recent appointments of junior judicial officers from the service to their cadre, the general view seems to favour the retention of their existing special powers.

But it is surprising that in contrast with the position of And sessions sidemore manuscrates the limit of two appropriates budges. presidency magistrates, the limit of non-appealable sentences in the cuse of sessions judges and additional sessions judges (other than those in Greater Bombay) should be much lower. These officers are empowered to impose the extreme penalty provided by law. They are recruited from persons with a long judicial experience or from among experienced members of the Bar. Cases which are normally heard by a court of session are of a very serious and complicated nature and more difficult than those tried by presidency magistrates. It may also be pointed out that presidency magistrates other than the chief presidency magistrate are lower in status than sessions judge. It is far from logical that a right of appeal should exist from a conviction by a judge enjoying a superior status while no such right is available in respect of a like sentence passed by a judge of an inferior status. The limits of the non-appealable sentence that can be passed by a judge of the city sessions court in Calcutta or Madras are the same as those of sessions judges in the možussil and thus lower than those in the case of presidency magistrates in the same towns. If the denial of a right of appeal in a certain categories of cases is justified, the rule should work uniformly. We are of the view that the limits of non-appealable sentences passed by sessions judges and additional sessions judges should be raised and made identical with those (in the case) of presidency magistrates.

We have pointed out earlier that under the Code, Appeals as amended in 1955, appeals by persons convicted on trial from held by second and third class magistrates lie to the court third class of session. These appeals can, however, he heard by an magistrares, assistant sessions judge. Prior to the amendment, such appeals were heard by either district magistrate or first sometiment. class magistrate specially empowered in that behalf. The of the law, reason for this amendment was to prevent appeals from sentences of magistrates of even the lower categories being dealt with by executive officers like a district megistrate or a sub-divisional magistrate.

13. This amendment, though enamed to effortuate a Resulting very desirable purpose, has resulted in great inconven-incorrelence and congestion of work. An assistant sessions judge aimee and is generally an officer of the status of a subordinate judge or civil Judge, senior division. On the civil side he has unlimited jurisdiction and is also generally invested with 122 M. of Law-_51.

powers to hear appeals from the decisions of munsifs or junior civil judges. As assistant sessions judge, he is also competent to try criminal cases punishable with imprisonment for a term not expeeding ten years. It has been recognized that assistant sessions judges have, as a class been handling very heavy and important cases both on the civil and criminal side. It is on this class of officers that the amended Code has laid the additional duty of hearing appeals from sentences passed by the second and third class magistrates,

Trausfor of मानक क the court adi session andesiroble.

We are of the view that these subordinate judges who would also be entrusted with the powers of assistant sessions judges should not be entrusted with criminal appellate work in the shape of appeals from second and third class magistrates as we would prefer them devote their childre time to the trial of suits. If, on other hand, these appeals are to be heard by sessions judges or additional sessions judges, it would mean burdening these highly pald and overworked officers with a good deal of petty work.

State.

In States where separation of the Judiciary from amountments, the executive has been effected, the amendment of the Code has not much meaning. The district magistrates have been under the direct control of the High Court except in the State of Bombay. In the State of Madras, the Code as amended, was, therefore, further amended by Act XXXI of 1956 which restored section 407 of the Code to its form prior to the Central amendment. The same measure was taken in the State of Kerala by Act V of 1957.

> In States where separation has been introduced, except Bombay and parts of Anthra Pradesh where there are no Judicial district magistrates, the entire criminal judiciary, including the district magistrates (judicial), is under the control of the High Court and the reason which led to the Central amendment of the Code has no application.

Removation of old posttica in Separation Source.

We have, in another place, recommended the immediate introduction of the separation of the judiciary from the executive in States where it does not yet prevail by issue of executive orders as in Madras and that Central legislation providing for a uniform system of separation in all States be enacted. In the circumstances, the Central amendment will have to be repealed and the provision in section 407, as it stood before the amendment, restored.

Cadre of estimatur. scesions. judges to be strengthenod.

We recommend, however, that vill a real system of separation is introduced in States where it does not exist, care should be taken to see that the strength of the judiciary at the level of the assistant sessions judge which has hear these appeals is suitably strengthened in order that the other work allotted to these officers does not fall into arrears. This has, we understand, been done in the Punjab.

 It is important that appeals by persons who have Speedy disbeen found guilty of offences should be disposed of quickly, posal of been found guilty of offences should be disposed of quickly, criminal if one of the objects of punishment is to deter people from appeals committing offences or account of a fear of the conse-escalat quences, delay in the execution of the sentence against a person found guilty on appeal would only dull the edge of the deterrent effect. On the other hand, if a person is found not guilty on appeal, it is equally important that  $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{isposel}}$ he should have been spared the agony of a long suspense within six Luoked at from any angle, sherefore, it is very desirable ments in that criminal appeals should be disposed of far more the High expeditiously than most other judicial work. As paper books have to be prepared in the High Courts before the appeal is heard, we suggest that criminal appeals in the High Courts should be disposed of within a period of six months from the date of their institution. Greater expedition can be achieved if suitable administrative arrangements are made, in order that printing is completed within a month or paper books are cyclostyled instead of being printed.

An examination of the figures reveals, however, a very disheartening situation in regard to the pace and the volume of the disposal of criminal appeals in most of the High Courts. The tables set out below give the figures showing the institution, disposal and pendency of criminal appeals in the High Courts of various States in the year 1955 and also the years of institution of the criminal appeals pending in the various High Courts on the 1st of January 1357.

TABLE A
Comparative statement thoughts the institution, disposal and pendency of Orindael Appeals in the High Courts of carious
States in the year 1956

					a mires	70.00	-2.00	
Nume of the	Nume of the State		Pending 4: the heginalog of the year	Institution I	Disposal	Pendi. at th close of year	ie Remarks	
		_			3	- · - · -	. 5	<u> </u>
Andhra Prodesh		•		544	902	754	192	(a) On 1-11-56.
Ават ,			٠	78	194	ŷ1	131	(b) The figure represents the lastitution in original Nagpur Bonck for the whole year and institution in Induce and Greeker from 1-11-36 to 32-12-36.
Dihar	•		٠	554	654	503	705	
Bomb≋y ,		-		299	1544	1529	4[4	(a) The figure represents the disposal in tariginal Nagpur Bench for the whole year and Indoor and Gwalior
Remin (A) .				57	219	111,	45	from 1-11-56 to 35-12-56.
Mudbya Predodi			•	322(4)	$42/(\delta)$	380(a)	382	<ul> <li>(X) Includes institutions by transfer as a result of the Roomsanisation of States,</li> </ul>
Madres .	,			225	898	744	379	(A) Includes institutions and disposals by transfer to a
Муже				195	92	53	- 35	pendent the Reorganization of States.
Opinga .	,	٠	٠	252	<b>3</b> 05	14;e	289	(C) Traindes the figures relating to the Original Side of the High Coup.
Pumjet (X)	,			239	928	729	438	
Rajisthar.		-		334	388	432	290	
Ution Predesh				4471	2453	1127	3727	
West Bongal (C)	<u>.                                    </u>		<u>.</u>	2,38	733	539	432	

Table B
Statement shooting the year of institution of Griminal Appeals pending in various High Courts on 1-1-1957.

Name of th	ರ ಕಟ	•	lefore 1949	1949	1950	1951	1953	1953	1954	1955	1956	Toral	Remarks
			_ =	?	1.	5	5	7	ş	9		Ţij.	12
Andhia Pr	uđesin	(A)	۲.,							33	. 231	àis	(A) Does por include the peo-
Assum .						-1				4.1	137	:31	dency of Criminal Appeals received from the Telapganu region,
Bibar .									24	176	51.0	725	-
Bombay (B	3) .								т	32	571	594	(B) Includes the pendency us the Nagpur & Raikot
Kersia	-									1	64	55	Denches, (C) Holards to the year 1947.
Madhya P	radesh						15	5	19	28	33u	382	♦The figures shown against this
Medias								٠.		3	]16	319	State licited Criminal Appeals from the Original Side of the High Court.
Мувите								4		r	130	138	
Orless									15	99	175	2.89	
Ponjah ,						2	2		7	61	356	498	
Rajeathan				3	r	,	12	4	9	₹2	200	290	
Ultita Pred	æh				.,		3	49	295	1405	2981	3727	
West Beng	1-1		30) i							95	:35	43=	

Delays in the High Courts.

20. It will be noticed that in several of the High Courts the disposal of criminal appeals has not kept pace with the institution. In fact, an analysis of the statistics for the years 1953 to 1956 reveals that the pendency of criminal appeals it the High Courts of Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Bombay, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Punjab and West Bengal has been progressively rising. As many as 3725 criminal appeals were pending in the High Court of Uttar Pradesh on the 1st of January 1957 and quite a high percentage of those appeals had been pending for more than one year. In Rajasthan also, some of the pending criminal appeals are very old. We have earlier referred to the target of six months for the disposal of criminal appeals and revisions in the High Courts and stronuous efforts will be needed if this target is to be arbieved.

Powers of a subgroup of the High Court.

 The need to enlarge the powers of a single judge so. as to enable him to dispose of certain categories of matters in the High Court has been noticed elsewhere. In this respect, there is a large amount of variation in the practice of the High Courts. A single judge in Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Madras, Punjab and Uttar Pradesh nears all criminal appeals except those in which sentences of death or unprisonment for life have been passed; in Bibor and Rajasthon, a single judge hears criminal appeals in cases in which sentences of imprisonment upto a period of ten years have been passed; in Assam and Ortssa, the single judge's power to hear criminal appeals is confined to cases of sentences upto one year's imprisonment; in West Bengal, a single judge cannot hear criminal appeals in any case in which there is a sentence of imprisonment whether substantive or in dafault. The extreme position is to be found in Bombay and Mysore where a judge sitting singly is not empowered to deal with any criminal appeal whatever.

Enlargement mesessary,

22. We see no reason why the powers of a single judge in all the High Courts should not be enlarged so as to enable him to deal with all criminal appeals except those in which sentences of death or imprisonment for life have been passed. The evidence before us shows that such a practice which has prevailed in Madras for a long number of years and also in other States has worked satisfactorily; it is difficult to see why it should not work equally well in other States. The view was expressed by a senior Chief Justice that to enlarge the powers of a single judge in eriminal cases would be to place the life, the liberty and the property of individuals in the hands of an inadequately equipped tribunal. We feel that such a view does not do justice to the capacity of the judges and arises mainly from the long practice in some of the States that oil criminal matters should be disposed of by a Bench of judges. The adoption of the practice we recommend will greatly help in removing delays and in economising judgepower so that the targets we have indicated elsewhere for

disposal of the work in the High Courts will be reached. It should, of course, be open to particular. High Courts to provide that criminal appeals of a certain category should be heard by a Bench of two judges if the state of work and the judge-power in that High Court enable it to so provide consistently with maintaining expedition in all other classes of work in the manner indicated by us.

23. In this connection we would like to draw attention to a practice obtaining in the Bombay High Court with regard to the disposal of criminal appeals. There is a convention in that High Court by which all ready criminal matters are disposed of before the court closes for the vacation at the end of a term. If necessary, two or even three Benches are constituted so that all pending criminal matters which are ready are disposed of.

We feel that if such a practice is established in all the High Courts and an effort is made to clear off all pending oriminal matters by deploying as many judges as are necessary, there would be no likelihood of the High Court falling into arrears in this important branch of its work.

24. The position in regard to criminal appeals in the Delays in courts of session in the various States during the year 1958 sessions appears from the undermentioned Table.

TABLE C Statement showing the volume of Griminal Appeals in the course of session in various states during the year 1956

						Pending at the begin		Total avojuble	N	 Hala	ora 	
Neuma (	of the	SCAL=				ing of year	Destination	for disposal	Disposed of	Below few year	Above true year	Tetal Pendency
					<u>-</u>		3	_4		6	7	- R
Andbra Prede	sl:					350	7076	7426	7451	495		475
<u>Assam</u> .						378	1079	1457	1000	451		451
Bibar .			:			1592	5481	7973	3434	1552	67	1619
Bombay						N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A
Ketola						97	402	559	402	157		150
Madbya Prede	sh					4357	351Z	6869	3289	1356	224	1586
MB₫™a .						208	6413	865.1	4061	NA.	N.A.	73
Myanza .						184	634	. BaR	524	: y ₄		19.
Origona ,						246	7514	1760	216v	58 <b>9</b>	7	59:
Punjab .	-					N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	55.4.	N.A
RA(13rèsa)						.:13	2045	3158	2563	5 <b>8</b> 0	17	59;
Utlar Pradesb						X450	23545	38495	221370	7965	1350	932
West Bengol	_					477	3444	5870	3334	N.A.	N.A.	541

N.A.—not evallable.
Note.—These figures have been taken from the statistics furnished to us by the various High Courts.

It will be noticed that in the States of Bihar, Madhya Pradesh and Uttar Prodesh, there are pending before sessions courts a large number of appeals more than a year old. Utter Predesh again showing the largest number by Isr.

25. There is no reason whatever why, in States, where Time proper supervision is exercised by the High Courts, there discusses should be any arrears in criminal appeals in the courts of in Sessions. session. In Modras, a system of auch rigid supervision prevails that one finds the average duration of criminal appeals in the sessions courts during the years 1954 and 1955 was only 32 days. In 1956 the average duration was as low as twenty-two days, notwithstanding the fact that sessions courts in that State do not hear criminal appeals during vacations. In Andhra Pradesh also, the average duration of criminal appeals during the years 1954 and 1955 ranged between thirty-eight and forty days. Though some High Courts have fixed and have been able to achieve a larget to thirty days for the (happosal of these appeals, in order to be on the safe side, we recommend a target of sixty days from the date of filing the appeal to the date of Sixty disposal. That should not, however, prevent courts from days. siming at the ideal target of thirty days.

We may, in this connection, invite attention—to the practice prescribed by the High Court of Madras for the disposal of criminal appeals by magistrates which has, with some modifications, been observed by the sessions judges in the disposal of appeals in that State. It is the observance of this practice which has enabled the subordinate judiciary in that State to dispose of criminal appeals with an average duration of thirty two days.

In the first place, all joil appeals are subjected to a close accuting outside court hours and notice is issued only In proper cases. If it is decided to admit the appeal, it is posted for hearing within a week or a fortnight, after consulting the public prosecutor, and the records are immediately sent for. When a memorandum of appeal is presented by a lawyer, it is received and scrutinised by the magistrate personally. If he considers it to be a fit case for disposal under section 421 of the Criminal Procedure Code, he takes it up immediately and asks the appellant or his Counsel to commence his arguments. If Method appellant or his Counsel to commence his arguments. an adjournment is saked for, a short adjournment of less disposal than a week is granted, the date being fixed in consultation. with the Counsei. In cases where the magistrate decides to admit the appeal and issue notice to the State, he fixes the date immediately in consultation with the Counsel for the appellant and public prosecutor. The fixation of dates in this monner by the judge himself after looking into his diary and consulting the convenience of Counsel avoids adjournments of criminal appeals.

Target of go days achieved in Madras, A period of thirty days is fixed by the rules as the maximum period for the duration of criminal appeals. In all cases where an appeal has been pending for over thirty days, an explanation has to accompany the judgment as also a statement of dates which, under the rules, has to accompany every judgment and which is called the calender statement. The judgment and the calender statement have to be submitted to the superior court in every ease.

The observance of this practice has resulted in the average duration of appeals in the court of magistrates who do not enjoy any vacations to be as low as seventeen days in 1955 and sixteen days in 1956.

Increase In sequitods.

27. A large body of evidence before as shows the rising proportion of the number of acquittals in criminal cases in recent years. This led to our attention being drawn to the provisions of the Code in regard to appeals from acquittals and the recent amendments made in the law in regard to such appeals. Comments were also made by certain witnesses on the uncertainty in the law as to how appeals from acquittals were to be dealt with by the courts which had arises by reason of certain recent decisions of the Supreme Court. It becomes, therefore, necessary to deal generally with the law relating to appeals from acquittals with particular reference to the views expressed in regard thereto by certain State Governments and the uncertainty which is said to have crept into the law on the subject.

Appeuls sysics: acquittals.

28. The principle of permitting appeal against an acquittal has been condemned on numerous accessions as being contrary to the Western ideas of justice and unparalleled in the jurisprudence of any country. The matter was, on more than one occasion, considered by the Goveroment of India who came to the conclusion that the right of appeal against acquittal was justified by the circumstances in this country. Indeed, the question of amending the law in India in certain respects was raised, particularly so as to require that in the case of appeals against acquittals the appellate court should be satisfied that the order of acquittal was "clearly wrong". That attempt, however, failed and the law has remained unchanged except for recent amendments made by Act XXVI of 1955 which will be referred to later. Thus, appeals against acquittals stand under our law on the same footing as appeals against convictions except that such appeals can be filed only by the State or by a private complainant with the special leave of the High Court.

Restrictions on that right, 29. However, the right to file an appeal against on acquittal which till recently could be exercised only by the State Government has, as a matter of principle, been availed of only in exceptional cases and when public interest and tranquillity required it. The power of appeal against acquittal has been described by a State Government as "a

special provision in the Government Armoury which is judicially reserved for exceptional occasions and which is to be used only after most anxious consideration, in cases which are themselves of great public importance or in which a question of principle is involved. The Government therefore have a responsibility not to dull the edge of this salutary weapon by utilisation in cases which are of no general interest and importance or unless it is shown couclusively that the inference of guilt is irresistible or in which the indications of error in the judgment of the lower court are such as to produce a glaring and positive mis-carriage of justice of grave nature which its derogatory to the regulation of the Judiciary and detrimental to the common interest of society". In accordance with the principles to laid down, before directing the public prosecutor to file an appeal against acquittal, the State Government directs the Legal Department to scrutinise the case and it is only after such scrutiny, if the case is found to be a fit one for being taken in appeal, that the public prosecutor is authorised to file the appeal.

30. Act XXVI of 1955 has, however, introduced amend- Right of ments to section 417. Code of Criminal. Procedure, which complement enable a private complainant to appeal against an order of w file apacquittal after obtaining special leave from the High Court, peal A period of limitation of sixty days has been prescribed for filting a petition to obtain such leave. In the event of a dismissed of the application for special leave, no appeal can be filed from the order of acquittal; thus, in such an event, the State Covernment would be precluded from filing an appeal

We have obtained from all the High Courts figures. relating to the number of petitions by complainants for special leave to appeal against orders of acquittal in criminat cases and the number of cases in which leave has been granted. The percentages of successful petitions vary from fifteen to over slaty per cent.

However, we have not been able to obtain information. as to the number of cases in which the appeals instituted on such special feeve have been ultimately successful. In the absence of such information and on the basis of the figures now available to us, it is not possible for us to come to a conclusion as to whether the existing provision for the grant of such leave should be continued. It would be destrable to watch the working of this provision for some time and obtain information as to the number of successful appeals filed after obtaining such special leave. It would then be possible to come to a conclusion in regard to the value of this newly enacted provision,

32. Under section 421 of the Criminal Procedure Code, Section 422 the appellate court is competent to diamise an appeal Cr. P. C. summarily "if it considers that there is no sufficient ground

for Interference". This provision is applicable both to appeals from convictions and to appeals from acquittals. The power of the appellate court in disposing of the appeal if it does not act under this section (42) of the Criminal Procedure Code) is laid down in section 423. It states "the Court may if it considers that there is no sufficient ground for interference, dismiss the appeal, or may

(a) in an appeal from an order of acquittal, reverse such order and direct that further enquiry be made, or that the accused be retried or committed for trial as the case may be, or find him guilty and pass sentence on him according to law".

It will be noticed that so far as the powers of the appellate court are concerned, there is no difference between appeals from acquittals and appeals from convictions.

Summary dismissed of Govt. appeals against fequitals.

 It has been suggested by the State Government of Uttay Pradesh that the frequency with which appeals against acquittals filed by the public prosecutor under the directions of the State Government are being summarily dismissed under section 421 is a matter of grave concern to the State Government and that, therefore, such appeals should be excluded from the operation of section 421. has been elaimed that these appeals are filed after very careful scrutiny of the records by the law officers of the State and only when public interest or public policy requires that the correctness of the decision should be challenged before the appellate court. The view has been expressed that the summary dismissal of these appeals filed after eareful deliberation by the law officers of the State is detrimental to the prestige of the State and that there should be an amendment of the law which would prevent appeals so filed from being rejected summarily without hearing a full argument on behalf of the appellant State. In substance, the suggestion amounts to taking away the court's power of summary dismissal under section 421 in cases of appeals against acquittals filed by the State.

This appears to us to be a curious and startling suggestion. There appears to be no reason in principle which can call for the State being differently treated from any other appellant. It is only right that the Judge before whom the appeal comes for admission should have as much right to test the merits in a Government appeal as in any other appeal. It is perfectly open to counsel for the appellant State to satisfy the judge at the preliminary hearing, that the appeal deserves admission and there would appear to be no warrant for suggesting that the court would not hear counsel fully at that stage if he wishes to be so heard. If, before the filling of these appeals, the matter has been carefully thought over by the Legal Department of the State, it should be easier for Counsel for the State to put all his points of view to the admission judge and induce him to

admit the appeal. It is a matter of doubt whether sufficient attention is given by the Legal Department of the State before taking a decision to file the appeal. At any rate, the courts which have summarily dismissed some of these appeals do not think so. Desai J. of the Allahabad High Court observed in a case "I do not consider that this was an appeal which should have been filed, by Government.**** They should not have filed an appeal when there is no ovidence at all against the accused. If Government do not like their appeals to be dismissed summarily it is obvious that they should not file appeals which merit this fate. The Court makes no distinction between appellant and appellant".1

34. The evils of accepting the suggestion made by the Power of State of Uttor Pradesh are obvious. Even in cases where it sumstary appears to the High Court at the admission stege (hat the should appeal has no merit, notice would have to be issued to the commun. accused person and he would either have to be kept in custody or enlarged on hail. He would be put to the expense of entering appearance and of engaging counsel. Ultimately, it may well happen that the High Court will dismiss the appeal without calling upon the respondent accused to reply. All this would cause unjustified harastment to the becased. We have, therefore, no hesitation in rejecting this suggestion.

35. We shall turn now to the question of the uncertainty powers of in the law said to have been created by certain recent decir the High sions of the Supreme Court.

under section 417 Cr. P. C.

Prior to 1934, High Courts in India had expressed differing views in regard to the principles on which they should dispose of an appeal against acquittal under section 417. In 1934, the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council granted leave to appeal in a case specifically "in order that the difference of judicial opinion. Which it was alleged existed, might be resolved. Though a large number of authorities was cited before the Privy Council, Lord Yew of the Russel of Killowen who delivered the judgment of the Privy Board, without referring to any of the cases, summed up Councit, the legal position which followed from a construction of the relevant sections of the Coxle in the following words:

"There is in their opinion no foundation for the view, apparently supported by the judgments of some Courts in India, that the High Court has no power or purisdiction to reverse an order of acquittal on a matter of fact, except in cases in which the lower court has 'obstinately blundered' or has 'through incompetence,

^{*}State v. Ganga Sahai, A. T. R. 1953 Aliuhahad 2r1 at page 213.

[&]quot;Shen Swartip and others of Emperor, A. L.R. 1934 P. C., 227 at 225.

stupidity or perversity' reached such 'distorted concluaions as to produce a positive miscarriage of justice' or has in some other way so conducted itself as to produce a glaring miscarriage of justice, or has been tricked by the defence so as to produce a similar result.

"Sections 417, 418 and 423 of the Code give to the High Court full power to review at large the evidence unon which the order of sequittal was founded, and to reach the conclusion that upon that evidence the order of sequittal should be reversed. No limitation should he placed upon that power, unless it be found expresaly stated in the Code. But in exercising the power conferred by the Code and before reaching its concluslops upon fact, the High Court should and will always give proper weight and consideration to such matters. as (1) the views of the trial judge as to the credibility of the witnesses; (2) the presumption of innocence in favour of the accused, a presumption certainly not weakened by the fact that he has been acquitted at the trial; (8) the right of the accused to the benefit of any doubt; and (4) the slowness of an appellate court in disturbing a finding of fact arrived at by a Judge who had the advantage of seeing the witnesses.

"To state this however is only to say that the High Court in its conduct of the appeal should and will act in accordance with rules and orinciples—well known and recognized in the administration of justice".

These principles were reaffirmed by the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council in a later decision.

Burly decicions of the Supreme Court. 36. Judging from its reported decisions, the question seems to have first arisen before the Supteme Court in March, 1950, shortly after its establishment. The matter was beard by a Court of six judges, judgment being delivered by Fazel Ali J.? He referred with approval to the decision of the Privy Council in Sheb Swarup's case as containing "the true position in regard to the judisherion of the High Court under section 417 Cr.P.C. in an appeal from an order of acquittal". The judgment also stated that the court could not "support the view which has been expressed in several cases that the High Court has no power under section 417, Criminal Procedure Code to reverse a judgment of acquittal unless the judgment is

[&]quot;Nuc. Mohammud e., Empreson A. T. R. 1944 P. C. 151, Lord Thankering delivering the judgment of the Board and referring to the earlier declarion major:

^{.....} there really is only one principle, in the strict sense of the word, half down there (that is, that the High Court has full power to testly at large all the evidence upon which the order of arquittal was founded, and to reach the conclusion that upon their evidence the order of acquittal should be reversed." at page 192.

perverse on the subordinate court has in some way or other misdirected itself so as to produce a miscorriage of justice".

A similar view was expressed by Kania C. J. in delivering the judgment of a Bench consisting of himself and Patanjali Sastri and S. R. Dass JJ. in January 1951.2 In that case, the High Court had reversed an order of acquittal and convicted the armsed. The Supreme Court set aside the conviction and restored the acquittal

The question again came up before the Supreme Court in a case which though reported in 1953 was decided in May 1951.3 The court, in that case, threw no doubt on the principles accorded by it in the earlier cases and recognized the right of the appellate court in such appeals to review the evidence and reach its own conclusion on facts. It was, however, pointed out that the presumption of innocence of the accused continued right upto the end and that great weight should be attached to the view of the trial judge who had the opportunity of seeing and hearing the wit-TIESSES.

37. The Supreme Court had occasion again to deal A new with the powers of the court in an appeal against an approach: acquitted in 1992.4 Curiously enough, in the decision of Nice for this case the Supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the Supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the Supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the Supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the Supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the Supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the Supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the Supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the Supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the Supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the Supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the Supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the Supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the Supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the Substance of the Supreme Court made no reference either to the "Substance of the Substance decision of the Privy Council in Sheo Swarup's case or its pelling own three earlier decisions. The court observed that "the resears". presumption of innocence of the accused is further reinforced by his acquittal and the findings of the trial court can be reversed only for very substantial and competting reasona".

38. The Supreme Court appears by this decision to have applied two new principles in considering cases of appeals from acquittals. It seems to have taid down that in dealing with such appeals, the court is faced, not with the normal presumption of the innocence of the accused, but a higher presumption or a further reinforced presumption arising out of the order of acquittal made in his favour. It also seems to lay down that in such cases, the findings of fact of the trial court cannot be dealt with and departed from as in an ordinary appeal but that they can be reversed only for "very substantial and compelling reasons". These and its condoctrines, which appear to be a departure from the decisions sequences. of the Privy Council and the earlier decisions of the court, have excated doubts in the minds of the High Courts and have led them again to apply to these appeals principles similar to those which were rejected by the Privy Council and the Supreme Court itself in the decisions mentioned above.

Prendas v. The State, A. J. R. 1954 at 38.

^{*}Tulsicam Kanu o. The State A. I. R. 1954 S. C. L.

^{*}Wilsyst Khan v. State of U. P. A. I. R. 1951 S. C. 122.

^{*}Sursipal Singh & others o. The State, A. I. R. 1952, S. C. 52,

Two lines of chacs.

39. Since the decision in Surajpal Singh's case, there have been several decisions of the Supreme Court which have dealt with this question. Some of the judgments content themselves with reiterating the principles laid down by the Privy Council in Sheo Swarup's case while others refer to the further reinforced presumption of innocence and the substantial and compelling reasons referred to in Surajpai Singh's case. It appears that in deciding some of these cases, the court had not before it some of its own previous decisions. This seems to have led to the use of expressions which seem to law down divergent principles."

Acceptance of the doctrine of "compelling reasons", 40. The doctrine of the need for the appellate court to have "very substantial and compelling reasons" before it could proceed to set aside an order of acquittal seams finally to have received unequivocal acceptance at the hands of the Supreme Court in 1955.² In that case, the court referred to Surajpal Singh's case and followed it. The court was divided, the majority consisting of Bose and Chandrasekhara Aiyar JJ, stated the legal position in regard to appeals of this character in the following words:

"It is in our opinion well settled that it is not enough for the High Court to take α different view of the evidence; there must also be substantial and compelling reasons for holding that the trial court was wrong " * * * if the trial court takes a reasonable view of the case, interference under section 417 is not justifiable unless there are really strong reasons for reversing that view".

The dissenting Judge Venkatrema Ayyar J. traced the differing legal views expressed on the subject by the High Courts before Sheo Swarup's case and referred with approval to the judgment of the Judicial Committee in that case. After referring to a number of decisions, the learned

¹⁴C, M. Naroyan es. State of Travancore-Coolin [A. I. R. 1953, S. C., 478 (decided on 10-11-1952).

^{**}Phran es. The State of Punjab A. I. R. 1954, S. C. 459 (decided on 13-14-1952).

^{*}Zwinglee Ariel 99. State of Modbya Pradesh A. I. R. 1954, S. C. p. 15 (dated 3-12-1952).

^{**}Aimer Singh as. The State of Punish A. J. R. 1953 S. C. 76 (decided on 10-12-1952).

^{**}Trimbak vs. Sime of Madhya Pradesh A. J. R. 1954 S. C. 39.

^{*}Ran Shiw Bahadur Singh as, Stare of Vindhya Prodesi, A. I. R. 1954.
S. C. 322.

^{*}Madan Mohan Singh vs. Sture of Uttar Pradesh A. J. R. 1954, S. C. 655.

Bansidhut Muhanty ess Siste of Orissa A. J. R. 1955, S. C. 584.

^{*}Adjoy us, State of Hittar Prackath A. J. R. 1985, S. C. 805.

^{**}Surjan or, State of Rajasthan A. J. R. 1996, S. C. 425.

Cases marked ** seem to tollow Surjeal Shight's case; those marked * Sheo-Swarup's case.

Aber Rain Khima og. Stute of Saurantitra A. I. R. 1956, S. C. 217.

Judge took the view that there was no scope for the application of "the doctrine of compelling reasons". He referred to the use of these words for the first time in Surappal Singh's case and proceeded to analyse its implications. He stated:

"Do the words 'compelling reasons' in the above passage import a limitation on the powers of a court hearing an appeal under section 417 not applicable to a Court hearing appeals against convictions? If they do, then, it is merely the old doctrine that appeals against acquittals are in a less favoured position, dressed in a new garb, and the reasons for rejecting it as unsound are as powerful as those which found favour with the Privy Council in A.I.R. 1934 P.C. 227 and A.i.R. 1945 P.C. 151".

Since the last mentioned decision, there have been two further judgments! of the Supreme Court in which the phrase "strong and compelling reasons" has again been used by the Supreme Court. In neither of these decisions, however, has the implication of this phrase been considered nor has any reference been made in them to the views expressed in the dissenting judgment of Venkatarama Ayyar J.

41. The phrascology used by the Supreme Court and Uncertainthe absence of any expression of its view on its implications ty in the have led the High Courts to put different meanings on the the High phrase "strong and compelling reasons". In a Bombay Courts. case,2 the High Court has gone to the length of holding that, in actual practice, the findings of fact of a judge recording a judgment of acquittal have to be treated as having substantially the same finality and validity as the verdict of a jury which can be set aside only when it is one which no reasonable body of men could have reached upon the evidence. "Inevitably, therefore, the Government Pleader must satisfy us in appeals against acquittais that the conclusions of the trial court are not at all possible on the evidence on the record. In other words, we must not only come to the conclusion that the evidence (sic) is proved beyond a reasonable doubt, but we must also feel satisfied that it is difficult if not impossible to see how a contrary view can be held on the material available in the case" similar view has been taken by the Allahabad High Court. It stated that "the High Court should find such reasons which may be termed compelling and substantial reasons or which may be deemed to be clinching and conclusive before it would be justified in upsetting an order of acquitta!".

[&]quot;Right's Singh o. Store of Punjab A. L. R. 2937, S. C. 216.

Ringwan Dat o. State of Rajasthan A. L. R. 1957, S. C. 589.

^{*}State v. Vithal Maruthi Paril A. J. R. 1953, Bounbay 369 at 371.

[&]quot;State v. Rang Attar, A. I. R. 1955, Alf. 138 at page 147 (per Raghabar Dayal [.].

Immediate amandment of the Cr. P. C. unmoragary, 42. Notwithstanding what has been pressed upon us—the uncertainty and conflict in the principles laid down and the need for an amendment clarifying the law—we expect that the true legal position is bound before long to be laid down in clear—and unequivocal terms—by the Supreme Court itself. The need for an amendment of the law will arise, if at all, after the court has explained the true import of the principles laid down by it or in the event of its failure to do so.

Summary of Recommendations.

- 43. Our recommendations regarding criminal appeals may be summarised as follows:—
  - (1) The Criminal Procedure Code should be smended so that appeals even from orders passed under section 122 refusing or rejecting a surety lie to the sessions judge and not to the district magistrate.
  - (2) As in the case of presidency magistrates, a sentence of imprisonment not exceeding six months or a fine not exceeding two hundred rupees imposed by a court of session should be non-appealable.
  - (3) In non-separation States, the cadre of assistant sessions Judges should be strengthened to enable them to dispose of appeals from the decisions of the second and third class magistrates.
  - (4) In separation States, appeals from the decisions of second and third class magistrates should lie only to the district magistrate (judicial) or to any specially empowered judicial first class magistrate. The Code may be amended for this purpose.
  - (5) Criminal appeals in the High Courts should be disposed of within aix months and in the courts of session and the courts of magistrates within a maximum period of two months. It is, however, possible for the sessions courts and courts of magistrates to dispose of criminal appeals within thirty days and this should be aimed at.
  - (6) The powers of a single judge in all the High Courts should be enlarged so as to enable him to dispose of all criminal appeals except those in which sentences of death or imprisonment for life have been passed. However, if there are no arrears in a High Court and the judge-power permits this, it should be open to particular High Courts to provide that criminal appeals should be heard by a Bench of two judges.
  - (7) To ensure that criminal appeals in High Courts are disposed of early, the printing of paper books should be expedited and, if necessary, cyclostyling should be resorted to, instead of printing.
  - (8) The methods set out in paragraph 25 ante may be followed to ensure expeditious disposal of criminal appeals.

- (9) The suggestion that Government appeals against orders of acquittal should not be liable to be dismissed at the stage of admission cannot be accepted.
- (10) It is not immediately necessary to amend the law to clarify the position with regard to the powers of the High Court in dealing with appeals against an order of acquittal. The matter may be left to the Supreme Court itself for clarification.

## 39.---CRIMINAL REVISIONS AND INHERENT POWERS

Courts of projects wild their powers.

i. The power of revising the orders of inferior criminal. courts has been conferred by the Code of Criminal Procedure concurrently upon the High Court, the sessions. judges, district magistrates and sub-divisional magistrates specially empowered by the State Government in that be-Under section 435 of the Code, these courts are competent to call for and examine the record of any proocciding before any inferior criminal court within their jurisdiction for the purpose of satisfying themselves as to the correctness, legality or propelety of any finding, sentence or order and the regularity of any proceeding before such. inferior court. The powers of sub-divisional magistrates specially empowered, district magistrates and sessions judges are, however, limited. A sub-divisional magistrate cannot pass any order in revision if he finds any illegality, impropriety or irregularity; he can only forward : the record with his remarks. to the district The powers of the district magistrate. magistrate and of the seasions judge to pasa fiπal orders in exercise of their revisional jurisdiction are limited to cases of erroneous dismissals of complaints or the discharge of persons accused of an offence or when, in cases exclusively triable by a court of session, an accused person has been improperly discharged by the committal court. In these cases, they have the power to order further inquiry. or direct the commitment of the accused person to the sessions court. In other cases of incorrectness, illegality, impropriety or arregularity, the district magistrate or thesessions judge is not empowered to pass any final order. He can only report the case with his recommendations to the High Court for orders.

Revisional Justiculous of the High Cumps.

2. The revisional jurisdiction of the High Court is of the widest compass. It may, in exercise of this jurisdiction invoke any of the powers conferred on a court of appeal and may even enhance the sentence. As observed in a case, "there is no form of judicial injustice which this court if need be cannot reach". However, though wide, the power of revision is a discretionary power to be exercised according to the exigencies of each case. Having regard to the provistons of section 537 of the Criminal Procedure Code, it is clear that the Court will not ordinarily interfere in revision. unless the impugned order has occasioned a failure addition to its ŢΩ nowers οf revision under the Code, the High Court has also the constitutionalright of superintendence over all courts including courts:

³Lekl rsj. Ram tv. Deb. Perahad 12 C.W.N. 678 at 68c.

 exercising criminal jurisdiction. The High Courts of Bihar, Bombay, Calcutta, Madhya Pradesh, Madras, Punjab and West Bengal have also revisional jurisdiction under their Letters Patent,

3. We have indicated elsewhere that criminal revisions, Pendersy of like criminal appeals, should ordinarily be disposed of criminal within six months from the date of their institution in the revisions in High Courts. The Tables below, however, indicate that Courts, in some of the High Courts, these revisions have been pending for over a year and in some cases for over two years.

Š

TAME A

Pendency of Criminal Revisions as on 1st January, 1957

Nan	ne of the S	roce		1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	Total	Remurks
	<del>-                                    </del>	_		 2	3		5	6	7	
Aodhra	(A) Pradesh			Nil.	48	1	46	425	340	(A) Does not include the pendency from
Arean		-		NU.	Not.	Nit.	3	60	6,3	rice Telangana sogical
Bibb			,	No.	Nil,	6	23	ומצ	330	
Bombay	(B) ,	-		NiL	Nil.	Nil.	17	275	292	(B) Includes the pendency (nothe Nogroup
Kerala		-		NU.	N0.	Nil.	Nit.	21	71	and Rajkot Benelet,
Madhye	Prodesb			Mil,	Na.	1	15	257	273	
Medres	-	-		Nii.	Nil.	Nil.	7	2:05	215	
Mysore	(C) .			Nil.	ИII.	.5	62	T39	156	(C) Includes Griminal Revision cases.
Orless			-	Na.	Nil.	Nil.	5	ity	122	
Punjah		-		NU.	Nil	2	26	384	406	
Rajasthar	n .			1	ИII.	2	15	161	179	
Utter P	redeab		,	Nil.	2	23	877	1129	zngr	
West Be	පාළබ			Nü.	Nil.	2	47	630	579	

Norm-The figures farnished in the above Table were supplied to us by the respective. High Courts,

TABLE B

Comparative statement showing the institution, disposal and pendency of Oriminal Revision Petitions in the High Courts of the various
States in the years 1954, 1955 and 1956.

		1954			1955			1956			Remarks
Name of the State	Proding at the beginning of the year	Institu- tion	Disposed of	Pending at the beginning of the year	Jostitu- rjan	Disposed of	Pending as the beginning of the year	eiga er	Disposed of	Punding at the class of the year	
1	2	3	4	_ 5	6	7	.———	9	10	тт	12
Andhra* Pradesh	7.17	347	368	150	570	440	280	550	290	829	Note —The pen- dency at the close of the year 1956
America.	50	157	T49	58	156	159	55	179	171	63	includes Colonina Revision Potitions
Ellbar .	713	1401	1732	382	1374	1499	257	1928	1255	930	received by reansfer on appoint of
Bombay*	151	1521	1475	197	1573	1646	124	1590	1568	311	reorganisation of States and hence
Kerala (Truvan- core- Cockio)		176	±ίΉ	39	. 231	222	48	274	<b>i</b> 251	71	the figures shown in colours No. 11  Against such State  are not equal to  what they should
Madbya Padesh	267	742	795	274	794	729	4 <b>8</b> 5	657	539	603	be after deducting the figures given in Column No. 10 from the total

1		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	(O	1 [	12
Njadrija .	<u>\$</u> 54	1053	1335	201	tato	975	204	1164	T#57	2(5	of the figures contained in co- lumns Nos. 5 and g of the systement.  (2) The figures shown in columns 5 to 11 against the State of Mading Pradicts include the
Мунота .	81	349	374	56	435	339	151	444	471	256	proceedings Ins- stantal and dis-
Orlesa .	117	434	449	92	363	334	119	\$K3	250	112	pased of in the Gwalant and In-
Punjab .	286	εδιι	1569	328	1464	1491	305	1697	1595	406	dore Bonches.
Caleschen	366	439	479	335	474	496	273	425	529	179	(3) The figures shown against the state of Mysure
Predesa	2005	2165	2107	<b>206</b> 3	<b>23</b> 54	2549	1968	2094	1991	2031	nolude Caminal Revision Casts,
Pest Bengal	931	1505	1699	437	1745	rđag	573	1723	1617	679	(4) The figures stown again Kerala and Punjab ha columna Nos. 4 to 11 indicates the position sites reorganisation of the State.
											The figures shown against these States do not include institutions, dispessals ere. As a result of the reorganisation of the States, however,

the figures larraished in column
No. 11 show the
pendency including their received
consequent moon
reorganisation. The
figures farmished
in this Table
were supplied to
no by the respactive High
Course,



Consequent delays in lower contra

4 It has to be remembered that a number of these revisions would be against interlocutory orders passed in proceedings pending in the lower courts and delays in their disposal by the High Court would add to the time taken for the final disposal of the proceedings in the courts below. The Tables also show that the disposals in a number of High Courts are not able to keep pace with institutions.

Enhanced powers of slogle judge.

5. In dealing with criminal appeals, we have referred to the divergent practices which prevail in the High Courts in regard to their hearing. Similar divergent practices are also to be found in the hearing of criminal revisions. Generally speaking, the disposal of criminal revisions involves much less responsible work than in the hearing of criminal appeals. The considerations which we outlined in support of the view that criminal appeals should, except in cases of sentences of death and imprisonment for life, be heard by a single judge of the High Court apply with greater force to criminal revisions. The adoption of this practice should help in a substantial measure to relieve the congestion in this type of work in a number of High Courts.

Increasing jurisdictions of sessions judges.

6. A further measure which will relieve congestion in this category of work needs consideration. Could some of the revisional powers now being exercised by the High Courts be entrusted to sessions judges? The Judicial Reforms Committee of Uttar Pradeah recommended! that sessions judges should be given full powers to hear and determine all criminal revisions except revisions against orders of sequittal and revisions for enhancement of sentences.

The evidence before us generally favoured the grant of revisional jurisdiction to this extent to the sessions judge. There was general agreement that in most petty matters a party applying for revision labours under a disadvantago in that he has to take the matter to the High Court. view was also expressed that there was no reason why sessions judges who are cntrusted with the trial of very important cases and competent to impose even the penalty of should not be empowered to deal with minor matters in revision and be required to submit them to the High Court for its final orders. It is anomalous that a sessions judgeshould be able to deal with and dispose finally an appeal from a sentence passed by a first class magistrate but that he should not be competent to revise an order passed by a third class magistrate.

We are, therefore, of the view that sessions judges may well be invested with powers to pass final orders in revision in all matters other than petitions against orders of acquittal and for enhancement of sentences.

^{*}Report , 1950-51, page 63.

In view of our proposal to give immediate effect to the Retorior. separation of the judiciary and the executive and our conse. of revisional quent recommendation that the appellate powers enjoyed of displan by the district magistrate under section 407 of the Code as magazines, it stood before its amendment should be restored, we would leave the powers of the district magistrate to order further inquiry under section 438 or to direct the commitment of an accused to the court of session untouched. His powers to call for the record of proceedings for examination under section 435 Criminal Procedure Code should also be pre- Reference served and all cases in which he considers that the lower to sessions court's order needs correction should be referred by him to judge. the sessions judge for disposal.

8. In order that the revisional court should be able effect Revision the the record kept by the lower court, whatever it be, summary that the record kept by the lower court, whatever it be, summary should be made available to the revising court. This is of tions, importance in cases where summary procedure is adopted. A magistrate trying a case summarily may impose a fine upto Rz. 200 and, as the sentence is not appealable, he is not bound to keep a record of the evidence taken in the proceedings. However, if the same magistrate follows the ordinary procedure and imposes a fine exceeding Rs. 50 the sentence would be appealable and the appellate court would have before it a record of the evidence taken in the Subspace proceedings. Though, in the former case, the accused of evidence. person will have right to apply for a revision, such a right conded. may not be of much use in the absence of a record of the evidence by the magistrate which can be examined by revisional court. We think, therefore, that it is essential that even in non-appealable cases tried summarily, the substance of the evidence of the witnesses should form part of the record to enable the revising court to exercise its revisional jurisdiction effectively.

Though presidency magistrates are not empowered to revisious try cases summarily, in non-appealable cases, even if they uponst are warrant cases, they are not required to record the evi- convictions dence or to frame a charge. They can, in exercise of this sideocy jurisdiction, pass a sentence of imprisonment not exceeding magicumes. six months or a fine not exceeding Rs. 200. Cases in which non-appealable sentences are awarded would be the subject matter of revision applications to the High Court. revisional court is, in such cases, at a disadvantage for want of a record of the evidence.

We are of the view that this is likely to lead to injustice. Where the witnesses are all heard, at a stretch and judgment follows immediately, it may be that the magistrate is able to do full justice to the accused without having before him a record of the evidence. However, looking at the matter from the point of view of an accused person who may be sentenced to a period of imprisonment and who may wish to take the matter to the High Court in revision, Presidency magistrates to record to between

the position would be very unsatisfactory if the magistrate has not recorded any evidence. On what materials can be ask the revising court to scrutinize the matter? It may be that presidency magistrates do, in such cases, record the substance of the evidence for their own use. Such a record. however, not being required to be kept by the law, would not be before the revisional court. One may compare the position with that which arcses in the case of other magistrates and even sessions judges. These judicial officers have to keep a record of the evidence even in cases where non-appealable sentences are awarded by them, except in summons cases and in the case of offences triable summarily. There appears to be no principle underlying this. distinction. We would, therefore, recommend that the prosidency magistrates should, even in non-appealable cases, make a record of the substance of the evidence before Lhem

Presidency magistrates to frame charge in all wacrant cases.

10. A further distinction which stands on more or less the same looting is in regard to the framing of a charge. A presidency magistrate is not obliged to frame a charge even in warrant cases if the sentence passed by him is non-appealable. This position has been adversely commented upon by the Madros High Court! which has suggested an amendment. We think it is desirable that the Code should be amended so as to impose an obligation on the presidency magistrates to frame in all warrant cases.

Inherent powers of cours,

11. Though laws attempt to deal with all cases that may arise, the infinite variety of circumstances which shape events and the imperfections of language make it impossible to lay down provisions capable of governing every case which in fact arises. Courts which exist for the furtherance of justice should, therefore, have authority to deal with cases which, though not expressly provided for by the law, need to be dealt with to prevent injustice or an abuse of the process of law. This has led to the acceptance of the principle that even in cases where the law is silent and has made no express provision to deal with a situation which has arisen, the courts have inherent powers to do real and substantial justice and prevent an abuse of their processes.

Statutory georgialtion of inherent powers of High Court to criminal cases. 12. The doctrine of inherent jurisdiction was for the first time given statutory recognition in the case of High Courts exercising criminal jurisdiction by the enactment of section 561-A in the Criminal Procedure Code in 1925. This section assumes the existence of such inherent power in the High Courts and provides that nothing in the Code is to be deemed to limit its inherent power to make such

orders as would be necessary to give effect to any order under the Code or to prevent abuse of the process of any court or to otherwise secure the ends of justice. mherent power, thus recognised, empowers the court, inter alia, to prevent the abuse of the process not only of the High Court but of any court. The High Court thus exercises its inherent jurisdiction not only in respect of proceedings before it but also in respect of proceedings in the subordinate court.

13. This statistory recognition, however, extends only laborest One may powers to the inherent powers of the High Court, compare it with the recognition of the inherent powers of of other all civil courts by section 151, Civil Procedure Code.

In a number of decisions before and after the enactment of section 561-A, various High Courts have also recognised the existence of such power in subordinate criminal courts. We would, therefore, recommend statutory recognition of such inherent power which has been recognised as vesting in all subordinate criminal courts.

 However, the general principle of law is that the Limitations. inherent power of a court can be exercised only to give on their effect to orders made by it or to prevent abuse of its own interest processes. Section 561-A has, however, enabled the High power, Court to exercise inherent power to give effect not only to its own but to any order passed under the Code and to prevent the aimse of the process of any court. court of session which exercises appellate and limited revisional jurisdiction over the criminal courts and which we are now recommending should exercise a fuller revisional jurisdiction, is, however, not entitled to exercise its inherent power in respect of proceedings pending in lower courts. Thus, it has been held in an Allahabad cases that when a sessions judge had granted bail to an accused person against whom committal proceedings were pending in a lower court, he could not in the exercise of his inherent power cancel the bail even if he was satisfied. that the accused was tampering with the prosecution evidence. Similarly, it has been held? that a sessions judge could not, in an appeal under section 476-B of the Code, order the lower court to record further evidence insertich as that would be an exercise of his inherent power to require another court to do a certain thing.

 The court of sessions is, generally speaking, a court Grant of of appeal against sentences passed by magistrates. We inherem have recommended an enlargement of its revisional juris- the sessions-

Achambir Mondal v. Makatabi Singh and others, A. I. R. 1915 Cel. 119; Nagen Kumin v. Emperor 61 Cel., 498; Krushus Molism v. Sudhakur Des A. I. R. 1953 Orissa 281.

[&]quot;Manni Lal v. Besperor A. J. R. 1937 All. 305.

[&]quot;Rechtm Lat and enother of the State A. I. R. 1951 All. B36.

diction so as to enable it to pass final orders in revisions from proceedings of the lower courts. We think it, therefore, appropriate that the sessions court should, like the High Court, have inherent jurisdiction in respect of proceedings pending before subordinate courts. We, therefore, recommend an amendment of section 561-A so as to confer on the court of session such an inherent power.

Summary of recommendations.

- 16. Our conclusions on criminal revisions and the inherent powers of criminal courts can be summarised as follows:—
  - (1) 'All criminal revision petitions in the High Court other than those in which a sentence of death or transportation for life can be passed should be heard and finally disposed of by a single judge.
  - (2) Sessions judges should be invested with full powers to pass final orders in revision in all matters other than petitions against orders of acquittal or for enhancement of sentence.
  - (3) The judicial district magistrate should continue to retain his power of revision under the Code to refer cases to the sessions judge for final orders.
  - (4) Magistrates should maintain a memorandum of the substance of the evidence of witnesses even in non-appealable cases tried summarily.
  - (5) Presidency magistrates also should be required to make a memorandum of the substance of evidence in non-appealable cases.
  - (6) Presidency magistrates should be required to frame a charge in all warrant cases even if they pass only non-appealable sentences.
  - (7) The inherent powers of all criminal courts should be statutorly recognised.
  - (8) The courts of session should be recognised as having inherent power to pass appropriate orders to prevent the abuse of the process of any subordinate court by an appropriate amendment to section 561-A of the Criminal Procedure Code.

## 40 -- ADMINISTRATION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE --GENERAL

- Section 193 of the Indian Penal Code deals with the Penal Penal Code de punishment for perjury. The giving of false evidence in a judicial proceeding or labricating false evidence for the purpose of heing used in a judicial proceeding is an offence against public justice and is punishable with imprisonment of either description for seven years and tine. It has been stated that perjury has of late greatly increased. The sanctity of the oath has almost disappeared and persons seem prepared readily to make false statements on oath in courts of law. The law, however, is very rarely invoked for the purpose of punishing the perjurer. It is perhaps felt that if punitive sleps were to be taken against all persons who give false evidence the number of such pro-secutions would be enormous. Nevertheless, steps have to be taken to control this growing evil which tends more and more to bring the administration of justice into disrepute.
- Section 195 of the Criminal Procedure Code provides Procedure that no court shall take cognizance of the offence of giving the profalse evidence "when such an offence is alleged to have been committed in, or in relation to, any proceeding in any Court, except on the complaint in writing of such Court or of some other Court to which such Court is subordinate. The procedure for laying a complaint is prescribed by section 476 of the Code. When a court is of opinion that it is expedient in the in-terests of justice that an enquiry should be made into any offence referred to in section 195(1) (b) (this includes the offence of giving false evidence), "which appears to have been committed in or in relation to a proceeding in that Court, such Court may, after such preliminary enquiry, if any, as it thinks necessary, record a finding to that effect and make a complaint thereof in writing signed by the presiding officer of the Court, and shall forward the same to a Magistrate of the first class having jurisdiction. ". This section, accordingly, contemplates that when a court. whether me moin or on an application made to it, feels that it is necessary to prosecute the offender, it may hold a preliminary enquiry and if it comes to the conclusion that it is necessary in the interests of justice that the offence should be enquired into, it shall thereafter make a complaint to a magistrate having jurisdiction, preliminary enquiry which the court may make is generally undertaken after the close of the case in which the offence is alleged to have been committed. In such an enquiry, it is usual to give the person concerned an opportunity to show cause why a complaint should not be laid against

Dolar.

The enquiry extends to the court satisfying itself that an offence appears to have been committed and that the interests of justice require that proceedings should be initiated against the person concerned. On the court laying a complaint before a first class magistrate having jurisdiction, the latter takes up the matter and proceeds. to hear the case. The steps prescribed naturally result in proceedings of this character being greatly delayed. Firstly, the court before which the offence apears to have been committed holds an enquiry; secondly, the magistrate before whom the complaint is made has to hear the case in accordance with the procedure relating to the trial of A considerable interval of time elapses warrant cases, between the commission of the offence and the eventual conviction of the offender. The essence of penal law is that punishment should follow the offence as speedily as possible, if the preventive ends of the punitive law are to be achieved. Delay is also often caused as the law provides for an appeal against an order of the court laying the complaint after the proceeding under section 476. A great deal of the effect of the prosecution is thus lost by the enormous delay in bringing the offender to book.

New proceduse : section 479A.

The recent amendment to the Code has introduced a new provision, S. 479-A, to deal with certain cases of giving false evidence. It says that where ".... a Court is of opinion that any person appearing before it as a witness has intentionally given false evidence in any stage of the judicial proceeding or has intentionally fabricated false evidence for the purpose of being used in any stage of the judicial proceeding, and that, for the eradication of the evils of perjury and fabrication of false evidence and in the interests of justice, it is expedient that such witness should be prosecuted....", the Court shall, at the time of the delivery of the judgment or final order disposing of such proceeding, record a finding to that effect....". This finding has to be recorded at the time of the delivery of The court "may", if it so thinks fit, after judgment. giving the person an opportunity of being heard make a complaint in writing and forward it to a magistrate of the first class having jurisdiction. It will be noticed that the recording of the finding of the court has to be made contemporaneously with the delivery of the judgment and cannot be postponed as under the procedure outlined in section 476. Yet the court itself is not empowered to take action in the matter but has to lay the complaint before a magistrate. Another innovation introduced by section 479-A is that there is no right of appeal from any finding recorded and from an order laying a complaint. Subsection (6) of section 479-A provides that if in respect of the prosecution of a person, proceedings can be taken under the section but are not in fact adopted, proceedings under sections 476 to 479 will be barred. If, therefore, the court either through oversight or through indifference neglects to take any steps for launching a prosecution against a witness who has given false evidence, the court

Defects.

connot later act under section 476 and start proceedings after the close of the case. But an appellate court to which an appeal has been preferred from the decision of the lower court may take such action.

- No doubt, one of the purposes of introducing section. 479-A into the Code was to ensure an examination of the question of expediency of prosecution of the person who had given false evidence at the time of delivery of judgment in the judicial proceeding. That purpose has been achieved. But the main evil, namely, the time lag between the commission of the offence and the nunishment of the offender has not been remedied by the amendment.
- The svil of perjury can be dealt with effectually only Society. if measures are devised to punish the offender immediately. Functi-No doubt perjury is as frequent in civil proceedings, as accessors in criminal cases; but in civil proceedings, it cannot be detected so easily. We may, therefore, for this purpose deal with criminal cases alone and consider how far the punishment of perjuty can be made quicker in criminal In cases triable by a court of session, there is a preliminary enquiry of some description by a court different from the one which ultimately tries the case; there is, accordingly, an opportunity for a witness, if he is so minded, to after his evidence in the trial court. The experience of innocent persons being brought to trial in courts of session on the basis of false evidence given by witnesses in the committal courts or of guilty persons being acquitted on the basis of similar testimony in the courts of session is not infrequent. In a number of these cases, the witness has deliberately altered his version in the laster court. Even in such cases, the law at present requires the court hearing the case to record its finding under section 479-A and to make a complaint to a first class magistrate. It may well be that there are two statements on path, one contradicting the other, so that the commission of an offence of perjury is not in doubt. Yet, the conviction and the punishment have to be delayed. What is even more important is that the court making the complaint is often in a far better position to judge whether an offence has been committed and to measure its gravity. in relation to the particular facts of the case it was trying, than the magistrate who eventually thres it. It seems to us that in such cases it is very desirable that the court before which the judicial proceeding has taken place and which has recorded the finding should itself be given the power to deal with the offender. If that court has such Summary a power, a person appearing and giving evidence before powers of it would be very coreful being aware of the risk that he punishment will ment in the swiftness with which the punishment will ment in certain certain. Tollow the offence will, we believe, have a deterrent effect care's on him and will lead to a decline in the incidence of this Limits of evil. We therefore, recommend that where two contradic-positstory statements on oath have been made by a witness, the ment. 9**22 M. of Law—3**3.

Right of ₽ppcal.

court before which the second statement has been made, should have summary powers to punish the offence of perjury. In such cases, a complaint to the magistrate should not be necessary. Since we propose that the matter should be dealt with summarily, we suggest that the maximum penalty that can be inflicted in such cases should be six months imprisonment and/or a fine up to five hundred supees. Such a convicted person should, however, he given a right of appeal. We think that an alteration in the law on this regard is desirable.

Rottengra

The procedure contained in section 476 and the for angles -- following sections and section 479-A is applicable to any rion. civil, revenue or criminal court. The recommendation we have made carlier relates only to criminal courts. (The nature of the proceedings in the civil and revenue courts: is different from that in criminal courts and it reems tous to be very doubtful if a civil or revenue court :=::. in the light of the evidence before it, come to a reason; by correct conclusion that a witness has intentionally given false evidence or fabricated false evidence for the purpose of being used in the judicial proceeding before that court. Such a conclusion must, in the nature of things, necessarily depend upon the decision in the case, which in its turn would rest upon an appreciation of the entire evidence. In original cases of the type that we have mentioned earlier the position is different. In such cases, a perjuring witness practically convicts himself by making contradictory statements at different stages of the judicial proceed-Under our law it is not necessary for a court trying him for the offence of perjury to determine which of the two statements is false. The criminal court in such an event would have before it two statements of the same witness, both on oath, one contradicting the other. It is obvious that one of such statements must be false. There is, therefore, no reason why such a witness should not be convicted summarily by the court before whom the second or subsequent statement was made; provided, of course, the court seels that the circumstances warrant resort to this summary power. No doubt the special procedure recommended will be useful only in a limited class of cases. Nevertheless, we feel that it will be useful in fighting the evil which is wedely prevalent.

Objections.

The suggestion that the court should be given these summary powers did not muct with the approval of a few witnesses. It was said that Eliterate persons who might have given a folse version in the committing court under pressure, would face the risk of conviction for perjuly even if they come out with the truth in the trial court. We are not inclined to agree. The trial court. would be in possession of the untire evidence; it should certainly be in a position to assess the contradictory statements in the light of the other evidence and to decide whether either or any of the statements were made voluntarily or under pressure. While a different court would

have nothing more than the two contradictory statements in go upon, the trial court would have the advantage of a knowledge of the entire background to the statements, and would be able to deal with the accused person more justly. We do not believe that any court would act in a mechanical manner and straightaway proceed to a conviction solely on the proof of two contradictory statements. In most cases, it will only be the superior courts that will be called upon to exercise these powers and they can be trusted to administer the law properly.

If is true that there may be intimerous cases, where even criminal courts may not be in a position to use the summary powers which we have recommended. In such cases, the present law will have to be left to govern the procedure of the prosecution of the offender.

 The expression "presumption of innocence" has no Presumpstatutory origin. It is an expression in terse language of tion the principle that the duty lies on the prosecution to prove of innothe guilt of the accused beyond reasonable doubt expression is in reality bound up with the question of the burden of proof. It has achieved the status of a principle of law in the English system of jurisprudence and also in our own, solely for the reason that the burden of proving every ingredient of the offence, even though inegative averments are involved therein", is cast on the prosecu-tor. The general principle is relaxed in some cases where a statute permits some facts to be presumed against an accused person as under section 114 of the Indian Evidence Act. The extent to which an accused person is protected will be apparent from the following observations of the House of Lords :—

"Just as there is evidence on behalf of the prosecution, so there may be evidence on behalf of the prisoner which may east a doubt as to his guilt. In either case, he is entitled to the benefit of doubt. But while the prosecution must prove the guilt of the prisoner, there is no such aurden laid on the prisoner to prove his innocence and it is sufficient for him to raise a doubt as to bis guilt. He is not bound to satisfy the jury of his innocence. .... Throughout the web of English Criminal Law, one golden thread is always to be seen, that it is the duty of the prosecuthen to prove the prisoner's suit, subject to what i have already soon as to the defence of insanity and subject also to any statitury exception. If, at the end of and on the whole of the case, there is reasonable doubt provided by the evidence given by either the prosecution or the prisoner, as to whether the prisoner killied the deceased with a posticious intention, the proscention has not made out the case and the prisoner is entitled to acquittal. No matter what the charge or where the trial, the principle that the presecution roust prove the guilt of the prisoner is part of the

The true scope of the proviple.

Common Law of England and no attempt to whittle it down can be entertained."

The presumption of innocence signifies no more than this that the Comm selon of a crime must be proved beyond all reasonable doubt; in other words as pointed out by Thayer? "the whole doctrine when drawn out is, first, that a person who is charged with a crime must be proved guilty, * * * so that the nomesed stands innocent until he is proved guilty; and, second, that this proof of guilt must displace all reasonable doubt". One would have thought that this principle was rooted firmly in the provisions of the Indian Evidence Act which lays down (a) that the burden of proof as to any particular fact lies on that person who wishes the court to believe in its existence, and (b) that a fact is said to be proved when, after considering the matters before it, the court either believes it to exist, or consider its existence so probable that a prudent man ought, under the circumstances in the particular case. to act upon the supposition that it exists.

The criticism directed against the principle appears to be more a criticism of the manner in which this principle and the principle of giving the accused the benefit of doubt has been applied and misused by weak and incompetent judges.

Criticiama.

 The nature of this criticism can be illustrated by reference to an extract from a publication headed "Law Vs. Justice:"5

"The current philosophy underlying miminal procodure is epitomised in the dictum: 'Lot a hundred guilty men escape but let no single innocent man suffer', It seems to overlook the fact that there cannot be a single guilty person without at least one innorent person having suffered already, and to let a hundred guilty persons escape is to let at least a hundred innucent persons suffer. It may be law, but hardly justice. In the pursuit of this philosophy, the accused is deliberately and invariably advised to plead 'not guilty' and the onus is thrown on the prosecution to prove conclusively the guilt of the accused, and the heriefit of every doubt is given to the accused, resulting often in the acquittal of the guilty, injury to the innocent and defeat of justice by law. It promotes the manufacture of extra evidence, more helpful than truthful,"

Based on a misconcepaism. In our view, there is very little relation between the dictum referred to in the above extract and the principle which we are considering. It is no doubt undesirable and

Woolmington vs. Director of Public Prosecutions 1935 A. C. 463.

^{*}Cited in Amrits Lal Harra & others, vs. Empeyor 42 Cal. 957, 993 and 994.

^{*}By Shri P. Kudanda Rao-form.rly of the Servants of India Society.

extremely unfortunate in the interests of society that guilty men should escape. But the conjuction of godly men will not necessarily be assisted by the weakening of the principle that we are discussing namely that a manwho is to be convicted must be proved to have been guilty by those who across him of the guilt. The relaxation of this principle will not ensure the conviction of more guilty men. By its modification, we would rather make it essier for more innocent men to suffer the penalty of the law.

 The views expressed to us are overwhelmingly No scope against any relexation of the rule that the accused should for relaxbe presumed to be innocent and that it is for the prosecu-arion. tion to establish his guilt beyond reasonable doubt. We are of the view that in the generality of cases it would be dangerous to relax the application of these principles.

 There are, however, some special situations which Special call for the application of section 106 of the Indian Evidence Act. In such cases, one may justifiably demand to Frathat the accused should be required to undertake the dence Act, burden of proving a fact which is specially within his knowledge. The proved or admitted processing by knowledge. The proved or admitted possession by the accused of an incriminating object or stolen properly would call for an application of this special provision. Where the prosecution has proved facts necessary to establish the connection between the meriminating object and the offence and its possession by the accused, the onus will be on the accused person to explain how he came to be in possession of that object. It would be for the court to determine whether the explanation given by the accessed is one that can be believed.

In another class of cases such as those under the Pre- Prevention vention of Corruption Act, the law expressly provides that of Coron the proof of certain facts particular presumptions shall reption be drawn against the accused person. The fact that the Accused person is in possession of pecuniary resources or property disproportionate to his known sources of income has to be proved by the prosecution. On such proof, a presumption that the accused person was guilty of criminal misconduct in the discharge of his official duties shall be made, unless the accused proves the contrary, namely, that the property in his possession is not disproportionate to his sources of income. This, in substance, is an express extension of the principle contained in section 106, because the possession of the resources or the property and the manner by which the accused come to be in possession of such resources or property, would be facts specially within the knowledge of the accused person.

These special laws which throw the burden of/proof of particular facts upon the accused persons, or which provide for certain limited presumptions being drawn against accused persons on certain facts being satablished

Littiration a

by the presenters do not in fact demgate from the principle of the presumption of innocence of an accused person. Even in these cases, though the burden is laid upon the accused to prove facts within his special knowledge failing which certain presumptions arise against him, the overall burden still lies on the prosecution to prove the guilt of the accused; thus the presumption of the innocence of the accused governs even these cases. As has been said. "But while the prosecution must prove the guilt of the prisoner there is no such burden laid on the prison to prove his innocence and it is sufficient for him to raise a doubt as to his guilt; he is not bound to satisfy the jury of his innocence."

**M**inimum Sources 12. The protection of the public the prevention of crime, the determent effect of the physical suffering imposed on the offender and his reformation are broadly the bases of the theory of infliction of punishment on an offender. The view has increasingly been accepted that punishment should not be regarded as a measure of retaliation. How far the imposition of bodity suffering has a reformative effect upon the offender is a psychological question which is not capable of an easy answer. But, it is generally conceded that for the protection of the public and the prevention of crime, some kind of punishment needs to be inflicted upon the offender.

Sourcee a marter of judical discretion. The determination of what should be the proper sentence in a particular case has always been left to the court for the very weighty reason that no two cases would ever be alike and the circumstances under which the offence was committed and the moral turpitude attaching to it would be matters within the special knowledge of the court which has tried the case. There can be no rule of general application laying flown a specific quantum of panishment that should be inflicted in the case of a particular offence. A sound judicial discretion on the part of the trial judge in awarding panishment can alone distinguish between case and case and fit the punishment to the crime in each individual case.

Legislation prescribing minimum scatteness: recent terms.

(13) Of inte, an increasing tendency has been shown by the legislature towards prescribing a minimum sentence in the case of some offences. The principal reason underlying this change appears to be a feeling that courts seldom award sentences which would have a deterrent effect, particularly, in certain types of offences which are necessary to be dealt with sternly in the interests of society. If, therefore, it is argued, a minimum sentence were to be prescribed for certain offences or classes of offences, the award of the really needed deterrent punishment would be assured in these cases.

Lis vagne,

The theory that the more severe the punishment the greater the deterrent effect is itself a matter of contro-

Washington er. The Director of Public Prosecutions, 1945, A. C.

versy. It has not been sacetlained whether there has been a full in the commission of those offences where an enhanced penalty has been assured by prescribing minimum sentences. In dealing with the deterrent effect of really severe sentences, Putakaminer observes:

"If we are hopeful of the curative effects of a threat, we have to make the threat unpleasant, which is another way of saying that we have to be severe. But we tend to lose sight of a factor which is at the very least as important as severity and which is probably more important. That factor—is certainty of punishment."

He proceeds to point out.

## Ale concludes:

Deterrence will really be tested only if we can so far increase the efficiency of our machinery as to produce, if not absolute certainty of punishment, at any rate, a high degree of certainty, by improving our police forces, by improving our judicial machinery, by improving our judy system, by improving the whole line of procedure. And, in analysing how far such an improvement is possible, we should never lorget that to some extent at least severity, and certainty tend to be mutually exclusive—not absolutely mutually exclusive, but that they tend so to be—because the more severe punishment is, the greater the precautions that have to be taken to make sure, that an innocent occasion does not undergo that purashment."

It thus seems to be a matter of doubt whether fixing a minimum term of imprisonment, which is only another way of saying that the punishment be made more severe, will have the effect of reducing the incidence of particular kinds of crime.

Administration of Oriminal Law pages 16 and 17.

^{*18/}d page 17.

Mont pages to and ck,

Cottoperative ratity of such provisions.

14. In the entire body of the Indian Penal Code there are only a few sections which prescribe a minimum penalty. Section 121 prescribes death or map isomeent for life. Section 302 provides for the punishment of imprisonment for life or death. Under section 303, where a person who is under a sentence of imprisonment for life commits marder, he shall be punished with death. There is no alternative punishment prescribed for such a case. Under section 397, a minimum sentence of seven years has been provided in the case of a person who uses a deadly weapon and causes grievous hurt to any person at the time of committing robbery. Under section 398, if a person attempting to commit robbery or deceity is armed with a deadly weapon, he shall be punished with not less than seven years' imprisonment.

Recent Legislation. But, during recent years, several enactments have been passed by the State Legislatures, or Parliament providing for minimum sentences. It is true that in some of these enactments the discretion of the court has not been completely fetterd. Though the section provides for a minimum sentence, the court has been given the liberty, for sufficient ressums to be recorded, to award a lower sentence. For instance, in the Prevention of Food Auditeration Act, 1954 (XXXVII of 1954), in respect of certain specified offences, the law provides that the convicted person shall be punishable—

- (i) for the first offence, with imprisonment for a term which may extend to one year or with fine which may extend to two thousand rupees or with both;
- (ii) for a second offence with imprisonment for a term which may extend to two years and with line: provided that in the absence of special and adequate reasons to the contrary to be mentioned in the judgment of the court, such sentence shall not be less than one year and such fine shall not be less than two thousand rupees;
- (iii) for a third and subsequent offences with imprisonment for a term which may extend to four years and with fine: provided that in the absence of special and adequate reasons to the contrary to be mentioned in the judgment of the court, such imprisonment shall not be less than two years and such fine shall not be less than three thousand rupees. (Section 16).

Analogous provisions exist in the Bombay Prohibition Act, 1949. It is also to be noted that in that Act, it is mandatory that both imprisonment and fine abould be imposed on conviction whether for the first or the subsequent offence.

Recently, section 5 of the Prevention of Corruption-Act, 1947, has been amended by the Criminal Law (Amendment) Act (II of 1958), by providing for a minimum term-

of imprisonment of one year for any public servant convicted of criminal misconduct in the discharge of his duty. The maximum nunishment prescribed is seven years. There is a proviso to the effect, that the court may, for special reasons to be recorded in writing, impose a sentence of imprisonment of less than one year. During the discussion in Parliament, it was stated, that the tendency on the part of judges to impose inadequate sentences had made it necessary to amend the law in this respect. Though figures relating to the number of prosecutions and convictions of public servants under the Prevention of Corruption Act were given, the number of instances in which the sentences awarded was considered to be low. was not indicated.

The Supression of Immoral Traffic in Women and Girls: Act, (CIV of 1956) is an instance where even the limited discretion of the court, available under the Acts referred to, has been taken away from the court. An offence under section 3(1) of this Act, for keeping a brothel or allowing premises to be used as a brothel, "shall be punishable on first conviction with rigorous imprisonment for a term of not less than one year and not more than three years and also with fine which may extend to two thousand rupees and in the event of a second or subsequent conviction, with rigorous imprisonment for a term of not less than two years and not more than five years and also with fine which may extend to two thousand rupces". Similarly, an offence under section 5(1) viz. the procuring, inducing or taking a woman or a girl for the sake of prostitution shall be punishable with rigorous imprisonment for a term not less than one your and not more than two years and also with time which may extend to two thousand rupees. In the event of a second or subsequent conviction for an offence under this section, a person shall be punishable with rigorous imprisonment for a term of not less than two years and not more than five years and also with fine which may extend to two thousand rupees".

15. We are aware that desperate ills such as these and Proper other coactments of a like nature are intended to cure, in special call for desperate remedies and that the punishments cases. prescribed and imposed should be such as to have a deterrent effect on others likely to engage themselves in such anti-social and infamous activities. We therefore, entirely approve of the provisions requiring imposition of a minimum sentence in enactments designed to prevent anti-social acts and intended to further social advancement.

However, the placing of restrictions on judicial discre- Generally tion in the matter of the award of a sentence is, on principal and additional in the matter of the award of a sentence is, on principal additional in the matter of the award of a sentence is, on principal additional in the matter of the award of a sentence is, on principal additional in the matter of the award of a sentence is, on principal additional in the matter of the award of a sentence is, on principal additional additi ple, to be deprecated as a general practice. If the law visible provides for enhanced sentences for a second or subsequent offence, only prescribing an upper limit, the duty will be east on the courts to consider judicially the need for a

Proper tenico).

heavier penalty in any particular case. As already stated, the court would be best able to judge the adequacy of the punishment in each case. If, in the opinion of the State, an dequate sentence has not been imposed (or as required under some Acts, special and adequate reasons for awarding lesser sentence have not been given by the courts), provisions exist in the Code for invoking the powers of the High Court for enhancement of the sentence and they should be resorted to. Instances might have occurred occasionally where judges have failed to award sentences proportionate to the gravity of the offences. This cannot, however, warrant the assumption that the judiciary as a whole has failed to award adequate sentences or overlooked the need for passing deterrent sentences in appropriate cases.

Objections
as to entsdeinder of
charges.

16. We propose to deal with two types of objections in regard to criminal trial, namely, a failure to observe the rules laid down by the Code in regard to joinder of the charges, and a failure to obtain sanction prior to the institution of a prosecution in cases where law requires such sanction.

Present position.

The general principle that a failure to observe provisions of the Code in regard to joinder of charges will not necessarily result in making the proceedings your has been laid down therein. Such a failure will have that consequence only if it has occasioned a failure of justice. The position has recently been clarified by the amendment of Section 537 by Act XXVI of 1935 which has made it clear that any error, omission or irregularity. in the charge, including any misjoinder of charges, shall not lead to a finding, sentence or order being reversed or altered unless such error, oppission or pregularity has in tact occasioned a failure of justice. It was suggested that the recent amendment which has placed an irregularity in the charge on the same footing as other irregularities mentioned in section 587 of the Code of Criminal Procedure did not go for enough and that a general rule should be laid down to prevent questions as to error. omission or irregularity in the charge being raised at any stage of the proceeding except in the courts of the first instance. It was said that in a majority of cases an accused person becomes aware of the defect in the charge during the trial but chooses not to put forward such an objection in the trial room on the calculation that in the event of a conviction such an objection may help him in the Such a manner of dealing court of appeal or revision. with the court is undocoredly most unlair and amounts to taking hoorlies with the court. It is said that in many cases the objection in regard to the irregularity in the framing of the charge is now raised sometimes for the first time in the Supreme Court. It may be that those advising the accused in the trial court have failed to apply their minds to the question at the initial stage or may be that those advising him in the court of appeal have thought

of the alleged irregularity in the trial in an attempt to obtain a setting pside of the conviction or technical grounds. There is no doubt that in many cases considerable delays are sometimes occasioned by a retrial ocing -ordered, and that sometimes the accused person is acquitted on these points being raised in the higher courts. has, therefore, been suggested that once the trial stage has been closed, no points as to any irregularity in joinder of charges should be allowed to be raised in any superior court. We think that the proposal is very drastic. The test in all criminal cases regulating an interference by a superior court rous: be that laid down in section 537. If a disregard of the contravention of the provisions of th∈ law causes a failure of justice, it is monifestly untain that merely because the point was not taken by those who advised the accused at an earlier stage he should be deprived. of the opportunity of raising it and denied the relief to which he is entitled when the trial has in fact not been a fair and just one.

In the framing of the charge, the initial responsibility. rests upon the court. The law lays down the circumstances under which there can be a joinder of charges and the court is expected to see that the charges are not joined in a manner which will handicap the accused in defending himself.

 We have aiready referred to the provisions in the Dury of Criminal Procedure Code which limit the extent to which the court. a misjoinder can lead to a reversal of the order of the trial court. Only cases which have led to a failure of justice invite interference by the superior court. It does not, therefore, appear to be necessary to have a special provision whereby the duty to take an objection as to joinder of charges at the earliest opportunity is east upon the accused person. Even if an objection is not taken by a convicted person in appeal, it would be the duty of the appellate court to examine whether a failure of justice has been occasioned by reason of misjoinder of charges 'If the appellate court comes to an affirmative conclusion, it will be its further duty to interiere with the finding of the trial court.

We do not therefore, think that it would be desirable Change to cast a burden upon the accused to raise this objection unnectaat the trial stage. We are of the view that the existing sary. provisions are more consident with the rules which should normally govern the administration of justice.

18. The further question whether the plea of want of sanction should be permitted in the appellate or nevisional sension. courts, if it has not been raised in the trial court, is of greater importance.

Several provisions such as sections 195, 196, 196A. (98 etc., provide that "no court shall take cognizance" of certain categories of cases except on certain conditions.

Resson for the require-

Courts are not to take cognizance of offences against the lawful authority of public servants, offences against public justice and certain other offences except on a complaint in writing by the public servant or by the court concerned. In respect of certain offences against the State, specified in section 196, a prosception has to be preceded by a complaint made by order of, or under authority from, the State Government or some officer empowered by the State Government in this hichalf. In cases of conspiracy also, such a complaint by the State Government or some specified officer is necessary. In other categories of offences referred to in section 198, a complaint has to be made by same person aggreeved by such offence. Act XXVI of 1955. enacted section 198B dealing with prosecutions for defamation of public servants in respect of their conduct in the discharge of their functions. An exclusive jurisdiction has been conferred on the court of session to take cognizance of such offences upon a complaint in writing made by the public prosecutor. The public prosecutor is required to obtain the previous sanction of certain specified authorities before filing the complaint. In such cases, the law bars a court from taking cognizance of offences, except upon a complaint made by a specified person who must art with the previous sanction of specified authorities.

19. We may also refer to section 197 which relates to the prosecution of judges, magistrates or public servants. When any of these persons is accused of an offence alleged to have been committed by him while acting or purporting to act in the discharge of his official duties, the law provides that no court shall take cognizance of such an offence except with the previous sanction of the Control or the State Government, as the case may be. In these cases also, the previous sanction of the Government is necessary before a criminal proceeding of the nature specified can be taunched against such a person. Though the police might investigate such an offence and prepare a police report, the court is not competent to commence proceedings upon such a report if, in the case of such an accused person, previous sanction has not been accorded by the Government concerned.

It has to be remembered that section 537 which deals with irregularities or illegalities does not include want of sanction within its scope. The reason for this omission probably is that unless such sanction is available at the commencement of the proceedings, the court would have no jurisdiction to deal with the matter. Where in a case in which previous sanction is required by the law and a prosecution has been launched without such sanction, the proceedings will be void as the court is prevented from trying the case without such previous sanction.

Underlying polity. 20. The policy underlying these provisions appears to be that persons in responsible positions ought to be protected from malicious or vexatious proceedings in respect of

acts done by them in the discharge of their official daties and that no prosecution should be permitted to be launched against such pursons, unless there is some reasonable foundation for the accusation. If is obvious that the administration connot be carried on, if a citizen is to be free to invoke the criminal law against public servants whose lawful action may engender his hostility. However, the public servant is not placed beyond the reach of the law. His official acts are, initially protected; but if an act purporting to have been done by him in the discharge of his official duties is alleged to be an offence, a superior authority responsible for the administration becomes entitled to examine the matter. If it is satisfied that the allegation calls for judicial scrutiny, it may sanction the prosecution of the public servant. By these provisions every public servant would not become liable to face frivolous prosecutions launched by disgrunifed members of the public.

Section 197 applies only to offences alleged to have been committed by public servants' while acting or purporting to act in the discharge of their duties. It does not apply to all offences alleged to have been committed by a public servant. The Privy Council in interpreting this provision has observed that "The test may well be whether the public servant, if challenged, can reasonably claim that what he does, he does in virtue of his office!

The question has been raised whether, in cases where the law requires sanction before courts can take cognizance of cases, a contention based on the want of sanction should tion whbe permitted to be raised in the appellate or revisional court, if it has not been raised in the trial court. The defence of want of sanction is, it is said, the last resort of many dishonest public servants and is generally not put forward till the matter is under appeal. It is, therefore, arged that I is important that courts should investigate at the carliest opportunity whether a sanction is necessary. The interests of the accused also require that he should raise the contention at the earliest stage because, if such a plea is upheld by the trial court, a duty would be cast upon the superior authorities to examine the facts of the case and in exoperate the public servant, if the charge against him is ill-founded or grant the necessary sanction in case the complaint is true. It has already been stated that only public servants who are conscious of having acted illegally in the discharge or the purported discharge of their official duties do not put forward the defence at the earliest stage. The prosecution would thus have the advantage of curing the defect of want of sanction in the proceedings started by it if it raises the contention at the carliest stage.

22. It is difficult to regard a want of sanction required want of by the law to entitle the court to entertain the prosecution sanction in the same light as an error, omission or irregularity in affects

Rejaing such objec-SECRETAL TO

propie-

the framing of the charge. The court is, in the absence of sanction, without jurisdiction to entertain the proceedings. But when charges are improperly framed, a court having jurisdiction merely acts in contravention of some provisions of the Code regulating the course of the trial. It would, we think, be wrong in principle to permit an order passed by a court without jurisdiction to be effective merely because the accused person failed to raise the plea of want of jurisdiction before the trial court, as if such conduct of the accused could have the effect of giving sanctity to an order or sentence of a court not authorised to pass it.

Sanction acceptary magnetises of policy.

23. Nor must we forget that sanction to the initiation of proceedings is required not only in the case of public servants charged with offences but also in other cases where public policy requires that offences should not be investigated by a court of law unless the State permits them : to be investigated. We are referring to offences against the State like sedition or waging war against the Government of India. Obviously, want of sanction affecting the jurisdiction of the court must be treated alike in all cases. whether they be cases of offences by public servants or offences against the State. As already pointed out, the principle underlying the regularment of sanction is that in the case of these offences public policy requires that prior to the initiation of the proceedings the State should decidewhether the proceedings should or should not be started Once the requirement of sanction is accepted to be a matter governed by considerations of public policy, it would obviously be unsound to treat it as a mere technical objection which, if not raised at the earliest stage, should not be allowed to be raised at a later stage.

Not an impularity Nor would it be correct to treat the want of sanction as an irregularity or or ission of the nature mentioned and provided for in section 537 of the Criminal Procedure Code. The underlying principle of that section is that the failure to observe the low should make the proceeding void only when such failure has occasioned a miscarriage of justice. No question, however, of miscarriage of justice having taken place could arise from a want of sanction which the law requires. It is not a procedural defect. It is a defect which goes to the reof of the proceedings inasmuch as it hars the court from entertaining and dealing with the matter.

Change undegiantdo.

In the corconistances, we are unable to recommend any alteration in the existing law in regard either to the need for previous sanction or to the consequences of the absence of such a sanction.

Residing out judgment in ensert 24. Section 366 of the Criminal Procedure Code remaines that every judgment of a criminal court of original judisdiction shall be pronounced in open court or the substance of the judgment shall be explained in the language of the court or in some other language which the accused or his pleader understands. There is a provise to this section,

which requires that "the whole judgment shall be read out by the presiding judge, if he is requested so to do either by the prosecution or the defence." We were told that in the subordinate criminal courts judgments are not as a rule read out in open court; nor is a request generally made either by the prospection or the defence that the judgment should be read.

The provise appears to have been enacted to enable the accused person or the prosecution to know how the judgehas viewed the case and how he reached his conclusions. Section 371 makes it imperative that the accused shall be given a copy of the judgment without delay and free of cost in any case other than a summons case. The recent tra puramendment of the Criminal Procedure Code has rather rose. provided by Section 371(4) that where the accused is sentenced to imprisonment, "a copy of the finding and sentence shall, as soon as may be after the delivery of the judgment. be given to the accused free of cost". These requirements are intended to enable the accused person after conviction to move for bail and to prefer an appeal.

25. It seems to us, therefore, that no purpose is served by the provise to section 366. Section 367 requires that the judgment shall be dated and signed by the presiding officer in open court at the time of pronouncing it. It is also not competent to the court to after its judgment in any manner except in order to correct a clerical error. Ordinarily, therefore, the written judgment would be ready in its final shape when the judge pronounces it. It should therefore, he possible for the judge to have a sufficient number of copies of the judgment available for being handed over immediately in the accused if he is entitled to a free copy. Such a copy could perhaps be made available in every case both to the proscention and the defence for their perusal without the judge being called upon to waste his time in reading the whele of the judgment. This would be in accord with our recommendation that the High Courts should not read out in court reserved judgments but make copies thereof available for perusal. Such an alteration in the law would ensure, that the Judge propares his judgment before the date of its pronouncement and no apportunity would be left to the Judges to pronounce judgments without having written mem previously. We accomingly recommend that the praying to section 366 regulating the Copy a judgment to be read out, or deleted. It should be provided indexect that a copy of the judgment should be made immediately to be specifiable to the specified aveilable to the prosecution or the defence for perusal for per-That would of course by without prejudice to the rights was of the accessed person, is a free copy of the judgment as other sections of the Criminal Procedure Code provide.

26. The law of evidence requires the production of the bar best evidence in proof of all relevant facts. This principle Wilnesses, is expressed in section 60 of the Indian Evidence Act, which prescribes the general mode of proof and requires that oral

Section 5 to Cr. P. C.

evidence tendered in the court should be direct evidence. This is, however, not an absolute rule and is subject to numerous exceptions. One such exception is contained in section 510, of the Criminal Procedure Code which lays down as a special rule of evidence, that a document purporting to be a report under the hand of any Chemical Examiner. or Assistant Chemical Examiner to the Government or the Chief Inspector of Explosives or the Director of Finger Print Bureau or an officer of the Mint, regarding matter or thing duly submitted to him for examination or analysis and report, might be used in evidence in any inquiry or trador proceeding without actually summoning him for examination in court. The principal object of dispensing with the personal attendance of such witnesses is to avoid the expense, delay and inconvenience that would be caused, if these witnesses were to be obliged to rush from one court to another.

Recert
mount

Before the recent amendment of the Code, this special mode of proof was restricted only to the reports of the Chemical Examiner or the Assistant Chemical Examiner but the scope of the section has now been extended to other categories of experts like the Chief Inspector of Explosives, Director of Finger Print Bureau or an officer of the Mint, all of whom, before the amendment, had to appear before the court and personally testify with regard to matters submitted to them for report. Another change that has been made by the recent amendment of Section 510 is that, while before the amendment, the Court alone had the discretion to summon the Chemical Examiner for examination, if it thought fit, under the amended section the court is bound. to summon and examine any such expert on the application of the prosecution or the accused. The court has no diseretion to refuse such an application, even if it be of the view that the personal examination would serve no useful purpose and that the sole purpose of an application to examine him is to delay the proceedings unnecessarily. The accused thus now enjoys the unrestricted privilege of sommoning the expert witnesses, which at any rate so far as the Chemical Examiner is concerned, he never enjoyed before. Under Sections 252 and 257 of the Code, a magistrate trying a warrant case, has considerable discretion in summoning or refusing to summon prosecution or defence witnesses. He may summon only such of the prosecution witnesses, as he thinks necessary and refuse to summon defence witnesses, if he thinks that the application of the accused is made for the purpose of vexation of delay or for defeating the ends of justice. In contrast, section 510 confers an unrestricted privilege upon the prosecution or the accused, without any discretion being left to the court. We think that such an unqualified right given to the prosecution and the defence, is likely to be abused and cause unreasonable delay in the disposal of criminal cases. No specific cases of delay having occurred. on this account were brought to our notice. But in the

replies to our Questionnaire, a substantial body of opinion expressed itself against this provision giving the prosecution or the defence an unrestricted right. In most of the States, my few such experts are available and if the prosecution. or the accused is to be given the liberty, uncontrolled by the discretion of the court, to require the personal appear- Recommenance of these witnesses, not only would unnecessary expense dation. and inconvenience be caused to the prosecution, but considerable delays will arise in the disposal of cases. We therefore, recommend that section 510 Criminal Procedure Code be amended, so as to give the court, the discretion to summon these expert witnesses only in those cases, in which substantial grounds are made out by the prosecution or the accused to justify their personal attendance before the court. These officers are generally summoned to appear in the Superior Courts and these Courts may well be trusted to exercise the discretion properly.

 Our recommendations in this Chapter may be sum-Symmetry. marised as follows:---

of recom-menda-

- (1) If a witness has made two contradictory statements on oath, the court before which he made the subsequent statement, should have the power to nunish him summarily for perjury.
- (2) The maximum penalty which can be awarded. by the court in any such case should be limited to imprisonment for six months and or a fine of five hundred supees.
- (8) A person thus summarily convicted should have a right of appeal.
- (4) It is not desirable to relax the presumption of innoceases of an accused person,
- (5) Except in the case of anti-social offences, it is: not desirable to restrict the exercise of judicial discretion by prescribing a minimum penalty by statute. Instead, the High Courts' revisional jurisdiction should . be invoked when inadequate sentences are passed.
- (6) The law relating to the consequences of a misjoinder of changes or lack of sanction needs no alternation.
- (7) The provise to section 366 of the Criminal Procedure Code may be repealed. Instead it should be enacted that copies of the judgment should be immediately made available for perusal by the prosecution or the accused,
- (8) Section 510 of the Criminal Procedure Code. should be amended so that the expert witnesses mentioned therein can be called by either party to depose before the court, only with the leave of the court.

## 41—SEPARATION OF JUDICIAL AND EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONS.

Campuitutional firection.

 Notwithstanding the directive principle in Article 50. of the Constitution that the State shall take steps to separate present posi- the judiciary from the executive in the public service of the State, a large number of States have failed to carry out the separation. The present position is that the judiciary has not been separated from the executive in Assam, Orissa, Rajosthan, West Bengal and in portions of the States of Punjab and Madhya Pradesh which as they existed before the re-organisation of States. In Uttar Pradesh, in some districts, judicial officers (criminal) are not entrusted with executive duties but the supervision of their work and their promotions rest with the executive. In Bihar, separation has been introduced in twelve out of the seventeen districts constituting the State.

Parrose of zeform.

The real purpose of this reform is to ensure the Independent functioning of the judiciary freed of all suspicion of executive influence or control direct or indirect-It incidentally ensures that officers will devote their time entirely to judicial duties and this fact leads to efficiency in the administration of justice.

History of the movement for separation in Beltish. India.

The importance of the freedom of the judiciary from executive control was recognised by the British as far back as 1793. In section 1 of Regulation II of 1793, it was stated that "the Government must divest itself of the power of infringing in its executive capacity the rights and privileges which as exercising the legislative authority, it has conferred on the landholders. The revenue officers must be deprived of their judicial powers." Generations of eminent statesmen, administrators and judges have repeatedly pressed for this reform. Ever since the year 1886, the Indian National Congress has emphasised the urgency of this measure and called for its implementation from year to year. In 1899, a body of distinguished men including Sir-Richard Carth, a retired Chief Justice of Bengal and Lord Hobbouse made a representation to the Secretary of State for India urging upon him the need for the carrying out of this reform. More recently, the Islington Commission dealt with this question and, in an impressive minute, Sir Abdur Rabim, then a Judge of the Madras High Court, investigated. all its aspects. A number of schemes were prepared to give effect to this change by distinguished men like Mr. R. C. Dutt. Sir Harvey Adamson, Mr. P. C. Mitter and others, but they were not given effect to. In several provinces, Committees were appointed with a view to work out this reform but their recommendations also remained unimplemented. It was obviously to the interests of the foreign

rulers to entrench executive authority by hestowing upon it some judicial functions as well: and naturally, notwithstanding the acceptance of the principle and the insistent demands of various sections of the people, the reform was not carried out till after independence.

4. Though the first steps to effect this reform in what Indian was British India were taken after Independence, in several States, former Indian States the judiciary had been separated from the executive for a long time. Among such States may be mentioned the States of Corhin in Hyderabad.

5. So insistent was the public feeling in this matter that Article when the present Article 50 was being debated in the Con- Constitustituent. Assembly, there was a considerable body of apinion tion. in favour of fixing a time-limit of three years in the Article itself for carrying our the separation. The time-limit, it appears, was eventually not fixed on the assurance of the Prime Minister, Shri Jawaharlal Nehru, that the Government was entirely in forour of the separation and that in a large part of India the change might be brought about much sooner than that.

We felt that after the consistent public opinion in favour of this change and the acceptance of it by the Constitution, its desirability would be beyond argument. Though the bulk of the evidence before us not only completely favoured it but called for its immediate implementation, there appeared to be in some quarters a reluctance to give effect to it.

It would be convenient at this stage to set out the manner in which the scheme of separation has been implemented in the major States of Madras and Bombay.

 The introduction of separation in Madraa followed &cheme the report of a Committee appointed in 1946 for the purpose of separation formulating a scheme. The separation has been effected Madras. in Madras by executive orders and not by statute. In the initial stages, the scheme was introduced only in a few districts; it was extended to other districts year by year, care being taken to observe the difficulties found in its working and to remove their causes. It was thus gradually brought into force in Madras State including the separated

Under the Criminal Procedure Code and other relevant Alberton statutes, the functions of a magistrate fall into three broad of functions categories, namely categories, namely-

- (a) functions which are "police" functions in their muljudical nature, e.g., the handling of unlawful assemblies;
- (b) functions of an administrative character. e.g., issue of licences for fire arms, and similar functions, and
- (e) functions which are essentially judicial, e.g., the trial of criminal cases.

These functions were, (ii) the introduction of the scheme, all performed by the Collector of the district and by a number of magistrates subordinate to and controlled by him. The essential feature of the scheme was that purely judicial functions coming under category (c) were transferred from the Cellector and magistrates subordinate to him to a new set of officers who were no longer to be under the control of the Collector. Functions under (a) and (b) were to contime to be discharged by the Collector and the revenueofficers subordinate to him. Officers performing functions in category (c) were to be called "judicial magistrales" and those performing functions in categories (a) and (b) were to be called "executive magistrates." In the category of judicial magistrates were the District Magistrates, the Subdivisional Magistrates, the Additional First Class Magis-trates and the Second Class Magistrates (Sub-Magistrates). The executive magistrates were the executive officers of the revenue department, namely, the Collectors, the Reveone Divisional Officers, the Tabsildars and the Deputy Tabsildars on whom the responsibility for the maintenance of law and order was to continue to rest. The Collector was, by virtue of his office, to retain some of the nowers of the District Magistrate and was to be called the "Additional District Magistrate". Similarly, the Revenue Divisional Officers cuntinued to be ex-officer First Class Magistrates. and Tabsildars and the Deputy Tabsildars, ex-officio Second Class Magistrates. These officers were not to exercise any judical functions in the sense that they were not to uy any criminal cases. Their powers were restricted to the making of emergency orders under sections 133 to 144 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. They were also given powers to bind over persons to keep the peace under section. 107 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Powers under sections 10% to 110 were given exclusively to the judicial magistrates. Powers under section 144 could, however, be exercised by both classes of magistrates. Powers of revision under section 435 to 438 were to be exercisable by the judicial magistrates alone. The jurisdiction in disputes regarding immovable property (under section 145) and the following sections could be exercised by the executive magistrales. These changes were brought into effect by G.O. No. 3106 Public (Separation), dated 9th September, 1949, which has been from time to time amended.

The Bombsy Scheme.

7. In Bombay (as constituted before the reorganization of States), a similar scheme was brought into effect by the passing of the Separation of Judicial and Executive Functions Act. (XXIII of 1951). The main points of distinction, between the Madras and the Bombay schemes are that, whereas in Modras the head of the judicial magistrates in a district is the District Magistrate (Judicial), in Bombay the head is the Sessions Judge and that, whereas in Madras powers under sections 108 to 110 of the Criminal Procedure Code are exercisable only by judicial magistrates, in

Bombay these powers are left to be exercised by executive magistrates.

 It may be pointed out that in both the States the Judicial judicial magistrates are, like civil judicial officers, under immisrates the administrative control of the High Courts.

Tiga Court.

We armed at the end of this Chapter a statement showing some of the salignt features of the allocation of powers and functions under the Criminal Procedure Code in the schemes of separation in Modras and Bombay.

9. In the other States or parts of States where separa- Extent of tion has been introduced, the Wadras method of issuing separation, executive instructions has been followed and the allocation of powers and functions is broadly on the same pattern as in Vadvas or Bombay with enanges in the The States in which nomenclature of the officers. separation is now in force are Madras, Antibra Pradesh. Kerala, Mysore, Bombay excluding Viderona, the Madhya Bharat and Vindhya Pradesh areas of Mathya Fradesh, the PEPSU region of the Punjab and twelve districts of Biban

In Uttar Pradesh, a scheme of what is called separation I wishow has been introduced by executive orders in some districts. No real In certain districts, some of the officers, caused judicial sources. officers, were appointed whose duties were only the trial sparation, of criminal and sevence cases; they had no executive duties. They were not to be under the control of the Collector and the District Magistrate. They were, however, placed under the control of the Commissioner of the division through an Add tional District Magistrate (Judicial). These judicial magistrates devote their time exclusively to the trial of cases. Powers under sections 107, 108 to 110, 144 and 195 of the Criminal Procedure Code are. it appears, being exercised by the executive magistrates. The High Court has no control over these judicial magistrakes. It will thus be clear that the system of senaration. which prevails in some of the districts of Uttar Pradesh is only a separation in form; the substance of separation, namely, the freeing of the judicial officers from executive control has not been achieved.

 The Chief Secretary of the Punjab Government who Critics of purported to represent the ylews of his Government stated separation. that, "in the context of the situation that obtains in the Views of the Punjab, taking into consideration the incidence of crime Punjah and the parture of crime for compressed atmosphere, the Government. and the nature of crime, the communal atmosphere, the constant law and order problem, Government are naturally keen to have as effective a machinery under their disposal. as possible for dealing with different types of situations and that Government's view is that if there is complete separation, ..... probably the Government's hands would not be as strong in dealing with grimes or dealing with law and order problem as they would be without separation".

According to him, the local magistrate is, in the eyes of the Government, responsible for the maintenance of law and order and by reason of his constant contact with the police he is able to exercise control over the law and order or the crime situation. He stated that the Government felt that they should have some sort of control over the proceedings in a criminal case right to its end. This officer had to concede that the local magistrate's view point is not "com, per cent judicial" and that he has always an eye on the law and order problem in his illege. It was further said that, "the executive magistrates always look up to the Government for promotion and advancement in service and that the judicial magistrates would not do that and therefore they would not bother about the law and order situation". In effect, the officer seemed to plead for the perpetuation of the very evils which the separation of the sudiciary from executive control is designed to remedy. Indeed, what is said seems to imply that the magistrate, in dealing with the case, should not be governed by strict rules of evidence and should, as it were, be free to act upon his belief that the person before him is guilty. This seems to us to be a complete negation of the very loundation of the system of our criminal jurisprudence which presumes innocance in fayour of the accused.

The Inspector-General of Police of the Punjab also gave evidence before us and his view was that the judicial magistrates asked for too high a standard of evidence and that, even when they convict persons, the sentences awarded were too low having regard to the nature and frequency of the offence.

Contrary views II. The view of the Punjab citizen was expressed by a Member of Parliament hailing from the Punjab in these words: "If the Punjab Government still thinks that they cannot control law and order aituation with separation, all that I can say is that the Government is not taking a correct view of the situation. If there is any difficulty it has to be crossed over. The main thing is that the executive do not want to part with their power. "" "Do they mean that they can control law and order situation better if they can secure the punishment for the crimes as they want? If they want to maintain law and order at that east, then better abolish the judiciary".

Objection unsoppole

12. We have given our most anxious consideration to the view put forward on behalf of the Punjab Government as it was said to arise from factors peruliar to the Punjab. It is noticeable, however, that in the errowhile State of PEPSU which now forms part of the Punjab, separation has been in force for a considerable time and it has not been suggested that the continuance of separation in that area has given rise to any special problems or that the crime situation in that part of the Punjab has been worse than in other parts of that State.

Views somewhat similar to those expressed by the Punjab Government were also expressed by certain officers of the police and the magistracy in Rajasthan and Madhya. Pradesh.

13. On the other hand, we have before us the views of Separation Inspectors-General of Police of all the States excepting the pet affected. State of Kerala (where the Inspector-General did not give law \$14 evidence) in which separation has been in force—in some of them for a considerable number of years-and their unanimous view was that the introduction of separation had not had any adverse effect on the law and order situation in their States. Indeed, some high executive officers welcomed the change as it had relieved the executive of the responsibility of some judicial work,

 A somewhat different aspect of the law and order Other problem in relation to separation was stressed by the view possible expressed by some witnesses that the judicial magistrates and their very often failed to appreciate the difficulties encountered solution. by investigating officers and the executive authorities and demanded unattainable standards of proof in weighing the cylidence before them in criminal cases. It was stated that not infrequently they demanded a standard of proof in criminal cases which was higher than even that required by law and acquitted the accused in cases where they deserved conviction.

This certainly is a matter which requires careful consi-Though it is necessary that magistrates should be independent of the control of the executive, they should at the same time be made aware of the difficulties of the executive in dealing with the matters which come before them and the procedure adopted by the police in the investigation of cases. Independence from the control of the executive does not mean looking askance at the executive or having a tendency to add to the difficulties of the executive. It appears to us, therefore, that it would be desirable to introduce in all the States where the judiciary is separated from the executive a system of training judicial magistrates such as now obtains, for instance, in the State of Madras. In that State, judicial magistrates are, before they are posted to their offices, subjected to a period of training in the revenue and police departments which onables them to appreciate the difficulties of executive officers. and the way in which the investigation of crime is conductcd. The witnesses who raised this aspect of the question. before us readily admitted that such a course of training would result in the removal of the difficulties which they had mentioned.

It was also suggested that judicial magistrates would not be able to deal effectively with disturbances and like situs. tions and that separation would, therefore, involve the recruitment of a larger number of executive magistrates. who are trained to deal with such situations. An answer to such an objection was given by the Chief Secretary to the Uttar Pradesh Government who stated that if a larger number of executive stall was needed to deal with such situations, the necessary personnel could be engaged and that, by and large, the number of officers needed, taking the judicial and executive magistrates together, would not be larger and the cost to the Government would not increase. This objection can, moreover, be met by adopting the Madras practice of training the judicial magistrates to deal with emergent situations so that their services can be utilised in such situations in the absence of executive magistrates.

Separation necessary for efficiency.

15. The problem of separation for from receding—to the , background by reason of the advent of freedom, as is suggested in same quarters, has really become more scule from the point of view of the efficiency of the magistracy. In a mumber of States, evidence was given before, us of gross neglect of judicial work by executive magistrates by reason of the increased demands of their executive duties. It was pointed out that, with the advent of a number of welfare activities carried on in the country-side, new and important duties had fallen on executive officers which left little time to them to devote to magisterial and other judicial work. Increasing expansion of governmental activities has also made it very difficult for the District Magistrate to space any time for the supervision of the magisterial work of his subordinates. It was frankly admitted by a number of District Magistrates in the revenue department that, in the circumstances which had arisen, supervision, by them over the performance of magisterial duties by their subordinates hardly existed. The evidence showed that this situation had led in certain parts of Bihar, Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthon to extraordinary delays in the filsposal of numerous cases penuing before the magistrates. It may, therefore, be safely asserted that even apart from the need for giving effect to the universally acknowledged principle that the judiciary should be independent of the control of the executive, separation is most argently and immediately called for to ensure the efficiency of the magistrates and the removal of the extreme delays in the disposal of criminal proceedings in the magistrates' courts.

Referm nextstary even after Independence.

- 16. The argument that the advent of Independence had completely altered the situation in respect of separation and that there was no longer any need for it as the executive is now under the control of a popular government may be answered in the following words of the report of the Modras Committee on separation[†]:—
  - "...however vigilant and however anxious a Minister at the top may be, it would be burnarly impossible for him to coarre that things never go wrong.

Report of the Committee on the Separation of the Judiciary from the Executive 1946, page 48, page, 135.

As a very senior and experienced Advocate says in a memorandum he has sent to us 'An executive is an executive whether British or Indian', If it were as simple and easy as the argument sounds to control all the acts of the agents of executive power, it becomes difficult to understand why such elaborate safeguards and balances of power are provided for in the English and American constitutional systems."

17. An equally effective answer was given by that Com- judicial mittee to the suggestion that magistrates under the control Magisustes of the High Court exact standards of proof impossible of responsible achievement and that this results in crimes going unpunished: "Underlying the discussion of this question there is an apprehension that an independent magistrary will favour the criminal especially when the magistrate is a lawyer but that if he were under the control of Covernment, he would look upon the Government point of view with more favour. This is not generally true. To the extent that a magistrate decides a case without fear or favour, it is a commendable attitude and not one to be deprecated. In times of disorder, magistrates do take orgnizance of existing conditions. and recognize the exceptional demands in the interests of public peace. The High Court has never been unmindful of the claims of order, and there is no reason to suppose that they will ignore them now that a popular Government has taken the place of the old."

18. It needs to be comphasised at the risk of repetition Power of that the scheme of separation will in no way "make the the executive path of the wrong-door easier or weaken legitimate author- on weaken." ity. All that we are trying to ensure is that in the conduct of criminal trials, extra legal means are not held in terromin to wright the scales in favour of the prosecution."2 The powers necessary for maintaining law and order in the hands of the Collector and his subordinates will remain unaffected. What will be ensured is that those administering justice, i.e., those conducting criminal trials shall not be in any manner under the executive so that not only will justice be done but that justice will be manifestly seen to be ∙done.

 The increased cost of separation is, perhaps, the old-Pinancial est argument used against its introduction. As far back as objections. 1837, Lord Auckland, dealing with the subject of separation observed that "financial considerations" were one of the grounds which made the question "one of great difficulty." The increased fluorical burden was thereafter repeatedly referred to by officials and non-officials dealing with the matter. In 1897 Mr. C. D. Field, then a Judge of

the Calcutta High Court, writing in the Asiatic Quarterly

Report of the Committee on the Separation of the Judickry from the Executive p. 49, para. 237.

Weal, p. 11, pars. 32.

Review for January, attacked what he called the "financial argument" in these words:—"In 1860 the financial difficulty was the strongest argument advanced against complete separation and ever since, as other means of defence have been weakened by time and progress, this big old gun has been brought out as an irresistible piece of artillery. But time has affected this also, and it can no longer do *** If the change would cost a little, would not this little be spared from the tax on justice, that justice may be done? Very little (if anything) would be required if the reform were carried out by someone having the knowledge of the existing system and some faculty of organisation. Bearing in mind this economy that results from division of labour and large existing establishments ready for rearranging, it is by no means impossible that an actual saving could be effected."

Extra expendature not excessive.

- 20. The matter has, however, now ceased to be one of merely making a guess of the increased cost as the new system has been at work in several States. In Madres, the Committee estimated the increased cost for the whole of the province before its division into Madras and Andhra at Rs. 3,57,936 per annum 2. The information before us reveals that the cost has worked out at a lesser figure than was originally anticipated. In Orissa, which is about to introduce the system of separation, the net extra expenditure involved in bringing this scheme into effect in five out of thirteen districts is estimated at Rs. 33,455 recurring per annum and Rs. 10,000 non-recurrings. We are justified in concluding that while, no doubt, certain extra expenditure will result from the introduction of this system, it will by no means be excessive. Wherever the scheme is introduced it might be possible, as has happened in Madras, to effect remembers to a certain extent on the executive side as the existing executive officers would, as a result of the separation, be freed from their judicial duties.
- 2). It is not unlikely that difficulties of obtaining adequate trained personnel may be experienced in the initial stages. In such cases the scheme may be introduced by stages in groups of districts.

Personnel and machinery for supervision, The cyldence before as shows that a scheme of separation does involve additional duties of supervision over the judicial magistrates which the District and Sessions Judges will not be able to perform. It would, therefore, be necessary in order that the scheme may work efficiently that the district judge should be given the assistance of a District Magistrate (Judicial) or an Additional District and

^{*}Cated by the Report of the ** Committee on the Separation of the Judiciary from the Executive 1947. Humbuy page 17, page 66.

^{*}Report of the Committee on the Separation of the Judiciary from the Executive page 65.

Report of the Committee on the Separation of the Judiciary from the Recordice in the State of Origan, 1953, page 13.

Sessions Judge whose principal functions would be supervision of the subordinate magistrates' courts. The advantage of the appointment of a District Magistrate (Judicial) rather than an Additional District and Sessions Judge to perform these duties would be that he cannot be laken away for the performance of sessions or civil appullate work, and that he would probably be a person more fitted to supervise the work of the subordinate magistrates. It may be mentioned that the system we are recommending has worked estisfactorily in Madras.

 The system of separation of the judiciary from the Conclusions. executive having been accepted as one of the directive principles of State policy, one would have thought if unnecessary to discuss the advantages of separation, and the arguments against it. We have dealt with these matters because we found, as stated above, a lurking opposition to the principle of the scheme in various States based on considerations which are not well-founded. We are of the view that this is a matter on which legislation by Parliament is necessary. Such legislation will have the advantage of bringing into operation throughout the country a uniform system of separation and force the pace of its introduction in States which have delayed and fallen behind. The Hombay Separation of Judicial and Executive Functions Act (XXIII of 1951) would, we think, serve as a model for such legislation. We may, however, indicate that our preference is for leaving the actual trials even in cases under sections 108 to 110 of the Criminal Procedure Code to the judicial magistrates as in Madras, the executive magistrates' duties being contined in this respect to such immediate action as may be necessary. In order, however, to obviate delays which are bound to arise in enacting such legislation by the Union Parliament we would recommend that the States which have not so for introduced the scheme of separation should forthwith introduce it by executive action as has been done in Madras.

Our recommendations which are set out below will have Recomno application to the scheduled and tribal areas which are mendations. under the Consultation being administered under special provisions.

- Separation has worked satisfactorily where it has been introduced and its introduction has not led to any difficulties in the executive officers being lable to maintain law and order. There is, therefore, no reason why the scheme should not be put into operation in the remaining States.
- (2) The additional expense of administration due to the introduction of separation will not be great as is known by the experience of the States which have introduced it. Such additional expenditure as may be involved is essential for the proper administration of justice,

- (3) The lack of adequate personnel may create difficulties in its immediate introduction all over the State. These difficulties can be met by following the Madras method of introducing separation in groups of districts year by year so that the scheme is introduced throughout a State within a period of three to five years.
- (4) The system of separation should be a real one and not merely one in form as in Uttar Pradesh and in the Punish.
- (5) Under the scheme of separation, it would be desirable to appoint a District Magistrate (Judicial) for the purpose of exercising effective supervision and control over the subordinate magistrates, as the District and Sessions Judge will not be able to find the time for the performance of those duties.
- (6) Legislation for bringing about separation should be enacted by Parliament on the model of the Bombay Separation of Judicial and Executive Functions Act (XXIII of 1951). But pending the passing of such legislation, the States which have not so far introduced separation should introduce it forthwith by executive action as in Madras.

ANNEXURE

Statement should the ellocation of percent and functions between the falloid and the Exercise Magistrates in the schome of reparation of fallobery at Madree and Bomber,

	•				-	
		MADRAS		BOMBAY		
	Subject-matter	Judicial Mugisgrares earpowered to accounted section	Exceptive Magistrates empowered to act under section	Judicial Magistrates empowered to set under section	Executive Magistratics empowered to so, under section	Kem≋rks
		·· — · -	3	4	5	6
I	Samples for keeping the peace on one- viction.	\$56		106		Note.—The manhers given in columns at the similar the section of the Code of Criminal Procedure.
Z	Security for keeping the peace in other cases.		107		107	
3	Security for good behaviour from persons disseminating sedicions that are,	10%	Rus		RDJ	Sections 106—126 A. In Medica, the rule is that entry the judicial magistrates will have jurisdiction to conduct proceedings. But it order to provide for commissions:
4	Security for good behaviour from vag- rants and suspected persons.	129	109	••	109	hoth the judicial as well as executive Mogiarrates have been given concurrent jurisdiction under these sections.
5	Security for good behaviour from habit-	TIC	1 ( )		615	S
6	tual aftenders.  Procedure to be followed by magistrates an accuracy proceedings.	\$12—125A	112 –125A	.,	112-126A	Sections 127—152 in 144. In Madrus, ac- cording to executive instructions, patient magnetates should take action only in grave emergencies in the observe of
7	Procedure in dealing with unlawful gescaphilies.	127 152	127— 132	127-132	127- 132	Executive Magneta.ca.
8	Procedure in dealing with public oui- sances.		133143		:33—I43	Sections 133—734 or 145—748. In Madras according to the brights plan the Execusive Magistrates exercised jurisdiction at the preliminary stage but at the trial

	I	2	3	4	5	6
g	Pewers to issue temperary orders in organic cases of guisance or appro- hended danger.	144	144		· -	stage i.e. the final stage the cuses were transferred to the judicial magistrates. Since 1914, these matters are cardistively deal, with by the Executive Magistrares.
17	Proceedings, where dispute concerning immovable, property is likely at manage handle of the content of the cont	••	145—1 ₄ 8	••	145—143	
11	hreach of peace.  Role of Magistrates at the stage of police investigations.	X5S—163	155—163	155-463	1551 <b>6</b> 3	Section 144. In Medros Judicial Magistrates so, in the absence of Expeditive Magistrates. A Chief Presidency Magistrate is engowered to air under the provisions
12	Powers of Magistrates to record con- fessions, and statements.	164		164	164	of section 144 Cr. P. C. Sections 155-163. In Madros, if any in-
. 13	Reports to Magistrates on investigation	165-156 & 169 ×173	765-166 & 169175		165•166 & 169—173	quiry under these previous results in the submission of a charge sheet, it (the charge sheat) has to be luid believe the
14	Authorising detention of accused .	167	167	167		judicial magistrate for rem of the case.
15	Inquest as to cause of doub $\hfill \hfill $		174—176		174176	
16	Powers to take congulzance of officiales	$\begin{array}{c} 190 \ (1)(a)(b) \\ (c) \& \ 190(2) \end{array}$	190(1)(c)	190		
17	Proceedure as to trial of cases	270—265		200—265	• •	
18	Power to render parties	337(I) 2ml paragraph (provise)	337(I) 20d parugraph (proVisu) 347(I) tau parugraph	337(1) proviso & 337(2)	337(1) IST 9858 <b>9</b> 587 h	
19	Appeal from order refusing to accept or rejecting a strety.	406 <b>A</b> (c)			406A(c)	
20	Procedure regarding reference and revision.	435438		435(1), (X 436(t) 437-438(Y)	) 435(2)&(4) & 436 (2) )	(X) Only the High Court of the Sessions Count can exercise powers.

ķı	Order for maintenance of wives & children.	483		<b>4</b> 88		(V) Only die Sessions Court can exercise powers.
22	Appeals from and revision of orders relating to forefoliuse of bonds.	515		515	\$12	(M) In Madras City, only the High Court is compensat to exercise powers under section 435 Cr. P. C. 528(2), (3) & (4). In Madras, where a marier in respect of which an inquity is being hold falls within the jurisdiction of the Paccative Magistrate the teamsier of the case will be made by the Camberland Additional District Magistrate. In other cases transfers will be addered by the judicial District Magistrate.
<b>2</b> 3	Pawer to withdraw or refer cases .	528(3), (3) & (4)	\$28(2), (3) & (4)	528		

## 42.—TRIAL BY JURY

General opinion for abolition of Jury striate.

1. The opinions expressed in the answers to our Questionnaire and by the witnesses examined by us were preponderatingly in favour of the abolition of trial by jury even to the extent to which it exists in certain parts of the country. There was a small section of opinion which took the view that its continuance in the Presidency towns of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay to the extent it is to force in these places was desirable. Only a few witnesses were inflavour of its extension.

Prevalence of Jury (*1935.

 It is necessary first to examine the manner in which the right to a trial by jury arises in India and its extent.

In the Presidency 20%25.

Sections 267, 266 and 269 of the Code of Critamal Procedure constitute the statutory foundation of the right to trial by jury in India Section 267 provides that all trials before a High Court shall be by jury. It also provides that in all criminal cases transferred to a High Court under the Criminal Procedure Code or the Letters Patert, the trial may, if the High Court so directs, be by jury. Thus, trial by jury is obligatory only in the High Courts of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay in the trial of criminal cases in the exercise of their ordinary original criminal jurisdiction. In cases transferred to themselves by the High Courts (including the High Courts in the Presidency Towns) and tried by them in the exercise of their extraordinary criminal jurisdiction, trial by jury is optional and not obligatory.

In Madras.

However, the position even in the three Presidency towns in which the High Court exercised original criminal jurisdiction has been altered by special provisions. In Madros, the Criminal Procedure Code has been amended by Madras. Ac. XXXIV of 1955 by deteting all references to the High Court in Chapter XXIII of the Code. All criminal trials in the City of Madras how take place either before the Presidency Magistrates or the City Sessions Court. A notification has, however, been issued under section 269 of the Criminal Procedure Code directing that the trial of certain offences in the City Sessions Court shall be by jury. They are, broadly speaking, offences against property under Chapter XVII of the Indian Penal Code. The more beinous effences are tried by the judge himself. The notification directing the trial of these offences by a jury was first brought into force in 1956 on the abolition of the original criminal judisdiction of the High Court and has: since been kept in force.

The position in Bombay and Calcutta is somewhat in Bombay, different. In Bombay, Bombay Act XXXII of 1946 has provided that the words "or the Court of Session for Greater Bombay" be added after the words "High Court" wherever used in Chapter XXIII of the Criminal Procedure Code and Greater Bombay has been declared to be a Sessions Division, All sessions cases in Greater Bombay are tried in the City Sessions Court by jury.

In Calcuits, a City Sessions Court has been established In Calcutts, but its jurisdiction is limited to a certain class of offences. Section 9 of the City Sessions Court Act (XX of 1953) provides that all trials before that Court shall be by Jury. Offences punishable under section 302 of the Indian Penal Code and certain other offences still continue to be tried by the High Court. It will thus appear that a statutory right to be tried by jury exists only in the Court of Session for Greater Bombay, in the City Sessions Court of Calcutta and on the Original Side of the Calcutta High Court.

3. Out side the three presidency towns the matter is in the regulated by sections 268 and 269 of the Criminal Procedure motival. Code. Section 268 provides that all trials before a court of session shall be either by jury or by the judge himself. Section 269 empowers the State Government to order by notification to the Official Gazette that the trial of all offences or of any particular class of offences before any court of session in any district shall be by jury and that the State Government may revoke or alter such order.

At one time in the States of Madras and Bombay notifications were usued under section 269 of the Criminal Procedure Code bringing into effect trial by jury in certain areas of these States. The working of the jury system was, however, found to be unsatisfactory; and, for several years post, the system has been discontinued outside, the Presidency Towns.

Is the State of West Bengal, the position is, however, different Outside the Presidency town of Calcutts—the system of trial by jury obtains in twelve out of the fifteen districts of the State. The system is applicable to all offences under Chapters VIII, XI, XII, XVI, XVII (excepting offences under sections 400 and 401), XVIII and XX of the Indian Penal Code—and certain other offences. It is understood that the State Government is contemplating the extension of the system to one of the three—districts where it is not in force at present.

The system of trial by jury does not prevail in the States of Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Orissa, Kerala, Punjab, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh.

States other than those dealt with above have adopted the system partially. It prevails in ten out of the seventeen districts of the State of Bihar and the offences which are 122 MofLaw—55.

triable by jury are some of the offences against property in Chapter XVII of the Indian Penal Code: In the new State of Madinys Pradesh the system prevails only in three out of the forty-three revenue districts consututing the State and its application is restricted to certain classes of offences. These three districts are governed in the matter of jury trial by a notification issued while they formed part of Madhya Pradesh before its reorganisation. It is understood that the Government of Madhya Pradesh is considering the abolition of jury trials in these three districts. In the reorganized State of Mysore the system of anall by jury prevails in none out of its nineteen districts. The class of offences to which the system applies are offences against property in Chapter XVII of the Indian Penal Code.

Limited prevalence of jury trial. 4. The above survey of the extent of the prevalence of the jury system in the country and the nature of the offences to which it has been made applicable, where it prevails, indicates that it has not been adopted over a large part of the country, that its application even in greas where it has been adopted is restricted to certain chases of offences and that some States which had adopted: it have decided to discontinue it,

Jury crial not a consright.

 As we have seen, the statutory right to a (wigh by jury) which was conferred by the Criminal Procedure Code was confined to the Presidency towns where the High Courts exercised Original Criminal Jurisdiction and it depended entirely on the Government of each province or State to. decide in what areas and in respect of what affences trialby jury should be introduced in the other parts of thecountry. When the Constitution was framed in 1950, the Constitution-makers did not think it fit to confer on thecitizen a fundamental right to trial by jury as in the United States of America. It appears that, though the system, of trial by jury was introduced in some parts of the country. over a hundred years ago, the system has never become a, recognized feature of the administration of criminal justice. Trial by jury in India to the extent it exists today is but a transplantation of a practice prevailing in England which has failed to grow and take root in this country.

Jury trial in England.

6. Even in England where the system grew out of the need for the protection of the people against arbitrary prosecution and subservient Royal judges, a large number of criminal offences are at present tried without jury. Summary offences are not triable by jury. An accused has a right to trial by jury only in the case of indictable offences; but, in a great number of them, except the more scrious ones, he can ask to be tried summarily by magistrates. It appears that about eighty-five per cent of the indictable offences are, in fact, tried summarily

In civil litigation, trial by jury was the only form of trial in any Court of Common Law until 1854. After that date, there has been a marked decline in the popularity of

rrial by jury. Jury trials now take place in only two to three per cent of the volume of I rigation. It is stated that the decline is not due to the fact that the right to trial by jury has been cortailed. Even in cases in which it is open to the parties to ask for trial by jury, parties imequently prefer to have trial by the judge alone.

 Notwithstanding the decline of jury trials in England, Success in particularly in civil cases, there is still a large body of Finstand opinion in its favour. Indeed "There are many worship to persult the best resume, tribunal for the trial of every question of fact." Perhaps trial by jury has been a success in England essentially because it is an English institution of antiquity peculiarly suited to the genius of the English people. Comparing the jury system in England with the jury system in France where it proved a failure. Sir Alfred Denning (now Lord Denning) observed: "In making these comparisons, we no doubt think our system is better but we ought always to remember that it is the system which suits the temperament of our people. It would not necessarily be the best system for other peoples". The following observations of Sir Patrick Devlin in this connection are interesting:

"The English jury is not what it is because some law giver so decreed but because that is the way it has grown up. Indeed, its invention by a law giver is inconceivable. We are used to it and know that it works; if we were not, we should say that it embodies. a ridiculous and impracticable idea. Consider what Twelve (why twelve?) men and women the idea is. are to be selected at random; they have never before had any experience of weighing evidence and perhaps not of applying their minds judicially to any problem; they are often, as the Common Law Commissioners of 1853 factfully put it, funaccustomed to serve intellectual exercise or to protracted thought.' The case may be an intricate one, lasting some weeks and counsel may have in front of them piles of documents, of which the jury are given a few to look at. They may listen to days of oral evidence without taking notes-at least. no one expects them to take notes and no facility is provided for it in the jury-box. not even elbow room. Yet they are said to be the sale judges of all the facts. At the end of the case they are expected within an hour or two to arrive at the same conclusion. Without their unanimous verdiet no man can be punished

[&]quot;The above information in region to the goodne of trick by jury has been taken from " Trial by jury " by Sir Patrick, Devilo (The Hamly), Los-• हरा (C+) . .

^{**} Trial by June ", Sir Patrick Devilor (The Hamilyo Lectures), page

⁵⁶ Freedom under the Law ", Sir Alfred Denning, p. 63 (Homlyn, Leastilres).

for any of the greater offences. Theoretically A ought not to be possible to successfully enforce the criminal law by such means,"

Jury (ris) in the U.S.A.

8. The early British settlers in America adopted in their home the practice of trial by jury and it later came to be embodied in the American Constitution. However, even though a right to trial by jury is conferred by the Constitution, it is frequently woived by accused persons in the United States. It is said that by reason of the wide publicity which precedes and accompanies trials, there is a real difficulty in procuring a truly importial and unprejudiced jury. In some parts of the United States a waiver of jury trial has become common and trial by a judge is the ordinary routine. In fact "Students of crimical justice are inclined to believe that the extensive use of the waiver would, on the whole, greatly improve the efficiency of the trial process."

In France.

9. The jury system as practised in England was tried in France for more than a century. The verdicts given by the juries were often lantastic and the system in the form in which it is in vogue in England has been abandoned since 1941. In the words of Sir Affred Denning (new Lord Denning) "It does not work in the Latin countries with their mobile temperament, easily moved to pity or hate." France has now adopted a system under which lay and professional judges six and deliberate together and arrive et a conclusion including the question of sentence by a majority vote. It is noteworthy that in no country in continental Europe there prevails to-day a system of trial by jury in the English form.

Recent orities. 10. The recent trend of opinion in regard to jury trials, may be summarised in the following words: —

"Trial by jury has but few supporters among lawyers to-day, and it is indeed difficult for those familiar with its weak spots to say much in its favour. Almost its only consoling feature is the thoroughness of its decline. This process of displacing the jury by other types of criminal courts, the so-called correctionalization has gone so far that in most of the larger countries only a tiny percentage of all offences are actually tried by a jury."

Our task is to consider whether this exotic growth, transplanted into India by British lawyers and jurists has worked well and should be continued.

The case for the long.

11. Those advocating the continuance of the system have relied on its successful working in England and have particularly emphasized the reference to the jury as a palladium.

¹Deviio op. cir., pages 4-5. ¹American Legal System, Lewis Mayers, page 169.

^{*}Denning, op. cit., page 50, *Mannheim—"Criminal Justice and Social Reconstruction", page 446.

of justice and riberty by Blackstone and other English A pattern. They point out that in England, the jury has served dies of the Crown and assured libert. as a check, on the arbitrary power of the Crown and assured to the individual, his life and liberty against energachments by the executive. The success of the system in England has, it appears to us, not much relevance because, what may suit English conditions and the English character may not work satisfactorily in India. Nor has the histories aspect of the jury having served as a protection to the citizen against the exercise of arbitrary powers by the Crown any significance in the present, conditions in India.

It has also been suggested that the practice of the Capeta jury system on a more extensive scale in this country will in existed control and tesinge the number of the unjustified acquittals angulatals. by criminal counts which are said to be on the increase. It is said that la judge labs, to give considered reasons . convicting the accused and that, when he is impole to give with reasons, the appellace reports set aside the conviction. But the jury is not required to give reasons, for their verdict and their general good sense will not allow crime to go popuroished muruly because there are discrepencies in the evidence or because a plausible defence has been mised. In our view, this reasoning proceeds upon a misunderstanding of the judicial function and ignores actual experience of jury trials which are said to have resulted, as pointed out later, in failures of justice including enteneous acquitrals.

No doubt, the system of treal by jury has the industriage of associating the public with the administration of criminal justice and it serves to inculente in the officer a sense of duty and responsibility and a respect for the majesty of the law. The system must however, be judged mainly by the extent to which it works efficiently. Its main purpose is the dispensation of fustice. If it fails to serve that purpose, its continuance cannot be supported for other feasons.

13. Broadly speaking, an accused person convicted by a Rights  $\pi$ cour! of session on a trial by jury has only a limited right opical in of appeal. Section 418 of the Crimital Procedure Code ones care Which permits an appeal on a matter of fact as well as on a criered. matter of law expressly, boys an appeal on questions of fact where the trial has been by jury. It tollows, therefore, that generally socaking. in the case of trials by jury, questions of fact cannot be againsted before the appealings court. The court of appeal would, in such cases, have to decide whether the vertica of the jury is erroncous owing to a mistirection by the judge or by, a misunderstanding on the pers of the jury of the law hid nown by the judge. We may refer in this connection to section 537 of the Comman Proceedary Code which provides that no finding, septence or order passed by a court of competent jurisdiction shall be reversed or allered on the ground of any misdirection in -only charge to a jury unless such misdirection has in fact -occasioned a failure of pusace. The rights of a person

convicted in a jury small in the Righ Court are somewhat different and larger by reason of the amendment of the Criminal Procedure Code by Art XXVI of 1943. Under Section 411-A the convicted person has a right to appeal from a judgment of the High Court in its original criminal jurisdiction on any ground which involves a maiter of law only or with the leave of the appellate court or upon the certificate of the trial judge on a matter of fact or on any other ground which appears to the appellate court to be a sufficient ground of appeal. The amendment also empowers State Governments to prefer appears from preers of acquittals passed by the High Court in its ordinary original criminal jurisdiction subject to the same restrictions as in an appeal against a conviction. The only High Court in which appeals would be under this amendment is the High Court of Calcutta which alone tries offences in the exercise of its ordinary original criminal jurisdiction.

Contrasted with the restricted rights of appeal mentioned, above, a full appeal would be available to an accused person tried by a court of session, without a jury. It has been urged that the extended right of appeal which the accused would have in cases tried by the judge himself, particularly in trials involving complicated questions of fact is a valuable right which would be denied in cases tried by jury, where the verdict of a certain number of persons arrived, at without a judicial examination of the evidence, would have an appeal by the accused on the questions of fact.

lury taiste. Pitat consuming.

18. It may also be pointed out that a trial by jury invariably takes a longer time than a trial by the judge alone. The prospedings have to be conducted at a slower pace to enable the jury to understand the evidence and follow its sequence. Arguments of countel have to be longer in order that the issues of fact and law arry be put in a simple and clear manner to the jury. Further, the judge has to sum up the case to the jury. The summing up has neces-sarily to be very carefully drawn and in some cases, written but in advance and then read but to the jury. In eases where the charge has not been written out in advance, the judge will have to reduce the heads of charge laier into writing. All these steps result in making a trial by jury a time-consuming process, and this is a point of view of considerable importance when the counts are burdened with heavy arrears. It is needless to point out that the greater amount of time taken by a jury trial necessarily means greater expense to the State.

The True Testa "Fair Trial",

Actual working possisfactory. 14. It appears to us that a justification for the retention of the jury system on sistrac; and theoretical considerations is beside the point. The true test to be applied in determining whether the system should continue is to examine how it has worked. The Bihar Jury Committee has rightly pointed out, "that the real justification for the system, in any scheme of administration of justice, must be

found in its actual working in the prevailing conditions, its practical result rather than in abstract considerations as to the value of a right to trial by jury. In the ultimate analysis, the test must be a 'launess of trial' independent, impartial and just—and how far, in its practical working, the system ensures justice in its true sense," Judged by this test, the insutation of trial by jury which has prevailed in same areas of the country for over a century has clearly failed. Opinions that have poured in from every quarter are united in their strong condemnation of the system of trial by jury as it prevails to-day. There have been expressions of judicial opinion on the subject which have found - their way into the Law Reports. The Acting Chief Justice of In Bibas. Bihar, while disposing of a jury reference stated as follows: —

"The unsatisfactory manner in which the system is working is notomous and all those connected with the administration of criminal justice in the country must know of numerous cases of miscarriage of justice."2

Similar views have been expressed by other bodies, that in U. P. have gone into the question. Thus, as regards Uttar Predesh, the Wanchoo Committee pointed out that a large majority of the witnesses before it was in favour of the abolition of the jury system and that even in the few districts in which the system was working it had not been a success. The general complaint before the Committee was that jurymen were "open to approach" and did not give a fair verdict. The Committee recommended the abolition of this system and the repeal of all sections of the Criminal Procedure Code relating to trial by jury stating that "This Committee strongly feels that trial in Sessions Courts should be by the Judge alone. This recommendation was accepted and trial by jury was abolished in that State with effect from the 15th October, 1953.

The Bombay Government seemed to have experienced InBombay. similar difficulties in the working of this system. It was found difficult to find purers of the right type even in the advanced districts and such jurges as were available, were shown to be easily approachable and moved by extra-judicial considerations. These factors and the expense and delay occasioned by jury trials led that Government to abolish trial by jury outside Greater Bombay. The Bihar Committee referred to above went exhaustively into the

⁴Report of the Jory Committee, Ribar, 1982, page 12,

^{*}Per Merech's, Ag. C. J., The Kinger, Bulder 29 Pur, page 228, at 27 4.

Report of the Citta Pradesh Judicial Reforms Committee 1930-51, Vol. 1. page 34.

bid page 55.

working of the jury system in that State for a long period and expressed its conclusion as follows:

"The Committee has been impressed by the almost (sic) consensus of opinion that the system of trial by jury has in actual practice resulted in unsatisfactory vertices—sometimes even in miscarriage of justice—in the prevailing conditions of selection of juriors, ***** Therefore, it recommends that serious offences shall not be triable by jury, until the full effect of the recommendations made in this report is known."

Among the recommendations of the Committee were those relating to the proper selection of juries.

Professional Intere.

15. The Riber Committee was satisfied that "a number of persons have made it almost a profession to get—themselves chosen as juriors for the sake of the remuneration and also the illegal gratification which some of them expect to get."

Bridence against July system 16. This evil of unscriptious professional juriors was mentioned to us by some of the witnesses who gave evidence before us. It is obvious that such a state of affairs must render tria! by jury almost a mockery and seriously affect the administration of criminal justice. As stated before, the opinions expressed to us whether by judges or by lawyers on the whole took the view that the system has worked unsatisfactorily excepting in the Presidency towns of Calcutta, Madras and Bornbay. Even in regard to the continuance of the system in these Presidency towns, counsel with considerable experience of criminal work in the cities of Bombay and Calcutta favoured its abolition. We also understand that the High Court of Bornbay has recently recommended to the State Government the abolition of the system of trial by jury even in Greater Bornbay.

Views of Mahahma Gandhi. 17. A powerful voice against the continuance of the jury system in India was raised as far back as 1981. Writing in 'Young India', Mahatma Gendhi stated:

"I am unconvinced of the advantages of key trials over those by judges. " " " " I have known juries finding prisoners guilty in the face of no evidence and even judges' summing up to the contrary. We must not slavishly copy all that is English. In matters where absolute impartiality, celmness and ability to sift evidence and understand human nature arrequired, we may not replace trained judges by

^{*}Report of the Umir Pradesh [Indicial Bofe on Committee, 1950-51, Vol. 1, 1982 Sup. 63.

Mbid, page 9.

untrained men brought together by chance. What we must aim at is an uncorruptible impartial and able judiciary right from the bottom."

18. For the reasons mentioned above, we are convinced Abstition that the jury system in India which has had such a long recombinal has been a failure and should be abulished. In view of the conclusion reached by us, we do not propose to recommend measures with a view to secure its improvement and efficient working.

Hestie of 27th August, 1931,

## 43.--PANCHAYATS

The Civil Justice Committee of 1924-25 observed:

"The Village Panchsyat—villagers mediating between contending parties in their own village—has, in some form or other, existed in this country from the earliest times and that without resort to any claborate or complicated machinery * * * * The judicial work of the panchayet is part of that village system which in most parts of India and Burma has been the basis of the indigenous administration from time immemorial."

History of Ponchayors, In analem

tiones.

References to village panchayats abound in ancient. literature and later historical accounts. In the structure of society as it existed in those days, the panchayat was the creation of the villagers themselves and was composed of persons who were generally respected and to whose decisions the villagers were accustomed to give unqualified obedience. It does not appear that these panchayals were brought into existence by the authority of the ruler. Except in matters of general importance, the ruler seems to have left the villagors to govern themselves and, among other things, the villagers assumed the responsibility for the settlement of disputes among themselves. It has, however, to be remembered that the disputes which these panchayats were called upon to determine were simple disputes between one villager and another; disputes that would otherwise have tended to disrupt the tutal harmony. The village in those days was more or less self-contained and self-sufficient, the villagers being in a considerable measure dependent upon themselves. In such a condition of affairs, it was not unnatural, that the panchayats should have exercised a great measure of authority and commanded the willing allegiance of the people.

Under the British Rule.

3. The Royal Commission of 1907 upon Decentralisation in India recommended the constitution and development of village panchayats with certain administrative powers and jurisdiction in petty civil and criminal cases?. The Government of India in their resolution of May 1915 on Local Self-Government recommended that though the jurisdiction of panchayata in judicial matters should ordinarily be permissive in order to provide an inducement to litigants to resort to them, reasonable facilities like the levy of small court fees, the avoidance of technicalities and a speedier execution of decrees should be allowed to

aReteort, pages 105-06.

²Керон, р. 241, гала, 708.

persons wishing to have their cases decided by panchayats. Following the expression of these views, steps were taken in most of the provinces to Revelop village panchayat gaunts,

- In Madras, these courts came into existence under Developthe Village Courts Act of 1883 (Madras Act 1 of 1889) which ments in the sures been arounded in 1990 and 1985. has since been amended in 1929 and 1951. The scheme of the Madras legislation was to have two glasses of village courts; firstly, courts presided over by village munsils, (in places where panchayat courts were not constituted) and, secondly, panchayar courts consisting of not less than five and not more than fifteen members elected by the viliagers. The suits cognizable by these courts were money claims due on concrect or for personal property, not exceeding in amount or value the sum of Rs. 50, (Rs. 160 in case of village courts specially empowered) and Rs. 100 in case of panchayat courts with the exception of certain classes of cases. With the written consent, however, of both parties suits of the nature prescribed, not exceeding the value of Rs. 200 could be entertained by these courts. The jurisdiction of the village courts was concurrent with that of the District Munsif but it was provided that the District Munsif may, if a suit was instituted in his court which was triable by a village court, transfer it to the village court unless sufficient reasons were shown to the contrary. The number of village courts exercising civil jurisdiction in the province of Madres in 1923 was as many as 7.755 and the number of panenayat courts was 2.162. The number of suits disposed of in the year 1922 by the pancheya; courts was 90,865 as compared with 88,664 suits disposed of by the village rounsifs' courts.
- The then Madras Covernment noted with satisfaction The position that village panchayar counts were affording substantial in Madaa relief to civil courts and by dispersing speedy justice in in 1922. simple cases were gradually laying claim to be recognised as useful institutions. Referring to the work of the panchayat courts, the High Court, in its report for the year 1922, observed:

"The rise in the number of suits instituted is almost striking and so far as it represents suits that would otherwise have been foed in village or regular courts satisfactory." "The paid tribunals have been relieved only to the extent of one-fourth of the work turned out by the panchayar courts. To is hoped that this relief. will increase from year to year.***

Thus, panenayat counts in Madvas appear to have become popular and attracted a large number of suits though their jurisdiction did not exceed Rs. 50 in value and was not exclusive.

Ofted by the Civil Justice Committee, pp. 109 and 110.

In other growinces.

Rocammen --detions of the Civil Justice Committee

Legislation similar to the Madras Act was undertaken in the other provinces at about the same time. Such legislation generally provided that the jurisdiction of the nanchayat courts was to be exclusive; the nature of the suits triable by such courts being money suits (varying in value from about Rs. 25 to Rs. 100) with certain except ons. It was generally provided that legal practitioners were not to be allowed to appear on behalf of the parties in these courts. These courts were not to be bound by the rules of evidence or procedure other than those prescribed under the Acts constituting them. The execution of their decrees was to be made by the Collector on certificates issued by the panchayats. In most of the provinces where these courts were introduced, they seem to have been popular, disposing of a large number of suits and relieving the regular courts of the burden of dealing with porty disputes. The Civil Justice Committee, after giving an account of the logislation which had been then enacted, stated that "everywhere (except in Bombay) it is accepted that village. panchayats with judicial functions should be used for the disposal of small cases, varying in value from Rs. 25 to Rs. 200."1 The Committee recommended that "in all the Provinces endeavour should be made to develop this system. so as to withdraw all sample money suits of smaller value from the munsifs' and small cause courts, thereby relieving these courts of a large amount of petty work and making it nossible for them to cope with the larger duties we think may be given to them  12 

Criticisms oversianal.

 It appears that the objection to these courts hased on the ground of the existence of factions and commutant dissensions in the villages was also pressed before the Civil Justice Committee. In this connection they observed as follows:

"In the course of our investigation we were told in the various Provinces by some witnesses that communal differences and factions are in the way of any further extension of the jurisdiction of these tribunels. There is some force in this objection, but it is in our opinion overstated. In villages where there are common interests to be protected, common services to be rendered and common funds to be administered, it is idle to ignore the common life of the village in which the necessities of neighbourhood have held their own or have prevailed against the divisions of caste. 25

Prosent position. tional prove-<u> գլոր,</u>

generation has elapsed since the matter was examined by the Civil Justice Committee. Naturally, the importance of re-organising and strengthening village units as organs of local self-government and for the dispensation of justice has been greatly emphasised since the advent of

 $^{^{\}circ}$ Repoint pp. 115-116.

^{*}*Ibid*, p. 116. **Ibid*, p. 116.

Independence. The Constitution has recognised the importance of these village units and in Article 40, one of the Directive Principles of State Policy, it provides that the State shall take steps to organise village panchayats and endow them with such powers and authority as may be accessary to enable them to function as units of self-government. The administration of justice was in old days, as we have seen, one of the functions of the panchayats in the villages. Following this age-old practice, many of the States have recently enacted fresh legislation for the constitution of village panchayats and have provided that committees of these panchayats should function as mydya punchayats and deal with policy civil and Edminal cases ariging in the villages.

The general pattern of the constitution of these panchayat courts under the legislation now in force in the various States is not dissimilar. The following Table indicates in a broad manner the constitution of these courts in the different States, their territorial jurisdiction and the Acts under which they are constituted:

Tatte 1
Panchayer Courts—Courtingen

Name of the	the State and Statute	Territorial Jurisdic- tion	Mode of appoint- name	Number of Panchas	Quorum of Beach	Duration of Office	Disciplinary action how panchas are remarable
	r	2	3	4	,	6	7
VIII308 1842) VII308	dras Village Pancis Act (X of and the Madras ge Courts Act, (t of 1889).	them you population	4	Not less than five and not more than filteen,		Tluce years.	The Collector may suspend or remove for ipenpacity, aeglect of daty cre, he shall do so no a requisition from the D.J. when he natices a tike cause in a judical proceeding.
The Ass chaya 1948)	t Act (XXVII of	Area untified by F Government.	By electrion (Elected natiobers of the Rural Penchayar further elect Pau- chayar Adlaties who many or may not be members of the Panchayat).		Three	Three years,	Removable from office for reasons proscribed by District or Sub-Divi- sional Magis, raje.
	lliar Panchayan cr, 1947 (VII of	Village or part or group of villages as notified.	Election by the voter of the Grent Pan- chassi	Pifteen	Taree	Three years.	Removable by Govern- ment for anisotraduct, incapacity, neglect of duty etc., or a regon- mentation of the proscribed authority.

Bombay The Bombiy Village. Pancinya:s Act. (VI of 1933) se umended by the Bombay Act VII of 1954.	Village or group of villages northical by Government.		Plvc	Three	Ронт уезг∎.	Removable by the District Local Hund for mis- conduct, negligence or incapacity with the pre- vious sunction of the collector.
Kerala						
The Travencore-Cochin Village Courts Acc (VII of 1954).	Two actmore villages, division in a village or a specified area,	Namination by Government.	Fivo	Tluza	Three years,	Government may suspend of remove for neglect of duty, referendant, etc.
Madhya Pradesh						
The Central Provinces met Berar Panchayata Act, 1946 (1 of 1947) as amended by the Madhya Pradesh Act II of 1951.	Village having not less than thousand people or group of villages.	Scheeling by the Siste Government from amongue the elected members of the Gram Pan- chayar.	Not less than five.	Thrœ	Five years,	Corruption, neglect of a duty, movel telepirode, etc.
Madras						
The Makrus Village Panchayata Act (X of 1950) and The Madrus Village Courts Act, 1888 (1 of 1889),	Village or a group of villages with not less than you population.	By election	Not less than five and not more than filtern.	Three	Three yours	Collector may guspend of funove for in- capacity, neglect of dury etc., he shall do so on a requisi- tion from the D. J. when he norices a tike come in judicial proceedings.

Commissioner

Mysette The Mysare Village Village or a group Appointment by the Cours Act villages on diviof 1913. alum in a village.

2

1

of two or more Departy Commissioner,

3

Village Munsifor a Boack of more than one judge.

Village Muself OC . Bench of three judges including the VIIlage Mun-

5

MRY ಯಾಗಿಕ್ಕಾರೆ remove for incapacity. neglect duty. αE misconduct of other just and 9U1Ecient coușe : he shafi do regulation. 80 OF A made by the District Judge for like couse abocating. indicial asadéddiaga of a Village Munalf.

Deputy

Orius

Gram Village or villages Election by villagers The Original Panchayata with population (that is, the Gram Aer. 1949 Act XV of of nor less than Sabba). 2500. (A circle тодВ). compaising 60versi gram asbbas as notified).

Panel consisting of Three Three years Panetins elected by Gram Sabba at the rote of two panchas and one engench. pär Green Sabba.

By th∌ prescribed euthoring for TATEScelbad ressons.

## Punjab

The Punish Green Village of a group of villages with Panchevat Act. 1952 (IV of 1953). copulation of not eas then 500.

Ricction by adult villagers.

Five to mine. Adalti Three Panchavata Lo whors lorger DOMC(9 GIR be granted, nurv elected by the Panchas of a group Panchayata,

Three Removable \$.ate vcere. Government fuπ incanaciny or .nisconduct.

rzz w. or Lev	Act 1953, (XXI of 1953).	it Vällage or group i o≨ villjages.	By election-	Not less than five and not more than fifteen.	Ope- third of the number of Par- chas.	Three years.	State Government may remove for miscon- duct, neglect of duty etc.
58.	Utter Predesh The United Provinces Panchest Ref Act (XXV) of 1927 and The United Pro- vinces Villages Courts Act, (111 of 1892).	Circle comprising of willage or vill- lages subject to fee jurisdiction of Geon Sabbos.	Ottherd Winharsty Group Concerning this	Miye of Reserraum- ber from each Garn. Sabha in- chelse, in pipele.	Five	Pive yeats.	Removable by the State Government for in- especity or alruse of problems.
	West Bangal West: Renga, Am i of 1957.	Digenormance Grams Sabbraum the area to be appointed by the Govern- metri-	Bicolon by the closed members of the Anchel Penchayet.	Bute — one from Gram Subjes,	Majarity	Four years,	By Correspond for good and sufficient good and sufficient good reasons,

Existing Provisions.

It is desirable to set out in some detail the broad. Enactments, pattern of the provisions regulating these courts.

Jurisdiction la elvi≀ Canes.

Normally the nature of civil suits cognizable by the Dunchuyat courts are:--

- Suits for money due on contract (other than. contracts relating to immovable property);
- (2) Suits for recovery of movable property or the value of such property;
- (3) Suits for compensation for wrongfully taking. or injuring movable property;
- (4) Suits for compensation for damage caused by cattle trespass.

In certain States, other causes of action of a petty nature have also been brought within the jurisdiction of the panchayat courts such as suits for the recovery of rent, suits under certain provisions of the local tenancy laws and the like. An important feature is that an upper limit has been set to the pecuniary jurisdiction of these courts. This limit varies from State to State. Generally speaking, however, suits the value of which does not exceed Rs. 100 are cognizable by the panchayat courts. However, in Mysore and Bombay the limits are as low as Rs. 40 and Rs. 25 respectively. Generally, these Acts confer powers on the Government to increase the limit of pecuniary jurisdiction upto a maximum provided in the Acts. They also contain provisions to the effect that suits exceeding the value of the pecuniary jurisdiction of the courts can be heard and determined by them with the consent of the parties given in writing,

The panchayat courts, however, are not competent to hear the following classes of suits:---

- On a balance of partnership account unless the balance is struck by the parties;
- (2) For a share or part of a share under an intestacy of for a legacy or part of a legacy under a will;
- (3) By or against the Government or servants of Government in their official capacity; and
- (4) By or against minors or persons of unsound. mind

Jurisdiction exclusive.

The jurisdiction of these courts is exclusive in the majority of the States; the States of Rajasthan, Andhra and Madras, however, provide for concurrent jurisdiction. The exclusive nature of the jurisdiction operates only in the areas for which panchayat courts have been created. But it is left to the Government under these Acts to create courts for such areas as they think fit,

 Civil suits and criminal cases have to be tried by a Contitution. Bench of a panchayat consisting of the Sarpench or Prest of penchadent and two other Panchas. The choice of the two par courts. Panchas, who form part of the Bench, is, in some States, The objecleft to the President whereas in other States, each party is tive. given the power to select a Pancha. A duty is laid on the Panchas trying a suit or dealing with a proceeding to bring about in such manner as they think fit an amicable settlement between the parties. For example, it is provided that the Bench of Panchas "shall, in such manner as it thinks fit and without delay, investigate the suit or case and all matters affecting the merits thereof and the right settlement thereof, and in so doing may do all such lawful things as it thinks fit for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to a fair and amicable settlement; and where such a settlement is brought about, the bench shall record the same and give its decision accordingly". (Section 58, Hinar Panchayat Raj Act. 1947).

 In respect of the procedure to be followed by the Their panchayat courts, the enactments generally contain a pro- procedure. vision such as this:

"The procedure to be followed by a Bench of the Grum Cutcherry shall be such as it may consider just and convenient and the bench shall not be bound to follow any laws of evidence or procedure other than the procedure prescribed by or under this Act".

(Section 60, Bihar Panchayat Raj Act, 1947).

 The jurisdiction of the panchayat courts in griminal Colonies. matters extends to a large number of offences under the Jurisdic-Indian Penal Code. Offences under certain provisions of tien. the Callle Trespass Act and under minor local enactments have also been made cognizable by the panchayat courts. In the case of offences of theft, receiving stolen property and the like, the jurisdiction of the panchayat courts is limited. Cases where the value of the property alleged to be stolen exceeds Rs. 50 and cases in which the old offenders are concerned cannot be tried by the panchayat courts. In some States, the panchayat courts have been given the power to bind over parties for keeping the peace for a period not exceeding 15 days, a provision analogous to section 107 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. In Assam, panchayat courts can impose a fine of Rs. 100 for nonexecution or violation of the bond. In Bihar, panchayat courts can only issue a notice to the parties as to why they should not be bound over. Thereafter, the proceedings go to the Sub-Divisional Magistrate who would pass final orders in the matter.

Generally, panchayat courts have not been given the power to sentence an accused person to a term of imprisonment either substantively or in default of payment of a fine. However, in Bihar these courts have the powers of a Magistrate of the Third Class and are, therefore, competent to impose a sentence of imprisonment up to one month. In Orissa and Rajasthan, the panchayat courts have power, in the event of a default in payment of fine, to order imprisonment. Generally, the fine which can be imposed by the panchayat courts is limited to Rs. 50. In Assam, however, the panchayat courts can impose a fine upto Rs. 250.

It is noteworthy that in the Kerala State (excluding the parts transferred from Madras) the village courts have no criminal jurisdiction.

Appeal and Revision.

13. The law in some of the States makes the decision of the panchayat courts final, subject to the powers of revision vested in the District Munsif or the District Judge. Some of the Acts provide for appeals to a Full Bench of the panchayat or to a Tehsil panchayat and even to the District Judge. In criminal matters, the revision lies generally to the Sub-Divisional Magistrate but sometimes a revision or an appeal is provided to the Sessions Judge. Broadly speaking, the grounds on which revision is entertained are want of jurisdiction, corruption, partiality or misconduct on the part of the Panchas or a miscarriage of justice. Power is also generally given to a superior court to withdraw any suit or case from the file of a panchayat court and transfer it to another panchayat court or to a regular court for disposal.

Appearance of legal practitioners beried.

14. These enactments also contain provisions preventing lawyers from appearing in these courts. For example, section 24 of the Madras Village Courts Act, 1888 provides:

"No legal practitioner, whether qualified or unqualified, shall be allowed to appear before a village court on behalf of any party to a suit or proceeding but any party may authorise a servant, gunasta, partner, relation or friend to appear and plead for him......"

The Travancore-Cochin Act is, however, unique for that it does permit legal practitioners to appear before these courts (Section 22 of the Travancore-Cochin Village Courts Act, 1954). The maximum fee payable to a lawyer in a suit or case is, however, fixed at Rs. 3.

The Tables set out below indicate the extent of the civil and the criminal jurisdiction of these courts, the punishments which they can award, the court fees charges able by them and the extent of the control exercised by superior courts over them.

Tably II Civil Jurisdiction

Name of the State	Peruniary Jurisaletica		Whether the jurisdiction is exclusive or empures		Court:	Nature of Sixis triable	Control by su- perior courts	Whether legal productioners are permitted or not
		3			6	. 7	s	9
Anches				Same sale y	(adras			
Assum .	Upto Re. 299/-	Any Civil	Exclusive	default, ex-pa decisions, add rion of panii trapleading L.R.R.E., setti asido ex-par decress and s vival of au permitted. Sta	ray made by the list State Gaverness, ment as a second sec		D. J. may set aside or inadisposed or direct retrial by the want or any other court. He may with the approval of the Stare Govern to an Additional District Judge, auth-judge, auth-judge.	mitted.

ä

	· . · -	3	4	<u> </u>	6	7	8	9
Biher .	Upon Rs. 100/- Rs. 200/- when specially empowered	Any suit reliable by a Civil Court sub- ject to rules to be pres- eribed by the State Govern- ment,		Plading to be in writing.	Upro Rs. 10/-0-8-0 Rs. 10-25/-Rc-1/- Rs. 20-30-Rs. 2 Rs. 30-200/-0-8-0 for Rs. 100-6 part tucreat. Above Rs. 200/- 0-12-0 for every Rs. 10/- or part (hereof.	(i), (ii), (iii) & (vi)	Appeal to Full Rench.  Munsifican quash proceedings.  Parry can intri- ture a suit. Lireal in regular Court.  D. J. bas administrarive control.	Nat perraltted.
Bounday .	Uptu Rs. 25/-	Upto Re. 100/-	Backnalve	Majority decision, in case of equal division presiding member will have a casting vote. Sertisment by oath or comprimise permitted. Instalment decree and interest on decretal amount permitted. No arrest in execution of decree.	•	(i), (ii) & (iii) .	Appeul ljes to fbe D.J.	Not permitted.
Ну≫таhad	Unto Rs. 25/-	Upto Re, zcoj-	<b>Exclus</b> ive	Đo.		(i) but not in- cluding suits for reat of agricultural land, (ii) and (fil)	Appeal lies to the D.J.	Not permitted.

22	
===	
٠,	

Madhya Predesh	pto Rs. 100;- (GovL may enhance upto Rs. 500)	Upto Rs. Rs. 500/	Ēxclusēve -	Majority decision, In case of divi- sion the pre- siding penchs will have a casting vote. Decision in accordance with any settle- ment compre- units or eath, agreed to by parties permit- ted.	talment decrees False case— Rs, 100/- Com- possation to defendant,	(i)。(ii) k (iii)	D. J. may revise. Not Cancel jurisdic- permixed, tion whereupon the court cannot become seized of the matter.
Modra9	Upon Rs. 1004- Upton Rs. 501- in case of Village Courts. (May be extended to Rs. 1004- by petitionium in the gazette)	Upie Rs. 2004-	Exclusive	Set off permitted, Decree on each allowed, Judge- montimely be de- livered on ad- mission of claim Decree in terms of compromise allowed, C. P. C. I. B. A. appli- cable. Instal- ment decree per- mitted Oath permitted.	No Court fee,	(i), (ai) and suit for the or other sun) flue to a local authority whether on belan- ce of account or otherwise.	transfer sait to permitted another village court, Dt.
Ophsan ·	Upte Ra. 25/-Upto Rs. 300/- when specially empowered by State Government		lizelosive .	Adalat may set as so arbitraror, C. P. C. & I. H. A. nut applicable. Majoriry decision. Decree in terms of cont-promise permitted. Dismissal for default and	Upta Rs. 10/- 0-4-0 Rs. 10 to Rs. 25/- 0-8-0 Rs. 25/- to Rs. 50/- Re. s/- Rs. 50/- to Rs. 200/- 0-4-0 for every Rs. 10/- or part thereof.	(F., (I) & (II)	Revision by the De. Not Munsilf may permitted, cancel or modify decree or order retriet.

₽
空
9

, , , ,	2	ŧ	4	5	6	7	3	9
		· ·	•	restoration. Ha- parts decision, To add parties & [.Ra. Instal- ment decrees,	·······			
Punjeb	Upte Ra. 200/- & Rs. 200/- & Rs. 200/- In suits under the Punjsh Tc- nancy Ant (Rs. 500 & Rs. 200/- where the Panchsysis have been given enan- ced jurisdic- tion.)		Bachvaive	C.P.C. & I.B.A. shall not apply, Memorandum of proceedings to be malaralated. Oath permitted. May add parties. Dismissal for default and reacoration. He-barte decision and rehearing Instaltient payments.	Court fees raising from Rs. 1/- to \$\frac{1}{2}\to 01 suits topto Rs. 250/- and Rs. 10/- on suits valued obove Rs. 250/	tain sections of the Publish To- eacey Act, 1887.	Revision by D.) for failure of protice,	
Rajastian	. Upte-Rs, 100		Concurent	Settlement by onth or compromise permitted. Payment of decretal amount in installments permitted. C. P. C. & L. P. A. and opplicable, Parties to produce their own evidence usually. To add parties and LRs. Se-	Prescribed fees.	(t), (df), (df) and (dv)	Revision by D.J. Appeal lies to Tehail Pan- chapet,	Not per- mitted.

.

				parts decisions and dismissal for default. Res- teration of suits.				
Travuncote-Cochin.	Lipte Rs. 100/-	Upto Rs. 200/4	Texclusive	Settlement of claim in terms of agreement entered into on oath permitted. Set off allowed. Twidence to be recorded. Interest on decree allowed. C. P. C. to apply generally. Or, Munsulf may tensifer suits from one village court to snother. Districted for default. Happers decree. Restantion, Court appeared by empowered may attest in execution of decree. Alternatively by issue of pto-labisory orders. Majority decision.	f percent subject to a minimum of one same.	(i) & (ii)	Revision by Dt.  Munsiff for contribution, par- tiabily, utis- conclude etc.	Permitte1.
Uttar Pradesh	Upto Ra. 100/ (State Government raise fair ro Ra. 500/F)		Exclusive	Majority decision will prevail.  Munsiff may transfer suit to any other Nysys		(f), (fi), (fil) & (fil)	Revision by Mun- sit.	Not pez- mitted.

I	2	3	4 `	` i	6	1	8	9	
				Pencheyan or try it himself of tignsfer it to author the Munsiff. C. P.C. & I. E. A. & non opply except as provided. Dismissal for default onlike parts disposal, Restoration and reheaving.			-		,
ivestJSessala 1	Upto Ru. 100/-		Esc <b>'usiv</b> e	C.P.C.& I.E.A.  Boy application. Decretal amount may be allowed on be paid in instalments. Districts for default and res- tertion. Ex-partenns co- hearing. To de- termine and add parties and L.Rs. Written decision. Malo- rity decision to provail (Presi- dent has desting yore).		(1) ₆ (11) ₆ (141) Br (10).	Revision by Muneilf for failure of idition,	Not per- natuet.	Capita

.

Name of the State	Nameric Of Offences refable	Nature of enquity and powers	Whether the Jurisdiction is exclusive agreement rent	Punishment	Court fee	Control by su- perior courts	Whether logal pyactificates or ner
<u>-</u>	2	3	4	S	ĸ	γ	ķ
Assem	All offences specified upder section 83 of the Act (XXVIII of 1948).	Substance of evidence to be recorded. Majority design to stand. In case of division, the presiding member shall have a santing vote. Administration of youthful offenders. Award of compensation upto Rs. 25/- ont of the first to accused for Blacor vectoring. Bond for keeping the poster; fire of Rs. 100/- for violation of non-execution of bond. Cr. P. C. & J. E. A., not applicable.		Fine upto Ra. 250 - No imprison- ugar either subs- tantive or In defaul- ef fine.	Prescribed foe.	No appeal, Revision by the Sessions Judge (or the Addl. S. J. or the Asset, S. J. of Rothwrised).	वर्धाक्री

Birar

ſ

A.I offences specified under section 62 of the Act (VII of 1948).

ż

Exercises third class maxis. Compagent terial powers. Should try to bring about andcable seitlement between contoding parties except in cases arising out of non-compoundable offer-Sun-Divisional æs. Monatrore can reaneler CRASS 10 SHULLET magintrate or to himself or can grash procedings. When S.D.M. quashes proceedings fresh case has to be filed in the S.D.M. Court. Cr. P.C. & L.E.A., not soullcable. Power to build over for 15 days for breach of peace subject to confirmation

Powers of and Class Re. 2/2 Magiarrate.

S.D.M. can great the proceedings. Party can move Afresti in remutar Court.

Not pormitted.

Bembey

All offences specified. in Section at of the Act (VI of 1933).

Compassion to oran- Exclusive plantant from rh.s emount of fine allowed. Companyation to the accused up to Rs, g/for fulse, vexations or frivolcus case permitted. Youtkful offenders to be merely admobilized. Conducting of cases permitted, Cr. P.C. & I.E.A., por to apply.

\$.D.M.

Maximum Hosis of time is Ra. 40/-, The limit varies acceeding to the noruse of the officially, as proviuled. No simple co Tisac collin im:pri-SOften Cont either substantive or in defeult of fine.

Not per-Appeal lies to the Straight Judge. mitted. S.J. may quest proceedings.

_	
п	
71	
•	

Hyderabad	All effected mentioned in section 37 of the Act (VIII of 1951),	First offenders may be released on probation or good conduct. You-thful offenders to be admonished. Compensation to the accused upto Rs. 5,1- permitted it case is proved to be false etc. Compounding of offences permitted.		Fine upto Ra. 25/- or double the em- count of loss subject to a diskimum of Rs. 50/- and cer- tain specified amounts. No im- prisonment.	D,	Appeal lies to the District Magistra- re.	
Madhya Pradesh	All inflerious apeci- fied in the Schedule to Act I of 1947.	Pirm offenders may be released un probation of good conduct. You thin offenders may be let off with an admenision; or father or guardian to execute bund. Compensation to accesse the Compensation out of fine to the complainant for loss or damage caused by the offence—allowed, Majority decision; in east of division the Presiding Officer shall have costing voce.	Concurrent	Pine upro Rs. 50!- (IPC cases) Rs. 50 or less as specified under other Acrs.		Revision by Sen- sions Judge, May cancel ju- risdiction,	Not permitted.
Madrm	Ali offences men- sioned in section 76 of the Act (1 of 1889).	••	Concurrent	As prescribed in the explanation to section 76 (1) of the Act.	••	No appeal D. M. or S.D.M. may set as ideany emission on the ground of corruption, greas partially, anlaconduct or gross miscarriage of justice by the court.	Not per- mitted.

<u> </u>	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Охієня	All offences mea- tioned in section 64 of the Act (XV of 1948).	Compensation to complainant out of fine, Compensation to the accused upon Rs. 25% if cose is false err. and in default simple huptiscoment upto seven days. Majority decision to prevail. (Bench to crossist of one pancha from the focal area in which any one of the panties reside and one who resides in a different local area).		Fine up to Rs. 50/- er double the amount of loss or damage whichever is higher; In de- fault of payment within 30 days imprisonment for 14 days. Release un admonition. (Spe- cially empowered Panchayat hisly fine tipto Rs. 100/- and imprisonment in default.		Revision by the S. D. M. who can concel or modify the order or order or order or order.	Not per- mitted.	994 1
Pumjel .	All offences men- tloned in Sch. I-A of the Act (IV of 1953) (Enfranced powers under Sch. I-B),	Power to take bond for 100/- from socused for his appearance. Or. P.C. and I.E.A. not applicable. Compensation to the accused up-to Ha. 30/- if the case it proved to be false etc. Gompensation to complainant out of fine.	Krclusive	Discharge after ad- egonizion. Bund for Rs. 100/- for 12 months notto repeat the offence. Fine tipto Rs. 100/- or double the loss or damage whichever is higher. Direct porent to execute bend for good be- haviour of offender.	Ke. 1/-	Revision by the District Magistrate.	Nor permitted.	**
Rajærban ,	Arl affences men- tioned in Sch. I to the Act (XXI of 1959).	Compensation out of fine to the Complainant, Compensation upon Rs. 5/- to the accused for falls case etc. Youthful		Fine upto Rs. cal- and simple imprince- ment only in default of payment (end day for every Rs. 2/*).		Appeal to Febsil Panethayan Revision by Sessions Judge.	Not per- mirred,	

offenders may be let off with admention, Summary dismissal of comolalura petrattical.

Travanonce-Coefiln .

No criminal jurisdiction.

Ultar Predesh All officaces men. Compounding allowed. Reclusive rionad in section (XXVI of 1947)

Companyation to the comsa of the Act plainant of a postion or whale of the floor sllowof for material loss of damage etc. Compensarian upto Rs. 250 tu the accused for fulse cases our Pirst offere ders may be released can probation of gand conduct. Or P. C. & I. E. A., not applicable.

Pine agus Rs. 100/-

Revision by Sub- No: Divisional Magis mitted ex-Hate. case where g person de armouted eq.() ia derained in custody.

Treet All offences men-Велдај tioned In Schedule III A & B of the Act (I of 1957)

Compounding of offer- Recipiles. obs plamitted. First offenders mey be released on probation of good conduct. I. E. A. nut applicable. Compenerciae to complian ant may be awarded out of the flac. Compentation of Rs. 25% to the accused for felse case. Specified provisions of Criminal Procedure Code unplicatio,

First upto Rs. sol- No. C.F. No mindsorment.

triot Magistrate or S. D. M. or. the ground of rolecertlage of iustier.

Rovision by Dis- Not permirred.

Number of cases dispose of of.

15. It is necessary now to turn to the number of cases disposed of by these panchayat courts in various States so that we may know to what extent they have justified the expectation of the Civil Justice Committee of their being able to relieve the regular courts of a large amount of their petty work.

In a note prepared by the Joint Director, Panchayats, Uttar Preciesh handed over to us, it is stated that after the Panchayat Raj Act of 1947 the Panchayat! Adalats in that State had during their life-time of nearly six years disposed of as many as 18,94,440 cases out of a total of 19,14,098 cases instituted before them. The figures of suits disposed of by these courts in other States are also considerable. In the State of Madras, these courts disposed of 40,635 suits in the year 1953 and 30,000 suits in the year 1954. The Tables below show the disposals of civil suits in these courts in the years 1953 and 1954 and the disposal of criminal proceedings in the years 1954 and 1955 in eight of the States, the complete figures of which only have been made available to us.

Table IV
Statement thousing the number of suits disposed of by the Villiage and Panchesyas Courtain the particle States for the years
1953 and 1954

		· <u>-</u>		29,15 41111	724				
Name ci State		Pending of the heginning of the year	Instituted	Torol for disposal	Disposed of	Persting at the close of the year		ter ∴n a say	Remerks
1		2	3	4	.5	6	7		· 3
Andora 1953 - 1954 -	:	, 3 ₆ 617 4 5,177	16-17-5 18 ₄ (55	19,992 33,032	14,855 16,325	5,(42 7,607	Not av	rafi ≟h <b>ie</b> 0.	<del></del>
Bambay 1952 1954	.`	. 15	4% 39	63 57	45 53	LB . 4		ı 3	
Madiga Pr 1953 - 1954 -		. F17 • 550	:-16c 607	%777 #1757	ñ≥7 904	550 253		44 27	
Mqdrqs 1953 - 1954 -	;	. ?;d28 . 6;931	47,861 30,462	49.289 37.3 <b>9</b> 3	49,655 30,833		Not as		
Orizza 1953 1954		432 491	147 77	579 177	, 8 ₅	494 414		siluble	
Travancare- 1953-54 1954-55		. 560 . 446	1,774 7,891	2,334 2,337	1,868 1,967			1	
Uttar Prat 1953 1954		27,332 14,82 <i>1</i>	97.590 93 ₂ 242	1,24,922 1,03,063	t, to, 104 90, 524		Not ave		

1		2	3	4		<u></u>	7	, R 
Wat Be 1953 . 1954	redi ^r	I,324 I,035	5,042 5,757	6,366 6,787	5,333 3,7\$\$	1:035 t ₁ 229	92 194 *The shewn Scar the Cau	figurës Loguinar this Le relate to United Itia.

Table: V

Communities Statement Showing the intell number of criminal proceedings for disposal, number disposal of and pending in the Panchayat Courts in the various States in the years 1954 and 1955.

Name of the State	Total Number of Casts for disposal		Number disposed of		Pending at the close of the year			
	1954	1935	1954	1955	1954	T955	- Remarks	
τ	3	э	4	5	6	7	8	
Andhin	2, <b>4</b> 6Ĥ	2,454	r,A46	1,875	623	579		
Siher	31,842	39,908	26,089	32,123	5,753	7,785		
Medhya Pradesh .	47/506	45,698	27,484	28,242	25,022	18,456		
Medres (A)	2,330	598	1,565	463	766	135	(A) The Egurea	
Orima	2,033	3,468	1,730	2,929	303	539	shown against Madres relute	
Cajoschan . ,	66,044	53:999	54,380	43,598	11,664	10,311	to the institu- tions and	
Juar Prodesh '.	1,32,977	79,96 <b>8</b>	1,21,496	63,457	11,451	16,461	dispose[3 in live districts only,	

Large num- 16. An analysis of the manner in which the 18,94,440 ber of cases cases disposed of by the Panchayati Adelats in Uttar compromistic Pradesh set out in the Table below is interesting.

Freed countries of cases Ignificated in all the	to other	Ex-parte	By diamnoral for default	Aiket judgement	As a result of compromise between partice, including	cuses disposed of	Remerk
Panchagen Courts for the State	courts				coses (civil and revenue) which were referred to the Panchayar! Adalats by the consent of both the parties for recording actilement even though they were heyonal their jurisdiction.	· .	
т т	2	3	4	5		7	8
39,74,098	1.23,067	2,39,372	2,90,052	5:74:573	6,89,477	18,94,440	

8

It shows that as large a number as 6,80,477 of these cases were compromised and that these compromised cases included cases referred to these adolats by the consent of parties for recording settlement, even though they were beyond their jurisdiction. Such cases referred to these adolats numbered 32,367. This large proportion of cases compromised to the total number of cases disposed of amounting to over one-third clearly points to these patchayats being able to fulfil, in a large measure, the task of conciliating disputes.

Number of 17. It has not been possible to obtain from all States appeals and the figures as to the number of revisions or appeals filed from the decisions of these panchayat courts. The Table set out below indicates the number of revisions and appeals filed in six of the States during certain years.

TABLE VII

Statement charging the number of rules and cases filed before Vittage or Panchagus Courts and the number of appeals or revisions from their decisions

Name of the Store	Year	Number of Civil Suits of Criminal Cases disposed of	Number of appeals	Number of Rovisions	Remorks
1	2	3	4	5	6
Silst	1951—1952	4,587 (Civil Suits) 17,262 (Crl. Cases)	363 1,121		In Bihar appeals lie to the Pull Republic the
	1952—1953	8,475 (Civil Suits) 19,867 (Cel. Cases)	218 1-542		Gram Cutcherry,
	1953—1951	8,681 (Civil Suits) 30,760 (Cal. Cases)	243 1,14fi		
	1954—1955	co,405 (Cávil Stúts) 35:574 (Cal. Cases)	505 1,243		(A) Includes — Cramus) Cases.
Ketma	1956	4,000 (Civil Suka)		40	
Madbya Pradesti .	1956	13,953 (A)		772 (Civle) 464 (Criminal)	
Pամ•Ե , .	(951—1953	12,170 (Civil Sults) 15,295 (Crl. Cases)		77 (Civii) 148 (Criminal)	
	2952-1953	16,893 (Clvil Sults) 18,815 (Crl. Cases)		637 (Civil) 252 (Criminai)	
	1953—1954	[24,431 (Civil Sunts)		119 (Gvil)	

ľ	2	,	. +	-	
<u>.                                    </u>		31,213 (Crl. Cases) 28,277 (Crv.l Spits) 33,661 (Crl. Cases) 22,467 (Crv.l Spits) 23,473 (Crl. Cases)		281 (Criminul) 530 (Civit) 885 (Criminul) 389 (Civit) 425 (Criminul)	· ·
Rajasthon .	. 1955—1956	y8,487 (Civil Suits) 58,432 (Cir), (Cates)	6.939 (Civil) 7.841		In Rajasthan appeals lie to Tahail Panchayars.
Wost Bengal .	. 1952 1953 1954	5:585 (Civil Suits) 5:331 (Civil Suits) 5:538 (Civil Suits)	•	120 106 241	

These figures show that only a very small percentage of the decisions of these courts were taken to the superior courts and that out of those so taken, only a small proportion, were reversed. The note in regard to the working of the Panchavati adulats in Littar Pradesh referred to above indicates that lover a period of over six years from the 15th of August. 1949 to the 31st of March 1950, the percentage of revisions filled in civil matters was 3.2, in revenue matters 2.3 and in criminal matters 5.7, the average percentage being 4.1. The revisions accepted bore a percentage of 1.9 to the total number of cases also sed of by these courts. Fully allowing for the restricted powers of revision conferred on superior courts in respect of the decisions of these panchayat. courts, it would be a fair inference to draw from the above figures that the villagers in general are satisfied with the administration of justice obtaining in village or panchayat courts and that the decisions of these courts on the whole do substantial justice

18. The Table set cut below shows the nature, value, Name of institution, and disposal of suits in the courts of munsife for litigation the year 1954 in various States. COURTS.

in civil

Table VIII
Storement showing the nature, value, institution and disposal of this in the Courts of Munsifs or corresponding judicial officers for the year 1954

Name of kin the State line hea		Number of suits of all kinds pend- ing at the hegicating of the year	suits of all	aulta for money or	mentioned according to	Rs. 500/-	Number of suits disposed of	Number of suits pendaing ut the close of the year	Number of suits pend- ing for ever a year	Romarks
		of five lead		her shown in column 3	Rs. 100/-	ween Rs. 101 and Rs. 500/-				
	· —	2	ų	4	5	6	7	ĸ	,	13
ıradhra .		21 042	61,093	51,843	12,157	96 <b>,</b> 929	63,639	23,866	4,636	(A) The figures
156 <b>4</b> m .		5,175	8,99=	3,963	3,898	9,520	8,408	6,150	1,562	shown against this State relate to the
iher ,		51,738	1,15,745	10,755	85(579)	19,688	64,936	50,809	84098	eificial year 1954. 55-
<del>Ծա</del> նհչ.		49:796	76,768	48,877	21,445	28,924	78,320	51,639	14,112	The figures shown
ledbyo Prad	C#B	( ) Kyy	19,349	7/3/06	3,975	8,164	25,990	16,113	3:432	to Column No. 2 ugoinst the Spaces
iadras	,	65,557	52,799	34:797	17,613	27,110	<b>58</b> ,367	ñz.934 .	41.916	of Andhra, Mauras and Travanone-
lysore (A)		14,920	23,311	18,591	8,033	9,222	13,716	9,337	Not available	Ophin Include Small Cause Suits.
) <b>4</b>   950		8,529	9,507	4,878	2,158	4-257	10,262	9,299	3,902	
анјаћ ,		9,400	19,248	8,674	4,602	9,386	10,392	9,013	48T	
kajasthan		12,267	22,571	(9,460	3,118	13,367	7,764	12,200	2,445	

. எப்புள்ளத்	282	1,170	901	53B	435	2.136	522	26
Travagente- Cechin (A) .	35/977	36;224	29:777	11,220	16,642	41,578	34,585	18,794
Unar Procesh.	84,676	95,961	17,160	39:35=	13,825	1,09,562	1,59,841	34,314
West Bengal .	51,521	1,57,383	10,049	1,20,904	27,190	1,61,282	55,196	12,908

NOTE, T. Though at was our intention to give the mumber of Suits valued obove Rs. 100/- and below Rs. 200/- in Column No. 6, yet it was not possible to give the number of such Suits , so the figures could not be obtained either from the High Courts or from the reports on the administration of civil justice,

^{2.} In the Punjah, there are four classes of subordinure (indges) as it has not been possible to ascertain which class of subordinare judges corresponds to officers in the Cadre of Munsils in other States, lightes relating to the Judicial Stations. Its associate by subordinute judges Class a to Class 4 have been given.

An examination of these figures shows that more, than 50 per cent, of the suits, except in West Bengal, are money suits or suits for movable property and a very large proportion of these suits, it would be fair to infer, are of the pecuniary value of less than Rs, 500. The statement further shows that a large number of these suits remained pending at the close of the year and some of them have remained pending for over a year. Taking the figures relating to Madras, for example, out of a total of 52.799 suits of all kinds instituted during the year, 34,797 suits were for money or movable property. Out of these 17,613 suits were valued at Rs. 100 and below. Including the 65,557 suits pending at the beginning of the year, the total number of suits for disposal was 1,18,356. The total number of suits disposed of during the year was 38,367 leaving a balance of 62,934 at the end of the year out of which over 41,000 suits had been pending for over one year. Bearing in mind that more than 50 per cent of the suits that are filed relate to money or movable property, it would be legitimate to infer that about 50 per cent of the suits pending for more than a year, were suits relating to money or movable property. As a major portion of these would be suits for claims not exceeding Rs. 500, it would be reasonable to conclude that probably suits between the number of 10,000 and 20,000 would be suits in respect of claims for money or movable property not exceeding Rs. 500 in value have been pending for over a year. Basing ourselves on these figures, the conclusion is irresistible that taking the country as a whole a very large number of suits-perioably between a hundred thousand and two hundred thousand of the value of Rs. 250 remain pending in the regular courts for over a year. It may be that a proportion of these suits may not be such as may fall within the jurisdiction of the panchayat courts, either by reason of the nature of the suits or absence of territorial jurisdiction, or on account of their notional valuation under the Court Fees Act. Nevertheless, it is clear that a large proportion of these suits would be cognizable by the panchayat courts. This situation undoubtedly arises from the fact that the panchayal courts have not yet heen established in large parts of the country and that in some parts of the country their pecuniary jurisdiction is very low. The reasonably efficient and expeditious disposal of these small suits falls peculiarly within the province of panchayat courts. If these cases, capable of easy and quick disposal, could be taken away from the file of the regular courts, there would be a substantial improvement in the efficiency and despatch of the courts of the munsifs and a reduction may be possible in the number of officers manning these courts.

Large number of regular sulfs triable by nanchayars.

Refief to regular Courts by the establishment of panchaya:5

> 19. One cannot also forget the inconvenience and expense to which the villager approaching the regular courts of

[&]quot;Includes disposals by transfer.

justice is subjected. The file of the regular courts is con-Benefits of gested with all types of cases, pelty and substantial, cases pauckayat where numerous parties are concerned, and cases in which interlocutory proceedings of various kinds arise. In such a congested file the simpler cases naturally are apt to be Speed and delayed and even lust sight of. In the regular courts even chappens. the poor litigent finds it necessary to engage the services of a lawyer, a step which sometimes involves more expense than the substance of his claim. Added to all this is the circumstance that the litigant has to journey to a place which may be 40 or 50 miles distant from his village in order to reach the regular court. Often times, he has to pay for processes to be served on the defendant and the charges of travel and subsistence of his witnesses, probably on more than one occasion at the hearing of his case. In the result, very often the costs incurred in proceedings in the regular courts are out of all proportion to the value of the claim in the suit. The trial of the suit not infrequently becomes more a trial of the financial capacity of the payties

20. A further point that needs notice is the adverse Convenieffect which the protracted proceedings in the regular courts even of have upon the rural economy of the country. The agri- wimeses. culturist litigants are taken away for long periods of time from their occupations and kept at the place where the court is situated for days together. It has to be remembered that it is not only the litigant but his witnesses as well who have to attend the court during the proceedings and at the adjourned proceedings if an adjournment takes Taking the country as a whole it would not, we think, be an exaggeration to pesert that hundreds of agriculturists would thus he kept away from their occupations by having to attend to their litigation in the regular courts. This must andoubtedly have an adverse effect upon the agricultural common of the country.

 The advantage of bringing justice to the door of the Court villager has been repeatedly stressed. It is abvious that more aparl from other difficulties the vast financial implications essible. myolved would make it impossible to provide regular civil and criminal courts functioning with legally trained personnel for all the villages to deal with the petty civil and criminal cases arising there. The establishment of regular courts involves provision of court houses and the paraphernalia which makes the various attendant establishment of such courts in each village or even for groups of villages unthinkable. The panchayat courts alone can solve the problem of branging justice nearer to the villager.

 Not of the least importance is the educative influence gaugets. of the panchayat courts on the villager. As the administra- value. tive panchayats would gradually train him in the art of

into political and communal factions, it was impossible to self-government and enable him to look after the affairs of his village, so would the myaya panchayats where he would be doing justice between his fellow citizens in the village instil in him a growing sense of fairness and responsibility.

Opposition to panchayat courts.

23. One would have thought that the considerations mentioned above pointed overwhelmingly in favour, not only of the continuance of the jurisdiction at present conferred on these courts but also of the expansion of such jurisdiction and making it exclusive. But much of the evidence given before us, either in the written memoranda submitted to us or by the witnesses who appeared before us, has been very critical of the working of the panchayat courts and many have advocated their abolition.

Comparison with regular courts mislosding.

Most of the witnesses who appeared below us were naturally lawyers or persons concerned with the administration of the law. By reason of their legal or judicial training they were apt to test the working of these courts by comparing them with tribunals manned by trained judicial officers assisted by trained lawyers and governed by regular procedures. Such a comparison was bound to tell heavily against the panchayat courts.

Egistence of facilities. 24. Apart, however, from this point of view, it was frequently stated that as the villages were generally divided into political and communal factions, it was impossible to obtain impartial decisions from the Panchas constituting these courts who would belong to one faction or the other. Indeed, it was stated that the constitution and functioning of these courts had led to an increase of feuds and factions in the villages. As against the load voice of opposition to these tribunals raised by many witnesses, we had a certain body of evidence given by officials supervising the working of these tribunals who, while admitting that the Panchas were new to their duties and that they required training, bore testimony to the usefulness and good work done by these courts. These conflicting views have made our task more difficult.

West Bengot Judicial Reforms Committed's Report. Perhaps the observations made by the Judicial Reforms Committee for the State of West Rengal in their report published in 1951 comprehensively express the reasons given by those who oppose the continuance of these tribunals. The Committee observes as follows:

"The countryside is now torn with factions—communal, political and personal and it has become

ЧКоость, ряде дб.

well-nigh impossible to ensure an independent and impersonal tribunal selected from inhabitants of the tutal areas. Members of these Benches or courts invariably belong to the faction commanding a majority. in the district and the courts cannot by reason thereof inspire any confidence in those who belong to the minority faction or factions".

The Committee proceeds to note that the members of these punchayats have no judicial training, that they are swayed by likes and dislikes, that they are amenable to influence and pressure and corruption and that no amount of supervision can give to these courts that impartiality and independence of judgment which is vital to the administration of justice. They concluded that "it is admitted on all hands that these Union Bouches and Courts have not been a success and we are unanimously of opinion that no case whatsoever has been made out for an extension of their furisdiction. On the contrary we feel strongly that these courts have wholly failed to serve the purpose for which they were intended and that being so we think they should be abolished."1

It will be remembered that the existence of factions Criticisms and divisions in the villages was also urged before the Civil excess... Justice Committee as a reason for the unsatisfactory functioning of these courts. It has been stated before us that these factions and divisions have increased by reason of the introduction of adult franchise all over the country and the appearance of political parties in the villages. If may be observed that very little, if any, of the evidence given before us by lawyers and those concerned with the administration of justice was based on personal experience. Such information as they had was derived from the few cases of revisions or appeals from these panchayat courts with which they had occasion to deal. A picture derived from a knowledge of these cases would necessarily be adverse to these tribunals, as the cases coming up in revision or appeal would be gross cases in which these tribunals, through ignorance or partiality, had been guilty of perpetrating a miscarriage of justice. Apart from this, one cannot expect from these tribunals by the very nature of their constitution and the conditions in which they work, the efficiency, the detachment and the importiality of regular courts of justice. We feel that the views expressed by some of the witnesses against the manner in which these

Report, pages 35.3%.

tribunals have functioned are exaggerated and should be weighed in the background of their training and experience as practising lowyers and judges. As in all corporate life, there would exist in the village factions, divisions and parties. Notwithstanding these, however, the villagers have been accustomed to work in harmony in matters concerning themselves and it appears to us that there is no reason why, with proper safeguards, these courts should not function with a fair amount of success and either conciliate or decide the petty disputes arising in the villages.

Grouping of villages,

Several of the Acts provide for the constitution of village courts which would cover a group of villages situated not very far from one another. Such a provision should result in eliminating or diminishing the effect of factions and divisions on these tribunals. It may even be made a rule that wherever it is possible a dispute arising in one village should be dealt with by Panchas belonging to snother village. This course will, of course, result in depriving the tribunal of the advantage of a knowledge not only of the conditions in a particular village but perhaps also of facts relating to the particular dispute which these tribunals would otherwise have. Such a provision need, therefore, be made only where the division of villages into parties and factions makes it necessary that such a course should be arlepted:

<u>Kl</u>eegam to punchayas zourts.

27. It appears that with the exception of the State of Kerala all the States have adopted election as the mode for appointing the personnel of the panchayats. deal of criticism has been directed against this method of selection of the panchas. It has been said that elections movitably bring factions into existence and that a panchaconsen by election is bound to support the body of electors who put him in office. It is true that the method of electing the judiciary has many evils and that these evils are being increasingly recognized in countries where the system of electing Judges prevails. But it must not be forgotten that in the case of these courts, we are not dealing with judicial tribunals in the strict sense of the term. We are dealing with tribunals who have to inspire the confidence of the villagers and such confidence can be obtained only by persons chosen by the villagers themselves. Perhaps it would not be incorrect to say that the very basis of the constitution of a panchayat is a choice by the people, whether it be by a facit consent unanimously given or by an election regularly conducted. It may be mentioned that most of the Acts constituting the panchayats provide for the election of the village panchayet as a whole and the persons so elected choose out of their number certain persons to be members of the nyaya panchayat, one of whom

is selected to be the Sarpanch or the President of the nyays. It appears unlikely that members of the nyaya. panchavat. panchayat so elected would reflect any particular division or faction in the village. Further, it has been pointed out with a certain amount of justification that the very fact that cases are determined by the myaya panchayate in the presence of numerous persons resident in the locality some of whom would be well aware of the true facts in regard to the case under enquiry is bound to act as a deterrent against partiality or prejudice having a play in the decision. The circumstance that the enquiry is being conducted in the presence of people who have knowledge of the true events should undoubledly tend to ensure an attitude of fair play and propriety in the attitude of Panchas dealing with the matter.

28. A view was expressed by some of the witnesses Noedselos appearing before us that the element of election in the of panches, constitution of the judicial panchayets should be replaced by one of nomination or appointment on the recommenda-tion of the District Judge aided by some other officials. Having given our best consideration to this view we are unable to accent it It is essential that only persons who command the complete confidence of the villagers should be chosen to administer justice at the village level. However impartial he may be, a choice of the personnel of the nyaya panchayat by a particular officer, who cannot possibly have a first-hand knowledge of the local conditions and who will have to depend upon information supplied by subordinates in making the choice can never have this The freely expressed will of the essential advantage. villagers, would, in substance, be replaced by the untrustworthy recommendations of subordinate officials. Panchas, so appointed would tend to act in a manner which will command the approval of the appointing authority rather than discharge their functions in the true spirit of service to the village community.

The question of appointment vis-a-vis election of Panchas was considered by the West Bengal Committee who expressed themselves definitely against appointment as a method of selection. They stated that it appeared to them. "impossible to devise suitable machinery for appointing wholly importial and independent persons to sit in these courts, though doubtless such persons do exist in the rural Appointments to such courts must be made on the recommendation of some persons or authorities. Persons or bodies living in a particular locality are bound to be influenced in favour of their own factions, friends or supporters and such recommendations could not be safely relied on and their recommendations #901d in many cases be utterly valueless."

Renort p. 36.

³²² M. of Law-58.

Numin toon Procines.

29. As will be not ged from the Table relating to thefrom elected constitution of the panchayots, some States have adopted a method of selection out of the members of the elected panchayat. The selection of the required attraber of mysybpanchas is made by a prescribed authority. This neithed ptovails in Utton Pradeso, Madhya Pradeso and Hyderabad. In Assam, Bombsy and West Bengel the elected intembers of the panel agot themselves elections of their number the nyaya panchas required to constitute the tribunal. only in the State of Kerala (erstwhile Travangure-Cochin area) that the appointment of nyaya panchas is made by the Government on the recommendation of the district. officials. This system of appointment seems to have worked satisfactorily in that eren, probably because of the smallness of the area and the consequent ease with which the higher officials are in a position to choose persons. respected in the villages as the panchas.

Oppliffes. tions to be prescribed.

30. Perhaps the method of selection by a proper official from among those elected as members of the panchayat may afford a solution to this question. Such a method would have the advantage of securing persons chosen by the people from amongst whom persons regarded as more competent than the others may be selected to discharge the functions of nyaya panchas. The selection could be madeby a suitable authority on the basis of the possession of certain qualifications such as literacy, reputation for impartiality and the like.

Procedure Codes not to appriy.

91. It has been said that as the Evidence Act and the-Procedure Codes have been made inapplicable to these courts by the Acts constituting them and that as the penchayats are left at liberty to discover the truth in thebost manner open to them and permitted even to utilise their personal knowledge in respect of the matters in issue, the very basis on which these courts are constituted is wholly opposed to the recognized canons of the administration of justice. Though these enactments exclude the application of the Evidence Act and the Procedure Codes. to these tribunals, they do contain specific provisions laying down the manner of the conduct of trial in these courts and also contain provisions in regard to the delivery of unigments, the maintenance of records and other ancillary The criticism arises from the erroneous viewwhich regards these courts in the same manner as ordinary judicial telumais while they are essentially different. Their main function is to bring about, as far as possible, a compromise of the small disputes arising in the village. An amicably settlement of such disputes becomes easier to secure when the persons clothed with the authority of deciding them have the advantage of knowing the disputants, the subject-matter of the dispute, the way in which thedispute arose and other facts relating to them. The personal knowledge of the panches in these matters which they are entitled to use in inducing a settlement wherever possible and ultimately, if necessary deciding those disputes is valuable for the efficient and smooth working of these tribunals, whether as conciliators or as adjudicators. It is, therefore, essential that these tribunals should be free from the fetters laid down in the Evidence Act and the Procedure Codes. Indeed, it would be anomalous to apply the complicated rules of evidence and procedure laid down in these laws to the adjudications of the simple and very frequently, the frivolous disputes which arise in the villages.

32, Having regard to what has been stated above, it No Real would be equally anomalous to permit parties to disputes concerniain these courts to be represented by legal practi-tioners. It has been said that the latigant in these courts should not be denied the benefit of competent lagal aid. Such an argument overlooks the very simple nature of the disputes which come up before these courts and the fact that these courts are not judicial tribunals in the strict sense. The very purpose of these courts namely the ready and commonsense administration of justice without regard to technicalities would be defeated unless the prohibition against the appearance of lawyers in these tribumals is maintained.

33. Attention may, however, be drawn in this con-tional liminaction to clause (1) of article 22 of the Constitution tation on which provides inter alia that no person who is arrested exclusion of shall be denied the right to consult and be defended by a legal legal practitioner of his choice. In cases where these tri-practi-bunals are given jurisdiction over criminal matters which may result in persons brought up before them being arrested, the accused person will have to be given the right to consult and to be defended by legal practi-tioners. A provision to this effect is to be found in the Uttar Pradesh Act.

 It has been suggested by some witnesses who Punchayat appeared before us that the very creation of these courts the increme in the villages has resulted in making the villagers factly of bulgation. ous so that, with the courts so easily accessible, the most trivial disputes which they would never have dreamt taking to a court of law are now being taken to these village courts. It is suggested that the very large number of cases disposed of by these courts particularly in the State of Uttar Pradesh support this statement. This view sought to be supported only by the large number of suita

disposed of by these courts is difficult to accept. It may be that previously when courts were far away and difficult of access, villagers—particularly those who were poor and low in the social scale—had not the means and the opportunity to obtain reduces of their grievances and suffered them without taking any action. These villagers having now the opportunity, resort to these courts for redressing their wrongs. That is very different from asserting that these courts have actually led to a rise in frivolous or vexatious litigation. On the contrary, the fact that these grievances are now capable of easy remedy in the village itself or nearby is a justification for the creation of these courts. The view we have taken is supported by the evidence of officials who have been supervising the working of the panchayets including the nyaya penchayets.

Panaboyete na comezijacoco.

35. It has been suggested that the function of these panchayats should be purely conciliatory and not adjudi-catory; that it could be provided by law that in all disputes of a specified class, the disputents, before going to the ordinary courts of law, should be required to go to the local panchayat court which would exert its influence to bring about an amicable settlement-Such disputes should be entertained by the regular courts only in the event of the failure of the panchayar courts to effect conciliation. If this suggestion were accepted, it appears to us that the panchavat courts would be deprived of their real utility and the small disputes which they now adjudicate would be subjected to the delays and the expense which will arise from first going for conciliation to the panchayat courts and then going for adjudication to the regular courts.

Adjudicatory powers executial.

36. One cannot overlook the importance of the body which is entrusted with the task of bringing about an amicable settlement being clothed with authority to adjudicate upon the dispute in the event of a settlement not being agreed to. It would not be incorrect to state that the large number of settlements which the panchayat courts are able to bring about are due, in a considerable measure, to the authority to adjudicate which they now possess. Nor can we overlook the tendency of litigants to take their matters to higher courts even in small matters. and their reluctance to accept a decision as final, if there is the least possibility of canvassing its correctness in a higher court. The mere fact that taking the matter to the regular court would result in delay will induce a large number of litigants to refuse to agree to an amicable settlement of their disputes. Even if penal provisions relating to costs were introduced, it is unlikely that such provisions will prevent litigants from refusing to agree to a reasonable compromise in the hope of delaying the adjudication by letting the matter go to the regular courts. We are, therefore, of the view that these tribunals should be vested with powers of adjudication.

 We think that the suggestion that the jurisdiction limitudes of these tribunals should be made concurrent with that imistivities of the ordinary courts and not exclusive is unsound. In the absence of an exclusive jurisdiction, there will be a tendency in the litigant to prefer the ordinary courts. If the plaintiff takes advantage of the village court with its cheap and expeditious procedure, the defendant will, in order to obstruct the plaintiff and delay the litigation, move for a transfer of his case to the regular court. If our purpose is to make these courts efficient, we can do so only by investing them with complete responsibility in the exercise of such jurisdiction as they possess and give them opportunities to acquire knowledge and experience. It is unfair to criticise these courts as memcient and at the same time deny them exclusive jurisdiction which step atome can lead to their improvement and antisfactory working.

 Having given full weight to the various criticisms purchases levelled against the parohayat courts, we feel justified in cours reaching the conclusion that, with saleguards designed to mefulensure their proper working and improvement, these courts are capable of playing a very necessary and useful part in the administration of justice in the country. We shall now proceed to discuss and outline what needs to be done to ensure the satisfactory and improved working of these courts.

39. Before we proceed to do so, we may point out that Their in some of the States like Bombay, Mysore and Orisso a catablishdetermined effort needs to be made to make these courts mean popular so that the congestion in the regular courts may necessary. be relieved and the villagers may be able to obtain justice. in small disputes near their homes. Table IX shows that only an infinitesimal number of suits are filed in these courts in Bombay and Orissa. Evidence given before us indicated that though there is a statutory provision for the establishment of these cour's in the State of Mysore, they are not functioning in that State. We have not been able to find the real cause of the situation existing in these three State: If these courts can function so as to dispose of a large mass of soits in States like Madras, and. Utter Pradesh, there appears to us to be no reason whatever why they councille made to fulfil a similar function. In these three States. We, therefore, suggest, that a determined effort should be made to establish, and popularise these cours in these three States.

40. In regard to their constitution, it is essential that Naminarian the Panchas should be the elected representatives of the  $n_{\rm st}$ , of people. The system, which at present prevails in a number elected of States, of electing them as a part of the administrative Peuchas or general pauchayat elected for the village or villages involved. appears to be satisfactory. In order, however, to ensure that out of the members of the panchayat so elected. persons having some knowledge or experience in matters

£

Table 1X
Statement showing the number and value of suits instituted in the village and Panchapus Cours in Caragin Scates

Name of and		=	Value not. Exceeding Ra. 10/-	Value and expending Rs. 20/-	Value not exceeding Rs. 50/-	Value not exceeding Rs. roof-	Value not careeding Rs. 500/-	Total number of spice instructed	Remarks
7		_		3	4		б	7	B
Andhra 1953 1954	:	:	5/ <b>354</b> 3. <b>488</b>	1,589 2,167	6,748 6,402	3,558 5,309	526 589	16,375 18,155	(a) This figure deposes the number of solts vehicle between Re. 10 and Re. 50/r.
90mbay 1952 1953 1954	:	:	10 12 4	 :	(a)50 (a)29 (a)25	(6)4 (8)7 (6)8	15K 15K 16K	6 <b>4</b> 48 38	(8) This figure denotes the number of using valued perwises Rs. you & Rs. 100's.
<b>Madh</b> ya 1 1952 1953 1954			.5 20 2	:: ::	(x)139 (x)207 (x)200	(5),149 (9),724 (7),203	(#)141 (#)223 (#)32	114	(c) This figure denotes the number of suits valued between Rs 1911 & Rs, 3011.
Madro, 952 953			3:5 <b>94</b> 5:564	я,539 9,581	21,43T 19,420	7,270 5,479	\$267 \$1277		(3) This figure persons the complete of softs valued between Rs. 50% and Rs. 100%.
1954			5:321	5:154	. 46195	4:379	1,113	30-4 <b>62</b>	(*) This figure denotes the number of suits valued between Rs one's and Rs, san's.

Orier # 1952 1953 1954	:	:	Nil.	 (a) 44 (a) 93 (a) 18	(4) T (4) 12 (4) 13	(e) — (e) 32 (e) 12	15 147 (f)75	(f) This figure includes the storage stituted in the vallage of this.
<b>Тисгия</b> ния	ra-Coo	Aŭ»ı						•
1962-53 1953-54 1964-65	:	:		(1)813 (1)749 (1)730	C1379		(2) 1,775 (3)1,678 (3)1,827	(a) Thus I for the Europea the Gember of sames valued between Ro. 30 & Rs. 30%.
								(2) This figure limits of gas, the control of below Rs. [36].
West Beng	gal							
1952 1953 1954	:	:	750 943 910	$(\pi)_{-1}G\gamma t) = (\pi)_{-1}G\gamma t) = (\pi)_{-1}S\alpha t)$	(y)n.ork 30 592 (y) 1,047	(a)982 (a)869 (a)894	7,421 4,965 5,665	<ul> <li>(i) This figure module, y₃₅ soils without below Ha. q₃ i.</li> <li>(ii) This figure derives the number of soils valued between Ra. 50° to Ra. another.</li> </ul>
								<ul> <li>(ξ) This figure moderness τ₂008 spins values below Ra, φ₀(ε).</li> </ul>
								(x) This figure despite the rounder of some valued between Rs. in a ond Rs. 1904.
								(v) This figure denotes the municipal soits - valued between Rs. 50% and Rs. 100%.
				 				(a) This figure denotes the number of suits values between Rs. (con's to 2) Rs., 500%.

Grouping villages.

useful to the administration of justice are chosen, the selection of myaya ponchas out of the members of the panchayat elected by the villagers may be left to an outside authority like the Collector.

Outsiders to hear conce.

Parıl⊷

momiosts:

pagahas,

41. In order to obviate, as for as possible, factional of partisan influence in the functioning of these courts a court may be constituted for a group of villages situated in hearby areas. Where such courts exist, a rule may be made that in disposing of disputes which have arisen in a particular village, the nyaya panches dealing with it should be those coming from other villages. Another method which has been tried in some States may also serve to achieve this end. Liberty may be given to each party to a dispute to select his pancha, and the sarpanch may be one who may be elected as such by the panchayat.

Training
of
Pencius
custurial

 It is essential that the nyaya panchas and particularly, the sarpanches should be subjected to a short training. Instances have been brought to our notice of outrageous sentences clearly outside their jurisdiction having been ordered to be inflicted in some stray cases by some of these panchayats. This, doubtless, arises from the ignorance of the panchas of the scope and extent of their jurisdiction and powers. The rules framed by some of the States do provide for a short training but there appears to be no uniform practice in this respect. It appears to us that a short training of about two weeks given in the village itself or near the village should besufficient. Such training may usefully be given either by the officer appointed to supervise the working of the panchayat courts or an officer subordinate to him. They should also be instructed to attend the nearby regular courts of the lowest grade under the guidance of the officer. Panchas should be allowed to exercise judicial functions only after they have undergone the prescribed training.

Special machinery for supervision to be set up.

43. We have noticed that at present, excepting in the-State of Kerala, the supervision of these courts. Is left to the officer in charge of panchayats generally. Many of these officers have no legal training and are preoccupied with administrative work. It appears to us necessary that in each State a special officer or officers, should, be appointed to supervise the working of these courts. Apart from training, inspection and supervision such an officer or officers would be helpful in guiding the nyaya panchas and giving them advice wherever necessary. In States such as Madras and Andhra Predesh, the control and supervision of these courts are vested in the District Munsif or the Sub-Divisional Magistrate and the usual procedure is for the President of the panchayat court to produce the records of the court to the District Munsif or the Sub-Divisional Magistrate. It will be more satisfactory to vest such control and supervision in a special' officer or officers appointed for the purpose. In this. connection, we may refer to the appointment in Kerala of an officer known as the Registrar of Village Courts whose duties include the inspection of these courts and personal attention to their working.

44. In order to provide a continuity of trained panchas, Panchas to ; notwithstanding their recruitment by periodical elections, be assecta provision should be made in the relevant Acts by which ed. the vacation of their offices by the nyaya panchas may be staggered; so that some of the existing trained nyaya panchas would continue to function together with the recruits brought in by the new elections.

45. In the matter of jurisdiction of these courts, it is Proposed necessary to proceed with considerable caution. While jurisdicwe deprecate their pecuniary jurisdiction being restricted rion—civily, to such small amounts as Rs. 10/- or Rs. 25/- we would advocate a maximum limit of Rs. 200/- or Rs. 250/-. In special cases, where particular panchayets have proved themselves to be efficient, their jurisdiction may be enlarged upto Rs. 500/- with the approval of the High Court. Such enhanced jurisdiction must, however, coase with the reconstitution of the panchayat on a re-election. In regard to criminal matters, we do not approve of the panchayats being empowered to inflict a sentence of impresonment either substantively or in default of the payment of a line. Nor should they have powers to bind over persons for keeping the peace. Their jurisdiction should be restricted to inflicting punishments by way of flue not exceeding Rs 50/-. The legislation of some States have conferred nowers on State Governments to invest these courts with a much wider jurisdiction in criminal matters. The conferment of such jurisdiction appears to us unwise, at any rate, till these courts have gathered greater experience and justified the smaller powers entrusted to them.

46. We would provide a revision from the decisions of Limital these panchoyats in civil and criminal matters to the revisional munsifier the sub-divisional magistrate. A power of revision to the District Judge who would be at a distance from the village and busy with important matters appears to us to be inadvisable. Nor would we recommend an appeal to a Full Bench of the panchayat court being provided as, for example, in the State of Bihar. The powers of revision should be restricted to cases of corruption, gross partiality or misconduct of the panchas, miscarrage of justice or the exercise of jurisdiction not vest-ed in them or to eases where they have acted otherwise illegally. [See section 73 of the Madras Village Courts Act, 1888 (I of 1889)]. Power should also be given to the munsif or sub-divisional magistrate to transfer a matter from one panchayat court to another or to the regular court for trial.

Power to District Judge to direct removal of Panches,

47. It is also necessary to make a provision, as in the Madras Act, for the removal of panchas on the ground of corruption, gross partiality or misconduct. The munsif or the sub-divisional magistrate who comes across a case of such a nature should be obliged to report it to the District Judge who could thereupon write to the Collector requiring him to remove the pancha or panchas concerned. It would also be necessary to provide for appeals against such orders of removal as in the Madras Act (Act. I of 1889).

Court Fee: and Procedure.

48. In some of the States such as Modras and Andhra Prodesh, no court fees are levied. In some other States such as Uttar Pradesh, the fees tend to be a fairly substantial percentage of the amount claimed. We think that the fees chargeable in these courts should be nominal fees. We suggest the following:—

Suits upto Rs. 100/- upto a maximum of Re. 1/-.

Suits from Rs. 101/- to Rs. 200/- upto a maximum of Rs. 2/-.

Suits from Rs. 201/- to Rs. 300/- upto a maximum of Rs. 3/-.

Suits from Rs. 301/-  $t_0$  Rs. 400/- upto a meximum of Rs. 4/-.

Suits from Rs, 401/- to Rs. 500/- upto a maximum of Rs. 5/-.

The process fees, wherever leviable, should also be nominal,

Most of the Acts constituting these courts provide a simple procedure modelled on the Civil Procedure Code. They also contain provisions making it clear that it is not obligatory on these courts to follow the provisions of the Evidence Act and the Civil Procedure Code and also provisions barring the appearance of lawyers before these courts. We have already indicated above our approval of provisions of this nature.

Execution of decrees by Paul-chayet and Collector.

49. It is obviously desirable that the decrees and orders of these courts should be capable of prompt execution. Delays would defeat one of the main purposes of the institution of these courts. These courts should have power to distrain and seize movable property in execution of their decrees such as is now conferred on them by a number of Acts constituting them. Provision should, however, be made, wherever these courts are unable to execute their decrees, for the execution to be transferred to the Collector on a certificate being issued by these courts. Provisions such as are contained in the Acts of some States providing for the transfer of execution to the regular courts are clearly unsatisfactory inasmuch as execution delays are one of the most pressing problems in regard to the decrees of the regular courts themselves.

50. We have found considerable difficulty in gathering New for becessary information about the working of these courts in mor detail-the different. States. Though most of the States have some edinformathe different States. Though most of the States have constion. tituted panchayats, very few of them maintain statistical data regarding the working of the panchayat courts. The State of Kerala along has issued an administration report relating to the working of these courts. In Uttar Pradesh a dote on the constitution and working of judicial panchayots specially prepared for the Government was handed over to us. In regard to the other States we had to gather such information as we did from general administration reports or notes prepared for our use. If these tribunals are to be festered by the States and grow so that they may become live and effective institutions, it is necessary that special officers should be appointed to look offer and that one of the functions of such officers should be to collect and publish all useful information in regard to the work done by these courts. The judicial functions performed by the punchayals should be regarded as being not less important than its functions in regard to the general admi-nistration of the village. It is surprising that though numerous officials of the connected departments of Government guide, direct and watch the panchayats' activities in the administrative fields, very few officers are made responsible for the performance of the judicial functions of the punchayets. That perhaps explains the absence of knowledge among the general public of the very useful work done by the cyaya panchayets in some of the States. It is, therefore, vitally necessary that each State should have one or more special officers who may in appropriate cases be judicial officers to look after the panchayari adalats and from time to time publicise the manner of their follows: —

5h We now set our our conclusions under this head as Condusions. #ollows:

- (1) Panchayat courts are capable of doing a good deal of useful work by relieving the regular courts of petty civil litigation and criminal cases of the simpler type.
- (2) The penchayats are in a position to dispose of simple cases more cheaply and expeditionaly and with less inconvenience to all concerned than ordinary counts.
- (3) An effort should be made to establish and popularise panchayat courts in States where they are not firmly established.
- (4) Wherever possible, a panchayat court should be constituted for a group of villages situated in a nearby area,
- (5) Nyaya panchas should be nominated by a suitable authority out of those elected panchas who possess certain prescribed qualifications like literacy.

- (6) In order to provide continuity the terms of office of Nyaya panchas should be staggered.
- (7) Nyaya panchas should be given training before they are allowed to exercise judicial functions.
- (8) To get over the difficulty caused by the existence of factions, the panchas deciding a case might be required to belong to a neighbouring village, or each party to a dispute may be allowed to select his pancha.
- (9) The jurisdiction of panchayat courts should be exclusive.
- (10) Nature of cases (civil) and the list of offences (criminal) triable by these courts are set out at length in the various State enactments and do not call for any chlargement.
- (II) The upper limit of the civil jurisdiction of panchayats should be Rs. 200/- or Rs. 250/-. In special cases with the approval of the High Court their jurisdiction may be increased to Rs. 500/-.
- (12) The criminal jurisdiction of panchayat courts should be limited to inflicting a fine of Rs. 59/~ They should not have the power to award sentences of imprisonment either substantively or in default of payment of fine or to bind over parties to keep the peace. Cases in which such action is necessary should be made over to the regular courts.
- (13) The court fee, if any, levied by the panchayas courts should be nominal.
- (14) Panchayat courts should not be bound by Procedural Codes or by the Law of Evidence.
- (15) Panchayat courts should wherever possible suck to effect an amicable settlement between the parties.
- (16) Legal practitioners should not be permitted to appear in these courts.
- (17) A revision should lie from the decisions of the panchayat courts in civil and criminal matters to the Munsil or Sub-Divisional Magistrate, who should be empowered to transfer a case from one panchayat court to another or to the regular court for trial,
- (18) The power of revision should not be given to the District Judge nor should a right of appeal to a larger panchayat be allowed.
- (19) The District Judge should be empowered to direct the removal of panchas if he is satisfied upon a report that the pancha in question has been guilty of misconduct in the discharge of his judicial functions

There should be a provision for an appeal against such order of removal.

- (20) Panchayat courts should be empowered to distrain or seize movable property in execution of their decrees and to send them if necessary to the Collector for execution.
- (21) A special officer or special officers should be appointed for the purpose of imparting training to panchas and to supervise the administration of panchayat courts.
- (22) More detailed information should be collected and published regarding the working of the panchayat courts.

## 44.—ANDHRA PRADESH.

- By the Andhra State Act of 1953, the eleven Andhra-Districts that formed part of the State of Madras were constituted as a separate State to which were added, consequent upon the reorganisation of the States by Act XXXVII. of 1956, nine districts—popularly known as the Telangana. region -of the erstwhile State of Hyderabad; the State thus. enlarged has been given the name "Andbra Pradesh".
- According to the census of 1951, the population of: the State is 31,200,133 made up of 20,647,608 inhabitants in the Andhra districts and the rest in Telangana. They contribute roughly a tenth of the total litigation in the regular civil courts of our country.
- Judiciary.
- 3. At the apex of the judiciary is the High Court consisting of sixteen judges including the Chief Justice and three additional judges appointed for a period of two-Supordinest years. The territory subject to the jurisdiction of the High Court covers on area of 1,05,963 square miles and has been divided into twenty district judgeships. In 1956, the subordinate civil judiciary consisted of thirty-lourdistrict judges including ten additional district judges, one Chief Judge and two additional judges of the City Civil Court, Hyderabad, one Chief Judge of the City Small Causes Court. Hyderabad, thirty-four subordinate judges. including one judge of the City Small Causes Court, Hyderohad and one hundred and twenty mussifs. On the criminal side there were forty-nine sessions judges including nineteen assistant sessions judges, eleven district magistrates (Junicial), sixty-two sub-divisional and munsifmagistrates, thirty judicial fits; class magistrates, seventy judicial second class magistrates, one third class magistrateand five honorary magistrates in addition to executive officers exercising magisterial powers.

## Honorery. Megationer.

- 4. There are two classes of honorary magistrates, namely, (1) special honorary magistrates and (2) honorary bench magistrates. Special honorary magistrates exercise powers of first class magistrates and sit singly. The honorary railway magistrates also sit singly.
- 5. These honovary magistrates are generally appointed in large municipal towns in order to give relief to the stipendary magistrates. One lady and one member of the Harijan community are generally included in each bench. All the nonorary magistrates are under the administrative control of the Judicial District Magistrates.
- honorary magistrates usually my offences relating to public health, simple hurt, wrongful restraint, agrault, mischief, criminal trespass, intimidation and theft.

or receiving stolen property not exceeding Rs. 18 in value under the Indian Penal Code and also offences under the Municipal Acts and conservoncy clauses of the Police Act punishable with fine only or with imprisonment for a term not exceeding one munth. They also try offences under the Local Boards Acts relating to compulsory vaccountous, registration of births and deaths etc. Honorary railway magistrates try offences of ticketless travel and also those under certain other offences under the Indian Railways Act.

- 7. At present, appointments of honorary magistrates are made by Government on the recommendation of the collector of the district. As separation of the judiciary from the executive has been effected throughout the State, it would be more appropriate to make the selection on the remmendation of District Judge or the Judicial District Magistrate.
- B. Two distinct patterns of judicial administration obtain Judicial in this State. In the Andhro districts the system of judicial administration existing in the Madras State has been from in the retained with two notable variations, namely:
  - (i) district judges hear appeals valued upto Rs. 7,500;
  - (ii) after the coming into force of the Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Act (XXVI of 1955), the Government has by an order G.O. M.S. No. 750, dated the 26th March, 1956, which came into force on the 1st April, 1956, abolished the posts of district magistrates (Judicial), sub-divisional magistrates and stationary sub-magistrates and appointed, instead, additional district and sessions judges, judicial first class and second class magistrates respectively.
- 9. In the Telangana region, however, the same set of in Telan-officers exercise civil and criminal jurisdiction; the game, subordinate judges have been invested with powers of district magistrates and muncifs with those of first class magistrates. There are no judicial second class magistrates not honorary magistrates.
- 40 For the speedy dispensation of criminal justice the co-operation of the police is necessary. Delays on the part of the police officials in the submission of charge sheets, service of summons, attendance at courts and the like have to be brought to the motice of superior police officials. Stackness on the part of subordinate magistrates will have to be corrected. All this will be possible only if there is a detailed scrutiny of the periodical returns sent by the subordinate magistracy, and a frequent inspection of magistrates' courts. It is a matter of doubt whether the additional district and sessions judges appointed for this purpose will be in a position to devote sufficient time to the effective supervision of the work of the magistracy because

Appointment of judicial # district magistrates.

of their sessions and civis appellate work. These duties can be discharged more efficiently by judicial district magistrates. Appointment of judicial district magistrates will not only add to the efficiency of the magistracy but will also result in a saving to the state exchequer. We would favour the appointment of judicial district magistrates instead of auditional district and sessions judges for the purposes of supervision, with powers to hear appeals from the decisions of second and third class magistrates as in Modras and Kerala.

Jurisdiction of civit courts, 11. The following Table shows at a glance the peruniary jurisduction of different classes of civil judicial officers in the Andoro and Telangana regions:- TABLE NO. 1

Reg	ian of t	unt of the State Class of officers		Class of officers		Small	8 adda. s		
<b>-</b>		·			Ordioury	Special	Caute	Appellate	
	I			2	3	4	5	6	
Andhra				District and Additional District Judge.	Unlineled	Guardiana and Wards Act, Indian Succession Act, Land Acquisi- tion Act, Payment of Wages Act, etc.		Rs. 7,500	
				Subordinate Judge*	Do.	Indian Succession Act, Land Acquisition Act, etc.	Ra. 2,000	Ra. 5,000	
				Munsit	Rs. 5,000	Indian Succession Act.	Re. 500	Mil.	
Telanguna				- District Judge,	Unlimited	Land Acquisition Act, The Indian Succession Act, The Guardian & Wards Act, Pay- ment of Wages Act, sec.	Ra. 300	Ra. 5,000	
				Subordinate Judge.** Muntif***	Upto Rs. 20,000 Upto Rs. 2,000	Mil. Nil.	<b>R</b> a, 200 Rai, 50	Nil. Nil.	

^{*}Subordinate Judges in the Andhra region are also Assistant Sessions Judges.

^{**}Subordinate Judges are also District Magistrates.

^{***}Muncifs are also Sub-Divisional Magistres,

Yokume of Bigation.

- 12. During the triennium 1954—56 on an average about 71,500 suits—regular and small cause—were instituted in civil courts (excluding village and panchayat courts) or one suit per 437-2 persons. The average institution in this period in the Andhra region was 65,304 suits or one suit per 316-1 persons whereas it was about 6,000 suits or one suit per 1,769 persons in the Telangana region.
- 13. The number and value of suits in different classes of civil courts, according to the statistics furnished by the High Court* are set out below:

⁴All the statistics given in this chapter have been taken from data furnished by the High Caom (The figures shown in the reports on the scinioistration of civil and original justice do not always tally with those furnished to us by the High Count).

TABLE No. 2

	Ar	idhra District	В	Telangunu Region				
Sults of the value	Number filed In			Average	No	Average		
	1954 1955		1956		1954	1955 1		
Not exceeding Rs. 1000/-	57561	49764	<b>5</b> 4076	53860	4957	4:02	4504	4521
Exceeding Rs. 1000 but not exceeding Rs. 2000/-	6256	5730	4293	<u>5</u> 626	65,1	8 <b>14</b>	920	791
Receding Rs. 2000 but not exceeding Rs. 5000/-	7830	2524	<b>268</b> 0	4345	439	<b>3</b> 17	\$53	503
Receeding Rs. 5000 but not exceeding Rs. 10,000	1129	978	784	964	184	<b>7</b> 51	233	20:
Receding Rs. 10000 but not exceeding	327	285	237	283	NA	NA	NA	NA
enteeding Rs. 20000 but not exceed- ing Rs. 50000/- tageding Rs. 50000	308 101	203 78	185 81	199 87	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA

NOTE: Suits which are not capable of valuation are not shown.

14. It appears from these figures that in Andhra districts about 95 per cent of the suits are brought to trial before the courts of munsifs.

State of work in manails obserts. 15. The following table shows the average number of proceedings instituted, disposed of and pending in the courts of Munsits in the Andhra region during the three years preceding 1957.

Table No. 3

Nature of	ргосея	Tourtituted	Disposed of	Pending	
			 ·· <del>-</del> · ·		<del> </del>
Original Sulta			374.0	31917	257-6
Smell Cause Sulte	-		614-9	615-9	126-7
Civil Misc. Petrs.		٠	257810	7448-0	344-5

16. The average duration of original suits disposed of after contest in the year 1955 was 391 days—not very much above the standard time prescribed by us for the disposal of suits in the subordinate courts.

17. On 1st January 1956, 16257 original suits were pending in the courts of Munsifs in the Andhra region out of which 5,400 were a year old—the oldest suit remaining pending having been instituted in 1934 as will be seen from the table given below:

TABLE No. 4

			Year	<u> </u>			
1934	1941	1943	E944	1945	1946	1947	1946
ı	т	4	3	9	31	23	43
			Yenr			·	
1949	1950	1951	1952	τg	53	1954	1955
66	1125	194	319	100	Otz	3576	10876

18. Though, as is evident from the figures given in Table No. 3, the Munsifs in the Andhra region are able to keep pace with institutions, the heavy pendency is due to the fact that there is an accumulation of old suits. Appointment of temporary Munsifs to wipe out the arrears

seems to be essential to bring the file under control. Raising the cadre strength of Munsils does not appear to be necessary.

- 19. There would not appear to be any reason for keeping the pecuniary jurisdiction of Munsifs in the Telangana region as low as Rs. 2,000 in original suits and Rs. 50 in small cause suits, especially as even village courts in the Andhra region have jurisdiction to try suits valued at Rs. 50. We recommend that the Munsifs in the Telangana region should have the same original and small cause jurisdiction as is conferred on their counterparts in the Andhra region. A single civil courts Act for the entire State is also very necessary.
- 20. Turning to the position in the subordinate judges' In Subordicourts the accompanying Table (No. 5) will show the insti-Court. tution, disposal and pendency of proceedings of different categories during the three years 1964-56.

TABLE No. 5

	Number of the Pro-				15				T		T	ling over a year		
Regico	cecting		natitoeed			isposed a			Pending		Pending over		o year	
-		1954	1955	1955	1954	1955	1956	1954	1955	1956	1954	1955	1956	
1		3	+	5	6	7		9	10	11	12	13	14	
\$adba	Civil suits .	2140	τ488	1429	3222	1784	1704	3026	2730	2525	1634	1610	146	
	Small omises sulta	4527	4179	4779	4945	4111	4414	1520	1988	3953	62	141	21	
	Misc. Civilcoses ond pertrions	51500	50789	51351	50979	30570	50484	7817	8036	8913	1252	1552	152	
	Civil appeals .	2184	1965	1970	2165	2019	1892	6209	2154	2212	431	6tg	521	
	Civil Misc, appeals	N.A.	753	<b>6</b> 59	659	701	685	289	351	325	16	16	31	
	Sussiang cases .	<b>Z94</b>	196	184	273	231	180	60	26	31	2	-		
	Criminal appears		.,	1546		•	149T			50				
	Oriminal Revu.			20			20					•		
	· Cases under J.P.C.			•			2							
	Coses under other						_							
	Acts	\$	4	7	19	4	4	I	I	4				
Celengana	. Civil suite .	794	724	878	870	761	787	595	498	663	89	95	177	
	Small muse suite .	433	503	555	493	466	517	99	136	176	••	10	٠,	
	Misc. Civil cases and								_					
	petitions	120 <b>f</b> i	1031	1125	5772	1225	1021	484	259	431	56	34	7	
	Criminal appeals .	936	220	109	930	221	133	44	43	19				
	CHotinal Revos	566	598	568	459	550	464	116	48	104			3	
	Cases under I,P,C .	2035	3503	1291	1106	1495	1994	219	226	190	5	3	1	
	Other cases .	1661	1216	1582	1715	T408	E551	234	L42	173	Ι'n	3	4	

Nova.—1. 10 officers in 1954 and 1955 and 11 in 1956 worked as subordinate Judges and district magistrates in the Tela gama Regions.

 ³⁰ officers in 1954. 25 in 1955 and 22 in 1956 worked as subsordinate judges out of whom 26, 21 and 79 were vested powers of assistant
sessions judges in Analita Region.

21. The annual average disposal of work by a subordinate judge based on the institutions and disposals during the same period is as under:

Table No. 6

Region	Nature of proceeding	Instituted	Dispraed of	Pending	
ĭ	2	3	4	5	
Andlus	. Civil Suits Small Cause Suits	65-4 175-1	87°2 167°1	107.5	
	Civit Appeals Civil Misc. Appeals Civil Misc. Petna, and	7914 2417	78-9 <b>25-7</b>	12·5	
	Coses Sensjons cases	1995'4 10'2	1974°3	321.Q	
Telanguna	Civil Suits Small Cause Suits Civil Appeals	2714 4811	7840 <b>47</b> ∙6	54°7 13°2	
	Civil Milac. Pens. and Cases.	10814	111.5	39.0	
	Cases under IPC	156-0	161.0	18.5	
	Cases under other Acts	14710	121.0	17*4	
	Criminal Appeals Criminal Revision Peti- tions.	21·4 50-0	47:3	314	

22. Notwithstanding a substantial increase in disposals and a progressive fall in the number of year-old suits one cannot overlook the lact that some suits have remained pending over a decade. The following table shows the number of original suits pending on lat January, 1956 arranged according to the years of institution in the subcourts in the Andhra region.

Table No. 7

Regim			Year				
1939	1942	1943	1944	<b>194</b> 5	1946	F947	1948
	ı	7	т	5	,	מו	16
							· ·
1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956
20	46	,119	186	433	762	ттаз	2713

23. The file of the subordinate judges is loaded with all kinds of proceedings. In the Andhra districts these judges entertain proceedings under the Land Acquisition Act, the Indian Succession Act, and the like. They are constituted Employees' Insurance Courts under Section 74 of the Employees' Insurance Act, 1948 and are appellate courts under the Rent Control Act. They have also been invested with powers of Assistant Sessions Judges and considerable criminal work is being done by them. Suits filed in these courts are of a complicated nature. It will be seen from the following table that quite a large number—approximately lifty per cent—out of the total institutions are suits requiring adjudication of claims to immovable property.

TABLE No. 8

			 	-·· —·		
Year			Total number of suits insti- tuted	Title and other suits	Suits for money or movable properties	
			 <b>.</b>		3	4
T <b>95</b> 1				2334	1125	F109
2914				2007	990	1017
1955				1372	662	719

24. Fifty per cent of the suits brought to trial before the sub-courts are disposed of after contest as will be seen from the table set down below:—

TABLE No. 9

Nume of the district and Head Quarter of the opur	Pending or the begin- ning of the year	Insti- tuted	Total for dis- posal	Total Disprs- cd of	Dis- protei of after contest	Average dura- rion
1		<u> </u>		5	6	<u>y</u>
.4манарыг						
Aர்வாறார .	:30	50	190	1048	54	747
<i>(жайарды</i> Ошызары	28	11	39	72	54 10	139
Bast Godavari						
Kakinadu .	166	106	276	126	67	539
West Godonus						
Flugg Gamen	155	81	236	192	123	522
P Bapatiu Krimon	ВКТ	63	251	77	44	βţġ
₩ Gudjyada . Kamacol	89	36	125	50	31	691
Kumpol	109	83	194	80	35	459

25. In 1921 the number of a year-old suits in the subordinate judges' courts in the composite State of Madras which comprised of about twenty-six districts was 1793 whereas in 1956 the number in the ten Andhra districts was nearly the same—1463. The Civil Justice Committee considered the pendency in the subordinate judges' courts as "a state of affairs not capable of being easily set right without some scheme for at once getting rid of the incubus of arrears..." This observation applies with greater force to the Andhra districts in its present state of affairs. It has to be noted that work is heavy in some courts and light in others and a redistribution of territorial jurisdiction should be effected It is a matter for consideration necessary. whether in the Telangana region where the nature of litigation is simple and institutions are comparatively small, the territorial jurisdiction of courts can be increased. If the subordinate judges are relieved of their civil appellate work and of some of their sessions work, it will enable them to devote their time mainly to their original civil work and to dispose of the arrears within a reasonable time while disposing of a few sessions cases also. We are of the view that after the arrears are cleared it will be necessary to re-examine and redetermine the strength of the subordinate judges.

26. We recommend the enhancement of the original and Sahancesmall cause powers of subordinate judges in the Telangana ment of region to that enjoyed by their counterparts in the Andhra in Telegram. region. The number of suits valued below Rs. 2,000/- that are brought to trial before the courts in the Telangans region is large. Enhancement of small cause jurisdiction of subordinate judges in this region to Rs. 2,000/- will, to some extent, relieve the munsify of the increased institution consequent upon raising their peruniary jurisdiction to Rs, 5,0007-.

The state of the file in the district and sessions courts State of during the tri-ennial period 1954-56 is set out in the work in Dietrict accompanying Table. Courts.

⁽Report page 543)

TABLE No. 10
District Judges

								Spoall Cause Strits								
				N	umber i of	Pending or the	Invil-	Di⊁	TLJJar	100	Pendina	:		Bati	ince	
Region			Ye	<b>a</b> J	c	officers	begin- ning of the year	tuted	puéá[	Below one year	Orer one year	kest to rg rpc rgus yesiur	Insti- rured	Dis- pos <b>ul</b>	Below cuc year	Over one year
Andhra			1954			rı	224	281	3(1	K3	• III	·			····	
			1935			Τt	194	275	257	193	79					
			1956			20	212	230	225	106	con					
Telgngana .			1954		•	11	505	450	456	276	222	89	190	<b>2</b> 14	65	
			1955			12	500	484	490	327	167	65	262	239	·8a	
			1956			13	508	526	408	456	170	. 8g	289	45	04	

Nors: —The our-west of work of the Chief Judge, City Small Causes Court who is of the codes of a District Judge has not been shown in this statement.

	1	Аррсай	vII-Młac.	Cl				ofs	rii Appe	Ci			etitims		lisc. Civil)	M
_	Jance	Ba.	Dls-	Insti-	Pending at the			Πall	Dis-	Insti- insel	Pending at the	шсе	Bat	Die- posil	lasti- tuted	Pending at the
\$	Oyer Ons year	Below eac year	posal	tuteu	hegin- ing of the year	: r	•	Helaw one year	poääl	1850	hegins ning of the year	Over me year	Below one year	<b>J</b> (J321	Taled	begin- ning of the year
ų	24	237	508	N.A.	767	50		1267	3779	3498	1798	177	1678	9698	10136	1617
15	23	222	419	405	664	52.		1262	2300	2197	1517	<b>24</b> 2	1773	9272	9432	1855
		387	442	484	729	95		1415	2970	2676	1414	201	3176	11017	11373	2015
με	48	229	664	N.A.	941	<b>\$</b> 5		409	1209	1125	678	364	1036	1708	1671	1437
54	34	249	624	630	907	56		425	1049	916	594	102	1266	1928	1895	1400
3	3	94	125		223	59		474	875	920	498	131	1301	2172	2322	1382

## Saurions Judges

				V	<b>Sec. 4.</b>		<b>5⇔</b> গ	овь Сязея				Czino	Josi App	cals	
	Region	15		Year	αE	Pending	Insti-	Dis-	Boley		Pending	Insta-	Dis-	Búi	net
					<b>ागे</b> व्हाड	at the begin- ning of the year	rutell	Pose[ ·	Below one year	Over one vcar	of the year	เสเณ์	posal .	Relow one year	Over one year
Драбия	<del></del> -		<del></del>	1954	12	94	525	 52€	92	<u>-</u> -	63	1279	1191	345	··-
•••				1955	12	94	485	487	85	4	145	1352	1417	Во	
				1956	20	92	490	500	74	s	80	3276	3169	187	
Telengula				. 1954	9	63	200	229	34		203	1539	1573	174	
				1955	y	34	145	162	17		174	1669	1701	142	
				1956	10	17	293	171	39		144	1973	1377	140	

	Crimin	al Reviel	lo <b>n</b> e			Саяел	under I.	$P_iC_{ij}$	Orher Cases .					
	Inst-	Dis- posal	Bala	nce	Pending at the		ruced posal ~	Balance		Pending at the	insti- tuted	Dia-	Balance	
	tuted	post	Below suct year	bear one Over	pros.	THIFU		Below oue year	Over ape year	pesiu-	rate#	point	Below one year	Over one year
		<u></u>			•		<del>-</del>			· - · ·	<b>→</b> -	<del></del>	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
N,A,	260	229	31											
N.A.	- 31	221	219	39										
N.A.	321	2 <b>8</b> 4	36	1		9	5	I				.,		
N.A.	645	477	(19	49	35	94	205	14		14	77	74	17	
N.A.	418	372	46		14	65	76	3	1.	17	25	28	14	
N.A.	338	329	19		3	55	49	9		4	47	43	18	

Transfer of work to District Course

28. Though there was a substantial increase in the number of district and sessions judges in the Andhra region in 1956, yet surprisingly enough no appreciable increase in disposals barring that in criminal appeals is discernible.

29. In view of the heavy arrears in the High Court we consider it necessary that the appellate jurisdiction of the District Judges shall be raised to Rs. 10,000 and that, all first appeals valued below Rs. 10,000/- now pending in the High Court should be transferred to the district courts for disposal. Temporary district judges will have to be appointed to dispose of the appeals that are so transferred. A certain number may have to be posted at the scat of the High Court to dispose of such transferred appeals as are ready for hearing and in which counsel have been briefed. The burden that will fall on the district judges by reason of this change can be reduced by their being asked to restrict themselves to the trial of sessions cases and disposal of civil and criminal appeals and criminal revisions. All original suits excepting those that are exclusively triable by them should be transferred to the subordinate judges and the latter should send all the appeals pending before them to the district courts. Though the subordinate judges may continue to excruise the powers of assistant sessions judges they should not be called upon to do so much of sessions work as they are doing at present.

30. The following Table shows the annual average institution of each category of proceedings in the district and sessions bourts and courts of Assistant Sessions Judges during the three years preceding 1957.

Table No. 11

	Cer	egory u	ď pro	xeedi	O.E			verage sumber stinued
			1				_	2
Seratona Cases								904
Criminal Appeals								3494
Civil Appeals								5491
Civil Miecellmer	, <b>2</b> 00	Appeals	١,					1136
Criminal Revision	15							146

^{1.} The average of criminal revisions is of two years-1954 and 1955.

31. During the years 1954 and 1955 the number of district and sessions judges remained constant in the Andhra region; this will enable us to calculate the annual average institution and disposal per judge.

Table No. 12

Chitegory o	f pe	oceed.	iag		Average tion pur		Average per	
					F954	1955	1954	1955
I					2	Э	4	5
Civil Suits .		-		· .	- 25.5	25-0	26-2	23-4
Civil Appeals .					22710	200.0	253.0	209-0
Civil Miscellancone	App	alecq			3641	37.0	46-2	3846
Sessions Cases .					44-0	49.4	44-0	40-6
Criminal Appeals					106:0	112'7	9912	118-0
Criminal Revisions					21.4	18-4	<b>ig-</b> 0	18-2

32. We shall now examine how much extra work will devolve on District Judges as a result of their appellate powers being raised and the subordinate judges relieved of this class of work. The annual average institution of first appeals valued between Rs. 5000/- and Rs. 10,000/- in the High Court during the triennium 1954-56 was 268 while that of appeals valued upto Rs. 5000/- filed in the subordinate judges courts was 2007. Including the present annual average of 3444 instituted in the District Courts, there will be on an average about 5719 appeals instituted in a year. On the basis of the average disposals per district judge during the years 1954 and 1955 (vide Table No. 12) there would appear to be adequate work for about twenty-five judges. We should not however be understood as suggesting that the cadre strength of District and Sessions Judges should be fixed at this figure. The approximate number of officers that would be required to keep pace with the institutions has been worked out only in a broad manner,

The following Table (Table No. 13) shows the pendency of the different categories of proceedings triable by District and Sessions Judges as on 1-1-1957.

TABLE No. 13

							-		_	
	Can	egory o	fρτ	المحصر	пБ					Pending on 1-1-1957
Civil Appeals	···	<del></del>	·-	٠.	<del>.</del>	<del></del>	<u> </u>	<del></del>	<del>-</del> -	4515
Clvll Miscellanco	ов А	ppeak						8		709
Sessions Coses										193
Criminal Appeals						-	-			377
*Criminal Revision	n.s									98

33. Though the number of first appeals valued upto Rs. 10,000/- pending in the High Court is not available, assuming that all first appeals of this value instituted between 1952 and 1956 are pending, approximately 1350 appeals may have to be transferred to the District Courts. Thus the District Judges will have a total of about 5865 appeals to clear off apart from other categories of proceedings. Temporary district judges will have to be appointed and entrusted with the task of disposing of these pending proceedings so as to complete their work within two years. Thereafter, the number of officers that will be required to keep pace with the institutions will have to be finally determined,

Concennation of Centre,

34. Unlike in some other States, too many courts are not concentrated in a number of stations in this State. In 1953, the distribution of courts in the Andhra region was, as shown below:

### TABLE No. 14

Strength of Judi	:Js1 ofti	eurs i	n escè	1 4745 (4	— Эи				umber of scarious
One Monalf only									27
Two Munsil's only									
One Muralf and one	Subord	Inace	Tudes	_			Ċ	Ċ	10
One Mansif and two							i		4
Two Monatts and not					Ċ	Ċ			2
Two Munsifs and two									2
Two Munsils and the									-
Three Munstfe and th									ì

35. However, there is some scope for further decentralisation. In the Telangana region, almost all the taluquhave got a Munsif cum Sub-Divisional Magistrate.

The High Coort

36. The High Court of the erstwhile State of Andhra started functioning from the 5th July, 1954, that is, about ten months after the State was formed. A large volume of work approximately half the pending file was transferred to the High Court from the Madras High Court. The High Court started working with only three judges. It is learnt that for a considerable time due to inadequacy of judges, largely proceedings capable of being heard by single judges were dealt with. The Government, when moved by the High Court to increase the number of judges, finding the number of second appeals, revisions and the like disposed of to be satisfactory, proceeded toassess the requirement of judges on the basis of the quantity of the work done by single judges in these matters. This was an obviously erroneous approach. Delays in the appointment of judges took place and resulted in accumulation of arrears. Only three judges worked from 5-7-1954 to 1-11-1954 when two more judges were appointed. On

21-2-1955 the number of judges was increased to six and on 7-3-1955 to eight. Seven judges worked from 5-9-1955 to 1-11-1956. The addition of five judges of the erstwhile Hyderabad High Court brought the number of judges to twelve. On 19-12-1956 one more judge was appointed. Recently by the appointment of one permanent judge and three additional judges for a period of two years the number of the High Court judges has risen to sixteen.

37. The following table (Table No. 15) shows the pendency of different categories of proceedings in the High Court on 1-1-1957 (including those from the Telangana region).

TAMB 'No. 15

Catego	иу сс	Prop	æliu.	r					Number pending on I-I-1957
First Appeals .									3379
Second Appeals .									3456
Appeals against orders	l						-		1531
Lettera Patent Appeals	,								130
Special Tribunol Appe	els.								93
Original Side Appeals									4 .
Writ Appeals] .									94
Special Appeals .						-	٠.	-	3
Welta including Tax R	evisio	n Ce	ses	ē-		-	-	-	1903
Civil Revision Pecition	25								3577
CivilReferences .				-					62
Petitions for Itave to as	op∺ul t	lo Su	gromi	Carre	T.				46
Original Petitions						-	-	-	77
Original Suits .				•	4				I
Civil Miscellaneous Pe	عمالتنه	ŧ							7593
Civil Miscellaneous Se	ന്നൂർ മ	Aրր <del>շ</del>	els:						332
Criminal Appeals	-			•				-	492
Criminal Revision, Pet	Cons								229
Referred trials (Confin	metion	Desi	<b>8</b> 6)	-	-				16
Reference (other than )	hose i	mder	Secti	ion 36	7 Cr.	P. C.,	ı	-	16
Miscellaneous .				-	-	-	-		245

38. As already stated, approximately 1350 first appeals will have to be transferred to the District Judges if our recommendations are accepted. This should give substantial relief to the High Court. Though we do not have the particulars relating to disposals in 1957, yet we are sure that as an increased number of judges have worked throughout the year, there will have resulted a fall the number of pending matters. There is however need for continuous vigilance, as the accompanying Table (No. 16) shows that certain categories of proceedings have been pending for over a decade. Although the criminal work is not greatly in arrears there is room for improvement in that field also. It is therefore necessary that steps: be taken for the appointment of more additional judges to bring the file under control,

Combina. tion of civil and crimi-nal juris-diction.

39. The judiciary of the State is completely separated from the executive. In the Telangana region, as already stated, the same set of judicial officers exercise both civil and criminal powers, perhaps, due to the small volume of Etigation. This method seems to be working fairly satisfactorily though it may occasionally result in officers not being able to dispose of cases de die in dient: By judicious posting of cases, we think, this diffi-culty can be overcome. But if the jurisdiction of Munsifs is raised and the number of civil suits on their files increases, this system of conferring civil and criminal jurisdiction on the same officer may not continue to work satisfactorily. The criminal work in the courts of Session and Magistrates does appear however to be under control: but there is room for greater efficiency in the Magistrates' Courts.

Court fee plications,

40. In this State, a court fee of Rs. 100/- is payable on every application presented to the High Court under Articles 228 and 227 of the Constitution of India other than an application for the issue of a writ of Habeus Corpus. It appears to us that the levy of such a high court en will ap- fee on these applications would amount in many cases to a deprivation of the fundamental rights of the poorer classes of citizens. We may mention in this connection that even in the Supreme Court, the fee levied on an Article 32 potition is only Rs. 10/-,

Touting.

 A number of witnesses stated that the evil of touting was prevalent particularly in certain parts of the Andhra region. We were told that these "village barristers" employ advocates of their choice to whom they direct the litigants and that these touts "swallow" a considerable portion of the fee payable to the advocates. A very senior member of the Ber stated in unambiguous words that, "there are some people who are engaged as unofficial" solicitors. They take money from clients". We trust that the legal profession in Andhra Pradesh will take strong measures to combat this evil.

Nature of Proceedings						Ye	acl to ve	titutions					
·		1945	1946	1947	<b>29.</b> 16	1949	1950	1951	1952	1913	1954	1955	1956
togular Pirst Appeala .						6	16						
Regular Second Appeals .		15	٠٠,	٠. ي		-	10	7 <b>6</b> ₽3	<b>454</b> 57	559 155	512 694	524 679	55° 79.
ppeus aguins: Orders			′	*	ŕ	3	τi	29	32	160	939	384	40
Locests sasuest Appeallant On		- :			•	*	.;	-3	[4	34	55 55	[17	10
efters Patent Appeals	:			·				;	.1	-4	23	21	",
specjul Triinugal Appeals					٠.				"	10	ão	39	2
grīginal Side Appeals													
Velt Appeals								• • •				11	8
Prits	•		• •	• • •		• •				.3	2	7	
pecial Appeals			٠.				7	25	. 64	23	33	541	99
ivfl Revision Peririons		, ,			4		.,	30	52	٠.	0.40		
Fax Revision Cases		,. "				7	5			.19 3	9,40	1047 8	117
Referred Cases													
Cereited Cases	a			• •	• • •	• • •				L	2		2
л <b>енте то</b> Appeal to Suprema. J <u>rightel Patitions</u>	Giant .						=		1	5	г	4	3
Dingulations .												11	
ivil Misodian crus Peritium								••			4	- :	
elitimas Appeals	٠.					7	13	51	93	190	ă91	1884	432
Criminal Revisions										• • -		32	25
remained some (S (O2)× .				٠.	• •	• • •	• •	• • •	• •	<b>4</b> 2.	1	46	42
Referred Trials												٠.	
<b>೬೮/೧</b> ೪೬೮ ರ/೨ 307 Cm P. C.:						• •							
Other References												2	
Missellencous										4		2	ç

### 45.—ASSAM

The Judicial Service.

- 1. Although the High Court of Assam was established in 1948, yet until August, 1952, there was no separate judicial service in that State. The civil judiciary was not separated from the executive and judicial duties were discharged by executive officers. By notification No. JJD/50/51/23, dated 25th August, 1952, the State Judicial Service (Senior) was constituted. The State had however to wait for nearly two more years before by notification No. LJJ. 84/54/168, dated 9th April, 1954, the State Judicial Service (Junior) was established—and the process of separating the civil judiciary from the executive was completed.
- 2. The senior branch of the Service is devided into two Grades; Grade I which comprises the Registrar, High Court, Legal Remembrancer and the District Judges and Grade II made up of Additional District Judges. Officers in Grade I are in the time scale of Rs. 850—50—1,500 and those in Grade II in the scale of Rs. 800—50—1,150. The strength of the cadre in 1955 was:

Senioe Branch,

## Grade I (Senior)

Registrar, High Court	1
Legal Remembrancer	1
District Judges	2

# Grade II (Senior)

Additional District Judges

(There were also three temporary posts outside the cadce.)

Under the rules not more than one-third of the posts in Grade I (Senior) may be filled by direct recruitment and the rest by promotion from Grade II (Senior) or from Grade I of the State Judicial Service (Junior).

junior Branch 3. The Judicial Service (Junior) is also divided into two grades—Grade I consisting of the Subordinate Judges and the Deputy Rogistrar, High Court, and Grade II consisting of the Munsifs and the Assistant Registrar, High Court. Officers in Grade I are in the time-scale of Rs. 500—50/2—800 and those in Grade II in the scale of Rs. 250—250—300—25—400—EB—25—650—EB—25—750. In 1955 the strength of the cadre was:

### Grade I (Junior)

Subordinate Judges (and Assistant Sessions Judges) 6
Deputy Registrar, High Court 1

## Grade II (Junior)

Munsifs (including two leave reserve posts and one Law Assistant to the Legal Remembrancer)

Assistant Registrar, High Court

- The Assam Judicial Service (Junior) Rules, 1954, pro-Reconst vide for the absorption of those members of the Assam ment Civil Service who were recruited for the purpose of the Rates, judicial branch and an option was given to the law graduates in the executive civil service to enter the Judicial Service. Subject to the above exceptions, the maximum Lack of age-limit for entry into Grade II of the junior cadre of the usining. service is twenty-eight years; the limit may be relaxed in fayour of a scheduled caste or scheduled tribe candidate or a lawyer of three years' standing. Practice at the bar is not, however, a necessary qualification for recruitment to the judicial service. It is sufficient if a candidate is a graduate in law or a harrister at-law. Although the practice of recruiting fresh law graduates as munsifs is to be found in other States also, yet singularly enough unlike the other States where a similar system of recruitment prevails, the rules contain no provision for training the new recruits before they are called upon to try cases. In our view, this position needs to be set right immediately.
  - For direct appointment in Grade 1 (Junior) a candidate must be a law graduate or a barrister-at-law with seven years' standing at the Bar. Direct recruitment is limited to one-third of the total strength of the cadre.
- 6. The population of the State according to the census of 1951 is 90,43,707 distributed over an area of 50,943 square miles. The non-tribal areas of the State comprise the two divisions of Lower Assam and Upper Assam, each of which is a district judgeship. The District Judge, Lower Assam Districts, exercises jurisdiction over the districts of Goal-Tobal para, Kamrup, Darrung, Nowgong and the area constituting tribal the municipality of Shillong. We were told that consider area. able difficulty is being experienced by the fact that in the Shillong District two sets of laws are in force—the ordinary civil and criminal laws within the municipal limits of Shillong and the special laws applicable to the tribal areas in the territory outside the municipal limits. This anomaly should be examined and steps taken to alter the territorial jurisdiction of regular courts. The Upper Assam district—judgeship comprises the districts of Sibsagar, Lakhimpur and Cachar. Areas specified in Parts A and B of the table appended to the Sixth Schedule of the Constitution are the tribal areas.

 The administration of the tribal areas is regulated Administration. by the provisions of the Sixth Schedule to the Constitution, amon of Separate provisions are made for the administration of Justice in chall and criminal histories in these series civil and criminal justice in these ereas. All ereas in each great

of the items of Part A of the table appended to the schedule constitute autonomous districts. If there are different scheduled tribes in an autonomous district, such area or areas in which the scheduled tribes live can be divided by the Governor into autonomous regions. The administration of each autonomous district and autonomous region is vested in what is known as the district council and regional council. The district and regional councils have the power to constitute village councils or courts for the trial of suits and cases between the parties, all of whom belong to acheduled tribes within such areas, other than suits and cases to which the provisions of sub-paragraph (1) of paragraph 5 of the schedule apply, to the exclusion of any court in the They have also the power to appoint suitable persons to be inembers of such village councils or as presiding officers of such courts. The appellate jurisdiction is vested in the regional or district councils and no other court except the High Court and the Supreme Court has jurisdiction over such suits or cases. The High Court exercises such jurisdiction over the suits and cases to which provisions of sub-para (2) of para 4 apply, as the Governor may from time to time specify. The suits or cases excluded from the jurisdiction of these tribal courts are those arising out of any law in force in the autonomous district or region, being a law specified in that behalf by the Governor or those relating to the trial of offences punishable with death, transportation for life or imprisonment for a term not less than five years under the Indian Penal Code or any other law for the time being applicable to such district or region. For the trial of such suits or cases the Governor. is empowered to confer on the district councils or the regional councils or on courts constituted by such district councils or the regional councils or on any officer appointed in that behalf by the Governor, such powers under the Code of Civil Procedure or the Code of Criminal Procedure. as the case may be, as he deems apprepriate and thereupon the said council or court shall try the suits or cases in exercise of the powers so conferred. Except as aforesaid, the Codes of Civil Procedure and Criminal Procedure do not apply to any autonomous district or region,

8. In all, there are twenty-five councils presided over by heroditary chieftains to dispose of cases which they are empowered to try under the law according to their tribal and local customs having the force of law. A Judicial Officer with knowledge of the work of these courts who gave evidence before us said that the courts presided over by tribal chieftains lacked training and experience in law but added that they should be allowed to continue for some time before the introduction of the regular judicial system in those areas. The lifigation in the tribal courts generally relates to land disputes, succession to hereditary rights, customary rights and boundary disputes. There are a few murders and daroities often committed through drunkenness. It seems that for the trial of cases referred to in

paragraph 5 of the Sixth Schedule to the Constitution, the services of judicial officers in the non-tribal areas are lent to the tribal areas.

- More and more laws, in force in the non-tribal preas. are by degrees being applied to the autonomous districts and regions of the tribal areas in the State. In the Khasi Hills district, the general criminal law is applicable but in the United Khasi and Jaintia Hills territories the district council laws are applied. Some difficulty, it is stated, is being experienced in applying the excise laws to the areas under the district councils where Illicit distillation of liquor goes on a very large scale. The Chief Secretary explained in his evidence that the tribal people were very anxious to bring within the scope of their district or regional councils as large an area as possible. The laws in force in the nontribul areas have not been extended to the tribal areas because of the policy of the State Government to allow the tribal people to make their own laws under the third paragraph of the Sixth Schedule to the Constitution and to administer those laws with the help of the Deputy Commissioner and his Assistant. In view of the specal conditions in the tribal areas we refrain from making any recommendations regarding the pattern of judicial administration in these areas.
- 10. In 1956, the High Court functioned with three judges The High including the Chief Justice. Though the volume of work Court in the High Court is small, the disposal has not kept pace product, with the institutions and the pendency has steadily increased during the last few years as will appear from the Table given below:

TABLE No. 1

							1954			1955			1956		
Nature of proceed	ling					ndlag c the eglo- ning	Ĭovi- tutions	Dis- posess	Pending us theil begin-l	Insti- rations	Die- pueels	Pending gr the begin- ning	Insti- tutions	Diв- розць	Pending on Telesysy
Regular First Appeals	-				· <b></b> ···	74	24	16	92	36	т4	104	32	24	T [ 2
Regular Second Appeals						179	117	722	168	158	122	204	166	143	227
Appeals aguinat Orders						57	93	94	56	ęт	40	77	53	34	96
Letters Patent or Special Appeals	,											• • •	5	I	4
₩yît Petārions						τ6	118	64	70	85	124	31	121	72	80
Reseiw Petitions						٠.				• ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• ·			
Civil Revision Pecitions		-	-			15	90	94	14	77	70	21	75	64	. 32
Reference						5	3	2	6	2	2	6	I	3	4
Petitions for leave to appeal to Su	prem	e Cal	ıн			15	19	25	9	3 €	13	7	15	16	ĸ
Orlginal Strite				-		5	42	11	36	4	30	19	8	3	15
МінсеВярсовя					,	26	37	41	22	17	18	21	45	30	37
Crimical Appeals						50	113	105	56	111	89	78	194	91	181
Criminal Revisions		-		-		50	157	149	58	156	159	55	179	171	43
Confirmation Cases.						5	2	6	I	т	٠.	I	4	4	1
References under Sec. 307 Cr. P.	C.				,		4		4	3	4	3	4	2	5
Other references						18	48	34	<del>3</del> 2	30	34	18	58	47	39
Mispellaneous						1	á	Э	2	4-	2		3		

- 11. It is necessary in our view to take prompt steps to control the increasing arrears,
- The following Table shows the pendency of different: classes of proceedings according to the year of institution. as on 1st January, 1957.

TABLE No. 2

Nature of Proceeding -			Year of	olumijanI	14	
	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	т956
1	3	3	4	5	6	7
Regular First Appeals		3	18	23	36	92
Regular Second Ap- peals,	• • •		1	5	36 86	133
Appeals *gainst or- ders.				13	4#	39
Lecters Patent Ap- peals,						4
Write Revisions				5	3.7	40
References					7	2
	• • •				• •	1
Supreme Courr.	•				• • •	
Original Snits	I	1	4	7	1	
МизофЦящосыя					3	3
Criminal Appeals .					41	13
Criminal Revisions 🗀					3	- 7
Confirmation Cases						
References under Sec. 307 Cr. P. C.						
Other References					1	3
Miscellancous						

13. The Judges and members of the Bar who placed increasing, their views before the Commission laid stress upon the power of need for increasing the strength of the High Court by one single judge. It was said that it was not possible for a single judge. division bench to get through all the work that had to be heard by a bench. In our opinion, this difficulty can be met by increasing the powers of a single judge. This will greatly help in reducing the arrears.

14. The powers of a single judge of the High Court are far too low. He cannot hear any civil appeal or revision which is valued over Rs. 2,000 or any criminal matter in which a sentence of imprisonment exceeding one year has been passed. This is anomalous when we remember that District Judges in the State can hear civil appeals below Rs. 5,000 in value, and as Sessions Judges decided appeals from a sentence of imprisonment for four years. If by reason of special local conditions it is not thought desirable to increase the single judge's power to the full extent recommended by us earlier his powers may be enlarged so as to enable him to dispose of all civit and criminal revisions, all appeals valued below Rs. 5,000 and all criminal matters in which a sentence of seven years' imprisonment has been passed. This will result in a substantial improvement in the state of the file of the High Court. This will be apparent from the two Tables set out below showing the value of the appeals filed in the High Court and the number of days on which it was necessary to constitute division benches to dispose of particular classes of work. It is obvious that at present a division bench has to be constituted to dispose of what is really petty work.

TABLE No. 3

		1954	1452	1956
Rezular First Appeals				· <del>-</del>
Below Rs. 5,000		4	4	4
Bapween Rs. 5,000 and Rs. 10,000		11	15	re
Above Ra. 10,000	-	4	07	17
Regular Second Appeals				
Below Rs. 1,000		94	123	127
Between Rs. 1,000 and Rs. 2,000		Lti	19	25
Retween Rs. 2,000 and Rs. 5,000		τ	16	τ\$

TAULE No. 4

					Numbet o Divisi	f days fo ion Heach	
				•	T954	1955	1956
Second Appeals .			<del>-</del> '	····-	38	47	51
Appeals Against ord	de rs				18	29	22
Givil Revisions					94	70	Ka '
Criminal Appeals -		-	-		99	83	67
Criminal Revisions			•		101	32	31

Hours of Work. 15. We were informed when we visited Gauhati that the Judges of the High Court sat in Court for only four hours every day. This practice seems to have started at a time when there was not enough work and there is no warrant for its continuance. By raising the number of working hours to five as in other High Courts there will be an increase of six hundred judge-hours on the basis that all the three Judges work for two hundred days in the year. There will thus he an addition of one hundred and twenty Judge-days in the year. If the enhancement of the powers of single Judges and the increase in the

mumber of working hours fails to bring the pending file under control, an additional Judge may be appointed for la short term,

- The inordinate delays that have occurred in filling up Delays in vacancies in the High Court constitute yet another major filing reason for the arrears. A furmer Registrar of the High vacancies. Court stated in his evidence that "There were only two Judges for more than eighteen months." According to the information made available to us there has been invariably a gap of about eight or nine months between the retirement of a Judge and the assumption of office by another in his place.
- 17. Delays in disposals were said to have also been Preparation occasioned by difficulties in getting paper hooks prepared beeks. in time. The Government press in Shillong had to give priority to other work- and typed paper books could not be prepared as the High Court was understaffed. This in our view should not be a ground for delay. The establishment of a branch press at Gaubati, the entrustment of the work to competent private printers or an increase in the number of typists and other subordinate staff in the High Court are all measures which can be easily taken. .The last two have the advantage of being capable of being implemented immediately.
- The Table below shows the number of district and sessions judges and subordinate judges who worked during the years 1954, 1955 and 1956 and the work turned out by them.

Table No. 5

Nature of Proceeding	District	& Sessions Ju	dges	Subs Judger and A	Subordinate Judges and Assistant Sessions Judges			
This is a second and	T954	1955	1956	7954	1955	1956		
Clyit Suice	25 65 23 14 27	- 41 67 26 58 18	96 32 25 24	1463 729 766 835 473	1308 1151 668 760 675	#43* 718 708 584 844		
Civil Misc. Cases and Peti(iogs , P I D B A	316 220 69	70 357 <b>149</b> 137 6	143 284 159 210 1	240 455 120 241 (2	269 487 441 205 18	283 498 464 185 23		
Civil Appeals , P  1  D  R	379 243 229 117 113	230 717 367 125 176	304 263 214 160 127	723 517 409 633 103	741 867 305 408 205	7°3 727 28z 6°3 319		
Civil Misc. Appeals	41 78 50 90 12	42 176 68 50 5	55 131 102 68 3	93 177 189 75	76 233 140 97 3	100 231 152 105 3		
Sentions Cases P	152 446 197 105 2	107 419 215 144 12	156 488 337 139	48  237 99 6	186 63 16	79 20 160 75		

	 	 			<u> </u>			 	<u></u>
Criminal Appeals			ľ	490	•	471	378	 	
			I	978		889	1079		
			D	937	٠ .	1020	990		347
			В	471		345	442	 	9
			A	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		33			
<del></del>	 	 		<del> </del>	<del></del>		<del></del>	 	
Ericajnal Revisions	-		. P	7		62	127	 	
			Ţ	231 228		305	324	 	
			D			226	335	 	
			В	82		127	110	 	

NOTE. — (1) The figures shown in the disposals columns do not include disposal by transfers.

- (2) There are no criminal revisions pending over \$ )CAL.
- (3) Pive officers worked as District & Sessions Judges in 1954.

Seven officers worked as Discrict & Sessions Judges in 1955.

Seven officers worked as District & Sessions Judges in 1936.

- (4) Right officers worked so Subpodinger Judges during the year 1954, 1955 & 1955 and six of them were invested with powers of Assis and Sessions Judges.
- (5) 'P' stands for pending at the beginning of the year.
  - "I" alongs for instituted during the year.
  - "IP" stands for disposed of during the year.
  - "B" steads for pending below one year.
  - "A" stands for pending over one year.

DOCESSALTY.

District
Courts.
Increase in dumber of judge during the same period based on the institutions.

Increase in dumber of judge during the same period based on the institutions.

Increase in disposals is as shown in the Table set out below.

																			—	
G	vil Sa	eiLS	Civit cases 8		Laneous iccas	Givi	il App	cale	Civil N	incella Appen		Seas	lons C	eses	Crimic	at App	ests (	rimin	al Re	vietons
Avg. ovail- able dis.	Avg.	Avg. pancy,	Avg. Avail- able dis.	Avg. dis.	Avg. pancy,	Avg. Avsilable dis.	Avg.	Avg. peory,	Avg. dvatts able dts.	Avg.	Avg. pency	Avg. avail- able dis.	Avg. dia.	Avg. procy	Avg. avoil able dis,	Avg. - Jis.	Avg. pency.	Avg. svall- ablo dis.	- 4141	Avg. pency
· I	2		4	. 5	6	7	8	9	τα	71	t 2	13	14	15	16	17	16	19	20	21
	<b>—</b>	··						Distri	ct Ressia	ms Jud	yes						•			•
14	4	516	6514	±7•8	22:2	112-4			24						<del>22</del> 2' <b>4</b>	1.55	68	60-4	41.5	-17
							S	ebotalist	ere fude	es & A	ssistant	Sostion	mit, u	šet.						
283-5 	go+:	165	93	22.1	3114	178° t	41-5	99-4		19.8	12						٠			

Nova.—(1) Six subsedinate indges who were invested with powers of Assistant Sensions Judges disposed of 32.6 Sessions Cases on an average per vest per Judge.

(a) The figures shown in the column "Average disposals" do not include disposals by transfer, and this, perhaps, is the reason why the totals of the columns. "Average disposal" and "average pendency" of different classes of proceedings do not equal the figures shown. In the columns "Average available for disposal".

20. From the foregoing analysis it is apparent there is considerable congestion in the courts of session. The District and Sessions Judge cannot expect much relief in this matter from the existing number of subordinate judges as they will have to devote themselves almost wholly to their original civil work if their files are not to get. out of control. An increase in the number of District and Sessions Judges is in our opinion necessary if the delays in Sessions trials are to be avoided and the errears of civil appeals are to be cleared. The existing number of subordinate judges should be able to dispose of the arrears within a reasonable time and also to keep pace with the Institution of original suits besides disposing of a few sessions cases. With an increase in the number of district and sessions Judges it ought not to be difficult not only to clear off the pending appeals in the subordinate courts but also to dispose of sessions cases with reasonable promptness. We, therefore, recommend an increase in the number of district and sessions judges.

Subordioute Judges surength sufficient,

Course of 2I. A quantitative analysis of the work done by Mun-Mussis. sifs during the triennial period 1954-56 is given below:

123 TABLE No. 7 K Civil Suits R No. of officers Institutions Disposed of Proding 1954 1955 1956 1954 T955 1956 1954 1935 1956 1956 Below Over Below 1954 1955 Over Below Over one ogte ODG Dae SHO епе year ycar yeur year AGTL year 16 16 9984 11824 9813 16 8275 9702 99854588 1562 4815 agra 4934 тдзэ Givil Mircellaneous Cares and Petitions Institutions Disposed of Pouling 3954 :955 rysń 1954 1955 1956 1954 1955 1956 Below Over Below Over Bolow Over eac DING one VEC апе OBE yéar TEST year YASIX year, year 2186 1873 1820 2022 1699 1988 789 99 793 Ве 836 73

We also set out the pendency of suits in the courts of munsifs on 1st January 1985, according to the year of institution, and the annual average outliers of work of Munsifs

TABLE No. 8

Priot to	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	Тока
т ,	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
28	42	30	115	zyo	1142	4503	6150
			Table :	No. 9			
			Cavil Sni			<i>—</i> . –¬	
Tieb erese es	zilshle ceal	fot .	Average .	Царозаі	A	verage pe	arde 107
	ı	_	 z	·—		3	
1<	31341		50	2'5		507	li i
	Cipi	Minella Minella	инсона Са	uer and	Petitions		
Average a	vailable fa possi	nr.	Атегоде	disposal	A	erage per	ideo⊂y
	<del>-</del>		2			3	_

Jacquese in Fumber Beermory, From these figures it is apparent that while the disposals have steadily increased since 1954 they have not been able to keep pace with institutions. Although the total pendency has increased, it is gratifying to note that the number of year old suits has progressively fallen and in spite of the number of munsifs having remained the same the position with regard to old suits has improved since 1954. But further improvement in disposals under existing conditions of work does not appear possible unless there is some increase in the number of munsifs.

- 22. The main reasons for the delay in the disposal of Resons civil suits as appears from a perusal of inspection notes and for delay in order sheets are as follows:—
  - (1) Service of summons on the defendant normally takes a much longer time than it should, because of lack of control over the process serving agency. It seems that the process servers receive a very low salary. Travelling conditions in this State are also often difficult. Improvements in this matter could be brought about by strict supervision. However the evil can be radically cured only if adequate remimeration and travelling allowances are paid to the process servers.
  - (2) Adjournments are frequently granted without reasonable cause and many orders granting adjournments do not state the reasons therefor.
  - (3) Much time is taken by the parties to file their documentary evidence and summon witnesses. The rules in this regard are often completely ignored and frequent opportunities are given to file documentary evidence and for summoning of witnesses even after the framing of issues. Cases are adjourned a number of times for that purpose.
  - (4) The provisions relating to the issue of commissions are abused.
- 23. Supervision of the work of the subordinate courts inspection by regular inspection by the district judges is very rare. Some of the courts have not been inspected for three or four years. A system of regular and systematic inspections should be introduced.
- 24. Difficulties were also caused till recently by the fact that civil judicial officers had to do executive work also. For example, in 1954 the Munsif, Shillong, was also the Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner and Law Assistant to the Legal Remembrancer. As Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner he had to look after the work in tribal areas.
- 25. Even at present the fact that the magistrates are Criminal called upon to do executive work adversely affects their Courts, judicial business and contributes considerably to the delay Attence of in disposal of cases. As regards the criminal cases we wineses, found that investigations were invariably delayed and that police officers failed to produce their witnesses on the dates of hearing. The police officers themselves did not respond to the summons for a number of times and the courts had to wait till it was convenient for the officers to attend. We are constrained to remark that the police them-Firm selves have contributed to a large extent to the delay in scion per the disposal of criminal cases. Magistrates were generally found very indulgent in dealing with the witnesses and even with accused persons who were absent on the date of

hearing. No action was taken against them for deliberate default in appearance. Greater strictness in these matters by presiding officers is essential. Prior to the amendment of the Code cases occurred in which the accused claimed the privilege of de novo trial twice or even thrice by reason of frequent transfers of magistrates. Unbusinesslike posting and piecemeal hearing of cases are common. This is one of the worst features of the administration of criminal justice. The following is an illustration of the manner in which criminal cases are handled.

Case No. 863 of 1952 was first disposed of on 8-9-1953 and resulted in conviction. On 2-11-1954, the conviction was set aside and re-trial was ordered. The accused appeared on 3-12-1854 and was enlarged on boil. On 7-1-1955 all prosecution witnesses were absent. On 8-2-1955 two prosecution withesses were present and were examined. On 4-8-1955 two mure witnesses were examined and in April, 1955 the magistrate was transferred. On 4-4-1955 all witnesses, were absent and as the magistrate was, under orders of transfer, the case was adjourned on 7-6-1955. On that date the witnesses did not turn up and the trial was adjourned to 5-7-1955 on which date one witness was present but was not examined as the magistrate was how with administrative work. For the next three dates in succession, the witnesses were absent. On 19-10-1955 no prosecution Witness was present though served with summons, On 15-11-1955 prosecution closed their case after examining one more witness and the case was fixed for further cross examination on 27th December 1955. On that date the magistrate was out of station. Such a state of affairs is capable of being remedied only by separating the judiciary from the executive and by strict supervision.

#### 46.—BIHAR

- Originally the State of Bihar was a part of the Pro-Graval. vince of Bengal. In 1912, the Province of Bihar and Orissa was constituted as a separate unit by taking Bihar, Ortssa and the Commissionership of Chhota Nagpur out of the old Province of Bengal and the district of Samhaipur out of the Central Provinces. The present State of Bihar came into existence when Orissa was carved out as a separate province in 1936. Prior to the reorganisation of the States, the area of the State was 67,164 square miles and according to the lost census it had population of 38,779,562. No substantial changes came about in the State on account of the recent reorganisation, except, that some portions of the two districts of Purnea and Manbhum, were transferred to the State of West Bengal. Administratively, the State is divided into 17 Districts and 1 Sub-District, which are grouped in 4 Divisions namely. Patna, Blagefour, Tirling and Chhota Nagpur.
- 2. There are fourteen judgeships in the State. The Organisa-Bihar Superior Judicial Service which is composed of too established District & Sessions Judges, Additional District and Judiciary, Sessions Judges, Registrar High Court, Secretary and Dy. Secretary to the Government, has a sanctioned strength of 41, out of whom 16 are District and Sessions Judges and 25 Additional District and Sessiona Judges. The scale of pay of District and Sessions Judges is the same as the senior scale in the Indian Administrative Service, that is. Rs. 800- 50-1,000-60-1,300-50-1,000, but the Additional District And Sessions Judges, who perform exactly the same factions as District and Sessions Judges, except that they do not exercise any administrative functions, are in the scale of Rs. 800-50-1,500. This is an anomaly which exists not only in Bihar but also in some other States. In our view the administrative duties of the District Judge on not justify the difference in their scales of pay.

Recruitment to the Superior Judicial Service is made both by promotion and by direct recruitment. Two-thirds of the posts are filled by promotion and one-third by direct recruitment from the Bar.

 The Bihar Civil Service (Judicial Branch) is composed of Subordinate Judges and Munstis, with a sanctioned strength of 71 and 232 respectively. Some of the posts are temporary, but it is understood that they are being converted into permanent posts. Subordinate Judges are on the time scale of Rs. 350-15-380-30-770-40-850. Recruitment to the posts of Subordinate Judges is

made by the High Court by promotion of Munsifs who have been confirmed in the service. It is gratifying in notice that in this State in making promotions to higher judicial ranks, the criterion of merit is strictly applied and not merely that of seniority. Likewise, very strict tests are applied in allowing officers to cross the efficiency bar. A number of instances were brought to our notice, in which judicial officers were either stopped at the efficiency bar, or were passed over for promotion and junior officers superseded them.

Various modes of recruiting Munsils have been adopted from time to time. The existing recruitment rules came into force in September, 1955. Under these rules Munsifs are recruited on the basis of a competitive examination held by the State Public Service Commission. The requisite qualifications are, that the candidate should be a law graduate with at least 2 years practice at the Bar and must be between 25 to 29 years of age; the maximum age limit is however relaxable upto 34 in the case of Schrouled Caste candidates. The syllabor for the written examination which carries 600 marks, comprises tour compulsory papers which includes General English, General Knowledge, Elementary General Science and General Hindi and four out of six optional papers in Law, in which the paper on the Law of Evidence and Procedure is compulsory. The tiva voce test, which carries 200 marks, is conducted by the Public Service Commission, with which a judge of the High Court is associated as an expert. In the last selection, candidates who obtained a minimum of 45% marks in the written test were called for the viva your test.

Munsifs are on the time scale of Rs. 220—25—495-E.B.-25—545—E.B.-25—670—20—750. It may be remarked that in this State, the initial salary of the Munsif is the lowest in the country. In contrast, the officers of the Bihar Civil Service (Executive Branch), who are called Deputy Magistrates and Deputy Collectors, draw pay on the scale of Rs. 220—25—320-E.B.-25—570-E.B.-25—770—30—300.

The anomaly is particularly striking as entrants to the executive branch can enter service at the earlier age of 21 and are not required to have the additional qualification of a low degree. It is therefore not surprising that the judicial service has failed to attract talent. The difficulty of finding suitable recruits has been aggravated by the fact that in recent years due to the increase of work and the separation of the judiciary from the executive it has become necessary to recruit larger numbers. This has compelled the State to recruit inferior personnel. In fact we were told that recently a list of 110 names was made out of 300 candidates for 75 vacancies, but the general level of the candidates was so poor, that but for the need of

filling vacancies the Public Service Commission would not have selected more than 25 or 30 out of those 110 candidates. Higher scoles of pay may perhaps go some way towards securing better recruits and more hitensive training may make the persons more efficient judicial officers.

- 4. There is another aspect which deserves mention. There is a considerable over-lapping in the scales of pay of Munsifs and that of Subordinate Judges. Hence, if a Munsif is promoted as a Surordinate Judge, it is likely that all that would probably be done is, to fix his initial salary immediately above his pay in the scale of pay allowed to the Subordinate Judges. If that is so, the promotion of a Munsif would amount merely to a change in the designation and a discharge of functions with a greater responsibility without any commensurate monetary advantage. We have already adverted to this matter and made appropriate recommendations in our chapter on "Subordinate Judiciary".
- A commendable feature in this State which may with advantage he adopted elsewhere is the comprehensive training given to those recruited as Munsils, before they are placed in charge of courts. The training is for a period of 2 years, during which the probationer has to work with a Schier Munsif and a Subordinate Judge for 6 months, as a Magistrate for 6 months, and receives training under the District and Sessions Judge for 3 months. He also gets training in the general revenue work in Collectorate for about 4 months, and in survey and settlement for about 3; months or so. For the next 12 months he gets training under a Government Pleader in the conduct of cases. During this period of 2 years he has to pass a departmental examination in law including Tenancy Laws, High Court General Rules and Circular Orders and in Hindi. As there is no shortage of officers. We understand the training of Munsifs is not ordinarily dispensed with in any case. It is however a matter for consideration whether such a lengthy period of training designed for candidates recruited directly from the law college may not be somewhat shortened and intensified now that recruitment is made from practising lawyers.
- 6. The High Court of Patna was established by Letters migh Patent in 1916. It exercised jurisdiction over Orissa also Countries a separate High Court was established for that province in 1948.
- 7. When the court was established in 1916, it started with 7 judges including the Chief Justice. In 1943, after a separate High Court was constituted for Orisss, the strength was 9 judges. The strength has however varied from 12 to 14 judges between 1951 to 1957. At present the strength is 16 judges, two judges having been appointed recently in the beginning of this year. We have referred to the delays in filling up vacancies in this High Court in an

earlier chapter. Such delays in this State have ranged between 2 months to 11 months. The delays in effect amount to depriving the High Court of the services of a judge for a period of 5 years, 8 months and 15 days between 1951 to 1957. In addition, the services of judges have been frequently requisitioned for non-judicial work without a substitute being provided.

8. The following statement shows the state of work in the High Court since the year 1949:—

Statement thought the Appellule work done in the High Court of Putna during the years 1949-1956.

			1949	1952	-951	1952	2956	1954	1822	1956	Remark
No. of Judges			9	12 + 1 udge appe under At	12 :inted t. 224.	12	12	13	14	14	
Pirst Appeads against Decrees		. <u>1</u> D P	490 361 7396	497 216 1380	574 287 1867	497 297 2057	439 300 2206	592 262 2496	552 285 2736	726 401 3088	
Second Appeals against Decrees		. 1 D P	2209 2731 2648	2272 1243 3677	175K 1226 4228	1476 932 4652	2⊀68 84⊀ 537⊉	1892 1833 5920	2014 1958 5978	1500 2289 3189	
Appeals against Orders .		, I D P	363 434 426	474 379 591	424 323 692	.µ05 293 R04	4 ⁸ 7 445 645	427 359 914	418 359 973	435 421 987	
Appeals against decisions of a sk Appellate jurisdiction.	n <b>g</b> le indg	I Abs	25 24 34	75 13 49	23 43 29	6 7 28	20 4 44 — 6 special appeals.	28 35 43	13 6 50	_ D .	Instinction Disposal and Pendency,
LivII Revisions		· I P	955 925 343	919 864 - 308	859 878 379	863 697 843	1059 805 799	1135 1487 747	1231 1173 855	1042 961 138	
Write	•	, j					335	413 405 343	468 761 373	616 458 521	
irininal Appeals		, I D	630 564 161	516 525 452	544 660 330	578 481 477	743 625 545	582 487 640	536 622 554	654 503 705	
Eritainal Revisions		, 1 D P	1642 1734 60	1964 1914 310	132I 1293 318	1277 1195 423	1597 1906 713	1401 1732 382	1374 1495 257	1328 1255 330	

The figures for the years 1949 to 1934 have been taken from the Administration Reports and the figures for the years 1935 & 1936 have been supplied to us by the High Court of Pains.

The congestion of work in the High Court is distressing. Disposals have failed to keep pace with institutions in nearly every branch of work. The number of pending proceedings particularly first and second appeals is staggering. A fair number of criminal appeals are over a year old appeals. The following statement, which indicates the year of the institution of the proceedings pending on 1st January, 1957 gives an idea of the magnitude of the problem.

Statement showing details of the proceedings pending on 1st January, 1957 according to the year of institution.

Year		First appeals	Second appeals	Appeal: against orders	Civil tevi- alons	Athernia acous judicied cases In- cluding writs,	Orles (na.) ( éppcale	Crimina) revi- vions
τ		2	3	4	5	6	7	:
			- · ·-			•		
1944	•		• -	• •	• •			
1945	•							
1946	•	1				• •		
1947		18	٠.					••.
1048	•	135	I		I			• •
<b>194</b> 9	•	219	34		•• -	• •		• •
1950		257	127	2	7			
1950	-	315	284	32	٠	7 10		
1952	•	301	803	99				
1953	-	262	825	129 162	41 110	14	24	6
ID54	-	419	943			93	170	23
1955		452	1085	229	930	190	561	301
1936	٠	- GNG	1087	334	554	533		
Total		9033	5189	987	2042	741	705	330

When we visited Patna in November 1957, we were told that the High Court was then still dealing with First Appeals instituted in the year 1949 and Second Appeals instituted in 1952 and 1953. It is a matter of regret that this progressive accumulation of arrears should have been allowed to continue without any addition to the strength of the court particularly between 1950 and 1954. The highest disposal of First Appeals in any single year was in 1956, when 401 First Appeals were disposed of. Similarly the largest number of Second Appeals disposed of in any one year was 2,734 in 1949. Even if disposals are maintained at these figures, it would take the High Court over seven years to dispose of the First Appeals and nearly two years to dispose of the Second Appeals pending at the end of the year 1956.

We have not got the figures of average duration for the years 1955 and 1956, but the gravity of the problem can be appreciated from the fact, that in 1953 the average duration of a contested First Appeal was 2151 days i.e. about 6 years and in 1954 it was 1,677 days, i.e., about 5 years and 2 months. Even uncontested First Appeals take about three years for disposal. The average duration of Second Appeals in 1954 was 1,504 days i.e. a little over 4 years. The state of work in this High Court strikingly illustrates the fact that In our country greater delays occur at the appellate stage and particularly in the High Courts. The following Tables clearly bring this out:—

-		<del>_</del> >		
Yés	in days of a con-	Appe∎i in Dis-	Average duration in days of Second Appeni	Total time *1km
1954	. 504	D. J. 658 S. J. 349	1504	2666 7 Years 4 months. 2357 6 years 6 months,
Year	Average duration in days of a comicated suje in Submobleate Judge's Court	Average duration in days of Pipp Appeal		Total time taken
-				
1954 ——	- 769	#A97 	_	2640-7 years.

This sad state of affairs excited strong and naturally better comment. It appears to us that these delays are likely to persist notwithstanding the recent increase in the strength of the court as the increased number will be just enough to enable the court to keep pace with current institutions.

9. When we visited Patha, we were informed that at least 10 Additional Judges for a year or 5 Additional Judges for two years would be necessary to wipe out the accumulated arrears. This estimate was worked out on the basis that on an overage, a Judge in Bihar is able to dispose of about 550 cases (Criminal and Civil) per year. The total number of cases pending at the end of 1958 was about 12,317. Of these, about 7,000 would be disposed of by the 14 permanent Judges; the balance of over 5,000 cases would need for their disposal about 10 Judges in one year or 5 Judges in 2 years. It is possible that this might turn out to be an underestimate as over 3,000 first appeals are pending and of these nearly 2,000 must be over two years old. It is uncertain whether a single judge or a Bench could dispose of 500 of these appeals which are all likely to be

contested in a year. It rannot be said that the judges are not alive to the need for expedition. In fact we were told by some members of the Bar that the existence of a large volume of arrears in the High Court had greatly affected the psychology of Judges who tried to dispose of matters without giving a patient hearing to the advocates.

Recently, the High Court has amended the rules, to enable a single Judge to hear all Second Appeals, First Appeals against decrees upto Rs, 10,000 and against orders upto Rs. 20,000, and all civil revisions irrespective of the value. He is also empowered to hear criminal appeals in coses, in which the substantive sentence passed does not exceed 10 years and also writ petitions against the orders of the Panchayat Courts. This slep should go a long way in economising judge power and expediting disposal. Although there was not much opposition at the Bar to the enhancement of the powers of a single judge, some senior members of the Bar expressed the view that such work was not unoften assigned to very junior judges and that Benches of junior judges were also common. While there might undoubtedly be difficulty in view of the recent increase in the strength of the High Court in finding a sufficient number of senior judges for all the categories of work in which their services would be desirable, yet we feel that an offert should be made to entrust as far as possible all single judge work to a senior judge and to have at least one experienced judge in each Bench. Another measure which is bound to give immediate relief to the High Court is the enhancement of the appellate jurisdiction of the District Judge to Rs. 10,000 and the transfer of all appeals below that value pending in the High Court to the District Courts. We understand that out of the 3,088 first appeals pending in the High Court about 2,000 are valued below Rs. 10,000. The transfer of these appeals to the District Courts should largely relieve the congestion in the High Court. It is necessary to emphasise that the cadre of District Judges must be strengthened for this purpose and additional District Judges appointed to dispose of the transferred appeals. An increase in the single judges jurisdiction to Rs. 15,000 might also have beneficial results.

Functions of Registrar, 10. We have noticed earlier that the Registrar of this court is empowered to admit Second Appeals and have expressed ourselves against the continuance of this practice.

Paper books,

11. One reason for the delay in the disposal of civil appeals is said to be the extreme slowness with which records of cases are printed by the Government Press. We were told that records of appeals instituted in 1947 had not been printed in 1958. As the Government Press is unable to cope with the work, Government has entered into a contract with a private press for printing the records and large sums are paid annually to that press for the purpose.

At one time, to avoid delay, there was an attempt at getting the paper books printed privately by the parties but the experiment did not prove successful, as a large number of mistakes occurred. We however understand, that the Government has agreed to provide a separate press for the High Court by the end of 1958. We trust that this will solve the difficulty. The suggestions made by us earlier with regard to the preparation of paper books may also be given a trial so that occasion may not arise for the increased number of judges to find themselves without work by reason of paper books not being ready.

 The following statement shows the number of suits Volume and instituted in the subordinate courts during the years nature of 1951—88 1951—56.

	_					
Year	1951	1952	1933	1914	4955	1956
lusë- rutions.	105,897	117:293	119,946	134,123	T16,230	90,992

We have not got detailed information for the years 1955 and 1956 but the stansheal data available for the years 1961 to 1954 reveals that nearly 68 per cent, of the suits were under the rent laws. It will be noticed that there has been a . considerable fall in institutions after the year 1954. This is largely attributed to the land reforms and the abolition of Zamindaris in the State. The rise in institutions in 1954 was partly occasioned by the anxiety of the landlords to realise arrears of rents before the full implementation of Land Reforms.

The administration reports upto 1954 show that nearly 90 per cent of the suits instituted were of value not exceeding Rs. 1,000. Suits below Rs. 2,000 were roughly 93 per cent and about 96 per cent of the total institutions was below Rs. 5.000. In view of the recent changes in the law, the rent suits will disappear and the pattern of work in the civil courts will change.

13. Though specially selected Munsifs can be invested Pecuniary with jurisdiction to try suits opto Rs. 4,000, the peruniary jurisdiction. jurasdiction of a Munsif is orginarily limited to Rs. 1,000, The number of Munsifs with a jurisdiction upto Rs. 2,300 or more is very small. In view of the decline in the number of suits of low valuation, the intensive training given to newly appointed Munsils and the fall in the value of money, we are of the opinion that the jurisdiction of Munsife should be raised to Rs. 5,000. This will give substantial relief to the subordinate judges and provide sufficient work to the Munsifs. The small cause jurisdiction of Munsits is limited to Rs. 250. The ordinary jurisdiction of Subordinate Judges is unlimited, but their small cause jurisdiction is limited to Rs. 500. This also may be raised with advantage,

State, of file in collists of Subcrdinate Judges and Munsife.

14 The position of regular suits in the courts of Munsifs and Subordinate Judges during the years 1951—1956 is shown separately in the following two statements.

Original suits in the Courts of Munsils

ш	Institu- fsons during the year	Total disposal during the year	Dispressi after full trial	Average direction in days of full that cases	Pendency at the cod of the year	Suits over une year old
	2	3	4		6	7
	60.584	 τ-⇔σ.6%	TO 602	457:3	47.07 <b>6</b>	16,660
-	100,413		14,296			14,427
-	IDT,842	1,21,039	19,845			9,8∎8
•	215,745	Ty35#45	11,671	503:6	50,809	8,096
-	96,420	1,02,714				6,538
	71,945	83,796			35,879	7,240
		f fsons during the year 2 - 90,484 - 200,473 - 215,743 - 96,420	2 3 - 90,484 1,00,600 - 100,473 7,24,985 - 101,842 1,21,039 - 15,745 1,35,445 - 96,420 1,02,714	1 fions disposal after full during during the year the year the year 2 3 4  2 3 4  - 90,484 1,00,650 10,602 100,612 1,01602 121,039 13,845 11,671 96,420 1,02,714	### ### ##############################	## 600.8 disposal after full duration at the cold during the year the year the year thin thin thin the year thin thin the year thin the year thin thin thin thin thin thin thin thin

Yes	Year duting		Total dispending during the year	Diaposol after full urial	Average dugstion in delys of full trial cases	Total pendency	No. of subs pending for more than one year
τ		2	3	4	1	6	7
1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1950		3,346 3,096 3,137 2,039 2,773 2,699	5/3/3 4/795 4/6/6 5/3/9 3/6/5 3/345	1,392 1,206 1,020 1,135	564-4 629-2 713-1 762-6	4,754 4,855 5,189 5,167 4,560 3,969	2,022 2,260 2,651 2,730 2,330 1,915

More.—Involvations do not include esses restored or otherwise received.

These statements reveal that the position with regard to the regular suits is on the whole satisfactory. There has in recent years been a gratifying fall in the total number of pending suits as well as in the number of year old suits. It is obvious that the number of judicial officers

is not inadequate and throughout the period, 1951 to 1956, both Munsifs as well as Subordinate Judges have been able to keep pace with the institutions. The proportion of more than one year old cases to the total number of pending suits in a Munsif's court is only 20 per cent, although in Subordinate Judge's courts this proportion is nearly 48 per cent. This however is inevitable, as the percentage of contested suits in the courts of the Subordinate Judges is as high as 38 per cent as against 10 to 12 per cent in the courts of Munaifs. This disperity is accounted for by the large number of unconfested rent suits instituted in the Munslis' courts. Thus out of a total of 1,19,964 regular suits disposed of by Munsifs during the year 1954, 96,001 were suits under the rent law, and of those only 4,902 were contested suits. The average duration of a contested suit in Munsils' courts in 1954 was 563 days i.e., about 1 year and 4 months and in the Subordinate Judges' courts 763 days i.e., about 2 years and 1 month. The average duration of a small cause suit in 1954 in Munsifa' courts was 188 days i.e., about 6 months and in a court of a subordinate judge was 210 days i.e. about 7 months. Notwithstanding these figures there is, we think, room for considerable improvement particularly in the disposal of small cause suits. The following statements show the average disposal of work of Munsifs and Subordinate Judges during the year 1954.

Munolfs	•
---------	---

(),(p))	l Seita	Sasal? Ca	use Suits	Mise, Civil Cases and Petitions		
Total disposal	Average disposal	Total disposal	Avetage disposal	Total disposal	Average	
ı	3	3	4	5	6	
1,19,694*	€0 <b>9</b> *	11,784		16,312	110	

Of these a very large proportion, were uncontested, suits under the rest law.

Subsedinate Judges

Civil Suite		Small Gruse Suits		Misc. Civil cases—sud Politions		Civil Appeals		Civil M	isc. Appeals
Trotal disposal	Average disposal	Toral disposal	Avarage dispose)	Total disposal	Average disposal	Total disposal	Average disposal	Total disposal	Average disposal
		3	4		6	7	3	9	. 10
3,149	52	3,566	57	2,716	62	4,777	77	708	

Note,-Pigures in columns 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 include contested and uncontested matters.

The quantity of disposals by munsifs would appear to be capable of improvement as can be seen from the preceding Table. Greater expedition appears to be possible, if the subordinate judicial officers realise the need for despatch in trying cases. Such awareness seems to be lacking at present. One subordinate judge (rankly told us that his test of congestion of work was the number of suits pending in his court which required under the rules an explanation to be given. We were told, that although the statistical returns have a column indicating the number of year old cases, nevertheless, the explanation of a judicial officer was called for by the High Court, only in respect of cases which were more than two or three years old. Greater strictness and vigilance with regard to the pendency of old cases and the furnishing of an explanation for every year old case appear to be necessary. However in assessing the work of munsifs it must be remembered that the Ren; Control Act has been recently amended and jurisdiction under that Act has been conferred on Munsifs.

15. The following statement shows the civil and criminal State of file work done by District Judges and Additional District in District Judges during the years 1954—56.

### Observed and Sessiona Jodges and Additional District And Sessions Judges & Assistant Sessions Judges

Criminal Work

		Sess	атя Сая	<b>5</b> 4	_		Ccim	զդծ ևա	reals			Civil	risiona		
	Pending at the	Toati- tation	Dis- posa ⁾	Bulat	цое	Pending at the	Illaci- tution	Dis- posa]		шлсе шлсе	Pending	Insti-	Dis-		lance
Year	begin- uing of the year		1-7-2	Below one year_	Over one year	begin- ning of the pear	Tarjan		Below one year	Over one year	hegio- ning of the year	4,017013	pose;	Helow nae year	Ovce ode your
·						<u> </u>								· · <b>-</b> · ·	
	3		4	S	6	7	s	ij	10	ιτ	12	15	14	15	10
1954 .	467	1437	1393	848	ส	1845	5939	5828	1908	18	N.A.	3493	3313	— 628	 38
1945 .	Sri	1527	.980	652	6	1926	5365	5699	(560	32	686	3453	3158	776	37
1956 -	6,8	1702	1728	622	13	1593	54 <b>8</b> i	5454	1552	67	813	2719	3434	1066	12

NOTE. - These figures have been supplied by the High Court of Pains. - There are however some discrepancies in these figures.

# CIMIL WORK

## District Judges

		Civi	il Suits			Misc.	Civil in	ses AC	ű petíti	nans	-	C	- Svil Ap	преція Преція	<b></b> -	0	PA fivi	ec. A <del>p</del> j	pea!s		
Year	Pegd- engal the begin- ning of the year	tution	Dispers sel	Below enc your	Oyer	Pending states the begin- ning after year	tuti- 1973	Dispa sel	> Ball Below one year		Pend- rap ar the begin bing of the year	turian -	- Dîsp 15 501	n- Belar Below one year	Over and year	Pend- ing ar the begin- ning of the year	gurion		Below one year		
	2	3	1	5	s	2		9	טו	11,	12	- r3	14	· T5	16	 r7	18	19	 20	2.1	
1954	143	64	104	43	52	1,283	2294	2143	1109	3:4	4916	4877	1452	2694	931	- 654	 1375	 785	669	51	9
1926	9.5	Xy	97	53	34	1,423	2725	2331	1393	472	3625	4727	1604	2780°	517	721	1520	Risy	522	39	
1956	87	9L	- ⁵²	- 63 		-1865 	2875	2548	1519	499	3533	4178	2009	2455	534	68a	1499	967	$\epsilon_{40}$	55	

It will be seen from this statement that the civil work, is very much in arrears. The average duration of a contested suit in 1954 in the court of a District Judge was 1114 days, that is, a little less than 3 years. This was the highest in India during that year.

The Subordicate Judges share with the District and Additional District judges a great deal of their civil appellate and sessions work. This will appear from the following two statements.

Civil Appeals

	Reg	ular Civil A	ppeals	Mirc.	Civil A <del>ppe</del> r	15
Year	District judges	Sub- judges	Tate!	District judges	Sub- judges	Total
1954	 1:46::	· <b>4</b> ,777	6,239	· —	70 <b>%</b>	r ₂ 493
1955	7,504	4,676	6 <u>,2</u> 80	869	838	1.707
1956	2,009	3,330	5,339	967	646	1,613

#### Sassiums Cases

Уевг	-	Commit- ted during the year	No. of coses disposed al	Disposed of by District Judges	Dispersed of by Assissant Sessions Judges	No. of coses pending at the cose of the cose of the cose of the pear	Average derection from the date of Committens
1951 1952 1953 1954		1,44? 1,518 1,488 7,459	1,317 1,473 1,639 1,407	830 890 949 812	487 583 680 595	568 608 469 519	127·1 147·7 139·9

Notwithstanding the fact that a substantial number of civil appears are disposed of by subordinate judges, there is long delay in the disposal of regular appeals in the courts of District Judges. The average duration of a contested appeal in the district courts in the year 1964 was 658 days, being the highest in India during that year. As against this, the average duration in the courts of sub-ordinate judges was 349 days.

It is quite evident that in spite of the assistance given by the subordinate judges, the existing strength of the higher judiciary is unable to cope with even the current institutions, leaving aside the mass of arrears, that have already accumulated both on the givil and the criminal side. The unbancement of the appeliate jurisdiction of district judges suggested by us will therefore necessitate an increase in their numbers.

Jurisdiction under special Acts like the Land Suggestions. Acquisition Act, Succession Act etc., which is at present exclusively exercised by the District Judges and Additional District Judges should be conferred on subordinate judges. We were told that on account of the increasing development activities of the State Government, a large number cases under the Land Acquisition Act had been referred to the courts of District Judges. This class of cases can be advantageously transferred to the subordinate judges. The enhancement of the pecuniary jurisdiction of monads to Rs. 5,000 and the increase in their small cause jurisdiction will give considerable relief to subordinate judges.

 According to the Rules of the High Court, the Dis-Surgerision. trict Judge is expected to inspect each subordinate court once in a year or if that is not possible, at least once in eighteen months. The High Court also inspects the District Judges' courts once in two years if the state of work per-mics it. Recently the practice of making supprise visits to the subordinate courts has also been adopted by some of the District Judges. The rule regarding the periodical inspection of subordinate courts is, however, not observed strictly. We found that in some cases Subordinate Courts had not been inspected for three years. Many of the inspection reports were not satisfactory. The impression left upon us was that the supervision of the subordinate courts needs considerable improvement. The inspection reports were all in a stereotyped form and might have wall been written by an intelligent ministerial officer. They did not show that any attempt had been made by the inspecting officer to go into the reasons for the accumulated pending cases, to examine the records of old cases and to indicate to the subordiate officials the way in which they could have avoided the unnecessary delays. We also feel that a more effective use can be made of the returns. pending cases sent up by the subordinate courts to the High Court. At present these returns are consolidated by the High Court office which puts up a comprehensive note. The note is repeated with slight variations by the Assistant Registrar incharge of the returns who sets out against the name of each officer the amount of work done by him during the period of review. The Assistant Registrar also notes whether the work of the officer in question is adequate. satisfactory, below average or commendable. The papers are thereafter put up for the orders of the ludge incharge of the English Department. Generally the order passed by the judge as appeared from the few returns which scrutinised is to write 'approved' or 'yes' or merely to affix his signature to the Registrar's note. No attempt whatsoever appears to be made on the part of anyone in the High Court to examine the explanation given by the officer

for the delay in the disposal of cases which require explanation under the rules. We are of the view that far more effective supervision is needed and that a personal interest on the part of the Judge entrusied with the task of supervision is essectial.

## Execution Monelle.

18. Wherever there is concentration of Munsus courts, Execution Munsifs are appointed to deal exclusively with execution matters arising out of the decrees passed by other Munsifs. Such Munsifs are generally invested with jurisdiction into the Accountable and the execution metatrs of other Munsifs are transferred by the District Judge to this court index section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code. We understand that this system is working quite satisfactorily. The principal advantage of the system is, that with the concentration of execution work in one court, other Munsifs are relieved of much at their miscellaneous work, and they are able to devote their time continuously to the regular work in their courts. We recommend the adoption of this system, in places where a large number of Munsife' courts are concentrated.

#### Regismo: Systems

19 Another pecially leature about the dyn courts in Bihar is the adoption of the Registrar system, following the recommendation of the Cavil Justice Committee. Under this system a Munsif, generally a sensor officer is appointed at the District Headquarters to assist the District Judge and other Judges in their administrative duties, leaving them free to devote their time to judicial numbers. Some of the principal functions exercised by the Registrar are the effective only supervision over the work of the minusterial establishment and process-serving staff of the civil courts, and the performance of the duties of attention to miscellaneous business and the supervision and inspection of diffees and subordinate counts. Though the Gegisting has no power to receive plaints and petitions and deal with suits and cases upto the trial stage, as recommended by the Civ I Justice Committee, nevertheless the system as it obtains, relieves the District Judges and other Judges of a good deal of their administrative duties, leaving them free to devote more time to judicial work. The system has been permanently introduced in the judgeships of Patna, Monghyr and Muzaffarpur. It has also been extended on a temporary basis to the jungeships of Seren, Shahabad and . Bhagalpur. We understand that the State Government has decided to extend the system to other judgeships also as soon as financial conditions permit and the High Court has already indicated the order of priority of judgeships, to which the scheme is to be extended.

#### Přavlajou of stenographets,

20 We were informed that a large number of Munsifs have been provided with stenographers. We trust that all officers will be provided with such assistance.

2i. The progress of the separation of the judiciary from Separation the executive in this state has need slow. The State has not function introduced what is called a "partial" scheme of separation cutive. of the judiciary from the executive on an experimental basis in the districts of Pama and Shahabad in 1950, in Gaya, Saran and Monghyr in 1951, and in Muzaffarpur in 1952. Recently the scheme has been extended to six roote districts namely, Champaran, Darbhauga, Bhagalpur, Sabaranpur, Purnes and Hazar back. It is now in force in twelve districs in the State.

The principal feature of the scheme is that the criminal work is done by munsif magistrates i.e. munsife invested with magisterial powers or by judicial magistrates belong-ing to the executive service who are lent to the High Court for doing criminal judicial work. While working as judicial magistrates they are under the control of the High Court through the District and Sessions Judges. Their work is supervised and inspected by the District and Sessions Judges who also maintain their confidential records. Their postings are in charge of the Government who act in these matters in consultation with the High Court. Investing them with judicial nowers the District and Sessions Judges are consuited.

Judicial Magistrates have no power to take engnizance of cases; they try only those cases which are transferred to them by the sub-divisional magistrates, who receive all the complaints and police charge-sheets. The Sub-Divisional Magistrates are competent to somulaise complaints and petitions with a view to dismiss summarily such of them as are frivolous. To avoid any practical difficulty that may arise in regulating the transfer of cases, the Sub-Divisional Magistrates are required to transfer cases, according to the schedule drawn up by the Sessions Judge in this respect. Certain types of minor offences in which the accused generally plead guilty, such as offences under the Indian Railways Art, the Motor Vehicles Act, Police Act, and cases under the District Board and Municipal Acts, the Bengal Vaccination Act, the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act and the Bengal Irrigation Act, 1876, are tried by the executive magistrates. Cases under Chapters VII. X, XI, XII, XXXVI of Criminal Procedure Code are also heard by executive magistrates. In cases of emergency, the District Magistrate is empowered to assign executive duties to the judicial magistrates with the prior approval of the District and Sessions Judge concerned. Some Subordinate Judges are also vested with powers of special Magistrates to deal with particular types of criminal cases.

This scheme of separation, though it is real so for as it goes, unlike the so called separation that obtains in Uttar Pradesh and the Punjab, is not complete. Owing to a dearth of personnel, the magistracy even in the separation districts consists of executive officers. Although these officers are for the time being placed under the

control of the district judge and the High Court, yet the fact of their belonging to the executive service and the certainty that they will have ultimately to revert to their parent department is apt to deter them from displaying that zeal and independence in their work which is expected of a purely judicia? officer. This system of separation is also partial in the sense that the judicial magistrates and the munsif magistrates are not authorised to take cognizance of cases but instead try cases transferred to them by the sub-divisional magistrates who are executive officers. Further a large number of petty cases are tried by the executive officers. If separation is to be effective and complete, it is essential that all trial work should be entirely in the hands of judicial magistrates who should be empowered to take cognizance of cases on complaint or an a police report.

It is also necessary that officers of the executive service now working as judicial magistrates should be replaced as quickly as conditions permit by munsif magistrates or if found suitable he absorbed into the judicial service.

We may also here notice a few of the difficulties experienced in the working of the scheme of separation in this State. It was stated that the less efficient officers of the executive service who had not come up to the required level were transferred as judicial magistrates and that the best men continued to be retained in the executive service. This appears to us to be only a passing phase which will disappear when ultimately mansif magistrates take over of the duties at present discharged by judicial magistrates.

The experience of this State confirms the existence of certain difficulties which we anticipated in our chapter on the separation of the judiciary. It has been found that the district and sessions judges under whom the magistrates are placed under the new regime do not find sufficient time to supervise their work. Such supervision, as we have already noticed, is essential. This difficulty can be met by appointing judicial district magistrates entrusted with supervision work.

It was stated that the munsif magistrates and the judicial magistrates tended to be rather theoretical in their approach to cases and did not show sufficient awareness of the difficulties experienced by the police in investigating crime and maintaining law and order. Magistrates in the separation districts of this State do not appear to have been given training in police work as suggested by us elsewhere. The representatives of the Government and of the police who gave evidence conceded that if the necessary training were given to these magistrates for a month, such difficulties were not likely to arise. We would recommend that training in the police department for a short period be prescribed for all munsif magistrates.

In the non-separation districts, the magistracy consists of Deputy Magistrates and Deputy Collectors who are members of the Bihar Civil Service and Sub-Deputy Collectors who are members of Junior Executive Service. A large majority of them are not law graduates. The bulk of criminal work is done by them, though some munsife are also invested with magisterial powers.

22. The total number of magistrates engaged in the Magistratal trial of cases in April, 1956, were 403, out of whom 77 functioned in the six separation districts and 326 in non-separation districts. The position has however somewhat altered on account of the extension of the separation scheme to six other districts recently. In the non-separation districts, the magistrates also perform executive functions in addition to the judicial work. The following statement shows the work done by the magisterial courts during the years 1951 to 1954.

Yes	M					Pending at the begin- ning of the year	Tuscimired during the year	Disposal during the year	Pending or the end of the year	Average dotation in days of criminal cases	Cases under T, P. C.	Cases under special and local tawa
		ı 	. –	-		 2	3	4	2	6	7	 8
1951					··	17,512	98,374	98-574	22,553	3914	6(+2	24-Я
1952						23.520	105,533	103-114	24,524	43.4	63'I	28⋅€
1953			,			23,224	20 ₀₁ 006	*G4/329	84,899	57.0	Szig	28-9
1954				-		24,899	85K*103	100(05)	25:792	5518	8z'4	32.1

This statement shows that there has been a progressive rise in the number of punding criminal cases. The average duration has also shown an increase. In April, 1956, on an analysis of the pending work in the magisterial courts it was estimated that, while the strength of judicial magis-trates was sufficient to cope with current institutions in separation districts, it was necessary to appoint at least 94 more magistrates in the non-separation districts to deal with current lostitutions. It was also pointed out that it was necessary to appoint 24 more magistrates in separation districts and at least 50 magistrates in non-separation districts for one year to clear off the arrears of criminal cases. We trust that the appropriate authorities will take steps to recruit the necessary personnel as early as possible.

23. There are large number of cases pending before, the count of Court of Session. The statement given earlier shows that Sesson. the existing strength of the Sessions Judges, Additional Sessions Judges and Assistant Sessions Judges is just able to cope with the sessions work. The average duration of 139 days for a sessions case in 1953 was the highest in India in that year. There are quite a few magistrates, who are invested with powers under Section 30 Cr.P.C. The increase in the strength of the higher judiciary and the enlargement in the powers of the First Class Magistrates recommended by us should help to get rid of the delays in the Courts of Session.

24. Judicial officers engaged in the trial of criminal cases, Nonincluding Sessions Judges, Additional Sessions Judges arendance and Magistrates, pointed out that police officers did non-of witness. attend the courts on the dates specified in the summons, and ses. that large number of cases, including Sessions cases had for this reason to be adjourned from time to time. One of the Additional District Judges stated that the percentage of cases adjourned on account of non-attendance of wilnesses was as high as 75 per cent, in his court. It was said that the police officers did not even acknowledge the service of process so that it was not possible to issue operaive processes against defaulters. As regards the sessions cases, the practice was that the summons for appearance in the Court of Session was issued by the Committing Magistrate, but the fact of service or non-service is never intimated to the Court of Session. Thus neither the Public Prosecutor nor the court could be suce of the attendance of the witnesses. This invariably resulted in piece-meal hearings which lead to inordinate delays in the disposal of criminal cases. We were given to understand that the matter had been taken up by the High Court with the Government on several occasions, but without any substantial result,

Although energent work may sometimes be a valid explanation for not attending court on the specified date, there can be no justification whatsoever for continued and repealed detaults in appearance. This would be particularly

so in sessions cases where the dates of hearing are fixed well in advance. In order that the court of session may know whether processes have been served or not, we would suggest that processes might be issued by the court of session itself or in the alternative that the committing magistrate who summons the witnesses for attendance in the sessions court should send a report of service or non-service to the court before the trial actually commences.

It was conceded that difficulties also arise by reason of the same police officer being required to attend different courts on the same date. This difficulty, in our opinion, can be met by a systematic distribution of work between the courts. At the moment cognizance of cases is taken by the sub-divisional magistrates who thereafter transfer them to other subordinate magistrates for disposal. Such transfer is often made in a haphazard fashion. If sub-divisional magistrates transferred all cases arising from one police station only to a particular magistrate he would be in a position to post cases with the minimum of inconvenience to the police officers concerned. The police officers themselves will also not be faced with the difficulty of being summoned to appear in the court of more than one magistrate on the same day. It would be even simpler if magistrates other than the sub-divisional magistrates were also empowered to taken cognizance of cases on a police report. If this is done, the jurisdiction of the magistrate might be so fixed as to cover the area covered by one or two police stations. All police reports and charge-sheets coming from the particular police stations would be filed in his court.

Even if these measures be adopted difficulties might still arise if a police officer is required to attend both a magistrate's court and the sessions court on the same day. In such a case the officer should attend the sessions court and intimate his inability to attend the other court to the trying magistrate as soon as he can.

Most of these difficulties can, in our opinoin, be settled at the district level itself by the sessions judge, the district magistrate and the superintendent of police getting together and discussing the matter.

Попотату Мариятыятез,

25. The system of Honorary Magistrates has prevailed in the State for a long time. They are appointed on the recommendation of the District Magistrate and before the State Government finally appoints them, the High Court is also consulted. A similar procedure is adopted when the term of their appointment is extended.

Triat by Juzy. 26. The system of trial by jury prevails in ten out of seventeen Districts of the State, in respect of certain specified offences under the Indian Penal Code. Originally trial by jury applied to a very large number of offences owing however to criticisms about its unsatisfactory working a committee was appointed to go into the working of the

system. The committee made a large number of recommendations and some of them have been accepted and the scope of trial by jury has been curtailed. Nevertheless there does not appear to have been any noticeable improvement in the system of jury trials and our recommendation for abolishing jury trials applies to this State also.

27. Panchayat Courts called "Gram Kutcherics" have funchayat been constituted under the Bihar Panchayat Raj Act, 1947 Courts. (Act VII of 1948). We have earlier set out the powers and jurisdiction of these courts. The following Table indicates their growing popularity and value as instruments of conceiliation and adjudication.

#### ORIGINAL CASES

	_						
Yeur			Foral available for disposal	Institu- tions	Total disposal	No. of cases compan- mined	No. of cases pending of the fud of the year
	_		3	3	4	5	6
				Civil			
1951-52 1952-59 1953-54 1954-55	:	:	9,651 9,857 11,620	4,387 8,475 8,681 10,007	3-345 7-318 6,989 8,690	2,037 4,737 4,204 4,404	1,036 1,1 <b>76</b> 1,613 1,773
				Crimina	Į		
1951-52 1952-5] 1953-54 1954-55	:	:	22,972 33,647 39,996	17,262 19,867 34,760 35,574	31,089 15,239 25,855 30,229	11,40 t 11,974 19,285 39,926	3,105 <b>2,887</b> <b>4,43</b> 2 5,7 <b>3</b> 5
				APTWAI,	·		
Year					Juscitu- rjons	Total disposal	Compos- m(red
1	_				2		4
				Glast			
1951-53 1952-53 1933-54 1954-55	:	:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	: :	353 218 249 556	350 228 267 526	278 73 <b>2</b> 5 89
				Chindpa	7		
1941-52 19 <b>52-</b> 53 1953-54	:	:	: :	: :	1,127 1,542 1,146	7,363 1,612 1,668	263 476 266
1954-55					1,249	1,243	138

#### 47 - BOMBAY

The territory of this State after reorganization comprises an area of 1,90,872 sq. miles and has a population of roughly 4,82,65,660 persons. In view of the fact that large portions of the territories of other States have been added to this State as a result of the re-organization of States and the system of judicial administration has not been unified, we shall deal only with the administration of justice as it obtained in the State of Bombay prior to its re-organization.

Area and Population.

The Indicial

Service.

2. The Judicial Service in the Bombay State consists of distinct cadres of officers in the mofussil and the Bombay City. The rules regulating recruitment to the judicial service divide the Service into two branches, namely, (a) Junior Branch and (b) Senior Branch. The Junior Branch consists of the two following classes, namely:

#### Class 1 comprising—

- Judges of the Small Cause Courts at Poons and Abmedabad.
  - (2) Civil Judges (Senior Division).
- (3) Judges of the Small Cause Courts at Bombay and Presidency Magistrates.

#### Class II comprising—

Civil Judges (Junior Division) and Judicial Magistrates of the First Class.

- 3. The Senior Branch consists of District Judges, the Principal Judge and the Judges of the Bombay City Civil Court, the Chief Judge of the Presidency Small. Causes Court, Bombay, the Chief Presidency Magistrate, Bombay, the Additional Chief Presidency Magistrate, Hombay and Assistant District Judges.
- 4 Judges of the Small Causes Courts at Poona and Ahmedabad are appointed by the Righ Court by promution from Civil Judges (Senior Division). Appointments to the posts of Civil Judges (Senior Division) are also made by the High Court by promotion from Civil Judges (Junior Division) and Judiola Magistrates of the First Class who have worked as Civil Judges (Junior Division). Judges of the Presidency Small Causes Court at Bombay and Presidency Magistrates are appointed
  - (i) by promotion from Crvit Judges of the Sentor and Junior Division and Judfetal Magistrates, First Class;

- (ii) by nomination from members of the Bar and Civil Judges, Senior and Junior Division and Judicial Magistrates of the First Class.
- 5. Ordinarily, the proportion of posts filled by promotion and by nomination is 50: 50. Appointment by promotion is made by the High Court while appointment by nomination is made by the Governor in consultation with the State Public Service Commission to which a representative of the High Court is invited at the time of interviews. The representative of the High Court takes part in the discussion of the Commission but is not entitled to vote.
- 6. Officers in Class II of the Junior Branch who are Civil Judges (Junior Division) and Judicial Magistrates, First Class, are recruited from members of the Bar. The appointment is made by the Governor in consultation with the Public Service Commission who invite a representative of the High Court to be present at the interviews but the representative is not entitled to vote. Candidates for these posts must not be less than 21 and not more than 35 years of age and they must have ordinarily practised as advocates or pleaders for not less than three years and must be certified to have a knowledge of at least one of the regional languages of the State.
- 7. The appointment of the Principal Judge of the Bombay City Civil Court is made by the Governor in consultation with the High Court from Judges of the City Civil Court or from District Judges. Appointments to the posts of District Judges are made by the Governor (a) in consultation with the High Court by promotion from. members of the Junior Branch who have ordinarily served as Assistant Judges and (b) on the recommendation of the High Court from members of the Bar who have practised as advocates or pleaders for not less than seven years the High Court or Subordinate Courts. A person recruited before attaining the age of forty-five years is first appointed to work as an Assistant Judge for such period as may be decided by the Government on the merits of his case and on the recommendations of the High Court, before appointment as a district judge. The proportion of posts filled in by promotion and by appointment from the Bar is ordinarily 50 : 50.
- 8. Judges of the City Civil Court, Bombay, are appointed by the Governor (a) on the recommendation of the High Court from practising advocates or pleaders of not less than seven years standing and (b) in consultation with the High Court from the District Judges.
- 9. The Chief Judge, Presidency Small Causes Court, Bombay the Chief Presidency Magistrate and the Additional Chief Presidency Magistrate, Bombay are appointed by

the Governor in consultation with the High Court by promotion from the officers of Class I of the Junior Branch and working in the respective Courts, or by transfer from the Assistant Judges.

- 10. Appointments to the posts of Assistant Judges are made by the Governor in consultation with the High Court by promotion of Civil Judges, Junior Division, or Senior Division, of not less than seven years of service and not more than forty-five years in age. In computing this period, any period during which an officer has worked as a Civil Judge-cum-Magistrate or as a Resident of Judicial Magistrate of the First Class is to be included provided he was eventually brought on the joint cadre of Civil Judges, Senior Division or Civil Judges, Junior Division and Judicial Magistrates, First Class. Selection to these posts is on merit but seniority is taken into account as far as possible.
- 11. The scales of pay of the officers in the Junior Branch are:
  - Judges of the Provincial Small Cause Court at Poona and Ahmedabad—Rs. 900 –50-7050.
  - (2) Judges of the Presidency Small Cause Court and Presidency Magistrates, Bornbay—Rs. 1000—30 -
  - (3) Civil Judges, Senior Division—Rs, 695—45—
  - (4) Civil Judges, Junior Division, and Judicial Magistrates—Rs. 300—probation for two years—320— 20—500—F.B. 30—650.

and these of the Scaler Branch are:

- (1) Principal Judge, City Civil Court, Bombay— Rs. 2,500
  - (2) Judges of the City Civil Court—Rs. 2,000.
  - (3) District Judges, Rs. 800, 1800*.
- (4) Chief Judge, Presidency Small Cause Court, Bombay and Chief Presidency Magistrate, Bombay— Rs. 1600—1601—1800.
  - (5) Assistant Judges-Rs. 700-50-1000.
- Civil Judges, Junior Division and Judicial Magistrates, Pirat Class, are appointed on probation for the first

^{*}In case of promotees initial pay is fixed in the above scale at a stoge next above the officers substantive pay plus increments at the rate of one increment for every three completed years of service in the State Judicial Service subject to a minimum and maximum increase of Rs. 100/- and Rs. 200/- respec lively over the subtantive pay in the lower yest.

two years on the expiry of which the probationer is confirmed in the same grade provided his work has been found satisfactory and has passed an examination in one of the regional languages in the State other than that of which he was certified to have adequate knowledge at the time of his appointment. Candidates are not given any training before being assigned independent judicial work. In our opinion some course of training is necessary,

- The selection of Assistant Judges from Civil Judges. Senior and Juntor Division, is on merit but other things being equal, seniority is respected as far as possible. Every year a select list of Civil Judges who are considered fit for appointment as Assistant Judges is prepared by Government in consultation with the High Court and appointments are made in the order in which the names stand on this list subject to presended age limits. These officers are posted to District Courts to render assistance to the District Judges as Assistant Judges. On their first appointment they also exercise the powers of an Assistant Sessions Judge and after gaining experience of criminal work they are invested with the powers of an Additional Sessions Judge on the recommendation of the District and Sessions. Judge. Their civil appellate and criminal jurisdiction is co-extensive with that of District and Sessions Judges but they try only such cases as are made over to them by the latter. Their original civil jurisdiction is limited to suits of not more than Rs. 15,000 in value. This is anomalous but in practice neither the District Judge nor the Assistent Judge does any ordinary original cavil work which is exclusively done by Civil Judges of the Senior or Junior Division. The District and Assistant Judges devote almost the whole of their time to appellate civil and original and appellate criminal work.
- 14. The Assistant Judges constitute a grade of judicial officers peculiar to the Bombay State. The advantage of having five classes of officers including Judges of Courts of Small Causes is difficult to appreciate. As the Assistant Judges do alreast exactly the same work as District Judges, we feel that they should be designated Additional District Judges and given the same pay.
- 15. In the rules for the guidance of subordinate courts detailed instructions are given to the subordinate courts for prompt and efficient despatch of judicial business in the appellate and original courts. Instructions have been given to deal with the problem of frequent adjournments sought by pleaders to suit their own or their clients' convenience. The High Court has deprecated this practice and had also directed that no adjournment should ordinarily be granted on the ground that one of the pleaders is engaged in some other court. Further, presiding officers have been directed to be very strict in the matter of granting adjournments even if it has the effect

of making them unpopular with the lawyers or the litigants. The judges are expected to make it clear to the
lawyers who take up more work than they can cope with
that the court will not delay hearings to salt their convenience. The judges are also directed to control the
examination-in-chief, cross-examination and re-examination of witnesses and to check the tendency to prove and
over-prove irrelevant allegations so as to prevent much
time being wasted in recording unessential particulars to
which no reference can usefully be made in arguments.
The rules leave to the presiding officer a good deal of discrotion for arranging his judicial business with the object
of obtaining prompt and effectual disposal.

16. The Judge has to maintain a diary or index of the proceedings called the 'Roznama'. The object of this 'Roznama' is to show in a concise form the steps taken in each suit or proceeding with the dates of taking such steps. In other words it is a history of the suit or proceeding from the date of its institution till the date of disposal. It is drawn up so as to show all the detailed happenings in the case at one view and yet be as concise as possible. It is kept from day to day by the sheristedor or clerk in attendance on the Judge and the daily entries are, in all cases, signed by the Judge or the clerk of the Court. The following is an illustration of the manner in which this case history is maintained.

#### Ruznama

The Court of the Civil Judge of	in the District of
---------------------------------	--------------------

Decesion which the soji or more lanence matter exercis become the Court for my princeduct. No. of Suit No of 19 exhito wd. Plaintiff to ws. evd Detendant Cairn Rs.

L

J

Date to which the suit is adjournal

2mf June, 1958

3 Before Shri A. B., Civil Jurige,

.. ... .

Plaint presented by Plaincitt's Vakil Shei C. D. (or "plaintiff" or "plaintht's Mukhryon" as the case may be) examined and regiscond.

Plainsiff's Vakcispacra.

Plaintiff's list of docuping prestness and others to be produced, together with one promissory note produced.

Case adjourned for segrement of issues to

2nd July, 1458.

(Sd.)

4

3

۵

7

4th June, 1958

ı

Summans to defendant (34)

**™**qd July, 1958

Vakil Shri E. F. uppears for the defendant and applies under Order XI. ralls iz, for an order airecting the glathrill to make discovery on eath of documents in his pessession or power relating to rite power telating to rite points in sur. The plantiffs wakil. Sho C. D. is present in Court.

Defendant's Vakilpatis.

Defundant's application to the above effect.

Only directing plaintful to make address; within to domestic as to domestic in the date of the order.

(Sd.)

17. In addition to the Roznama all Judges including District and Sessions Judges have also to keep memoranda looks relating to the work pending before them from day to day and showing the progress of each proceeding on a particular date in cestain prescribed forms. These books show at a grance the daily cause list of the Judge and also show what progress was made in each proceeding on any given day.

18 The High Court has not laid down any time limit for the delivery of judgments either in civil or in criminal matters, but the rules provide that judgments should be promptly written and delivered. We would auggest the framing of such a rule, and the submission of returns to ensure compliance with it on the lines suggested by us in the chapter on the Supervision of Subordinate Courts

19. The efficiency of the subordinate judicial officers is judged by the Unstrict Judge and the High Court. The High Court has prescribed certain forms of returns for submission to the higher authorities from month to months. The Civil Judges have to submit returns of original civil work to the District Judge under whose jurisdiction they are stationed not later than the 5th of every month. The District Judge sends to the High Court not later than the 15th of every month a general return of original civil

work for all the courts in the district and also a return. of appellate civil work turned out during the previous month. In addition to the monthly returns all Civil Judges are required to submit to the District Judge. quarterly returns of cases pending in their courts in which proceedings have been stayed by proce of a superior court or cases in which there are no orders of stay of proceedings. but the records have been called for in revision against interlocutory orders. District Judges have to submit to-the High Court by the 15th April and 15th October of. every year a statement of such cases from their districts not being special jurisdiction cases in Courts of Civil Judges. Senior Division pending decision or orders in the High Court and the Civil Judges, Senior Division are also required to submit by the same dates a similar statement in respect of special jurisdiction suits. The object of these returns is obviously to draw the attention of the High Court to proceedings which have been stayed under orders of that Cour; so that they may be expedited. The aforementioned returns are intended to give a proper idea to the District Judge and to the High Court regarding the adequacy or otherwise of the disposals of the officers serving under them. These returns are scrutinised by the High Court which keeps a watch over the position of the file and over the individual disposal of different Judges. If the disposals of a particular officer are low, he is given a warning, if they are adequate no action is taken and if the disposals are high and show that the officer has worked hard, approbation is expressed of the work put in by him. By these methods the High Court keeps the subordinate courts alort. The District Judges keep confidential notes about the quality of judgments of Civil Judges which come up before them in appeal and on the basis of such notes they are required to submit annual confidential reports. The High Court Judges also record confidential memoranda regarding the quality of judgments coming up. before them at the time of the disposal of appeals,

^{20.} As regards superintendence and controt, the subordinate courts are inspected by the District Judges who also-examine the monthly returns submitted by the Civil Judges and Magistrates. Under Section 9 of the Bombay-Civil Courts Act. 1869, the District Judge has general control over all civil courts in his district and over their establishments and in exercise of that control the District Judges are expected to inspect or cause to be inspected by their Assistant Judges every court subordinate to them and less than once in two years.

^{21.} The rules contain detailed instructions as to the points to be noted at the time of these inspections. The report to be submitted by the District Judge to the High Court after the inspection of a court is generally in the following form:—

### Inspection Report

Name of the Court Inspected	Duration of inspection	No. of suite exa- mined	No. of Darkh examined	austs No. of Misoel- laneous pro- coolings	State of Ale }	Remurks about aftulaistration by the Civil Yadge	Important points to the brought to the notice of the litige Court, if any
ι	2	3	4	5	5	7	8
,	· · · · · · —		, -	/			

The District Judge also periodically convenes a judicial conference of all the judicial officers in the district at which common problems are discussed and ideas exchanged.

- 22. Each District Judge is required to submit to the High Court a confidential report on all Assistant Judges and Civit Judges serving under him on the following organisms:—
  - (1) On March 31st each year, on all Assistant Judges and Civil Judges then serving toder him.
  - (2) On his own transfer from the district, on all Assistant Judget and Civil Judges then serving in that district, and
  - (3) On the transfer of any Assistant Judge or Civil Judge serving under him, on that individual Assistant Judge or Civil Judge.
- 23 These confidential reports are intended to be of assistance to the High Court who may not have the same opportunity of observing the work and capacity of the Civil Judges as is enjoyed by the District Judge, in considering all marters relating to the discipline and promotion of the subordinate judiciary. The reports are required to contain the considered opinion of the District Judge lounded on a real knowledge of the work of the Civil Judge based not merely on appeals coming before him but also on a scrutiny of cases and proceedings which do not come in appeal and also on the Civil Judge's methods of work and administrative capacity. There however appears to be further room for improving the methods of supervision. We have already suggested the laying down of a timelimit for the delivery of judgments. The compilation and publication in the administration reports of the number of pending suits classified according to the year of institution will serve a useful purpose. Again the annual administration reports give the average duration of suits in the courts of civil judges generally. This is apt to give a mislesoing impression, and it would appear to be desirable to give the figures for the courts of senior judges, who exercise in limited jurisdiction and of junior judges who correspond to munsify separately. More detailed explanation for the pending old suits may also be obtained.
- 24. In every court there are generally two superior ministerial officers, namely, a Clerk of the Court and the Nazir. The Clerk of the Court is the chief ministerial officer for the purpose of the court work and the Nazir, the chief ministerial officer for the purpose of execution work, service of processes and accounts.
- 25. The work of service of summonses, notices and orders and the preparation of processes for execution of decrees and orders is commonly entrusted to a class of process servers who are called "Bailitis". The methods adopted for apportioning and supervising the duties of the

Bailiffs vary from district to district. The Nazir is directly responsible for supervision over the work of the Bailiffs. Il is his duty to see that the processes given to the Bailiffs are accurately drawn up and the proper address of the party on whom service is to be effected given and that the Balliff is given all papers to be sent with the process. The work done by the Balliffs is scrutinized, and cheesed by frequent and regular inspection of documents such as the Hailiff's "Kamgiri Book", his diaries and the Pairol Book kept in the office of the village officers of the villages visited by the Bailiffs. The diary to be kept by the Bailiff gives such particulars as the villages visited, and number of processes given for service in each village, the number of processes served, manner of service, reasons for nonservice, number of miles travelled daily, the names of the villages in which the Village Officer's Patrol Book is signed by the Bailiff and the Village Officer or any other well-known person in the village. The Patrol Book which is kept at the village Office 's place contains columns for a guature of the process server, the dates of arrival and departure at and from the village, a summary of work done and the name of the village to be visited next. It is further provided that whenever a Bailiff visits a village he should ascertain from the Patrol Book the name of the Balliff who had visited it immediately before him and the date of his visit and should make a note of the same in his diary. These notes are intended to further assist the Nazir in checking the work of the Bailiffs from time to time and to ascertain the correctness of the diaries of the other Bailiffs.

26. In this State the separation of the Judiciary from the Executive was effected by the Separation of Judicial and Executive Functions Act (XXIII of 1951). The separation was actually brought on from 1st July, 1953. Before the separation the magistracy was subordinate to the District Magistrate who in his capacity as the Collector was the executive head of the district and also the head of the police. After the separation the District Magistrate exercises no control over the Judicial Magistrates who have been made administratively subordinate to the Sessions Judge and the High Court.

27. The Magistrates fall into two classes (1) Executive Magistrates and (2) Judicial Magistrates. Their respective powers have been given in the Third Schedule to the Code of Criminal Procedure as amended in Bombay by the Separation Act. The District Magistrates, Subdivisional Magistrates and Tabika Magistrates are in the category of Executive Magistrates. The Judicial Magistrates, consist of Magistrates of First, Second and Third Class, but it was decided that there need not be any second or feired class, Vagistrates and that all Judicial Magistrates should be of the first class.

28. Government has issued the necessary notification under Article 237 of the Constitution to enable the High

Court to exercise the same control over Judicial Magistrates as over the Civil Judges under Article 235.

- 29. The High Court has not prescribed any time limit for delivery of judgments by criminal courts though the courts are directed to hear the arguments and deliver judgments as soon as possible after the evidence is recorded. We are of the opinion that such time limits should be prescribed and enforced.
- 30. After the separation of the Judiciary from the Executive, the Judicial Magistrates are subordinate to the Sessions Judges who have a general control over all Judicial Magistrates' courts in the district and their establishments. The system of control is largely similar to that which is used in the case of civil courts. The Sessions Judges inspect or cause to be inspected by Additional Sessions Judges every court subordinate to them as far as possible not less than once in two years. At each inspection over and above the work of noting deficiencies, clear instructing are required to be given for the guidance of the Judicial Magistrates and members of the establishment concerned. At the end of the inspection the Sessions Judge has to circulate to all subordinate courts the points: of importance. The Sessions Judges are also directed to examine in full detail the records of several pending cases and proceedings taken from the file of a Judicial Magistrate's court. In order to understand the Magistrate's methods and to draw his attention to the instances of failure, to take full advantage of the facilities given by the Criminal Procedure Code for expediting the course of the trial, a report of the inspection is submitted to the High Court in the following form:-
  - (1) Name of Court.
  - (2) Duration of inspection.
  - (3) Number of cases examined.
  - (4) Number of miscellaneous proceedings.
  - (5) State of file.
  - (6) Remarks about administration by the Magistrate.
  - (7) Important points to be brought to the notice of the High Court, if any.
- 31. The rules also contain instructions in detail about the points on which attention is to be focussed during the inspection of the Magistrates' courts.

Since expedition is of the ulmost importance in criminal cases, a closer control, and more frequent check on the work of the judicial magistrates appear to be necessary. If cases in Magistrates' courts are to be disposed of within two months of the apprehension of the accused as suggested by us blennial inspection would appear to be inadequate. There should be annual inspections of magistrates

courts as in other States and it seems that the calendar statement system can be introduced with advantage

32. No serious delays occur in the disposal of criminal cases in the courts of session in this State. As an illustration the following statement is given to show the institution, disposal and average duration of criminal work in the Poona District for the years 1953, 1954 and 1955.

—			–
Year	Instituțion	Disposat	Average daration
··· · ••			
	Ses	rions Cases	
7953	139	158	62 <b>days</b>
19:4	5.2 <b>8</b>	C <b>4</b> 4	75 days
1955	125	F13	45 days
	Crimiz	ol Appeals	
1953	427	47.5	58 d <b>ays</b>
1954	397	374	39 d <b>ay</b> s
1985	430	438	)2 d <b>ay</b> a
			<b></b> .

The same however cannot be said of the magistrates courts where there are pending a fair number of cases older than six months and some over a year. The position seems to be particularly had in the courts of the Presidency Magistrates where there seems to be heavy congestion. Counsel with experience of these courts complained of over-posting, frequent adjournments and even piecemeal heaving.

So far as magistrates courts in the mofussil pre-concerned stricter supervision on the lines suggested by us would appear to be necessary. In Greater Bombay apart from increasing the number of magistrates, the appointment of honorary magistrates who would relieve the regular magistrates of the large number of petty cases which take up much of their time would serve a useful purpose. The institution of a mobile court like the one functioning in Calcutta and Madras can be usefully introduced in the city.

33. Section 41(2) of the Bombay Pleaders Act (XVII of 1920) reads as under:—

"Where a pleader employed in any such proceeding is from indisposition or any other reasonable cause, unable to attend on such day or at the time when the proceeding is called on, he shall notify the same to the court and thereupon the proceeding shall be stayed for such time as the court may deem reasonable".

Though the High Court has time and again issued circulars directing the subordinate courts to be strict in granting adjournments, yet the above statutory provision frequently

nullifies the effect. We have been told that the pleaders not infrequently resort to the afore-mentioned provision when they want an adjournment of a case. "Indisposition" as a ground for adjournment has not been statutorily recognized in any other State in our country. We recommend the early repeal of this provision, which is capable of abuse.

- 34. Sessions cases are tried with the sid of jury at Greater Bombay only. We have already noticed the reports about its unsatisfactory working and suggested its appliation.
- 35. At present, the High Court is functioning with seventeen judges including the Chief Justice and three additional judges appointed for a period of one year. Some of the judges hold sittings at Nagour and Rajkot. The accompanying Tables show the work turned out by the High Court in its original and appellate jurisdiction during the three years 1954—56 and the number of proceedings pending on 1st January, 1957 according to their years of institution. It is evident from the figures given in the Tables (Table Nos. 1, 2 and 3) that the work in the High Court is under control. There is however an accumulation of first and second appeals, a fair number of the first appeals being five years old. The recent increase in the strength of the court should help in reducing their number.

This is the only High Court which does not give the average duration of first and second appeals in the High Court, in the annual administration reports though this used to be done previously. We trust that in this matter the Bombay High Court will fall into line with the other High Courts.

Table No. 1 Appellate Side

		1954			1955			1956		Pending
Nature of Protecting	Pending or the beginning	Institu-	Disposal	Pending at the beginning	Interna-	Disposal	Pending at the boriouin;	Taxtitu- tions	Disposal Is	Jan. 1957
Regulas First Appeals	2056	790	756	2010	gez	693	2368	691	1131	1928
Regular Scomd Appeals .	:125	1375	tota	1490	1596	(420	1656	1972	rsaj	1224
Appeals aguitust Orders	79	150	141	88	187	186	5≖	163	135	110
Letters Patent Appeals	44	83	73	54	96	8ti	64	. 6=	7.1	55
Write · · ·	46	687	512	191	855	ESI	145	1413	[184]	374
Review	ı	21	19	3	10	τά	а	23	16	10
Revision	708	2078	1/11	1075	2036	2055	1026	1854	2:44	746
Reference	. я	23	25	6	24	25	2	39	<b>2</b>	13
Missellancors, including Leave to appeal to Supreme Court	5 686	2096	2379	474	2096	2052	54 <u>i</u>	2171	1742	978
Criminal Appeals	129	1627	1539	197	1510	15.12	193	1492	r585	272
Criminal Revision	. 151	1520	1475	197	1573	:546	124	1590	1568	146
Cululitymorism cases	. 9	İ2	15		28	23	, 6	* 87	36	7
References under Sec. 307 Cr. P.C.	. 2	6	,	I	8	7		7	9	
Other references	. 20	141	118	43	112	136	19	121	715	25
Miscellancer.:							4.			

	Nature of Proceeding						Year of I	nstituti pa	п				
	Warder of Nuccepting	1916	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	7935	2956	Total
-	<del></del>		—		· ·								
	Appellare Side Givil												
T	Letters Stone Appeals									- 5	25	24	55
2	First Appends .	2			ι	t	33	200	238	411	391	444	1928
3	Second Appeals .						т	5	22	286	979	331	1225
4	Appeals from Orders .								ı	r	43	65	150
5	Revision (ledicions						1	3	7	28	150	458	746
6	Misc. Petitions												
	(a) Civil Applications							,	4	122	151	786	978
	(b) Special Civil Apples.							Δ	1	5	34	332	374
7	Others—												
	fa) Reference .											18	18
	(6) Reviews .											8	8

Table No. 2 Original Side

	1954			1955			1956		- Peedlag an
Pending a: die beginning	Insti- turiona	Disposal	Parting at the beginning	Insri- tutiona	Disposal	Pending at the beginning	Insti- retions	Disposal	Istjan. 19.57
			<u>.</u>					•	
14	96	31	19	24	25	15	56	3.5	25
50	104	99	55	88	98	45	76	70	51
8:2	157	250	133	297	179	149	204	255	85
						~			. 3
29	.58	45	42	53	28	39			<u> </u>
49	13	35	36	14	5	44	18	31	51
14	źš	35	7	42	37	1 24	57	55	24
2520	1382	1553	<b>#3</b> 49	igi	1063	1547	486	879	1484
<b>≟2</b>	21	19	24	2.Γ	17	28	139	23	2.4
	٠					• •			2
	1938	1338		1482	1226	256	1401	1280	377
	8; die heginning   14   50   8;   20   49   14   2520   52   5.	Pending Insti- torious heginning  12 96 30 104 81 157 29 58 49 23 14 26 2520 1382 22 27	Pending Institutions Disposal at the torions beginning Property of the propert	Pending at the beginning         Institutions         Disposal at the beginning           12         96         31         19           30         154         99         35           81         151         150         132           29         58         45         42           49         23         36         36           14         26         35         7           250         1382         1553         2349           22         27         19         24	Pending at the heginning         Institutions to the heginning         Disposal at the heginning         Pending at the heginning         Invrigations           12         96         21         19         24           30         104         99         55         88           81         151         250         132         297           29         58         45         42         53           49         13         36         36         14           14         28         35         7         42           1520         1382         1553         3349         561           22         21         19         24         21	Pending at the beginning         Institutions turtions         Disposal at the beginning         Institutions turtions         Disposal at the beginning         Institutions         Disposal at the beginning           12         96         21         19         24         25           30         104         99         55         88         98           81         151         250         122         297         179           29         58         45         42         53         58           49         13         36         36         14         5           14         28         35         7         42         37           1520         1382         1553         3349         561         1063           22         21         19         24         21         17	Pending at the heginning         Institutions the heginning         Disposal at the heginning         Institutions at the heginning         Disposal at the heginning         Pending at the heginning           12         96         31         19         24         25         18           30         154         99         55         88         98         45           8;         15;         250         122         297         170         140           29         58         45         42         55         58         39           49         13         36         36         14         5         44           14         28         35         7         42         37         22           1520         1382         1553         3349         561         1563         1547           22         21         19         24         21         17         28	Pending at the beginning         Institutions to feed in the beginning         Disposal at the beginning         Pending at the beginning         Institutions the beginning         Disposal at the beginning         Pending at the beginning         Institutions the beginning           12         96         31         19         24         25         15         36           30         154         99         55         88         98         45         76           81         151         130         132         297         170         149         204           29         58         42         42         55         58         39         72           49         13         36         36         14         5         44         18           14         28         35         7         42         57         12         57           1520         1382         1553         1349         161         1063         1547         486           122         27         19         24         21         17         28         19           10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10	Pending lattic heginning         Institutions turions         Disposal pending at the beginning         Invisions turions at the beginning         Disposal pending at the beginning         Pending at the beginning         Invisions at the beginning

Name of Proceeding .						Year	af Insrita						
Trainite of Friendling .	1944	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1-150	r) Şı	1952	1953	1954	т955	1956
Original Sules		2	т		 6	۰۰۰ ۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰	17	49	154	363	425	167	255
Теягавискаяту Strike											·	5	13
Appeals						٠			2		2	7	65
Land Acquisition Referen-											4	9	18
Parai Clucf Matrumenial Sults													15
Appeal to Supreme Court .												4	ŧū
Income Tox Relatences											5	9	43
Write								٠	2	ı	6	. a	83
Income Tax Applications .													39
Miscelluneous including res- tamegessy												156	22.1
Review													,

Tais it Na. 3 Involvency Jurisdiction

Nature of Proceeding		-954			1953			1056			
Attack & Proceeding	Cating at the gionsag	Isi. i- rioni	Disperel	Pending an the legiontrig	Institu- rions	Disposal	Pending 1: the beginning	Institu- tions	Disposal	Pending on 2-7-1957	
Insolveney politions	778	765	155	799	[6]	153	799	:35	:31	N03	
Insolvency notices	4:;	489	406	<b>i</b> 3	457	119	91	439	437	93	

TABLE No. 3-A

							Year	of Justi:.	Itiesi					
Natore of Proceeding	•	1944	-948	1546	7547	1948	1944	£950	1951	1942	1953	(954	1955	1936
				<del></del> -		<del></del> -			——-			<del></del>	- ··- <del>- ··-</del> ·	
Insulvency peririous		2	3	8	3	I:		15	13	ι8	29	62	96	138
Involvency parices														93
<del></del>														

36. The High Court of Bombay exercises ordinary originet civil jurisdiction over Greater Bombay as defined in the Greater Bombay Laws and the Bombay High Court (Declaration of Limits) Act, 1945, The High Court exercised till 1948 ordinary original civil jurisdiction in all matters irrespective of valuation except those cognizable by the Presidency Small Causes Court. In 1948 the City Civil Court was established by the Bombay City Civil Court Act, 1948 with jurisdiction to try suits valued upto Rs. 19,000; the jurisdiction of that court was raised to Rs. 25,000, with effect from 20th January, 1950. The City Civil Court is also the Court of Session for Greater Bombay. This court started functioning with four judges. Two more judges were appointed in 1951. In 1955 the strength of the judges was raised to nine which number continues till this date. The accompanying table (table No. 4) shows the number of suits instituted and disposed of in the City Civil Court from 1948 to the end of June, 1957 and the average disposal per day,

TARLE No. 4

S. No.	Уевс										Sujts insti- rated inclusive of transferred suits from the High Court	Suira dispused of	'fost number of judge days	Number of working days nor year on Givil Side	Average of Judges' sittings	Average disposal per day
	194A			,	,		,		,		902	156	62	56	172	<del></del>
2	1949		•				-				2325	1274	282	294	144	4
3	1950							-	-		2400	1564	317	216	<b>3.</b> 5	9
4	1951	,				•	,				#300	2385	609	190	3-2	. 4
5	1952			,		-	-	-			3558	2917	578	192	3	5
6	1953										2603	4516	492	196	2.2	5
7	1954					-					3000	2397	184	196	3	4
*	1955										3184	3242	<b>86</b> 3	193	4'5	4
9	1956				٠,					٠	2940	3090	<b>\$</b> 05	193	4.5	4
20	1957					-	-	٠			1552	1443	393	58	415	4
		Enc	ling J	une		-					25764	21099				

Nove. This cable does not include the average dispusal per day in respect of querers under Arbitration Act, Public Trust Act, Displaced Persons (Debit Adjustment) Act, etc. and the matters required to be dispused by a judge straing in Chambers under the rules of the Combay City Civil Court.

- 37. The Principal Judge of the City Civil Court, Bombay stated in his evidence that although there was a sudden rise in the institution of suits since 1951 there was no corresponding increase in the number of judges till 1955 and that the shortage of judges had resulted in accumulation of arrears. Whatever may be the reason for the accumulation there is no doubt that there are considerable arrears in the court and that steps should be taken to clear them, if necessary, by appointing temporary judges for a period.
- 38. The judicial business transacted by the Presidency Small Causes Court is given in the accompanying Table (Table No. 5). As will be seen from the above table the number of year old suits is high. The Chief Judge of the Presidency Small Causes Court, Bombay attributed the accumulation of arrears inter alia to shortage of judges.
- 39. He also drew our attention to certain defects in the rent control law. To quote him, "in day to day administration of the Rent Control Act, I have found very serious difficulties in the machinery of execution, especially in suits for eviction which have led to very bitter complaints from the landlords. In my opinion, they are absolutely justified... ..... The circumstances under which these delays arise are these. After a landlord files a suit for eviction, normally it takes a year and a year-and-half to obtain eviction. If it is a question of rent, it may be disposed of early, but if it is on the ground of personal requirements or purchase of the premises or tenancy or anything under section 13, then it takes a year and a year-and-half, before a suit is disposed of. mostly because there are many suits of this nature that have been filed. The real tribulations of the landlords begin when they seek to execute a decree. Invariably there is obstruction. Londlord has to take up an obstruction. notice and it takes some time before this notice reaches the destination because there are several such notices pending. It is our experience that 95 per cent, of the obstruction cases are bogus. They are probably done on the advice of the lawyers and there is no provision in the law by which you can say that obstruction should be removed. Sometimes this process goes on and it takes a landlord five to six years before he gets possession". We feel that the provisions of the Act should be examined and action taken to remedy the defects.
- 40. The accompanying Table (Table No. 6) shows the judicial business transacted by the District Courts (including Courts of Assistant Judges) and Civil Judges, Junior and Senior Division. It will appear from the figures furnished in the shove table that there is a considerable accumulation of year old suits in the courts of Civil Judges and these amount to very nearly a third of the total number of suits pending. It would appear to be necessary to appoint temporary civil judges for some time to dispose of long pending suits but in the absence of adequate data, we are unable to make any definite recommendation in this

TABLE No. 5

Presidency Small Gaure Court, Rombay

								Clvil Soits			Miscellaneous Cases & Petitions						
17					•	Pending	Institu-	Disposed	Balance		Pending	lovitu-	Disposes	Bu	lance		
Yeq:		et the beginning of the year				rsf	: rea	itea -		Over one year	ot the beginning of the year	t an		At the close of the year	Over paé year		
	_	I 2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	to	II					
1953		,	•	- :-		13048	19189	26355	15882	3857	771	5534	5399	906			
1954						15882	19772	20046	15608	5116	906	5581	5737	750			
1955						15608	20555	21236	14927	3656	750	5098	4891	1017	67		

							C	му <b>л Арра</b> н	H*	Civil Miscalismeous Appeals*						
<b>V</b>	Year	_	Pending of the	Institu-	Disposa	Disposal Balance		Pending	lasin- tivo	Disposal	Balance					
rear		ne yeps of the yeps	riua		Pending at the close of the year	Over ona year	beginning of the year			Pending at the close of the year	Over ima yeşt					
			12	13	14	15	16	17	18	T9	<b>3</b> n	21				
1953	<u> </u>		 			96	216	193	119	16	109	394	· 122	381	9	
1954						119	183	· 144	153	53	3\$1	478	207	592	129	
1955						7,58	141	125	174	46	593	497	856	233	144	

^{*}Relates to appeals under special cusciments.

							Civil Suit	E			Miscellaneous Civil Cases and Petitions						
		Year				Pending	Institu-	Disposal	Rálar	iće.	Pending	Iraticu-	Djeposal	Balance			
						at the begin- ning of the year	rdon	•	A: the close of the year	Over one year	ar the begin- ning of the year	CÍDO		At the elgre of the year	C ver one year		
		,				7	?	4	.5	5	7	8	9	το	: r		
			_						Dienict Ju	igas							
1953					-	1429	1975	2251	1153	341	2757	2235	2413	4579	450		
<b>2954</b>		٠.				1153	1708	1905	955	421	1642	<b>243</b> 5	3575	1504	4C2		
1955						956	1425	1682	699	194	1504	2672	2500	\$676	391		
									Civil Judges								
1953		٠.				49593	73677	73474	49796	13368	9930	15818	15650	10098	<b>ავ</b> გე		
1954		,				49796	76768	74925	51639	14112	10098	25922	15345	10675	2652		
1954				-		11639	74942	74846	51715	14350	10675	3 <del>655</del> 2	13854	13363	3482		

				Civil App				Çivil Mis	cellaneous Ap	poeks	
		Pending	Inedite-	Disposal	Валад	NCC	Pending	Tosticu-,	Disposal	Bela	ace
. Уем	: .	at the beginn- was of the year	tion.	•	At the close of the year	Astr. opq OAstr	- et the begini- ming of the year	Boon.		At the close of the year	Over poe year
		12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
		<del> · v=</del> ·			<u> </u>	District Ju	des				•
953		19127	3467	7803	10291	3344	5010	5822	5265	5966	1293
954		10591	7730	8421	9880	3028	5503	4305	4723	2082	1771
955		9880	<b>76</b> 98	8370	920B	2541	5085	<b>329</b> 5	4595	3685	1222
					C)	ioli <b>Jul</b> ga					
953			••	••	••	••	4-	••	••	••	••
954			• •	41	••	4.	••	4.1	••	• •	••
955				••		+1	••		••		**

behalf. The Civil Judges (Junior Division) who correspond to munsif elsewhere have jurisdiction to try regular suits upto Rs. 10,000 and small cause suits valued between Rs. 100 and Rs. 500 depending upon the length of their service. Similarly the civil judges, Senior Division who have unlimited pecuniary jurisdiction can try small cause suits valued between Rs. 500 and Rs. 1,500 depending upon the number of years of service put in by the officers. When even a newly appointed civil judge, Junior Division, can try original suits valued upto Rs. 10,000 it is illogical to restrict his small cause powers to suits valued only upto Rs. 100 to start with. We feel that the small cause powers conferred upon the civil judges, Junior and Senior Division, should be enhanced to Rs. 500 and Rs. 2,000 irrespective of the length of service of the officers.

41. There are quite a few places in the State where a number of courts are concentrated at one place. The following table shows the distribution of courts in the year 1953.

### Statement showing the strength of Judicial Officers as each Station

· ·	Number of Stations
ī	
Stations with one Civil Judge (J. D.) only	118
Stations with two Civil Judges (J. D.) only	26
Stations with three $\operatorname{Civ}(I)$ Judges $(J,D.)$ only	
Stations with four Givil Judges (J. D.) only $ \cdot $	. 1
Stations with one Civit. Judge (J. D.) and one Civit Judge (S.D.) only	5
Stations with two Civil Judges (j. D.) and one Civil Judge (S.D.) and	3
Stations with two Civil Junges (J. D.) and two Civil Judges (S.D.) andy	2
Starious with three Civil Judges (J. D.) and one Civil Judge (S.D.) only	4.
Spations with three Civil Judges (J. D.) and two Civil Judges (S.D.) andy	r
Startions with four Civil Judges (J. D.) and one Civil Judge (S.D.) and	ı
Statistics with four Civil Judges (J. D.) and two Civil Judges (S.D. ) only	;
Stations with five Cavil Judges (J. D) and four Civil Judges (S.D.) andy	2
Suctions with one Cavit Juage (§.D.) only $(-,-,-)$	10
[.D]union Division. S.DSenior Division.	

42. Although the location of a number of Courts in cities like Poons and Ahmedabad is unavoidable due to the large volume of litigation arising within these cities, there does appear to be scope for further decentralisation of courts in this State.

Very little progress has been made in this State in the direction of establishing and popularising village panchayat courts. It is necessary that early and cornest efforts should be made in this direction.

# 48.—KERALA

The State of Kerala was brought into existence by the States Reorganisation Act, 1956. It comprises what was previously the State of Travancore-Cochin less some areas transferred to the Madras State, the Malabar District of Madras, excluding the Laccadive and Minicoy islands and the Kasargod Taluq of the South Kanara District.

- 2. According to the census of 1951, the population of the enlarged State is 1,35,49,118 spread over an area of 15,035 Tenium square miles. This State ranks first in the country in population; respect of the density of population and literacy—the former being roughly 950 persons per square mile and the latter 40.88 per cent, of the population. We have been told that the people of this State are economically backward. As will be seen later, this is reflected by the fact that a large number of suits—roughly 87 per cent,—out of the total number that come up for adjudication before the regular civil courts are valued below Rs. 1,000.
- 3. Since the last four years, that is, from 1954, the High The High Court has been functioning with eight judges but for a fall Court in the number once or twice for short periods due to retire-wrough ment of judges and the like.

					_									-		
						I	954		195	5	·	1956		<del>-</del> . <u></u>		<del></del>
Suture of Proce	edia	×8			Pending at the begin- ning	Institu- tions	Dispose	Pending at the begin- sing	Inegicu- tions	Disposal	Pending at the begin- ning	Tnecicu- Lione	Roceived from Madras	ferred		Pending on set Jan- uncy 1957
ı					2	3	4	5	6	7	*	9	ļa	11	12	13
lageler First Appea	J6	-			1868	745	1142	7471	795	#18a	1086	769	304	172	764	1929
Regular Second App	eal.		-		2497	HII	1765	1843	1163	1507	1501	1154	159	204	1147	663
Appeals against orde	TP				143	258	284	117	274	251	140	223	73	12	349	175
Letters Petant or Sp	eçje	l app	exis					•					29	.,		29
₩zits		٠			142	719	261	100	460	250	301	573	106	13	432	535
leview . ,				-	32	40	34	38	74	63	49	60			57	52
Revision		-			785	600	680	205	709	703	211	749	245	22	657	526
Reference .					.18	25	16	27	26	16	37	21	7	5	8	43
Langa to appeal to S	upr	ente t	Cutart		18	32	43	7	63	64	6	79			60	25
Original Suits .			,		3	4	4	. 3		3						_
Allocellameous .					2329	3743	4977	1965	. 4101	4681	1385	3629	96	142	3587	т3 <b>8</b> 3
	—						<b>_</b>									

TABLE NO. 2

ST-MARK III ( Dec		_							Year	of inst	icution								
Nature of Pra	ecerain	) gr.			-	1943	1944	1945	τ946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1934	1955	1956
Regular First App	eels	٠.			<u> </u>	· ·				<u></u>	r 1	,	7	3	-12	85	172	333	578
Regular Second A	ըրջան						ī			5	6	5	1	6	21	16	248	608	1046
Appeals against of	tders	-													I	2	ş	48	116
Letters Patent or	Specie	I <b>A</b> բբ	real<									٠,			- 1	3	R)	4	3
Write								'						:	3	8	10	79	434
Revision .							c							2	:	3	27	74	418
Review			-		-									1	2		1	ĩ Z	37
Reference .			-														9	22	Τĺ
Original Sains								٠,,											
Mescellaneous	٠.															••		17	1394
		<b></b> .						— -						- —	<u> </u>		-	<u></u>	

Pendency,

4. The accompanying tables (Nos. 1 and 2) show at a glarce the state of the file and the details of proceedings pending on 1st January, 1957 according to the year of institution. Table No. 3 shows the number of certain classes of proceedings received by transfer from Madras (excluding those that awaited admission before transfer) classified according to the years of their institution in the High Court, Madras.

TABLE	No.	
10,474	A 410,41	-

Nature of Proceeding	1944	1949	1950	1915.1	1942	1933	1954	1555	1956
Нівт Арревія	- 1	:	6	2	37	68	ĤГ	62	42
Second Appests		`.	г	ń	19	IG	15%	20¢	rđi
W1555				٠,	2	3	I	73	24
Lotters Pairing Augusta					,	3	: 8	4	3

- 5. A perusal of these Tables would show that the work in the High Court is well under control and that the recent increase in the number of pending proceedings is due to the transfer of a number of old cases from the Madras High Court
- 6. It was thought at one time that the recent enhancement of the civil appellate jurisdiction of district judges to Rs. 7,500 would result in a fall in the number of first appeals to the High Court and enable the court to clear off the arrears. However, the Kerala High Court has, in a recent case, decided that the amendment does not apply to suits instituted before the Kerala Civil Courts Act was enacted, i.e., 1957. On this interpretation, as the High Court itself stated in delivering judgment, the provisions raising the appellate jurisdiction of the district judge will remain "a dead letter for some years to come". We would suggest that the law be smended so as to enable all appeals in suits below the value of Rs. 7,500 to be heard by the district judge irrespective of the date on which they were instituted.

Enhancement of the powers of a single judge. 7. It appears to us that the powers of a Judge of the High Court sitting singly should be enlarged so as to enable birn to dispose of all second appeals irrespective of valuation. Under Sub-section (4) (C) of Section 20 of the Travancore-Cochit High Court Act (V of 1125) the power extends only to "every appeal valued at one thousand rupces or less from an appellate degree and every appeal from an appellate order where the subject-matter of the original suit is valued at one thousand rupces or less".

¹Chiana Kunjopanju v. Kurty Neckkantsh A I R. 1958 Kerula, p. 251.

Similarly the proviso to clause (iv) of sub-section. (4) A of Section 20 of the High Court Act provides:

"that a single Judge shall not have the power to dispose of an application to implead the representative of a deceased appellant or respondent, if it is opposed, in which case the application shall be heard and decided by the Bench competent to hear the case".

These restrictions are surprising as a District Judge in Kerala has jurisdiction to hear appeals up to Rs. 7,500 and a single judge of the High Court can and does dispose of petitions under Article 228, which involve complicated questions of law.

In our view these restrictions should be removed and the powers of a single judge enhanced to that now obtaining in Madras. If this is done it will enable the court to clear off the old cases which have been transferred to it from Madras and bring its file up to date.

- The jurisdiction of the Kerals High Court to entertain a second appeal is wider than that of other High Courts by reason of the Travancore-Cochin Act XVII of 1951 which inserted in sub-section 1 of Section 100 C.P.C. the following -clause:
  - "(d) The finding of the lower appellate Court on any question of fact material to the right decision of the case on the merits being in conflict with the finding of the Count of first instance on such question."

We were told that this provision had developed a tendency Second in the presiding officers of first appellate Courts to affirm spellate the decisions of the trial Courts.

11. Although the state of work in the High Court is The under control and there are no appreciable arrears, the sabordinac same cannot be said of the subordinate courts. The state courts, of affairs in these courts is truly deplorable. Twenty-five district and sessions judges worked during the years 1953-54 and 1954-55 and twenty-two in 1955-56 including additional district and sessions Judges. The disposal of work of these officers is shown in the following Table:-

						I ABLE P	10. 4						
		<del></del>	Clvii Sui	its	S:	roell Caruse	Sulte		Civil App	eala	Civil Mi	scellagemu8	Appeals
Уезт	1	oral for dis- ceal	Dispres B ed of	alunce	Total for dispo- sal	Disposed of	Belance	Preal for dis- posal	Disposed of	Halance	Total for dis- posal	Disposed of	Balance
	———		3			. 6	7	8	9	10		12	13
953-54		7742	2256	5486	20	, s.	12	19539	5145	8144	2236	1912	975
954-55		7350	2308	5042	32		я	13332	5571	766)	2171	1192	979
933-56 :		7045	2333	4713		14		72947	<b>58</b> 56	- <del> </del>	2095	II43	952
Your		Nes:	inng Cases			Celminol App		—— Crimbre	 (  pevisions		Cases	under Ac T, P. C	ta other tha
2.041	Tol far dispo		Disposed of	Balance	Total for disposal	Disposed of	Talance	Total for disposal	Disposed	Balance	Total for disposs	Disposed of	Belance
<del></del>	3		3	4	5	6	7	s		ın		12	13
1953-54		315	255	fiD	34	n 284	56	12,5	go	33	1313	r3.ta	: -
									<b>0</b> T	66	1742	1739	. 7

Your	See	ssinang Casses		. 0	elminol App	2[45c	Crimina	[ pevisions		Cases	onder Acts T, P. C	a other than
1041	Total for disposal	Disposed of	Balgore	Total for disposal	Disposed of	Talance	Total for disposal	Disposed of	Balance	Total for dispossi	Disposed of	Belance
••••		3	4	5	6	7	s		ID	-1-1-1	12	13
953-54	315	255	ři D	34	284	20	125	go	35	1313	r312	:
954-55	<b>3</b> 81	ROE	73	355	256	97	147	Ėı	66	1742	1735	7
1956-55	512	370	162			157	240	T4T	99	1595	1588	3

12. It cannot be said that there is an inadequacy of judicial officers. Nevertheless, as is evident from the figures given in the above table, the disposals of these officers are low. From a perusal of the figures given in the following Table, it will appear that the number of old suits and appeals is extraordinarily large. Over twenty per cent. of the pending suits are over five years old and about forty per cent. of the civil appeals more than a year old and a substantial number have been pending for over two years. The need for the disposal of proceedings within a reasonable time does not seem to be present to the minds of the judicial officers concurred. A sense of urgency or a desire for expedition would seem to be totally absent.

TABLE NO. 5

Nature of Proceeding	Year	Berween 1 & 2 years	Between 2 & 3 years	Between 3 & 4 years		Above 5 year
Regular Suits .	1959-54	1078	954	669	417	1121
	1954-55	354	782	646	488	1069
	1955-56	862	579	522	422	1058
Regular Appeals	1953-54	2088	1200	521	170	:64
	1954-55	3035	700%	599	203	223
	3985-56	2515	771	366	150	97

Norm.—In 1955-56 some appeals were transferred to the subordinate judges  $\xi$  bence the sudden full.

 It was authoritatively stated by those in a position to know the true position that the delay in the disposal of proceedings in district courts was due to the fact that work. is concentrated in the hands of a few lawyers—four or five—and that the judicial officers usually accommodate the advocates by granting adjournments freely. It was "Nobody wants to become unpopular, Even remarked: High Court Judges do not want to become unpopular. How can the district judges do that?" Although some judges attributed the delays in courts to lawyers, it was conceded that if the presiding officers were strict in granting adjournments, much of the delay caused in the subordinate courts could be avoided. We were informed that the recent establishment and decentralisation of subordinate judges courts was intended among other things to combat the delays arising from the concentration of work in the hands of a few advocates and that this measure has had a fair measure of success. Further, steps may be taken in this direction. It is also necessary that judges should learn to face some temporary unpopularity in the interests of

expedition and long range efficiency. Once the subordinate judicial officers realise that laxity in granting adjournments will draw unfavourable comment from the High Court and that firmness will be encouraged and supported, there is bound to be greater despatch in the disposal of civil work. In view of the heavy arrears it will also be necessary to put some senior officers exclusively for the disposal of old suits, and relieve them of all current work.

14. The number of subordinate judges' courts was increased from one to three on 1st November, 1954, and to six on the 18th August, 1955. The following Table shows the disposal of work by these courts.

				No.	G	isii .Sult	31		Smell	Catta#	Suits		Ch	vil App	81E	
٠	Year	т		Officers	Total for	Dis-	Bal	<b>ADC</b> U	Total for	Dis- posed	£	Ічасе	Total for	Dia-	Нам	- T
			Ī		dis- pusal	αf	Below one year	Above one year	dis- possi	of.	Below one year	Above one year	dis- poval	poecul of	Below one year	Above one year
		1	 •••	2	3	4	<i>;</i>	6	-7	Ĥ	,	10	11	52	13	T4
1953-5	4			5	716	493	145	77	245	313	.10	3			.,	
1954-5	\$			3	932	595	134	203	194	τ <b>6</b> 2	21	I				
1955-5	6			6	1410	813	.336	<b>26</b> 5	192	170	21	1	1499	750	265	474



15. The Table set down below shows the number of suits pending over one year and the period for which they had been pending:

TABLE No. 7

			Pending	- ~/	
Yew	Between I & 2 years	Berweel 2 & 3 years	Berween 3 & 4 Years	Between 4 & 5 years	Above 5 years
	 v	—ı— <u>r</u>		<u></u>	
1953-54	<b>2</b> 1	. 15	3	1	38
<b>1954•3</b> 5	 48	42	žī	16	73
1955-56	39	3H	33	30	125
	 _				

Pobot-Jimure Judges courts.

16. There is considerable concestion in the subordinate Judges' Courts; the average duration of suits disposed of after full trial is high-801 days in the year 1955-56. Obviously, this is due to a large number of old suffs received by transfer from the district courts for disposal. As is evident from the figures given in table No. ? the number of pending old suits is substantially high and warrants the immediate appointment of subordinate Judges for a term zo dispose them. All the original suits pending in the district courts other than those exclusively triable by district Judges should be transferred to these officers for disposal. The number of suits valued above Rs. 5,000 is not large as will be seen from the figures given in table No. 8 below. It should, therefore, be possible for the existing number of subardinate judges to keep page with the institutions. They may be called upon to dispose of a few sessions cases also by being invested with the powers of Assistant Sessions Judges.

Muuriss' courts. 17. Courts presided over by Munsifs are the next lower grade of courts and have jurisdiction to try suits valued upto Rs. 5,000 under the provisions of the Kerala Act (1 of 1957). The following Table shows the number of suits instituted in the regular civil courts of the State during the three financial years 1954-56, 1965-56 and 1956-57, classified according to value.

TABLE No. 8.

Yes	Ar	 No. of strict of value nor exceeding Rs. moo/-	exceeding	No. of suits of the value of Rs. 2000/- and not exceeding Rs. 5,000/-	And above	No. of suits of the value of which cannot be ustimated in money
7953-54		335 <b>8</b> <	2769	uliac	F29	2.Ru
4954-55		37561	2826	7991	506	339
4955-56		36777	3438	1839	337	179

^{18.} It is evident from the above Table that roughly 98.5 per cent, of the suits instituted are those cognisable by Munsifs.

19. A quantitative analysis of the disposal of work by Muhsifs, during the three financial years 1953-54, 1954-55 and 1955-56, given in the following Table will enable us to assess the state of the files in these courts.

Tassus No. 9

			Civil Sui		Small Cause Suira					
Year	No. et Officers	Total for disposal	Disposal	Rolance	Total for disposal	Disposal	Balance			
1953-54	65	54557	21619	34538	15871	12532	20]3 ——			
1944-48	55	41499	30272	4522-	14667	00005	3361			
1055-36	53	58549	23555	$304\mathrm{fg}$	14838	1 1737	2914			

²⁰ The following Table sets out the number of original and small cause softs remaining pending at the close of the years 1953-54, 1954-55 and 1956-56 and the periods for which show have been pending.

Tab. 10, 10

				P.n	ing.		-
Warting is: _grosphotogy Vian		Below ode yes:	Botwood & 2 years	Botween 2 & 3 years	Botwerg 3 & 4 3 /ars	Between = 80.5 years	above gears
Original Suju	1953-54 1952-55 1957-56	11794 12731 12109	6794 5467 5468	36-19	3910 3146 2501	1576 1738 2739	4888 4176 1987
Small Classe Suits.	1952-54 1954-55 1955-56	2747 3063 3782	34,3 2)(8 344	140 47 71		. 2	14 17 14
							_

- 21. It is most regrettable that the number of year olds suits should be more than sixty per cent, of the total pending suits. In fact, we were told that in the Travancore part of the State a suit was treated as 'old' only if it was pending for over tive years. The munsifs who gave evidence before the Commission stated frankly that they "were paying more attention" to suits pending, over five years. This state of affairs can only be attributed to the rules which prescribe a minimum monthly disposal of work, forty contested original suits per munsif. This has not unnaturally led the judges to concentrate on the lighter and simpler suits and systematically evade the heavier ones. This state of affairs can be set right only if all year old suits are treated as old and explanations for the pendency of every one of them obtained.
- 22. The following Table shows the average disposal of work of each munsil based on the institution, disposal and pendency of regular and small rause suits for the three financial years preceding 1956-57.

#### TABLE NO. 12

Re	gulor Soin		Small Cause Suits							
Average available for alspease	Average disposal	Ascusge pendency	Average available for disposal	Average diapeaal	Average					
115510	55417	480-3	276-5	215.3	61.4 —— ··—					

- 23. It will be seen that the number of regular suits on, the files of the officers are beyond the officers' capacity for-disposal during a year. The annual average disposal of proceedings is fairly high and it may be said that these officers are saddled with heavier work than what they can carry. Therefore, it appears necessary to increase the number of mansifs until the pending old suits, which are likely to be heavy and seriously contested are disposed of.
- 24. The average duration of original suits disposed of by Munsifs after full trial during the three years 1953-54, 1954-55 and 1955-56 was 856, 747 and 731 days respectively. The reason for this is not far to seek. The larger the volume of work posted per day, the greater will be the average duration. Almost all the Munsifs have a pending file of about 900 to 1000 suits. They have to post roughly forty to fifty suits per day in order that each of the pending suits may find a place in the cause list at least once a month. In view of the rules prescribing minimum monthly disposal, they have to dispose of about two-original suits or a number of other matters which can be equated to two original suits or theresbouts so that they

may reach the prescribed minimum of forty contested original suits per month or four hundred such suits a year. Therefore, the presiding officers (in their anxiety to dispose of at least the minimum number) are inclined to take up only light suits keeping the heavier ones in coldistorage. Concentration on old suits will also tend to increase the average duration, and an increase due to this reason is not necessarily to be deprecated.

- 25. The afore-mentioned state of affairs obtained before the enhancement of the pecuniary jurisdiction of Munsifs to Rs. 5000.
- 26. The advantages of this enhancement of the peruniary jurisdiction of munsifs can be realised only if the officers are able to dispose of proceedings that are brought to trial before toem expeditiously and satisfactorily. As is apparent from the figures shown in Table No. 10 the number of suits pending over one year has assumed such large proportions that, unless prompt action is taken, it will become well-nigh impossible to bring down the number of pending suits. It, therefore, appears to be essential to appoint temporary officers to dispose of the enormous number of old suits. Unless this is done and arrears are brought under control, a stage may be reached when there may be a break-down in the judicial machinery. It may also be necessary to increase in the number of suits instituted in these courts of Munsifs by reason of the enhanced preuniary jurisdiction conferred upon them recently.
- 27. We have already noticed the untoward consequence of an accumulation of heavy old suits flowing from the rule laying down a quantitative minimum of disposals. The disposal of suits in each cours depends upon several factors like the nature of the litigation, the calibre of the presiding officer, the acumen of the Bar and so forth. It is, therefore, unreasonable to fix the same quantitative standard for all courts of a particular class. We think that these standards have been fixed somewhat arbitrarily. It would be better to fix the standard of disposal for each court separately and to insist un cases being normally taken up in the chronological order. Any tendency to depart from this procedure with a view to showing larger quantitative disposals should be definitely discouraged.
- 28. The pay scales of judicial officers in this State have recently been revised with effect from 1st April 1958. District and Additional District Judges are on the scale of Rs. 850—1300, Subordinate Judges on the scale of Rs. 500—200, while the scale of Munsils is Rs. 300—500.

It is a matter of regret that in the process of revision the starting salary of District and Sessions Judges has been reduced. The present scales of pay of a District

Judge are lower than those of a Collector who draws pay on the senior scale of the Indian Administrative Service-i.e. 800—1890. We feel that this disparity in pay scales should not continue.

29. Travancore-Cochin was one of the lew States in, which Subordinate Judges were directly recruited from the Bar and such appointments were being frequently made. Although no direct recruitment from the Bar to the cadre of Subordinate Judges has been made since reorganization of the State, we understand that it is proposed to continue the practice of direct recruitment at this level. We have elsewhere expressed the opinion that such direct recruitment is inadvisable and in our view conditions in Kerala are not such as to justify a departure from this rule.

Panchayar Courte.

30. At the base of the administration of Civil Justice. are courts which may be called the Village Panchayat Courts. Prior to the integration of the Travancore and Cochin States these courts were constituted under the statutes enacted by the respective States. The Registrar of Village Courts, Cochin, who gave evidence before us. stated that the courts in the Cochin region (about the working of which alone he had knowledge) were functioning satisfactorily and added that out of an approximate number of 4000 cases decided by these courts every year only about forty or fifty would go in revision. In 1954, an Act was passed defining the set-up, jurisdiction and powers. of the Village Courts in the entire Travancore-Corbin These courts are not Panchayat Courts in the sense in which the expression is used, for example, in Uttar Pradesh. They appear to be Benches manned by laymen having the power of courts of lower grade Munsifs, as it were, with small and limited jurisdiction, these courts have powers to execute their decrees including the power to detain the judgment-debtors in civil prison. This is the only State in the entire country which permits legal practitioners to appear before these courts; the feepayable to the legal practitioner has been fixed at a maximum of Rs. 3 per case.

Separation of the judiciary.

31. The judiciary in the State has been separated from the executive by administrative orders. The Magras-pattern of separation is followed by this State. The Criminal Procedure Code (Act V of 1998) as amended by Act (XXVI of 1955) was amended by Kerals Act (V of 1957) whereby the appellate powers of the District Magistrates as they existed prior to the coming into force of the said Act (XXVI of 1955) were restored. Appeals against the decisions of second and third class Magistrates, therefore, lie to the District Magistrates.

Calabia Cause— Base of Weds. 32. The accompanying Table (No. 12) shows the disposal of work in different classes of criminal courts in the State during the year 1855-56.

TABLE No. 12

			St	usjons (	22985		С	rimlas	<b>!</b> Арр	ngis.		Cri	อบ่อสเ	Revisio	ap
Designation of officers	No. of Officers	Pon- ding	Issa ritu-	Dis- posula	Belance		Pen- dire	Insti-	Dis- possi	Bal	<b>а</b> псе	Tng- Lilu-	Dia- posal	Balance	
		at the hogio- ning of the year	tien.	provis		one year	st the bagin-			Below ane y≃r	Over rote year	tian		Below Ove one one year year	
· 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Б	9	10	11	, T=	13	14	ιΞ	16
listrica & Sessions Judgos acu AddL Sessions Judges	9-13	73	439	370	142	.,	97	د62	4C2	:57		174	I∆I	99	<u>.</u>
intrict Magistrates .	- 4						29	93 T	285	75		264	307	35	
ub-Divisional Magistrares and Floor Class Magistrares	. In  -25						118	r84	299	3					
cont.d Class Magistrates .	. 32														

Cases under I. P. C.

### Other Ctack

Acading at the segimming of the year	โกรษ์เมษิยม	Disposal	Rejunce		Pending	r	Olement	Bolance		
		1-112[	Below one year	Over one year	of the position of the positio	Costinuțion	Disposat	Below one year	dus kom Özer	
17	T.S.	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	25	
						 [589	2588	н		
2	252	199	55							
*23510	33084	37564	17012	3018	1312	1047	1970	789		
•17134	32916	36766	12121	1163						

^{*2.} Second Class Magistrates' Courts were converted into Pirst Class Magistrates' Courts; beane the difference in the pendency

The position in the criminal courts is also far from satisfactory. There are a large number of year old cases and case properties have not been disposed of in some courts for years. Piccemeal hearings of cases and absence of witness is far too common. There is great scope for improvement in this connection.

33. Closely connected with the problem of delays in Superthe subordinate courts is the insufficiency of supervision vision, exercised by the district judges and the High Court over the subordinate judiciary. No administrative powers to deal with the Munsifs or subordinate judges have been delegated to the district judges; they seem to be merely the agents of the High Court for collection of information in tabular statements for transmission to the High Court, The district and sessions judges are not looked upon as officers on whom rests the responsibility for the efficient administration of justice in the districts. Though they inspect the courts subordinate to them usually once a year they merely send their inspection reports to the High Court without commenting upon the work turned out by the subordinate judicial officers. At the time we visited Kersla all postings including that of inferior and last grade staff scemed to be done only by the High Court. the District Judges being powerless in this regard. Greater delegation of powers and responsibility to the District Judge appears to be necessary.

It is true that each Judge of the High Court has been placed in administrative charge of one or two districts. but scruting of the periodical returns received from the districts and supervision not so detailed and rigorous as it may well be. There is undoubtedly scope for greater supervision over both the civil and criminal judiciary. We were told that the "Calendar Statement System" whose working has been explained in the chapter on supervision has been dispensed with. The advantages of this system need not be reiterated here. It should be revived. What effective supervision can do in this regard is demonstrated by the fact that the conditions in the Kanya Kumari, District of Madras, which originally formed part of Travancore have undergone a marked improvement after the re-introduction of the system of submission of calendar statements and intensified supervision.

34. It was stated in the evidence before us that the inadequacy of prosecuting officers occasioned delays and an examination of the order sheets in some criminal cases reveals that the complaint is justifled.

We also learnt that in this State the budget provision Processing for each criminal court for payment of bata to witnesses agrees and is limited—and that once it is exhausted witnesses who witnesses. attend court are not paid any allowances. This state of affairs should be immediately set right by suitable administrative measures.

Reporting of climes.

- 35. Delays in the investigation of crime are also said to arise from a defective system of reporting of offences, which obtains in the State except the areas which were originally part of Madras. The revenue villages which are fairly big both in size and population are in charge of viliage officers who are not beedmen in the usual sense of the term. Reports of crimes are not made by the village officers and even the obligation cast on them by Section 45 of the Criminal Procedure Code seems to be ignored. Information of crime has to be carried by the person interested to the police station; there are normally only two station-houses for each taluq. The officer-in-charge of a police out-post is not authorised to register a case and he does not even receive or record any report made to him. He merely refers the complainant to the police station concurred after making an entry in a general diary. In the case of heinous crimes, however, he goes to the scene of the offence and awaits the arrival of the police officials.
- 36. The need for establishing a better reporting agency in each village should be examined and the proper performance by village officers of their duties under Section 45 of the Criminal Procedure Code should be insisted on.

Légal aid.

37. This State has the distinction of being the only one in India having an organised system of Legal Aid. Details of the scheme will be found in Appendix III to our chapter on Legal Aid.

# 49.—MADHYA PRADESH

1. As a result of the recent reorganisation of States, General, far reaching territorial changes have taken place in the former State of Madiova Pracesh. The new State is composed of the former Madhya Bharat, (excluding the Sunel enclave of Mandsaur District) Bhopel and Vindhya Pradesh States, together with 17 Hindi Districts of the former Madhya Pradesh called Mahakeshal and Siron division of Kotah district of Raissthan. Nagpur, which was originally the capital of the old Madhya Pradesh State, together with other Marathi speaking Districts, called Vidarbha has been transferred to the Bombay State. The new State stretches from the Casmbal in the North to the Godavari in the South and with an area of 1,71,300 square miles and is the second largest State in the new map of India. The population of the State is 2,60,71,637. It now consists of 43 districts, which are grouped into 7 Adnunistrative Divisions.

2. The new State is passing through a transitional Transitional phase. It is faced with several complicated problems phase. arising from the integration of various regions each of which prior to the reorganization was governed by distinct acts of laws administered by different States, one of which was a Part A State, another a Part B State and two Part C States. Different laws relating to the same matter were in operation in thuse regions. The new State has therefore to establish a uniform pattern of sound and efficlent governmental machinery in the executive, and judicial fields, enact a common set of laws applicable to the entire State, and integrate the services of the different regions. Considering the pre-existing differences in the various administrative units, these problems are not easy to solve. Even in the field of the administration of justice, there is considerable dissimilarity in the set-up of courts, their jurisdiction, the pay-scales of judicial officers, the extent of powers they can exercise and the laws they administer in different regions. When we visited the State in November 1957, we were told that a special officer had been appointed to work out a plan to expedite the process of integration in the judicial field which was expected to be completed within about a year's time.

On account of the changes in the composition of the State, our difficulties in attempting an appraisal of judicial work in the State have been considerable. Much of the statistical information that we received pertained to the old State of Madhya Pradesh, all of which is now out of date and has little relevance to the changed condition

in the new State. It has therefore not been possible for us to assess accurately the true picture of the position that now obtains in the new State

Coastiturion of the High Coart of Madhya Pradesh.

3. Before the reorganization, the High Court of Madhya Pradesh, then called the High Court of Nagpur was located at Nagpur. This court was established by Letters Patent in January 1936. Prior to that, there was a Court of the Judicial Commissioner in the Central Provinces established under the Central Provinces and Oudh Act XIV of 1965. After the coming into force of the Constitution, a High Court was established for Madhya Bharat and Courts of Judicial Commissioners were constituted for Bhopal and Vindhya Pradesh States. With the emergence of the new State of Madhya Pradesh, the High Court of Madhya Bharat and the Courts of Judicial Commissioners for Bhopel and Vindhya Pradesh have been abolished and a new High Court, called the High Court of Madhya Pradesh, has been constituted for the entire State. As Nagpur has been transferred to Bombay State, the seat of the High Court is Jabalpur and that of the State Government Bhopal.

Strongth,

4. Before the reorganization, the former High Court of Nagyur had ten judges, including the Chief Justice. The Madhya Bharat High Court was composed of 6 judges including the Chief Justice. Bhopal and Vindhya Pradesh had one Judicial Commissioner each. The sanctioned strength of the new High Court is 15, 13 permanent judges and two temporary judges. But the actual strength of the court at present is 12 judges, two of whom have been appointed recently.

Bengijes.

5. The new High Court, however, does not function as a single unit. While the principal seat of the Court is at Japaipur, two temporary Benches have been established at Gwalior and Indore. These Benches are the survivals of the former Madhya Bharat High Court, which functioned in two divisions one at Indore and the other at Gwalior. Under a notification of the High Court, the earlier territorial jurisdiction of these Benches has been preserved, and in addition, the Indore Bench deals with the cases arising in the former territories of Bhopal while the Gwalior Bench deals with the cases arising in the Siron; Sub-Division of Kotah in the old State of Rajasthan. The Court at Jabalpur deals with the cases arising in Mahakoshal and Vindhya Pradesh.

Seat of the High Court.

6. The principal reason for the division of the High Court into three Benches appears to be largely political; and designed to satisfy the conflicting regional claims of the constituent units. The splitting up of the High Court has created numerous practical difficulties. It was pointed out that the distribution of judges between three different places caused considerable wastage of judge-power.

which greatly affected the despatch of the judicial business of the Court. All judges did not get a chance sitting in Full Benches or of hearing important cases. While constituting a Full Bench, the Chief Justice unable to utilise the services of the most suitable judges. for the determination of the case in question as they are not all available at the same centre. Apart from thesedisadvantages, with the division of the Bar at three places, it has not been possible to have a strong Bar and a wellequipped library at each of these three places.

While the evidence given before us largely favoured the location of the High Court at one place, naturally enough divergent views were expressed with regard to the place where it should be located. It was urged by some that the High Court should be located at the capital, as in most States. It was also stated that considerable delays occurred in the disposal of writ matters to which the Government was a party, as the seat of the Government being at a different place, it took a great deal of time for the Government Pleaders to consult the administrative departments and file affidavits in the Court. We have already expressed ourselves against the establishment and continuance of Benches. The location of the High Court is of secondary importance. What is vital is that the High Court should be located only in one place-and that centre should be speedily and finally determined. Delay in reaching a decision makes for uncertainty and serves to create and strengthen vested interests which will subsequently render the establishment of a unified High Court difficult.

 Though no specific instances were brought to our Difficulties. notice, it was represented to us that petitions under arising Article 226 in which the Government of Madhya Pradesh interpretawas a party and which were transferred to the High rion of Court of Bombay under the certificate of the Chief Justice Attlele of the present High Court of Madhya Pradesh under Sec-226. tion 54 of the States Reorganization Act, were dismissed on the ground that the present Madhya Pradesh Government being outside its territorial jurisdiction, the Bombay High Court could not issue write to the Madhya Pradeah Government. The States Reorganization Act does not appear to provide any remedy for such matters, nor has the Chief Justice of Madhya Pradesh power under the Act to call back such cases and decide them according to law. This has occasioned considerable hardship. it is now too late to devise measures to remedy it, this state of affairs emphasises the need for a clarification of the position with regard to the jurisdiction of the High Court, under Article 225 to which we have drawn attention earlier.

 Table No. 1 below shows the civil and criminal Statu of the! work done by the High Court from 1950 to the 30th Octo- file. ber, 1957. The figures relating to the years 1951 to 1956

(30th October, 1956) relate to the old High Court of Nagpur. The figures pertaining to the new High Court relate only to the period of 12 months, from 1st November, 1956 to 1st October, 1957. Table No. 2 shows the year of institution of proceedings pending on 1st January, 1957 in the new High Court.

Table No. 1
Statement showing Girl And Criminal work done by the High Court of Madhya. Predeth during the years 1951—1957.

Yeu		6)40	:95:	:953	1954	1955	1956 upto 30-10-56	Pro	1956 um 1-11-5 (+12-36	6 to	1957 upta 30-	
No, of Justice		<u></u> .	n	19		10	10	Jur	ladore	GWL	Total	
Pürst Apposh against Decrees			:8a :14 :9;9	(58 514 1033	187 ±24 1017	:98 209 :000	299 117 509 (784)	56 13 547	14 9 195	5 2 8S	75 34 825	122 231 716
Segond Appeals against Decrees	is P	924 94 ⁹ 29 ⁹ 1	974 810 5148	904 1153 3396	842 1038 2740	106a 871 2890	966 746 1323 (2129)	134 38 1471	81 20 661	56 19 242	253 77 2395	664 960 2279
Appeals against Orders	] ]; [	 r35 272 114	252 357 345	203 265 754	203 237 220	272 229 263	215 227 —115 (283)	33 12 136	18 9 149	 6 2 34	57 23 319	272 - 141 400
Letters Fater: Appeals	D 1	29 32 104	40 49 705	65 41 129	117 59 187	466 98 255	217 125 347 (360)	30 18 359	.:- A	 	30 18 372	100 312 160
Civil Revisions	Ω	950 101: 434	817 774 473	957 897 531	982 933 560	1040 1098 502	907 833 235 (713)	64 38 361	173 116 464	37 17 91	274 171 816	\$88 726 978
Writ Pengeras	Ι Γε 1³	270 22£ 253	223 216 260	278 305 233	534 473 236	577 407 408	546 435 217 (350)	47 30 234	45 15 112	5 47	93 50 393	280 227 452
Cyliminal Appeals	р Б Р.	N.A. N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A. N.A.	N-A. N-A.	348 377 138	407 409 143	376 320 147 (322)	. 79 26 200	72 58 197	15 22 75	166 106 332	552 552 422

.

	Your	1	1951	1957	1953	1954	1955	1956 upre 10-10-56	Prom	1956 1-11-56 () -14-56	•	1957 V <b>rt</b> o 30-6	
No.	of Judges		9	9	10	· 19	 :ŋ		JBL	[adjore	GWL	To;2l	
टनकांकर	Revision	₹ 17: 17	N.A. N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A. 370	935 784 421	900 920 401	767 688 250 (400)	Ron C2 Rop	70 34 131	41 46 35	219 145 474	792 866 392
(a)	D- Diana	inl											
(è)	D- Dispo P-Pender J&L - Johi GWL-C The figure: shows was constituted On I-JI-66, the	sol toy, belgote, walker, wa in branke I. riojlowing m				asserted to	the Ellg	s confiser of cases h Caust of Bombay		g on 1414	·55, who	ים לבו אכז	у осшп
(è)	D- Dispo P-Pende, JSL - John GWLC The figure; show the constitutes On I-11-56, the	sol tey. belpote. walker, wa in bracke l. following or Appeals				usserted to 571	The Ellg	s continer of cases h Cauci of Bombar		g on test	.55, wbe	ade no	у юсшп
(è)	D-Dispo P-Peade, JSL-Johi GWLC The figure; shows was constituted On I-1I-96, the (1) First (2) Seens	sol. tey. Delpote. walter, wan in bracke I. Tollowing m Appeals	umber of p			asserted to 579 178	the Ellg 9 7			g om tal	· 55, wbe	a dae ster	v ocur
(è)	D-Dispo P-Peade, JSL-Johi GWLC The figure; shows was constituted On I-1I-96, the (1) First (2) Seens	sol. tey. Delpote. walter, wan in bracke I. Tollowing m Appeals	umber of p			usserted to 571	rhe Ellg 9 7			يداوه و	·55, wbo	eathe sten	у осшг
(è)	D- Dispo P-Pecde, JSL - John GWL - C The figure: showes constituted On 1-11-56, the (1) First (2) Second (3) Appea	sol. toy. beigote. walter, wa in branke i. following in Appeals is against Os a Patent. Alp	umber of p			usserted to 57' 178' 136 26' 34	orbe Ellg 9 7 6 0 1			င္ က႑းျပား	·55, wbo	ea obe sten	у осшг
(è)	D- Dispo P-Peade, JS1 - John GWLC The figures show was constituted On I-JI-96, the (1) First (2) Second (3) Appead (4) Leder (5) CJS - Write (6) Write	sol toy, belgote, walter, wa in branke i, following m Appeals d Appeals le against Or Petern, Appeals Peternions Petitlens	umber of p contents			osšertadi to 57: 178: 136: 26: 34: 30:	orbe Ellg 9 7 6 0 1 1 2			g om 1421	·55, wbo	eathe sten	у осшг
(è)	D- Dispo P-Peade, JSL - John GWLC The figure; show was constituted On I-71-96, the '1) Forms (2) Second (3) Appead (4) Leuer (5) Civil (6) West (7) Colon	sol. toy. belgote. walter, wa in branke i. following in Appeals le against Or a Patent. App Revisiona	umber of p calcus confis confis			usserted to 57' 178' 136 26' 34	) The E[[g 9 7 6 0 1 2 2			g om 1421	·şū, wbe	eathe sten	v ocus

	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	Тоы
First Appeals		5	ż	39	59	97	£30	126	145	241	825
Second Appeals			I	44	237	300	258	203	489	779	2305
Appeals against Order			I		3	9	10	31	207	158	315
Letters Patent or Special Appeals	4.				1	I	33	<b>t</b> o	90	167	372
Write		• • •				•		12	76	299	393
Civil Revisings .						13	16	30	8 r	676	BIE
Criminal Appeals		-		٠			s	19	- F	330	382
Criminal Revisions								ţ	15	257	278

Adequacy of strength.

9. At the time of the reorganization, 6568 matters were pending in the old High Court of Nagpur, out of which 3524 matters were transferred to the High Court of Bomnay. With the abolition of the High Court of Madhya Bharat and the Judicial Commissioners' courts for Bhopal and Vindhyo Pradesh, 2513 matters pending in these courts were transferred to the new High Court, thus bringing the total pending matters in the High Court to 5857, with which the new Court started on 1st November, 1956. During the period of one year from 1st November, 1956 to 30th October, 1957, a total of 5657 monters were instituted. The disposal during this period was 5315, with the result that at the end of the period of twelve months, the total of pending matters in the High Court rose to 6309, as against 5857 with which it had started. The strength of the High Court during this period was 10 judges. It is still two early to estimate the volume fucure institutions, but taking the institutions during this period of twelve months as average, it is evident, that with a strength of ten judges it will not be possible for the High Court to cope with the current institutions. The average disposal per judge works out at about 520 cases per year as against 850 in the High Court of Allahabad. This low average disposal is apparently due to the wastage of judge-power arising out of the High Court working at three different places and the difficulties arising as a consequence of the shifting of the High Court to a new location. Whatever may be the reason on the basis of current disposals it would appear that at least twelve to thirteen judges would be necessary to cope with the current institotions. It was said that one more Judge would be necessary to deal with special matters like Election petitions Some more judges would be necessary to deal with the accumulated arrears. An additional judge or two for a short period seem to be necessary. The situation may be watched for some time and if necessary, temporary judges may be appointed to clear off the accears.

Cangestion of First and Second Appeals.

10. It will appear from Table Nos, 1 and 2 that there is a great deal of congestion of First and Second Appeals which have been pending in the Court for many years. At the current rate of disposal of First and Second Appeals it would appear, that two to three years will be necessary to dispose of the existing accumulation of First and Second Appeals. We have not been able to ascertain the average duration of the First and Second Appeals that were disposed of by the High Court during the years 1956 to 1957, but it may be noticed that in the old High Court of Nagnur, the average duration of First and Second Appeals in 1954 was 2503 days (i.e. about 6 years and 10 months) and 1604 days (i.e. about 4 years and 5 months) respectively. Special efforts are therefore necessary to bring about a quick disposal of these classes of proceedings.

The appellate jurisdiction of District Judges in the Mahakoshal area was raised to Rs. 10,000 in 1956 but this

change was not given retrospective effect. If such retrospective effect is given throughout the entire state—and is made applicable even to suits instituted prior to amendment, it should give substantial relief to the High Court.

- 14. Under the High Court rules, a judge sitting singly powers of can hour all Second Appeals, all appeals against orders Single and revision applications irrespondive of value, original lodge. appeals except those in which a sentence of death or of transportation for life has been passed and all writ matters except that of honeas corpus. These powers are ample and are generally in line with the recommendations made by us in an earlier Chapter. In view of the large numher of pending First Appeals and the enhancement of the appellate jurisdiction of the district judges to Rs. 10,000 a single judge may also be empowered to hear First Appeals below that value.
- In the High Court, reserved judgments are not Judgment. read out in open court. Instead, a rule has been framed to the effect that when a typed script of a judgment or order is prepared and is ready for signature, it is to be laid on the table of the Court Reader and can be inspected by parties and Counsel appearing in the case. The judgment is then signed at the close of the sitting of the court on the date following that on which the judgment or order is placed on the Reader's table. At any time before signature, a party to the case or its Counsel may appear and ask for the correction of elerical mistakes and Omissions.
- 13. The constitution of subordinate courts is governed Set up of by different Acts in different regions. The existing selections up of the courts being the legacy of the old States is Courts rather heterogeneous. Thus in the Mahakoshal area, there Mahakoshal. are three grades of courts, namely, the courts of District and Sessions Judges, Additional District and Sessions Judges and Civil Judges. This is a peculiar feature of this State. It was introduced in 1956. Prior to that, as in most other States, the Courts below the Additional District Judges, were composed of two grades. Civil Judges Class II, with jurisdiction up to Rs. 5000 and Civil Judges Class I, with jurisdiction up to Rs. 10,000. Now Civil Judges exercise original jurisdiction up to Rs. 10,000 and are invested with small cause jurisdiction up to Rs. 500. Only the District and Additional District Judges exercise unlimited pecuniary jurisdiction. They have small cause jurisdiction up to Rs. 1000 and hear all appeals from civil judges i.e. up to Rs. 10,000, try sessions cases and hear criminal appeals.

In the Madhya Bharat region, the subordinate judiciary Mathya is divided into District and Segmons Judges, Civil Judges Bharn First Class and Civil Judges Second Class. The Civil

Bhopal and Vindhya Pradesh,

Judges Second Class correspond to the Munsils in other States and exercise pocuniary jurisdiction up to Rs. 3000. Civil Judges Class I have jurisdiction up to Rs. 10,000 and District Judges have unlimited pecuniary jurisdiction. In the Bhopal and Vindhya Pradesh regions, there are Munsil-Magistrates, whose civil jurisdiction extends to Rs. 1000 and they try criminal cases as First Class Magistrates. Subordinate Judges have pecuniary jurisdiction ranging between Rs. 1000 to Rs. 10,000 and District and Additional District Judges exercise jurisdiction above Rs. 10,000.

It would be seen that there is considerable difference in the jurisdiction exercised by various courts in different regions of the State. In order to evolve a uniform set up of courts, the Legislative Assembly has recently passed a new Act called the Machya Pradeth Civil Courts Act, 1958 seeking to integrate the subordinate judiciary in the State. This legislation is awaiting the President's assent. Under this Art, it is proposed to establish, as in other States, four classes of courts, namely, the courts of the District Judge the Additional District Judge, the Civil Judge Class J and the Civil Judge Class II. The Civil Judge Class if will exercise original jurisdiction up to Rs. 2000 and small cause jurisdiction up to Rs. 200. The Judge Class 1, will exercise original jurisdiction up to Rs. 10,000 and small cause jurisdiction up to Rs. 500. Additional District Judge and the District Judge will have unlimited pecuniary parisdiction. The District Judge will have appellate jurisdiction up to Rs. 10,000. The existing civil judges will be classified into Civil Judges Class I and Class II. Thus Munsifs in Vindhya Pradesh and Bhopal and Civil Judges Class II, in Madhya Bharat will be deemed to be Civil Judges Class II under the new Act, and Civil Judges of Makakoshal area, Civil Judges Class I of Madhya Bharat and Sphotdinate Judges of Bhopal will he decimed to be Civil Judges Class I. The pattern of courts contemplated under this Act is generally in line with our recommendations, except for the pecuaiary jurisdiction of Civil Judges Class I, which we think should he unlimited. It would also appear to be desirable to empower the High Court to invest Civil Judges Class II with jurisdiction upto Rs. 5,000.

Judicial ( divisions) 14. Prior to the reorganization, twenty two districts of the old State were divided into 11 judicial districts. After the reorganisation, the number of judicial districts has been increased to 20, 7 in the Mahakoshal region, 10 in the old Madhya Bharat, 2 in Vindhya Pradesh and one in Bhopal.

Steengele.

15. Before reorganization, the old State of Madhya Pradesh had a strength of 14 District Judges, 41 Additional District Judges and 55 Civil Judges. The strength of the Subtredinate judiciary has, after the reorganization, been

almost doubled. Thus in the new State, the strength of District and Sessions Judges is 28-10 in Mahakoshal, 10 in Madhys Bharat, 2 in Vindhya Pradesh and 1 in Bhopal. There are 58 Additional District, and Sessions Judges among whom are included Civil Judges of old Madhya Prodesh and the Civil Judges of Madhya Bharat who exercise the powers of Additional District and Sessions Judges. Of these 58 officers, 28 are for Mahakoshal region, 21 for Madhya Bharat, 7 for Vindhya Pradesh and 2 for Bhopal. The number of Civil Judges, Grade H. which includes Civil Judges Class I and H of Madhya Bharat and Subordinate Judges of Bhopal is 192, of whom 50 are allocated to Mahakoshal, 122 to Madhya Bharat and 10 to Bhopsi. In addition to these officers, there are 30 Mupsif-Magistrates in Vindaya Prodesh and 7 in Bhonal, This brings the total number of the judicial officers in the new State to 300 as against 150 in the old State.

16. In old Madhya Fradesh, the Subordinate Judicial Recont-Service was reorganised in 1955 when the new recruitment ment. rules came into force. According to the new scheme, kodel, there were three distinct cadres of judicial officers namely, District Judges, Additional District Judges and Civil Judges. This corresponds to the present set-up of Sub-ordinate Courts in Mahakoshal. This organization of the subordinate judiciary is somewhat different from that obtaining in the other States, where the subordinate judiciary is divided into two principal classes, the Higher Judicial Service consisting of District Judges and Additional District Judges and the Lower Subordinate Judi-ctory consisting of Subordinate Judges or Civil Judges Senior Division and Munsifs or Civil Judges Junior Division.

Recruitment to the posts of District Judges is made only by promotion of suitable Additional District Judges. The Additional District Judges are, however, recruited either by promotion of Civil Judges or by District recruitment from the Bar. The number of direct recruits, however, is not to exceed one-fourth of the total number of Additional District Judges. The requisite qualifications for direct recruitment are, seven years' practice as the Bar and age not above 40 years. The selection is made by the High Court Judges who interview the applicants. The Civil Judges on the other hand, are selected by the Public Service Commission and the Governor is empowered to nominate a judge of the High Court to be associated with the Public Scrvice Commission at the time of interviewing the candidates. We understand that all the last selection held in 1956, the Chief Justice himself sat with the Commission as a nominee of the High Court, when 24 candidates were selected out of 766 applicants for 16 vacancies. The requisite qualifications for recruitment as Civil Judges are, a degree in law with 8 years practice at

Madhya Bharat the Her and the age of 25 to 30 years. The rules provide for training being given for a period of one year to Additional District Junges recruited directly, but it is understood that such training was not in fact given.

In the old Madhya Bharat, the judicial service was composed of two cadres, namely, District and Sessions Judges and Cryil Judges. The District and Sessions Judges were recruited either by direct permitment from among the members of the Bar or by the promotion of Civil Judges. The Civil Judges were, however, recruited by a competitive examination with written papers followed by a nion code test, conducted by the Public Service Commission. This mode of recruitment is not now in vogue because the Madbya Bharat Judicial Service Rules, 1951 are no longer operative.

Pay scalos. 17. There is a considerable disparity in the pay scales of the various grades of judicial officers in the different regions. Thus the District and Sessions Judges in the Mahakoshat and Vindhyo Pradesh regions are in the pay scale of Rs. 800—50—1,000—60—1,300—50—1,800, which corresponds to the senior 1.A.S. scale. In Madhya Bharat, the District and Sessions Judges are in the grade of Rs. 800—40—1,000—50—1,200; but some of them are in the selection grade of Rs. 1,230—50—1,500. In Bhopal, however, a District and Sessions Judge is in the scale of Rs. 500—30—590—EB—30—770—40—850, being perhaps the lowest in the country for this class of judicial officers.

Additional District and Sessions Judges in Mahakushal are in the scale of Rs. 800-50-850. In the Madhya Bharat region they are in the scale of Rs. 250 to 750, plus special pay of Rs. 100 per month. In Vindhya Pradesh their scale is Rs. 500 to 750. In Bhopal they are in the same scale as that of District and Sessions Judges referred to The pay scale of Civil Judges in the Mabakoshal Rs. 250-250-25-400-EB-20-600-EBregion : 25-750. In the Madhya Bharat region they are in the same scale of pay as Additional District and Sessions Judges, out they do not get any special pay. Munsif-Magistrates in Vindhya Pradesh are in the scale of Rs. 250 to 500 and in Bhonal they are in the scale of Rs. Thus there are wide disparities in the poy 200 to 375. scales of judicial officers. When we visited Jabalpur we were told that efforts were being made to integrate and reorganise the judicial service by harmonising the diverse pay scales and fixing the seniority of judicial officers in unified cadres.

Work in Subordiwat Cours. 18: We have not been able to obtain information about the state of the files in the subordinate courts after the reorganization. Although we have some figures relating to the old Madinya Pracesh and also regarding the former Madhya Shanet region it; has not been possible to consoli-

date them so as to form a correct picture of the position. in the new State as a whole.

We would however like to draw attention to the fact that in parts of what was Madhya Bharat there is an acute shortage of officers. We were fold that in Ratiam for example there was only one judge to cope with a file-which required at least three officers and that in the District Court there were civil appeals over five years old and that representations to the authorities had no effect. We trust that this and similar situations will be speedily remedied.

From the earlier administration reports of the Nagpur High Court, it is evident that there was a downward trend in the institution of suits. Thus while in 1951, the total number of suits instituted in the Subordinate Courts was 53,856, the number had declined to 42,268 in 1955. distinct fall in the institutions was attributed mainly to the functioning of the Nysya Panchayats and the enactment of the Madhya Pradesh Abolition of Proprietary Rights Act, 1950. A large volume of the litigation was of small value, about 90 per cent, of the total proceeding being of a value below Rs. 1,000 and about 98 per cent. below Rs. 5,000,

In the course of evidence at Jubalpur and from the figures pertaining to carlier years, it became evident that the work in the Civil Courts of Old Madhya Produch was generally up to date. Thus in 1954, the average duration of a suit disposed of after full trial in the court of Civil Judge Grade II was only 336 days, as against 747 days in Kerala, 565 days in U.P., 559 days in Bombay, 561 days in Orisso and 504 days in Bihar. The average duration in Madhya Pradesh was the lowest in India during this year. In the Subordinate Courts there were not to be found heavy arrears as in the other States.

19. An important reason for the state of the file in the Effective old Madhya Fradesh Civil Courts being definitely better supervision. than in the other Stales was the strict and effective supervision exercised by the District Judges and the High Court over the subordinate courts. We were told that the District Judges took a great deal of personal interest in the problems of subordinate courts and established personal contacts with the judicial officers, discussed with them their difficulties and gave them guidance from time to time. The personal element plays a decisive part in making the work of supervision effective. We have dealt with this aspect of the matter and the methods adopted in old Madhya Pradezh in earlier chapters.

20. A peculiar feature about Madhya Pradesh is that Distribution all suits in a judicial district are instituted in the District of Judicial Court. The Courts of Civil Judges and the Additional District Judges have territorial jurisdiction throughout

the District and the suits are transferred to them in accordance with the instructions of the District Judge who distributes the suits among them according to the seniority and experience of the judicial officer concerned. This however does not mean that a litigant has to come all the way to the headquarters of the judicial district to file a sult, but he can do so in the nearest civil court, which receives it as the agent of the District Court and passes it on to the appropriate court for trial in accordance with the standing orders of the District Judge. In this way, distribution of the work in the entire judicial division is directly controlled by the District Judge, who is always in a position to assess the quantum of work pending before every presiding officer subordinate to him.

Link tystem of courts.

21. On account of inadequacy of judicial personnel, the High Court of Madhya Pradesh was obliged to establish the link-system of Courts, a sort of circuit system in the lower courts. This system has been in vogue for several years. What is done is, that whenever the file of a court is heavy, that court is linked with another court, with a comparatively lighter file and the judge of the latter court camps at the seat of the former and disposes of suits pending in that court. The principal object of this system is to afford timely relief to a court with a heavy file. In this way courts are frequently grouped and regrouped with a view to expediting the disposal of cases. This system is not however satisfactory as considerable judicial time is wasted in travelling and day to day hearing often becomes difficult.

Evidence Black.

22. A special feature about the system of trial of civil suits in this State is, the nature of the arrangements made to ensure that the hearing of a civil suit generally takes place from day to day. A certain number of days in a month, say 10 or 15, are earmarked for dealing exclusively with cases that are ready for final hearing. This period is called the "Evidence Block". During these days no other work is taken up and the entire period is devoted to the recording of evidence in the cases. When a suit is ready for final hearing, it is set down in the "Evidence Block" according to its furn. Once it is taken up it is heard continuously until the evidence of all the witnesses, who are present, is recorded. Rules framed by the High Court insist that once a witness is called and he appears, he must be examined and discharged. In the case of witnesses who are not present, ordinarily no adjournment of the case is allowed. But if a party insists upon an adjournment for this purpose he is required to satisfy the court that witness is not present for a valid reason; he must also furnish the court with a memorandum of what the witness will state. The witness is when called strictly confined to the memorandum and is not allowed to travel beyond though he may be cross-examined in regard to matters outside it. The trial is adjourned only after all the witnesses

who are present have been examined and discharged. The principal advantage of this system is, that there are no piecemeal hearings, adjournments are infrequent and witnesses are soldom sent away on the ground that the court is busy doing something else. The litigard is not harassed by having to appear every fortnight or every month as in some other States. We have earlier quoted a former Chief Justice of this State on the working and merits of this system. This State has long had an envisible record for the expeditious trial of suits in subordinate courts. This earned the commendation of the Rankin Committee,

We trust that this tradition of expeditiousness will extend from the Mahakoshal area to the whole of Madhya." Pradesh as reconstituted.

Another special feature is that subordinate appellate courts are enjoined to make full use of the provisions of Order XLI Rule 11 and dispose of appeals even at the stage of admission. Delay in delivering judgments is controlled by a salutary rule that the arguments have to be heard and judgments delivered within fourteen days of the close of evidence and explanations for delay are not accupted casfly,

23. There is a complete separation of the Indiciary from Separation the executive in the Madhya Bharat. Vindhya Pradesh and of judiciary Bhopal regions of the new State of Madhya Pradesh. In and executive, into rive, the Madhya Bharat region, the magistracy is divided into five, two classes, namely, the judicial magistrates and the execu-Bharat. tive magistrates; the latter deal with cases arising under Criminal the Criminal Procedure Code. Judicial Magistrates function Court. under the administrative control of the High Court. In the Shepal regions of Bhopal and Vindhya Pradesh, the civil and magis- and terial work is done by the same judicial officer called the Vindhys "Munsif-Magistrate". These officers are also under the Padesh. administrative control of the High Court. In the Maha-Makakashat. koshal region, however, there is no separation of the judiciary from the executive. We were told that a proposal for separation came up for consideration before the Government in 1950, but it was decided not to introduce complete separation at that time. It was later decided to divide the scheme into several stages and only the first stage of the scheme has been implemented in that in some districts judicial officers doing criminal work have been separated from the executive officers. They continue to be controlled by the District Magistrales. We were, however, told that the Government was contemplating the introduction of a scheme for complete separation on the same pattern as in Madhya Bharat. It was stoted that the powers of District Magistrate were to be conferred on the Additional District Judge who would function as so Additional District Magistrate within the framework of the Criminal Procedure

Large Number of acquittab.

24. There was general comment in this State on the large number of acquittals in criminal cases. We find from administration report for the year 1952 that the percentage, of acquittals in cases in the Court of session was 563 and in appeals to the High Court 17.5. It was stated that the high percentage of acquittals in such cases was mainly due to faulty investigation by the Police.

Delays in Criticada] CRECS.

25. In marked contrast to the civil courts the working of the imagisfrates courts is characterised, by delays, an accumulation of old leases, frequent adjournments and piecempal hearing. At the end of 1956, about 20,000 cases under I.P.C. were pending in the magisterial courts, of which about 3000 were more than one year old. The average duration of a crim ual case (including cases under minor enactments) in Magistrates court was 48-2 days. We have not been able to appreciate the real causes of such a state of affairs. If private parties are able to produce their witnesses on the specified date in a civil case and the civit junges are able to examine the witnesses present, and hear the case from day to day there is no reason why the State as prosecutor should not show the same diligence as ordinary litigants, and magistrates work as methodically as civil judges.

Recently rules have been framed empowering the District and Sessions Judges to inspect the Courts of Magistrates, but this by itself is not sufficient. The utility of an inspection by an officer who has no administrative control over the offices whose work is inspected is limited.

A possible reason for these delays may be the combination of judicial and executive duties in the same officer. The irregular calls of executive work which takes precedence over court work is in a great measure responsible for piecemeal hearings. But this cannot be the only reason for delays which must in a large measure be attributable to the indifference of the executive magistrates to their court work and the neglect of the duties of supervision of the magisterial courts by the district magistrates and other superior executive authorities.

The speedy separation of the judiciary from the executive, the assigning of a certain number of magistrates exclusively for the trial of cases till separation is effected and a more intensive supervision of magisterial work are . the remedial measures.

Henorary

26. The institution of Honorary Magistrates has been Magistrates, abolished in the State since the year 1947.

> We also learn from the Administration reports that the 'rial of sessions cases is sometimes delayed due to the nonreceipt, of the report of the chemical examiner who is said to be stationed in Agra. If the delays persist it would necessary for the State to have its own chemical examiner

with the necessary staff. A useful system in vogue in the old Madaya Prodesh which may usefully be adopted elsewhere is that of requiring civil judges to undergo training in magisterial work before being invested with sessions powers. Before a civil pudge is promoted and begins to work as an Additional Sessions Judge, he is invested with the powers of a magistrate and is required to try a certain number of cases. His magisterial judgments are scrutinised. by the Sessions Judge, and appropriate instructions given to him. Sessions powers are conferred on him only if his work os a magistrate shows him to be suitable for his proposed new duties.

 Nyaya Panchayats were constituted in the Maha-Panchayar koshat area under the Contral Provinces and Berar Pancha-Conets. yats Act, 1946 (1 of 1947). Panchayat courts existed to Mohakoshal. Madhya Bharat and Vindhya Pradesh also prior to reorganization. In Mahakoshal, the members of the Nyaya Pan-chayet (which consists of 3 to 5 members), are nominated by the Collector from the members of the Grain Panchayat who are elected on the basis of adult, franchise. There is one. Nyaya Panchayat for about 30 to 40 villages or for a population of 10,000. In the Madhyo Boarst region, the Madayo members of the Nyaya Panchayat—their number varies Wharat. from 5 to 11—are elected by the panches of the Gram Panchayats from amongst themselves. In Vindhya Pradesh, a Vindhya Nyaya Panchayat has within its circle about 3 to 5 Gram Pradests. Sabhas, cach Sabha electing 5 panches to form a panel of 20 or 25 people for the trial of petty civil and criminal cases,

In all these regions, the Panchayat Courts have practically the same powers. They are competent to try suits of a simple nature up to Rs. 100/-. In suitable cases their jurisniction may be enhanced to Rs. 500/- by the State Government. Their criminal jurisdiction extends to the trial of petty offences under the Indian Penal Code and other minor enoctments. They cannot award a substantive sentence of imprisonment, but can impose a fine up to Rs.~160. There is no provision for an appeal against the decisions of the Panchayat Courts, but a revision lies to the District Judge. The following statement shows the criminal and civil work done by the Panchayat Courts in the old. State of Madhya. Prodesh during the years 1954-1956.

Statement showing the work those by Punchegut Creek dush participents T954-T956

Year I				rf	իե հեցիս-	Disposal	Raiauce
					7	3	4
		•		Ci	val		- <b></b>
					33087	47264	34094
:	:	1.	:		34034	49726	34094 28196 16907
						Gival 93087 94034	rhe hegin-ing of the Year  2 3  Gival  33087 43264 34034 40726

•						ż	3	4
_	 		-		-			
					CHi	mina!		
1954 - 1958 1956 -	:	:	:	:	:	1909g 20033 18456	27484 28242 2774 f	20012 18456 11 <b>6</b> 77

It will be seen that quite a large number of civil—and criminal cases are disposed of by Panchoyal Courts. From the figures given to us by Divisional—Welfare Officer, we gashered that in about 31 per cent cases the orders of the Nyaya Panchayats were quashed by District Judges. This is very unsatisfactory and points to the need for giving a relatively small—jurisdiction to these courts in the first instance and subjecting the members thereof to a proper system of training.

## 50.-MADRAS

The former presidency of Madras which at one time Area, and consisted of lwenty-six districts, as reorganised on 1st population. November, 1956, comprises thirteen districts (including the city of Madras) covering an area of 50,170 aguare miles with a population of 29,975,357 persons according to the 1951 census.

2. For purposes of administration of justice the State, Indicial excluding the presidency town is divided into twelve judi- Districts, cial districts having a district and sessions judge each. Coimbature has a permanent additional district and sessions judge. Ever since the State of Pudukkottah was merged with the Tiruchirapalli district, a temporary additional district and sessions judge has been functioning at Tiruchirapalli. The revenue district of Tanjore has been divided into two judicial districts. West Tanjore and East Tanjore-with a district and sessions judge for each. The Nilgiris district is amalgamated with the Coimbatore district for judicial administration. The revenue district of Madras which comprises the territory within the limits of the municipal corporation of the city is the area over which the City Civil Court, the Presidency Small Cause Court and the High Court exercise jurisdiction.

3. The judiciary of the State is composed of three The Judicial endres:—

- the Higher Judicial Service consisting of the district judges, the Chief Presidency Magistrate, Principal Judge, City Civil Court, the Chief Judge. Presidency Small Causes Court and the Administrator General and Official Trustee:
- (2) the Judicial Service consisting of subordinate judges and district munsifs; district magistrates and sub-divisional magistrates are also borne on this cadre; and
- (3) the State Judicial Subordinate Service consisting of Additional First Class Magistrates and Second Class Magistrates.
- In addition to the officers in the three cadres shove- Homorary mentioned, honorary magistrates are appointed by Govern- Magistrates. ment in some of the districts of the State. They dispose of cases of a petty nature transferred to them by the district Magistates. Generally, the powers of a second class magistrate are conferred on honorary magistrates who usually sit in Benches.

Rectainacit.

- 5. Different methods of recruitment are employed for the three cadres. Appointments to the Higher Judicial Service are made by promotion from the category of subordinate judges, which includes district magistrates or by direct recruitment from Bar; the latter is restricted to six posts out of nincleen.
- Recruitment to the State Judicial Service is made, by the Public Service Commission the members of which with the help of a judge of the High Court deputed ad hoe interview candidates and prepare a list of persons considered fit for appointment. However, the judge has not the prodominating voice in making the selections as a system of marking is adopted which enables the view of the members to provail over the judge's view as to the fitness of a candidate. A special feature of the system of recruitment in this State is that a cortain number of vacancies in the cadre of munsifs is reserved for Sub-Assistant Registrars of the High Court and members of the ministerial staff of the High Court and the subordinate courts provided they possess a law degree and satisfy certain other conditions laid down in the Judicial Service (Recruitment) Rules. Members of the Judicial Subordinate Service such lpha Additional First Class Magistrates, Stationary Sub-Magistrates and Assistant Public Prosecutors and Superintendents in the Law Department of the Secretariat are also eligible for recruitment as Munsifs. A further reservation is made for the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled. Tribes and other backward classes. It may be mentioned that consequeta on the separation of the judiciary from the Executive. Munsife and Sub-Divisional Magistrates are interchangeable in the sense that an officer who has been working as a Munsil on the civil side can be posted as a Sub-Divisional Magistrate to do criminal work and vice versa. This system has the advantage that a Judicial Officer, by the lime he sequires seniority and is promoted to be a subordinate judge upon whom the powers of an Assistant Sessions Judge will be conferred, would have experience of both civil and criminal work.
- 7. The Stationary Sub-Magistrates (Second Class Magistrates) are directly recruited to a large extent. Three out of twenty posts are reserved for recruitment by transfer from amongst the Assistant Public Prosecutors, Official Receivers and the ministerial staff of courts. Additional First Class Magistrates are appointed by promotion from amongst the Second Class Magistrates.
- 8. All recruits to the Judicial Service in this State are trained for six months with some modifications in the case of those recruited by transfer. We have set out the details of the training elsewhere. At the end of the period of training, the District Judges are required to report to the High Court with reference to each candidate deputed for training whether he was benefited by such training.

Pay aceles.

It is important to refer to the scales of pay of officers
of the lower judiciary. Munsifs and Sub-Divisional

Magistrates are in the time scale of Rs. 300-50/2-500-EB-50/ 2-700 and subordinate judges in Test of Rs. 500-50/2-700. The District Magistrate (Judicial) draws his grade pay as a subordinate judge plus a special pay. Additional First Class Magistrates are in the time scale of Rs. 300-20-400 and Second. Class Magistrates in that of Rs. 200-10-200. We have already commented on the biennial increments, granted to civil judicial officers in the States of Madras and Anohra Pradesh which appears to be an ingenious device of the authorities to effect economy. We feel that it is necessary to raise the status and pay of First and Second Class Magistrates. In passing we may refer to the pay scales of Amins (Bailiffs) and the process servers. The latter who are generally entitusted with the service of summons, notices etc. are in the scale of Rs. 18-1-25, while Amins who are entrusted with arrest warrants and attachment warrants are in the scale of Rs. 25-1-45. These salaries also appear to need revision.

10. The judiciary of this State has been completely Delayr in separated from the executive by means of executive orders, criminal Together with continuous and systematic supervision this cours. has considerably reduced the number of pending criminal cases and also their duration. The result has been that by the end of 1956 very few cases over two months old were awaiting disposal in the State. The overage duration criminal appeals in Magistrates. Courts and Sessions Courts. waa as low as 16 and 22 days respectively in 1956. We have earlier set out in detail the methods of supervision adopted in this State and particularly the system of submitting calendar statements. Two other features of criminal judicial administration in this State need mention,

Even under the scheme of separation the judicial magistrates retain the power to issue orders under s. 144 Cr. P.C. and in emergent situations they are required to assist the police and act as if they are the executive magistrates in charge pending the arrival of the regular executive magistrates on the scene.

Further, by Madras Act XXXI of 1956, section 407 of the Cr. P.C. has been restored to the form in which it was prior to the Central Amendment of 1955 so that the District Magistrate (Judicial) continues to entertain appeals from the decisions of second and third class magistrates.

11. The same detailed supervision does not however Supervision exist in respect of the civil work. It is true that district courts. judges regularly inspect courts subordinate to them once a year, and reports based on their inspections are submitted to the High Court. A judge of the High Court also inspects the district court once in two years. But it cannot be said that these inspections have the same kind of effectiveness as the supervision on the criminal side.

The periodical returns submitted by the subordinate civil judiciary are scrutinised by the district judges.

Small cause suits over three months old, regular civil appeals over six months old, miscellaneous appeals over three months ald and all regular suits pending over one year are classified as "long pending cases" and the reasons for their pendency have to be explained. The returns also and the reasons show the number of old suits pending according to their years of institution. Only quarterly returns are sent to the High Court. In the High Court these returns are examined only by the judge in administrative charge of the district. Due to heavy work or lack of interest or antitude for admipistrative work, the nature of the scrutiny by the different High Court Judges of the civil returns varies considerably. No one judge is responsible for an over-all scruting of civil returns as is the case of criminal returns. The High Court does not appear to have given as great attention to the supervision of civil work as in the case of criminal work. Non has it insisted upon the District Judges paying as much attention to the supervision of civil work as on the District Magistrates in regard to the criminal side. We are of the view that the supervision at present exercised over the civil courts can be far more vigilant and that there is room for considerable improvement in this direction.

- 13. In this Scate, there is a rule that judgments in civil cases have to be delivered within fourteen days from the date on which the arguments are concluded and the case reserved for judgment. In the returns the judicial officers have to explain cases where this period has been exceeded. This return has a generally salutary effect. A special feature of these returns is that the average duration of cases disposed of after full trial is furnished separately in respect of each court in the administration reports. In all other States except Andhra Pradesh such average duration is given only in respect of a class of courts. That does not reveal the state of things in the different courts. By following the system of furnishing returns, obtaining in this State and in Andhra Pradesh, attention would at once be drawn to those courts, where cases have remained pending for a longer time and this would lead to a closer examination of the state of file in those courts.
- 14. Including the Chief Justice and two additional judges appointed recently for a period of two years, the High Court has a strength of eleven judges. This is one of the three High Courts in the country which exercises Ordinary Original Civil Jurisdiction. The accompanying Table (No. 1) shows at a glance the disposal of work by the High Court during the triennial period 1954-56.

Pendency In the High Court. 15. The pending cases in the High Court on 1st January, 1957 comprises the proceedings of different categories the number of which is shown in Table (No. 2) according to their year of institution. The Table discloses that while there are no arrears of criminal proceedings, there is considerable congestion on the Civil appellate side, notwithstanding the transfer recently of a number of pending

122 TABLE No. 1 7954 1955 1946 -13enduca Pending Insti-or the pations -Disposals. Pending Tustitu-Disposals Pending Receive Hν Nature of Proceedas the tiona a: the ed Trans- 1-1-57 ing 1<del>∞</del>ein-Вy hezin-Вν beginfeam fer in Trunsuine nico Ттапяnine ·ir 77 [[izb fer to fer to High Conies Antibes City Court of High Chill by Kezala Court Contr 20 Trans_ fee Mysore т 2 ۲. 6 3 4 טנ 1 [ 12 14 64 15 Regular First Appeals **398**7 R . R 606 500 2054 2351 158 547 614 2313 fita 427 2048 138 City Civil Court Attests \$27 100 146 350 486 482 46 654 62 105 115 -4RResules Second Ap-7065 1656 388т 1555 3084 1372 1820 3426 1130 178 1417 634 2067 pesis. +6**R** +2R ÷āR Appeals against Or-1420 553 767 754 942 720 724 64 486 400 1 [ 353 106 439 ders. + 2R Appeth meniost 598 138 11R 106 214 324 309 43 177 240 102 161 140 Appetione Orders. 12R ⊦≃R Latters Patent OR 206 424 240 135 122 iar uk []2 254 102 36 121 203 Special Appeals. Original Side An-286 L68 165 6 283 142 POD: 325 . 75 : 2R 158 244 reals. Writ Appeals 161 44 104 **\$**4 17 533 112 105 158 650 106

. .

si.

. · I	ż	3	4	5	6	7	В	9	10	11	12	13	14	ĭ5
Revisions (Civil Revision Petitions)	3103	ι%)1 ⊥τ <b>ℝ</b>	1826	1447	7 <b>4</b> 32	1999 F3R	1 <b>6</b> 50		1405	1766	>τ	1538	295	1310
Tax Revision Cases	339	127	299	42	125	161	100		s 8 <i>t</i> 5	246		278	23	131
References (Referred Cases).	182	105	. 30	25	233	107	73		267	19g	6	92	3)	309
Leave in Appeal to Supreme Court.	16	188	797		73	107	89		ŝΙ	tst		83		99
. Migeallangous Welt Petitions .	1601	RO5	1101	111	<b>á</b> t fi	1010 ! <b>1R</b>	792		Rys	154t +2R	14	₹267	125	1030
Original Side Civil Subs .	φÿĤ	426	438		986	239	921 <b>+</b>		304	122		155		271
Matrimon(a) Sulte	12	7	τı		8	12	8		12	11		13		to
Testamentary Suits	17	Ŕ	14		11	11	4		28	10		61		68
Original Petitions .	107	373	972		108	353	286		175	363		393		245
				This in	cludes 616	Сивез г	har were	yanefe.	med to Cli	ly Chill o	Court, M	Sadras.		
Grésiani Criminal Appeals .	695	781	1112		208	770	759		225	838		744		319
Criminal Revision	564	1094	1335		165	1010	971		204	1168		1157		512
Carca Confirmation Cares	49	145	159		<b>2</b> 9	[2[	rıß		3.2	161	••	153		ąu.
Reference under Section pay Cy. P.C.						<del>.</del>	——Niii -	<del>-</del>						
Other References							tii -	<b></b>						
Micellaneous .	51	1528	т63 <b>9</b>		95	1444	7417		64	1588		1586		64

.

Noture of those						γ	ear of Ic	stitutien.						
caeding	1939	1944	794S	1946	1947	1948	E949	1950	1951	1952	T953	1954	1955	1956
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	EG	t1	;2	13	14	ış
Fina Appeals						ì	1	5	10	59	401	371	497	723
Original Side Ap- pools.										12	63	52	52	65
City Civil Court							zR			4	4	ız	25	58
Appeads. Latters Patent Appeals.								•		4	43	. 91	46	49
Witt Appeals .											9	25	12	54
Referred Appeals .								••		5	29	59	84	137
Second Appeals .							r	2 (-T)	26	33	43 (4K)	281	837	(3)44 (3)44)
Civil Miscellantous Appeals,							• •	()R)		•	13	28	93	298
Clyd Miscellaneous Second Appeals.				• •					. 1		4	(a <b>R</b> ) ³	53	79
Civil Revision								2	r	9	14	52	392	955
Peddions. Tax Revision Cases											5	21	28	77
Wait Petitions .									3	54	. 4	àз	92	\$25
Civil Suits .	2	ī		1	4	6	13	14	12	ļ=	21	45	69	73

I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	tı	12	19	14	15
Matrimonial Suits							<u> </u>			····				7
Testamentary Suits												6	4	8
Original Potitions												2	18	125
Oriminal Appeals .													3	316
Calminet Revision													7	208
Cases. Confirmation Cases					٠									40
Reference under Section 307 Cr. P. C.														40
Other Reference														.,
Miscellanzous .		٠,												
Applications for lease to Appeal Supreme Court,			• •		••									64
Reveiw Applications											.,	8	8	89

S.	Nature of Proceedings		Number of Judges engaged		
No.	Tracting of Trockshings	1954	1955	1956	
		2	3	4	
ι	First Appeals and City Civil Court Appeals.	One Beach for the year and one single judge for the year	One Bench for 8 months and one single Judge for 8 months.	One Bench for 7 months and one single Judge for 5 months.	
2	Second Appeals , , ,	One Judge for the year and one Judge for 3 months.	One Judge for one year and ap- other Judge for a months.	One Judge for one year,	
3	Letters Purent Appeals, Original Side Appeals and Welt Appeals	One Betick for 7 months	One Beredi for 7 manths,	Our Rench for 7 months.	
4	Writ and Tax Revision Cases & Referred Cases (Bench).	One Bench for 4 months	One Beach for 3 months	One Rench for 4 months.	1001
5	Writa (Single Judge)	One Judge for the year	One Judge for 7 months	One Judge for 6 months,	•
6	Appeals Against Orders and Appeals Against Appeals to Orders,	One Beach for 2 months and on single Judge for 5 months	One Bench for one mouth and one single judge for 5 months	One Beach for one mouth and one single Judge for a mouths.	
7	Revisions	One Judge for 8 months.	One Judge for 7 months	One Judge for 6 abouts.	
8	Miscellanoous and Admission Work	One Judge for the year,	One Judge for the year	One Judge for 8 months.	
9	Original Side work	Two Judges for the year	One Judge for the year and one Judge for 4 months.	One Judge for the year.	
IO	Sessions Work	One Judge for 5 months	One Judge for 6 months	NII.	
11	Referred Trials and Beach Crimis- nel Work.	One Beach for 4 months	One Beach for 3 manufa	One Repoli for 4 mondia.	
12	Criminal Appeals and Criminal Bertsion Cases (Single Judge).	One Judge for 4 months	One Judge for 4 months	One Judge for 4 months.	

proceedings to the High Courts of Andhra Pradesh and Kerala. The number of pending original suits is staggering. We trust that with the recent increase in the number of judges the rate of disposals will be accelerated and that the arrears will soon be brought under centrol.

16. The accompanying Table (No. 3) shows the extegories of proceedings for the disposal of which Benches had to be constituted and the periods for which those Benches worked. A judge of this High Court sitting singly has powers to dispose of first appeals valued upto Rs. 7500/s, second appeals irrespective of valuation, criminal appeals other than those involving sentences of death or transportation for life, all petitions under section 115 C.P.C. and other matters. It would be worth considering whether the pecuniary limit upto which a single judge can hear and dispose of first appeals from decrees can be raised to Rs. 15,000/- and those from orders to Rs. 20,000/-. By enhancing the jurisdiction of a single judge to the aforementioned limits, we think, on an average about hundred judge-days can be saved every year.

The appellate jurisdiction of District Judges in this State has already been raised to Rs. 10,000 and appeals below that value are now filed only in the District Courts. Some relief can be given to the High Court by the transfer of pending appeals below this value. The accumulated first appeals which constitute the largest portion of the arrears in this court, will at the present rate of disposals require for their disposal at least two Benches sitting for this purpose toroughout the year for two years.

The City Civil Court and the original side.

- 17. The Madtas City Civil Court was established in 1892 "with jurisdiction to receive, try and dispose of all suits and other proceedings of a civil nature not exceeding two thousand five hundred rupces in value and arising within the City of Madras except suits or proceedings which are cognisable (a) by the High Court as a Court of Admiralty or Vice Admiralty or as a court baving testamentary, intestate or matrimonial jurisdiction or (b) by the court for the relief of insolvent debtors or (c) by the small cause court". (Section 3 of the Madras City Civil Court Act. 1892). The preuniary jurisdiction of the City Civil Court has been progressively enlarged; by the Madras Act X of 1955 the Court was empowered to take cognizance of proceedings valued upto Rs. 50,000/- with effect from 1st July, 1955.
- 18. The following Table (No. 4) shows the institution, disposal and pendency of regular suits in the City Civil Court during the triennium 1954-58:—

Tanta No. 4

Year				No. of Officers	Pending at the beganning of the	Institution.	Disposed of	Pto	Jing .	Average dura- tion of suits
				year			Below one year	Above one year	ofer (ull refer	
	;	···		2	3	4	5	ń.	7	s
<b>E</b> 954		·		4	2163	2125	2]90	T196	852	613
1955				R	2048	24co	26R5	1533	946	60 t
1956			•	В	2479	2232	2707	13.59	802	N.A.

Note. - In 1955, 616 original suits were received by transfer from the High Court and 2400 original suits were actually instituted in the City Civil Court.

Out of the total number of suits dealt with by four Judges in 1954 and by eight in 1955, 643 and 762 suits respectively were disposed of after full trial whereas a single judge of the High Court dealt with 438 suits in 1954 out of which 249 were disposed of after full trial and in 1955 one judge sitting throughout the year and another for four months disposed of 305 suits out of which 124 were after full trial. The average duration of original suits disposed of by the High Court in 1954 and in 1955 was 822 and 1863 days respectively. According to the figures for 1954, four judges of the City Civil Court, who had no sessions or appellate work and had to deal with simpler suits and had shorter vacations disposed of 643 contested suits i.e. 160 75 per judge, whereas one High Court Judge disposed of 249 contested suits. It is true that the corresponding figures for 1954 are 95.25 and 93—but in 1955 out of the 1 1/3rd High Court Judges who worked on the original side-the time of one judge was almost wholly taken up by company, testamentary, insolvency and other matters. In 1954, there was a separate judge for these matters and chamber applications. Hence the apparent fall in disposals in the High Court in 1955.

The argument that cases take a longer time in the High Court based on average duration of cases has no weight, as the older cases are taken up in the High Court, in the absence of any temptation to show disposals and a low duration by taking up new and easier cases.

The figures would seem to indicate that work on the original side is more quickly disposed of. There can be no doubt that the original side needs to be strengthened. Putting one judge in charge of all the original side proceedings—including company and insolvency work as was done in 1956 (vide Table 4.) must inevitably lead to the piling up of arrears.

At least two judges would seem to be necessary on the original side throughout the year if the arrears are to be cleared.

19. According to the provisions contained in Section 15 of the Madras Act (X of 1955) an appeal against a decision of the City Civil Court in any suit or proceeding where the amount or value of the subject-matter exceeds Rs. 5000/-lies to the High Court. However appeals against the decisions of assistant judges of the City Civil Court in suits or other proceedings valued below Rs. 5000/- lie to the Principal Judge. Although till recently all appeals from the City Civil Court lay to the High Court, now that the power to hear appeals from decisions of Assistant Judges (who are sub-judges) has been given to the principal judge (a District Judge) there is no reason why the value of such appeals should be limited to Rs. 5,000 and not raised to Rs. 10,000 as has since been done in the mofusil. If the limit of the jurisdiction of this court is to remain at the present

figure and not reduced as recommended elsewhere, it would become necessary to increase the number of judges. Suits instituted in this court can be disposed of within the time limit envisaged by us for the disposal of original suits in *subordinate courts only by the adoption of such a course. The fact that the City Civil Court is also the court of session for the city of Madras would also appear to warrant an increase in the number of judges.

20. The Presidency Small Cause Court functioned Presidency during the years 1954—56 with five judges including the Cause Chief Judge. The average institution of suits for the Cause quinquentium ending with 1954 was 9149. The average duration of cases disposed of after full trial was 252 days in 1955 as against 190 in 1954. According to the statistics furnished by the High Court, 3612 suits were pending at the close of the year 1956 out of which 69 were over a year old. Although the judges particularly the Chlef Judge have to do other classes of work also, greater expedition in the trial of suits, seems to be possible. The time taken for disposal in the molustil courts is less. Attempts must be made to reach the target time of ninety days for the disposal of small cause suits.

21 The number of suits of different valuations instituted. in the Civil Courts other than the village courts is furnished. in the following table (No. 5)

TABLE No. 5

year					of the value of Rs. 1,000/-	of the value of Rs, 5,000/- and not ex-	of Rs.10,000/-
	,			2	3	4	5
T954	<u> </u>		· .	52,352	5,196	760	608
1955				2,63,627	Eyrody	1,306	785
1956		-		85,456	11,523	1,139	522

It can fairly be estimated that out of the total number of Position in suits about ten per cent would be those cognisable by the District and district courts and subordinate judges' courts. Roughly Subordinate about half of the ten per cent would be suits triable under courts. the ordinary procedure. Ordinarily district judges try only suits of a special nature. Mostly, proceedings under special chactments such as the Hindu Marriage Act, Patents and Designs Act, the Payment of Wages Act, and the like come up for adjudication before these courts.

The subordinate judges who exercise unlimited original jurisdiction are also invested with powers to entertain proceedings under certain special enactments such as the Guardians and Wards Act, the Indian Succession Act and the like. Further, these officers are constituted Employees Insurance Courts under the Employees Insurance Act. Approximately fifty per cent of the proceedings brought to trial before the District Courts and Subordinate Judges' Courts relate to claims for immovable property and are disposed of after full trial. The following Table (No. 6) shows the number of original suits instituted, disposed of and pending in both these classes of courts during the three years preceding 1957.

Tanan No. 6

					Civil Solos		
		No. of	Pending .	Institu-	Disposal	Balao	uc
Year		Officers	beginning of the year			Below one year	Over one year
	_			etrics Judges			
1954 1955 195fi	:	17 16 17	204 181 149	136 140 144	132 146 132	tCt 92 99	80 57 146
				Sub-Judges			
1954 1955 1956	:	38 36 39	49,40 4654 3508	2410 2675 1815	3737 3426 2207	1841 1675 1286	2813 1838 1102

22. The average duration of suits disposed of after full trial by the district courts in 1954 and in 1955 was 497 and 460 days respectively and that of suits disposed of by the subordinate judges' courts after full trial was 725 and 708 days. Although there has been a progressive full both in the total number of pending suits and the number of year old suits from the figures furnished above it would appear that there is still heavy congestion in the courts of subordinate judges. An increase in the number of subordinate judges for a limited period is necessary if the arrears are to be cleared within a reasonable period and the file brought under control. Thereafter it ought not to be difficult—for the existing number of officers to cope with the work unless there is a large increase in the institutions.

In Munsife course.

28. Consequent upon the replacement of the Madras Act (V of 1954) by the Madras Act (1 of 1955) and also the enforcement of Act (XVI of 1951) raising the pecuniary jurisdiction of the district munsife' courts from 19th May, 1955 to Rs, 5,000/- there was an appreciable increase in the comber of original suits instituted in those courts in the

year 1955 as will be seen from the figures given in the Table (No. 7) helow:---

Table No. 7 Cipil Saits

		No. of	Pending or the			Pend	liné
Yes	r.	ОЖсем	begudng es the year	Insgitation	Disposal	Tme!	Ower one year
	- ۰						
1954	-	98	12176	29189	34457	49036	437(6
1955	٠	96	49035	5570x	69377	44361	15390
1956		72	44361	51818	57689	41007	13427
			·				

 The average duration of the suits disposed of after full trial was 721 days in the year 1955 as against 491 in 1954. In 1956 it had come down to 305 days. It is regrettable that a fair number of suits instituted in the year 1946 were still proding at the close of 1956 in courts of munsifs. is difficult to appreciate the wisdom of enhancing the pecuniary jurisdiction of munsifs without making adequate arrangements for the expeditious disposal of proceedings.

Senior lawyers of the District Ber complained of the delays caused by the indiscriminate massing of stay orders and the difficulty of executing decrees. Defective drafting and frequent amendments of important laws like Debt Relief Acts was also stated to be responsible for protracted litigation. A senior lawyer who practised at Mangalore in the South Kanara District before it was integrated with the Mysore State, speaking of his experience about the administration of civil justice in the subordinate courts of the Madras State, gave certain examples to illustrate how freely stay orders were obtained. Referring to Section 9-A Grant of treely stay orders were obtained. of the Madras Agriculturists Relief Act (IV of 1938) he said that in actual proctice a party obtaining a decree would ordinarily not get possession of the properties even after three or four years, for the very next day after the occding was decided in the trial court, an appeal would be preferred and a stay order obtained -a stay order very ofter. without the imposition of any terms. The appeal would last for about two years. Soon after the disposal of the appeal the matter would again be taken in appeal to the High Court where a stay order would again be obtained which may be in force for another two or three years. If this depicts the true state of affairs, we feel that the High Court should examine in great detail the periodical returns with a view to ascertain in which cases the proceedings of the lower courts were stayed, and whether there were sufficient reasons for passing the stay orders.

One of the causes of the increase in litigation was stated to be the bad drafting of the laws. It was stated that the ambiguities in the several provisions of Madras Agriculturists Relief Act, 1938 had resulted in a good deat of protracted litigation. The Act had to be amended on several occasions and sometimes even the definitions had to be altered. Various other instances of ill-drafted legislation were pointed out. It was stated that "the responsibility of the Legislatures in giving rise to such avoidable litigation by tinkering with laws again and again and upsetting decisions of Courts is very great". We hope that our recommendations made elsewhere for improved methods of drafting legislation will put an end to this most undesirable state of affairs.

Penchayar course. 25. The Panchayat Courts have been constituted in the State under the provisions of the Madras Village Panchayats Act of 1950 (X of 1950). In the year 1957 about 8088 Panchayat Courts functioned in the State. The Panchayat Courts have powers to try civil cases valued upto Rs. 100/-. They can try even cases valued upto Rs. 200/-; if both the parties consent to it. These courts can impose fines upto Rs. 15/- except in cases of damage to property when the fine may be double the value of the property. The Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, who gave evidence before us stated that sometimes the Courts in practice did not meet for months together and that rules should be framed to ensure that they meet periodically and that Panchayat Courts should be given clerical assistance in doing their work. The following Table (No. 8) shows the number of civil and criminal proceedings instituted in and disposed of by the Panchayat Courts during the years 1954 55. It is apparent that in this State the Panchayat courts afford substantial relief to the regular courts.

TABLE No. 8

		Civil	•	Crimical				
Year	Pending at the begin- ing of the year	Disputate	Balance	Pending at the begin- ing of the year	Dispossis	Balance		
1954 1955	5938 4774	24,191 22,194	6122 7451	213 <b>1</b> 598	1565 463	766 ¥35		
	(Figures 570 not rect	va 5 diamieta eŭvei)	ı	enreft schilt) Lowil ot	s felatë Ozo Jargicta)	9		

Criminal course for the Prest-dency Town.

26. The city of Madras has been divided into five police ranges for the purpose of investigation of crimes and maintenance of law and order. Crimes committed within the City limits are brought to trial before the Courts of Presidency Magistrates of whom eight including the Chief

Presidency Magistrate are stipendiary. A comparative table (No. 9) of work done by the Presidency Magistrates' Courts is given below:

TABLE No. 9

	Yea	ı	 No. of officers		Colonizal r I-P-C- and Institution	व्यक्ति तत्त्वलः	Ralence
1954			ě	3005	169697	169745	2699
1955			R	2699	129812	128733	3040
1986			R	<b>ე</b> 1140	176520	173720	2816

^{27.} The bulk of petty cases arising in the city limits is disposed of by the honorary Presidency Magistrates. There is a mobile court which sils in different centres outside the normal court hours and disposes of minor offences under the City Police Act and the like soon after they are reported.

^{28.} The Commissioner of Police, Madros City is invested with powers of Presidency Magistrate; he can enlarge an accused on bail; under section 167 Cr. P.C. he can remand an accused to custody.

## 51.—MYSORE

Area and population,

1. Mysore was one of the two princely States that was retained as a separate entity after the country attained Independence. During the short span of about nine years—between August 1947 and November 1956—the territory of the State has grown in area. Seven talugs of the Bellary District were added to it in 1953. As a result of the reorganisation of States by Act (XXXVII of 1956), certain parts of the Bombay, Madras and erstwhile Hyderabad States and the entire State of Coorg were added to Mysore. The area of the reorganised State is 72,730 square miles with a population of 1,94,01,477 persons according to the 1951 census.

Scape of enguisy,

At the time of our visit to the State several distinct patterns of judicial administration obtained in that State. The areas transferred to Mysore from Bombay, Hyderabad and Madras continued to be administered in the same way as prior to the reorganisation of States. The subordinate judiciary had also not been integrated. Our attention was therefore focussed mainly on the administration of justice in what was the old state of Mysore- and the subsequent observations unless otherwise stated apply only to that area.

Organisarion of courts.

- The Subordinate Clvll Judiciary of the State is composed of four cadres:—
  - District Judges,
  - (2) Civil Judges,
  - (3) Subordinate Judges, and
  - (4) Munsifs.
- 3. Prior to the reorganisation of the State, the cadre strength of District Judges was eight including the posts of Registrar, High Court, Secretary to the Government, Law Department and that of Civil Judges, Subordinate Judges and Munsifs, eleven, fourteen and forty-two respectively.

Recruitment—Promotions. 4 No rules have been framed with regard to the number of posts of District Judges to be filled by direct recruitment and by promotion. Although at one time subordinate judges were recruited only by promotion, yet recently there appears to have been some direct recruitment at that level in spite of the opposition of the High Court. Posts of Civil Judges are filled by promotion of officers in the cadre of Subordinate Judges. We were also reliably informed that promotions were only on the basis of seniority and that the confidential reports on subordinate judicial officers were seldom considered. All this seems to call for a change.

5. The manner of recruitment and the conditions of ser- Selection of vice of munsils are found in the Mysore Mansifs (Re-munsift cruitment and Promotion) Rules, 1954. Rule 11 of the said Rules states that a candidate "must be a graduate in arts or science or commerce and in Law-and must be an Advocate or Pleader who has practised in the Courts in the Mysore State for not less than four years immediately before the date of his application; or must be employed in the Judicial Department of the State, the total period of his service in that department together with the period of practice at the Bar, if any, being not less than five years immediately before the date of his application". Rule 16 provides for reservation of not more than one out of every six appointments to be made to the service, for promotion of candidates from out of a list of qualified candidates to be prepared by the Public Service Commission from out of an eligibility list received from the High Court from among the Ministerial Service of the Judicial Department and Public Prosecutors and Government Pleaders. The maximum age limit for direct remnitment to this service is 35 years and for promotees 40 years. Rule 17 of the said Rules reads as under: -

- "(17)(i) After examination, the Commission shall make a list of candidates who are eligible for being appointed as Munsifs for the remaining five appointments out of the six referred to in rule 16 above in the following manner:
  - (a) One out of these five appointments to be reserved for scheduled castes and tribes.
  - (b) The Commission will prepare a list for the remaining four appointments having regard to the representation of backward classes and the ranks of candidate; as a result of the selection examination. In making the list of candidates of backward classes for appointments preference may be shown by the Commission to communities not adequately represented in Judicial Service."

Appointments are made by the Government from these two lists. Provision has also been made for the relaxation of the rules in the case of backward communities and scheduled castes and tribes.

6. Severe editicism was directed against the method of Unsatisfacrecruitment to the judiciary that had obtained in the State very prior to reorganisation. It was stated that the methods of antibode. selection to the subordinate judiciary were extremely un-satisfactory. We were told that as many as twenty five vacancles in the subordinate judiciary had remained unfilled by reason of differences of opinion between the Government and the High Court as to the method of selection and the persons to be appointed. It was also learnt that the High Court had declined to depute one of its judges to be associated with the Public Service Commission for the recruitment of Munsits, and a retired judge of the High

Court remunerated ad hoc was chosen to assist the Commission in selecting munsifs on one accasion. We were also given an account of a selective examination held for appointing munsifs, and of the allotment of vacancies between the different communities on the basis of a quota system by which posts seem to be allotted to particular communities. About ninety-six per cent of the population was stated to belong to classes for whom specified quotas were reserved. The method seemed to reduce the competitive examination to a mere method of selection on a communal basis. As the validity of this method of selection is said to have been challenged in an appeal pending in the Supreme Court, we refrain from making any comment on it.

The rules however clearly appear to need revision on the lines indicated by us to ensure selection of preper candidates and to avoid delays in filling vacancies.

7. At one time the District Judges of this State were placed in the same pay scale as the Deputy Commissioners (or Collectors) in charge of Revenue Districts. The position is now different as the Deputy Commissioners are paid on the senior time scale of the Indian Administrative Service i.e. 800—1800, whereas the District Judges are still in the old scale of Rs. 900—50—1300. The matter needs to be set right so that the pay of the head of the district judicial administration is not less than that of his executive counterpart.

The High Court

- 8. Originally, the head of the judicial administration in Mysore was the Judicial Commissioner. Some time in 1881, the Judicial Commissioner was designated as the Chief Judge and his court the Chief Court of Mysore. About the year 1930 the Chief Court was given the designation of the High Court of Mysore. The territorial jurisdiction of the High Court has been extended to the areas which were added to the State from time to time,
- 9. The Chief Court exercised ordinary original civil jurisdiction till this jurisdiction was abolished in 1903. From 1903 to 1911 it also exercised ordinary original criminal jurisdiction. It is interesting to note that till 1st December, 1927, it was bearing appeals from the decisions of First Class Magistrates.
- 10. From 1884 to 1984 the Chief Court and later the High Court had three judges except during two short periods. On 2nd Japuary, 1985, the number of the High Court Judges was permanently increased to four. On 15th February, 1940, two more judges were appointed. The maximum number was fixed at six judges but the actual number continued to be five till December, 1954. Thereafter, the number was reduced to two during the period 16th April, 1955 and 10th June, 1955. Two more judges were appointed on 11th June, 1955. Thereafter three permanent and one additional judge were appointed in 1957. With the appointment of one

permanent and one additional judge recently, the number of High Court judges now is nine. Considerable delays seem to have occurred in filling vacancies in the High Court due to differences of opinion between the Chief Justice and the State Government, with the unfortunate result that the number of pending suits has increased considerably.

- 11. The accompanying Tables (Nos. 1 and 2) show the institution and disposal of different categories of proceedings in the High Court and the number of different categories of proceedings pending in the Court on 1st January. 1957, according to the years of their institution (excluding those received by transfer as a result of the reorganisation of States).
- 12. Although the arrears in the High Court are not so heavy as in certain other courts, there is considerable room for improvement. The position has been aggravated by the fact that though a number of appeals were received by transfer from the other High Courts the strength of the judges was increased only much later.
- 13. Under section 15 of the Mysore High Court Act (1 of 1684) all appeals, civil and criminal, are required to be heard by a Bench of two judges, except second appeals arising out of any suit or proceeding, the amount or value of the subjectmatter of which does not exceed Rs. 3000 which are required to be heard and disposed of by a Judge of the High Court sitting singly, unless he refers it to a Bench. being satisfied that it involves a substantial question of law. This section appears to restrict unduly the powers of a single judge and would appear to need alteration especially as District Judges are empowered to hear first appeals valued up to Rs. 10,000. A general enhancement of the nowers of a single judge so as to bring them in line with those conferred upon him in neighbouring states will do much to achieve expedition.

 Courts of district judges are the principal civil courts Jurisdiction of original jurisdiction in the districts and have jurisdiction of subordito try suits valued above Rs. 20,000. The lower judiciary age apart from the mansifs has been divided into subordinate courts, judges and civil judges, the former exercising original jurisdiction in suits valued upto Rs, 10,000 and small cause jurisdiction in suits valued upto Rs. 500 (in the case of selected judges) and the latter having original jurisdiction upto Rs. 20,000 (which may be raised upto Rs. 50,000) and appellate purisdiction upto Rs. 3000. This new cadre of civil judges was created by the Mysore Civil Courts (Amendment) Act (XXIII of 1955). The Civil Judges have also been invested with the powers of the district magistrates under the scheme of the separation of the judiciary from the executive.

The new cadre of civil judges which is peculiar to this State does not appear to be necessary. A less complicated court structure can be established by abolishing this class 122 M. of Law-68.

TABLE No. 1

			1953-1952			1954-1955			1955-56		m #:
Nature of Proceedings	_	Pegding at the begin- ning	Inselta- tions	Dispusal	Pending or the begin- ning	Institu- tions	Dispusat	Pending at the begin- bing	finatitu- tions	Disposal	Tending on t-t-1957
			3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Civil											
Pirst Appeals		570	357	1-29	824	355	4€	1132	210	620	668
Second Apposis		379	568	657	910	614	502	1022	96a	300	1503
Miscellaneous Appeals		37	92	8ñ	43	75	ĞΕ	57	5G	68	79
Givil Revision Perit: 008 -		300	751	794	347	629	529	447	751	437	1036
GlyilPetitions		ťα	286	167	33	155	146	ري	159	140	134
Writ Perfriens		79	129	76	74	173	114	131	257	169	182
Clyit Refered Cases			2	1	1	51	5-	1	I	- 1	1
Income-rox Referred Cates	-				'			- 1	+	••	. 5
Châmtrat											
Criminal Appeals		47	104	36	65	95	14	125	92	139	135
Celminal Revision Casos		9	7.7	25	1	35	23	3	ZI	23	49
Griminal Royasion Perutims		72	,132	349	15	477	316	144	423	445	147
Celeptual Peticions		3.	258	241	19	290	293	25	400	348	34
		2	10	jn	2	9	4	7	3	8	4
Contempt of Court Cases		I	ΓĒ	2:	14	3	15	2	11	5	8
Miscellascous App⇔ls	-							41			1

^{*}Does not include the appeals and other matters received by transfer under Sec. 62 of the S. R. Act from the High Courts of Bomboy, Madras and Hyderakad.

TABLE No. 2

Nature of proceeding				Year of	Institution				Total	
Manage of processing	_	1950-51	1951-52	1952-53	1953	1954	1955	1956	1000	Romarks
			3	4		6	7	8	9	<u> 10</u>
Civil				<b>-</b>						
Piest Appeals		8	37	57	73	£0	aoj	107	568	Does not include the
Second Appeals			40	TUI	232	347	295	488	r3a3	appeals and lother matters received by
Miscellaneous Appenis				,	7	4	7	56	70	
Civil Revision Pertrims .			1	I	8	98	900	630	1036	Ast from the High Courts of Hombay,
Civil Peritions	-			2	1	tş	1.7	99	134	Madros aud Hy- der≥bad.
Writ Peticiens .						7	41	134	182	
Givil referred cases						ι			1	
Income rax referred cases							2	3	s	
Crainainas										
Crimbnal Appends				41	4		τ	190	135	
Criminal Revision cases							r	8	9	
Criminal Revision perblons						5	ΤĘ	131	147	
Colminal potitions						2	4	28	34	
Criminal referred cases .						τ		3	4	
Contempt of Court cases	-						6	2	8	
Criminal Misc. Appeals .								1	τ	

of judges and conferring unlimited pecuniary jutisdiction on subordinate judges. A subordinate judge ran also be posted as judicial district magistrate. A common Civil Courts Act for the entire State as reorganised also appears to be necessary.

There is also room for raising the small cause jurisdiction of munsifs and subordinate judges particularly in commercial centres like Bangalore and Bellary.

State of work.

15. The total number of suits instituted during the three financial years immediately preceding 1958-57 arranged according to valuation is set down in the following Table:

Table No. 3

Year	Number of suits of the value not econoling Rs. 1000]-	Number of solts of the value of Rs. rocu and nor exceeding Rs. goney-	Number of suits of the value of Rs. 5000 and not executing Rs. 10,000)	Number of suits of the value of Rs. 10,000 and shove	Number of suite the value of which can- nut be estimated in money
1953-51	24:949	2028	25.1	182	1402
1954-55	24.923	2098	248	136	1627
2955-56	\$ <u>\$2</u> 2.22	2111	218	14:6	1230

16. It will appear from the figures given in the above Table (Table No. 3) that the volume of original civil litigation in the courts of district judges, civil judges and subordinate judges was not high. The following Tables (Tables 4 and 5) show the disposal of work by these officers during the same period. It will appear from these tables that the arrears in these courts are not heavy because the institutions of the different categories of proceedings are comparatively small.

Though the state of work in the District and Subordinate Judges courts is not as astisfactory as the position in the munsifs courts, an increase in their number does not appear to be necessary. If the institutions do not increase, with better supervision, the existing strength of officers should be able to keep pace with the work.

17. At the lowest rung in the heirarchy of civil courts are courts of munsifs exercising pecuniary jurisdiction upto Rs. 3,000. Their small cause jurisdiction is limited to Rs. 100. Ever since the judiciary was completely separated from the executive on the Madras pattern on 1st June 1956, the munsifs have been doing oriminal work also. Even prior to that date some munsifs exercised the powers of magistrates. The accompanying Table No. 6 shows the disposal of civil work by the munsifs during the three financial years preceding 1956-57. Table No. 7 shows the average number of suits available for disposal, the average disposal and the average number of pending suits per munsif per year. The figures appearing in these Tables would seem to

TAMENO 4
District Judges

		Number		- Ch	riginal Shats				S⊐na	U Cauad Suit	:5	
You		officers	Pendice	Institu Tiens	Disposal	Balau	ice	Pending at the	Institu-	Dispossi	Bala	ince
		dilicery	begin- ming of the year	11.313		Below one year	Over one your	et the hegin- ning of the year	LLLONE	_	Reloy one year	Aest Oxet
1953-54		5	,:83	321	290	213	20₹	719	537	142	214	
954-55		6	414	190	2:0	165	229	214	291	396	109	
		6	394	232	т(-9	198	259	109	97	206		

·	Misce	Ляд <del>е</del> рия сая			Reg	ріст Аррес	ıls	Miscellaneous Appeals						
Pending or the	Institu-	Disposal	Palono	z	Produce at the	Institu-	Disposal	Bala		Pending	Institu-	Disposat	Bal	Roce
begue- eing of the year			Helaw one year	Отет оле усат	hegir- ning of the year			Helow one year	Over one year	begin- ning of the year	14120		Below one year	Over out year
439	[249	1127	504	57	473	231	274	310	60	 	Rtı	AOL	65	;
561	636	793	318	76	370	295	20R	402	55	68	106	116	54	4
394	129	664	950	107	457	213	136	391	123	5 R	126	T02	ат	

			h.T		Ses	віств Самсь				Crimin	al Appeals		
Year	Yeur		Number - offers		lostilu-	Dispusal	Balai	ioe	Pending	Inautni-	Disposal	Bolot	)ce
			Officers	at the logiuming of the year	rians		Below one year	Over one year	at the begi∟ning of the year	tions		Helow one year	Over one year
										<b></b>			· · · ·
1953-54			Iu	45	165	173	4u		108	577	579	112	
1954-55			10	40	147	-54	43		11.2	480	494	154	
1933-36			10	33	172	158	16		184	634	624	194	

	5	răminal Revisio	מה		Cu	ises under L	P. C. & oth	or Cases	
Pending	lastitutivas	Disposal	Ba3	auct	Prodice	Institu-	Disposal		alar ce
at the begin- ning of the year			Below one year	year Over Over	at the begin- ming of the year	tiuls	_	Below one year	Over cos pear
· —	· · <del>-</del>								
19	92	91	20						
20	25	%R	47						
47	275	111	55				••		

Table No. 5 Subordmitt Judges

					rtiginal Suit				Small	Cause \$	ய்!\$		
Yeur		Number	Pending at the		Disposal	Balanc	<u> </u>	Pending or the	lustitu-	Dispes		Balanc	:c
		Officers	beginning of the year			Helaw one year	Over one year	of the pognados			13: 0	ekow one car	Over and year
	-			 788	768	46.1	241	1216	5128		 182	1275	7
959-54		14		•		484		1336		_			
954-55		1±	•	8,66		314	266	1152	4กัเกี	40	ișo.	1113	35
955-56		14	780	804	849	543	192	1748	4360	53	181	1317	10
		lisac]lantou	a Cases			Regular A				Miso	ու մասարան առաջուցի br>Արտագրան առաջուցի առ	Appeals	
Pending at the	Institu-		Helen		Pending 1	nstitu-Disp	pand Bu	elance	Parating	Juatetu- rions	Disposa!	! Hala	out
negioning of the year	turis		Below one yest	Over one year	heginning of the year	1001	Helm one year	one	au the hegin- iding of the year	tions	-	Below one year	Over ona year
69B	1216	£341	§18	57	1302	1527 16	54 112	9 146	. 140	228	233	108	7
				\$3	1275	1385 [4			115	zı8	208	т28	
575	1331	7187	639	35	12/2	1300 13	FO T24	4 (57		<b>A</b> 111	2020	140	- 2

TABLE No. 6

:				·												-
			Orig	ոնում Suits				Small	Couse Sui	ira		Mis	cellaneo	ля Савсь		
Year	Number of	Pauling at the	Leatitu- riens	Disposal	Bala	ent Ent	Ponding or the	-utilenl annin	Disposal	Bal	2700		Tnariru- Liccie	- Dізроза1	Hal	zno:
	Ollicors	ning of begin-			Solow one: Seof	Over and year	begin- ning el the year			Eclow one year	Over sne year	begin- ning of the year			Below one year	ane
					-	· ·	·		- · ·-							
. :	2	1	4	5	6	7	8	9	In	11	12	13	4	12	16	17
1953-54	2	S 7597	18697	18790	7376	£28	79%	4613	4553	853	5	2009	3830	4118	1607	114
1954-55	2	8 7904	20046	19462	7852	516	858	4245	4254	840	9	1721	3520	4039	1416	86
1955-56	34	8488	19187	19802	7406	467	849	4354	4988	812	3	<b>15</b> 02	3944	3879	1515	52
	 												<b>. –</b> . – .			

Tania No. 7

Declar	tarion of Officers	Number	Oti	ginal Sujis		5r	mal! Cause S	Su ta		openos Case	s
17EMBII	CALLOCA COLOCA	Officers	Average ovail- able for disposul	Average disposal	Average pendency	Average avail- able for disposal	Average disposal	Average penderey	Average avail- able for disposal	Average disposul	Average pendancy
							<b>-</b> · ·			<del></del>	
	1	z	3	4	5	6	7	5	9	10	II
								—		· · · —	
Muraife		R6	95215	670.4	387-1	182.7	15914	29-4	195.6	136.8	551
- —								:			

show that the work in these courts is under control. The number of year old suits in the courts of munsife is gratifyingly low. An enhancement of small cause powers of munsifs to Rs. 500 will help them to dispose of proceedings more quickly. It was stated to us however that the munsils were generally inclined to postpone the trial of suits till cases were about to become a year old. Piecemeal hearings were also said to be common. We are of the view that a resumption of the rigid system of supervision which was said to be in force some years ago and which is said to have yielded gratifying results should be reintroduced—and day to day hearings insisted upon.

18. A practice appears to prevail in this State of passing Disposal of ex parte decrees on verifications in the plaints without oral ex. parte evidence being recorded. We have elsewhere expressed doubts about the correctness of this practice, and suggested that plaints should be verified on eath so that courts may be enabled to pass decrees on the statements on oath contained in the plaint without having to record oral evidence.

 We were informed that though village courts were Panchayan constituted under the Mysore Village Courts Act of 1913, Courts. the Courts were not availed of only one suit having been filed in these courts. The Mysore Village Panchayats and District Boards Act which provides for the establishment of village panchayats confers no judicial powers on them. We have dealt elsewhere in detail with the importance of establishing Panchayat Courts. We would urge the speedy establishment of these courts in this State.

- 20. In the reorganised State about four thousand five hundred and forty-one persons practise the profession of the law. Two thousand seven hundred and fifty-nine persons out of the total number are advocates. It is noteworthy that the fee for enrolement as an advocate is the lowest. Rs. 300 in this State compared with that charged in other States.
- 21. The accompanying Table (Table No. 8) shows the Criminal disposal of District and other Magistrates during courts, the three financial years 1953-54, 1954-55 and 1955-It appears that there has been a fall in number of cases under the Indian Panel Code pending for over a year. But a good deal remains to be done for bringing the work in the criminal courts up to date. The existing state of affairs seems to be partly due to the fact that the Civil Judges who are District Magistrates do not seem to appreciate that their duties are not confined to court work, and that they have also to perform the important duty of supervising the work of criminal courts—and seeing that it is kept up-to-date.

Pending C-lminal Appeals   Criminal Revaion   Cases under L.P.	Disposal Balar	исе Оует сисе
Officers at the trops ————————————————————————————————————	Ticlow 4	Over one
1959-54 . 51 (57 305 290 172 68 149 171 46 7410 89302 B		
1959-54 . 51 (57 305 290 172 68 149 171 46 7440 89302 90		
	90229 5522	1150
1954-55 🐱 Si 172 287 323 137 . 46 131 134 47 6702 85762 8	85572 5982	910
1955-56 - 50 137 105 212 130 47 98 BK 60 6892 84597 BC	86461 4250	748

22. Sessions cases do not seem to receive the proper Delays in attention of Sessions and Assistant Sessions Judges. The sessions following summary of Order Sheers in sessions case No. 6 of 1936 on the file of the District and Sessions Judge, Mysore, indicates what happens.

The case was committed on 28th April, 1956, registered on 1st May, 1956 and an order transferring the case to the court of the Assistant Sessions Judge was passed. A memofiled on 5th May, 1956, by the Public Prosecutor was taken up on 14th May, 1956 and the hearing was adjourned to 17th May, 1956. On 30th May, 1956, orders were passed retaining the case on the file of the Sessions Judge. Thereafter, the case was posted to 31st May, 1956 on which date it was adjourned to 2nd June, 1956 and again to 4th June, 1956 and a third time to 16th July, 1956. The case was not taken up on 16th July, 1956. The date of hearing was advanced to 2nd July, 1956 and at the request of the Public Prosecutor who stated that a criminal revision petition was pending before the District Magistrate it was adjourned and posted to 21st August, 1956 on which date it was again adjourned to 11th September, 1956. From 11th September, 1936 to 24th November, 1956, the case was adjourned seven times and posted to 7th January, 1957 for trial. On 5th December. 1956, orders were passed transferring the case to the Additional Sessions Judge for disposal. Thus the trial of the case did not commence even after seven months.

Similarly, sessions case No. 5 of 1956 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Shimoga, was committed on 13th April, 1956 and registered on 14th May, 1956. Fourteen adjournments extending over a period of six months were granted and the case was posted to 1st December, 1956, for trial.

This is a lamentable state of affairs. It undoubledly results from a complete want of responsibility in the judges concerned and a total lack of supervision. Extreme vigilance on the part of the High Court-and swift and severe disciplinary action in all cases of glackness are imperatively needed to set matters right.

23. A regrettable feature about the administration of payment of criminal justice in this State is that the prosecution wit-wireceses. nesses are not given travelling and subsistence allowance for attendance in Courts. We have been told that as a conscquence police officials find it difficult to obtain the presence of witnesses in courts, and adjournments become necessary. We recommend that immediate steps be taken to enable payment of bata to be made to witnesses. Considerations of justice and efficiency alike demand it.

Area and population. Orissa was originally a part of Bihar. It was carved out as a separate province in 1936 with the addition of parts of Garçam District of Madras. After Independence, twenty-four Eastern States were merged in the State, bringing its area to 60,136 sq. miles. The territory of the State has not been affected by the recent reorganisation of States. According to the last census, its population was 14,645,946. The State is divided into seventeen revenue districts, but for administrative purposes some of the districts are grouped together, so that the State has now thirteen composite administrative districts.

The High Court. 2. The Orissa High Court was constituted under the Orissa High Court Order, 1948 and started functioning with effect from the 26th July, 1948. Till then, the High Court of Pama exercised jurisdiction over that State. The strength of the Court has been usually four judges, except in 1951, when it had three judges and in 1954 when it had five judges. The strength at present is, however, five judges, the fifth judge having been appointed recently.

Subordinare. Judiciacy. 3. There are six district judgeships in the State. Prior to 1948, the State had only two judgeships, excluding the Agency tracts, where the District Magistrate under the designation of Agent exercised the powers of a district judge. Between 1946 and 1952 there were five judgeships. The sixth judgeship was created permanently in 1953 when normal administration was introduced in the district of Koraput and in the agency areas of Ganjam District. All the judicial divisions, except Koraput are composite judgeships, consisting of two or three districts with several circuits. The population under each judgeship varies considerably. Thus, according to the last census, the population in the judgeship of Curtack-Dhenkaral is 3,835,380 but at the other extreme, the population of the judgeship of Koraput is only 1,269,534.

Recouitmenti 4. The sanctioned strength of the district and additional district judges is thirteen. They form the Orissa Superior Judicial Service. Recruitment to these posts is made either directly from the Bar or by promotion of subordinate judges. According to the rules, recruitment by promotion should not be less than 33-1/3 per cent, and not more than 50 per cent, of the vacancies; we were however told, that during the last few years no direct appointment has been made from the Bar and all the posts had been filled by promotion. The subordinate judges and the munsifs belong to the Orissa Judicial Service, class I and class H. respectively. The sanctioned strength of subordinate judges

is 15 and of munsits 46, but the actual strength has never reached this figure. The number of subordinate judges has varied from twelve to fourteen and of the munsifs from twenty-nine to thirty-seven during the years 1951—56.

The pay scale of district judges and additional district judges is the same as the senior scale in the Indian Administrative Service. The scale of pay of a subordinate judge is Rs. 300—860; a munsif is appointed on an initial salary of Rs. 230 in the scale of Rs. 200—15—260—25—435—EB—25—610—EB—30—700. As compared to other States, the initial salary of a munsif in Orissa is very low.

The recruitment of subordinate judges is made by promotion from among the munsifs. We were however told, that the State Government had under consideration a proposal to recruit subordinate judges directly from the Bar. For the reasons given in our chapter on the Subordinate Judiciary we are against the adoption of such a course.

The munsits are recruited directly from the Bar on the recommendation of the State Public Service Commission. The requisite qualifications for appointment as a munsifiare, that the age of the randidate should be between 26 and 32 years and he should be a graduate in law with at least three years practice at the Bar. A peculiar feature of the recruitment rules is, that the Public Service Commission is required to send to the Government twice the number of names as there are vacancies and appointments are trade by the Government from that list. We are not aware, if there has been any instance, when a candidate placed higher in the list has been overlooked in favour of a person placed lower by the Commission, but the fact that the rule empowers the Government to do so is itself open to criticism.

We understand that recently the Government was constrained to reject all the selections made by the State Service Commission assisted by a district judge and to order a fresh selection as the faltness of the first test was impunged. This does not speak well of the existing system and the institution of a competitive examination of a practical character, as suggested by us carlier would effectively prevent such situations.

5. We may here draw attention to the manner in which Promotions, promotions are made in the judicial service in this State. It was learnt that the promotion from munsif to subordinate judge and from subordinate judge to district judge is almost automatic and is made on the basis of seniority. We were told that ever since the High Court came into existence in 1948. There has not been a single instance, when a subordinate judge has been passed over for promotion as district judge. Such automatic promotions as we have noticed earlier not only do not make for efficiency but go far to undermine it. It is for the High Court and

the Government to examine this aspect of the matter and to effect suitable changes in the present system of making appointments to selection posts.

Covil work in subordinate consta6. The following statement shows the total number of suits instituted in the subordinate courts during the years 1951--56:

Year	1951	1952	1953	1934	1955	1956
No. of suits in- suits in- surured in 80b- ordinate courts.	16.840	:4-134	1 <.028	14,376	:2,545	T2,930

It would be evident, that during the recent years there has been a downward trend in the number of the institutions. The fall in institutions is attributed to several factors, such as, the imposition in 1951 of a surcharge on court fees, the abolition of Zamindaris, the introduction of new tenancy laws, the establishment of Panchayats Adalati in which the smaller suits are filed; and a deteritration in the general economic condition of the people. The hulk of the litigation in the State is of small value. An analysis of the statistics of the last few years reveals, that nearly 56 per cent, of the litigation relates to suits below Rs. 5000. Suits below Rs. 2000 are about 94 per cent, and those below Rs. 1000 are about 88 per cent, of the total institutions. In 1956, out of a total of 12,920 suits, the suits valued above Rs. 10,000 were only 91.

- 7. The civil courts in Orissa are constituted under the Bengal and Assam Civil Courts Act, 1887. The pecuniary jurisdiction of munsifs is Rs. 1000, but selected munsifs may be vested with jurisdiction to try suits upto the value of Rs. 4000. Subordinate judges have unlimited pecuniary jurisdiction. The small cause jurisdiction of munsifs is limited to Rs. 250 and of subordinate judges to Rs. 500.
- 8. The state of the file of regular suits in the courts of munsifs and subordinate judges during the years 1951 to 1956 is shown separately in the following two statements:

Regular suits in the courts of Munifs

		Y	car		No. of Munsife	fastirutions	Tots1 Dispusal	Disposal after full trial	Average duration of full total cases in days	Pendency or the end of the year	Over one year old cases,
			1		. 2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1951					ΊΙ	10,342	:0,556	2377	98414		1743
1952				-	32	6,890	9,555	2797	440.2	7213	2245
1952					29	4.62K	9,552	2017	489.0	8803	9127
1954					38	9,00%	9,469	1873	561.5	9299	3802
1955					32	я,этг	8,719	r878	92510	3957	4977
1956	-		-		37	7,828	8,412			8990	3940

More, -Listinarious do not include cases restored es socieved by transfer,

Regular roots in the Courts of Schoolston Judges

							_	· <del></del>			—
	Year				No. of Subordinate Judges	[nst]rurions	Total Disposal	Dispose) after field raid	Average duragion of full trial coses in days	Total pendency	Pending for more rhan outs year
	τ				2	à	4	5	6	2	×
		 	-	 		·					
1951					14	9/3	1028	342	37115	τ1.11	334
1953					14	710	830	256	100-3	razu	47.
1953					:3	821	791	249	565° fi	1128	309
1954					11	751	702	224	67712	1230	679
1955					13	бор	896	333	75512	1227	7∡8
1956					14	390	1034			1089	042

Nurs.—Institutions do not include cases perfored or received by transfer etc.

9. The figures present a disheartening picture. Notwithstanding the progressive fall in institutions there has been a steady increase in the total number of pending suits, the number of a year old suits and the average duration. The position appears to have been continuously deteriorating, though the number of the judiciary cannot be said to be inadequate. An important feature revealed by these statements is that a very large proportion of the pending suits are more than one year old suits, being as high as 44 per cent, in the courts of munsifs and 60 per cent, in the courts of subordinate judges. The following statement shows the year of institution of the suits (including miscellancous cases).

Class o	of cas	ur.		Over 10 years	1945	1947	1948	1449	sggo	19 % I	1848	1952	1954	1955	Total
				<b></b> ·		· ·									
Munsiffs courts				54	5	8	13	24	44	129	404	1189	2245	5926	10053
Superior courts			-	2	,	5	3	7	:1	28	71	191	467	1254	2053
<del></del>		_	·- –		-										

.

.

.

Such a large proportion of old soits carried over year after year during the last many years clearly shows, that the judicial officers have not been devoting the required attention to the disposal of the more difficult and old suits, and instead, have preferred to dispose of comparatively lighter suits.

The percentage of suits disposed of after full trial is very small. In 1955, it was about 28 per cent, in the courts of manaifs and about 37 per cent, in the courts of subordinate judges. As uncontested suits are generally disposed of within one year, old suits would be with negligible exceptions, contested stills. The magnitude of the problem can be realised, if we take into account the capacity of the judicial officers to dispose of contested suits in a year and the number of old suits (i.e., more than one year old) -which are likely to be contested suits-that are pending and are required to be disposed of. It would be noticed, that the figure of suits pending for over one year (column 8) except in 1951 far exceeds the contested disposal (column 5) in any particular year. We have not got the exact figures of the contested disposal for the year 1956, but taking the average for the years 1951—55, it appears that at the present rate of disposal the existing strength of memsify will take about 11 years and of subordinate judges about 24 years to finish the suits pending at the end of 1956, and if attention is given exclusively to old suits, fresh suits will have scarcely any chance of disposal in the immediate future. It appears that situation has become very nearly unmanageable, do not suggest that the existing strength of judicial On the basis of disposals ന്നാലു വടിന്നുവിർത്തർ. similar officers in other States the strength would seem to be adequate for current work. In fact a former Chief Justice of Orissa has attributed the arrears to the "incompetancy" of judicial officers and not to the madequary of personne). We do not feel competent to express any opinion on this point. But until increased efficiency is brought about by better surpervision, training and more business like methods of work, on the basis of the current rate of disposals. the appointment of additional munsifa and subordinate judges for clearing off arrears appears to us to be unavoidable. It is not practicable to reduce and wipe out the hoge volume of arrears except by the employment of additional personnel. We therefore recommend that some additional munsifa and subordinate judges may be appointed on temporary basis, exclusively for the purpose of disposing of the old cases.

11. The average duration of suits decided after full trial in 1955 was 596 days i.e. one year and 8 months in the courts of munsifs and 756 days i.e. a little more than two years in the courts of subordinate judges. These exceed the time limits suggested by us. It would be noticed, that the average duration that progressively increased

since the year 1951. This increase in average duration, without any corresponding decrease in the number of pending old suits clearly suggests a slackness in the work of the judicial officers. This view derives support from the following two statements, which show the average disposal of munsife and subordinate judges during the years 1954 to 1956.

		Yes	Er			Average di (contested	speed of to and unever head	galar seits rested) per	Average d suits (con	ispesal of s tested and co) per lus	mall cause multipositess- id	Average di civil ee	ispusal of m ses sod per incad	iscellanege peritions
		ı	:				2			ş			4	
1954	<b>-</b>						275]			84		-	204	
1955							271			67			109	
1956							290			82			(40	
				 - :-			Average disposal		Average disposal	Average disposu)	Average disgosal	No. of Sub-judges		Average disposal
 Yeur						Me. of sub-Judges datag	Average disposal of tegular	Average disposal of sunsition cause suits	Average disposal of miscellane-	Average dispose) of civil appents	Average disposal of civil raiscella-neous spreals		Average disposal of sessions cases per Juani	
Yeur		 		 		Me. of sub-Judges datag	Average disposal es tegular suits per	Average disposal of email a conso suits	Average disposal of miscellane- ons civil cases and	Average dispose) of civil appents	disposat of civil russcella- nouss	Sob-judges deing scimulat	disposal of 50% iwas cases	disposal of criminal appeals
Уешт 1 1954	·	 		  · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	No. of sub-judges dring civil. work	Average disposal of tegular suits por head	Average disposal of sunsit sense suits per head	Average disposal of miscellime- ons civil cases and petitions	Average disposal of civil appents per head	disposal of civil nuscella- neous appeals	Sub-judges deing erimust work	disposal of 568:dwas cases per licad	disposis) of criminal appeals per head
-	· — — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 		  - -	  ·	No. of sub-judges dring civil work	Average disposal of tegular suits pur head	Average disposal of small a small a small per head	Average disposal of miscelf-income civil cases and perittions	Average disposu) of civi? appents per head	disposal of of civil nuscella- neous appeals	Sob-judges deing erimutel work	of of Sessions cases per licad	dispuial of criminal appeals per head

Note.—Columns 3, 4, 6 & 7 include contested and uncontested marters.

It is evident that the overage disposal of judicial officers is very low in this State. It is true that some of the mansifs have also been doing criminal work, but that would to some extent be more or less offset by the civil work done by some executive officers. In any case, it is quite apparent that the civil work calls for much greater attention.

- 12. There are indeed many reasons for the accumulation of arrears in this State. According to latest available Administration Report, 1955 issued by the High Court, the delay of long pending suits is attributable among other causes to the "inadequacy of staff and adjournments necessitated by pressure of work or allowed at the instance of the parties."
- 13. In considering the need for appointing additional afficers it must be remembered that the subordinate civil judiciary has never functioned at its full strength, since 1951, when the commonent strength of the subordinate judiciary was fixed at 46 mensifs and 15 subordinate judges. Even in 1956, the strength of mensifs was only 37, and of subordinate judges only 14. We think that this situation is partly due to the failure on the part of those concerned to appreciate in time the need for the adequacy of judicial strength. Had this been done earlier, much of the present congestion of work could have been easily avoided. It is therefore necessary that the existing vacancies should be filled up quickly so that the arrears may be cleared.

**Зараминова** 

- 14. It appears to us, that the low average disposal of the judicial officers, the high average duration of cases and the large pendency of old suits are due in part to the lack of effective supervision on the part of the High Court and the district judges. According to the General Rules and Circular Orders of the High Court, the subordinate courts have to submit periodical returns of the work done by them to the High Court through the district judges and an explanation given for every case pending for more than a year. Every district judge is also required to inspect annually if possible, but if not, of least once in every 18 months, each of the subordinate civil courts in his district. We find from the administration reports, that quite a fair number of Courts are inspected every year. However rules by themselves are ineffective to ensure officiency unless they are enforced. There is no point in calling for an explanation unless it is scretisized and appropriate instructions given. There would appear to be considerable scope for improvement in this direction.
- 15. Another feature which calls for comment is, that there is no systematic distribution of judicial business in the subordinate courts. Ordinarily a munsif should not be expected to bondle more than 300 to 400 regular suits at a time, but in Orissa some of the munsifs have to handle

700 to 900 cases at a time. In order to achieve optimum results, we think it necessary that the number of cases. A presiding officer is asked to handle, should be fixed within reasonable limits. We recommend that the work may be redistributed in this manner, by the creation, if necessary, of additional posts so that no judicial officer is over-burdened.

16. The congestion is apparently greater in the courts of subordinate judges, who have to do not only original civil work but have also to deal with a large number of civil appeals transferred to them by the district judges for disposal. They have also to try sessions cases and hear appeals against the orders of second class and third class magistrates as assistant sessions judges. The following statement shows that subordinate judges do a substantial proportion of work of the district and sessions judges.

			Disposal o Cive-app		Desposat a cu	
Y091	 	 	District S Judge	obordinate Judge	Ojanie, Judge	Supordi- nare Judge
1954 -			477	săț.	255	124
1958 -			7/24	\$45	282	96
т954 г			823	957	319	91

It is necessary that subordinate judges be given some relief from their civil appellate and sessions work if they are to dispose of civil suits within a reasonable time. We have already noticed that munsils generally exercise jurisdiction upon Rs. 1000 and some selected munsils are invested with powers to try suits upto Rs. 4000. But, as nearly 88 per cent, of the litigation in the subordinate emits relates to suits below Rs. 1000 and 94 per cent, below Rs. 2000, a mere increase in the jurisdiction of munsils is not likely to give much relief to the subordinate judges.

17. The position with regard to small cause suits in the courts of munsify and subordinate judges for the tri-ennial periods 1951 -53 and 1954—56 is given below:

	-	Courts of A	Sunsits	Churts of nate Ju	
	-	1051-53	1954-56	1950-54	-954-56
Institution .		9,316	8,fi22	5,687	4,618
Dispose)		9,589	8,592	2.43%	44744
Pendency at the stud of the Perjod,		<b>A40</b>	9 <b>98</b>	852	202

It will be noticed, that these suits also like original suits, show decreasing institutions and decreasing disposals. The average duration of a contested small vause suit in 1955 was 8 to 9 months in the courts of munsifa and about 12 months in the courts of subordinate judges. This is more than the standard time-limits suggested by us for the disposal of small cause suits, and has to be brought down to the suggested standards.

Courts of district and sessions Judges16. The following two statements show the civil and criminal work done by the district and sessions judges (including the additional district and sessions judges) during the years 1954—56.

# Civil work

		(	livII S	иів			Miss	. Civi	il Cases	& Petic	icm		Gü	rii Ap _i	peals			Civil,	Mise. A	– – ppcals	
үест		Pend - I ing to at the hegin- uidg of		aul -	Below	am e	ំពន្ធ ar th ជំ <b>ទន្</b> បែ	ourio ne ne	sti- Djs on poss	Rejor one	v Ovi	yni yna oe	si-Insti tution he gira-		]	Dver One	Pend- ing at the begin	rurje	in IDfs- on possi	Hala Below	
· —	_	AERL AS			yeur	yeqr	the ye	:Aľ		yes.		:he	g of Year 		yeor — .	year 	the 5±			yeor	≟en1
								ь	eser ece 31	നുള്ളു ശ	' Addit	ional)	Diaminj	ludges							
1934		*9	21	90	21	91 ##	2 <u>38</u>	939	382	172	83	1064	660	472	550	494	165	356	314	175	20
1955		52	26	23	24	32	<b>25</b> 5	445	362	221	810	1944	768	794	128	455	195	366	482	157	18
1956		ζń	67	3.6	50	49	331	480	392	<b>103</b>	185	a ros	906	Яzэ́	662	469	175	473	-	298	24

Criminal work

																- —	
			800	зіртя сдзек				Ori	ntinal Ap	peds			Orin	minal Revi	sēnaus		
Year		Punding or the	Institu-	Disposal	Вайаг		Pending - arche	โตรต่าน- ใ น้อง	Disposal		uixe	Pending		Disposal	Bøl	aucs	
14.		begin ning of the year		_	Below and year	Over one year	orgin- ning of the yea	104		Below one wear	Over 000 year	- at the begut- rice of the year	riar,	-	Below cold year	Over one yes.i	•
	-																
					I.	)istrier (	Qr Semious	Findges im	l Additio	nai Distri	rei & Se	ssions Judg	ir.				
1934		-14	245	155	70%	2	615	1029	1271	333	7.43	8,1	305	27.9	309	-	
1955		705	318	282	141	r	372	938	1056	241	4	110	128	291	47		
1956		1.12	328	3.0	156	4	2.[5	1380	1975	840	,	27	279	223	99	1	ŧ
							Ass	inam Sem	ious Juda	ms.							9
1954		47	340	-43	3.1	3											
1955		34	38	96	25			7	ι	1							
1956		25	100	9 r	34	ι	c c	134	92	15							
																_	

The spoyd-unnifound figures have been applied by the High Court of Arista.

There are however some sight discrepancies, which cause inclavoleed,

It will be noticed, that the work of district and sessions judges is heavily in arrears, particularly on the civil side. On the criminal side, the existing strength of the higher judiciary is just able to keep pace with the current institu-tions. The original suits tried by district judges are generally of a special nature. Although the number of suits is not very large, nevertheless, the rate of disposal has been low. In 1955, the average duration of cases disposed of after full trial was as high as 770 days i.e., over 2 years. A large number of civil appeals are also pending, out of which nearly 42 per cent, were more than one year old in 1956. The average duration of a contested civil appeal was 656 days as, about 1 year and 10 months, being the highest in India in that year. The administration reports also show, that, the average nuration of original suits, civ.) appeals and sessions cases has been progressively rising since 1951. All these factors clearly indicate, that the existing strongth of the higher judiciary is incapable of controlling the files. The work on the civil side seems to suffer greatly as district and sessions judges are apparently (mable to devote sufficient time and attention to this part of their work. We have unticed carlier, that quite a substantial part of their work is shared by subordinate judges, who deal with civil and criminal appeals and also disnose of sessions cases. given to subordinate judges, by relieving them of much of their civil appullate and session work as suggested by us, a still heavier burden will be thrown on district and additional district judges. The district judges will also have to devote more time to their supervisory duties. In view of these facts, we are of the view that the strength of the Higher judiciary needs to be augmented.

^{19.} The work done in the High Court, since it came High Courtinto existence in 1948, is shown in the following statement:

Statement showing the institution and disposal of proceedings in the High Court of Orissa during the years 1948—1956

		r948	1949	1820	1951	1952	1959	1954	1955	1956	
Number of Judges		. 4	4	4	3	+	<u>-</u>		4	4	1
Pirst Appeals against decrees .	1	62	LOR	74	89	<b>78</b>	59	31	49	68	,
	מ	33	55	55	41	31	62	81	69	34	
	ľ	168	221	240	288	335	3:3	263	243	277	
Second Appeals against decrees .	1	568	536	709	57 <b>5</b>	474	339	314	296	335	
•	ס	264	551	455	300	204	350	867	901	386	
	I3	1120	1105	1359	1633	1903	1892	T339	734	689	8
Appeals against orders	i	94	93	75	114	84	86	78	87	103	
	D	70	72	60	114	TU9	99	132	80	60	
	P	150	171	τ86	186	161	148	94	153	c4ñ	
Lotters Patent Appeals	t	4	6	10	I	2	2	4	7	4	
	D		3		2	8	у	1	9	I	
	P	4	7	17	16	10	3	6	4	7	
Civil Revisions (including Small Cause Revisions).	1	288	370	377	295	255	215	213	268	2Ĥ4	
V-107 200 1022 0012).	D	βŢι	318	340	248	322	<b>291</b>	254	229	216	
	r	233	285	262	309	242	166	125	264	232	

Ogminal Appeals		. [	92	121	106	113	N.A.	737	Zaŝ	174	205
		ט	66	128	No	ξī	N.A	145	106	214	168
		Ľ	11	44	So	92	roi	90	192	252	284)
Criminal Revisions .	-	. Т	40%	490	670	742	N.A.	480	4*1	301	283
		$\mathbf{D} \cdot$	361	711	6-7	943	N.A.	647	448	534	285
		P	195	177	230	gay	283	776	92	110	122
Writs		. !					71	100	.106	450	472
		D					81	92	105	173	28.5
		ľ					53	16	367	594	484

 $Nort.{\leftarrow}I{\rightarrow}1$  ) direction - suso include matters restored and a horwise releived.

 $D = D(\epsilon) \cos \epsilon i$ ,

 $P\!\!=\!\!P\!\!=\!\!P\!\!=\!\!pd$  of the year,

N.A. - No available.

20. This statement reveals, that the work of the High Court is very much in arroars. A large number of first appeals, second appeals, criminal appeals and writ matters are pending. On the basis of the average disposals for the last six years, 1951 to 1956, it may take the court over 5 years to dispose of the first appeals and about 2½ years to dispose of the second appeals, criminal appeals and writ matters pending at the end of 1956. The average duration of a contested first appeal in 1955 was 1518 days i.e. 4-3 years and of contested second appeals was 1088 i.e. about 3 years. Even the criminal work in the High Court is somewhat in arrears. The following statement shows that there is great need for improvement in the state of the file of the High Court.

Yesi					Piret Appeuls ugainst decrees	Second Appeals against decrees	Appeals against orders	Writs	Civil conslets	Carimana) appeals	Criminal revision
:					2	1	4	5	c	7	à
 •94∄		- ·	_						·	•	
1944											
1545					r						
1946							.:				
1947					ι						
1948					4	r					
7949					у	1			1		
1950	-				18	1					
:9:1	-				47	2	a.	٠.			
1952					44	18	3	6			٠.
1953					36	69	6				
1954					22	114	79	93	. ,	15	٠
1955					40	(88	34	151	. 63	39	5
1956	-				62	286	77	229	199	175	117
		Total		. –	277	683	146	484	232	289	122

21. Although we have suggested in the Chapter on "Civil Appeals" that the appellate jurisdiction of District Judges may be raised to Rs. 10,000, the immediate adoption of such a course does not appear to be advisable in this State as the number of suits valued below Rs. 5,000 are very few, and its adoption will result in practically abelishing the first appellate jurisdiction of the High Court as will be shown by the table below:

				'		-	
	Year		Total Nn. 18 an is	S.d.s twlow Rs. v.coo	No. of Suits hetween Rs. 5,000/- to Rs. ra.coa	No. of Siles bleer <b>R</b> s. 10.000	No. of Suits of which value rannor to saj- mated
1954		_	r4-376	14,092	153	179	
1955			13,848	முன்ற	173	tTE	3
1956			12,920	T2,690	98	91	35
			_				

According to the rules of the High Court, a single judge has no power to hear first appeals. He can hear second appeals only upto the value of Rs. 2,000 and criminal appeals where the substantive sentence does not exceed imprisonment for one year. Even if for special local reasons, it is not possible to enhance the power of a single judge to the full extent recommended by us, we are of the view that the powers of a single judge should be enlarged to enable him to hear all first appeals upto Rs. 10,000, all second appeals and criminal appeals where the sentence of imprisonment does not exceed seven years. This will result in a considerable saving of judge-power and there will be greater expedition in the disposal of proceedings, It is true that members of the Cuttack Bar in their evidence before us expressed themselves against any increase in the powers of a single judge. We are, however, confident that the opposition which really steps from a disinclination to change, will disappear as soon as its working demonstrates its advantages which have been appreciated in other States.

23. The chief difficulty felt by the High Court has been, that in the past, with the strength of only four judges, it was not practicable to form the requisite number of Benches. With the recent appointment of a fifth judge, this difficulty should now disappear. It will appear from the statement of work done by the High Court that in 1954, when the High Court had five judges, its disposals showed an appreciable increase. With five judges the court can function with at least three benches sitting community, one division Bench doing civil work, another division Bench criminal work and a single bench doing other work.

24. The High Court rules provide that writ matters can be normally heard only by a Division Bench presided over by the Chief Justice. Whatever may have been the reasons for enacting the rule, it appears to have led to a large number of writ petitions remaining pending for over a year. We would, therefore, suggest the abrogation or modification of the rule.

25. Separation of the judiciary from the executive has Compat not yet been effected in this State although detailed schemes subordinate lor this purpose have been worked out by an expert committee. It is learnt that the State Government, has decided to introduce separation in some of the coastal districts, as an experimental measure.

The bulk of the criminal work at district and subdivisional headquarters is done by deputy magistrates and sub-deputy magistrates borne on Orisso Administrative Service and Orism Subordinate Administrative Service respectively. Some munkifs have also been invested with magisterial powers,

26. The following statement shows the work done by magistrates during the years 1950-55.

ı		
:		ī
•	Ξ	
4		
	4	í

Yea	=				No. of magis- trates	Insti- .vicions	Disposal	Average duration in days for cases thicks IPC	Average duration in duys for cases under special fave	General average in days	Pendency
T953		· <b>-</b> · ·			· ————			N.A.	N.A.		
1913						.ja.134	F2-316	.4.0.	15.35.	2001	6,419
1931				•	qoz St. plus zz omaads	45,797	15493	90 · 7	7317	3114	9,783
1955	٠		٠	•	307 St. ph 16 numsääs	17:739	49,100	4916	17:9	32.5	14:451

Sr. a Scipendiary - Mogistrates N.A. = Not available

It will be noticed that in 1955, the last year for which the statistical data was made available to us, while the number of institution was 47,739, the disposal amounted only to 43,900. The average duration of cases under the Indian Penal Code is high. There are also a number of a year old cases. The position in 1955 was thus described by the High Court in its Report on the administration of Criminal Justice in the State of Orissa for the year 1955;—

"The pendency of cases before the Magistrates has considerably gone up at the end of the year under report in comparison with that of the preceding year. The duration on the trial of cases by them including commitment proceedings, also has increased when compared with the corresponding figures of the preceding year. As in the previous year, many of the subordinate judges and munsifs continued to lend assistance in the disposal of criminal cases during the year. The unsatisfactory state of the criminal file was more conspicuous in the districts of Ganjam, Puri, Cuttack, Balasore, Sambalpur and Koroput as was in the last year. Long duration in the disposal of cases in the courts of Magistrates is noticed in the districts of Ganjam, Balasore. Kenonjhar and Boudh It is reported that this long duration is partly due to frequent transfer of magistrates resulting in descripe trial of the cases and partly due to their engagement in the numerous executive functions or absence on leave. The District Magistrate, Ganjum reports that delay in service of processes, also contributed, much towards delay in disposal of cases and he suggests that in order to effectively provent such delay the existing system of getting the summons served through police agency should be discontinued and the North Orissa system of Nazarat by immediately established.

In spite of the special drive undertaken for the disposal of the year old cases, the Court finds that the unsatisfactory state of the criminal file specially in the coastal districts of the State and also in Kocaput still persists and they observe that the only solution that can be thought of in the matter is the immediate implementation of the scheme for separation of the Judiciary from the Executive."

27. We were also told that on account of the uncertainty with regard to the implementation of separation of the executive and the judiciacy, the executive magistrates display a lack of interest in their court work. A feeling seems to be prevalent among them that their judicial work is of little consequence and is not taken into account in determining their fitness for promotion. This unbealthy trend must be controlled. If such a feeling is allowed to continue, till separation is latroduced, the judicial magistrates will have a legacy of heavy arrears, which will affect their efficiency and even undermine the success of the scheme. It is therefore imperative that pending the introduction of separation,

Government should make it clear to the executive magistrates that slockness in their judicial work will not betolerated end that neglect of this part, of their duties, will be visited with as serious consequences as neglect of their administrative dusies.

28. Prosecutions in magistrate's Courts are conducted by trained police officers, except in the Districts of Cultack and Ganjam, where as an experimental measure, assistant public prosecutions recruited from the bar have been appointed. They start on a salary of Rs. 250 p.m. and have a status equivalent to that of a deputy superintendent of police. The appointments are made temperarily for a perion of five years. The Assistant public prosecutors function under the administrative control of the police department. It was stated that if the experiment proved successful, it would be extended to other districts.

Hoastativ Magistraces Registrat SYST, ITI.

- There are no honorary magistrates in this State,
- 30. In the Cuttack judgeship, there is a Registrar attached to the District Judge's Court. He looks after the working of the Nizsrat, Record room, copying department, accounts and receives plaints, execution politions, memoranda of appeals etc. Affidavita for all the civil courts of Cuttack are sworn before him. He also attends to theordinary correspondence of the district judge e.g., proposals of expenditure in the judgeship, annual increments of the staff, leave applications of the ministerial officers, preparation of budgels, scrutiny of indents for forms and stationery, ceruting of memalify and quarterly returns and administraffive reports of the courts etc. This system is reported to have worked quite satisfactorily. The High Court has recommended its exums on also to Berhampur where there is a fairly heavy concentration of courts.

Cance age-

- The pattern is different in North and South Orissa. tion of courts Revenue districts in south Orises districts follow the Madros pattern of being divided into Taluka but in North Orissa the asplicts are divided on the Bengal pattern and thereis no taluko system. Criminal courls have been decentralised and established in all tables headquarters in south Orissa, but in north Orissa all courts, civil and criminal are concentrated at district and sub-divisional headquarters This renders any attempt to decentralise courts in this area difficult. The bar is also likely to resist efforts in this direction but nevertheless some steps should be taken toeffect decentralisation even if the progress made is gradual.
- Pricing were wide-spread complaints that the court Cata Day less levired in the State were exercitable. In 1947, a succharge of 4 onnes per rupee was imposed on the fees leviable under the Court Fecs Act. 1870. This rate was doubled in 1951. The court fees on suits of small value in:

this Stare are the highest in India and the burden is particutarly great as about 94 per cent. of the suits in this State are valued below Rs. 2,000. We were told that the increase in the court fee has greatly bit the poorer titigants, with the result that the doors of the courts have been closed to many of them and inerc has been an appreciable fall in institutions of civil suits since 1951.

33. Panchayat courts have been established under the Panchayat Grama Panchayat Act, 1948 throughout the State with the starts, exception of the District of Koraput. Details regarding their constitution and powers will be found in the Tables in our chapter on Panchayats.

The following statement of the number of cases disposed of by the Panchayat Courts for the years 1954—56 shows that they are doing progressively increasing work. There appears, however, to be scope for popularising these courts, increasing their number and enhancing their pecuniary progletion to civil matters which is now limited to Rs. 25.

Year	er bagga et	ling 102 1030g - 1 138 ur	astitution	Disposal	Ratance
	· · · · ·	·	1	4	
	····				
:05/					
Givit		\$1. ±	957	0.45	413
Crimina)		7.66	1787	(730	303
7958					
Civit		413	1813	1684	-74
Carinti (a)		90%	4363	3970	539
rySo					
Cive!*		341	973	şe.	41:
€mnonar*		194	\$29.60	: 12 *	437
					-

 $[\]star_{\rm CMS} g_{\rm BLOS}$  designating to the year high-later two completes.

Considering the work done by the Panchayat Courts during the year 1955, it is evident that they have great future potentialities and are bound to be increasingly useful in relieving the subordinate courts of their petty civil and criminal work.

### 58.—PUNJAB

Ates and population,

The territory subject to the jurisdiction of the High-Court of Punjab (India) including the Union Territory of: Delhi comprises an area of 48,075 square miles inhabited by a population of about 17,878,962 persons.

High Court strength.

2. The High Court, the principal seat of which is in Chandigarh, has a strength of filteen judges including the Chief Justice and four additional judges appointed for a period of two years. This High Court was constituted, after the partition of the country in 1947 and started functioning in Simla with seven judges including the Chief Justice. About three thousand pending cases were transferred to it from Lahore.

Pendency.

3. It must be pointed out that due to the fallure on the part of those concerned to increase the number of judges in time, in spite of the requests of successive Chief Justices the arrears have accumulated steadily. In our chapter on the High Courts we have already referred to the great delays in filling up vacancies in this High Court. The following Table (Table No. 1) shows the progressive increase in arrears.

#### TABLE NO. 1

Cases pending on 1st January 1948, 2955. Cases pending on 1st January 1949, 3114. Cases pending on 1st January 1950, 4804. Cases pending on 1st January 1951, 4821. Cases pending on 1st January 1952, 4578. Cases pending on 1st January 1953, 5096. Cases pending on 1st January 1954, 5560. Cases pending on 1st January 1955, 6191. Cases pending on 1st January 1956, 7503. Cases pending on 1st January 1957, 11493.

Need for additionel judges.

- 4. The position in 1956 was described as follows by a Judge of that court:

by the Chief Justice "strongly advocating the appointment of an additional Judge. This communication was unable to elicit a lavourable response from the Punjab Government. In February, 1954, the Chief Justice of India expressed the view that unless two more Judges were appointed it would not be possible to tackle the arrears". On the 14th October, 1954, the Chief Justice of the State asked organity for the appointment of two more Judges, "It is unfortunate that no reply has been received from Government so far aithough eighteen months have gone by

"It may, perhaps, be mentioned that we have only seven Judges to cope with a pending file of about 7,500 cases whereas immediately before partition the Lahore High Court had as many as fourteen Judges to deal with a pending file of 7175 cases. Even the appointment of two more Judges will not afford us much relief.

"We have several regular first appeals which were instituted as long ago as the year 1949. If justice delayed is justice denied I am certain we are denying justice to a very large number of litigants. We are certainly lowering the dignity of the Courts and bringing Government into hatred and contempt."

5. The executive seems to have failed to realise that it is as much its duty and responsibility as that of the head of the State Judiciary to see that justice is promptly dispensed. We have not been able to discover the reasons for the failure to add to the number of Judges in time, although it appears that the Chief Justice of India had expressed himself in favour of such a course. The representative of the Punjah Government stated in reply to a question about the reasons for inordinate delays in the appointments of the High Court Judges that he would not risk an answer; an answer which we found it difficult to understand. According to the figures worked out by us during the years in which the executive showed this worful neglect in complying with the legitimate demand for an addition to the High Court Judiciary the State earned a surplus of Rs. 13,82,126. Rs. 36,08,368 and Rs. 41,19,574 respectively from the administration of justice.

Two additional judges were appointed on 10th October 1957 only after the rise in pendency consequent on the merger of Pepsu and two more were appointed in August, 1956. The accompanying Tables (Tables Nos. 2 and 3) show the judicial business transacted by the High Court during the triennium ending with 1956, the different categories of proceedings pending on 1-1-1957, and the years of institutions of the pending proceedings. Though the appointment of four additional judges will give some relief we doubt whether even with this added strength it will be possible to bring the file under control within a reasonable time—say, two years.

Table No. 2

Statement sharing the institution and disposal of Civil and Criminal Proposatings in the Punjah High Court

			<del></del> -		<u></u>	\ <u>-</u> _						
			1954			1955			295G			
S, No.	Nature of pro-	Poiding at the heghnaling	Institu- tian	Disposal	Pending or the beginning	lastite- sion	Disposo;	Pending at the beginging	Institu- ting	Received from PEPSU	Disposal	Pendin en 1-1-57
t	2	э	+	5	6	7	В	9	19	:1		r3
	Regular Firs; Appeals	941	÷29	174	10-6	272	99		<del></del>			1630
2	Regular Second Appeals	2.280	1185	1233	2245	taĝa	913	2412	1075	852	537	380
3	Appeals against Orders and Execution Appeals	189	4 <b>?</b> =	373	285	357	350	495	,67	3:	304	çar
4	Lerters Patent/ Special Appeals	321	155	97	279	170	99	240	196	6a	743	363
•	Civil/Criminal Writs	260	852	შივ	307	740	648	199	887	105	1400	734
: 1	Reviewa	Figures	relatin <u>t</u> :c	u tisis item a	:# included i	n štegt No.	ti below.					
í	Civil Revisions	337	348	840	345	946	735	556	(019	207	793	987
. (	GivII References	1		·	2.4.		.53	.172	,		793	42/
<b>g</b> - ]	Leave to appeal to Supreme Court.	Figures	relucing (c	(běse írema	9:0 included	in stem No	o, sa below.					

•		5561	19:12	9481	6191	10832	952C	7503	12040	1,006	9756	T 1493
7	Miscellancons , ]											
6	Other References	Pigures :	الادد والأنجاء	æse items a	re included	in item No	i s altove.					
5	References C/S )											
4	Confirmation Coses , ,	t _i -	79	₹4	12	R ₅	79	· th	55	1	61	=:
3	Criminal Revi- sions , ,	_86	it.ir	1569	328	:168	1493	363	1974	23	1595	15
2	Criminal Appeals	244	748	724	239	664	644	23%	817	111	729	43
E	Miswhancous *	628	3732	3456	929	179K	4397	1310	5326	10%	462:	20

TABLE No. 3

Standment showing details of the proceedings pending on 1-1-1757 in the Punjab High Court according to the year of institution of pensing proceedings.

Description of cases	1947	1948	1949	1950	Iggt	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	Tetal
Regular Firm appeals			23	(23	134	 229	216	311	282	286	r69 <b>6</b>
Regular Spontid apposts		ı	32	79	147	417	647	825	737	y27	3503
Miscellanoous nopeals				3	2	7	32	150	235	285	727
Civil Registras .					3	34	140	267	296	339	989
Legrers Patinti apposits					6	ŧŝ	33	25	89	142	253
Civil Writs .							9	29	230	384	652
Original Suits .				18	6	14	45	59	73	tark	289
Oriminal appeals					2	2		7	61	356	438
Criminal revisions								ī	20	384	406
Munder References										73	13
Misc. Cazes						25	29	189	89.2	2034	2207
Total	- <del></del> -		55	114	302	739	1126	1925	28:5	4375	11,493

 At present, a judge of the High Court sitting singly Februare; council hear and dispose of first appeals against decrees, ment of A single judge can dispose of all second appeals valued single indeupto Rs. 2,000 in land suits and Rs. 5,000 in other suits including cross-objections. This, perhaps, accounts for the low disposal of first and second appeals in the High Court.

Litigation in this State except perhaps in matters of customary law is not more complicated than in other States and we recommend that a judge of the High Court sitting singly should be empowered to hear and dispose of all first appeals valued upto Rs. 10,000 and all second appeals irrespective of valuation. We are confident that the enhancement of single judge's powers to the limits aforementioned will without affecting in any manner the soundness of the administration of justice contribute to the expeditions disposal of cases.

The following Table (Table No. 4) shows the institu- Enhancetion of regular first appeals of different valuations during ment of the triennium 1954-56. It will be clear from the state- of district. ment that the institution of first appeals in the High Court judges, can be reduced by about fifty per cent, if the appellate powers of the District Judges were to be enhanced to Rs. 10,000. This may be done and pending proceedings below that value transferred to the district courts to relieve pressure on the High Court.

TABLE NO. 4

	1954	1954	1956
Regular First Appeals Below Rs. 50001	29	23	32
Петмеен Rs, 5000 and Rs, 16,500	[25	105	103
Above Rs. 10,010/4	155	14]	116

7. A Bench of the High Court popularly known as the Circuit Circuit Bench has been sitting in Delhi since 1952 under Bench. the provisions of the Letters Patent of the Jahore High Court. Originally, the judges of the High Court used in turn to go in circuit to Deihi in batches of two to dispose of the proceedings arising within the Delhi aron, for some time past, this system has been changed and two judges have been sitting in Delhi throughout the year the roster in Delhi being changed only at the end of a year. Apart from the arguments generally advanced in favour of establishing Benches, it was also urged that the Deloi Bench made for the convenience of litigants from different parts of India who had to seek redress in the Punjab High Court in view of the decision of the Supreme Court in Saka Venkata Rao's case. But the number of such cases would

be small. Some witnesses however expressed the view that the Circuit Bench had been established not because the circumstances warranted it but because the persons in authority had to yield to political pressure and advocated abolition of the Bench. We do not find any sufficient reason for not adhering in this case to the views expressed by us in our chapter on the High Courts.

Subordinary courts.

8. Section 18 of the Punjab Courts Act, 1918, provides for the establishment of three classes of courts in addition to the courts of small causes established under the provisions of the Provincial Small Course Courts Act, 1887; they are the Courts of District Judges, the Courts of Additional District Judges and Courts of Subordinate Judges. The Courts of Subordinate Judges have been divided into four classes, namely, First Class, Second Class, Third Class and Fourth Class. The pecuniary jurisdiction exercised by the different classes of subordinate judges, and Judges of small cause courts is set down in the following Table (Table No. 5).

### Table No. 5

## In Reguer Suits

- 1. Sub-Judge 4th Class, Not exceeding Rs. 1,000.
- 2. Sub-Judge 3rd Class, Not exceeding Rs. 2,000.
- Sub-Judge 2nd Class, Not exceeding Hs. 5,000.
- Sub Judge 1st Class, Unlimited.

## In Small Course Suits

- 1. Judge, Small Cause Court, Delhi, upto Rs. 1,000.
- Judge, Small Cause Court, Amritsat and Simla, upto Rs. 500.

Some Sub-Judges are invested with Small Cause Court powers to try suits valued between Rs. 50 and Rs. 250, where necessary.

Pecaniary Invisatesian of Sub-Judges in the erstwistly State of Pepsu

- Sub-Judge 3rd Class upto Rs. 2,000.
- Sub-Judge 2nd Class upto Rs. 5,000.
- S. Sub Judge 1st Class upto Rs. 10,000.
- 4. District Judge Unlimited.

It is very necessary that the jurisdiction of the officers is rendered uniform and a common civit courts Act he made applicable to the entire State.

9. Before we proceed to deal with the judicial business transacted by the different classes of civil courts enumerated above, we shall give a brief account of the method of recruitment to the subordinate judiciary in this State.

10 The subordinare judiciary is divided into two Subordinates cadres—(1) the Punjab Civil Service (Judicial Branch); and Judiciar : (2) the Superior Judicial Service. The permanent strength tion—and of the cadre of the Judicial Branch of the Punjab Civil recruitment. Service after the merger of PEPSU is 123 including two posts in the selection grade. Officers in this service are recruited as subordinate judges in the scale of Rs. 300- 30-510-15, B. -30-600-40-720-5, B. -40-800-850. The scale of they of the two posts in the selection grade is Rs. 900--50--1,200.

- 1). Roles for appointment to this service have been made by the Government of Prorjet after consultation with the State Public Service Commission and the High Court. Reconlitment is from two sources:—
  - (1) Law Graduates of net less than 23 and not more than 27 years of age who are not practising lawvers, and
  - (2) practising lowyers or law graduates serving under the Teinjah Government or High Court of not less than 4 years according in each case and who have not attained the age of 37 years on the date of appoint-The age limit may be relaxed in favour of any candidate to the extent to which he was prevented from practising at the Bar in consequence of his being appointed a Subordinate Judge in a temporary capacity.

Capdidates are required to entail themselves on registers. maintained by the District Judges of persons suitable for aymointment as subordinate judges, and have to pass a competitive evaraination in which they are required to answer three payers in law, one in English composition and one in one of the regions, languages of the State. The names of the successful candidates are entered in a register and kent there for a period of two years during which they are required to pass the higher standard denartmental ewaymination,

Although H is theoretically possible for a successful candidate who has qualified in the departmental examinations to be rejerred as being over-aged we were teld. That such contingencies did not arise and that the number of candidates entered on the register was limited to those who could be absorbed.

The procedure relating to the maintenance of rolls by the district judge and a pegister of selected candidates, by the High Courts appears to be somewhat complicated and can be simplified with advantage.

12 The subjects included in the departmental examina- Training. tion are Civil, Criminal and Revenue law, accounts and languages (Hindi, Punjabi and Urdu). On completing the departmental examination the candidate has to undergo-

a period of training before he is appointed as a Sub-Judge. This period is generally of six months duration and besides training in judicial work under senior judicial officers, and with the Nazir and the Clerk of the Court, the condidate is also trained in revenue administration, such as, field work, mutation, registration etc. But this training and sometimes even the departmental examinations are dispensed with if there is a shortage of officers. This does not appear to be advisable particularly in the case of law graduates who have not been practising lawyers.

Henomey Sub-Judges.

13. While section 28 of the Punjab Courts Act provides for the appointment of honorary subordinate judges, we understand that such appointments have not been made in recent times. We recommend the repeal of this provision as the work of untrained and legally unqualified subordinate judges working on a part-time basis is not likely to be satisfactory.

Superior Judical Service 14. The Superior Judicial Service consists of twenty-one district and sessions judges including four additional district and sessions judges and two officers in the deputation reserve. The scale of pay of officers in this cadre is Rs. 808—50—1000—60—1300—50—1800 with one post of Rs. 3000/- in the selection grade. The Registrar of the High Court may or may not be a District Judge. The rules for recruitment of these officers are said to be still under consideration—of the Government.

Nature of litigation 15. It may be mentioned that about fifty per cent of the total number of suits instituted in the State during any one year relate to immovable property. Disposal of suits relating to immovable property undoubtedly takes more time than that required for disposal of money suits. Nevertheless, as will be seen from the figures given in the accompanying Tables (Table Nos. 6 and 7), the courts have been not only able to keep pace with the institutions but also cleared off the post arrears.

State of civil work. The number of a year old suits as well as the average duration of contested suits is fairly low,

16. The judicial business transacted by the Courts of district judges, subordinate judges and small cause judges and the number of original suits pending in their courts on 1-1-2056 according to the years of institution of the pending suits are shown in the accompanying Tables (Table Nos. 7 and 8). The average duration in days of original suits disposed of by district judges and subordinate judges in 1953, 1954 and 1955 after full trial is shown below:—

		\fear				Distrior Judg <del>e</del> s	Subordinere ) déges	
		I				2	9	
-	т953					351	285 238	
	1994			-		195	238	
	1955		<u>÷</u>	:	:	252	<del></del>	

TABLE No. 6

		_	همنولین	Strice		J	Miscellano	uus Suite
¥ен"			Instituted	Di	sposed of	Instituted	ט	ispesed of
			-	Total	After contest of the social disposed shows in column 3		D'atul	After content of the tenal disposed above in column 6
				1	4		6	9
1951 1952 1953 1964 1955	:	:	20,978 19,873 19,585 22,010 21,830	20,522 20,747 21,498 22,383 21,216	7:472 7:508 6:179 7:845 7:596	15,218 16,867 16,201 17,936 16,686	15,683 15,791 17,520 18,439 15,99]	8,929 7,256 8,391 9,382 8,073
			Regular	Appen!s		Mis	collandous Appea	la
·	<b></b>	v.—·		Disposed of		Instituted	Disposer	t of
Instit	u:ed		То	atiti disco-	tr COLTEST he total red shown column 3		'Tors!	After contest of the corol disposal shown in column g
	8		. ,		το	1t	12	13
4:53 4:43 4:85 5:33 4:86	3D \$2		3 5 5	401 .738 .032 .358	3,865 3,325 4,532 4,753 4,006	1,482 1,440 1,542 1,563 1,857	1,480 1,289 1,629 1,701 1,389	1,264 1,317 1,375 1,478 1,613

TABLE No. 7

				Civil	Spira					Civn Misce	iloacous Ca	805	
Year	,	Pending at the	Institu-	D(sp.:sa)	Afrer (albums)		raing	Ponding	Jragan-	Disposar	After	Pen	ting ·
		year of the beginning	- 1111.			ttime close of the year	Бог поле года и уват	a. der heginning et the year	li::u		tuid .rivii	At the coast of the year	For more than a year
Ξ		2	;	4	١	6	7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·			73	23
						···· · · · · j	Эйгэна (Сам.)			-··· <del>·</del>			
1953		34	37	1;	.15	24	- 11	277	kod	934	848	121	8
4954		. 31	62	52	35	φт	7	122	503	869	751	ŋΛ	4
1955		. 44	un	5:	51	5.6	7	90	533	710	588	212	+
					Court	of Subor.	lviate Judge:						
1953		21322	177772	rgfiy2	7797	9402	1210	6174	15297	16453	0523	5019	<b>4</b> 51
1954		9,100	20008	23992	7359	9203	481	5014	17017	17:243	2506	451:	181
1955	-	92.12	19987	10477	693-	9335	465	4344	15741	15/80	7422	5103	263
						wall Gour	с Ослета						
1953	-	355	(670	1679	2 /2	366	2	5	98	43	F2.	10	
1954	-	379	1797	1799	.542	39:		to	79	30	.4	:1	
1955		394	1593	1131	30	458	1	9	703	8,2	44	23	

		Civil Appeals				Civit	Miscellanco	is Appeals	
Pending at the	Darustion	Disposel	?en	ding	Pending at - the begin-	Institution	Disposal	Per	2diog
regizmick of the year			At the close of the year	For more than a year year	ning of the year			At the close of the year	Fog more than a year
		16	17	:8	19	30	21	22	\$3
				Diesries Com	יויי		<del>_</del>		
7455	1541	2082	to:1	150	462	264	934	23.0	±5
1031	1703	3841	913	47	231	1916	945	304	3
946	: 568	1659	817	87	394	\$30	897	337	16
			Galetti	enf Subontinate	Yudger				
993	1899	1987	905	7	100	340	336	394	
878	F99 <b>8</b>	2008	863	7	104	372	383	94	:
830	1773	1832	787	48	94	370	366	98	1

Tanjų No. 8 Statements konžing the number of suits punding in the various subterdinest control to the first forward, 1986, Charified according to the year of institution.

Class of Cours	Total No. of spirs Panding	1944	1945	1946	1947 	1948 	1949	195::	1351	1982	rs so	:934 	1445 
7	2	3	4	5	ė	y	s	ij	15	11	-2	14	Ч
District Contris .	. 56					·					· ; -:	··	49
Subordinate Cours	9516	2	2	т	3	:-	13	14	39	34	63	2.90	9979
Small Cause Conins	458											1	453
Total.	10035	:	2		4	<u>.</u>		16	30	34	75	273	3573

It is, thus, swidest that there has been no delay in the disposal of original suits in this State. Efforts should. nevertheless, be made to expedite the disposal of the few old suits pending in the courts of subordinate judges. From the statistical data made available to us, the capre of Distriot Judges does not appear to be inadequate. We were told that normally each district judge will have on an average about 40 sessions oases per year, posides, about 150 myil appeals, about the same number of miminal appeals, about '80 civil a iscellancous appea's and about five or six original The dispused of sessions cases is serisfactory. number of sessions cases pending for more than two months on 1st March, 1956 in the various sessions divisions was as heidwin =

(*) Ambala	1.
(2) Ferozepore	3
(3) Heshiarpar	1.
(4) Deshi	9

Win 7 out of 9 cases (pending or the Delhi division) accused are reported to be abscoming while in the remaining two accused are said to be of unsound mind.

As stated earlier we recommend the enhancement of the appellate jurisdiction of District Judges to Rs. 10,500 to avoid the congestion in the High Court. The small cause prowers, at present, conferred upon the subordinate judges should also be onto Rs. 2,000 at least in business centres like De'h, and Amritsar,

17. Panchayat Courts constituted under the provisions Pauchayet of the Pemjab Gram Panchayat Act (IV of 1953) are at the courts. base of the administration of civil and criminal justice. It was stated that the Panchayays also function as Conciliation Boards. The accompanying Table (Table No. 9) shows the number of Nyeys Panchavats and the number of cases instituted and disposed of together with the number of new sions preferred against the decisions of the Panchayat Courts, and their result during the quinquennium ending with 1955-56. From a nemest of the figures given in the table aforementioned, it is evident that the Panchayat Courts have been gradually proving to be useful institutions. No doubt, some willnesses emphatically asserted that the Panchayat Courts in this State were not at all functioning satisfactorily, but it is doubtful whether these witnesses taptke from personal knowledge.

 Under seepen 33 of the Parijab Courts Acraine power Supervision. of controlling the civil courts of each district is vested in the district judge. The High Court has made rules for the inspection of subordinate civil courts and for supervision of their working. Detailed instructions as to the noints to be booked into by the inspection officers have been given. The

Year	Total No. of Nyaya Patholayais which experised Indicial powers	Total No. of Panels yats which actually aread and decided the cavit & revenue suits	Caters insginated	Cases decided or	Cases omgazymised	Otacs decreed jiid	Cases smissed	Dectedal ansount	No. of appeal of revisions before superior court against the decisions of the Nyaya Panchayats	s Number of cases in cases in cases in cases in cases of perders of the Panellayors courts with ser aside in revision of appeal
;	2	2	4	*	6	7	ķ	3	.د	£1
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		і І амі Rese	пке Готзату			<del>R</del> 1.		
<b>1951-53</b> .	5,738	4,498	12,929	12,150	6,562	3,365	2243	3,26,023 b c	77	17
1952-53 .	6,918	4,372	28,000	25,593	9,067	.;y966	1,000	4,99,384,13,16	137	48
1953-54 -	91158	5,960	26,832	24,431	13.794	6284	4,453	5.40,611 to 0	149	4.
<b>1954</b> -55 .	9,194	5,524	29,068	38,277	14,672	8,495	5,210	6,32,625 5 0	550	12.5
1955-56	9,198	6.555	38,797	22.467	11,260	7,254	3,953	6.78,63 <b>a</b> g o	389	192

Year	foral Na- of Nyaya Panchayots Which exercised Judiciul powers	Total No. of Panchayat, which soundly hourd & decided criminal cases	Cases instituted	Cases desided ex		Case: in which in the acquesti were con- victed		First intposed		Number of appeals and registers before superior courts again the decisions of the Nyoya Panchayats	Number of eases in the decrees or orders of the Panchnyats courts were set aside in revision of appeals
1	2	i	2	,	:	7		j		10	:1
<b>19</b> 51-52 .	7,738	3,758	154497	15,393	9,642	2,456	94275	Rs. 46,004 r	^	1.15	49
1952-53	6,918	4,580	19,175	(8.813	12,008	2,883	3.922	5,29% 7	ø	237	ne
<b>E953-54</b> ·	9,188	6,455	33,201	31,213	20,652	4,928	6,453	744864 (1)	0	2R 1	311
1954-55	9,194	6,739	34,086	33,661	27,227	5.067	6,767	1720/190 0	o	58.5	364
1955-56 .	9,196	6,771	22,649	28,473	44,187	4,007	5.279	63,695 0	3	435	197

.

rules also provide for inspection of subordinate criminal courts by fine district magistrate. The inspecting officers are required, so far as the circumstances permut, to keep-themselves acquainted with the working of the courts sub-ordinate to them and to comment on any irregularities that may come to their notice. They are required, particularly, to note the number of adjournments granted in any case, and the reasons for them, if it is found that adjournments are granted unnecessarily, the presiding officer of the concerned court is to be warned at once; if the practice continues, a report has to be made to the High Court. The inspection notes prepared by the district magistrate are forwarded to the district and sessions judge of the district who will in turn forward them with his remarks to the High Court. It may be mentioned that in this State the courts of magistrates even in the non-separation districts are sometimes inspected by a High Court Judge.

19. We were told that there is not much delay in the service of processes in this State. The process-serving establishment is under the control of the Civil Nazir who is the ministerial head of the department working underthe instructions of the senior subordinate judge of the place. The establishment consists of process servers, bailiffs, 'Madad Naib Nazira' and Nazir; the work of process-serving is checked by the senior subordinate judge of the adminisprative subordinate judge in districts where there is an administrative sub-judge. The Civil Nazir is responsible for the efficiency of the process-serving establishment and prepares and alibin its statistics and reports from time to time to the senior ado-judge or the administrative sub-judge. It is learnt that in order to encourage and improve the efficiency of the service of processes in Amrittan and Simla, the process servers are given a special bonus if they effect the survice of processes above a certain percentage of the processes entrusted to them. This experiment is said to have occur successful and the question of extending it to other courts is under consideration. It is also said that although complaints of corruption against the process-serving establishment are heard, they are not very frequent and that in such esses as are detected serious action is taken.

Language,

20. The language of the subordinate courts in this State is Urdu. The judgments are, however, delivered in English. As regards the court records, in the courts of schooldnate judges of the second, third and fourth class, a single record of evidence is maintained. The judge himself takes down the deposition in Urdu. In the courts of first class subordinate judges in cases where the first appeal lies to the High Court, the system of a double recording of the evidence provents so that the deposition is taken down in the language of the witness and also in English.

Separation,

21. The subordinate criminal judiciary of this State has not been separated from the executive except in the Pepsu segion where the subordinate judges exercise the powers of

magistrates and the senior subordinate judge is an addi-tional district magistrate. However, in the districts of Gurgaon, Ambala, Simla, Jullundur and Hoshiarpur, there is in force a system which is called separation under which some of the magistrates are entrusted exclusively with judicial work. But promotions, transfers, and other matters relating to the judicial magistrates functioning in these districts are dealt with by the Covernment. The sessions judge merely sanctions casual leave and makes remarks on the work turned out by the magistrates. Though no calendars of criminal cases disposed of by the magistrates are sent to the sessions judge, yet every month they submit a list of cases that are four months old. It is obvious that this 50-Called Suparation does not have the substance of separation. Even this limited separation however appears to have yielded beneficial results. We are of the view that a genuine system of separation needs to be introduced in the whole State as sneedily as possible.

22. The cvil of touting which the Indian Bar Committee Touties. lound to be very prevalent in Lahore in the twenties of the present century, appears to have followed the High Court to Chandigarh and seems to have become even more accentuated. A senior practitioner stated to us that since the time the High Court moved to Chandigarh the evil had taken such an ugly form that bonest members of the profession were linding it difficult to survive. According to him "some people have an understudy; he goes to the districts on tour, contacts the local lawyers, settles the percentages. Every month he will go on tour to square up the accounts and make payments just like a commercial traveller". It was also stated that even some senior members of the profession were parties to such undesirable practices. It is for the legal profession in this State to take steps to set right this serious blot on its reputation.

## 54.—RAJASTHAN

General.

 Eighteen princely States were integrated and constiluted as the Stale of Rajasthan. The territory of this State as reorganised in accordance with the provisions contained in Section 10 of Act XXXVII of 1956 is 1,22,300 square miles in ores and is inhabited by 15,959,596 persons according to the 1951 cenaus.

Subordillate Judiciary.

- 2. The judiciary of the State is composed of two cadres:
  - (1) the Higher Judicial Service consisting of eighteen District and Sessions Judges (including how Secretary the Registrar, High Court, and the Joint Logal Remembrancer) and twenty Civil and Sessions Judges (including a leave and deputation reserve of three posts);
  - (2) the Judicial Service consisting of thirty Civil Judges (including three Small Cause Court Judges, two Deputy Registrars. High Court, and one Assistant Legal Remembrancer) and eighty Munsits (including a leave and deputation reserve of eighteen posts).

Method of recruitment to the Higher Jadicial Service.

3. Recruitment to the Higher Judicial Service is made by promotion from amongst the members of the Judicial Service of directly from the Bar. The number of vacancies to be filled by direct recruitment is subject to a maximum of ewenty-five per cent of the total strength of the service and the number of persons so appointed during any one period of recruitment is not to exceed one-fourth of the total number of vacancies during that period. It is provided that if the number of vocancies occurring during any period of recruitment is less than four the proportion of direct recruits during that period of recruitment may be increased so as not to exceed one-half of the total number of vacancies subject to the condition that the total number of persons appointed to the service by direct recruitment does not at any time exceed one-fourth of the total sanctioned strength of the service. Another rule provides that if at any recruitment the number of selected direct recruits available for appointment is less than the number of recruits decided to be taken from that source, the number to be taken by promotion from the Judicial Service may be correspondingly increased. No member of the Judicial Service is eligible for promotion to the Higher Judicial Service unless he has completed seven years of service therein.

Recruipment

4. Recruitment to the Judicial Service is made by the to the Judi-cial Service Public Service Commission on the basis of a competitive examination followed by a piece voce test at which a judge of the High Court is associated with the Commission. A

candidate for recruitment to this Service must not have amained twenty-seven years of ago. It is provided that it a candidate entitled to respect of his age to appear at examination in any year, is unable to do so for the reason that no such examination was held, he shall be deemed to be entitled to appear at the next following examination in spite of his exceeding the age limit. It is further provided that a candidate must have two years' practice at the Bar in the State and that every candidate shall submit along with his application, a certificate from the district, judge concerned with regard to such practice.

5. Twelve and a half per cool of the vacancies in the Reservations Judicial Service and in the Higher Judicial Service are of posts, reserved for members of scheduled castes and scheduled judicial tribes.

- 6. The scale of pay admissible to the District and pay scales. Sessions Judges is Rs. 800-50-1000-60-1300-50-1800. The Civil and Additional Sessions Judges are in the scale of Rs. 500-30-740-EB-30-800-50-900. The posts of Registrar. High Court, Law Secretary, and Joint Legal Remembraneer carry a apecial pay of Rs. 189, Rs. 250 and Rs. 200 per mensem respectively. The Ajmer Officets draw their splanies in their unit scales.
- 7. The Munsits and Civil Judges are in the scale of Rs. 250-25-500-MB-25-750. The Deputy Registrar of the High Court who is borne on this cadre gets a special pay of Rs. 100 per month.
- As the judiciary of the State has not been separated Magistra. from the executive, the eximinal judiciary consists of the of "strength executive officers. Nevertheless, as an "experimental" measure", twenty-three Munsifs have been invested with magisterial powers. The strength of the magistracy is 414 made up of twenty-nine. District Magistrates including four Additional District Magistrates, 111 Sub-Divisional Magiswates. 53 First Class. Magistrates and 221 other Magistrates.

9. The conglomeration of the several princely States Integration resulted in the integration of the judicial machineries of the of the different covenanting units. Some officers who were used Services of to the "traditions" that obtained in the former "native the Gove-Indian States" had to be absorbed. The Advocate-General assuing stated in his evidence before us that "their knowledge of States and law and methods of working are very low and absolutely of maginarchaic. That is why with that inheritance we are passing uses. under not a very good period." Statements were made by several responsible witnesses including some law officers of the State that a large proportion of the magistrates could not be trusted in the matter of their integrity. We feel convinced that this evidence reflects in a considerable measure the true state of affairs which requires the immediate attention of the authorities. Persons at the helm of the administration should appreciate the elementary need of an honest administration of justice and take prompt

measures to restore the confidence of the public in the integrity and impartably of the mag strate.

The witnesses who gave evidence before us were of the view that the magistracy required to be controlled very strictly, if the integrity of this branch of the judiciary was to be maintained Unfortunately, however, the follure of the State Government to give effect to the principle of The separation of judiciary from the executive and the continued central of the executive over the magistracy. has rendered ineffective any control that could be exercised over it by the High Court. We were informed that some time ago, the High Court, in really to a request by the State, Government to devise ways and means for reducing the arrears in the magistrates court, expressed its unwillingness to do so. unless separation was introduced, and the magistracy brought under the administrative control of the High Court. We may however state that though the separation of the judiciary from the executive is a necessary reform its absence should not deter the High Court in its expanity as the bead of the judiciary in the State from laying down standards and rules for the guidance of the magistrates' courts provided the State Government is willing to enforce them. In fact this was regularly done in what was British India at a time when separation was only an ideal.

Tieloys in criticinal courts so it the rationer of conducting criminal cases.

10. It was stated that criminal cases were not being dispused of expeditiously, Public Prosecutors and the proscepting staif attached to magistrates' courts are under the administrative control of the Collectors and the Police Department. The prosecuting officers ettached to the courts are transferred frequently. According to an experienced Advocate, "very often a case is handled by a number of Presecuting Sub-Inspectors—one case sometimes will be handled by eight to ten Prosecuting Sub-Inspectors. One Prosecuting Sub-Inspector who may be in charge of the case for some time may not know what the case is. That has resulted in acquillal in some cases because certain ingredients were not proved. Sometimes the Presecuting Sub-Inspector was never aware that a particular question was required to be put to the witness." It was also stated that the prosecuting staff, being under the control of the Pulice Officials, did not feel free to express their true opinion about the facts of a case. A Government Advocate slated that about eighly two per cent of the criminal cases ultimately ended in acquittes and that in the trial courts the percentage was slightly less. It was said that investigotions were perfunctory and that considerable delays becarred in the disposal of criminal cases due to improper investigations and like causes. The City Magistrate, Ajmor, stated in his evidence that out of a total file of 175 cases in his court, 14 or 15 were even a year old and about 50 more than six months old. The following Table shows the number of criminal cases pending at the end of each quarter of the

year 1955 and the duration of their pendency in the coorts of magistrates:

TABLE No. 1

S. No.	Quarter Suding	No. of exact	Nu. of cas	ks onlabing . Than	Виг шилс
MG.		genoung at the close of the quarren	mur tha	6 m:21tbs	ı yeur
7	2	 	4	5	ь
1	gust March 1955	 :8,416	(5,079)	4,713	2,297
2	godi June 1955	13,741	5,783	2,993	1,956
3	godi Sept. 1957	18,931	5,743	9,834i	2,144
4	graf Dec. 1933	17/029	4,372	3,247	2,274

It would appear from the figures given in the above Table. that at the close of each quarter roughly about sixty per cent of the cases brought to trial were pending for lover three months. This state of affairs can be attributed to the fact that the magistrates hestow a step-motherly attention to the criminal cases, being engaged in executive work; the lack of proper and effective supervision over the magnatracy would appear to be another couse. Supervision in this. State over megistrates' courts, is almost non-existent, In no district do the subordinate magistrates even send in time the returns due from them. Even when they are received the returns are not looked at so that they may serve the intended purpose. With one solitary execution, the District Magistrates did not pass a single remark on the condition of work of the subordinate courts, leaving aside the taking of any action against those guilty of neglect of or builference to their duties. In this state of affoirs it is surprising that arrears are not heavier than what they are. In the absence of separation no improvement is possible, unicas. Government makes it efear to the District Magia raica that they will be held responsible for the working of the crim hal courts in the district. Intensive and Sustained supervision on the lines set out by us earlier. is an imperative inecessing,

11. Shordinate delays have been noticed even in the trial of sessions cases. No sessions trial appears to proceed de die in diem. Long adjournments are granted on insufficient grounds and involvably adjournments are granted for arguments. This is a matter which is capable of being introductely set right by the High Court. We give below a few examples of delays in the trial of sessions cases. Four accused charged with an offence under section 302 LP.C. were committed to the Court, of Additional Sessions Judge, Baran, wherein the case was registered on 12-10-1955; trial

of this case was spiread over a year; it was ultimately reserved for delivery of judgment on 30-11-1956. Sessions case No. 58 of 1955 on the file of the Sessions Court, Udaipur was registered on 16-12-1955. On 8-11-1956 the defence evidence was closted and the case posted to 6-12-1956 for examination of a court witness on which date it was adjourned to 20-12-1956 as the witness did not attend the court. Out of a total of 333 sessions cases pending at the close of the year 1955, 166 were pending for over three months. The number of year old cases was 34 or roughly ten per cent of the total pendency. We are unable to comprehend how such a state of affairs is allowed to continue. If the elementary procaution of binding over witnesses to annear in the court of session is taken, and coercive processes are promptly issued against defaulting witnesses and they are severely dealt with whatever their position there should be no difficulty in hearing sessions cases from day to dav as in most States.

Delays in civil courts.

- 12. Though the position in the civil courts was comparatively better, there were delays in the civil matters also. Witnesses attributed these delays to the incolleiency of the presiding officers of most courts and to lack of devotion to duty on their part and also to the dilatory tactics of the lawyers and the litigants.
- 13. The process-serving staff attached to courts, it was said, besides being inadequate in strength and amonable to corruption and other undesirable practices are mostly illiterate and cannot submit reports as to the manner of service, the reasons for non-service and similar matters. Very often, trial of suits is delayed on account of the fact that either the defendant is not served properly or, if served, service of summons has not been effected on witnesses.
- 14. The disposal of civil suits is frequently because when the trial starts the presiding officer does not know what the case is about. The case is not opened and the presiding officers do not previously go through the pleadings and are not seized of the facts of the case. According to a Senior Advocate, very few officers follow the case during the trial. We have not been able to obtain statistics to show the periods for which different categories of proceedings have been pending in the subordinate civil courts. Nevertheless, the accompanying Table (Table No. 2). setting out the year of institution, the date of framing issues, the date of the final disposal and the duration of **trial** of certain proceedings, selected at random shows the delays. that occur. An instance was brought to our notice, where a preliminary decree was passed for a sum of Rs. 700/- in 1941 and the final decree in 1955. We have been told that interlocutory applications are kept pending for long periods. Most of these delays could be prevented by a stricter and methodical supervision by the High Court and the District

Tablia No. 2

Suit No.	·	There and year of institution	Dare of framing issues	Date of t	Single disposal of final stage	Trumjor, of trial
1		3	3		÷	2
II of 1949 (Balor vi)		. 1-3-1949	22-32-45	zovovis€ (Sho	nding)	years 7 <u>\$</u>
9 of 1954 (Nanywura)		20-8-1954	7-2-55	31-8-56		2
ro of 1953 (Hharaipui)		, 9-in-1953	17-3-54	07-3-57 (Pe	nding)	34
4 of 1946 (Slkar) .		13-2-1946	6-7-46	19-12-56 (Pc	oding)	10
155 of 1953 (Sikar)		. 15-2-195)	16-3-95	20-12-5 <b>6 (P</b> 0	ending)	36.
24 of 1951 (Ajmer)		. 5-11-1951	19-8-52	31-12-56		5
24 of 1946 (Alwer)		. 36-T1-#946	6-5-47	5-6-54		Æ
<b>36</b> 6 of 1949 (Jaipur Ωίν)		5-9-1949	7-1-50	22-10-56		7
28 of 1983 (Poli) .		. 4-6-1953	8-1-54	24-27-56 (Per	ndjag)	52
g of 1951 (Jeijmur)	-	, 31-9-1951	443 152	5-12-5 <b>6</b> (Pu	oding)	5
14 ef 1947 (Korah) .		. 26-11-1947	6-1-49	14-11-56 (Po	adi <b>ng</b> )	9-
4 of 1952 (Bhillwata) .		5-4-1952	14-7-53	18-12-56 (Pe	nding)	41
16 of 1951 (Bikuner) .		. 10-8-1951	20-12-⊴1	4-2-57 (Per	odjag)	91
16 of 1953 (Mexts) .		. 23-2-1953	13-1-55	26-10-56 (Pa	nding)	34

I	1	į	4	9	
16 of 1952 (Udalpur) .	29-8-1952	28 7-59	27-10-56	4	_
gr of 1944-45 (Jodhymin)	2847-1945	24-1-46	26-2-57 (Pending)	τι <del>]</del>	
i es sagn (Gangunuger) .	251-1951	4-3-53	6-52-56 (Pending)	G	
238 of 1946 (Jodhpur)	15-4-1946	24 10-47	30-11-00	ſΠ	
509748-49 (Solid)	8-0-1945	2-11-50	15-17-56 (Conding)	7	
963 of 1952 (Almer)	8 10 1952	37-1-53	17/11/57	47	
495 of 1926 (Lifetty	28-9-1946	12-1-45	13-9-15	9	
34 of 1952 (Busia par)	9-2-1953	9.2.53	3-1-57 (Peeding)	5	
E4/521/65 of 43 (Razun)	20-12-1949	16-5-44	28-12-56 (Pending)	ij	1134
z of 1955 (Hancimangath) .	4-1-1955	(No issues yer)	6-11-56 (Pending)	2	#
tag of 1952 (Partabgart) .	15-12-1958	4.7:53	t-12-55 (Pending)	4	
тдо of 1955 (Bhillware) .	22-10-1955	15-1-56	30-8-56	1	
230 of 1959 (Neem Ka Thuna)	29-10-1050	22-7-53	29-10-56	3	
att el 1982 (Ud@ipur)	25-81-1944	(9)7-45	2042-57 (Pending)	. 13	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 				

Courts. No effective system of inspection scenes to prevail at present. A district judge admitted that lack of effective central and supervision by the District Judges was the primary cause for delays in the subordinate courts. The Advance-General stated that the District Judges do not anhere to the rates prescribed for purpose of inspection of the subordinate courts.

- 15. Our attention was drawn to a role framed by the High Court to the office, that if a witness summons handed ever to a party or his request for service, was not served, frosh service would not be ordered a second time. Criticism was also directed against another, rule which decallowed from the taxed costs the travelling and subsistence allowanes of witnesses attending courts at the request of a party without a summons. Both these rules naturally tend to make parties overse to bringing witnesses without a summons or to serve them, themselves; measures which we have earlier recommended to speed Jo the trial of soits. We recommend the repeal of these rules.

17. The strength of the High Court was reduced to six in Number of 1951: but, for the greater part of that year only four judges worked. In 1952, one more judge was appointed. The number of judges was increased to six in 1953 and to seven in the latter half of 1956. On the 20th January, 1958. an Additional Judge was appointed for a period of two years.

13. The accompanying Tables (Table Nos. 3 and 4) show the number of civil and criminal proceedings of different categories motifuted, dispused of and pending at the close of each of the years 1954, 1955, and 1956 and the number of proceedings, pending on i-1-1957, according to their years

of institution to the High Court.

19. It will appear from these Tables (Table Nos. 3 and 4) that though the work of the High Court on the crominal side is under control. the same connot be said of its civil work. The disposal of first appeals, second about appeals from orders and civil revisions has consistently failed to keep pace with institutions and the total of pending matters has steadily increased. Unless immediate steps are taken, if necessary by appointing more judges to bring the file under control the position will become nearly

Report on the Administration of Justice for the year 1953.

Tama No. 3

Nature of proceeding	Number o	19∄4 f∫udges we	tiling—\$	Numher	1955 18 Judges 1	working—7		56 or of Judges	working	7
	Fooding of the hoghs- ning	Imarê- tratêdens	Diaposal	Pending at the begin- nuing	Irs.i- tutions	Diapusal	Perfing at the begin- ning	Instik urdous	Disposal	Pending on r-r-1957
Regular First Appeals Regular Second oppeals	313 (65*	180 672	ra3 463	430 (854	167 613	73 481	5-4 [q96	135 579	1 ro 493	545 2085
Appeal against orders	202	83	74	ISt	94	58	287	f 22.	69	240
Letters Patent of Special Appeals	6	8	4	19	3	ĸ	7	2	3	r.
Wոնե , .	977	592	1257	412	365	476	300	<b>#7</b> T	423	340
Reviews	1.2	17	20	9	23	2.0	52	28	26	[.
Revisions	645	512	495	647	5:1	.149	809	161	434	916
References ,	64	57	95	25	32	ro	35	44	53	- 29
Leave to appeal in Supreme Coayr	77	99	(60	16	19	27	8	34	26	16
Original Sulms						.,				
Miscellancous	285	152	265	272	243	228	257	234	217	1304
Criminal appeals	329	43.5	386	376	378	420	134	385	432	295
Ctiminal Revisions .	366	439	4)0	535	424	486	373	435	329	179
Confirmation Cases	6	9	τ5		6	3	3	*	5	
References	173	209	269	62	27.2	7,25	19	187	210	36
Miscellancous	62	227	262	آ 27	198	190	35	228	257	40

TABLE No. 4

	_					Year of I	ustitution	n –					
Nature of generating	1944	1945	.946	1947	1944	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1934	1955	1956
Regular First Appears -						E	6	36	39	54	:41	146	126
Regular Second appeals						3	217	103	243	275	458	485	-67
Appen's against orders								4	12	23	44	59	98
Jiehers Pakmi or Special appeals										ι	2	2	τ
₩tās , , ,		٠							ı	3	15	37	275
Reviews								.,		••	7	4	8
Revisione							2	۶	23	99	ıAz	246	376
References						3			1			4	2.7
Leave не вррея] то Япртегле Спить												2	. 2
Ori <b>g</b> inal Spire .			• ·										
Miscellanerus							4	à	15	24	67	71	125
Cristinal appents						3	I	z	12	4	7	52	209
Cristinal rovisions		• ·							I		2	15	ιδι
Configuration coses		••	••										5
Réferences	• ·			-,								6	30
Miscellancous + 4	••											I	45

namanageable in a few years. We would suggest that the powers of a single judge may be enhanced so as to enable nam to dispose of first, appeals below Rs. 10,000.

Even the criminal work is not uptodate. On 1-1-1957 some three and four year old criminal appeals were pending although their number was not large.

Our attention was also drawn to the difficulties arising from the fact that the High Court sat at two centres. We have already expressed ourselves in a previous report against the establishment of Benches.

Courts of District and Sessions Indiges and Covil and Additional Seesions Judges.

20. For the purpose of the administration of justice, the State which comprises twenty-five districts has been divided into fifteen judgeships. Besides fifteen District and Bussions Judges stationed at the headquarters of each of the judgeships, seventeen Civil and Additional Sessions Judges having civil and criminal jurisdiction co-extensive with that of district and sessions judges functioned during the three years immediately preceding 1957 apart the officers stationed at Ajmer and Abu Road; out of them eight, were stationed at the headquarters of districts, other than those where district courts were located, five at the headquarters of the district judges and the rest at other places. The cadre of Civil and Additional Sessions Judges seems to have been modelled on the system obtaining in Uttar Pradesh, and seems to be a device by which officers who are really doing the work of Additional District and Sessions Judges may be placed on a lower pay scale.

21. The judicial business transacted by the courts of district and sessions judges and civil and additional sessions judges in the triennium ending with 1956 is shown in the accompanying Table (Table No. 5). The average duration of original suits disposed of by the district judges and civil and additional sessions judges during the years 1954 and 1955 is given in Table No. 6.

	Nakei		Civil	Suits				Small	Cange	Spirs	Misse —	:[]ane.uta C	iv.1Ca¥	sand I	Netitlet "	)5 
Year	C(Boets	Pending strike	lesti webo	Dispe-	Bala	ATCC	Fanding at rbe	Fasti- Tation	Dispo- sal		lance	Pandible out the	Justi- terion	iDiepo- sui	В	leace.
15.00		Logar- ning of the year	4.1.00	541	Heles and year	Over one year	hegin- ning of the ver	111001	14.	Relaw our your	Over One year	hegin- ning of the year	сег.жа		le]ow unic yeur	yeur Over Over
· ·	2	3	+	5		7	. ·	9	ID	1:	12	13	14	15	16	17
							Distri	ict Judg	<b>6</b> 2							
1954		392	112	204	76	224						475	889	918	315	115
1955	15	300	139	156	99	254						440	1030	95	393	124
1975	a 16	308	135	127	93	220	٠.					597	1308	121	507	180
						Cital a	ai Additio	गात Səssi	ons Judge	)5						
1954	17	Ju/I	1247	.264	905	-49	941	3786	1923	742	62	2.15	681	588	25.	67
7955	47	1154	2425	2275	÷=4	104	804	3.3R6	3064	803	23	328	799	773	288	66
-995	17	1207	1404	czńń	793	370	826	2877	2933	749	24	334	894	791	326	70

^{*}I whodes the work turned out by the District and Session: Judge, Apries In 1956,

ending at the	Tratitutions	$\mathbf{E}( \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{p}})$	Πala	nee	Pending at	Sustitutions	Disposal	Baten	,0 <del>0</del>
eginuing of the year			Release conc year	Over our year	the heginolog		,	Вејричиле уса:	Over one year
r\$	Iç	. 25	21	23	75	±1	25	26	27
	<b></b>			Distric	t Judyes				
1637	2379	2462	. 1501	353	365	686	794	299	48
1554	8118	2203	1103	356	947	dSI	697	275	50
1684	2729	2487	1477	449	415	905	748	372	150
			Civi	l and Adibition	ай Session1 <b>3</b> 41d	gas			
536	1408	ror.t	54.8	91	45	244	232	97	£ 1
630	1033	1102	491	72	roâ	207	224	84	7
563	376	3036	375	24	91	215	227	74	s

.

	No.of Difi-	Se	ssicon Ca	16 <b>0</b> 5					Crim	գզչ համո	esle :		Crimbas	l Res	isions	
Your	cers	Pending at the	Enstj- COCzońs	Disoc-	8:	lunce	Pending	lastj- mrigas	Dia-	Bul	шюе	Pouling				
		hegdo- diag of the year			Selow one year	Over oue year	Degin- ning of the year		prom	Below one year		ut the boglar army of the year	rondonie		Relow one year	Over one year
			•			Dis	ria and Si	иліок <b>: 7</b> 1.	 ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::						—-	— <del>-</del>
1954	15	734	525	567	177	14	321	1548	:613	343	17	350	762	892	209	34
1953	15	192	502	pty	177	6	858	1450	1504	233	17	243	844	ŠT 2	354	21
iāžų.	tó	120	769	671	240	.8	35%	1539	1719	361	15	313	1697	6124	560	24
					(	Spell and	! Additiona	d Seesion	n Jaulyes							
1954	17	239	391	454	146	30	126	994	460	140		61	388	375	76	4
(955)	[ 17	176	349	354	146	41	140	ão?	822	124		94	356	354	76	
1956	17	171	477	460	185	7	125	906	843	188		76	394	39E	74	

Thirties out by the tristmer and Sessions Judge, Ajmer in 1956,

TABLE No. 6

	· 19	054	rg	·
Class of courts	After confer-	Withou somest	After contact	Without
τ	3	?	4	5
District Judges' Cours  Civil and Additional Sessions Judges Cours	- 921 - 512	713 207	904 987	524 170

- 22. The file in those courts is not heavy; yet, the number of a year old suits and the average duration of suits disposed of after contest are high. Even uncontested suits seem to have taken a long time. Nor is the Cle on the criminal side heavy. On an average, each judge has only about thirty to thirty-five sessions cases for discusal every year; the average duration of sessions cases disposed was between 190 and 200 days during any one of the five years preceding 1956. It is necessary to reduce the overage duration of the different categories of proceedings disposed of by these courts.
- 23. We feel that this can be achieved by investing the civil judges with unlimited jurisdiction and by transferring to them all the original suits other than those exclusively triable by district judges. The appeals pending before the courts of civil judges may be transferred to district courts. If this is done, perhaps, it would not be necessary to retain the existing strength of officers, namely, fifteen District Judges and seventeen Civil and Additional Sessions Judges. The latter cadre of posts may be abulished and the number of District and Sessions Judges raised so as to enable them to cope with the sessions cases and civil and criminal appellate work. By degrees, the appellate jurisdiction of these officers should be raised to Rs. 10,000.
  - 24. We may next consider courts presuled over by civil Courts of judges with jurisdiction to try regular and small cause sivil suits valued upto Rs. 10,000/- and Rs. 500/- respectively. Judges. Some of the civil judges have been empowered to entertain appeals valued upto Rs. 2000/-. Further, Section 22(1) of the Rajesthan Civil Courts Ordinance (VII of 1950) provides that a district judge may transfer to suy civil judge under his administrative centrol any appeal pending before him against the decrees or orders of Munsifs. The following Table (Table No. 7) shows the original spits, small cause suits, civil regular and miscolluneous appeals instituted in and disposed of by the Courts of Civil Judges during the three years 1954-56 together with the total number of proceedings pending at the close of each year.

TABLE No. 7

						Civil Snits			Small Cause Suits								
Year	Yanı			No, at		Installations	Disposal	Th:	lucc	Ponding	Institutions	Disposal	lìol:	.Ecc			
		ពិយីរតន		at the heginaing of the year		Salow and year		Over one year	et the beginning of the year			Below one year	Over eac year				
	,			2		4	· s	6	7	<u> </u>	y	rg	- <del></del>	T7			
1954				24	4723	. 5894	6291	2742	159€	1292	1973	5759	1412	.34			
1955				24	4336	6543	5971	3078	1830	1446	6005	S94A	r.j25	78			
1956				33	7920	8655	8077	4573	3835	9462	7713	7966	287 B	840			

						C	ivil Appeal	s		Givit Miscellanenus Appeals						
						fuscinations	Diaposei	Belsu	ce		Institutions	Disposal	Balar	we •		
Ysar				'n	at the eginaing of the year			Relow one year	Agat Onsi-	<ul> <li>8: tac teginning of the yes:</li> </ul>	z .	-	Selow and year	hea One.		
	í	:			13	14	[5	14	17	18		\$0 	21	22		
954	 	- <b>-</b>	-, -,-		:50	579	,B1	-34	24	40	125	114		۶		
955					159	488	433	וני	r03	21	12.	(06	50	s		
956					257	497	606	8o	52	74	tn3	144	35	8		

.

.

______

25. The number of officers remained constant during the two years 1954-55. This enables us to arrive at the annual average disposal of work by each civil judge as set down below:

TABLE No. 8

Nation of proceed	ngs	Average ovailshile für disposal	Average dtsposal	Average pendency
		ī.	3	4
Civil Suits Small Cause .		443°C 305°3	24514 26413	192-K

26. Nearly seventy-five per cent of the suits brought to mial before these courts are those for money or movable property and out of these roughly half relate to claims valued below Rs. 5,000/-. The nature of litigation in these courts cannot be said to be complicated. Nevertheless, the average duration of suits disposed of after contest was 421 and 398 days in 1954 and 1955 respectively. The number of the year old suits pending at the close of any one of the three years 1954-56 was roughly thirty-five per cent of the total number of suits pending at the close of each of those years. The number of a year old suits has steadily increased. No doubt, some of the civil judges disposed of civil appeals also. However, the nature of Work in these courts is not such as is expected to handled by officers of this cadre. As we have already suggested these officers should be invested with powers to . try all original suits irrespective of valuation other than those exclusively triable by district judges. These judges may be invested with the powers of Assistant Sessions Judges and their small cause jurisdiction may be gradually raised to at least Rs. 1,000. This may involve an increase in their number but this will be partly offset by a reduction in the number of Civil and Sessions Judges.

27. At the lowest rung in the hierarchy of the regular Courts are courts presided over by Munsifs with Mansifs, jurisdiction to try regular suits valued upto Rs. 2000/- and small cause suits valued upto Rs. 100/-. The number of original and small cause suits instituted, disposed of and pending in the courts of Munsifs in the years 1954 to 1956 is set down below (Table No. 9). Curiously, the progressive increase in the number of officers has resulted in a fall in disposals. The fact that some of them are called upon to do criminal work also may partly account for this fall.

TABLE NO. 9

					<	Civil Suits			Smal. Cause Suins					
Ye	-						No. of	Pauling	Institutions	Disposal	Halisto		Pending at the	Institution
							Officers	or the beginning of the year		_	Helow ome year	Sean Over	heginning of the year	:
ī							2		. 1	5	5	7	k	
1954		,					65	12267	25043	25000	9755	2445	936	3544
1955							<b>61</b>	12200	25059	24708	979n	<b>⊋</b> 761	798	4093
1 56					-		62	12551	25294	24132	70525	3093	64?	£2161
		<b>-</b> · ·												
			Sma	JI Çaus	e Swi	ts				Miscellaneau	as Civil Cases	ша Ретігіоря	,	
	1149	posal	Serie	di Çaus	e Suit		шсе			Miscellaneau Institutions	os Civil Cases Disposal	ыла Ретіліопа	Bula	nce ·
	1149		Sma	di Çaus		Bell		et	Pending the begin- ing of the year			and Petirione Below o	Bula	пое Оуот сене уват
	1149		_	•		Bell		rie yeur 17	etic bagin- ing of the			Below o	Bula	·
1954		pesat		•	wie s	Bala	Dver o	rie yeur 17	the begin- ing of the year	Trastitutions	Djaposal	Beline o	Bala one year	Эучт ент ушт
<u> </u>		pesal	 	•	one s	Bala Feat	i Pver o	et 769: 17	the begin- ing of the year	Testitutiens I4	Disposal	Below o	Bula one year (	Dyor our year

28. Based on the figures furnished in the Table (Table No. 9) the annual average disposal of a Munsit is given in the following Table (Table No. 10).

Table No. 10.

Designation of	No. of Officers		City	J. Smis	
Officers	Concess	Arestage arastable for disposal	ď	Average hisposed of	Average pendency
r	7	+ '	•	,	5
		6141)	`	101-1	2:015
	Cause Sitir		scellanes.	ıs Civil Cus	es and Polition
<u> </u>	Cause Suit Average diaprose of		 Аустар:	as Civil Cas Average disposeri of	Average
Average available for	Average diapress		Averajo: avaitinie for	Average disposes	Average pointer up y

It cannot be said that the disposals in these courts are low. The average duration of suits disposed of by these officers after full trial was 311 and 257 days in 1954 and 1955 respectively. Neverticeless, the total number of pending suits and pending year old suits was on the increase. In order to bring down the number of pending year old suits, and increase the number of officers at least for a temporary period might become necessary.

- 29. The total number of suits classified according to their valuations instituted in the regular civit courts during the iriennium (954-56 in the State is given in the accompanying Table (Table No. 11).
- 30. A careful examination of the data furnished by Permany the High Court has led us to the conclusion that changes of Munsife in the existing jurisdiction of courts presided over by Courts Munsifs will bring about a speedier dispensation of justice. Palesce-As will be seen from the figures furnished in the Table ment of. below (Table No. 11), a large majority of suits are those cognisable by Munsits; most of these officers are stationed

Table No. 11

Year						No. of strits of the value of not exceeding Rs. 1000	No. of suits of the value of Rs. 1000 and not exceeding Rs. 2000	No. of suits of the value of Ra, 1000 and not exceeding Ra, 5000	No. of suits of the value of Rs. 5000 and not exceeding Rs.10,600	No. of suite of the value of Rs. reyeco and shave	Value of which cannot be estimated in money
т				 		2	а	4	5	6	7
1954	•					\$9316	Separate figures are not available	3412 c	332	- 241	352
1955		-				39118	Do,	3411	326	286	3,58
1955		-			-	38462	2491	1251	289	763	354
							Aim:e		•	•	
1956		-	,			3383	151	51	24	20	31
							Abu Rrad				
1956						149	6				4.

of taking headquarters. Keeping in view, the nature of the terrain of the State, we would suggest that in order that the litigants and witnesses may not have to travel long distances the pecuniary jurisdiction of Munsils may be enhanced to Rs. 5,000 and Rs. 500 in original and small cause suits respectively. The number of officers required to keep pace with institutions may be determined after so raising the jurisdiction.

31. At the base of the administration of justice are the Panchayara-Panchayats constituted under the Rajasthan Panchayats constitu-Act (XXI of 1953). A peculiar feature of this Act is working of that it does not provide for a separate Panchayat Court for a village or a group of villages. The Panchayat which conducts the administrative business also discharges the functions of the Nyaya Panchayat. These Panchayats have jurisdiction concurrent with that of Civil Courts for the trial of certain categories of suits enumerated in the Act provided the value of the claim does not exceed Though the jurusdiction is concurrent, yet a Rs. 100. large number of suits are filed before the Panchayats. A decree or order passed by the Panchayat can be executed by it. Jurisdiction concurrent with that of criminal Courts has been conferred upon the Panchoyats to take cognizance of certain offences under the Indian Panal Code, the Cattle Trespass Act and some minor Acts. No substantive sentence of imprisonment can be inflicted by a Panchayat; they can impose a fine upto a maximum of This Act also constitutes what are known as Rs. 50. Tehsil Panchayats elected by an electoral college consisting of the electors of the Panchas and the Sarpanchas. Appeals against the decisions of the Panchayats in civil and criminal matters lie to the Tchsil Panchayats. District and Sessions Judges exercise revisional jurisdiction over the decisions of the Tehsil Panchayata, The Chief Panchayat Officer to the Rajasthan Government stated in his evidence that not more than ten per cent of the cases decided by the Tehsil Panchayats are taken in revision. The following Table shows the number of civil and criminat proceedings instituted, disposed of and pending in the Panchayats during the three years preceding 1957.

Table No. 12

		195	14		1955	
	No. of cases hefore the courts	Disposal	Balance	Toral No. of cases hefore the causes	Disposai	Валыко
— ,	2	-1	4	5	5	
Civil .	57,559	47,364	IÓ:195	44,112	33,205	14,907
Creminal	66,244	54,380	11,664	43/909	43,598	10,311

		1956	
	Total No. of cases before the cours	Disposal	Balance
1	ь	9	. 15
Civil	70,182	54,8%	15,600
Griminal	76,455	62,195	14,260

32. Though some witnesses expressed the view that the Panchayats were the hot-bed of factions, yet many were of the contrary view. The fact that only about ten per cent of the cases decided by the Panchayats are taken in revision would seem to show that the litigants have confidence in their working. There is, therefore, no reason why the jurisdiction conferred upon these Panchayats should not be made exclusive.

Rejustion
Act i of
1951.
Removal of
certain
defects in
the provisions of
suggested,

33. A number of witnesses expressed the view that the Rajasthan Revenue Courts (Procedure and Jurisdiction) Act (I of 1951) has given rise to considerable litigation. It would appear that there is no strict demarcation of the powers between the revenue and civil courts with regard to the nature of proceedings entertainable by them and that in the absence of such a demarcation the litigant is very often directed by the revenue courts to go to the civil courts and vice versa. We trust that appropriate measures will be adopted in this connection by the authorities concerned.

## 55.-UTTAR PRADESH

 The State of Utter Pradesh consists of 51 Districts. Control. The area of the State is 113,409 square miles. No territorial changes were made in the State on account of the tecent reorganisation of States. Uttar Pradesh is the most populous State with a total population of 63,215,742 according to the 1951 census,

2 The State is divided into 34 judgeships. The constitute of tution of the subordinate civil courts (except in Oudh) civil cours. is governed by the Bengai (Agra) and Assam Civil Courts Act, 1887. Courts in Oudh, which had a separate Chief Court until 1948, are governed by the Oudh Civil Courts Act, 1925. The set-up of the courts, generally follows the pattern of subordinate courts in other States. The Joria pecuniary jurusliction of Munsifs ordinarily extends upto tion. Rs. 2,000, but may be extended up to Rs. 5,000. They may be invested with small cause court powers upto Hs. 250. Civil Judges exercise unlimited pecuniary jurisdiction and are invested with small cause jurisdiction upto Rs. 590. At the head of the subordinate judiciary is the District Judge, who exercises unlimited pecuniary jurisdiction and tries original suits under special enactments. like Guardians and Wards Act. Succession Act, Insolvency Act, Indian Companies Act, Land Acquisition Act, Divorce Act etc. The appellace jurisdiction of District Judges has been recently raised from Bs. 5,000 to Rs. 10,000 but it does not apply to suits instituted prior to the amendment. some District headquarters, where there are no District Judges, Senior Civil Judges are appointed as Civil and Sessions Judges. On the civil side they have unlimited pecuniary jurisdiction, and try all such cases under the miscollaneous enactments as may have been specially entrusted to them under various notifications. On the criminal side, they exercise the powers of a Sessions Judge. They perform practically the same functions as District and Sessions Judges, except that they do not perform any administrative duties which are exercised only by the District Judge in charge of the Division. In some big towns, separate Small Cause Courts have been created. Tress courts are presided over by Senior Civil Judges who have jurisdiction to try small cause suits upto Rs, 1,000.

The subordinate judiciary is divided into two classes, Sucurdo. the U.P Civil Service (Judicial Branch), consisting of Munsils and Civil Judges with a senetioned strength of 163 Munsils and 59 Civil Judges and the U.P. Higher Judicial Service, the members of which are either Civil and Sessions Judges or District and Sessions Judges with a

sanctioned strength of 39 and 45 respectively. Recently the strength of the Civil Service (Judicial Branch) has been raised by 44, bringing the total strength to 266.

M edept recontraces and pay scales.

4. Recruitment to the U.P. Civil Service (Judicial Branch) is made by a wratten competitive examination in four papers, two law papers, one language paper and another called "Present Day" carrying 850 marks in all. Candidates who seems a cortain percentage of marks are called for a cross some test, confying \$50 marks, conducted by the Public Service Commission, with which a nominee of the High Court, generally the Registrar, is associated as an expert. At the written examination the candidates are allowed the use of have texts of the Acts when answering law papers. The requisite qualifications are a degree in an followed by at least two years' practice at the Bar and age below 28 years. Knowledge of Hindi is compulsory. It is, however, understood that the question of dispensing with the requirement of the practice at the Bar, is under the consideration of the Government, Munsils and Civil Judges are borne on the scale of pay of Rs.  $350 \cdot 350 \cdot 375 - 25 - 400 - 16.13 \cdot -20 - 700 \cdot 16.18 \cdot -50 - 850$ A few yorks of civil judges are borne on the selection grade. in the scale of Rs. 1,000-50-1,200.

Recruitment to the Higher Judicial Service is made at the level of Civil and Sessions Judges. Seventy-five per cent, of the posts in the Higher Judicial Service are filled by promotion and 25 per cent, by direct recruitment. Direct recoults are selected after an interview by a soloction committee consisting of two judges of the High Court and the Judicial Secretary to the Government. Advocates of at least 7 years standing and legally qualified judicial officers with the same length of service are eligible for direct recruitment as Civil and Sessions Judges, Promotec--recruits to the Higher Judicial Service should have put in service of not less than 7 years or whose solary is not less than Rs. 700. They are appointed as Civil and Sessions Judges, who are in the pay scale of Rs. 600-50-500-50-1.200. District Judges are home on the scale of Rs 800-50-1,000-75-1,750-50-1,800. This scale is somewhat different long and better than the Senior scale in the LA.S.

Anomalies in pay scules. A peculiar feature about the pay scales is that both Munsife and Civil Judges, who do different types of work an bothe on the same scale of pay. But Civil and Sessions Judges, who also do practically the same type of work (except that the latter also perform some administrative dunes) are burne on widely different scales of pay. When a Munsif is promoted as Civil Judge, all that happens is merely a change of designation and assignment of heavier and more difficult judicial work without any corresponding increase in

Temunoration. This is unsatisfactory and we suggest that the scales of pay of Civi. Judges should be different from and higher than that al Munsifs. The scales of pay for District Judges and Civil and Sessions Judges are also anormalous. The work of the Civil and Sessions Judges. is not different from that at the District and Sessions. Judges: they also hear appeals from District Magistrates and Assistant Sessions Judges. The only difference in their work is that Civil and Sessions Judges have no administrative duties to preform. The ILP Judapial Reforms Committee therefore recommended that the two catives, of Civil and Sessions Judges and District Judges, should be integrated but this recommendation has not been implemented. We have also recommended earlier that the Higher Judicial Service should be composed of only one caller with a uniform scale of pay.

In an earlier chapter we have recommended that the Representati retirement age of the members of judiciary should be raised from 53 to 58. U.P. is the only State in India, where this has strendy been done by raising the retireement age of all gazetted officers to 58.

 The promotion of judicial officers is almost auto-Proposiou. matic according to seniority. We were told that during the last many years there has been only one instance when the promotion of a judicial officer was stopped on account of poor quality of work.

 We think it necessary to call aftertion to an important Executive thatter with regard to the premotion of judicial officers in the pro-li appears that some time ago the High Court recommend-motion of ed the promotion of certain Civil and Sessions Judges for Civil and the grade of District and Sussions Judges and at the same Sussions time pointed out that the case of three particular officers had to be kept over for consideration. These three officers had not sufficient experience of criminal work, having heen taken out of regular judiciel work and employed in the Secretaria: for a number of years. It was therefore stated that their capacity in this regard could not be assessed and their fitness for promotion determined. But nevertheless these officers were promoted. This is far from satisfactory and tending as it does to transfer the allegionee of judicial officers from the High Court to the Secretariat deserves to be deprecated. We have earlier made appropriate recommendation to prevent such contingencies.

 The High Court has laid down that directly regruited Tailing. Munsifs, who are kept on probation for two years, should on first appointment undergo a course of training in court work, departmental rules and office muting for a period of 4 to 6 weeks. During this period, the candidate is required to watch the proceedings in the courts of Senior Monsifs

and Civil Judges to gain experience of the actual procedure followed in the trial of cases and should take notes of cases

attended by him, frame issues, take down evidence and writedraft judgments. These are required to be persued by the District Judge who has to comment on them for the guidance of the Monsif. The District Judge is also obliged to examine the probationers in financial and account rules. The Circular Orders also provide that before a Civil Judge is asked to do criminal work, he should watch the work of the Sessions Judge for a certain number of days. instructions are, however, not followed. We understand that on account of on scute shortage of officers, training has been dispensed with in all cases. This seems to be a shortsighted incasure. A properly trained monsif is likely to do more and better work than one who has to be trained while doing his work. In the long run, the time spent on training would be of more value in keeping down the arrears than the work that a raw hand can lurn out by heing in charge of a court during the training period.

Espekishment of High Court,

8. The High Court of Alishabad, originally known as the High Court of the North-Western Provinces, was established under a Chapter in 1866 and was located at Agra. It was shifted to Alishabad in 1876 and came to be known as the High Court of Judicature at Alishabad. In Oudh which was a non-regulation province, a separate Judicial Commissioner's Court was constituted. It was raised to the status of a Chief Court in 1925. This court was, however, amalgamated with the High Court of Allahabad with effect from the 25th of July 1946 and the entire State was brought within the territorial jurisdiction of a single High Court.

Strangth,

9. The sanctioned strength of the High Court was only 20 judges including the Chief Justice until October 1951, when it was raised to 22. It was further increased to 23 in March, 1955. The present sanctioned strength is 24 permanent judges and two additional judges. But as against the sanctioned strength of 25 judges, the actual strength of the High Court of present is 25, 23 permanent judges and two temporary additional judges appointed for two years recently.

Bench of Lucknow,

- in the Allahabad High Court, a Bench has been functioning at Lucknow, where four judges of the High Court are posted to dispose of each arising in ten districts of the Slate. The judges on the Lucknow Bench are changed from time to time so as to ensure that the two Benches, maintain a unity and do not develop into two different High Courts. These frequent changes in Benches have sometimes resulted in part heard matters having to be reheard by a different Bench.
- The following statement shows the civil and criminal: work done in the High Court during the years 1948—1956.

TABLE No. t

Statement showing the work done in the High Court of Allahabad doning the years 1948—1950.

												_
Acm.		1948	1949	1952	1951	t942	1953	1954	1955	1956	Kematas	
No, of Judget		30	20	2/0	20	ZO	19	2.1	23	24	1000,000	
First Appeals	1	529	31%	468	549	<b>3</b> 95	±19	692	350	271		_
	ħ	450	365	269	363	342	3.52	373	283	425	•	
	P	2407	2440	2639	28.25	Join	508 r	3450	3479	\$381	I Institution	
Second Appeals	i.	2673	2015	2773	2862	2793	2925	25%3	2957	дого	D-Disposal	
	υ	1625	3,500	9398	1788	2574	1670	1738	2570	2373	P—Fendency	
	P	7195	6709	6034	715¥	7878	9133	ING67	τυφέλ	11095		
Appeals	Ţ	425	509	457	214	495	389	47=	4 6	440		
	n	441	627	196	тус	236	2))4	30/	¢24	407		
	31	927	815	876	900	1675	1179	1784	raiçõ	1329		
Letters Patient	Ŧ	26	4t	46	102	56	ya	323	500	344		
Appeals	33	26	56	38	33	43	84	125	222	950		
	ľ	136	ran	124	227	246	266	362	649	59.1		
Civil Revisions	ι	1180	1403	1770	1859	1701	3742	:669	1807	1899		
	L	1259	1864	(120	848	4928	JOŽ2	[427	£63's	1601		
	P	2042	1586	2187	3167	3940	4510	4354	498≎	5278		

1157

TABLE NO. 1—contid-Superment rinaring the work Jone in the High Court of Allahahad during the Year 1948—1959

Year		1948	2949	торп	1951	1952	1953	D)\$4	1955	0986	P. suroiks
No. of Jodges	٠,	20	27	±c:	247	20	19	>1	=1	3/	
<u></u>								· · · ·			
Wate Petition	τ		4.	384	3876	775	1175	1464	1467	5025	
	D			23.0	7571	1313	62.2	1477	2475	2277	
	F			152	1457	9211	4473	£561	446	3794	
Criminal Apricals	Ţ	1062	1474	1430	7435	1889	2312	2438	2092	2453	
	l!	1046	X291	1747	842	1131	1609	: /34	2077	3.127	
	P	828	1008	1347	1935	2696	9472	4149	4-50	3727	
Continuation Case	en l	147	149	142	rac	182	216	2.41	245	244	
	Ð	115	139	124	176	20%	155	240	739	25.0	
	ŀ	61	71	89	79	64	90	708	145	70	
Griminal Revision	s (	1809	2278	2412	2918	2752	3790	2658	25 78	2094	
	Þ	1743	2334	2055	2188	2234	2654	2771	3251	1931	
	P	731	675	1062	1794	23,12	27.56	2640	2267	2017	
										_	

This statement shows the very heavy congestion of work indequary in the High Court. There has actually been an enormous of judicial increase in the institutions during the past four decades. in 1980, the total number of proceedings instituted in the Allahabad High Court and Chief Court of Outh was 7,449, in 1940 the guarater rose to 9591, in 1950 to 19,131 and in 1957 to 24,980. In every class of proceeding, whether it First Appeals, or Second Appeals, Writ Politicus or Criminal Appeals, there has been a gradual but unmistakable rise on the againtum of work the institutions always exceeding the disposals. But unfortunately, in spite of the rising institutions, there has been no correspondingly accounte increase in the strength of the High Court. Thus, while the institutions in 1950 were twice the volume in 1940, the strongth of the High Court was raised only by 3 judges, from 17 in 1949 to 20 in 1989. It is obvious, therefore, that one major factor responsible for the alarming accomulation. of arrears, carried over from year to year, during the post many years, is the continuous shortage of judges in the High Court,

It is quite apparent, that with the present strength of 25. judges, it will be impossible for the High Court to control its file. The magnitude of the problem of arrears can be best appreciated, by estimating the period of time which will be required to clear the existing accumulations. Taking the disposal to the year 1956 as the basis, when with the maximum strength of judges available in the High Court the disposing capacity of the High Court was perhaps the greatest, it would roughly take the High Court more than 9 years to finish the regular First Appeals, 41 years for the regular Second Appeals, 3 years for appeals against orders and civil revisions, 2 years for Letters Patent Appeals and more than one year for criminal appeals and crimmal revisions, on the hypothesis that all the judges are employed exclusively to the work of clearing the existing arrears. The gravity of the problem will be perceived from the statement (Table No. 2) which indicates the year in which the matters pending at the close of 1956 were instituted.

These colossal arrears did not show any sign of abatement even during the last year, that is, 1957; hotastly the number of pending matters further rose from 37,666 to 41,512. This picture of the Court's struggling year after your with swelling arrears is distressing. When we visited Allanabad in December 1956, we were informed that the First Appeals instituted in 1944-45 were still awaiting decision. An instance was also brought to our goner when a criminal revision was beard and the acquised was acquitted after he had served out a sentence of 18 months imprisonment. It is difficult to describe the disposal of cases after such delays as the administration of justice.

TABLE No. 2

Nature of pro-						Y	ear of its	tiandeus						
creding	1944 år eather	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1551	1952	1953	7954	1955	1956	100
1	à	3	4	5	6	7	s	9	20	:1	12	(3	I.	(\$
. Regular Pirat Appeala.	28	190	195	173	245	931	343	3>1	<u>3</u> 63	361	356	513	595	3884
Reguler Second Appeals.		2	•	ta	76	92	843	1372	1527	t \$553	1506	tg <b>6</b> 8	2154	13056
. Appeals against Orders,	6		4	2	5	17	24	<b>å</b> 4	₹\$2	167	257	313	346	1927
. Letters Petent Appeals.	1		1	2	• •	5	11	50	43	62	72	71	ন্দ	319
. Cityli   Revisions	1	1	5	4	[]	21	163	703	715	778	788	913	1233	\$278
West Potisions .						,.		I			28	145	3121	3294
Criminal Revi-			٠.						3	40	295	1408	ii)At	3727
Criminal Revision	<b>.</b> . as								٠,	2	23	827	1:29	3031

 The magnitude of the problem can also be appreciat. Average ed if we take into consideration the average duration of docudes. time taken to dispose of the proceedings in the High Court Thus the average duration of a contested First Appeal rose from 1393 days in 1950 to 2145 days (i.e. 5 years—11 months) in 1955. Even uncontested First Appeals took 1911 days (i.e. more than 4 years) to be dispused of. The average duration of a contested 2nd appeal in 1935 was 1276 days i.e. 3½ years. The figures speak for themselves.

 The chief massise of the High Court is undoubtedly the shortage of judges. This was pointed out by the Ultar Pradesh Judicial Reforms Committee, as early as in 1950. The Committee stated:

"It is the unanimous opinion of all of us as also of those who have replied to the questionnairs, that the main cause of delay in the dispusal of appeals in the High Court is the shortage of judges. At least 21 judges are necessary for disposing of the coses coming up from day to day. It will not be possible for them to touch the arrears and at least three more judges are absolutely necessary in order that the arrears may be cleared off within a reasonable time."

We may only add that the position has been seriously aggravated since that Committee made its Report. total number of pending proceedings of all classes in the High Court at the end of 1949 was only 15,604, but this has since swollen to 41,512 in 1957. It is a matter of regret that in spite of timely warnings the gravity of the problem should not have been fully realised by the concerned authorities.

14. It is apparent from the figures relating to 1956 as well Raising of the year 1957, that the existing strength of 25 judges will accusary. he unable even to keep pace with the current institutions. It is accordingly necessary, that the normal strength of the High Court Judiciary should be raised without any delay to prevent a further deterioration in the situation. If this is not done, further increase in the arrears is inevitable. It is not easy to calculate the minimum number of judges, required to deal with the current institutions. We have on the basis of figures for the years 1954 to 1957, computed that the average judge-disposal per year is about 850 cases. In making this calculation we have taken all classes of judicial proceedings in the High Cours together. On this basis, the normal strength of the High Cour; will have to he at least 30 judges, to be able to cope with the current institutions. More judges would be required to clear the large accumulation of arrears. We have already noticed that at the end of the year 1957 the total number of procoedings, civil and criminal, pending in the High Court were 41,512. Deducting therefrom 21,250 cases. Which would normally be disposed of by the existing strength of 25 judges during the current year, the balance of 20,262

Report, page 724

cases, i.e. the arroses will require for their disposal 24 more judges required for one year or 12 judges for two years. Such a large addition to the strength of the High Court is of course not feasible. Other messages are necessary and we have indicated some of them in earlier chapters. We shall suggest some other measures in this chapter.

Delay in filling vocuncles. 15. The malaise is the direct result of the complement attitude of the Government and their ficture to appropriate the seriousness of the problem. The apathy of the exemptive is evident from the long delays in the appointment of judges, to fill the vacancies which have occurred in the illight Court from time to time. We have dealt with this question at some length in our chapter on the "High Court". The instances of delay which occurred in the case of the appointments of the 17 judges during the period beginning from December, 1950 to March, 1955 show that for a period of 1425 months, i.e., 11 years and 105 months, the post of one judge or the other was allowed to remain vacant on account of delay in filling up the vacancies. This is equivalent to the loss of 12 judges for one year. Had these delays not occurred, probably, half the existing arrears would not have come into being.

Powers of Single Pudge-

16. One of the methods which we recommend for increasing the disposels is the increase of the powers of a single judge. The rules of the High Court were amended in 1954 with this end in view. Prior to the amendment, the power of a single judge in civil appeals and revisions was restricted to cases where the value of appeal and the cross-objection if any, did not together exceed Rs. 2,000. All writ applications had also to be dealt with by a Division Bench. As a result of the aforesaid amendment, the jurisdiction of a single judge has been increased to Rs. 5,000. in civil appeals and civil revisions. Writ pelitions other than for Habeas Corpus or those challenging the decisions of the Board of Revenue, Election Tribunals and Labour Tribunals are now finally disposed of by a Single Judge, who has also jurisdiction to hear all orininal appeals except those where sentence of death or transportation for life has been imposed. The enlargement of the powers of a single judge has uncountedly added to the judicial output. This is evident from the figures of disposal for the years 1953--56 in Table No. I. Thus the number of Second Agmeals disposed of in 1955 were 2570 as against 1670 in 1953. Civil revisions disposed of in 1955 were 1681 as against 1072 in 1953; the disposal of writ petitions also substantially increased after 1953. The impact of the amendment of these rules on the judicial output is, therefore, phylous. But a further increase in the powers of a Single Judge appears to be imperative if even the current work of the court is to be brought under control. An en-largement of the jurisdiction of a single judge to enable him to hear all first appeals below Rs. 15,000, or even Rs. 20,000—all Second Appeals and all civil revisions abould be made.

17. Following the recommendations of the Righ Court Appellme Arrests Committee, the appellate jurisdiction of District publication Judges has been raised from Rs. 5,000 to Rs. 10,000, by an Judges. amendment of the Bengal, Agra and Assam Civil Courts Act, 1887. This amendment came into furce in November, 1954. The High Court has however held, that the amendment has no refruspective effect and has no application to decrees in suits instituted prior to the amendment of the Act. If the amendment is to serve a useful purpose it is necessary that it should immediately by suitable legislation be given retrospective effect and he made to apply to all saits, who never instituted. It is also necessary to transfer all appeals pending in the High Courts, below the Value of Rs. 10,000 from officers other than District Judges to the District Court for disposal. From the available figures, we find, that out of a total of 2000 First Appea's instituted during the period 1952-1958, 982 (i.e. about 35 per cent.) are of a valuation between Rs. 5,000 and Rs. 10,000. Taking this proportion as the everage, it would mean that roughly about 1360 of the pending Pirst Appeals would be of a valuation between Rs. 5,000 and Rs. 10,000. If these pending appeals are transferred to the District Courts it should give substantial relief to the High Court. Our general recommendations with regard to increasing the number of district judges and the mode of such transfor will have to be kept in mind.

18. Following the recommendation of the High Court Second Arrears Committee, reiterated by the U.P. Judicial Reforms Appeals. Committee, Section 192 of the Civil Procedure Code was amended in 1934, raising the limit of non-appealability from Rs. 500 (as it was then) to Rs. 2,000. This amendmena is in accordance with our recommendation. however gone further and suggested that the right of Second Appeal should be barred in all suits below Rs. 2,000 where rights to immovable property are not involved

19. The U.P. Judicial Reforms Committee in their Report Paper books... suggested that one of the causes of delay in the High Court was the printing and translation of records. This, however, does not seem to be correct, at any rate at the present time. The truth is that even cases, in which paper books are ready, cannot be heard because there are many earlier cases to be heard and disposed of. We were told that the printing of paper books in civil cases was temporarily stopped, as there was no room in which the records could be stored and the cases in which paper books were ready were sufficient to occupy the time of the judges. Under the rules prescribed by the High Court printing of paper books is necessary in capital cases, appeals under sections 411  $\Lambda(2)$ , and 417 Cr. P.C., and cases where notice

Cyril Austin Spencer v. M. H. Spencer, A. I. R. 1985 N.U.C. A]lahabad) 3546.

Réport, page 73.

is given to show cause why the sentence should not be enhanced to that of death and civil cases where the valuation of the appeal is Rs. 10,000 or more. Parties are, however, permitted with the leave of the court either to file type-written paper book or to get it printed privately. The U.P. Judicial Reforms Committee suggested that printing and translation of records may be restricted only to those cases which have a valuation of Rs. 20,000 or above. In cases with a valuation of less than Rs. 20,000, paper books may not be printed or translated but may be type-written only when a case is to be heard by a Bench of two judges. It is understood that these recommendations have been accepted by the High Court and the rules have been amended accordingly.

There is, however, considerable delay in the printing of records in criminal cases. We learnt that even in cases where the death penalty was imposed, the Government press was unable to get the paper books ready in time with the result, that the work had to be entrusted to a private press. It seems that the situation has somewhat improved recently after the work of printing has been entrusted to private presses.

Our general recommendations with regard to preparation of paper books are equally applicable to this State.

In our chapter on "Civil Appellate Procedure" we have already referred to the peculiar rule which obtains in this State regarding the levy of extra charges for the translation and printing of papers in an appeal out of turn and have recommended its abrogation.

Distribu tion of work. 20. We have recommended elsewhere that the distribution of work should be so arranged, that judges are assigned the work in which they can excel. This is easily possible in the High Courts like Allahabad, which have a large number of judges. We were told that in 1955 the total number of income-tax references, agricultural income-tax cases and sales tax cases before the court were 879, out of which only 63 cases could be disposed of during the year. If judges with an aptitude for this branch of law are continuously put on this type of work, greater expedition would be possible.

It is necessary to refer to the manner and quantum of disposal of work in the High Court. The figures examined by us seemed to indicate that the speed of disposal generality in all matters in this High Court compared unfavourably with that in some other High Courts. In view, however, of the different methods of maintaining statistics in different centres we were unable to demonstrate this disparity by comparative statements. It must not be forgotten that appointments of persons without adequate experience must necessarily result in smaller disposals. We have dealt with this subject at some length elsewhere. If perchance the Court happens to have such judges it should be the duty

of the Chief Justice of the Court to place them in Benches. with competent judges so that they may not impede the progress of the work.

21. We have earlier referred to the delegation of powers Delegation to the Registrar in this State to admit Second Appeals and of Powers have expressed our disapproval of this practice. We were our also informed that it was not the general practice of the judges to read the ease papers at home. We feel that the system of reading case papers at home can be introduced with advantage in this High Court.

 During the last few years, there has been a consider. Increase in able rise in the number of suits instituted in the subordinate courts. In 1951, the number of suits instituted in subordinate courts was 102,788, but to 1955 it had risen to Subordinate 157,299 s.e. by tiearly 50 per cent. This enormous rise in Cours, institution of suits of all kinds, including suits relating to immovable property, is principally due to the institution of a large number of suits under the Zamindari Abolition and Land Reforms Act. 1950. Suits relating to immovable property form nearly one-third of the litigation in the State A large proportion of the litigation is, however, of small value. Nearly 92 per cent, of the total litigation relates to suits below Bs. 1,000. Houghly, only about 2 per cent, of the total volume of litigation is above Rs. 5,000 valuation.

23. The following two statements show the state of the State of files files of regular suits in the courts of Munaifa and Civil Suits in the Judges during the years 1951—58.

രാവന്ദ്ര വി Muusils and Civil Juggest

TABLE No. 3. Regular Supply to the Courts of Mansife.

			• • • • •			
Year	Instructions the during the wear of	Total disposal during ne yeu	Disposal after cult reset	Average direction in days of full trial cases	Pendracy ar the and of the year	No. of more that year old years
	2	ч.	4	- 5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7
1951	82.051	САСЬОК	21,729	327	6т,985	22,794
1952 .	87;ngn	86,038	20,445	397	53,049	22,209
Yest	1,21.252	89/057	22,582	477	34,676	24,351
1954 -	1,30,027	1,00,00	22 <b>,</b> 561	96≥	1,29,883	34-234
1955	2,41,495	$(1,2)[1,2]^{\ast}\mathfrak{g}$	23,759	557	1,26,786	\$1,029
1956 .	1,39,602	145 (5)			1,19,414	60.223

MOTE. - Institutions Include tuits revived during the year and enhancing receiver.

Table No. 4

Righton Sairs in the Courts of Gird Julyet.

			–				
Уевг		noncotives ening the year	Cotslin to disposal during the year	žjaposel Biče: Pulž rejni	duration in day?	endency or the end of ne year	No of more dang one year old suits
	т	7	÷	4	Ė	7,	4
7,915.1		13.837	11.729	2,334	354	6,883	0,815
1952		13,500	114674	2,250	319	7.729	4,458
1953		2.861	1,454	5.02.5	514	5.106	8-744
1934		4-995	1,692	2.03 §	247	5.2(8)	3,059
4955		(60%)	4,717	1,105	628	5,7/5	9,438
4556		2309	5.499			41199	2.719

None: Viggious and administrative I during the year and substrates accessed.

It will appear from these statements that the problem of arrears is very cente in the subordinate courts. The number of pending cases rose in Munsh's Courts from 51,985 m 1951 to 1,19,414 in 1966 that is nearly twice the number in 1951. It is true, that both Munsifs and Civil Judges, were able to cope with the current institutions during the year 1956, but the real problem in the subordinate courts is not so much the capacity of the existing judicial strength to deal with the current institutions, as the huge mass of arreors accumulated year after year awaiting disposal. The rising average duration of cases disposed of after full trial and the large proportion of more than one year old pending suits are matters of grave concern. The average duration of contested suits rose from 327 days in 1951 to 537 days (i.e. one year and about 6 months) in 1955 in Mansif's Courte and from 354 days in 1951 to 818 days (i.e. year and 84 months) in 1953 in Civil Judge's Courts. The percentage of more than one year old suits in Munsif's Courts rose from 32 in 1954 to 55 in 1956. In Civil Judge's Courts, the percentage of more than one year old suits was 42 in 1956. The rise in the average denotion of cases without a corresponding decrease in old suits clearly shows that the judicial officers have not devoted the required attention to the dispusal of old suits and have histend preferred to dispose of comparatively lighter suits. The gravity of the problem of arrears can be

appreciated further from the fact that the percentage of centested anits in the courts is really very small, that is, about 19 to 20 per cent, in the courts of Munsife and about 23 per cent in the court; of Civil Judges. Taking into account the everage capacity of the existing judicial strength to dispose of the contested cases, and ossuming as we have done in earlier chapters, that more than one year old cases are likely to be contested suits, we have calculated, that it would take the Munsifs mughly about 2½ years and the Civil Judges about 2 years to dispose of the old cases pending at the end of 1956, provided the entire strength of the judicial officers is exclusively assigned to the task of disposing of these cases. The position in October 1957 has been described by the High Court as follows:

"The largest numbers of penting suits triable by a Civil Judge are 617 in Kaupur, 412 in Agra (out of which 50 are pending for three years and 78 for four years), 387 in Varatasi, 375 in Metrut (out of which 70 are pending for three years) 260 in Moradabad, 258 in Lacknew, 355 in Farrukhahad and 141 in Mathura (out of which 51 are pending for two years and 23 for three years). Through Circular Letter No. 22/VIIII-13, dated 18th March, 1949 the Court has ordered that Civil Judges and Munsife thould not fix a date more than three months ahead for final hearing of a regular suit. In many courts of Civil Judges and Munsife there are so many regular suits ready for final hearing that dates for disposal cannot be fixed in all of them within the next three months and some of them have to be adjourned size dig. There are 174 suits hying in courts of Civil Judge, Meeral, without a date for final hearing being fixed. 90 suits in Aligarh, 88 in Ballia and 57 in Mathura."

A large number of the pending suits are three to four years old.

Courts of District Indges, Civil & Sessions Judges and Civil Judges.

24. The situation is no better in the courts of District Judges and Civil and Sessions Judges as would be apparent from the accompanying Tables (Nos. 5 & 6), showing their civil and criminal work during the years 1954—1956. In these statements the civil appellate work done by the Civil Judges and the criminal work done by them in their capacity as Assistant Sessions Judges has also been indicated.

Regulat Mirs

It will be seen that there are huge arrears of civil suits, civil and criminal appeals and sessions cases in these courts. The number of pending civil suits in the courts of District Judges rose from 234 in 1954 to 526 in 1956; the average duration of contested suits in these courts also rose from 417 days in 1954 to 708 days in 1956. In the court of Civil and Sessions judges, the proportion of more than one year old suits is nearly 50 per cent. of the pending cases.

Civil appends.

The arrears of civil appeals are staggering. It would appear that the number of pending regular civil appears use from 20,518 to 1954 to 26,922 at the end of the year 1956. Of these, nearly 43 per cent, were more than one year old. A large proportion of the civil appeals as many as 8458 is peoding in the courts of Civil judges, out of which nearly 62 per cent, are appeals pending for more than one year. In this connection, the High Court has observed;—

"There are huge arrears of civil appeals (including revenue and miscellaneous appeals) to many districts and there is hardly any regular or planned disposal of them for went of time, the District and Sessions Judges being occupied with criminal work and Civil Judges, with regular suits and sessions trials. There is very little disposal of civil appeals in a majority of courts of District and Sessions Judges; most of the disposal is in courts of Civil Judges, but even they have no time to dispose of them systematically." The High Court has also stated that in most of the Districts, more than 2 to 3 years old appeals were also pending.

Semions Cauca. On the criminal side, a large number of sessions cases are awaiting disposal. It would appear from the figures that the courts of session, including the Civil Judges who are empowered as Assistant Sessions Judges, have been anable to deal with even the current institutions, resulting it progressive accumulation of assions cases. What is even more shocking as the large number of a year old sessions cases. Thus, the number of pending sessions cases tose from 3145 to 1954 to 4253 in 1956, in this connection, the High Court observed:—

"There are no less than 16 districts in which more than 100 sessions trials are pending; three districts have more than 200 sessions trials pending, namely Jhansi (227). Meerut (226) and Unnao (215). Under Circular Letter No. 73/VIII-i-14, dated 29th October, 1948................................. the District and Sessions Judges and Civil and Sessions.

Sugrament showing the confessor's Jone by Occasion Judges Chill and Sessions Judges and Civil Judges during the grant 1954-50.

122				Civil S	ui s			5	imuli Caus	e Saus			Miscella	necus Civ	il Casca		
×		Peno	יוצה! עם.	Disposals	Bala	neX.	Madi: g	Ins::-	Daposis	F.vi	апсс	Pending	Jos.in:-	(Tisp. calg		Innce	•
of Law-	Yen	et in. hagis eti 3 yezi	18 10		Balose ione year	Over one gear	- ar the larger, riting of the year	:iluors		Below ege year	(Sven one yest	- or the begins ring of he year	tions		Relaw See year	Over Vine year	
1			3				··- <del>-</del> —	۶		:	17	:2	- 1-	24	15	iδ	•
•								Discrici	Yndges								•
	1954	ز	30 29	1 255	102	112						rçuq	3530	5349	1477	173	_
	1955	. 2	tu 45	9 534	213	1. ;		٠.				1050	5487	604)	TKRN	391	.103
	1946	2	59 97	4 800	346	190						1885	6827	6630	1903	798	
							$Gia.q_{ij}^{*}$	and Seve	iona Judges								
							Other C	San appo	nding gnago	د'					- 7		
	1954	. 5	74 - 63	574	371	190	1090	4424	390	1457	206	575	1817	rýzu	645	165	
	1955	31	6) 98	648	329	341	5973	4246	3914	1573	432	810	22,58	1997	784	27.7	
	1956	6	80 gi	755	22 %	2:7	2009	9861	4541	878	497	205₹	2303	2564	610	137	
								Cibilifin	lyes								
	1954	٠.					3034	KXZ9	8691	2954	z/io	2410	6404	5 SOR	2452	*65	
	1955						3214	9084	$q_0 z_3$	2485	296	3018	7022	7202	2261	574	
	1956						2775	11217	10352	3356	Z\$4	2835	7423	7428	£248	SAZ	

-	
	=
	1170

		Civil Appeals				Civ(t M	iscelianeous /	appeals.	
Pending at the	Tostinuicons	Disposais	FLinn	100	Pending a. the	Institutions	Diaposals	Bale	прсе
hemouring of the year			Helow note year	Over ode vetr	beginning of the year		-	Resource one year	Over or year
::	25		20	±1		23	-+	23	79
				Déstrizi Judg	-cx				
86 to	132.05	17179	6981	$2\gamma\cdot t$	1.444	tgod	2787	1202	7.7
975.2	20603	1249 !	>5008	3975	449.7	28-pr.	2709	1239	21
1160]	15360	1,1660	8862	44'11	6474	3148	2746	t :F6	33
			Cas	nt and Sossion: 82	Yndger				
			Other	Citas ponden	(Yadges				
2614	4878	3650	2511	1321	20:9	686	524	266	2
3825	1797	4f.;	2430	3035	392	3.39	967	403	16
5515	4085	5158	3191	1949	565	101	753	00%	- 4
				$C(vil_{\frac{1}{2}m}$	iges:				
0800	9448	opes	2713	4441	540	1,425	1336	-l-4	
6954	9397	9564	3-42	3565	522	91.	923	384	[5
5557	12975	10173	\$196	5285	509	1083	1125	56)	20

Table No. 6
Studenters thousing the Original come done by the Courts of Session during the years 1984-95.

			Se	50205 Ca	345%			Co	riminal Aj	ppposts			Ca	unical Re	visiras	
υ		Pending at the	Institut-	-	1102	:11200	Panding - arrige	Intri-	Disposal	s1	Rationale			Displauls	Bal	ance
Year		bogs to - ing of the year	1:041	-	History one year	Over one year	heginas ing of rhe year	[Light and		Below one year	over Over	at the beginning of the year	tiuns	_	trallow Line Your	Over one year
1		٤	3	ı	, ,	5	7	8	9 -	10	:1	! 2	13	14	:5	26
							Disrect a	id Sassioi	v Judyes	• •		–				
954		933	ევნი	3273	1535	195	3788	15021	13982	4143	a\$4	17.55	5743	3907	1963	18
955	-	rAge	3955	1720	1661	205	4827	14364	13795	4814	-82	1081	3475	}64≖	441	63
955		1910	4,532	2023	1978	241	5479	14421	13601	3485	. 914	943	2345	3135	974	150
							Clott an	d Settion	rJudye)							
954		857	$\hat{\tau}^{i}\delta\tau_{1}$	4,644	1,003	153	2,550	5447	7,932	3746	319	6.;	2,311	-,995	883	50
955		2,156	3,222	3.007	1,049	222	1,065	6,178	9426	2519	288	923	2,343	2,310	873	90
956		$r_{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \tau$	3,004	2,447	1,685	163	7817	$8_{\rm L}68_{\rm A}$	8,61%	2,344	141	964	1,549	1,898	617	500
							Civil and a	fissistant	Sersigns 4	wd);ra						
954		413	983	1,047	30£	45	219	952	452	198	17			• ·		-1
955		349	1,078	1,024	363	40	313	767	886	₹52	2					
956		403	1,563	وكاعرا	530	136	154	740	757	136	c c					

Nove. - These agures have been supplied to us by the filigh Court of Allehabed.

Judges are required not to fix a date more than two monshs shead for disposal of a sessions trial not punishable with death; consequently in every judgeship there are some sessions trials mending without a date for disposal points fixed. In many judgeships large number of sessions trials are lying without a date for disposal being fixed: . . In capital sentence cases dates for disposal are generally fixed within the next two months and on account of this priority being given to them disposal of other cases is considerably delayed. There are many sessions trials including those for offences punishable under sections 304, 395 or 397 1 P.C. in which any date for disposal can be tixed for at least a year."

Likewise, there is also a very large number of primmal appeals and priminal revisions.

The principal reason for this disheartening state. affairs is undoubtedly the shortage of judicial officers. Tt. would be interesting to compare the judicial strength oſ Bibas with Uttar Prodesh. The spectioned strength subordinate judiciary in Bihar, which has only 17 Districts. is nearly equal to that of U.P., which has 51 districts with a population and area almost twice that of Bihan. Thus, the sanctioned strength of Civil judgmary in Bibar is 344 Judicial. officers (16 District Judges, 25 Additional District Judges, 71 Subordinate Judges and 232 Mundish, whereas Uttar. Pradesh has a sametioned strength of 390 Judicial officers (45 District Judges, 39 Civit and Sessions, Judges and 2**56** Munsifs and Civil Judgest. The total number of suits, tiled in the superdinate legicts of Bohar in 1964 was only 2.34,123. a large number of which were simply real suits, whereas in UP the number was 1,50,713 one third of which related to immovable property. In addition the number of sessions eases in U.P., was also much larger. There is one civil judicial officer for every 1,12,730 persons in Binar as against 1.85.928 persons in Uttar Pradesh. Is it not abundantly clear that the concerned authorities who have time and again failed to cause the strongth of judicial officers in spite. of demands are directly responsible for the sad plight of the administration of justice on the State?

Inadequacy of judicial attengen—
a long standing problem.

15. The acute shortage of judicial officers is not a new feature in Uttar Pradesh. In fact, shortage of personnel has persisted for a very long time, resulting as we have seen earlier, in the progressive accumulation of pending cases year after year. The High Court has requiredly drawn attention of the State Government to it in their Administration Reports as well as in official correspondence. We have earlier quoted the observations of the Uttar Pradesh Judicial Reforms. Committee on this subject.

The High Court in its reply to our Questionnaire stated that in a large number of cases, the period actually taken for disposal of civil and original proceedings exceeded what

may be considered reasonable. They, however, pointed out that:

"the overriding cause for this is the great madeedacy of Judges. Munsifs and Magistrates. The work has increased greatly in recent years, but there has been no corresponding increase in the number of officers."

The High Court in their letter addressed to the State Government in October 1957 observed:

"The Court takes a very grave view of the delay. with which cases are disposed of in civil courts and sessions courts. It reflects no credit on the administration of justice that sessions trials (barring those for capital offences) take about a year and a half for disposal, civil suits take more than a year for disposal. in the trial court, civil appeals are not disposed of for two and three years and criminal appeals also take a long time for disposal. The delay in the disposal of a suit and a civil appeal, means that the aggricued purty is left without, any redress for many years. In many cases in would be hardly worthwhile filing a suit in a civil court for redress. If the administration of civil justice, is not to be reduced to a farce, urgent measures. are necessary to prevent the delay. The judicial officers are as a rule making the fullest use of their time and Court does not think they can dispose of substantially more work. They are generally punctual in attendance and harring a few isolated cases they do full day's work every day. The accumulation of arrears is due mostly to inadequacy of officers of all grades, and the Court would urge Covernment to take into immediate consideration the question of increasing the numbers of officers of all grades."

In the end, the Court stated:

"No less than 275 officers would be required if the existing arrears were to be cleared off in two years, the number of officers required would be 137 if they were to be cleared off in three years, the number would by 92 if they were to be cleared off in four years, the number would be 69 if they were to be cleared off number of officers required is in the court of Munsifs because the heaviest arrears are in suits triable, by a Munsif. Roughly shout baif the number of officers. recoursed to elean all the arrears should be Mansils, the number of Civil Judges required would be about half that of Munsifs and the number of Civit and Sessions Judges, about one third."

From the fore-going, it is quite obvious that the root of increasing the trouble in U.P. is the acute shortage of judicial thestrengthpersonnel at all levels and unless this aspect of the matter chief recody, is faced and the deliciency made good, any other measures

taken will be of but liftle use. It is not possible for us to estimate the required strength of the subordinate judiciary as that requires a more detailed knowledge of Incol conditions than we possess. That is a matter for the High Court and the State Government, but we wish to emphasise that nothing substantial can be done in the way of reducing the arrears unless the judicial personnel is raised to the adequate strength.

Delayê In fîtling up vacancjes.

It has also been stated that whenever the High Court asks, for an increased number of judicial officers. There has been considerable delay on the part of the Government in sanctioning the posts. For instance we understand that High Court sent proposals to the State Government increasing the cadre of Civil Judges by 33 and of Mansifs by 152 as early as November 1952. No reply was received by the High Court to this communication 4-3-1954 when a reminder and further proposals for increase in the strength of the judiciary were submitted to the Government. In the meanwhile the requirements of the judiciary, had become aftered and a much larger number of judicial officers were found necessary. At that stage the Government, wrote back to say that the letter of 1962, was not traceable and a copy of the original proposal might be sent. The Government was reminded by the High Court on 6-8-1954 when the High Court was informed that the matter had been taken up in right earnest. When the officers of the Commission visited Allahabad in February, 1956, it was learnt that the matter was still being "actively" considered at Government level. When we visited Allahabad in December, 1956, we were told that the strength of the subordinate judiciary had been increased by 44 as against the demand for 185 officers made by the High Court. This sorry tate reflects little credit on those responsible for the administration of justice. The High Court also may to some extent be blamed for not pursuing the matter with Government and for not reminding them more frequently. It is no part of our enquiry to allocate the responsibility for this or to apportion blame. The liti-gant in this State has a just cause for complaint. Notwithstanding the levy of a heavy court fee, the State does not provide the liftigant with a court which can take up the trial of his case in a reasonable time. With the fullest appreciation of the financial obligations of the State, a state of affairs in which session cases cannot be taken up for a year, and civil cases have to be repeatedly adjourned to a fresh date for giving a date is without parallel anywkere else in India. If this state of affairs is allowed to continue the time is not far distant when the administration of justice may well break down. There is no excuse for not establishing necessary number of courts in a State where the fees paid by suitors for having their cases "heard" contribute a handsome, surplus to the general revenues.

If necessary an emergency recruitment may be resorted to fill up the vacancies at different levels.

27. An important matter brought to our notice was that Deputation the services of a large number of judicial officers are requisi- of judicial tioned by the State Government from time to time for Secretarial and other non-judicial or quasi-judicial work. We were informed that some time ago about 33 judicial officers were on deputation to the State Government as against the deputation reserve of only 8. This naturally resulted in a large number of permanent courts lying vacant without presiding officers and cases not being heard. We recommend that in fixing the strength of the judiciary the requirements of the State Government in regard to deputation to non-judicial posts should be taken into con-sideration and the strength of the cadre fixed on that basis. Further, under no circumstances should the number of officers sent on deputation exceed the deputation reserve or otherwise result in a shortage of judicial officers to preside over the courts.

28. In addition to increasing the strength of the judi-Odwr 44ciary, it may also be possible to quicken the pace of instances, disposal by certain adjustments. Thus if the small cause jurisdiction of Munsife is raised to Rs. 500 and of Civil Judges to Rs. 2.000/- as recommended by us. a large number of cases can be disposed of by them under the simpler procedure. If the pecuniary jurisdiction of Munsifs is raised to Rs. 5,000/- it would enable the Munsifs to deal with a larger number of spice and to that extent relief can be afforded to the Civil Judges. But in view of the shortage of munsifs this might only result in transferring arears from one class of courts to another. However. It is easier and less expensive to appoint more munsifs than givil indges.

The main reason for the congestion in the Civil Judges' courts is that they have to deal with a large variety work. They have to try not only original suits, but also Session cases and hear civil and criminal appeals. Thus, in addition to their normal work, nearly 55 per cent of regular civil appeals are disposed of by Civil Judges and about 15 per cent of the sessions cases are heard and tried by them-Such a conglomeration of various types of proceedings. inevitably results in delay in the disposal of civil suits. Apart from increasing their number and enhancing their small cause jurisdiction some improvement can be effected by assigning particular officers to a given type of work, for example the trial of old suits and appellate work for limited periods of time. The Civil Judges in this State hear succession certificate cases but the jurisdiction in guardianship, probate and land acquisition matters has been conferred only upon the Civil and Sessions Judges who function at places other than headquarters of the division. Delegation of powers in these matters also to the civil judges will case the strain on the District and Civil and Sessions Judges and pethaps even reduce the number of extra officers to be appointed to this cadre.

Some relief can also be given to the District Judges by relieving them of their nearjudicial duties as for example Registrates under the Indian Registration Act, with which we were told they had been entrusted. We are doubtful of the wisdom of the oriental probibiting the posting of sessions cases other than capital cases to a period beyond two months. In many other States there is no such limitation, but cases are posted for a period of three months or even more. If however in day station cases have to be posted in the court of session to a date more than three months in advance, an additional sessions judge is promptly appointed and posted.

Minimum. standards of out-tufn of work.

29. Certam (cin.mom) standards es regards the quantum of work that should be done by a judicial officer during a narticular period of time have been laid down by the High Court. Credit for one day's work is given for a civil suit up 10 Rs. 2,000/-, 2 days for a suit between Rs. 2,000 and Bs. 5,000 and 3 days for suits above Rs. 5000. Credit. js. gives only for suits disposed of after full trial which is explained as a suit finally decided after real contest between the parties. No credit is given if a suit is compromiscal even after evidence is recorded and arguments are heard. Suits decreed ex parte or dismissed for default or decided after reference to arbitration irrespective as whether objections to the award are filed or not, do not count for disposal. In the case of small cause suits credit. of one day is given for 10 contested suits or 40 necided otherwise.

As regards criminal matters, credit of 3½ days is given for trial of serious offences like murder, rioting and decoity; one day for cases falling under section 75 LP.C., two days, for other sessions trials. As regards appeals, three represented appeals or six joji appeals or six criminal revisions or two regular civil appeals or four civil miscellaneous appeals are expected to be done per day. We understand that the quantum of work of a judicial officer estimated by these standards is commented upon in the annual report and it is accordingly remarked that Shri A or B has done X days' work in Y days' time.

It is generally felt that the standards laid down by the High Court are very liberal and that in general, the judical officers are able to turn dut more work than required by the prescribed standard, whereas the previous standards in force upto 1935 were very high. With rare exceptions we discovered that the standards were generally exceeded. It was stated that in assessing the ability of a judicial officer, note is taken of the generous nature of the present standard. Therefore, the fligh Court white laying down the principle that iterit of an officer will be judged primarily from the quality of his work has also made it clear in its instructions, that the judicial officer of

overage ability doing his work with proper care and discretion, should be able not only to reach the standard but also show disposals above the prescribed standard, and that an officer who cannot attain even this standard will in the absence of a entistisciony explanation, he marked down as below average. In our view these instructions themselves demonstrate the futility of laying down such standards. A standard which an average officer is consistently expensed to surposs, ceases to serve any purpose and marriy words to imisload. It also tends to create a (celling of complacency in the minds of both the judicial officers and their superiors. There is also the very real danger that such arbitrary standards will induce judicial officers to nick and chaose light work and carefully avoid the heavier suits. It lends to make for window-dressing to disposals and relegates aquercising to a routine mechanical task which it should not be. The need for elteration in the system in its present form should be considered by the High Court.

30. The judicial officers submit periodical returns of Scruding of their work to the High Court. The subordhuate courts are returns and also expected to be inspected by the District judge once inspection. in overy two years. The Administrative Judge also inspects the Courts of District Judges and other subordinate courts. The returns submitted by the judicial officers are scriptinised by the Registrat and are put up before the Administratrative Judge. It may be pointed out that in the High live Judge. Court, there is only one. Administrative Judge who is expected to look after the judicial work of all the 51 dispriots in the State. We are convened that it is impossible for a single indivedual to exercise effective or even the necessary minimum supervision over so many judicial officers, either by scratiny of statements or by inspections, particularly where his administrative duties are merely parl-time.

Opinion was however, divided as to whether administrative, work should be assigned to one or more than, one Judge of the High Court. One of the Judges whom we examined while conceding that a single administrative Judge cannot possibly look after his own judical work as a Judge of the High Court and also do the supervision work, objected to the distribution of administrative work among more than one Judge on the ground that there would be no proper and uniform standard of comparison of the work turned out by different sets of judicial officers.

One of the Judges, however, suggested that the State may be divided into groups of 10 districts, each group being placed in energe of one Judge of the High Court. The five Judges of the High Court may constitute the administrative commutage of the Court, and the uniformity of standard in assessing the work of the judicial officers can be established by them by laying down proper standards. The Administrative Judge homself admitted, that while the existence of a single administrative judge tended to make for uniformity, yet it was difficult for a single individual to control and effectively supervise all the judicial officers of the State. In our view it is possible to pay too high a price for uniformity. The present system may merely ensure a uniform lack of supervision and that is what we noticed was happening. While the criminal returns are fairly closely scrutinised as several judges are entrusted with that task, that did not appear to be the ease with regard to the civil returns judging by the few samples that we examined. They merely seemed to be filled without any attempt being made to examine them.

It is physically impossible for any one judge to inspect and keep a watch on the work in all crurts in the fifty one districts and division of administrative work is essential if it is to be done at all. In fact in several High Courts there is more than one administrative judge. At least two High Courts go even further and distribute the administrative work on the basis of subjects and districts among all the judges. We trust that the Allahabad High Court will speedily undertake a division of the responsibilities of supervision and inspection among the judges to give relief to the administrative judge and ensure the better supervision which the courts in Utter Pradesh need.

Slip system.

31. Another method of assessing the work of the judicial officers in Uttar Pradesh is called the "slip system". It appears that when High Court Judges hear matters in appeal or otherwise, they give their comments about the quality of the work of the judicial officers on certain printed slips and these slips are collected and at the end of the year are referred to for the purpose of giving confidential reports on the work of individual judicial officers.

Overposting of cases. 32. There seems to prevail a very undesirable practice of posting ten many cases in all the courts. A Civil and Sessions Judge told us that he fixed as many as 40 to 50 cases per day for hearing and that this was done solely for the reason that requests for adjournments may be met. This naturally leads to cases being heard piecemeal. It was stated that sometimes even sessions cases are not heard from day to day.

**Judy**ուուը,

33. Some rules have been laid down by the High Court to ensure that the lower courts do not delay delivery of the judgments for a long time. It has been laid down that judgments in sessions cases should be delivered within 14 days of the conclusion of the trial and in civil cases within a month. But we were informed that sometimes in civil cases judgments, were often delayed and in order to circumvent the rule, there was a practice among the judicial officers of giving a date for re-hearing arguments so as to postpone the date for delivery of ludgments. Such a practice should be sternly controlled. This can easily be

sions if superior officers care to apply their minds to the aask of supervision. The period allowed for delivery judgment particularly in sessions cases is far too long and should be curtailed. A limit of fourteen days from the date when evidence is concluded should be laid down for delivery of judgment in all civil cases. Our general recommendations with regard to the delivery of judgment in civil and criminal cases are equally applicable to this State.

34. A peculiar leature of this State is that civil courts Conceausare generally located at the district headquarters. There courts are in all 51 district headquarters and 227 tehsil head. quarters; but all the courts of Civil Judges and Civil and Sessions Judges are located in 49 towns (all District Headquarters) and 132 courts of munsife are located in 65 towns out of which 51 are district headquarters. There is a considerable volume of opinion in favour of decentralization of courts. It was stated that on account of long distances the courts are not easily accessible to the Bligants save at considerable cost and inconvenience and that concentration of courts results in the concentration of work in the hands of a few lawyers with consequent, adjournments, and delays. While there was opposition to the proposal for the dispersal of courts, particularly by lawyers on the ground of lack of buildings, the absence of a Bar and the fear that a single judge in an isolated station may become a "dictator", we feel that the difficulties are overstand Deceatralisation of courts has been carried out successfully on Ia2 the Southern States where even courts of subordinate. judges are located outside district beadquarters and also in West Bengal. There is no reason why it should not work satisfactorily in Uttar Pradesh. Once a beginning is made and courts are moved to sub-divisional headquarters the necessary facilities will spring up. If a tehsilprovide sufficient work to keep a mansit fully occupied, they can be posted to tebsil headquarters also. The extra munsits whose appointment is necessary to clear off arrears can be asked to sit at outlying stations. When the judiciary is separated from the executive it may be possible to carry the process of decentralisation further by conferring in suitable cases civil and eriminal jurisdiction on one person.

It is a matter for regret that though such decentralisation was recommended by the Uttar Pradesh Judicial Reforms Committee, nothing has been done in this direct tion so lar.

 The scheme of separation now in force was intro- Separation raised under executive orders in eight Districts in 1949 of building and was later extended to twelve more districts. Under this maine scheme, the officers of the Revenue Department were divided into two classes, Judicial Magistrates-cum-Assistant Collectors and Executive Magistrates-cum-Assistant

Bused on the Administration Report for the year 1955.

Collectors. The Judicial Magistrates-cum-Assistant Collectors were later designated as "Judicial Officers". These officers hear all cases under the Indian Penal Code, which are triable by a Piesi Class Magistrate. They also hear all suits and proceedings under the U.P. Tenancy Act, certain cases under the U.P. Zaminian Abolition and Land Reforms Act and under other miscallaneous Acts. Executive Magistrates on the other bandhear cases arising under Cr. P.C., all suits and proceedings under the U.P. Land Revenue Act, and other miscellaneous local and special Acts. They are also empowered to hold enquiries, record dying declarations, confessions and statements under Section 174. There are also Tabsildar Magistrates.

The Judicial Officers are under the control not of the District Magistrate but of the Commissioner of the Divisions through an Additional District Magistrate (Judicial) who supervises their work. He scrutinises the formightly and monthly cetterns submitted by the judicial officers and is enjoined to inspect their Courts at least once a month. He is also empowered to call for the records of the judicial officers. Confidential reports about the quality of the work of judicial officers are written by the Sessions Judges, a cony of which is forwarded to the Additional Magistrate (Judicial), who in turn comments on the quantum of the work done by the Magistrate and the manner in which he handles his cases. His reports are forwarded to the Commissioner.

A separate cadre of judicial officers has now been constituted. Recruciment is made on the basis of a competitive examination on the same lines as in the case of recruitment to the judicial or the executive service. Their scale of pay is the same as that of an officer in the State Civil Executive Service, but they cannot be transferred to the Executive Service. The field of promotion for these officers, is however, very much restricted. They can aspire only to be promoted as A.D.M. (Judicial). Their prospects, nostings and promotion are entirely in the hands of the Government, whose discretion in these matters is unjuttered.

Separation only in form. 36. It is evident that all that has happened in these sc-called separation Districts is that the trial work is no longer in the hands of the same officers who have executive duties. The High Court has no control whatsoever over these Judicial Officers. They continue to be under the executive Government; and the Commissioner of the division as executive officer. It is thus clear that the system as it prevails in this State, while it may be a step towards separation, is not real separation for it locks the essential feature of separation, which is a criminal judiciary independent at executive control. The sooner this pseudo system of separation is replaced by a genuine one, the better.

37. Leaving out of consideration special classes of Other crimi-Magistrates like the Cana; and Railway Magistrates we find out courts. at the bottom of hierarchy, Tehaildar Magistrotes and Sub-Registrar Magistrates. These Magistrates have only second class, powers and as they have beavy departmental, duties, the amount of time which they are able to devote to their magisterial work is very Little. Above the Tensildar Magistrates are the Sub-Divisional Magistrates, who in nonsenaration. Districts try cases under the Indian Penal Code and deal with other comings palmed work. In separation districts. Their judicial work is limited to cases arising under the Criminal Procedure Code. But both in the separation and non-separation districts. Tehsiloars function as second-class magistrates under the control of the District Magistrate through the Sub-Divisional Magistrate. Pending the increasetion of separation they may be replaced by full time megistrates drawn if necessary, from the revenue department, or from judicial officers.

38. Committed promodings are invariably done by First Committel Class Magistrates and not by Magistrates of the Second Class. This would seem to unnecessarily take up the time of a higher class of officers. So long as the class of Second Class magistrates exists there is no reason, why suitable officers of that entry should not be empowered to commit cases to the court of session as has been done in other States.

39. A special feature about the magistracy in U.P., is Abolition abolition of Third Class Magistrates following the recom- Class Magismendation of the U.P. Committee,

40. The system of Horomany Magistrates has been in Homorany Magistrates. existence in this State for a very long time. Their number at present is 357. We were told that the bonorary magistraics disposed of nearty 25 per cent of criminal case work in the State.

41. The magistracy unlike the civil judiclary does not Space of appear to be madequate in strength. Though there is ble in scope for improvement, the delay in the magistrates magistrates courts courts is not so great as to cause plarm. Our attention was, however, drawn to delays in investigation. The following statement indicates the work done by magisterial courts during 1954--56.

Table No. 7

Statement thought the oriminal work done by Magistrates in Union Protests.

						Coses	s under (), (	P. C.		Other Cases					
1.5	Fal				Pending at the hoginang the year	Instiru- tions	Disposal	Pending 80 des and disha your		Pending at the hegineing of the year		Disposal	Pending et the end of the year	Canes national ghad note year old	
195.i					2,3107.1	98,878	97:443	22.10.	±4±	.19.444	2,80.480	2,82,560	28,362	735	
1957					22(10)	99.546	99,087	12,166	354	25,362	3(25)444	4,05,587	33,218	325	
(916					87,45)*	99,981	1,05,546	20,559	286	33,215	3,93,434	3,93,071	29.481	174	

"There is a slight Discorpancy. These figures have been supplied by the High Court.

It will appear from this Statement, that the magisterial courts are able to cope with the current institutions and that the total number of pending matters is generally one-fifth of the disposing capacity of the Magistrates. We have not got the figures of the average duration of cases under the I. P. C., but that there has been some improvement in the criminal judicial work is evident from the fact, that the average duration of a criminal case in the court of stinendlary magistrates decreased from 27 days in 1951 to 17 days in 1955. Further, the number of coses pending over 6 weeks decressed from 1619 in 1951 to 1287 in 1955.

 Panchayat courts, called "Nyaya Panchayats" are Panchayat 42. Panchayat courts, camen Tryaya Fanchayat Ros Act, Courts constituted under the Uttor Prodesh Panchayat Ros Act, Courts Constitution, 1947. For the purpose of jurisdiction every district is divided into Panchayat circles, each circle consisting of about 5 Gaon Sabha. Originally, each gaon sabhaasked to elect 5 persons to act as panchas for the court. The panel of 20 to 25 members thus elected to every Nyaya Panchayat elected one amongst them as Sarpanch. Five of them formed the quorum. This mode of constituting the Nyaya Penchayet was however considered unsatisfactory and later the law was amended so as to introduce the element of selection in the Nyaya Panchayats. The panchayat courts as constituted at present consist of five persons who are appointed by the prescribed authority from amongst those who are elected for the purpose by gaon sabhas. This method is an improvement on the old mode of constituting the panchayat courts. The term of every panch is five years from the date of his appointment,

43. The Nyaya Panchayat exercises civil jurisdiction in Jurisdiction. certain specified classes of cases upto the value of Rs. 100/but the State Government may in suitable cases raise the jurisdiction to Rs. 500/-. The decision of the court is by majority. The court also exercises criminal jurisdiction in respect of certain specified offences, of simple nature under the Indian Penal Code and some other minor Acts. The court is not competent to inflict substantive sentence of imprisonment but it may impose fine not exceeding Rs. 100/-. No imprisonment can be awarded in default of payment of fine. The court can also bind lever a person for keeping the peace for a period not exceeding 15 days. There is no provision for an appeal against the decisions

of the pancheyat courts but, revision lies to the Munsif in civil cases and to the Sub-Divisional Magistrate

criminal cases.

Cases betore Panchayar Courts.

44. The following statement shows the civil and criminal work done by the parchayat courts from the years 1953 to 1956:

Statement showing the institution of Civil and Criminal. Proceedings in the Panchayat Courts during the years 1953 to 1956.

	Veir	Pending at the beginning of the year	Institution	Drisposat 1 This Cod	Balanco si e coding The year
					_
		Citat	1		
2923		27,037	97/590	1,100100	14,921
1954		. [2,82]	8%.54%	90,624	12,439
1955		1=.439	61,472	617976	17,865
1056(g)		. 51,864	15.034	73.427	14,352
		Crin	(nail		
1954		21,933	1411;022	r,23.496	17,481
1955		12(505.76)	67.368	62,457	16461
1556(d		16.4%s	21/329	19,021	(8.669
	-				

NOTE—(a) Process for the year 1956 are not complete.
(b) It seems there is some discrepancy in figures.

It would appear from the above statement that a very large number of cases, both civil and criminal, have been disposed of by the panchayat courts. We understand that people are ordinarily satisfied with the decisions of the panchayar courts. This is evident from the fact that in only alcost 5 per cent of the cases revision applications are filed in the regular courts against their decisions. We have not got up-to-date figures with regard to the writ applications in the High Court against the decisions of the Nyaya psuchayats but during the three years from 1960 to 1952, the High Court disposed of 295 such writ applications out of which in 253 cases (i.e. 79 per cent) the decisions of the panchayats were upheld. Our general recommendations in regard to Panchayat courts are applicable to this State also.

Control over ministrial establishment.

45. Another feature which needs mention is that in this State even in respect of disciplinary action, taken against a member of the ministerial establishment by the District Judge an appeal lies to the Government only. We would suggest that in the case of disciplinary action against members of the staff of subordinate courts by the District Judge, the High Court as the head of the judgetary should be the appellate authority.

[&]quot;Village Panchoyars in India, by H. D. Malaviya, page 308.

## 56.—WEST BENGAL

 Bengal was one of the two provinces that was divid- Area ed into two as a result of the partition of the country in Population. 1947. The French possession of Chandernagore was merged with the Hoogly district of the State by the Chandernagore (Merger) Act (XXXVI of 1954) and with certain areas transferred from Bihar by virtue of the Bihar and West Bengal (Transfer of Territories) Act (XI of 1956), the territory of this State extends over an area of 33,945 square miles spread over sixteen districts. The State has a population of 26,301,992 persons according to the last census.

2. Until the establishment of the City Civil Court and Administhe City Sessions Court by West Bengal Acts XXI and XX tration of 1953 which came into force on the 22rd February 1957 of justice in of 1953 which came into force on the 23rd February 1957, Calcura, only the High Court was exercising original civil and criminal jurisdiction in respect of all causes other than those cognizable by the Presidency Small Causes Court Original and the Courts of Presidency Magistrates. One of the Side. terms of reference to the Judicial Reforms Committee for the State of West Bengal was, "whether the original side of the Calcutta High Court should have concurrent jurisdiction either (i) generally or (ii) in suits above a certain value."

The Committee was unanimous in recommending the retention of the original side and the establishment of a City Civil Court but they were not agreed as to the limits of its jurisdiction.

The state of work on the original side of the High Court prior to the establishment of the City Civil Court will appear from the following statement.

Table No. 1

Ycar		Pending at the beginning	Instituted	Disposed ≪f	Pending at the close of the year	
1946			7636	4492	308 <b>5</b>	
1949			9139	5968	4637	9139 9870
тазт			10549	5355	48/14	11040
1952			11122	5241	4506	11797
1953			11875	4438	3419	13042
1954			T3047	42£7	369:	13598
2955			T3598	3702	3562	13738
1956			13738	<b>360</b> 0	3570	13768

¹Report, 1951, page 1.

The suits instituted in the High Court, during the aforementioned years were those that were not triable by the Presidency Small Causes Court.

City Civil and Sessions Court,

- 3. Since 23rd February 1957, the original civil and criminal jurisdiction of the High Court has been curtailed by West Bengal Acts XXI and XX of 1953. Section 5 of the former Act provides that the High Court shall not have jurisdiction to try suits and proceedings of a civil nature not exceeding Rs. 10,000 in value, subject to the provisions contained in sub-sections (3) and (4) of that section and also of section 9. According to sub-section (4), suits and proceedings specified in the first schedule to the Act shall not be triable by the City Civil Court. Entries 2 to 9, 11, 12, and 15 of the first schedule read as under:
  - 2. Suits and proceedings relating to or arising out of shipping or navigation (including in particular carriage by sea, ships, cargo, freight, collisions, salvage, average, maritime lien, bottomry, respondentia, wages of scamen or master, and disbursements) not otherwise triable under entry 1.
  - 9. Suits and proceedings relating to or arising out of carriage by air.
  - Subject to entry 1 and entry 2, suits and proceedings exceeding five thousand rupees in value,—
    - (i) relating to or arising out of import or export of merchandise, or
    - (ii) relating to or arising out of stock exchange transactions or futures markets, or
    - (iii) relating to or arising out of documents of title to goods as defined in the Indian Sale of Goods Act, 1930, or
    - (iv) arising out of transactions of merchants and traders relating to the buying or the selling of goods or relating to the construction of mercantile documents, or
    - (v) relating to or arising out of transaction of mercantile agents as defined in the Indian Sale of Goods Act, 1930.
  - 5. Suits and proceedings exceeding five thousand rupees in value relating to or arising out of hills of exchange, hondics or other negotiable securities for money, letters of credit or letters of advice, but not suits and proceedings relating to or arising out of cheques, promissory notes or currency notes.
  - Suits and proceedings exceeding five thousand rupees in value for dissolution of narthership and for an account of partnership transactions.

- Suits and proceedings relating to or arising out of mortgages of, or charges or Hen on, immovable property.
- 8. Suits and proceedings for the administration of assets of deceased persons.
- Suits and proceedings relating to or arising out of trusts or endowments.
- 11. Suits and proceedings under the Arbitration Act, 1940, other than suits and proceedings under Chapter IV of that Act.
- 12. Proceedings for the relief of insolvent debtors triable by the High Court.
- Suits and proceedings triable by the High Court as a Court of matrimonial jurisdiction,

In effect therefore the jurisdiction of the City Civil Court is limited to Rs. 10,000 in value, excepting commercial causes in which its jurisdiction is limited to Rs. 5,000 and mortgage suits which it cannot entertain.

 We may next examine the judge-power that is need. ed to cope with the work on the original side. We were told that usually six judges sit singly to dispose of the sults and proceedings arising on the original side. In 1956, one judge sat for six days to hear suits, matters, applications and other matters, three judges sat separately but simultaneously for five days, four judges for thirty days, five judges for nincty-five days, six judges for fiftytwo days and seven judges for four days. For the rest of the period, they were engaged in hearing insolvency cases, original side appeals, cases reported under chapter V, Rules 2 and 3 of the Original Side Rules and criminal cases, criminal appeals and other matters arising on the Original Side. In spite of the fairly large number of judges employed for the determination of civil and criminal matters on the Original Side, the arrears have been progressively on the increase, as is evident from the figures given in the Table No. 1 above and in the Table set out below:—

TABLE No. 2

		195	i4		195	ភ		1956		D 4:
Mature of proceedings	Pending at the beginning	Instirurions	Disposal	Pending at the heginning	Institu- tions	Disposs1	Pending w the beginsing	Institu- Tions	Disposal	Pending en 1-1-57
Appeals from Original Side including Letters Patent Appeals and Writ Appeals	<b>288</b>	175	139	324	r82	таз	 	2/78	155	 457
Leave to appeal to Supreme Court		Lģ	,13		15	15		20	20	
Original Saits	13042	4247	2691	13598	3702	9562	13738	3 <b>60</b> 0	1570	19768
Miscellaneous	426	398	351	473	509	425	554	<b>42</b> 4	440 .	538
Criminal Appeals	2	24	24	2	35	zń	11	25	30	ь
Criminal Sessions	51	72	69	54	63	72	45	ńа	54	39

The establishment of the City Civil Court in 1957 had not the effect of reducing the number of pending proceedings in the High Court on its Original Side as section 20 of the Act XXI of 1953 specifically prohibits the transfer of any suit or proceeding pending in the High Court to the City Civil Court.

5. As in a few other States petitions under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are in this State laid before a Judge of the High Court sitting singly both for admission and later for final disposal. We were informed that in many cases long delays had occurred in the disposal of these petitions after rules had been issued and that in many cases the relief prayed for had become infructuous when the matters came up for hearing. During the three years preceding 1957 the writ business transacted by the High Court was as under:—

TABLE NO. 3

	1954	1954		1995			1956		
Pending or the beginning	Institu- cions	Disposed vf	Pending at the beginning	listicions	Disposed of	Pending at the beginning	Institutions	Disposed 00	Fending at § the close of the year
353		450	<u>-</u> -	٠					
353	701	459		447 ———————————————————————————————————	535	657	1067	\$13	121(

We were further informed that quite a number of writ petitions pending on 1-1-1957 were more than two years old. Such delays to the disposal of these petitions defeat the very purpose of this extraordinary remedy and are a negation of the administration of justice. Measures must be immediately devised to scrutinize these petitions at the admission stage so that the obviously unsustainable ones may be weeded out at that stage, to quicken the pace of their hearing which at the moment appears to be a very leisurely pace and if necessary to make available for their disposal more judge-power. In this respect the High Court of this State may well follow the example of some other High Courts.

- It appears that approximately only 15 to 20% of the judgments pronounced on writ petitions are taken in appeal. The fact that the number of judgments in writ matters that go up in appeal is small, would appear to show that the lifigents are satisfied with the pronouncements made by a judge sitting singly. To minimise delays at the appellate stage the advisability of introducing the practice obtaining in Madras of posting writ appeals for admission may be considered.
- 6. There are inordinate delays in the disposal of suits High on the Original Side. The year of the institution of the Court. 13768 original suits pending on 1-1-1957 is given below:—

TABLE No. 4

1944	1945	<b>19</b> 46	1947	1948	1949	1950	1952	1959	1954	1955	ту56	Total
91	167	259	470	745	706	364				1834	2722	£13542

Note - There is a difference of 226 between the actual number pending and the cotal given in this statement which cannot be explained.

It appears that the time of the judges on the Original Side is largely occupied in hearing what are really "Small Causes" for a High Court. Before 23rd February, 1957, the day on which the City Civil Court started functioning-all the commercial causes valued above Rs. 2,000 were tried by the High Court. The creation of the City Civil Court with its existing jurisdiction has not substantially relieved the congestion in the Original Side. According to the Chief Justice of the High Court the considerable congestion of suits and other proceedings on the Original Side is in some measure due to the fact that "the Attorneys take no steps to bring the commercial causes to a stage of hearing, but allow them to remain on the file of the court as a useless load to be carried from year to year." Delays in the disposai of proceedings are, also in some measure attributable to the procedural rules of the court which have been described as archaic and cumbrous. The main reasons for the torress of accumulation of arrears in the High Court would however work appear to be the increase in the volume of litigation, and the inadequacy of the judge-strength. Though the territorial jurisdiction of the Court has considerably shrunk since the partition, the actual institution of certain categories of proceedings has instead of diminishing, increased substantially, and the number of institutions of other categories of proceedings has remained nearly the same as before the partition. This will appear from the Table given below:-

Table No. 5

	<u>'</u>						
Nature of Pending —	Number lessituted in						
	1945	2950	1955				
t	2	3	4				
Picts Appeals from decrees Second Appeals from decrees Letters Parent Appeals Civil Revision Petitions Appeals ugainst orders Including	378 1860 25 916	2399 2101 21 8601	345 7650 9 2909				
uguinat appediare orders Original Suits Appedia Under Section 210 Cr. P. C.	476 1910 842	204 5203 259	420 3702 390				
Under Section 417 Cr. P. C. References Under Section 307 Cr. P. C. Under Section 341 Cr. P. C. Under Section 374 Cr. P. C. Under Section 374 Cr. P. C.	33 	9	13 11 6 3				
Under Section 432 Cr. P. C. Recirios Under Section 435 Cr. P. C. Under Section 438 Cr. P. C. Under Section 436 Cr. P. C. Under Section 526 Cr. P. C. Under Section 526 Cr. P. C.	1703 274 6 133	1187 94 2 57	11 1634 94 3 104				

NOTE -The figures relating to the Original proceedings shown under the year 1930 relate to the year 1949. In 1945, the jurisdiction of the High Court extended to Assam, and what is now East Bengal. In addition to the above, 23 sessions cases were disposed of in 1946, 76 in 1949 and 72 in 1955, in the High Court

The factors which have contributed to the increase in the volume of litigation and the consequent accumulation of arrears are thus described by the Chief Justice of West Bengal, "Numerous Acts have been passed in recent years, creating more and more work for the High Court of such variety and volume that the Court has been fully extended in dealing with that new work alone, with the result that its normal work, which had previously lam in suits, appeals and other proceedings under the general laws, had to a considerable extent to be put aside. The Bengal Agricultural Debtors' Act brought in a volume of work which almost overwhelmed the Court; soon followed the Bengai Money Lenders' Act, the contribution of which was equally voluminous; and then came a succession of Rent Control Orders and Acts, giving rise to an enormous number of appeals and revisions. In the sum, five judges of the Court have remained continuously occupied with work arising out of these special legislative Acis. There was again the Banking Companies Act which had the effect of transferring to the Court all proceedings, pending anywhere in India, with which any of the over 70 Banking Companies which had gone into liquidation and which had their head-offices in Calcutta was concerned. Misfeasance summonses taken out in connection with these liquidations have been so many in number that a Judge, in addition to the Company Judge, had to be particularly assigned for their disposal and sanction for a special staff had to be outsined. The scale on which those summonses are being prosecuted is vost, the charges invulving crores of rupces and the evidence comprising not only the and testimony of hundreds of witnesses, but also books of account and correspondence running into thousands of pages in each case. One of the reasons why company matters and commercial causes have to a large extent been held up during the last two years has been the sudden emergence of Misfessance Sungeonses which had to be taken up at once. Then again, Income-tax References have increased from 30 to 40 a year to over 200 a year; the number of References under the Chartered Accountants Act is steadily growing and the same is the case with appeals under the Workmen's Compensation Act. On the criminal side, commitments have grown so much in number that not only has one Judge to preside over the Sessions throughout the year without any break, but at times a second judge has had to be provided for dealing with specially hig cases which could not be heard by the Judge, taking the ordinary list, without the hearing of the rest of the cases being indefinitely post-noned. Criminal appellate work has also increased considerably, not only because of the rise in the number of cases of the ordinary type, but also because of new work being thrown on the Court by new legislations. The several Criminal Law Amendment Acts have on the one

hand provided for the hearing of criminal cases of un-usual gravity and complexity and on the other hand they have provided for appeals to the High Court on facts. It has been a common experience to find cases, heard before a Special Judge or Tribunal for two or three years in the course of which revidence, sufficient to fill 20 or 30 volumes of paper book, was admitted and the case then coming up with all that evidence to the High Court on appeal."

The accompanying Tables (Tables Nos. 6 and 5) will elearly show that arrears have been progressively increasing and that certain categories of proceedings have been peakling for over a decade.

In spite of the increase in the number of judges since the partition, the arrears have not been brought under control. We doubt whether even the recent appointment of four additional judges for a term of two years, will result in reducing the arrears and bringing the file under control. In our view the real remedy lies in relieving the High Court of certain categories of proceedings, which can, with confidence, be entrusted to the City Civil and Sessions Court, and the District Courts.

7. We shall first consider how the constitution and set- Ciry Civil up of the City Civil Court and the City Sessions Court can and Sessions be aftered so as to afford greater relicit to the Original Court. Side of the High Court. The City Civil Court started functioning with four judges including the chief judge with powers to try, as already stated, commercial causes valued upto Rs. 5,000 and other causes valued doto Rs. 10,000, subject to certain exceptions. From 23rd February, 1957 to 38th March, 1957, eighteen title suits, ten money suits. four commercial suits, seventeen applications for succession pertificates and two positions under the Guardian and Wards Act were filed in this Court. We do not have complete statistics to show whether there has been adequate work for the judges of this Court or not; but, if the average monthly institution were to be approximately what it was during the period of about one month (figures for which are given above) we should think that the work of the Court is light. Even if the work were to considerably increase in view of what we propose to recommend, it can be satisfactor'ly and expeditionaly dealt with by increasing the number of judges.

The West Hengal Judicial Reforms Committee recommended inter also that mortgage suits were to be cognizable only by the High Courf on the ground that they could be tried theaply and expeditiously on the Original Side. The reason underlying the recommendations appears to be the fact that as many mortgage suits are uncontested, the mortgagee can without paying an ad valorem court fee get relief cheaply. There would appear to be no particular

Nature of	Proced:	ing				1954			1955			1956		
					Pending at the beginning	Institu- tions	Dispess)	Pending at the beginning	Institutions	Disposot	Pending at the beginning	Institutions	Disposal	Pending on 1-t-1957
	I				2	3	4	ត៍	6	7	8	y	15	11
Regular Firs	. Appeals	,			970	280	197	1,053	345	193	£,205	345	270	1,250
Regular Seco	nd Appe	ela	f	ŕ	4,63R	1430	1,679	4.389	7,650	1401	4,638	1,021	765	4,894
Apperls spain	nat orden	3		-	625	477	436	666	420	568	518	426	355	583
Lenors Paten peals	it or Spe	xda1	, A _J	P.	4	12	3	. 13	9	7	15	2	rc.	13
Write					353	791	459	595	647	ŞBŞ	657	1,067	513	1,211
Reviews				,		6	6		4	4			4	-,
levisio <u>us</u>					2,450	9,118	2,816	2,752	2,909	2,529	3,132	2,425	±არეი	3,667
References					3	6	5	4	5	6	3	6	6	3
Ctitions for the Supre	leave to me Cout	n apy C	pest	to	ττ	32	30	13	37	28	22	58	57	23
disce][ansop:	я.				8	13	15	6	Iz	13	5	9	r T	3
ար լթուտանու	peo[s .				186	350	33.R	198	493	374	727	708	509	426
Grimbal re	visions				631	1,505	1,699	437	1,745	1,609	573	1,723	1,617	679

Confirmation Cases		4	3	2	9	4		II	6	5
References under Sec. 307 Cr. P.C.	3	19	15	7	£1	15	3	17	13	Ž _a
Other references		7	I		3	I	2	z	τ	3
Miscellaneous	28	211	214	20	253	257	22	159	164	17

Table No. 7

Nature of Proceeding							Year	af Instêr	utica					
Maferre in 450000110E	cs	1944 ek Salier	7945	ε-)46	E94†	5943	5949	1950	5955	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956
L.		2	3	4	5	6 '	7	я	9	ıΰ	11	12	19	ι4
Rogular First Appeals				1	ι	4	ţn		51	146	193	205	375	336
Regular Second Appeals		8	2		7	66	23	61	5 58	539	875	K4E	1044	870
Appeals sgares Orders			٠.			••		3	9	3	10	57	207	290
Letters Parent Appeals								7	I			3	3	6
Revisions				••	I		5	4	9	gū	88	352	679	2,503
References .			••				,.							1
Miscellaneous Casca														3
Crimina) Appenia			••					.,	,.	• •			93	591
Climipal Revisions			**			••	,-					2	47	630
Ottilimention Cases			_					• • •					••	2
References under Soc. 3 Cr. P.C.	97								٠,		••	,,		7
Other References ,		-	_	••		.,	.,				-,		1	<u>=</u>
Miscellancous						.,	-4						1	16

ž

Original Civil Satis .	91	157	259	470	715	706	964	1222	1324	1433	2619	1854	3722
Appeals from Original Side moduling Letters Patent Appeals and Writ Appeals							7	ż	22	S-I	60	713	192
Criminal Appeals ,		••		r								1	
Others including Insolvency cases, Company Matters and Criminal Sessions .	ı	2	t .	Nil.	1	2	4	5	11	Ġ	35	КO	145

In our opinion the schedule is full of anomalies. For example, whereas an offence under section 302 LP.C. for which the court can impose a sentence of death or imprisonment for life is exclusively triable by the High Court, an offence under section 303 for which the only penalty is the sentence of death is triable by the City Sessiona Court,

The jurisdiction of the court is more limited than even that contemplated by the West Bengal Judicial Reforms Committee which recommended that "the ordinary criminal work arising within the limits of the ordinary original jurisdiction of this Court should be done by a City Sessions Court". This recommendation was, it appears, not accepted.

The City Sessions Courts in Bombay and Madras which have been established much earlier than the Calcutta Court possess all the powers which the High Court did in the respective States in exercise of its Ordinary Original Criminal Jurisdiction. The evidence given before us revealed that the City Sessions Courts in those States were functioning efficiently. There is no reason why the court should not be able to deal with these matters in Calcutta. We therefore recommend that the City Sessions Court be given exclusive jurisdiction and the Ordinary Original Criminal jurisdiction of the High Court be abolished. This will result in a substantial saving of the judge power in the High Court.

8. We are also of the view that the powers of a judge Powers of of the High Court sitting singly should be increased a Single Under the rules in force at present, a single judge cannot Judge. hear first appeals of any valuation and second appeals valued above Rs 2,000. On the criminal side, a judge of the High Court sitting singly can hear all appeals, references or revisions other than those against scatteness of death, transportation for life, penal servitude, forfeiture of property or of imprisonment not being a sentence of imprisonment in default of payment of fine. He cannot hear an appeal under section 476B nor an application under section 526 Cr. P.C. Again, on the civil side, a zingle judge cannot admit or otherwise dispose of an application under section 115 C.P.C., if the amount or value of subject matter exceeds Rs. 2,000 nor can he deal with an appeal under section 29(a) of the West Bengal Premises Tenancy Act (XII of 1996) if the appeal is valued above Rs. 2,000. We recommend the enlargement of the powers of a single judge so as to include first appeals and cross objections valued upto Rs. 10,000 and all second appeals and cross objections irrespective of valuation. We also recommend the enlargement of the criminal jurisdiction of a single judge so as to embrace all types of matters

¹Ropert, page 20. 122 M. of Law—76.

other than those involving sentences of death or transportation for life. The following Table will show the number of first and second appeals of different valuations instituted in the High Court during the trienn-um ending with the year 1956.

Table No. 8

	<u> </u>		
	2954	1955	1956
RISHIGAR FORT ACTIVITY			
Selew Rs. 55000	69	85	99
Boween Rs 54000 and Rs. (2000)	101	TĴI	138
Above Rs. 10,000 .	010	135	Tr4
RIGITAR SIXVING APPEALS			
Below Ro. (2000)	т.:3г	T.255	757
Between Rs. 1.000 and Rs. 2,000	137	188	r54
Retween Rs. 5,000 and Rs. 5,000	242	207	110

It will be clear from the above statement that assuming that about 120 second appeals valued above Rs. 2,000 are posted for disposal in a year and that about three such appeals are disposed of per day, by the proposed enlargement of powers of a single judge forty judge days can be saved per year in the time occupied in the disposal of second appeals. Similarly very substantial saving in judge days will also result in the disposal of first appeals and criminal appeals and revisions. We have dealt elsewhere with views expressed against the cohancement of the powers of a single judge of the High Court. Strong views were expressed against such enhancement by some members of the Bar and Bench. It is true that a Bench of two judges is in some ways better than one. A rejuctance to a change in a long established practice is also natural and appreciated. The matter however needs a more realistic approach in view of our changed and changing conditions. The administration of justice has to function in the present setting. In a number of ways established methods which may be ideal have to yield to practical considerations. We have to face the increased volume of new types of litigation and the accumulated arrears which cannot be deait with by the old methods even if the strength of the High Court were doubled. Other established High Courts have enhanced the powers of single judges in various directions and the measure has in no way worked any There is no injustice and caused any dissatisfaction. reason to expect that the method which has worked suggessfully in Madras and Bombay should not work equally well in Calcutta.

- The appellate jurisdiction of a District Judge in this. State has recently been enhanced to Rs. 10,000 but the amendment does not apply to suits instituted prior to its coming into force. It appears to us to be necessary to make the amendment retrospective if the appellate work coming to the High Cour; is to be reduced. As the number of such appeals pending in the High Court is substantial. we recommend accordingly.
- We feel compelled to draw attention to some matters. which we think have considerably affected the prestige and efficiency of what was once the premier High Court in the country. We could not fail to notice that the selection of the personnel of the Court had auffered owing to adoption of methods which made a number of senior members of the Bur look askance at the judicial office. There would appear also to be a want of cohesion and harmony in the court itself which makes a difficult for its judiciary to work as a united team putting forward its best effort. "A want of a sense of responsibility" was the expression used by one of the judges themselves to describe the way in which the functions of the court were discharged. Absence of a control of proceedings and unlimited latitude to senior counsel were some of the features brought to our notice. The Court has also the disadvantage of [unctioning under some archaic rules and procedures. Finally, it appears that there is a complete want of co-operation in administrative and other matters between the court and the State executive. We bring these facts to the notice of the authorities concerned so that measures may be taken which may lead the High Court to function as a High Court should.

 A Court of Small Causes established under the pro- Presidency visions of the Presidency Small Causes Court, 1882, Small Causes functions within the limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court. There is also a Small Causes Court established under the Provincial Small Cause Courts Act at Sealdah in the City of Colcutta. It exercises jurisdiction over the territory comprising the Municipal Corporation of Colegates excluding the area under the territorial jurisdiction of the Presidency Small Causes Court.

The Presidency Small Causes Court is presided over by six judges including the Chief Judge; the latter is of the rank of a District Judge while the former are of the rank of Subordinate Judges. This Court, among other matters deals with l'tigation arising within its territorial jurisdiction for the ejectment of tenants under the Rent Control Act in the case of non-payment of runt unto a maximum of Rs. 0,000 and also hears appeals against the decisions of the Rent Controller. The judges of this Court are also Controllers under the Calcutta Tikha Tenancy Act, 1949.

The High Court has concurrent jurisdiction to entertain Small Cause Soits valued between Rs. 1,000 and Rs. 2,000 and, under section 39 of the Presidency Small Cause Courts.

Act, can transfer such suits to its file. We understand that this section as suggested by us earlier has now been repealed in West Bengal.

In the year 1956, 7,673 suits (2,172 for ejectment and 5,501 Small Cause Suits) were instituted in the Presidency Small Causes Court. The total number of suits available for disposel was 14,199. During the same year, 2,592 ejectment suits and 6,174 small cause suits were disposed of leaving a balance of 5,424 made up of 2,129 suits for ejectment the remaining small cause suits. The file of Court appears to be under control. At the beginning of 1955, the total number of the pending suits was 6,174 whereas at the beginning of 1956 it was 5,424. Nevertheless, the existing arrears some of which relate to suits pending for over a year need to be cleared. For this purpose, in the opinion of the Chief Judge of the Court, one more judge is required for a period of about a year.

Courts of Presidency Mailstrates.

12. The magisterial work in the city of Calcutta is carried out by the Chief Presidency Magistrate assisted by an Additional Chief Presidency Magistrate, 8 Stipendiary Magistrates and Honorary Magistrates whose number varies from time to time. There are also municipal magistrates who deal with numicipal cases. In 1957, in addition to the Slipendiary Magistratus, there were 13 Honorary Magistrates. Out of the 8 Stipendiary Magistrates one is a Deputy Collector. The Stipendiary Presidency Magistrates got the grade pay of Munsifs plus a special pay of Rs. 100 per measure. The system of mobile courts obtaining in Madras has been introduced in the city of Calcutta, though not so extensively as in Madras. The Chief Presidency Magistrate who gave evidence before us stated that once a week a Magistrate goes round the city holding Court at different places and that the system had worked well though the percentage of convictions was less. He toyoured the extension of the system of Magistrates going in circuits and added that it could not be done on account of dearth of hands.

There has been a considerable increase in the volume of work brought before the courts of Presidency Magistrates as will appear from the Table given below:—

Table No. 9

	—	18:	 #S	295		199	s		956
Nature o proceeding	ni' ——-——	Jrari- tuted	Dëspo- sed of	Tneti- tuteŭ	Disport	Ingti- tuted	Dkpo- sed of	Insti- τπed	Dispo-
Cases under t	the .	5973	N.A.	7582	N.A.	6 <b>6</b> 08	N.A.	5753	N.A.
	the and	69 <u>95</u> 8	74223	133127	133306	123187	£2779 <b>7</b>	150455	r3cRaB
In the Courts Municipal i gistrates		17612	17607	10767	<del>30,</del> 01	N.A.	N,A.	<b>N.A.</b>	N.A.

T202

The arrears are however not such as to call for particular comment. The Chief Presidency Magistrate told us in his evidence that the Presidency Magistrates "have the cleanest record of all criminal courts in the State." He said also that in case the number of mobile courts was to be increased, the existing strength would not be sufficient to preside over the stationary courts.

The State Government, in consultation with the High Court, has prescribed the qualifications for honorary Magistrates and honorary Presidency Magistrates under section 14 of the Criminal Procedure Code. Appointments to the posts of honorary Magistrates are made from amongst the following classes of persons: (1) retired stipendiary Magistrates or judges who are willing to serve in an honorary capacity; (2) former Honorary Magistrates particularly those with a high standard of education and good record of judicial work, and (3) other suitable persons with high educational qualifications and adequate means of livelihood. Ordinarily, a person who is not a graduate is not considered suitable. In the case of women, however, the educational standards are relaxed where they have considerable experience of social work,

A panel of eligible persons is prepared for each district and appointments are made from among persons enlisted in it.

Honorary Magistrates are ordinarily given simple eases, but able and experienced persons are given important cases also. The following Table (Table No. 10) will show that the Honorary Magistrates other than the Honorary Presidency Magistrates have disposed of a substantial amount of work:

TABLE No. 10

Year	Total number of cases (disposed of by magistrates	Number of cases dispased of by the honorory magistrates out of the cotal shown in column 2.
		<del></del>
1951 .	1.72,411	25,674
2982 .	1,67,724	27,545
	->-12	44)040
49 <i>5</i> 3 -	1,99,833	71,257
7954	2-07-087	15,055
	·	-51-5.:
1955 .	2:10:159	14.427
	·	

Çaferata Çity. 13. We cannot but advert to the anomalous situation which divides the City of Calcutta into as it were three areas folling into three distinct jurisdictions. The High Court functions within the limits of its ordinary original civil jurisdiction. The jurisdiction of the City Civil Court would appear to extend also within the same limits.

Outside these limits the city is on the one side covered by the District of 24 Parganas and on the other by the District of Howrah with their separate judicial set-up and machinery. We are of the view that the question whether the whole city should not be under one cohesive judicial administration, like greater Bombay, needs consideration by the sufnorities concerned.

14. The Subordinate judiciary in the State is divided into two services—the West Bengal Higher Judicial Service and the West Bengal Civil Service (Judicial). The District and Sessions Judges and Additional District and Sessions Judges are in the former service while the Subordinate Judges (most of whom work as Assistant Sessions Judges) and Munsifs are in the latter service. The cadre strength of the West Bengal Higher Judicial Service was originally fixed at 36 but was recently raised to 44 and that of the West Bengal Civil Service (Judicial) was 170 before being raised to 185 in 1956 with the recruitment of 15 probationary Munsils.

The recruitment to the West Hengal Civil Service (Judicial) is by a competitive examination conducted by the State Public Service Commission. The candidates are required to have a degree in law and must be below 27 years of age. The applicants have to sit for a written examination in which law and allied subjects have preponderence. The candidates also have to appear for a viva voce test before the Commission. The High Court is represented, usually by the Registrar, Appellate Side, at the interviews held by the Public Service Commission. There is no bar to members of the ministerial staff of the High Court and the subordinate courts appearing at the examination but the prescribed age limit stands in their way. There is no system of promotion to the posts of Mussils from among members of the ministerial staff of any service; the cadre strength of the service is filled solely on the basis of the results of the competitive examination.

The posts in the West Bengal Higher Judicial Service were formerly filled entirely by promotion of members of the West Bengal Civil Service (Judicial). It appears that recently some recruitment has been made directly from the Bar.

District and Sessions Judges and Additional District and Sessions Judges are in the time scale of Rs. 800-50-1,000-60-1,300-50-1,800 and Subordinate Judges in the time scale of Rs. 750-35-850. The latter class of officers are all promoted from the cadre of Mansifs, there being no provision for direct recruitment. Originally two posts were included in the selection grade—one of Rs. 1,000 and the other of Rs. 1,200, and only officers appointed before 1931 could be promoted to those selection grade posts. The selection grades which were discontinued for some time were revived in 1950 consequent upon the revision of pay

scales and it was provided that three per cent of the cadre strength of those posts should be included in the selection grade upto Rs. 1,000. Munsifs are in the scale of Rs. 250—700.

It has been stated by quite a number of witnesses including the judges that considerable difficulty is being experienced in the matter of selecting capable youngmen to the judicial service in view of the fact that the majority of intelligent young men seek other professions that are more lucrative. We have earlier referred to the opinion of a responsible person in regard to the standard of recent recruits to the West Bengal Civil Service (Judicial). At this juncture it will be useful to compare the pay scales of the judicial and executive branches of the State Civil Service so as to have an idea whether the scales applicable to the members of the State Judicial Service are sufficiently attractive to bring in the best men.

The Deputy Magistrates and Deputy Collectors in the West Bengal Civil Service (Executive Branch) are in the pay scale of Rs. 250-25-850 (E.B. at the 10th and 18th stages) whereas Munsifs as already observed are in the scale of Rs. 250-700. The maximum age limit for entrants to the executive branch is 25 and the requisite qualification is only a degree, whereas an entrant to the judicial service must have a law degree in addition which means that a candidate will be able to enter the judicial service about two or three years later than a candidate could enter the executive service. The members of the judicial service who take up their appointments at a later age have to be content not only with the same initial start at a higher age but as Munsifs their pay ends at Rs. 700. By the time these officers expect to be promoted as subordinate judges (which will usually happen after they have put in about sixteen years' service), an officer in the executive branch will generally have been promoted to a senior executive post. It is not surprising therefore that the better type of candidates do not enter the judicial service but prefer the executive service or other avenues of employment.

Inadequacy of officers,

15. Several judicial officers and advocates commented on the great inadequacy of officers to preside over courts and expressed dissatisfaction at the posting of probationary munsifs to a large number of courts. An instance was given of a particular munsif being placed in charge of two Munsifs' Courts and in addition being invested with the powers of a First-class Magistrate with a direction to do magisterial work for two days in a week. It was stated that additional judges were posted only when the arrears went beyond control and not when the accumulation of work started. These criticisms appear to be well-founded for, according to the information made available to us, a number of Courts were kept vacant during the period 1955-56 and for three months in 1957 as will appear from the Table set out below (Table No. 11):—

TABLE No. 11

			1955			1956			1957	
Name	e of Courts	No. ef days vocant	Ftom	rn	No. of days vacunt	From	to	No. of days vacant	From	TO
	1	<u> </u>	3	4	 5 	6	7	8	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	udge, grd Extra				304	4-2-56	4-12-5ñ			
subacdimate ,	Judge, and Court				1.1	19-7-58	29-7-56			
Do.	4th Court	17	14-5-55	30-5-55	1	:9-4-56	23-4-56			• •
Dø.	4th Couer .	11	2-9-55 t0-10-55	7-9-55 14-10-55	8	17-4-56	24-4-56			
Do.	Sch Courr .				88	19-2-56 3-4-56 5-9-56 5-11-56	1-4-56 18-4-56 1-10-56 11-11-56			
Do.	7th Court .	19	17-4-55	6-5-55			,	40	18-2-57	29-3-57
Do.	8th Court 1	3	18-4-55	20-4-55	3	8-12-56	IC-12-56	35	23-2-57	
Dn,	10th Gaurt .				2	4-12-56	5-12-56	23		29-3-57
Du.	181 Addl. Carry				34	15-1-56	17-2-56	_	5-3-57	29-3-57
Τo	TAL	30			455	-3-1-30	•)-4-30	95	••	

TABLE No. 11-contd.

r	2		1	s	6	7	Б	9	Io.
ist Addl. Munsif, Allpote	·	···		6	24-4-56	29-4-56		-·-·	
er Addl. Manielf, Seuldah				7	26-5-56	t-6-56			
and Monaif, Barase:	172	21-1-55	10 7-55	39	2-1-50	9-2-59			
ost Mynauf, Besjyhar	379	2-12-54	19-12-98	ų	9 22-56	16-12-55			
and Munsif, Basishat .	25	4-5-55	27-5-55						
gril Minisif, Baskthut				29	2-FT- <u>5</u> 5	30-11-56			
and Monsif, Barrápore				ιo	4-3-56	19-5-36			
ist Munsif, D. Hathour	12	:9-6-55	30 <del>-6</del> -55						
and Mansü¦ D. Harbour	6	12-7-55	17 7-55	9	18-8-5€	×6-8-56			
3rd Munaif, D. Harbour	 15 -	22-5-55	5-6-45	45	5-8-56	19-8-35	9	26-1-57	3-2-57
Total .	 604	•		123	_		9		

Onstag the period from \$4.23-56 to 25, 2-57 f.e. the time of the present Obstrict Judge, a Assit. Sessions Judges' Courts were vacant for \$12. days.

 A comprenensive scheme for training Munstis after Training. recruitment is in operation. The candidates remain on probation for two years during which period they are required to undergo training in various departments in the offices of the District and Sessions Judge and the Collector and pass certain departmental examinations. After he receives certificates from the District and Sessions Judge and the Collector to whom he is attached for training that he has diligently and successfully completed the course of training and after he passes the departmental examinations a Munsif is confirmed on his post. During the period of training the probationer has to sit with a Munsif and a Subordinate Judge for about 4 months, watch the proceedings in Court, prepare the case records and also sludy the working of the various administrative departments under the charge of the Munsif and the Subordinate Judge. Thereafter, he is placed under the Collector of the District for receiving training in general revenue work and magisterial work for about three months. He is then invested with the powers of a Magistrate of the Second Class, and actually tries cases and then reverts to the office of the District and Sessions Judge to receive training in civil and sessions work and to study the working of the various departments of the district court. He submits case records prepared by him to the District Judge for scrutiny. He has also to undergo survey and settlement training for a definite period. Training is also imparted in the conduct of cases by the Government Pleader and the Public Prosecutor. During the probationary period of two years, the candidate has to appear for departmental tests in tenancy laws and other laws both civil and criminal, High Court Civil Rules and Orders and accounts rules as contained in the High Court Civil Rules and Orders. He has also to pass a written and oral test in Hindustani by the higher standard.

No doubt the system of training is comprehensive. But very often due to shortage of officers probationary Munsifs are straightaway and wilhout their training being completed posted to courts to try cases. We were told that due to a shortage of officers the period of training has very often been curtailed; and it has even been dispensed with in some cases. We were told that of the munsits recruited in 1955 none had completed his training and of the fifteen recruited in 1956 eleven had been withdrawn from training after periods ranging from one week to five morths and posted to courts. This is very unsatisfactory as it is not necessary in this State for those recruited as munsifa to have had any practice at the Bar. We feel that the leaving of courts without officers is a lesser evil than posting raw and untrained persons as judges as they are more likely to do harm by their inexperience than to do good by disposing of cases. If it becomes necessary to dispense with training that should be done only in the case of candidates who have had experience at the Bar.

There is, however, no scheme for training Subordinate Judges in sessions work before they are vested with the powers of an Assistant Sessions Judge. Only a few selected senior Munsifs are vested with powers of the First-Class Magistrate and given training in criminal work for a period of two years. We consider that training is essential, so that persons who will ultimately be sessions judges, will have obtained a sufficient background of criminal lawand a knowledge of the working of magistrates' courts.

Mansife' courts. 17. The regular Civil Courts in this State are constituted under the provisions of the Bengal, Agra and Assam Civil Courts Act (XH of 1867). Some years ago the pecuniary jurisdiction of all Munsifs was raised to Rs. 2,000. The High Court has powers, however, to confer upon Munsifs powers to try suits valued upto Rs. 3,000 and even upto Rs. 5,000 depending upon the length of service of the officer upon whom such enlarged powers are conferred. In view of the (all in the value of money we recommend that all munsifs should be empowered to try suits upto Rs. 5,000 provided that they have completed the requisite training.

It will appear from the accompanying Table (Table No. 12) that about 90 per cent of the suits are triable by Munsifs. Table No. 12 shows the judicial business transacted by these officers during the triennium preceding 1957.

Table No. 12

							Number of	guirs of value		
Year					Not exceeding Rel 1/000/-	Exceeding Rs. 1,000 but not deceding Rs. 2,000	Exceeding Rs. 2000 but not exceeding Rs. 54000	Exceeding Rs. 5,000 but not exceeding Rs. 10,000	Exceeding Rs. 10,000	Remarka
ı	_				 2	3	4	5		7
954			 -		179964	4331	2749	916	, 839	_
1955					167380	4357	2842	893	783	
1956					125912	4027	2742	904	814	

Supall cause suits

Table No. 13

Orlginal suits

Year							No. of Officers	Pending ar the	Institution	Dispusal	Пто		Pendusp	Institutio:
2.00							CCIXAB	bygingdig of the year			Helow one year	Above one year	ac die Beginning of die year	
1954					,		Rz	51,521	5,47,483	:,15,994	42,288	12,908	3.978	:3,4r2
1955							53	15:374	t,46,039	1,50,999	38,978	14,518	4.303	12,031
1956							87	53,664	1,96,899	1,13,751	33,709	17,840	9,706	.00027
٠.														-
-—	<u>-</u>	_			_								·	
		_			-					·	 ivil Misoella	neous Case	. —.— s & Perleion	)e
		_			-		Disposal	<u>.</u> Hala		Penánce	ivil Misodla Institution	— ·-· • .	s & Perleion Balo	
Year		_		<u>-</u>	_	,	Disposal	Hala Below care year			Institution	— ·-· • .		
Year	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>			-		Disposat	Below end year	Above	Pending a: the heginning of the	Institution	— ·-· • .	Balow one	Above
	 				 -		_	Below true year	Above aut year	Pending at the heginning of the year	Institution	Disposat	Balo Solow one year	Above one year

From the figures given in the above Table it will be seen that though the total number of pending suits has not increased and has even fallen the number of suits and other proceedings pending for over a year has been steadily rising. This is due in part at least to a shortage of officers, the recruitment of inferior personnel, and the absence of training. This state of affairs in which shout one-third of the pending suits are over a year old can be set right only by an increase in the number of munsits. Such a measure is in our view immediately needed to prevent even a more difficult state of affairs supervening.

18. The situation in the Courts of Subordinate Judges is Cours of no better as will be seen from the figures given in the nate following Table (Table No. 14). Although the total num-judges, her of pending suits has remained almost constant, the number of year old suits has increased. The figures given in the Table No. 13, will show that the Subordinate Judges have disposed of a substantial number of civil regular and miscellaneous appeals. If they are relieved of their civil appellate work, the work in these courts can be brought under control.

19. The highest court of Original Jurisdiction in the District District is the one presided over by District Judge. Besides Courts, exercising administrative control over the subordinate judiciary in the District and disposing of civil appeals valued upto Rs. 10,000 and also sessions cases and criminal appeals against the judgments of First-Class Magistrates and Assistant Sessions Judges, the District Judge is called upon to try matters arising out of a number of special enactments such as are enumerated below:

- (i) Under the Land Alienation Act, the District Judge has been vested with exclusive revisional jurisdiction against the orders passed by Revenue and other officers under the Act.
- (ii) Under the Estates Acquisition Act, the District Judge has been given summary revisional jurisdletion against orders passed during cadestral survey, (There are about 500 such cases pending in Alipore District Court).
- (iii) Divorce cases under the Special Marriage Act, 1954. Such cases are exclusively triable by the District Judge.
- (iv) Divorce suits under the Hindu Marriage Act. These are to be heard by the District Judge or any other . court notified. But as yet no other court has been so authorised.
- (v) Railway Compensation cases under the Railways Act,
- (vi) Transfer petitions to the Sessions Judge under section 528 Criminal Procedure Code.

					Regu	lar Civii So	Small Cause Suits						
	**		No. of		Institution	Disposal	Пало	. ———		Institution	Disposal	Halar	
Year			afficers	at the heginaling of the your			Helow one year	Ahove one yes:	ut the beginning of the year	:	-	Below one year	Ahave one year
 E954			 39	6,592	3:234	3:91:4	2,700	3,942	901	z,109	2,239	847	86
1955			35	6,642	3,144	3(557	2,618	4,105	933	2,446	2,231	1,230	75
1956			35	6,723	3/424	3,291	2,781	4,496	3,307	2,909	2,465	1,786	т89

		Ci.	vil Miscel	іальооктв С	ases & Pe	eniātīj:	Civil Appeals					Civil Miscellantous Appeals					
Үеш		Pending	Institu-Disposal		Відапсо				Disposal	Bolo	Bolence		Institut Disposal		Belance		
		at the begin- alog of the year	anit		Bolow one year	Abase oue year	at the begin- ning of the year	rian		Below one year	Above one year	et the begin- neag of the year	tiun	•	Below one year	Above one year	
1954	_	1,535	 3,841	3,947	7, <b>2</b> 50	22.4	2,235	 r <u>s</u> R	3,365	923	1,197	352	73	7,161	295	80	
1955	-	T-474	9,268	3,773	6,304	195	2,209	205	2,892	1.065	1,364	376	96	1,730	287	46	
1956		1,499	3,926	3,591	1,614	278	2,429	175	2,766	962	1,573	332	79	1,739	428	99	

- (vii) Revisional jurisdiction against orders passed by Panchayat Courss.
- (viii) Appeals against orders not recognising trade unions under the Trade Unions Act.
  - (ix) Matters under the Payment of Wages Act.
- (x) Special Revisional Jurisdiction under the Indian Oil Seeds Act.
- (xi) The Criminal Law (Amendment) Act which provides for the trial of offences under the Prevention of Corruption Act by a Special Judge, takes away much of Sessions Judge's time as generally he acts as the Special Judge. Of course, where there is an Additional Sessions Judge, he is invariably empowered to deal with such cases. Experience has shown that generally petty corruption cases, which would ordinarily have been tried by magistrates as offences under the Penal Code are sent up to the Special Judge for trial in view of the procedural advantages available under the Prevention of Corruption Act.

The proceedings under the following Acts have normally to be initiated in the Court of the District Judge:—

- (i) Land Acquisition Act.
- (ii) Guardians and Wards Act.
- (iii) Under Section 92, Civil Procedure Code.

The High Court has not authorised the District Judge to transfer cases under the Guardians and Wards Act to Subordinate Judges nor has it authorised any officer subordinate to District Judge to deal with these matters. We would suggest that powers to try and determine cases under special ensetments be more widely conferred on subordinate judges.

The Subordinate Judges and Munsifs have been invested with powers to issue succession certificates within their pecuniary and territorial jurisdiction.

A perusal of the figures given in the accompanying Table (Table No. 15) shows that the total number of pending regular suits and appeals in the district courts has been progressively increasing. Immediate steps will have to be taken to bring down the arrears by appointing additional district judges at least for a term. This will be all the more necessary in view of the recent enhancement of the appellate jurisdiction of the District Judge which we have suggested should be given retrospective effect.

We have earlier noticed the chaotic conditions that obtained in the Alipore courts at one time with regard to civil appellate work as revealed in an inspection note and made suggestions in that behalf.

222 M. of Law-77.

Тавід No. 15

		No. of		Civil Salu						Smalt (	Cause Sui	Miscellage	ous Civil	Cesca		
Year				Pending at the	Justitu- tious	Dit- posal	Bala	L)CE	Proding at the	lastin-	Dispo-	- Balazot		Fending or the	Institu-	Dis- posal
				begin. nlng of the year	(732)	promi	Helaw one wear	Above on- year		23042	1L1 -	Below one year	Above one year	bogin- ning	(10/1	posa
	I				٠.	5	6	7	8	9	τυ	1:	та.	13	14	15
. 1954			2.7	231	195	114	155	reg						3,193	2,913	±₃b±ι
1955 -			29	258	249	223	195	.10						[3487	45734	3,737
1956 .		. '	30	372	643	245	447	136					ě.	4,160	6.077	4,246
														· ··· <b>-</b> ·		
		& P	člili::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::			(	livit App	rlea			Civ.	jî'Miscel	loneaus	Appeals		
Year			Jance		oding Lis	titution	Dispus	al.	На]ацсс		Pending	Instituti	iun Di	ispoxal	Hole	TAGE
	_ H	elaw	Ahm		ւիշ որչոց			Bel	ove Ah	nive be	រះ (៤៩ ខ្លាំពម្រែក			- H	ctow .	Abuve

		****			•										
Year		Bala	щее	Pending at the	Listitution	Dispusat	Halanco		Pending at the	Institution	Disposal	Rolence			
	,	Relow out your	Ahnre one year	beginning of the year		-	Below our year	Above cat year				Helow one year	Abuve nge year		
		:6		18	29	20	21	21	29	2.1	2,5	26	27		
1954		1,449	2,036	2,587	4-742	1/804	2,421	454	894	=-289	1.054	903	25		
1955		2,617	1,629	2,872	4,465	1,267	2,644	572	935	2,842	<b>9</b> 50	1,236	40		
1956		4, c2A	1.539	9,218	4.242	1,006	2,912	1.002	r,238	4/987	1,106	3,107	182		

20. The existence of such a state of affairs so close to Supervithe High Court shows that inspection of the subordinate sign courts by the High Court is most essential, yet the practice of a regular and systematic inspection is not in vogue. The Judges do however, inspect courts when they find the time. During the last five years, we understand, only the Courts at Alipore Sadar, Howrah Sadar, Presidency Small Causes Court and the Courts in Andamans were inspected by the High Court. We understand that while the court is fully alive to the need for such inspections, it is not able to depute judges for this work due to the congestion in the High Court itself.

Though the High Court Civil Rules and Orders contain a rule to the effect that the District Judge is to inspect courts at Sadar every year and the courts in mofussil stations once in two years, the rule seems to be more observed in the breach. We were told that inspection by the District Judges was not insisted upon by the High Court as the High Court Judges know that the District Judges were over-nurdened with work. Recently however the High Court has begun to insist on District Judges inspecting subordinate courts regularly. But it is learnt that some District Judges make inspection duty a pretext to avoid judicial work. This tendency should be controlled but proper inspections nevertheless insisted upon.

The High Court has not laid down any minimum standard as to the quantum of work that has to be done by a judicial officer during a particular period of time. We were told that there was an attempt at laying down certain standards when Mr. Simpson was the Registrar and that it was found unworkable. There is no rule lixing any timelimit for delivery of judgments; but generally, Sessions Judges deliver the charges to the Jury within seven days; in civil cases, judgments, we were told, are delivered usually within 14 days. In the High Court, there used at one time to be considerable delays in the delivery of judgments but we understand that the Chief Justice has introduced a return in which matters reserved for judgments for over a period of time have to be shown. The introduction of this return has had, it appears, the desired effect and at present there appear to be no delays,

So far as the subordinate judiciary is concerned, a statement showing the cases in which arguments were heard and judgmen's pending from the previous month together with the dates on which arguments were heard and judgments delivered is to be submitted every month. This, to some extent, prevents unusual delays in the delivery of judgments. While recommending the framing of a rule fixing the period within which judgments should be delivered, we would like to observe that such a rule would be workable only if the presiding officers of courts are provided with necessary secretarial assistance. The Munsifs and Magistrates are at present not provided with stenographers. It is very necessary that every Munsif and

Magistrate be provided with a stenographer as is being done in several other States. The extra expense that will have to be incurred in providing a stenographer will be more than compensated by the increased efficiency and rapidity in disposing of matters and the consequent additional work that the judicial officers will be able to do by being relieved of their weatherne process of writing judgments in their own hand.

Criminal courts

21. There is no separation of the judiciary from the executive in this State. The magistracy is under the direct control of the executive. The members of the magistracy are drawn from two services—the West Bengal Civil Service (Executive) and the West Bengal Junior Civil Service; officers drawn from the former service function as Deputy Collectors and Deputy Magistrates while those drawn from the latter service are known as Sub-Deputy Collectors and Sub-Deputy Magistrates. They combine in them both executive and judicial functions. At the head of the magistracy of the district is the Collector and the District Magistrate. Most of the witnesses who appeared before us in Calcutta favoured the immediate separation of the judiciary from the executive.

There is considerable delay to the disposal of criminal cases in this State. The Inspector General of Police who gave evidence before us stated that thousands of cases were pending in the molussil courts because the officers in charge of those courts were pre-occupied with other administrative work and as they were insufficient in number. He said that in the Barrackborr Sub-division over 5000 cases under the Motor Venicles Act were pending in one court alone. He stated that the police were experiencing enormous difficulties in producing witnesses before courts because of the inordinate decays in the disposal of criminal cases for, the witnesses fell that they were being inconvenienced in being made to attend the courts a number of times in view of the fact that they were not examined when they appeared for the first time in a particular case. A District Magistrate who gave evidence before us, admitted the existence of delays and stated that in view of his other pre-occupations he was able to devote very little time to the supervision of the mogisterial work of his subordinates, We are in entire agreement with the view expressed by the Inspector General of Police that the separation of the executive and judicial functions is essential to the expeditions disposar of criminal cases.

Panchaya: pourts. 22. Notwithstanding the recommendations to the contrary of the West Bengal Judicial Reforms Committee, West Bengal Act (1 of 1957) has made a provision for the establishment of Panchayat courts. Our general recommendations relating to this class of courts apply to this State also.

Conceptrauion of cours.

23. There appears to be a concentration of courts at a number of stations in the State. The following Table

(Table No. 16) shows the distribution of judicial officers in the State in the year 1958;

# TABLE No. 16

		–			_	
Strength of Judicial Officers in leach Sta	in:					Nos.
Stations with one Munsiff only					·	 
Stations with two Monaifs only .						:0
Stations with three Munsils only		-				6
Stations with one Munsif and, one Sub-	rdina	le ∫aa	dişe or	dy		2
Stations with two Mnustits and one Sub-	ordine	zie Ji	e <b>dge</b> o	nly		4
Stations with two Munsife and two Sub	andin	AIC ji	adges (	only		
Stations with three Munsife and two Su	bordi	аше,	] விஜு	aniy		τ
Stations with three Mansife and four St	bord	naje	Judge	a only		į
Stations with four Munsife and four Su	Łardi	L fitte	nc Ess	enly		I
Stations with six-Mansifs and twelve $S_{\rm L}$	تاسطا	3:34c	Judge	s only		τ
Stations with ore Subardinate Judge on	ly					4

In our opinion steps should be taken to decentralise courts as such a measure will also contribute to the expeditious disposal of cases. The usual complaint that cases have to be adjourned by reason of the lawyers' being busy in other courts will disappear. Justice will also be brought nearer to the litigant.

24. There were serious complaints that the ministerial Miscellastaff in many of the subordinate courts was inadequate. It acoust was said that there were similar difficulties on the original side. The position in some of the mofussil courts was said to be so serious that the clerks were said to employ oursiders to finish the work and pay them out of their own pocketobviously out of the illegal gratifications received by them. This is a sad state of affairs and the only remedy for it would appear to be to assess the staff requirements of the courts afresh and to appoint the necessary hands. The argument of financial stringency cannot be validly advanced in this case as this State appears to be making a large profit out of the edministration of justice.

There would also appear to be room for greater delegation of financial powers to the High Court. We have elsewhere referred to the miserable and over-crowded buildings in which the subordinate courts are accommodated, We may mention here that even the High Court is not far better situated. The staff are over-crowden and if the strength of the court is further increased or more court rooms become necessary by increasing the powers of single judges the problem will become acute and nearly impossible of solution.

# 57.—MINISTRY OF JUSTICE AND IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Governmental
spathy and
rhe problem of
unrears.

1. The picture that has emerged from the foregoing chapters is not encouraging. The state of affairs which it discloses shows in several respects neglect and disregard of one of the primary functions of the State which is the administration of justice.

The altered conditions in the country led to an enormous increase in the load of work carried by the courts. The increase was so patent that no administration could have failed to notice it. Yet, notwithstanding the persistent cry of some High Courts for more judicial personnel and a larger number of courts, the administrations concerned failed to realise their responsibility in the matter. equipment and building of court-houses received very little attention. Though we have been pouring money into a number of activities, the administration of justice has not seemed to be of enough importance to deserve more financial assistance. On the contrary, in a number of States not only has the administration of justice been starved so as to affect its efficiency, but it has also been made to vield revenues to the State. The inevitable consequence has been the vast volume of arrears not only in civil but also in criminal matters. We meet at conferences to discuss these arrears which are but the creation in a considerable measure of the inaction of the State Itself.

Animale of the Goversment of India,

2. The attention of the Government of India was drawn to the heavy arrears in civil courts even before the transfer of power. The question was examined by the Rankin Committee as far back as 1925. It made several recommendations. After the submission of the report of that Committee, the Government of India seems to have entered into a lengthy correspondence with several Provincial Goveraments and attempted some legislation to implement its recommendations. No substantial steps appear, however, to have been taken in this direction. The problem of arrears in the High Courts was considered by the High Court Arrears Committee in 1949. Not much appears to have been done by the authorities to implement the recommendations of this Committee. Its report seems merely to have been circulated to the State Governments and to the High Courts.

Divided
responsimility for
administration of
justice,

3. Perhaps, the situation is partly the outcome of a divided responsibility in respect of these matters between the Central and the State Governments. The administration of justice is, under the Constitution, the exclusive concern of the State Governments. Criminal and civil procedure are matters in the concurrent field of legislation.

The constitution and the organization of High Courts and all matters relating to the Supreme Court are matters for Parliament and the Union Government.

It appears to us that the allocation of business relating to the administration of justice between the various Ministries of the Government is not such as to make for efficiency. There would appear to be no one Ministry or department on whom rests, in a general way, the whole responsibility for the administration of justice. Conventlong with other countries in judicial matters, constitution and organization (excluding jurisdiction and powers) of the Supreme Court (but including contempt of such Court) and the fees taken therein, the constitution and organization of the High Courts and the Courts of the Judicial Commissioners except provisions as to officers and servants of these courts, the extension of the jurisdiction of a High Court baying its principal seat in any State to and the exclusion of jurisdiction of any such High Court from any area outside the State, criminal law, criminal procedure and the administration of justice, jurisdiction and powers of courts in the Union territories are matters within the purview of the Ministry of Home Affairs. On the other hand, the Ministry of Law deals with treaties and agreements with foreign countries in matters of civil law, the enlargement of the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court, the conferring thereupon of supplemental powers, the persons entitled to practise before the High Courts, bankruptcy, evidence, civil procedure including limitation and arbitration and the legal profession. It is clear to us that such a division of functions between the two Ministries of the Government of India apart from being not logical, is bound to adversely affect the administration of justice. The division is archaic and seems to have its roots in days when the portfolio of law was often held by an Indian Member of the Governor-General's Executive Council while Home Affairs were entrusted to a European Member, it being the function of the latter department to maintain law and order. It is not unnatural that in those days the question of the appointment of Judges to the High Courts should have been left to the Home Department.

4. Neither of the two Ministries as at present organised, madewould seem to possess the necessary equipment which would quate enable it to keep in touch with the administration of justice organization the several States and to act as a clearing-house of administration and ideas and generally to initiate and co-tration of ordinate policies in this regard.

Though it is understood that State Governments and the High Courts have been periodically sending copies of their annual reports on the administration of civil and criminal justice to the Government of India, the information furnished by them does not appear to receive much attention at the Centre. We understand that when recently

the statistics relating to the number of pending matters in the High Courts were needed by the Government, the Home Ministry had to call for figures from the High Courts notwithstanding that some of them were contained in and ascertainable from the reports received by them from timeto time. It should be noticed that the Ministry does not possess officials or officers with the background of an adequate knowledge of law and the working of law courts someressary to a department of Government dealing with such technical matters as criminal procedure and the organization of the High Courts and the Supreme Court.

Nor can it be said that the Ministry of Law as at present organized is in a position to deal effectively with these matters. That Ministry appears to have so far mainly functioned as an adviser and draffsman to the Government of India and seems to have concerned itself very little, if at all, with the general aspects of the administration of justice. It appears to have neglected its responsibility even for keeping the Civil Procedure Code upto-date. Long before 1939, Sir Dinshah Mulla in his commentaries on the Code of Civil Procedure drew attention to the conflict of decisions under section 47 of the Civil Procedure Code and suggested that the section should be amended so as to set the conflict at rest. No action, however, was taken by the then Legislative Department or by the Ministry of Law to set these matters right till 1956 when the Civil Procedure Code was amended in this respect.

Need for a Ministry of Justice,

We have earlier referred to the need for a periodical. revision of the laws so that they might be brought into line with modern juristic ideas, conflicts of judicial decisions may be set at rest and the law itself brought into harmony with the changed and the changing conditions in the country. But much more than a periodical revision of the law is needed if the administration of justice is to improve, The needed incentive and action can be provided only by a properly organized department of the Union Government having charge of the administration of justice in all its aspects. It is no doubt true that the administration of justice is a State subject and that the role of the Central Government in this matter, except in relation to the Union (erritories, is limited. Nevertheless, a great deal of useful and necessary work in this direction can be done at the Centre by effecting a co-ordination of the efforts of the States and by laying down general standards. We have noticed in the course of our enquiry that there is a good deal of ignorance in one State about the methods and procodures adopted in another State. There are many problems common to the States, some of which have been dealt with successfully by one or other of the States. In such cases, the experience of one State is bound to be useful to the others if made available to them. A property equipped Central Ministry could not only act as a store-house of information and as a clearing-house of ideas, but also lay down standards in the matter of judicial administration.

for all the States. Such a Ministry can also make the Union territories models of judicial administration for the rest of the columny.

It is, in our view, very necessary that a Ministry of Justice staffed by commercial personnel, possessing the necessary technical knowledge and administrative experionce, be established at the Centre for these purposes. Among other matters, such a Ministry would be charged with the task of ensuring that the High Courts in the various States possess adequate and competent personnel. It may also assume the control of the Indian Judicial Service whose creetion we have recommended. Among other subjects, civil and criminal procedure, and the legal profession might be dealt with by this Ministry. If it does become necessary, as indicated by us elsewhere, to amend the Constitution so as to give a greater measure of control to the Centre over the administration of justice in the States, this Ministry might be entrusted with these functions.

We may in this connection refer to the fact that the creation of such a Ministry of Justice has been advocated in England where eminent lawyers have expressed themselves against the condition of affairs under which the responsibility for the administration of justice is divided between the Lord Chancellor and the Home Secretary though the conditions there are not so confused and illogical as here. No doubt this reform has not been given effect to in England for various reasons, some of which are associated with tradition and sentiment and the importance of the high office of the Lord Chancellor. No such considerations, however, have any relevance to our country. It may be mentioned that a Ministry of Justice is a common department of State in many Continental countries.

We may advert to another important circumstance. Imple= ? We have already referred to the manner in which the mentation -We have already referred to the manner in which the recommendations of the Rankin Committee and the High manda-1. Court Arrears Committee were treated. We trust that the riess. efforts of this Commission will be giore huitful. Centre as well as the States are at the present moment devoting their energies and resources to nation-building activities which form part of our Five-Year Plans. trust that the Central as well as the State Governments will recard an improvement in the administration of justice as of equal importance to the nation and take steps forthwith to consider and implement our recommendations. realise that many of the subjects dealt with in our report are State subjects and that the changes recommended by us can only be effected by a proper co-ordination and cooperation between the the Centre and the States. our view emphasises the need for the Centre to take a quick and decisive initiative in the matter of considering and giving effect to the views expressed in the report.

Appointment of special officer necessary.

7. The establishment of a Ministry of Justice may take some time. It is, therefore, necessary, particularly in view of the fact that subjects with which we deal in this respect are matters dealt with partly by the Home and partly by the Law Ministry, that a Special Officer of the appropriate status and experience should be appointed to take up the consideration of our recommendations with the State Governments with a view to their speedy implementation, Many of the motters with which we have dealt require emergent and quick action. The moneys expended on and the labours devoted to the work of this Commission will have been spent in vain, unless it is appreciated that the maintenance of an efficient system of administration of justice is one of the primary functions of the modern State, We shall not attain the noble objectives enabrined in the Preamble to our Constitution unless the State assumes an adequate responsibility for the discharge of this primary iunction.

M. C. SETALVAD.

(Chairman).

M. C. CHAGLA,

K. N. WANCHOO,

P. SATYANARAYANA RAO,

G. N. JOSHI,

N. C. SEN GUPȚA,

V. K. T. CHARL

N. A. PALKHIVALA,

d. narasa raju,

s. m. sikri,

G. S. PATHAK,

(Members).

K. SRINIVASAN, DURGA DAS BASU, Joint Secretaries.

R. M. MEHTA,

Deputy Secretary.

New Detent:

September 26, 1958.

Dr. N. C. Sen Gupts and Shri V. K. T. Chari have concurred in the Report, subject to their separate notes.

Shri S. M. Sikri has also expressed his concurrence in the Report.

## NOTE BY SHRI V. K. T. CHARL

Section 80 of the Civil Procedure Code serves a useful purpose. Even from the point of view of the citizen, by giving a suit notice, he gets a valuable chance of his claim being considered by competent authority and possibly complied with without having to file a suit. It is true that many State Governments do not se utinize notices carefully, but the remedy lies in enjoining State Governments to pay proper attention to suit notices and give a proper reply to the party. In this connection the provisions of the Board's Standing Orders in Madras are as follows:

(Standing Orders of the Board of Revenue, Madras Vol. III, Chapter VIII, Government Press Publication, 1958 Edition).

- "5. O. No. 92: Preliminary notice of suits: How dealt with: The Government have laid down the following rules for the guidance of Collectors when they receive notices of suits under section 80 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.
  - (1) Notice of suits solely concerning departments not under the Collector's control: -(i) The Collector should transfer the notice to the head of the department concerned and need take no further action. When s notice of suit is received concerning any land which is in the occupation or under the active control of the Military Authorities or of any Cantonment Authority, it should at once be communicated to the Military Estate Officer of the area in which the land is situated. A list of the Military Estates Officers and the areas in their respective jurisdictions so far as the Madras State is concerned is given in Appendix I. Collectors may also reply direct to Military Estates Officers in cases where their advice and assistance are sought with regard to suits in connection with lands in cantonments (except those classed B2) and lands outside cantonments which were in the effective possession of the military authorities on 1st April, 1921 and have since continued to be so. Where, however, any claim is involved which might be to the prejudice of the State Government the Collector should refer the matter for the orders of the Government through the Board of Revenue.
  - (ii) Notice of suits primarily concerning a department not under the Collector's control but which affects

or is likely to affect the interests of any department under his controls...

The Collector should send a copy of the notice to the chief local officer of the department primarily concerned. The Collector must see that all points necessary for the defence of the suit as far as the departments under his control are concerned are carefully investigated. It necessary he should address the Board regarding any such notice.

(iii) Notice of suit which primarily concerns some department under the Collector's control, but in which the interests of another department not under his control are also involved.—

The Collector should communicate a copy of the suit notice to the department immediately concerned.

(iv) Notice of suits relating to forest matters:-

The Collector should receive notice of such suits and take action thereon in the manner prescribed in the Forest Code.

- (2) Notice of suits to receive cateful attention.
- (a) In the case of notice of suits solely concerning a department under the Collector's control and cases (ii) and (iii) above, the Collector should give immediate and careful attention to the complaint, the complainant being desired, if his statements are vague or unintelligible, either to explain his grievences. orally or to set them forth succinctly and clearly writing as the most effectual means towards obtaining. such relief as may properly be given. If the pro-ceedings complained of are found to have been wholly. Indefensible, the Collector should immediately grant redress, if it is in his power to do so, or refer the matter for the orders of the Board. If the complaint is plainly groundless, no action is necessary beyond collecting the information required to defend the threatened action. Legal advice need not be taken merely because notice of suit is received, though in important or doubtful cases it may be desirable for the Collector to obtain auch advice.
- (b) These instructions should be most carefully attended to, for neglect to follow them frequently leads to delay in the reporting of suits after their institution, for the orders of the Board, which is thus left without sufficient time for their proper consideration before the dates fixed for the filing of answers.
- The Union Government has also issued similar instructions. Much complaint will be removed and the Governments will benefit if all State Governments would:

frame similar instructions and observe them. It is only if the matter is examined at the earlier stage that the Government can file its written statement in time if and when a suit is filed.

3. I therefore think that section 80 C.P.C. should not be deleted. However parties have a reasonable grievance in sults where interlocutory relief by way of injunction etc. Is required. Provision may be made for this class of suits by adding a clause to section 80 on some such lines as follows:—

"Any Court may entertain a suit notwithstanding that no notice has been served as required by this section if the plaint out the reason why the delay caused by the requirement would prejudice the plaintiff with respect the relief sought. An order admitting the plaint shall operate as an exemption from the requirement of the notice and notwithstanding that it is ex-parte and shall be final and shall not be liable to be questioned by the defendant in the suit."

It may be noted that such exemption is now required only in a smaller class of suits than before, such as suits on contractual rights, as the procedure of Writ Petition under Article 226 is available in the case of ultra virus executive action.

4. As regards section 77 of the Railways Act the object In providing for notice within six months is to enable the administration to trace the transaction and make an enquiry, at an early stage before the relative documents are tost or destroyed and the evidence disappears. The trouble now orises because the State is working the railways. The cumulative requirement of a notice under section 80 C.P.C. may be dispensed with by adding a proviso to section 77 of the Railways Act that where a claim has been preferred thereunder a notice under section 80 C.P.C. shall not be required. Technical defences are now being raised under section 140 of the Railways Act and these should be avoided. Section 140 may be suitably amended providing also that a notice to any one administration should be enough.

### NOTE BY DR. N. C. SEN GUPTA

I have read the draft copy of the Report. The report is a lengthy one and the points upon which I disagree also require some extensive treatment. But I shall only refer briefly to the points of difference.

#### LEGAL EDUCATION

I do not agree that there has been a fall in the standard of efficiency in the Bar or in the law students, and I claim to speak on the subject with considerable experience in this matter. I have been practising in the Calcutta High Court and the Subordinate Courts with occasional visits outside for over 50 years. I definitely disagree with the statement made that the recent recruit to the profession is inferior in legal equipment, less painstaking and in a hurry to find work. Speaking of the Calcutta High Court, I came here when some of the men who are called eminent bright stars of the profession were practising here. I may say with confidence that the new recruits of the Bar at present are definitely not inferior to the Bar of those days. On the contrary, I have found that by and large they are better recruits and more efficient.

The course of studies of the Law Graduates of 50 years ago were much inferior in extent or depth to that of the present Law Groduates and, what is more, they actually have to study the books prescribed in the regular classes. A Law Graduate in my days was not required to study at all. The Law Graduates of those days had only to attend a certain number of lectures in each subject and that was done in off time for a few hours which were simply wasted. For enrolment in the High Court the Law students of those days had to be articled to a Vakil. That was a purely formal matter and excepting in some exceptional cases, neither the master nor his punil took the work seriously. Things are much changed now. Willy-nilly a student has now to learn a great deal for passing the three examinations in Law and also to make notes of cases before he can be admitted as an Advocate. I have found that the new recruits are generally better equipped in law than men of my time. The master took little or no interest in them in those days and if the pupil picked up anything, it was by his own efforts.

It has been said that the Law Schools attract only men of mediocre ability and indifferent merit. I had been a teacher of Law in the Calcutta University and later as a Professor and Dean of Faculty of Law of the Dacca University and I may say that I took a great deal of interest in teaching of laws principally in the Dacca University. Before that I worked as a teacher in the Arts Faculty. I have not found much difference in the calibre of students or even that there is more indifference amongst the law students than amongst the Arts students. There is a certain amount of want of enthusiasm amongst the students of Law for reasons some of which have been referred to in the Draft Report, principally because both the Government and the public look upon the legal profession with more or less step-motherly love.

The complaints now made about the causes inefficiency of lawyers could be made and were made equally when I began teaching law about 1918-14. The Calcutta University Commission had introduced a greater interest in the students and greater facilities for studying law. It would be a surprise to most youngmen today to know that in the beginning of this century one could go through a whole course of law and even get a first class degree in the end without ever handling a Law Report or any text book of law by simply mugging up a few note books. The things were changed by the reforms inaugurated, at the instance principally of Sir Azhuotosh Mukberji and the new regulations by which the students were compelled to read selected cases of Law Reports and larger range of text hooks and they were also given some training in the most courts. So far as the Calcutta and the Dacca Universities are concerned, I can say with confidence that the teaching of Law has now become more efficient and the graduates turned out are certainly not less efficient than those of the past days who had given a great halo to the legal profession by reason of the successes achieved by more or less exceptional men amongst the Jawyets.

In point of fact when we look at the range of shining names amongst successful lawyers of the past, we find that it is not from the teaching in schools that the lawyers acquired the knowledge which fitted them for eminence, but it is by the student's own effort after he has graduated and come into contact with the Court.—to get more education in Law by attending the Court after becoming a lawyer and knowing something of law. That is at any rate how I learnt law and I obtained an interest in dealing with books which were made available in the High Court Bar Association Library.

Proficiency in Law is obtained by a willing practitioner or student by practice and not from text books only. When the new entrant gets a case as a Junior Lawyer, he has to prepare it. In doing so, he has to find out the law from the books. It is this search for law that gets him into the touch of actual law which, in a really brilliant youngman develops a real brilliancy of the practitioner in law. It is possible to conceive of a more complete education for the legal profession so that a graduate turned out will at once become an effective lawyer. Such education was given in

Germany. So far as I am aware, before the First World War, there h lawyer had not only to be a graduate in the course of his education but also to write a thesis and thereafter to do practical work in the Courts including virtually doing the work of Bench Clerks as well as making drafts of judgments. I do not know of any such attempt being made elsewhere. I have given an extract in one of my previous Notes on an article on "The Legal Education in Germany" at that time.

I am of opinion that legal education will not improve by providing a better schooling or giving practical work to be done at a time when the candidates has not yet learnt the elements of Law. The real education comes when he has got an elementary knowledge of Law and its practical work as a lawyer, either by trying to pick up the practice or working in the Chamber of a Senior Lawyer not as a dilettant but as a serious lawyer. Success in the profession is not assured to the good scholar. That depends on many factors, in any case it depends upon the personal effort in the enterprise of the new practitioner. Altogether it seems to me that too much importance is given in discussions of these matters upon the schooling of the lawyers, either in the School or by a formal enrolment or engagement as an arcicled clerk or a Junior. It is the enterprise of the new entrant called forth by his being admitted to actual practical work.

The early Vakils now represented by Advocates as the Bar as well as on the Rench and whose names are handed down from generations as models never received legal education at all. At first men were appointed Vakils by the Judges. No course of lega! training was necessary. Later a very elementary course of training in Law was The products of the earliest legal education who have been regarded to be pleaders, as distinguished from Vakils some of whom distinguished themselves at the Bar and some of them also on the Bench, were not law all. Justice Dwarka Nath Mitra, Justice graduates at Chandra Madhan Ghosh, Greenath Das, Kali Mohan Das in Calcutta, similarly Judges of the High Court of Madras like Mutheswami Iyer were not law graduates at all. But the judgments of some of these Judges were sometimes pitted against the judgments of distinguished Barrister Judecs.

#### THE BAR

The opinion expressed in the Draft Report about the degeneration of the Bar at the present moment may or may not be correct. But it would be surprising for a young man of today to learn that 40 or 50 years ago, almost the same complaints were heard made by agod and aging lawyers of the deficiencies of the new entrants. My own experience of the 50 years of practice is that the practitioners in the Calcutta High Court have definitely improved both in the

depth and breadth of their knowledge and their way of presenting the cases. I have come into contact with great names in the past and have seen them in actual practice. But it was only a handful of those great men who could give many points to the really bright youngsters of today. They have learnt the law from more extensive reading and are capable of finding out a law from a wider circle of books and their presentation of cases are definitely superior to that of the Vakil of the past, men including some men who attained to a large practice and reputation. Of course there were brilliant men who were specially distinguished. But their names can be counted on the fingers. The average run of Advocates or Vakils of the past were definitely inferior both in their grounding in law and their mode of presentation of cases.

The opinions which have been given on the average run of Advocates, as compared with those of the past, are mere opinions of the authors and could be matched by similar opinions given about different classes of men advanced by aged or aging men who start with a prejudice in favour of the past. There is no real objective standard by which the truth of these statements can be judged, and in assessing the value of such opinion we may make some deductions on account of the natural predilection of an aging man for remote past.

But having been in practice of the High Court for over half a century and also a teacher of Law during the large part of that period, I hope I may be allowed to claim that my opinions based on my experience are worthy of some more consideration than others who cannot claim similar experiences.

#### LANGUAGE

I am on the whole in agreement with the actual recommendations made in the Report with minor differences. But in the course of the Report, some observations have been made upon which I shall have to offer certain criticisms.

To start with, I may say that the approach to the problem is not one which I would make. On every question the Report of the Language Commission is taken as a starting point. The criticism proceeds on that basis. I am afraid this is not giving to the Report the position to which it is entitled to under the Constitution, until the Report has been finalised by the Parliament under Article 348. So far it is only the opinion of the majority of a number of persons on controversial question and as a majority report it has a provisional, status. The Language Commission owes its authority to Article 344 and notwithstanding the report of the Commission, the Parliament, the President and the Regional Legislatures have certain powers in the matters 122 M. of Law—78.

of regulating them for a period of 15 years after the commencement of the Constitution, meanwhile English continues to be the official language.

Attention may be drawn to Article 344-clause (4) requires the constitution of the Committee with members of both Houses of Parliament and under clause (5)-"It shall be the duty of the Committee to examine the recommendations of the Commission" with a power under clause (6) of the President to issue directions in accordance with the whole or any part of that Report. There is not even a report of the Parliamentary Committee under Article 344 with regard to the report of the Commission. Under these circumstances, the Commission's recommendations are of an provisional nature,—not forgetting the very important fact that the recommendations of the Commission are in the first place not unanimous, -there being important and very authoritative notes of dissent, and secondly, that since the publication of the Report, there have been tremendous against in several parts of India against the main recommendations of the Commission and the Legislature has not yet expressed its opinion on the point.

In any discussion on the language question of the Courts and of the Laws we should, therefore, proceed more or less on a corte blanche and judge the whole thing on the merits and not undue importance to the opinion of the Commission which, in my opinion, has to some extent been done in the manner of approach.

With regard to the main points on the recommendations in the Draft (Report) I have, as I have said, comparatively few criticisms to offer and I might almost say that I accept the recommendations generally. But in making the Reports, certain observations are made to which there is toom for serious objections. I should, therefore, add a note against all such statements made. But I should add a contion that every observation made in connection with discussion of these questions is not to be necessarily acceptable.

Apart from the Constitutional position of the Report, on the merits there are very serious matters of consideration on the recommendations of the Commission. The outstanding fact about the recommendations is that they assume the introduction of Hindi by the following stages: For the first 15 years no Hindi except by special provisions by the authority of the President. Thereafter the Hindi language will have to be brought up to the standard which is necessary for its universal adoption as the language of Law and the Courts. Thirdly it is virtually admitted that the Hindi language, as it is, is not capable of performing the functions required of a precise and accurate language of all laws. The proposals are that steps will be taken by means of translation, by making a Law Lexicon and things

of that sort and certain undefined things done by the State to bring the language upto the mark. What would be the position in the meantime? Every page of the recommendations would show that there is bound to be terrible confusion in the interim periods and not in one place, but several such confusions in several places. In other words, we shall, instead of simplifying the Law and making it more intelligible and accessible to the people, make it extremely difficult to be sure about them. There is bound to be a confusion much of the measure of that made by the Tower of Babel for various long and indefinite periods in the course of this evolution.

I do not think that it is worthwhile giving up our present position of precision of low in favour of this confusion

In the recommendations made in our draft, the present factual position is correctly worked out in the early paragraphs of the Report. In spite of a certain number of languages, which are in use in different States, all legal proceedings in different Provinces have avoided any confusion by reason of the reference to English. All these will be gone and confusion will be multiplied at every stage, as is virtually pointed out in our Draft Report itself.

Notwithstanding the views ultimately expressed with regard to the language about the position of English, the Draft Report expresses surprise—"that a few members of the legal profession which by its training and practice is accustomed to take balanced views should have supported this extreme position". If this refers to the fact of the opposition to Hind) as such, I have no objection. But what is the balanced opinion? If it is one suggested in the draft, it is certainly not in favour of having Hindi at all costs and rejecting English. The mischief of the deficiencies of the Hindi language will not be solved by a Law Lexicon alone as the Draft supposes. A Law Lexicon may help translators but it cannot lay down the law with the precision of a Statute. Then the Draft Report telers to the Law Lexicon which is being trained. I have had a slight connection with the framing of the Law Lexicon so far as the legal terms are concerned and I may say that there is every reason to apprehend what the Draft report seeks to avoid in the following words:—

"There is no reason why in preparing a legal Lexicon in Hindi, English and Latin phrases and words which are in current use in legal phraseology and which have acquired a definite and well accepted legal commutation understood all over the Anglo-Saxon world should not be largely adopted".

The Hindi version of the Constitution itself which is authoritative in the sense that it is prepared under: the authority of the Government shows to what absurd length the Sanakritised portions has gone and will go. The Hindi Constitution even goes to the length of translating a legal term like 'certiorari' as 'utpreshana' which conveys nothing to a Hindi-speaking man and, though it might convey the sense of the original Latin in the ancient writ, now defunct, it will be a definitely incorrect term to express the modern sense of modern order of certiorari.

The difficulties about translation and the maintenance of a large staff of translators for years have been referred to in the Report. I should say that the difficulties would be almost unsurmountable. The translators themselves would, first of all, have to refer to the lexicon that is prepared and when they have been trained in the language of the lexicon, they will have to translate the English or the regional languages into that language. All that I can say is that that is the surest way of creating the utmost confusion, and even then the amount of labour would be absolutely out of proportion to the benefit obtained by it.

I would refer to another provision of the Constitution. Under Art. 348, which does not relate the interim period of 15 years only. Art. 343 (1) (a) says that unless the Parliament provides otherwise.—"all proceedings in the Supreme Court and in every High Court and the authoritative texts of all Bills to be introduced or amend-ments thereto to be moved in either House of Parliament or in the House of either House of the Legislature of a State or of all Acts passed by Parliament or the Legislature ..... shall be in the English language." It provides therefore that notwithstanding the fact that a particular legislation is made either in Hindi or in the regional language as provided by the recommendations of the Commisslon, the authoritative version shall still be English. The Bill must be introduced in English, all discussions on it will take place in English, but the final Bill will be, say, in Hindi, and embodied in a form and language in which t, has never been placed before or discussed in Parliament or the State Legislature, but the legislation will be put in Hindi or the regional language. Everybody knows that much depends upon small words and phrases or even punctuations upon the exact form of which sometimes elaborate discussion takes place.

This Draft does not contain any summary of the conclusions. It may be attempted to make such a summary. As a matter of fact, here is very little of the Report of the Law Commission that has been endorsed by this Draft. I might attempt to make a summary as follows:

The conclusion is that as it is advisable to have a uniformity of language, the present position of English is not advantageous for which it cannot be replaced by Hindi or any other language. But a rider is added that at some convenient date in the future, Hindi should be substituted for and take the place of English. This is a conclusion to which I do not subscribe. In any case, I do not think we

are called upon to speculate about the future. It would be for the Parliament to adapt the Law to the circumstances as they are.

The possibility of regional languages for all proceedings in State Courts which has been advocated by some has been rejected. To this I entirely agree. But I do not feel the justification for the observation.....that it is aurprising that the opinion expressed by some lawyers should give evidence antagonistic to Hindi on the ground of its being a regional language. I no not see much justification for this surprise when as a matter of fact, the advocacy of Hindi for purely provincial reasons does not cause any surprise. It is suggested that the authoritative enactment ought to be eventually Hindi both in respect of the Parliament and the State Legislatures. I refuse to accept this as an unalterable truth. The Constitution does not make it so. The provisions of the Constitution are that after the Language Commission's report has been accepted by Parliamentary Committee, it may be made effectually the official language. In that view I think that it is surprising that the opinion that question should be reconsidered even though it involves a change of the Constitution should cause no surprise.

The whole of the suggestion in sub-paragraph (17) on the whole is also vitiated by the assumption that you must accept without question the provision. In the Constitution making Hindi the official language and that for the next few years is not the position. The matter is open to con-The Report of the Language Commission has to be considered by a Parliamentary Committee, and on the recommendations of the Parliamentary Committee, the proper legislation will have to be made by order of the President, it is true, but with the authority of the Parliament. Until that I do not think why the mere publication of a provisional Report of the Language Commission should compel us to accept the unalterability of the provision about the language of the State. The matter has been very seriously considered not only in the dissentient reports but also by distinguished members of the public everywhere in the Union and there has been a very vocal opposition to this view. I do not think that it would be within our province to make a recommendation which brushes aside all the opposition which the suggestion has made.

In paragraph 22 it is again assumed that the change over from English into Hindi must be made, but it would only have to wait till the ground has been prepared. I do not understand why this should be taken for granted; and least of all, why we who, in our opinion, in the draft have accepted the position that Hindi cannot be made the State language for legal purposes now should go out of our way in a way to mortgage the future and provide for a possibility which may never arise. The passages in the Report concluding in paragraph 24 in extending the continuance of the present system to at least 25 years is wholly uncalled

for and should be abandoned. It is enough that we find out that the time has not yet come for changing over it Hindi as the official language of the State. Whether it may come in the future inevitably or otherwise may be left to the future. The Constitution will not be emended. To this extent. I entirely differ from the suggestion.

## ADMINISTRATIVE BOMPS

t find myself in agreement with regard to the assessment of facts and principles regarding Administrative Probungly and in particular the analysis of the administra-tive procedure in France. America and England. I also agree with maintaining the judicial control, such as it is, in our Constitution. If this recommendation is accepted. there would be nothing further to add, --except perhaps to increase the efficiency of the Civil Court's jurisdiction over the Executive and Administrative Departments. But I do not quite agree with the opinion that merely because of the special history and conditions of Conseil d'etat in French Law, it is wholly ansuitable for adoption in India. I am particularly impressed by the inquisitorial procedure of the court in France which has been clearly explained and analysed to the Report. In fact in France, as has been nomited out, a complaint is made before the Conseil d'esat and the Conseil itself takes up the matter and investigates it on its own without requiring any further interposition of the complained or any counsel and the inquisitorial process is an thorough that nothing is left to chance. I think similarly the Special Administrative Bench of the High Court recommended should follow the same procedure and the Court should over-ride merely formal objections and decide questions of Law fact without being bound by the findings of the Administrative Officer. This is an aspect of the French onocedure which should be specifically provided for in the case of politions against acts of Administrative and Executive Officers.

I think that the prejudice against the administrative acts excelled by Dicey is not wholly based on sound principle. As has been pointed out in the Report, procedure is a thoroughly judicial procedure and has been, by the whole, conducted judicially.

Even in Sudia we have some administrative tribunals who often act with extreme lairness and justice. These tribunals consist of Administrative Officers of high rank and they have got the advantage over the Civil Courts that they are very familiar with the law and procedure they have to deal with, I have expenence of only one such tribunal, the Hengal Board of Revenue. Like the judges of Civil Courts, the members of the Board of Revenue have been in the course of its history good, had and indifferent. But these were very good judges who could decide on revenue matters and administrative questions as experts and could not be misled by mere advocacy. The similar

tribunals established in connection with the Sea Customs Act, the Income-tax Act etc., have different powers under different Statutes. But in all these, there is an opening left for judicial interference particularly in the present Constitution. The experience of the decisions given by the Board of Revenue in revenue matters in the past makes me hopeful that with a limited judicial oversight, they might be even more useful than Civil Courts. It is necessary to provide further that Administrative Tribunals, where they exist, should be independent and like the High Court Judges not liable to be removed at the wish of the Government. The tenure of office of these Administrative Tribunals should be fairly long and secure

What I mean to say is that Administrative Tribunals are not inso facto bad. They may be quite as just and impartial as Civil Courts, provided they are allowed to work in an atmosphere of independence. And as they would consist of persons with administrative experience, they would be in a better position to decide the matters than mere lawyer judges,—provided they are allowed to work in an atmosphere in which they can be quite independent of the administrative departments. I have spoken of the Board of Revenue of Bengal which has, on the whole, a tradition for a fair trial. I cannot say the same thing of all other administrative tribunals notably of the Income Tax Act tribunals, a decision by which shots out further investigation by any court except on a reference by that tribunal.

## HIGH COURTS ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

I have dealt with this question with the question regarding the Original Side, and I have said, so far as the Calcutta High Court is concerned, there is no justification in maintaining the Original Side with its exclusive jurisdiction over a class of cases. I might point out that the objectives to the Original Side of the High Court principally turn on . two factors. Erstly, the discrimination between different of lawyers and secondly, excessive costs involved not only in compulsory engagement of two sets of lawyers in every case but also for the taxing rule of the Original Side. I am afraid that the findings on the question of costs proceed on the view that in the City Civil Court or in the Mufassil courts, the costs allowed in the decree are fraction of the actual costs incurred in the lowyer's fees. That is a fact. But in the Original Side of the High Court also, Senior Counsel engaged in the case do not work on the scale of fees allowed by the taxing rules. They receive fees in some cases fancy fees, including such things as, sticking fees which are not taxed. The taxed cost falls very short of the fancy fees paid to the very distinguished lawyers. It has been said that there is no taxing rules on the Appellate Side and the Advocates can charge any fee far in excess of what is charged in the Original Side, while on the Original Side the entire costs are limited by the taxing rules.

This also is not accurate. Costs not included within the Tax hill have to be incurred by the parties in contentious litigations and further there are such a multitude of items included in the taxing rules which enable a Solicitor to increase the bill by many unnecessary applications and correspondence for every hit of it which costs are hilled. Those who suggest that the costs of the Original Side are absolutely fixed by the text bills are labouring under a delusion. On the contrary, the taxing bills may be inflated by annecessary items.

On the whole there can be no doubt that the taxing rules provide no protection against inflating the costs.

Under these tax rules the costs of a Commission for examination of a witness or on a reference for accounts and similar items, the fees are extraordinarily high. No document can be put in and not even the report of the Commissioner, the examination of a witness could be brought before the Court except in payment of costs which are sometimes very heavy. I am afraid that those who say that the costs on the Original Side are not heavy evade the real issues without full investigation.

The very fact that it is compulsory for a litigant in a suit of very small value which has to be brought in the Original Side has to pay two sets of lawyers necessarily increases the cost. There may be advantages in having two sets of lawyers, but it ought to be left to the discretion or capacity of the litigant to incur the cost for the luxury of two lawyers. There are cases which can be very efficiently managed by a single lawyer. There is no reason why every body is required to pay for two if he wants justice from the Original Side of the High Court.

I do not say that the Original Side of the High Court should be abolished. I would be quite content if the High Court follows the procedure laid down in the Civil Procedure Code just like all other Civil Courts. It has been posited out that if there is a superior efficiency in the procedure laid down in the High Court rules, one would suggest that the same procedure ought to be applied other courts also. There should not be any discrimination between the High Court and Mufassil Courts. If the High Court procedure leads to more efficient administration of justice, there is no reason why it should not be extended to all Courts, at any rate, in a limited number of cases. It is not impossible to have the same procedure followed in the High Court in other courts in a limited number of cases and give the Mufassil litigants the advantage of a decision of a High Court standard which can be achieved by providing for circuits with judges of the High Court held in Mufassil courts accompanied by this set of lawyers and administering the same laws according to the same procedure. The costs incurred on the procedure if it is more efficient, need not be a bar. In point of fact, as it has been

pointed out in another part of the Report, the Government is making lot of profit from the litigants by way of court fees. They might discard the profit and pay for the benefit of the litigants. My conclusion, therefore, is not that the High Court Original Side should be abolished, but that its procedure—should be brought into lines with other Courts of the country.

# APPENDIX I Questionnaire

## PART I

#### SECTION A.

# (i) General

- Do you consider the present system of administration of justice based on the British model suited to our needs having regard to—
  - (a) our poverty, and
  - (b) the mass of our population being in the villages?
- 2. Suggestions have been made for the establishment of "a system of judicial administration suited to the genius of the country", or, what has been called, "an indigenous system". Have you any suggestions to offer in this connection?
- 3. Would you consider the adoption, in whole or in part, of the system of judicial administration in countries other than Anglo-Saxon countries as being more suitable to our needs? If so, please offer detailed suggestions.
- Do you consider our court structure complicated and in need of simplification? If so, please state suggestions with a view to such simplification.
  - (ii) Separation of the Judiciary from the Executive
- 5. How for has the separation of the judiciary from the executive progressed in your State? What steps would you suggest for expediting the carrying out of this separation?

THE JUDICIARY—ITS RECREITMENT, CONDITIONS OF SERVICE AND TRAINING

## (iii) The Supreme Court

- 6. Do you consider the present method of selecting the Judges of the Supreme Court from the Judges of the High Court, retired or about to retire, a satisfactory one?
- 7. What are your views on appointing to the Supreme Court Beach distinguished members of the Bar?
- 8 Do you consider it feasible to select for the Supreme Court Bench constitutional and other expert lawyers, particularly academic lawyers, from the Universities?
- 9. Would you be in favour of providing that the Supreme Court—should deliver only one judgment as the judgment of the Court?

## (iv) High Courts

- 10. It is stated that the High Court judiciary of recent years compares unfavourably with what it used to be in earlier days. Do you agree with this view? If so, what in your opinion are the causes of this change?
  - Is the falling off to the High Court judiciary due to:
    - (a) decreasing respect in governmental circles for the lawyer and for the judicial office,
      - (b) unsatisfactory methods of selection,
      - (c) insufficient remuneration and pension?
- 12. Has it happened in your State—that unsatisfactory appointments to the High Court judiciary have been made under the influence of the executive?
- 13. Has the provision with regard to consultation with the Governor of the State in the master of appointment to the High Court worked satisfactorily in your State?
- 14. Would you consider it advisable to provide that appointments to the High Court judiciary be made by the President after consultation with the Chief Justice of India and on the recommendation of the Chief Justice of the High Court of the Stale?
- 15. Are you in favour of raising the age limit at which the Judges of the High Court are bound to relie?
- 16. What are your views on the High Court judiclary being constituted on an all-India cadre, the Judges being freely transferred from one State High Court to another?

# (v) Subordinate Courts

- 17. Do you consider the subordinate judiciary in your State (from the Munsif or Magistrate to the District or Sessions Judge) efficient? How does the capacity of the present judicial officers compare with those of about 10 years ago? If the judiciary is not efficient, what in your opinion, are the estases of such inefficiency? Do you think that the conditions under which the judicial officers work (e.g., the residential and court accommodation allotted to them or deficient law libraries attached to the courts) have affected their efficiency? Have you any suggestions to make for an alteration in the existing system of their recruitment?
- 18. Are you in favour of recruitment to the judicial service by a competitive examination of a general character (as in the case of all-Ind.a Services) which would also test the candidate's knowledge of law and procedure?
- 19. Is the recruitment to the judiciary in your State mainly or wholly from the Har? If not, would you be in favour of such recruitment wholly from practising members of the Bar? Please offer detailed suggestions.

- 20. Do you consider it necessary that members recruited to the services either by examination or by selection from the Bar should receive a special training before they are entrusted with the performance of judicial duties? If so, please Indicate the nature of the training concerned necessary and the manner in which it should be imparted.
- 21. Would you consider it necessary that judicial officers who are likely to be entrusted with the disposal of litigation in industrial and commercial towns like Kanpur or Ahmedabad should receive special training? If so, please state the nature of the training envisaged and the manner of imparting it.
- 22. Does corruption prevail among the judiciary in your State? Have moral standards deteriorated? If so, what, in your opinion, are the reasons and what remedies would you suggest?
- 23. What tests would you suggest for assessing the efficiency of a judicial officer both in regard to the quality as well as the quantity of his work?
- 24. Has there been a deterioration in the exercise of superintendence and control by the Superior courts over subordinate courts? Have you any suggestions to make?
- 25. The power to make appointments to the subordinate judiciary is at present vested in the executive who have to act in consultation with the High Court and under rules made after consultation with the State Public Service Commission and with the High Court. Do you consider this method of appointment satisfactory? What is your view as to the powers of appointment to the subordinate judiciary being exercised by the executive on the recommendation of the Hight Court?
- 28. Do you consider that the age of supersonnuation of the District Judges could be raised with advantage?

# (vi) Panchayat Courts

- 27. Have you any panchayat courts in your State? If so, what is your experience of the working of these Courts?
- 28. How would you constitute these courts? Would you prefer the members of the panchayat to be elected or appointed? If appointed, by what authority?
- 39. With what powers would you invest panchayat courts? Would you entrust them with civil matters only or both civil and criminal cases? What limits would you set to their civil and/or criminal jurisdiction? Would you entrust them with cases involving rights over immovable property? If so, would you set limits to the value of such property?

- 30. Do you consider it feasible to have a single panchayat court for a group of neighbouring villages? Would you consider courts so constituted more likely to be impartial?
- 31. Would you be in favour of investing panchayat courts with exclusive jurisdiction in cases entrusted to them? If so, would you suggest safeguards, by way of revision or otherwise, against capricious or arbitrary exercise of jurisdiction by them?

# (vii) Law Reports

- 32. Are you in favour of the present system of relying on decided cases as precedents? Have you any suggestions to make as to any alternative system?
- 33. Do you not consider the present system of the publication and citation of law reports an impediment to the administration of justice? Would you be in favour of preventing the citation in courts of cases other than those reported in the official series, the reporting in which would be controlled by editing boards in the states and at the Centre constituted of eminent lawyers, the hoard's discretion to report being in no way fettered by the views of the Judges? Have you any other suggestions to offer in order to make law reports really helpful to a correct decision?
- 34. Would you be in favour of providing that courts consisting of more than one Judge should pronounce judgments of the court and not of individual Judges and that dissenting judgments, where permitted, should not, as a rule, be reported?

# (viii) Legal Education

- 35. In your opinion should university degrees in law continue to be accepted by the law courts as a qualification for legal practice? Or, would you be in favour of admission to the Bar being regulated by tests carried out by a Central body invested with the power to impart education in law and conduct examinations in it?
- 36. If you are in favour of maintaining the present system of accepting university degrees as a qualification for legal practice, would you suggest any measures for the enforcement of adequate and uniform standards throughout the country?
- 37. Are you in favour of excluding any subjects which at present form the syllabus of law studies in the universities and including therein fresh subjects?
- 38. Would you consider a good liberal education comprised in obtaining university degrees in arts, science or commerce a necessary preliminary to entering upon the

study of law? Or, would you be in favour of a course of general education forming a part of the syllabus of legal education?

- 39. Is legal education imparted at oniversities enough to give the necessary equipment to the lawyer for the preparation and conduct of cases in court? Or, if some further equipment is necessary, what in your opinion, should be the nature of such equipment and what measures should be adopted to import that equipment?
- 40. In your opinion should practising lawyers be teachers in low schools or should teaching be left to academic lawyers?
- 41. What in your view is the cause of the decline m India in recent years of the true academic lawyer and the jurist?
- 42. Are you generally in favour of the recommendations in regard to legal education contained in the proposals made by the All-India Bar Committee in 1953?
- 43. What suggestions have you to offer in connection with legal education from the point of view of the expected change of the language of statutes and courts from Englishinto Hinds?

# (ix) Legal Practitioners

- 44. Have you any suggestions to offer in regard to the creation of a uniform and an All-India Bar over and above the proposals formulated by the All-India Bar Committee of 1953?
- 45. Would you favour a system of division of the Ber toto Sectors and Juniors? If so, on what hasis? What should be the respective rights and obligations of each section?
- 46. What are your suggestions for eliminating the evil of toutism?

# (x) Legal Aid

- 47. Are there any agencies or institutions in your lown, district or State for giving legal aid or advice to poor persons? If so, piease give briefly details of their objects, membership and activities.
- 48. Do you consider the rendering of legal aid to the poor citizen or a critizen of moderate means an obligation of the State? If so, would you provide for the giving of such legal aid in all civil or criminal proceedings?
- 49. Should the scope of legal aid in criminal cases, which is being given in the shape of providing the services of the lawyers for defence of persons charged with offences punishable with death, be enlarged so as to make such services available to the accused in all courts in respect of

lesser offences? If so, to what extent and in what class of cases?

- 50. What, in your opinion, is the true scope of any comprehensive scheme of legal aid? Should it be limited to a more remission of court fees and other legal charges or should it include within its ambit legal advice, representation by lawyers and out-of-pocket charges?
- 51. Would you confine the grant of legal aid to litigants who are very poor or would you be in favour of extending it by fixing:
  - (a) a limit based on income and capital; and
  - (b) a scale of contribution to be paid by the litigants within those limits?

Please make detailed suggestions.

- 52. Can you suggest any tests apart from income for determining the claim of a litigant to legal aid? What safeguards would you suggest to prevent any abuse of a scheme of legal aid?
- 53. To what agency (for example, Bar Association, Legal Aid Committee, courts or a State department) would you entrust the administration of a scheme of legal aid? Would you subject any agency administering legal aid. When than the courts or the States, to control or supervision by the courts or the State?
- 54. Can you suggest any measures by which the legal profession may make a contribution towards the successful working of a scheme of legal aid?
  - (xi) English, Hindi and Regional Languages
- 55. Having regard to the constitutional provisions in regard to the official language of the Union and the States what would you covisage in future to be the language of -
  - (a) Subordinate Courts in a State:
  - (b) High Courts in States:
  - (c) law reports of State High Courts;
  - (d) law reports of the Supreme Court?

Please give your views in detail remembering the need of co-ordination between the courts and the judiciary of the different States and the Union and of the Bar throughout the country?

# (xii) Miscellaveous

56. What are your views on the Supreme Court and the High Courts functioning in circuits? Do you think that such a course will tend to public convenience and advantage and not affect the prestige of the Courts or be too expensive?

- 57. What are your views on the vacations at present enjoyed by the civil courts? Would the curtailment or abolition of the vacations adversely affect their efficiency?
- 58. Is it your opinion that the efficiency of courts has suffered on account of inadequate allotment of funds by the State Governments for the purpose of administration of Justice? Have arrears of work accumulated in your State in the Subordinate Courts owing to the failure of the State to appoint an adequate number of judicial officers?

## SECTION B

# Delays

- 59. Do you consider that the period actually taken in the disposal of civil and criminal proceedings in the courts of your State exceeds what you consider to be reasonable in a large number of cases? It so, what in your opinion, are the main causes of such delay?
- 60. Is it your view that the delay in the disposal of cases is due not so much to defects in the existing procedure as to other factors such as:—
  - (a) the dilatory tactics of the litigants or their lawyers,
  - (b) the slackness and the lack of proper training and experience in the presiding officers, and
  - (c) the inefficiency and lack of integrity of the clerical and the process serving staff of the court?

What suggestions will you make for the removal of these factors?

- 61. Is there a tendency in the courts of your State to seek frequent adjournments on insufficient grounds and are such adjournments frequently granted? Do these adjournments take place because—
  - (a) the lawyers being busy in other courts seek adjournments, or
  - (b) the cause list is crowded making it impossible for the presiding officer to take up the cases listed for the day?

Have you any suggestions to offer to eliminate delays caused in this manner?

62. Do you agree that the hearing of ex-parte matters is often delayed by these matters being mixed up in the list with contested matters? Would it be helpful to devise a system by which ex-parte matters could be separately listed and disposed of? Could the disposal of these matters be left to a Registrar of the Court. Could these matters be disposed of on the allegations made in the plaint and without calling oral testimony?

- 63. What are your views on the proposal to appoint a special officer of the rank of a civil or subordinate judge for the performance of the following functions:—
  - (a) receipt, secuting and correction of pleadings;
  - (b) (saue of processes)
  - (e) disposal of su-porte and uncontested suits and proceedings;
  - (d) administrative duties at present discharged by the District Judge;
    - (e) execution proceedings?
- 64. Would you be in favour of devising a system by which cases would be listed only after they are ready and disposed of on fixed dates, no adjournments being granted except to exceptional circumstances for reasons to be recorded by the Judge?
- 65. Do you consider that the congestion of cases in the courts and the delay in their disposal are due to an insufficient number of judicial officers or courts?
- fig. Are any delays caused by the concentration of several courts in one and the same place?
- 67. Would you favour decentralization of Courts in Tehsii, Taluk, or Chowki headquarters?
- 68. Are there delays in the disposal of cases in the High-Court? What are the causes thereof?

#### Section C.

# (i) Aurisdiction of Civit Courts

- 69. Do you consider the extension of the pocuriary jurisdiction of the subordinate civil courts in your State desirable? If so, to what extent? Would you extend the jurisdiction of the munsific or civil or subordinate judge's courts in—
  - (a) regular suits, and
  - (b) small cause suits?
- 70. Would you recommend the extension of the jurisdiction of the small cause courts and if so, to what extent and in what classes of eases? Are you in favour of restricting the scope of section 19 of the Presidency Small Cause Courts Act and Schedule II of the Provincial Small Cause Courts Act? If so, which of the items now included in those provisions would you omit?
- 71. Would you extend the summary procedure contemplated by section 128(2)(f) and Order XXXVII of the

Code of Civi) Procedure to the subordinate courts? If so, to what extent? What safeguards would you advocate to obviate any untoward consequences of the extension of such procedure to these courts?

- 72. Would you extend to the civil or subordinate Judges in your State the jurisdiction to try land acquisition references and proceedings in probate, succession certificate and guardianship matters? If so, should such jurisdiction be conferred on all such Judges or only on-selected Judges?
- 73. What amendments would you suggest to section 80 of the Code of Civil Procedure so as to safeguard the rights of the Citizen and at the same time give the State the necessary opportunity to consider its legal position?

# (ii) Pleadings

- 74. Do the pleadings filed in the courts in your State conform generally to the rules prescribed in Orders VI, VII, and VIII of the Code of Civil Procedure? Are the forms of pleadings given in the Code of Civil Procedure generally adhered to? Do you consider these forms adequate and sufficiently simple? Have you any suggestions to make in regard to pleadings generally from the point of view of their simplification and the dispensing with themaltogether in certain types of cases? Do you take the view that the pleadings generally need to be more precise and claborate?
- 75. Would you be in favour of requiring the advocatedrawing the plaint to certify that it is in accordance with the Code?
- 76. Would you consider it desirable in the interests of a speedy trial that the plaint should be accompanied by—
  - (a) a list of documents relied on,
  - (b) copies of the documents relied on,
  - (c) list of the witnesses whom the plaintiff proposes to call at the trial,
    - (d) detailed addresses of the defendants,
  - (e) names and addresses of proposed guardians adlitem to the case of minor defendants,
  - (f) the name and address of the plaintiff's lawyer, and
    - (g) the payment of process fees?

# (iii) Service of Process

77. Is the dilatory service of process one of the principal causes of delays in litigation in your State? If so, have you any suggestions to offer to remedy these delays?

- 78. Would you recommend a more extensive use of the post office for service of various kinds of processes? Should the refusal of a packet of summons be presumed to be good service? Are you in favour of leaving a wider discretion to the courts for ordering service of process in the manner they think most suitable in individual cases? Would you give the court the power to order substituted service if service is not affected within a certain number of days?
- 79. Are there complaints of corruption in the process-serving staff in your State? Is the service of process delayed on account of fraud or negligence on the part of the process-servers? If so, what measures would you devise for remedying this evil and for an effective check and supervision over the work of the process-servers so as to speed up the service of processes?
- 80. Do you consider the conditions of service (including that of pay, etc.) and method of recruitment of the process-servers partly responsible for corruption amongst their ranks? If so, what reforms would you advocate?
  - Would you recommend that the summons should—
  - (a) be accompanied by copies of the documents relied on by the plaintiff;
  - (b) state, as an alternative to (a), that the copies of the documents filed by the plaintiff are available for defendant's inspection in court forthwith;
  - (c) require the defendant to file his written statement by a date before the date fixed for hearing;
  - (d) require the defendant to file with his written statement a list of the documents relied on by him, copies of such documents and a list of the witnesses proposed to be called by him;
  - (e) state that no extension of time would be granted for filing the written statement excepting for sufficient reasons; and
  - (f) require copies of the written statement and the documents annexed to it to be furnished direct to the plaintiff's lawyer at address given by the plaintiff?

# (iv) Attendance of Witneszes

- 82. What are your views in regard to the framing of a rule preventing parties from calling witnesses other than those mentioned in the lists given by them excepting in exceptional circumstances?
- 83. Are the parties reasonably diligent in applying for the Issue of witness summonses? What remedies would you suggest to obviate delays consequent on the frequent non-service of witness summonses and the non-attendance of witnesses?

84. Should it be made a general practice to leave the parties to procure attendance of their witnesses, summonses being issued by the Court only in special cases?

# (v) Uncontested Matters

- 85. Do you agree with the view that matters in which process has been duly served and no written statement has been filed—
  - (a) should be set down for hearing from time to time in a separate ex-parte list.
  - (b) judgment may be signed forthwith on the verified statement in the plaint and without evidence.
  - (c) if evidence considered desirable, evidence may be taken and decree passed forthwith,
  - (d) if evidence is desired and not available, a short date may be fixed for production of evidence and matter may be disposed of ex-parts on that date.
  - (e) may be dealt with by the Registrar or other Special Officer in the manner above-mentioned.

## (vi) Contested Matters

- 86. Are you in favour of a conciliation proceeding which would take place at the date fixed for hearing and after pleadings are closed at which the Judge may try to induce parties to come to a settlement? If an should the conciliation proceeding take place before the trial judge or some other judge? Further, should the conciliation proceeding precede or follow—
  - (a) discovery and inspection..
  - (b) pre-trial procedure mentioned in the next question?
- 87. Are you in favour of a thorough going pre-trial conference or pro-trial hearing which would take place at the date fixed for hearing and at which the Judge would
  - (a) examine the parties summarily and hear the lawvers.
    - (b) determine the issues arising in the case,
  - (c) consider what documents need to be produced in court and in what manner.
  - (d) consider what facts are necessary to be proved and the monner of their proof by affidavit, oral or documentary evidence or by means of interrogatories or admissions.
  - (e) consider whether any witnesses other than those mentioned in the lists are necessary to be called or examined on commission.

(f) fix the date of disposal of the case?

Would you have the pre-trial conference or hearing before discovery or after discovery?

68. Are the provisions relating to examination of parties and discovery, production and inspection of documents in Orders X, XI, XII, and XIII of the Code of Civil Procedure generally followed in your State? If not, can you suggest any methods by which the use of these provisions can be made more general? Do these provisions need simplification? If so, in what manner? Would you recommend any amendment in Order XII, Rule 2 with a view to giving more powers to the courts to deal with unjustified denial of documents?

# (vii) Hearing

- 89. Is the hearing of a suit or proceeding once begun generally continued from day to day in your State? If not, state the causes which contribute to interrupted hearings? What measures can you suggest—
  - (a) for ensuring the start of the hearing on the day fixed for it, and
  - (b) for the continuance of the hearing from day to day till it is finished as contemplated by Order XVII, Rule 1?
- 90. Is it your experience that in a large number of cases interrupted hearings and adjournments result by reason of applications made by the lawyers engaged in the case? If so, would you be in favour of a provision that as a rule, and except in special circumstances adjournments shall not be granted on the ground of the convenience of lawyers?
- 91. Is it your experience that the provisions of the Evidence Act in regard to the relevancy of evidence are insufficiently observed in the course of recording the evidence? Have you any measures to suggest for the exercise of greater control by the presiding judge over the evidence led both in regard to its relevant nature and its volume?
- 92. Would you be in lavour of leaving the examination of witnesses of both sides to the Judge himself, liberty being given to the lawyers of the parties to suggest questions to the Judge? Would not a system of questioning in this manner by the Judge be a better method of eliciting the truth?
- 93. Would you give the presiding Judge a power to regulate evidence and stop it when he is of the opinion that sufficient evidence on a point has been put before the Court?
- 94. Would you be in fayour of a larger use of affidavit evidence with liberty to ask for the deponent to be called for examination with the leave of the court? Does your

experience in writ matters support the view that larger use of affidavit evidence in other matters is practicable? If so, would you suggest the matters in which such affidavit evidence could be used with advantage?

- 95. Do you think that the recording of evidence of witnesses by the Judge in his own hand, causes delay? It so, have you any measures to suggest to obvious this delay?
- 98. Do you consider that generally argument addressed by lawyers to courts are unduly profix and that courts exercise little control over the length of these arguments? Can you suggest any method of culting down or controlling the length of these arguments? Would you consider it advisable to give the Judge special powers in this connection?
- 97. Do you consider that the issue of commissions has been a frequent cause of delay in the disposal of cases? If so, have you any suggestions to make in order to obviate such delay?
- 98. Would you give power to the commissioner to exclude irrelevant and inadmissible evidence?
- 99. Have you any suggestions to make in regard to the selection of Commissioners by the Court?

## (viii) Judgments

- 100. Do you consider that in many cases judgments are unnecessarily prolix by reason of their containing a summary of the pleadings, a reproduction of the evidence of witnesses and a discussion of the authorities cited? Would you be in favour of having more concise judgments? Would you consider it advisable to enact suitable provisions in the Code of Civil Procedure for this purpose!
- 101. Is the delivery of judgments considerably delayed in your State? If so, could you suggest measures for ensuring the quick delivery of judgments? Would you be in favour of providing that judgments should, as a rule, be delivered within a week of the close of the hearing and not later than a month?
- 102. Are you in favour of only the findings and the operative part of the judgment being read out in open court, the judgment itself being made immediately available to the parties?

#### SECTION D

# Execution of Decrees

- 103. What changes would you suggest in the existing procedure relating to the execution of different classes of decrees with a view—
  - (a) to avoid delays, and
  - (b) to simplify the procedure?

- 104. Are you in favour of investing the courts to which a decree is transferred for execution with additional powers such as—
  - (a) adding legal representatives of a deceased judgment-debtor under section 50(1),
  - (b) recognition of assignments of decrees under Order XXI, Rule 16,
  - (c) transfer of the decree to some other court under section 39,
  - (d) the grant of leave under Order XXI, Rule 50(2)?

Please suggest other powers which you may consider useful or necessary.

- 105. Would you favour an amendment of section 47 of the Code of Civil Procedure so that a purchaser in execution who is a stranger to the decree may be deemed to be a representative of the parties within the meaning of that section?
- 106. Do you think it necessary to have a rule analogous to Order XXI, Rule 15, applicable to judgment-debtors?
- 107. Should not the principle of ves-judicate apply in contested execution applications?
- 108. Do you not consider it advisable that the right of appeal against orders made under section 47 should be substantially restricted? Would you agree that orders passed under that section should be made non-appealable of any rate to the extent of the executing Court's small cause jurisdiction? Have you any suggestions to make for any specific restrictions? If so, please state them.
- 109. Would you be in fevour of shortening the period prescribed by section 48 for the execution of decrees? If so, to what extent and in respect of what classes of decrees?
- 110. What are your views on the suggested omission of articles 182 and 183 of Schedule I of the Indian Limitation Act leaving the decreeholder to execute his decree at any time within the period prescribed by section 48 of the Code of Civil Procedure?
- 111. Is there considerable delay in your State in the execution of decrees which are transferred to the Collector for sale of properties under sections 68 to 70 of the Code of Civil Procedure? Would you recommend the total abolition of the Collector's jurisdiction in this matter? If not, what measures would you propose for obviating such delays?
- 112. Would you be in favour of an amendment of Rules 1 and 2 of Order XXI so as to disallow pleas of payment and adjustment out of court unless evidenced by a writing?

- 113. Are execution proceedings in your State unduly delayed by stay orders granted by appellate courts? Are such orders granted as a matter of course? What measures, would you suggest to prevent such a practice and the consequent delays?
- 114. Are you in favour of an amendment of Order XXI. Rule 26 so as to provide that there shall be no interim stay of a money decree? If not, would you suggest the payment of the decretal amount (or a substantial part thereof) ir court being made a condition precedent to the grant of stay?
- 115. Would you empower the executing court to investigate into the existence of a debt due to a judgment-debtor, where such debt is denied by the garnishee in execution?
- 116. Is it your experience that a large number of applications made under Order XXI (Rules 58 to 63 and 98 to 102) of the Code of Civil Procedure are frivolous and made with a view to causing delay? Have you any suggestions to make for an alteration of these provisions with a view to preventing such applications and consequent delays?
- 117. Are the provisions for suits in Order XXI, Rules 63 and 103 frequently used for the purpose of instituting frivolous suits? If so, would you suggest any measures for remedying this cvil? Would you consider it advisable to-provide that claims or objections should be investigated in execution after full enquiry and that a suit after the decision in an execution proceeding should be barred? Would the raising of court fees for suits of this character be justified in principle and serve as a check against the institution of frevolous suits?
- 118. Are the provisions of Order XXI, Rule 90 frequently availed of the make frivolous applications? Would you advise the deposit of the sale-warrant-amount or the amount realised at the court sale being made a condition precedent to the granting of an application under that rule?
- 119. Do you consider that the setting up of a single-executing court for a particular local area would make for speedy and effective execution of decrees?

# SECTION E

# (i) Appeals and Revision

- 120. Do you agree with the principle that as a rule only one appeal should lie in civil cases followed by a revision on the ground of miscarriage or failure of justice? Would you be in favour of appeals being heard by a Bench of District Judges with no further right of appeal? Will this method lead to conflicting decisions by the District Judges and if so what remedy will you suggest?
- 121. Do you agree that the present law leads to a multiplicity of appeals which causes enormous delay and the hearing of the same matter occasionally as many as fivetimes with no tangible advantage to the litigants?

- 122. Are you in levour of omitting any of the items in the list of appealable orders given in order XLIII, Rule 1?
- 123. Have you any changes to suggest in the existing method of the preparation of paper books and other records of the appeal with a view to reducing, as far as possible, the costs in appeal?
- 124. Would you be in favour of written briefs being submitted in the Keavier appeals in the Supreme Court and a suitable time limit being applied to arguments by the lawyers?
- 125. What are your views in regard to the rights of appeal to the Supreme Court provided by the Constitution in articles 132, 133, 134 and 136?
- 126. Would you enlarge or curtail the appellate jurisdiction conferred on the Supreme Court in regard to Criminal matters under article 134?
- 127. How, in your opinion, has the exercise of the appellate jurisdiction under article 134 affected the High Courts?
- 128. What generally are your views in regard to the exercise of its jurisdiction by the Supreme Court under article 136?
  - 129. Do you agree that a large number of revision applications filed before High Courts lack substance and are filed for delaying the conclusion of the litigation? Would you be in favour of a drastic curtailment of this right of revision?
  - 130. Would you be in favour of a drastic curtailment of the right of revision in the case of interlocutory orders? What is your view on the proposal that revisions in respect of such orders should be confined only to cases falling within clause (a) of section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure and that no revision should be allowed in cases falling under clauses (b) and (c)?
  - 131. Do you agree that the admission of an application for revision under section 25 of the Provincial Small Cause Courts Act should be made conditional on an applicant depositing the decretal amount in court?
  - 132. Would you confer upon the District Court revisional jurisdiction under section 25 of the Provincial Small Cause Courts Act, without any further revision to the High Court?

## (ii) Writ Procedure

138. What are your views as to the manner in which writ proceedings under article 226 have been dealt with in your State? Have these proceedings been the cause of congestion and delays in your courts?

134. Do you agree that these proceedings turnish a satisfactory method for the expeditions disposal of important matters? If so, would you extend such a procedure to a wider class of cases? If so, please state the matters to which this procedure could be extended.

## Section F

## (i) Costs

135. Do you agree with the principle that costs awarded to a successful party should be a fair indemnity for the expenses incurred by him in asserting or defending his legal right? If so, do the costs awarded in your State to the successful litigant give him such an indemnity? If not, have you any measures to suggest by which the disparity between the costs awarded to a litigant and the amount he should be entitled to by way of a fair indemnity is eliminated?

## (ii) Court Fees

- 136. Do you agree with the general principle that the vindication of legal rights in the courts of law of the State should be made easy and a nominal court fee only should be charged to the citizen for the vindication of his rights in a court of law?
- 137. Would the introduction of such a principle in this country give rise to a mass of frivolous litigation?
- 138. Are you in favour of the system prevailing in many States under which the system of administration of justice is a source of revenue and profit to the State? Do you approve of the costs of administering justice in criminal courts being made a charge on the civil litigant? Would you relate the scale of fees chargeable in civil cases to the actual cost of the administration of civil justice? If so, what heads of expenses would you include in such costs?
- 139. Would you favour the levy of a single composite court fee at the initiation of the proceedings and the total abolition of such fees at subsequent stages on various items such as certified copies, Government records, applications for adjournments, etc? Would not the abolition of the latter tend to a reduction of the administrative staff and a simplified and a quicker system of administration of justice?
- 140. Would you favour the levy of reduced court fees in first appeals?
- 141. Would you advocate a proportionate refund of the court fees in cases which are settled before the framing of issues?

#### SICTION G

# Legal Representatives and Guardians ad Litem

- 142. Are considerable delays caused in legal proceedings in your state by applications for the appointment of guardians ad litem and bringing on record legal representatives of deceased parties? If so, can you suggest any measures to obviate these delays?
- 143. Would you approve of the plaintiff being permitted to propose in one application names of several persons as possible guardians ad litem so that notices may be issued to all of them simultaneously and the court may appoint a suitable person out of them?

### SECTION H

# Law of Epidence

- 144. Are you of the view that some of the provisions of the Indian Evidence Act tend to cause delay in the disposal of civil and criminal matters? If so, please state the provisions and indicate suitable amendments?
- 145. Would you be in favour of giving summary powers to courts to punish witnesses who have clearly committed perjury in the enquiry before the courts? Would the grant of such powers, in your opinion, help to eradicate perjury or reduce the number of false claims or defences?
- 146. Would you empower the officers presiding over courts to interfere and terminate, if necessary, the examination-in-chief or cross-examination of particular witnesses or to refuse to hear additional oral evidence on particular points?
- 147. Should the provisions of section 90 of the Evidence Act be made applicable to certified copies of documents thirty years old although the copies themselves may not be thirty years old?
- 148. Would you be in favour of relaxing to some extent the present rule against the admission of hearsay evidence? If 20, please indicate the manner and extent to which such relaxation should be made?
- 149. Are delays in the disposal of cases caused by the need for the production of certified copies of public documents?
- 150. Would you be in favour of permitting parties, by consent, to give secondary evidence of such documents in some form in order to obviate such delays?
- 151. It has been said that our law of evidence needs to be modernized. Do you agree? If so, have you any suggestions to make in that direction?

- 152. Are you generally in favour of leaving much larger power than at present in the presiding judge to regulate, shorten and refuse the admission of evidence?
- 153. Should the scope of the provisions relating to judicial notice in section 57 of the Indian Evidence Act be enlarged? If so, to what extent?
- 154. Would you amplify the scope of the roles relating to the admission of secondary evidence? If so, how?

### Section I

Compulsory registration of documents and allied matters

- 155. Would you make the following compulsorily registerable so that they could be effected only by a registered instrument—
  - (a) partition of immovable property in a joint Hindu family,
  - (b) a release by a member of the joint family of his interest in joint family properties,
  - (c) a surrender by a Hindu female in favour of the reversioner,
    - (d) a family arrangement,
    - (e) a grant of maintenance,
    - (f) adoption, and
  - (g) a contractual partnership with a capital exceeding Rs. 500?
- 156. Would you recommend amendment of sections 59 and 123 of the Transfer of Property Act and section 68 of the Evidence Act so as to do away with or modify the requirements as to attestation and proof?
- 157. Would you be in favour of providing that the registration of a document should be presumptive evidence of the execution of, and signature to the, document by the parties thereto?

### SECTION J

# Insolvency Jurisdiction

158. Are you in fayour of the continuance of the **two** differing procedures which at present govern insolvency in the Presidency Towns and outside?

150. Have you any suggestions to make in regard to improvements in the administration of the estates of insolvents? Are there undue delays in such administration? If so, what remedies would you suggest to obviate the delays?

- 160. Are the existing provisions relating to compositions and schemes of arrangement satisfactory? Are these provisions taken advantage of by creditors and insolvents? Have you any alterations to suggest?
- 161. Is the method of appointment of Official Receivers in your State satisfactory? Are these Receivers efficient in the discharge of their duties? Would you be in favour of conferring greater powers on these Receivers?

### SECTION K

## Administrative Bodles

- 162. Having regard to the increasing powers conferred on administrative bodies to decide matters affecting rights of citizens, do you consider that the system followed in the disposal of these matters by the administrative bodies sufficiently safeguards the interests of the citizens?
- 163. Would the rights of the citizen in regard to administrative bodies be sufficiently safeguarding by a provision that the officials within the body itself who hear and decide a matter should be distinct from the officials who investigate and prosecute? Would you be in favour of a legislation laying down standard methods of procedure and other requirements to be followed by all administrative bodies entrusted with powers to deal with the rights of citizens?
- 164. Would you favour the constitution of a body like the Conseil d'east in France or confer similar powers on the High Court?
- 165. What is your opinion about the present tendency of legislation to exclude lawyers from appearing, as of right, before administrative bodies?
- 166. Would you advocate the creation of a permanent body at the Centre and in each State, entrusted with the function of keeping a watch over the progress and development of laws and statutory rules, substantive and procedural, and to suggest revision?
- 167. What suggestions would you offer to render satisfactory, the making and the princulgation of statutory rules?

#### PART II

# CREMENAL LAW AND PROCESSINE

- 168. To what causes, in general, do you attribute the delays in the investigation of offences? What remedies do you advocate to minimise such delays?
- 169. Are the delays in the enquiries into, and the trial of, cognisable offences, due to—
  - (i) insufficient number of courts and prosecuting officers,
  - (it) inability of the Police to produce their witnesses on the due dates?
- 170. To what causes do you attribute the prosecution's failure to produce their witnesses and what remedies do you suggest for eliminating such delays?
- 171. Is the practice of entrusting police officers with the duties of prosecution still prevalent in your State? Should not the prosecuting officers be selected from the legal profession?
- 172. What is your opinion on the proposal to issue pretrial sutomonses in petty offences, stating the nature of the offences and the fine to be imposed and giving the accused the option to plead guilty by registered letter and to remit the amount of fine?
- 173. What measures do you suggest for ensuring that the Police get the help of respectable persons for making panchnamas?
- 174. Is it necessary to maintain the difference in the procedure relating to trial of summons and warrant cases?
- 175. What reforms do you suggest in the procedure relating to summary trials? Are you in tayour of enlarging the list of offences triable summarily?
- 176. Would you agree that in summary trials the procedure prescribed for summons cases alone should be followed and warrant procedure omitted?
- 177. Is there any necessity to retain committal proceedings in any form?
- 198. Would you agree to the proposal that the procedure in section 202 of the Criminal Procedure Code should not be made applicable to complaints under sections 196, 196A, 197 or 476 of the Code?

- 179. Should not the provision in section 256 of the Code allowing the accused at the commencement of the next hearing of the case to state the names of prosecution witnesses he wishes to cross-examine be omitted, and the accused asked to state forthwith the names of such witnesses?
- 180. Is there any objection to the procedure under section 251A of the Code to be made applicable to complaints under section 476?
- 181. Are you in favour of a provision that objections on the ground of want of sanction, misjoinder of charges, etc., should be taken at the earliest opportunity and should not be permitted on appeal unless they have been taken in the court of the first instance?
- 182. Have you any suggestions to make in regard to the provisions as to the framing and joinder of charges in the Code of Criminal Procedure with a view to secure a simpler set of rules, avoidance of pleas based on technicalitic and a larger discretion in the Court?
- 183. Are you in favour of retention of Honorary Magistrates of any class, exercising judicial functions?
- 184. Are you in favour of colarging the revisional jurisdiction of the Sessions Judges so as to enable them to paga-final orders instead of making a reference to the High Court? If so, to what extent?
- 185. Is it necessary to retain the proviso to section 366 of the Code requiring the presiding judge to read out the whole judgment on the request of the prosecution or the defence?
- 186. Do you recommend the extension of the scope of section 561A of the Code of Criminal Procedure to all criminal appellate and revisional courts, to prevent abuse of the process of the Court or to secure the ends of justice?
- 187. What amendments do you suggest to section 27 of the Evidence Act to remove the difficulties in its use and interpretation?
- 188. Do you think that the prescribing of a minimum sentence of imprisonment for offences under several special Acts is sound in principle? Should not the law lay down the maximum penalty leaving it to the Court's discretion to determine the quantum of punishment in each case?
- 189. Are you in favour of making any amendments in Schedule II of the Code of Criminal Procedure so as to exclude certain offences from the list of those exclusively triable by the Court of Session?

- 190. What is your opinion on the working of the system of trial by Jury in your State? Do you advocate its continuance or abolition? Please give your reasons.
- 191. To what extent, if any, would you liberailise the provisions relating to compensation and cestitution? (Sections 250, 517, 545, 546A and 553 of the Code of Criminal Procedure).
- 192. Are you in favour of relaxation of the rule of presumption of importance of the accused in any case? If so, in what class of cases and to what extent?
- 193. Do you agree that the provisions in sub-section (2) of section 510 of the Code of Criminal Procedure confer an unqualified privilege upon the prosecution and the accused to summon the chemical examiner and other officials mentioned therein and that they are likely to cause unreasonable delay in the disposal of Criminal trials?

## APPENDIXII

## List of witnesses examined by the Law Commission

### · Allahabad ·

#### 8-12-1956

- Shri R, N. Seth, Advocate, District Court, Kanpur.
- 2. Shri S. Bhasin, Advocate, District Court, Konpur.
- 3. Shri Munnalal Bhushan, Advocate, District Court, Kanpur.

### 10-12-1956

- Shri R. U. Singh. Professor of Law, Lucknow University, Lucknow.
- Prof. K. K. Bhattacharya, Dean of Faculty, of Law, Allahahad University, Allahabad.
- Shri S. K. Verma, Advocate, C/o Bar Library, High Court, Allohabad.
- Shri Raghunath Sahai, ADM(Judl.), Unnao.

### 11-12-1956

- 8. Shri Sarju Prasad, Advocate, District Court, Banaras.
- 9. Shri P. N. Singh, Advocate, District Court, Banaras.
- 10.*Shri S. N. M. Tripathi, Deputy Commissioner, Lucknow.
- 11. Shri J. N. Raina, District Mogistrate, Allahabad.

#### 12-12-1958

- 12, Shri K, P. Mathur, Registrar, Allahabad High Court.
- 13 Shri A. P. Paodey, Advocate, High Court, Allahabad.
- Shri Chatak, representing Advocates Association.

#### 13-12-1956

- Shri Prem Prakash, State Judicial Service, Allahabad.
- Hon'ble Mr. Justice Randhir Singh, Aliahabad High Court, L.B., Lucknow.
- Shri Sri Ram, Deputy Government Advocate, High Court, Allahabad.

#### 14-12-1956

- Shri A. N. Jha, Chief Secretary to the U.P. Government, Lucknow,
- Shri M. G. Kaul, Home Secretary to the Government of U.P., Lucknow.

#### 1265

- Shri Girish Chandra, Judicial Secretary to the Government of U.P., Lucknow.
- Shri R. N. Sharma, Jt. Secretary, Judicial Department, Government of U.P., Lucknow.
- 22, Shri Shanti Bhushan.
- 23. Shri Sudersan Dayat Agarwal.
- 24. Shri H. S. Pathak.
- 25. Shri Amitav Banerji.
- 26. Shri Satyendra Nath Verma.
- 27. Shri Rajesh G. Verma.
- 28. Shri Gopikrishna Sahai.
- 29, Shri Vijay Kumar Barman.
- Shri Behariji Das.
- 31. Shri N. C. Upadhya.

## 15-12-1956

- Hon'ble Mr. Justice V. G. Oak, I.C.S., Allahabad High Court, Allahabad.
- 33. Hon'ble Mr. Justice B. R. James, I.C.S., Allahabad High Court, Allahabad.

### 17-12-1956

- 34. Shri Rai Rajashwar Pershad, Advocate, Gorakhpur.
- 35, Shri Gopal Behari, Advocate, Allahabad High Court, Allahabad.
- 36. Shri K. I., Misra, Advocate General, U.P., Allahabad.

#### 18-12-1958

- Shri A, Sanyal, Advocate, High Court, Allahabad.
- 38. Shri Janki Prasad, Advocate, High Court, Allahabad.
- Shri Brij Bhan Kishore, Board of Revenue Bar Association, C/o

   Board of Revenue, Lucknow.

#### 19-12-1956

- 40. Shri M. S. Mathur, Inspector General of Police, U.P., Lucknow.
- 41. Shri S. C. Misra, D.I.G. of Police, Lucknow.
- 42. Shri P. C. Chatturvedl, Advocate, Allahabad.
- 43. Shri O. N. Agarwal, Advocate, District Court, Barcilly.
- 44. Shri K. N. Kankan, Advocate, District Court, Bareilly.

#### 20-12-1956

- Shri Kailash Behari Mathur, District Government Counsel, Farrukhabad, U.P.
- 46. Shri G. S. Chooramani, Joint Director, Panchayat, U.F.
- 47. Shri S. N. Mulla.

- 48. Shri Mirza Hameedulla Beg.
- 49. Shri C. S. Savan, Editor, I.L.R., Allahabad.
- Shri S. N. Agarwala, Editor, Allahabad Taw Journal Reports, Allahabad.

#### 21-12-1958

- 51. Shri Shiv Naik Singh Ukil, Banaras.
- 52. Shri G. C. Chatterji, Advocate, Lucknow.
- 53. Shri S. S. Dhawan, Bar-at-Law, Allahahad.
- 54. Shri B. K. Dhaon, Advocate, High Court, Lucknow.
- 55. Shri S. C. Das, Advocate, High Court, Lucknow.

## Ernakulam

#### 7-1-1957

- Shri V. K. K. Menon, Bar-at-Law. Advocate, Advocates' Association, Ernakulam.
- Shri T. N. Subramunio Iyer, Advocate, Advocates' Association, Ernakulam.
- 3. Shri G. B. Pai, Representative of the Bar Council, Ernakulam.
- 4. Shri Ramaiya, Ernakulam Bar Association.

#### 8-1-1957

- Shri T, S. Venkateswara Iyer, Bar Association, Ernakulam.
- Shri V. Permeswara Menon, Bar Association, Ernakulam.

Advocates.

- Shri T. P. Faulose, Government Pleader, District Court of Anjikaimal, Ernakulam.
- 8. Shri K. V. Varkey, Advocate, Bar Association, Haripad.
- 9. Shri R. Narayana Iyer, Pleader, Bar Association, Haripad.

- Shri P. T. Raman Nair, I.C.S., District Judge on Spl. Duty, Law Department, Trivandrum,
- Shri T. R. Balakrishna Iyer, Sceretary to Government of Kerala, Law Department, Trivandrum.
- Shri Rama Verma Thampuran, Rtd., Chairman of the Public Service Commission, Trivandrum.
- 13. Shri A. K. Vasudevan, Sub-Judge, Parur.
- 14. Shri K. S. Manikkam, Dist, Munsif, Trichur,
- Shri M. V. Abraham, Registrar of Village Courts, Cochin.

## 10-1-1957

- 16. Shri Govind Menon, Ex-Chief Minister of Travancore-Cochin.
- Shri Mathew P. Muricken. Advocate General of Kerala, Ernakulam.
- Mrs, Anna Chandy, Dist. & Sessions Judge, Kottayam.
- 19. Shri T. Sivarama Menon, Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Alleppey.
- 20. Shri P. V. Govindoswamy lyer, Sub-Magistrate, Trivandrum.
- 21, Shri V. N. Subramania Iyer, Principal Law College, Quilon,
- Hon'ble Mr Justice T. K. Joseph, Kerala High Court, Ernakulam.
- 25, Shri N. Bhagawan Nair, Advocate, Trivandrum.
- Shri R. Narayana Pillay, Advocate and Senior Covt. Pleader, . Trivandrum.

#### 11-1-1957

- 25. Shri K. S. Narayana Iyer, Dist. & Seasions Judge, Quilon.
- 26. Shri P. Muhammad Kunju, Dist. Magistrate (Judi.), Quilon.
- Hon'ble Mr. Justice K. T. Kushi, Chief Justice, Kerala High Court, Ernakulam.
- 28. Hon'ble Mr. Justice K. Sankaran, Kerala High Court, Ernakulam,

# Bangalore

## 14-1-1957

- 1. Shri D. Ramaswamy Iyengar, Registrar, High Court, Bangalore.
- 2. Shri N. S. Krishnamurthi, Civil Judge & Dist. Magistrate, Bellary.
- 3. Shri A. Panchakashariah. City Magistrate, Bangalore.
- Shri B. Gopaliah, Asst. Law Secretary, Govt. of Mysore, Bangalore.

#### 15-1-1957

- Shri M. Ramachandra Rao, Advocate, President, Legal Aid Society, Bangalore.
- 6. Shri N. R. Gopalakrishna, District Judge, Coorg.
- Shri K, R. Karanath, Advocate, Bangalore.
- Shri M. P. Somasekhara Rao, Advocate, Bangalore.
- 9. Shri P. S. Devados, Advocate, Bangalore.

- Shei G. R. Ethirajulu Naidu, Advocate General of Mysore, Bangalore.
- Shri V. K. Gavinda Rajolo, Govt. Pleader, Bangalore.
- Shri M. Sadasvayya, Sery. to the Govt. of Mysore, Law Deptt., Bangalore.
- Shri R. Vasuriso, Addl. Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore, Law Department Hangalore.

- Shri K, Jaggannatha Shetty,
- 15. Shri R. P. Hiremust.
- Shri S, Shamanna.
   Shri A, K, Puranik.

Bangelore Bar Association.

- Shri A. V. Shankar Rao, President, Civil Station Bar Association. Bangalore,
- Shri Deshpande, Fleader, Gurberga.

#### 17-1-1957

- Shri P. Shivashankar, Principal Law College, Bangalore.
- 21. Shri M. A. Gopalaswamy iyengar, Protessor, Law College, Bangolore.
- Shri Iquha! Hussain, Professor, Law College, Bangalore.
- Shri R. B. Naik, Civil Judge, Junior Division, Dharwar.
- Shri M, Narasimhachar, Bangalore.
- 25. Shri P. Kodenda Rao, President, Servants of India Society, Bangalore.
- Shri V. P. Majumdar, Vice President, Bar Association, Belgaum.
- 27. Shri Samasekhara Rao, President, Bar Association, Bangalore,

#### 18-1-1957

- 28. Shri P. K. Monappa, LP.S., Inspector-General of Police, Bangalore,
- Shri S, Nijalingappa, Chief Minister, Mysore State.
- Shri M. V. Rama Rao, Law Minister, Mysore State.
- 31. Shri P. Medappa, Retd. Chief Justice, Mysore.
- 32. Hon'ble Mr. Justice R. Venkataramaiya, Chief Justice of Mysore High Court, Bangalore.

#### 19-1-1957

- Shai T. K. Tukai, Dist. Judge, Dharwar.
- Shvi K. Rama Rao, Public Prosecutor, Bellary.
- 35. Shri H. Gowda, Chairman Public Service Commission. Bangalore.

#### Madras

- Shri T. M. Krishnaswami Afyar, President, Advocates' Association, Madtas.
- Sbri V. L. Ethiraj, President, Bar Association, Madras.
- 3. Shri K. Rajah Aiyar, President, Madrea State Bar Association.

- Shri V. Jayarama Ayyar, President, Bar Association, Tirachirapalli.
- Shri T. R. Sundaram Pillai, Public Presecutor, Coimbatore.
- 6. Shri K. Bashyam, Advocate, Madras.
- Shri B. V. Vishwanatha Iyer, Secy. Röle Committee, Madras.

#### 22 - 1 - 1957

- 8. Shri C. V. Srinivasachari, President, Bar Association, Chidana-baram.
- 9. Shri K. Nagarajan, President, Bar Association, Pudukkotai
- Shri S. Varodachariar, Retired Judge of the Federal Court.
- 11. Shri M, Patanjali Sastri, Retired Chief Justice of India, Madras.
- 12. Shri J. S. Athanasius, Public Prosecutor, Madraz City.
- Shri N. Nagaraja Rao, Asst. Public Prosecutor, Tiruchirapalli.
- Shri P. S. Subramania Pillay, Public Prosecutor, Tironglyeili.

# 23-1-1957

- Shri P. Venkataramana Rao Nayudu, Retired Chief Justice of Mysore, Madras.
- Shri M. Anantanarayanan, I.C.S., Director of Legal Studies, Madras, and at present performing functions of Principal, Law College.
- Mr. V. C. Gopalarethnam, Advocate, Madras High Court, Original Side.
- Mr. V. Thiagarajan, Advocate, Madras High Court, Original Side.
- Shri V. R. Rangaswamy iyengar, Advocate, Madras.
- 20. Shri C. D. Venkataraman, District Magistrate, Tiruchirapalli,
- 21. Shri R. Sadasivam, District Magistrate, Vellore.
- 22. Shri F. Jagannothan. Advocate (Criminal Side).
- 23. Shri Askar Ali, Advocate (Criminal Side).

- Shri Gnanasundra Mudaliar, Chairman, Public Service Commission, Madras,
- Shri J. M. Lobo Probhu, I.C.S., Home Secretary, Madras.
- Shri A. Alagiriswami, Law Secretary, Madras.
- 27. Shri G. Vasanth Pai. High Court Advocates.
- 29. Shri Venkataswamy.
- Shri K. V. Venkatasubramama Ayyar, Advocate, Madras.
- 31. Shej V. R. Rajaratnám, I.P., Inspector General of Police, Madras.
- 32. Shri F. V. Arul, I.P., Commissioner of Police, Madras.

- 33. Shri Gajapati Nayagar. 👆 Office bearers
- 34. Shri A. Sattanathan. f of Tamil Akademy.
- 35. Shri Narayanan. ] Junior members of the Bar.

36. Shri Vedantam Srinivasan and others.

## 25-1-1957

- 37. Dr. C. P. Ramaswami Aiyar, Madras.
- Shri P. Sharfuddin, Chief Judge (Retd.), Small Cause Court, Madras.
- Shri S. A. Ayyasami Chetty, Chief Presidency Magistrate, Madras.
- 40 Shri P. Ramakrishna Ayyar, I.C.S., Chairman, Sales Tax Appellate Tribunel, Madras.
- Shri C. Kadarvelu, Regional Inspector of Municipal Council and Local Board, Chingleput, and Shri Mudaliar.
- Shri Veera Raghavan, Secretary Bar Council, Madras and also Secretary of the Madras State Bar Federation.
- 43. Hon'ble Mr. Justice Somasundaram, Judge, High Court, Madras.
- Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ramsswamy Gounder, Judge. High Court, Madras.
- 45. Shri P. Venugopol, Suh-divisional Magistrate, Tuticorin.
- 46. Shri S. Subbanna Shetty, District Munsif, Coimbatore.
- 47. Shri C. A. Vaidyalingart, Goyt, Pleader, Madras.
- 48. Shri S, Palaniswamy, Government Pleader, Mudurai,
- 49. Shri Chakramaka), Advocate, Madras.

#### 25-1-1957

- Shri K. Chandrasekaran, Editor, Indian Law Reports, Madras.
- 51. Shri W. N. Ramaratham, Editor, Madras Law Journals, Madras.
- 52. Shri V. C. Sri Kumar, Publisher of the Law Weekly, Madras,
- 58, Shri M. K. Namhiar, Advocate, Madras.
- , 54. Shri S. Mohan Kumaramangalam, Advocate, Madras,
  - .55. Hon'ble Mr. Justice A. S. P. lyer, Judge, High Court, Madras.

# Hyderabad

- Shri Vivekanandamurthy, Chairman, Andhra State Public Service Commission, Hyderabad.
- Shri P, Somasundaram, Advocate, High Count, Hyderobad
- 3. Shri N. S. Raghavan, Advocate, Hyderabad-
- Shri Mohamed Mirzo, Public Prosecutor & Govt. Advocate, Hyderabad.

#### 1272

- 5. Shri Rajaram Iyer, Advocate, High Court, Hyderabed.
- 6. Shri Anjani Kumor, Second Class Magistrate, Judicial, Eluru.
- 7. Shri Tej Rai Kapcor.

8. Shri Szíramum.

Junior Members of the Bar at Hyderabad

# 29-1-19**57**

- Shri V. K. Vaidya, Bar Association, Hyderabad.
- Shri G. C. V. Subba Rao, Principal, Law College, Osmania University, Hyderabad.
- Shri Narahari Rao.
- Smt. Sarala Devi. Advocates High Court,
- 13. Miss Amina Nagvi. 🔰 Hyderabac
- 14, Shri V. Padmanabhan, Advocate, Eluru, West Godavari District.
- Dr. V. V. Chowdari, Editor, Indian Law Reports, Andhra Series High Court, Hydersbad.
- Shri M. Seshachalapathy, Govt. Pleader, Hyderabad.
- Hon'ble Mr. Justice Chandra Reddy, Judge, High Court, Hyderabad.
- Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umamaheswaram, Judge, Andhra High Court, Hyderabad.

#### 30-1-1957

- Shri D, Munikannaya, Public Prosecutor, Andhra Pradesh.
- Shri Nambiar, I.P.S., Inspector General of Police, Andhra Pradesh.
- Shri Shiv Kumar Lal, LP.S.
- Shri C. Rangaswamy Iyengar, Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad.
- Shri P. Basi Reddy, Advocate, High Court, Andhra.
- Shri B, Rajabhushana Rao; Advocate,
- Shri G. V. Raghavayya, Advocate, High Court, Hyderabad.
- 26. Shri Ramachandra Rao, District Munsif, Tennali.
- 27. Hon'hle Mr. Justice Bhimsankaran, Judge, High Court, Andhra Pradesh.

- Shri O. Pulla Reddy, I.C.S., Chief Secy, to the Government of Andbra.
- Shri H. Ananta Narayana Ayyar, I.C.S., Secretary to the Government, Law Department, Hyderabad.
- 30. Shri N. Ramasen, I.A.S., Secretary to the Chief Minister, Andhra Pradesh.

- Shri V. V. Subrahmanyan, I.C.S., Third Member, Board of Revenue, Andhra Pradosh.
- Shri Gopolcae Ekbote, ex-Minister for Education, Hyderabad.
- Shri Tarachand Gupta, Chief Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad.
- Shri V. Vedantechari, Advocate, High Court of Andhra, Hyderabad.
- 35. Shri E. Venkatesam, Advocate, High Court of Andhra, Hyderabad.
- 36. Hon'ble Mr. Justice N. D. Krishna Rao, I.C.S., Judge, Andhra Pradesh High Court.

## 1-2-1957

- Shri T. Krishnamtuo, District & Sessions Judge, Veshakapatham.
- Shri D. R. Venkatesa Iyer, Special Judge. Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings, Guntur.
- 39. Shri Pola Reddy, District & Sessions Judge, Vishakapatnam.
- 40. Shri D. Krishna, President, Apprentices Association.
- 41. Shri M. Balasubrahmanyam, Secretary, Apprentices Association.
- Shri Lakkaraju Subbarao, Advocate, Coconada, East Godavari District.
- 43, Shri Ramamurthy, Sub-judge, Rajaroundhry.
- 44. Shri Y, Venkateswar Rao, Principal Sub-Judge, Vijayawada.

#### Delhi

#### 22-2-1957

 Hon'ble Mr. Justice N. H. Bhagwati, Judge, Supreme Court of India.

#### 23-2-1957

- Hon'ble Mr. Justice S. R. Das, Chief Justice, Supreme Court of India.
- Hon'ble Mr. Justice Syed Jafer Imam, Judge, Supreme Court of India.
- Hon'ble Mr. Justice B. P. Sinha, Judge, Supreme Court of India.
- Shri L. R. Shiva Subramaniam, Dean, Faculty of Law, Delhi-University.
- 6. Shri A. A. A. Fyzee, Member, U.P.S.C.

#### 24-7-1957

- 7. Shri S. C. Isaacs, Advocate, Supreme Court, New Delhi.
- 8, Shri Ved Vyas, Advocate, Supreme Court, New Delhi.
- Shri A. V. Viswanath Saziry, Advocate, Supreme Court, New Delhi.
- 10. Shri Sant Singh, Advocate, Supreme Court, New Delhi.

# Calcutta

# 27**-3-19**57

- Shri N. C. Chakraborthy, Senior Government Pleader, Calcutta.
- Shri S. K. Basu, Advocate, Bar Association, High Court.
- 3. Shri P. K. Roy, Advocate, Bar Association, High Court, Calcutta.

## 28 - 3 - 1957

- Shri I. P. Mukherjee, Bar-at-Law, Library Club, High Court.
- Shri S. D. Bannerjee, Bar-at-Law, Bar Library Club, High Court.
- Shri D. N. Mookerjee, President, Bar Association, Alipore.
- Shri B. N. Bhattacharjee, Vice-President, Bar Association, Alipore.
- 8. Shrl H. N. Sircar, Inspector-General of Police, West Bengal.
- Shri H. S. Ghose Chowdbury, Commissioner of Police, Calcutta.
- Shri B. C. Ghose, Principal Judge, City Civil Court, Calcutta.

#### 29-3-1957

- Shri N. L. Som, District Judge, 24-Paraganas.
- Shri S. K. Nyogi, District Judge, Burdwan.
- Shri P. C. Ghose, Public Prosecutor, 24-Paraganas.
- Shri S. S. Mukerjee, Advocate, High Court.
- Shri A. K. Sen, Bar-at-Low.
- Shri A. C. Ganguli, Bar-st-Law, Chief Reporter & Editor, ILR Series, Calcutta.

# 30-3-1957

- Hon'ble Mr. Justice S. K. Sen, Judge, High Court, Calcutta.
- 18. Hon'hle Mr. Justice P. K. Sarkar, Judge, High Court, Calcutta.

## 1-4-1957

- Shri S. K. Bhattacharya, Munsif, Howrah.
- Shri R. C. Hazra.
- Shri S. N. Chaudhuri.
- 22. Shri N. C. Mitza.

Incorporated Law

Society, High Court, Calcutta.

- 23. Shri K. P. Makherji, Judge, City Civil Court. (Formerly Chief Judge, Court of Small Causes, Calcutta.)
- Shri S. P. Ghose, Judge, Court of Small Causes, Sealdaff.

#### 2-4-1957

- 25. Shri Mallinath Mukherjee, Chief Presidency Magistrate.
- Shri S, C, Sarkar, Commentator.

- 27. Shri S. N. Ray, I.C.S., Chief Secy, to the Govt, of West Bengal.
- Shri H. C. Mazumdar, Lawyers Association, Chief Presidency Magistrate's Court, Calcutta.
- Shri K. C. Gupta, Presidency Magistrate's Court Bar Association, Calcutta.
- Shri S. C. Goswami, Representative of the Small Cause Court Bar Association, Calcutta.
- 31 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Randhir Singh Bachawat, Judge, Calcutta High Court.
- 32. Hon'ble Mr. Justice G. K. Mitter, Judge, Calcutta High Court.

## 3-4-1957

- 33. Shri Samarendra Banerjee, Bar Association, Bankura.
- 34. Shri N. R. Ghose, Bar Association, Jaloaigeri.

## 4-4-1957

- 35. Shri N. Sanyal, Secretary, Legal Aid Society, Calcutta.
- 36. Shri B. L. Sarkar, Judge, City Civil Court, Calcutta.
- Shri N. C. Das, Government Pleader, Howrah.
- 38. Shri B. R. Gupta, I.A.S., District Magistrate, 24-Paragenas.
- Shri K, K, Maitra, Assistant Secy., Democratic Lawyers' Association, Calcutta.
- Shri A. P. Chatterji, Joint Secretary, Democratic Lawyers' Association, Calcutts.
- Shri B. N. Das, Member, Democratic Lawyers' Association, Calcutta.
- Shri J. N. Mallick, Sub-Judge & Assistant Sessions Judge, Howrah.
- 43. Shri A. B. Shyam, Sub-Judge, 24-Paraganas,

## Cattacic

## 5-4-1957

- 1. Shri Somnath Misro, District Magistrate, Korap.
- 2. Shri B. G. Rao Patnaik, i.A.S., Board of Revenue, Sambalpur.
- 3. Shri S. K. Mahanty, Government Pleader, Cuttack.
- 4. Shri G. B. Misra, Law Secretary.
- Shri P. N. Mahanty, Home Secretary.
- Shri B. Roy, Inspector General of Police, Orissa.

#### 6 - 4 - 1957

- Shri D, V. Narasaingha Rao, Advocate, Berhampore (Ganjam).
- Shri L. K. Das Gupta, Advocate, Cuttack.
- Shri H. Mahapatra, Advocate, Cuttack.
- 10. Shri M. S. Rao, Advocate, Chief Editor of Cuttack Law Times.
- 11. Shri S. N. Das Gupta, Advocate, High Court, Cuttack.

## 7-4-1957

- 12. Shri J. K. Misra, District & Sessions Judge, Sambalpur.
- 13. Shri K. K. Bose, Subordinate Judge, Pori.
- Shri K, S, Murthy, Professor of Law. M. S. Law College, Cuttack.

# Gardenti i

#### 10-4-1957

- Shri B. C. Borna, Dist. & Sessions Judge, LAD, Gaubati.
- Shri C. Lyngodh, Addl. Judge & Judicial Officer, K. & J. Hills, Shillong.
- 3. Shri Dinesh Chandra Datt, I.G. of Police.
- 4. Shri B. C. Dutta, Registrar, Assam High Court.
- 5. Shri M. K. Barkataki, Registrar, Assam High Court.
- 6. Shri D. N. Medhi, Senior Govt. Advocate, Assam.
- Shri Chandranath Sarma, Government Pleader & Public Prosecutor.

#### 11-4-1957

- 8. Shri S. K. Dutta, ICS, Chief Secy, to Govt. of Assam.
- 9, Dr. J. C. Madhi, Secretary & Legal Remembrancer, Assam.
- Shri A. Ahmad, Deputy Commissioner, Kamrup.
- 11. Shri S. Sarkor, Additional District Magistrate, Kamrup.
- 11A. Shri S. J. Das, A. M., Gauhati,
- 12. Shri F. Ali Ahmed, Har-at-Law, High Court, Assam
- Shri Bohta, Principal, Law College, Gauhati.
- Shri P. N. Das, Advocate, Editor, I.J.R., Assam Series, Gauhati.
- 15. Shri Gunjanan Barua. Advocate, Joshat.
- Shri Nagendra Nath Syam, Advocate, Silchar.
- 17. Shri Parbati Kumar Goswami, Advocate, Dibrugarh.

# Bombay

# 15-7-1957

- Shri V A, Naik, District Judge, Poona, & Ex-Registrar, High Court.
- 2. Shri S. A. Sudholkar, Advocate, Baroda.
- Shri S. C. Patwardhan, Advocate, High Court, Bombay.
- 4. Shri E. B. Ghaswalla, LL.B., Advocate (O.S.), Bombay.
- 5. Shri II. M. Seervai, Advocate-General, Bombay.

#### 16-7-1957

 Shri T. T. Barodawala, Chief Judge, Small Cause Court, Bumbay.

# 1377

- 7. Shri K. J. Khambata, Chief Presidency Magistrate, Bombay.
- 8. Shri G. K. Rege, Chief Presidency Magistrate, Bombay.
- 9. Shri M. L. Maneksha, Bar-at-Law, High Court, Bombay.

## 17-7-1937

(Contd.) Shri Maneksha-

- 10. Shri Dhirajlal, Editor, Bombay Law Reporter.
- 11. Shri N. B. Chandurkar, Editor, Nagpur Law Journal.
- 12. Shri S. V. Gupte, Editor, LL.R. Bombay.
- Shri V. V. Chitaley, Editor, All India Reporter, Nagpur.
- 14. Shri V. S. Desai, Judge, Small Cause Court, Poona.
- 15. Shri R. B. Mehta, Principal Judge, City Civil Court. Bombay.
- 16. Shri Shanti Lai Shale
- 17. Shri N. S. Sethna.
- Sari R. A. Gagnat.
- Shri N. B. Mudgurker.

Incorporated Law Success, Bombay.

20. Shri P. V. Pakwasa,

- 31. Shri P. S. Malvankar, Joint Civil Judge, Junior Division, Poona.
- 22. Shri M. P. Amin, Iormer Advocate-General, Bombay.

#### 18-7-1957

- Shri J. R. Dhurandhar, Hon'y Legal Advisor to Govt, Legal Deptt., Sachivalaya, Bumbay.
- Shri M. M. Chudasanta, I.P., Inspector General of Police. State of Bombay, Poons.
- Shri M. G. Wagh, LP.: Deputy Director of the Intelligence Bureau, Bombay.
- Shti E. S. Modak, I.P., Deputy Inspector General of Police, Anti-Corruption and Prohibition Intelligence, Bombay.
- Shri S. S. More, M.P., Advocate, Bombay High Court.
- Shri Alva, Retired Judge of the City Civil Court.
- 29, Shri J. R. Gharure, Ex-Principal, Law College, Poons.
- 30. Sari K. P. Mehta, Principal, Govt. Law College, Bombay.
- 31. Messes, Pandys and Untifi of the Legal Aid Society, Bombay.
- 32. Shri M. R. Paipta.
- Shri B. J. Kapadia.
- 34. Shri H. K. Shah.
- 35. Shrl D. S. Parikh.

Junior Members of the Bar.

#### 19-7-1957

- 36. Shri L. M. Paranjpe, District Judge, Nasik.
- 37. Shvi B. H. Desai, Advocate, Ahmedahad.
- 38. Shri K. S. Cooper.
- Shri M. R. Modi, Government Pleader, City Civil Court, Hombay.
- 40. Shri H. R. Gokhale, Advocate, High Court, Bombay.
- 41. Shri H. H. Trivedi, Chief Panchayat Officer, Bombay State, Bombay.
- Shri D. V. Patel, President, Western India Advocates Association, Bombay.
- 43. Shri H. M. Choksi, Government Pleader, High Court, Bombay.

# Jodhpur

## 31-7-1957

- 1. Shri Amrit Raj Mehta, Advocate, Jodhpur.
- Shti Kan Singh, Advocate, Jodhpur.
- Shri Hastimal Parakh, Advocate, Jodhpur.
- 4. Shri O. C. Chaterji, Advocate, Jaipur,
- 5. Shri R. K. Sharma, Civil Judge, Jodhpur.
- Shri Laxmi Mul Singhvi, Advocate, Jodhpur.
- 7. Shri G. C. Kasliwal, Advocate-General of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
- 8. Shri Nand Lal Mathur, Distt, & Sessions Judge, Kotah,
- Shri Sumerchand Bhandari, Editor, I.L.R. Rajasthan Series, Jodhpur.
- Shri Dharam Veer Kalia, Editor, Rajasthan Law Weekly, Jodhpur.

# 1-8-1957

- 11. Shri L. N. Changani, Govt. Advocate, Jodhpur.
- Shri Bimolacharan Chatterji, Asst. Govt. Advocate, High Court Bench, Jaipur.
- Shri Umed Singh, Advocate, Bali.
- 14, Shri Ranjitmal, Advocate, Bali.
- Shri Gopal Mal Mehta, Acting District Judge, Jodhpur.
- 16. Shri Roop Singh, Judge, Small Cause Court, Jodhpur.
- Shri Devi Shankar Tewari, Chairman, Public Service Commission, Rajasthan.
- 18. Shri K. R. R. Sastry, Principal, University Law College, Jaipur,
- 19. Shri R. C. Bhandari, Junior Advocate, High Court, Rajasthan, Jodhpur.

# **2-8**-1957

- 20, Shri S. L. Kakar, District Magistrate, Ajmer.
- 21. Shri R. P. Mody, Advocate, Dholpur.

- 22. Shri Madan Lal Vyas, Munsif, Jaipur West.
- 23. Shri Karan Singh, R.A.S., City Magistrate, Ajmer.
- 24. Shri Makhtoormal Singhvi, Advocate, Jodhpur.
- 25. Shri Murlimanohar Vyas, Advocate, Jodhpur.
- Shri P. D. Loiwal, R.H.J.S. Secretary to Govt. and Legal Remembrancer, Judicial Department, Jaipur.
- 27. Shri Hari Singh, Chief Panchayat Officer of Rajasthan, Jaipur-

# Chandigarh

#### 5-8-1967

- 1. Shri A. N. Bhanot, Senior Sub-Judge, Ludhiana.
- Shri H. B. Lal, I.A.S., District Magistrate, Amritsar.
- Shri F. C. Mittal, Advocate, Chandigarh.
- Shri R. P. Khosla, Advocate, Chandigarh.
- Shri Harbons Slegh, Distt. and Sessions Judge, Rohtak (now at Delhi).
- Shri Waryam Singh, Addl. District Magistrate, Simla.
- Shri S. S. Nehro, Advocate, Ambala.

# 6-6-1957

- Shri Shamsher Bahadur, Bar-at-Law, Legal Remembrancer and Secretary to the Govt. of Punjab, Legislative Department, Chandigarh, Punjab.
- 9. Shri K. S. Chawla, Assistant Advocate-General, Punjab, Chandigarh.
- Shri M, S, Pannu, Legal Adviser-cum-Asstt. Advocate Generalin Anti-Corruption Deptt., Punjab Govt., Chandigarh.
- 11. Shri II. R. Mehta, Professor, Law College, Jullundur,
- Shri Waryam Singh, I.P., Inspector General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarb.
- 13. Shri D. K. Mahajan, LL.R. (Punjab Series), Chandigarh,
- Shri Anand Mohan Suri, Editor, Punjab Law Reports, Chandigarh.
- Shri Bhagat Ram Sharma, Chairman, Public Service Commission.
- Shri R. B. Har Parshad, Chalrman, Bar Council of the Punjab-High Court, Chandigarh.
- Shri D. N. Aggarwal, Member, Bar Council.

#### 7-8-1957

- Shri Kunj Behari Srivastava, Judl. Sccretary & Legal Remembrancer to Govt. of Himachal Pradesh.
- Shri Tej Singh Vaidy, Distt. & Sessions Judge, Mahasu, Sirmur & Bilaspur Districts.
- 20. Shri Chandu Lal, Advocate, Sirola.

- Shri Hishan Singh, Advocate, Nahan.
- Sarvashri Lakhanpal and Manmohan Singh, Advocates, High Court, Chandigarh.
- Shri Anend Swarup Gupta, I.P., Inspector General of Police, Himachal Pradesh.
- 24. Shri Chet Ram, Senior Sub Judge, Mahesu, Simla. .
- 25. Shri A. C. Mehta, Advocate, Simla.
- Shri Shamir Chand, Ber-st-Law, Chandigam.

# 8-8-1957

- Shri Nakul Sen. I.C.S., Chief Secretary to the Govt, of Punjab, Chandigarh.
- 28. Shri S. N. Vasudeva, Director of Panchayats, Punjab.
- 29. Shri J. N. Kaussi, Advocate, High Court, Chandigarh.
- Shri Kashmir Singh Sidhu, Subordinate Judge-cum-Magistrate, Sangrar.
- 31. Shri K. S. Nagra, Advocate, Patiola.
- Shri K, L. Jagga, Advocate, Chandigath.

# Jabalpur

# 18-11-1957

- Shri M. A. Razzaque, Distt. & Sessions Judge, Japalpur.
- Shri S. C. Dube, Advocate, Jahalpur.
- Shri Abdul Razak, Advocate, Jabalpur.
- Shri J. E. Verma, Advacare, Damoh.
- Shri P. Lobo, Advocate, Jahalpur,
- Kumari Rama Gupta, Advocate, Jabalpur.
- Shri R. S. Dabir, Advocate, Jabalpur.
- 8. Shri S. C. Upadhaya, Advocate, Jabalpur,

# 19-11-7937

- 9. Shri S. B. Sen, Government Advocate, Jabalpur.
- Shri M. C. Nihalani; Public Prosecutor, Bhopal.
- Shri Bhagwan Das Gupta, Advocate, Gwalinr.
- 12. Shri N. C. Dwivedi, U.S. Law Depth, Govt. of M.P.
- Shri S. P. Hakim, Deputy Registrar, High Court of M.P., Jabelpur.
- Shri B. L. Seth, Advocate, Dean, Faculty of Law University, Teaching Department, Jahalpur.
- Shri Y. P. Verma, Bar-at-Law, Jahabput.

# 20-11-1957

- Shri B, G, Ghate, Inspector General of Police, Bhopal.
- Shri N. L. Mukherji, Advocate, Jabalpur.

- 18. Shri Rajinder Singh, Advente, Jehalpur.
- 19. Shri R. L. Sharma, Advocate, Raipur.
- 20, Shri N. L. Shrivastava, Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Rewa.
  - Shri Navneet Lal Ojha, President, Bar Association, Retlam.
- 22. Shri P. R. Padhye, Advocate, Editor, I.L.R., Jabalpur.
- 23, Shri J. A. Khare, Junior Advocate, Jabalpur.
- 24. Shri J. M. Sood, Junior Advocate, Jabalpur.
- 25. Hon ble Mr. Justice Shrivastava, Judge, High Court, Jabalpur

#### 21-11-1957

- 26. Shri B. N. Saxena, Civil Judge, Bhopal,
- 27. The President, Bar Association, Raigarh.
- 28. Shri S. S. Pandey, Member, Public Service Commission.
- 29. Shr. Moti Singh Songar, Divisional Welfare Officer, Jahalpur.
- Chief Justice of Medhya Pradesh (The Hon'ble Mr. Justice, Hidaystulla).

#### Patna

# 25-11-1957

- 1. Shri Balmiki Pd. Sinha, Addl. Judge, Muzzuffarpur,
- 2. Shri T. P. Choudhury, Addl. Subordinate Judge, Patna.
- 3. Shri A. N. M. Q. Khan, Subordinate Judge, Purnea.
- Shri S. Bhaddin Ahmad, Subordinate Judge, Arrah.
- Shri V. C. De, Advocate, Patna.
- 6. Shri N. Ojha, Additional Munsif, Gays.
- 7. Shri Nazir Ahmad, Munsiff, Banka.
- 8. Shri F. Paul, Munsiff-Magistrate, Bihar Sheriff.
- 9. Shri Baldev Sahai, Advocate, Kadamkuain, Patno-3.

## 26-11-1957

- Shri B. N. Basu, I.A.S., District Magistrate, Patna.
- 11. Shri A. Haseeh, Addl. Collector, Purnea.
- Shri Adriya Narain Lal, Advocate, Editor, Patna Law Report, Patna.
- Shri Syed Hussain, Editor, Indian Lal Report Series, C/o The Registrar, High Court, Patna.
- Shri S. N. Sahai, Bar-at-Law, Patna.
- 15 Shri M. K. Sinha, I.P., Inspector General of Police.
- Shri H. R. Kazmi, Dist. Judge, Darbhanga.
- 17. Shri C. D. Towari, Judicial Magistrate, Palna.
- 18. Shri S. N. Verma, Judicial Magistrate, Dinapore,
- 19 Shri Sachidanand, District Judge, Shahabad,
- 20. Shri Anant Singh, Registrar, Patna High Court, Patna.

# 27-11-1957

- Shri S. C. Prasad, Secretary to the Govt., Law. Department, Patna.
- 22. Shri M. S. Rao, I.C.S., Chief Secy., to the Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
- Shri S. V. Sohoni, I.C.S., Commissioner, Patna Division.
- 24. Shri B. K. Sinha, Principal, Law College, Ranchi.
- 25. Shri Kishori Narain, Principal, S. K. Law College, Muzaffarpur,
- 26. Shri V. V. Deshpanda, Principal, Patna Law College, Patna.
- Sir Şultan Ahmed, Patna.
- 28. Shri Venkatesh Narain, Director, Gram Panchayats, Patna.
- 29. Shri Nageshwar Prasad, Advoçate, Civil Court, Patna.
- 30. Shri H. B. Roy, Advocate, President Legal Aid Society, Bhagalpur.

#### 26-11-1**95**7

- Shri Syed Hussan, Govt. Pleader, Petna.
- 32. Shri B. Prasad, Advocate, Secretary Indian Council of Public Affairs, Patna.
- 33. Shri Ras Bihari Singh, Advocate, Patna,
- Shri S. N. Misra, S.D.O., Purnea.
- Shri M. Singh, S.D.O., Jamshedpur.
- 36. Shri A. K. Bose, S.D.O., Arraha

(Hon'ble the Chief Justice examined in his Chamber in the Afternoon -evidence not recorded).

#### 20-11-1967

- Shri K, S. V. Raman I.C.S., Chairman. Bihar Public Service Commission, Patna.
- 38. Shri Pandy Narsingh Sahay, Public Presecutor, Patna.
- Shri K. A. R. Subramanian, I.A.S., Deputy Commissioner, Hazaribagh.
- Shri S. R. Ahmed, Suh-Divisional Officer (Sadar), P.O. Motlhari (Champaran).
- 41. Shri B, B, Pandey,
- ji ≟tepresentatives of >the State Judicial
- 42, Shri Durga Prasad.
- J Officers.

- 43. Shr: Tiwari.
- Shri Kusheshwar President, Bihar State Mukhtiers Association.
- Shri Devta Presad, Vice-President, Bihar State Mukhtiars Association.
- Shri Talkeshwar Prasad. Secretary, Bihar State Mukhtiars. Association.