

CONTENTS (IWMP III)

CHAPTER NO.	NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
CHAPTER-1		PROJECT BACKGROUND AND METHODOLOGY	1-12
		Introduction	1
	1.1	Scientific Planning	2
	1.1.1	Cluster Approach	2
	1.1.2	Base Line Survey	2
	1.1.3	Collection of Primary Data	2
	1.1.4	Collection of Secondary data	3
	1.2	Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA)	3
	1.2.1	Participatory Net Planning	4
	1.2.2	Community Participants in Social Mapping	5
	1.2.3	Transect Walk	5
	1.2.4	Focus Group Discussions	7
	1.3	Use of GIS Technology for Planning	9
	1.3.1	Prioritization	9
	1.3.2	Planning	9
	1.3.3	Hydrological modelling	10
		Table. 1: Detail of scientific planning and inputs in IWMP projects	10
1.4	Preparation of Action Plan and Approval	12	
CHAPTER-2		PROJECT BACKGROUND	13-19
	2.1	Project Background	13
		Table. 1: Basic Project Information	13

CHAPTER NO.	NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
	2.2	Need of Watershed Development Programme	14
		Table. 2: Criteria and Weight Age for Selection of Watershed	15
		Table. 3: Weight-age of the Project	17
		Table. 4: Watershed Information	17
	2.3	Other ongoing Development Projects / Schemes in the project villages	18
		Table. 5: Ongoing Developmental Programs in the Project Area	18
		Table. 6: Previous Watershed Programme in the Project Area (if any)	19
CHAPTER-3		BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT AREA	20-42
		Geography And Geo Hydrology	20
	3.1	Land Use Pattern	20
		Table 1. Land use pattern	21
	3.2	Soil and Topography:	21
		Table 2. Soil type and Topography	21
	3.2.1	Flood And Drought Condition	22
		Table 3. Flood and Drought condition	22
	3.3	Soils	22
	3.3.1	Soil Erosion	22
	3.3.2	Soil Salinity/Alkalinity (Salinity ingress):	23
		Table 4. Soil pH and Salinity	23
	3.3.3	Soil Classification	23
	3.3.4	Land Capability Classification	25
	3.3.5	Climatic Conditions	27

CHAPTER NO.	NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
		Table-5. Rainfall	28
	3.3.6	Physiography and Reliefs	28
		Table 6. Physiography and Relief	29
	3.4	Land and Agriculture:	29
		Table 7. Natural Vegetation	29
	3.4.1	Land Ownership Details	30
		Table-8:- Land Ownership Details	30
	3.4.2	Agriculture/Pattern	30
		Table 9. Agriculture/ Pattern	30
	3.4.3	Irrigation	31
		Table 10. Irrigation Pattern	31
	3.4.4	Cropping Pattern (crop details)	31
		Table 11 A. Crop Details (Rabi)	31
		Table 11 B. Crop Details (Kharif)	32
	3.4.5	Livestock	32
		Table 12. Village Wise Distribution of Milk Production	33
	3.4.6	Ground Water Concern	33
		Table 13. Village Wise Depth to Water Level Range	34
	3.4.7	Details Of Common Property Resources	35
		Table 14. Detail of Common Property Resources	35
	3.5	Socio Economic And Literacy Profile	36
	3.5.1	Demographic Status	37
		Table 15. Demographic Status/ Population Pattern	37

CHAPTER NO.	NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
		Table 16. Village wise Literacy Rate	37
		Table 17. Employment Status	38
	3.5.2	MIGRATION PATTERN	38
		Table 18. Migration Pattern	38
		Table 19. BPL Pattern	39
		Table 20. Village Infrastructure	40
		Table 21. Facilities/ Household assets	40
	3.5.3	LIVELIHOOD PATTERN	40
		Table 22. Per capita (Household) income	41
	3.5.4	Comparative Status of crop Productivity	41
	3.6	Reason for low productivity	41
CHAPTER-4		PROJECT MANAGEMENT AGENCIES	43-53
	4.1	Institutional Arrangement	43
	4.2	State Level Nodal Agency, Haryana	45
	4.3	Watershed Cell cum Data Centre, Rewari	45
	4.4	Project Implementation Agency	46
		Table 1. PIA/ Project Implementing Agency	47
	4.4.1	Monitoring Level Staff at PIA Head Office	48
	4.5	Watershed Development Team	48
	4.6	Watershed Committee details	49
	4.6.1	Formation of Watershed Committees (WC)	50
		Table No. - 2 Watershed Committees (WC) Details	51
	4.7	Institutional Setup at Watershed Level	52

CHAPTER NO.	NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
	4.7.1	Self Help Groups	52
	4.7.2	User Groups	52
CHAPTER-5		BUDGETING	54-
		Budgeting	54-62
	5.1	Micro Watershed Wise / Component Wise Phasing year Wise Budget Phasing Under IWMP-III	54
		Table. 1: Entire Project	55
		Table. 2: Dhawana Micro- Watershed	56
		Table. 3: Siha Micro- Watershed	57
		Table. 4: Bitori Micro- Watershed	58
		Table. 5: Khaleta Micro- Watershed	59
		Table. 6: Nimoth Micro- Watershed	60
		Table-7: Buroli A Micro - Watershed	61
		Table-8: Buroli B Micro-Watershed	62
CHAPTER-6		PREPARATORY PHASE	63-78
	6.1	Awareness Generation And Motivation For Participation	63
	6.1.1	Collection Of Base Line Data And Hydrological Data	63
	6.1.2	Formation Of Village Level Institutions	64
	6.1.3	Preparation of DPR	64
	6.2	Capacity Building	68
		Table 1: Statement of Targets under Proposed Training Action Plan at Micro Watershed Level to be conducted by WDT members of Rewari District	71

CHAPTER NO.	NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
		Table: 2: Statement showing funds Requirement for training on IWMP in Haryana (Preparatory Phase – District Level)	73
		Table. 3: Micro Watershed Wise Exposure cum training Visit for SLNA, WDT, PIA, Field Functionary, WDC, SHG & UG Members of IWMP III (Rewari)	73
		Table No. 4:-Farmer's / Beneficiaries training camps with Extension Programmes of IWMP III (Rewari)	75
	6.2.1	Expected Outcome Of Capacity Building	76
	6.3	Entry Point Activities	77
		Table 5. Entry Point Activities	78
CHAPTER-7		WORK PHASE	79-130
	7.1	Watershed development Works - 56%	79
	7.2	Earthen Embankment	81
	7.2.1	Activities under NRM (56%) Micro Watershed Wise (IWMP III Rewari)	82
		Table -1: Dhawana Village	82
		Table-2: Siha Village	84
		Table-3: Bitori Village	85
		Table-4: Khaleta Village	87
		Table-5: Nimoth Village	88
		Table-6: Buroli Village	90
		Table-7: Detailed Estimate of Earthen Embankment	91
		Table-8: Detail Estimate of Cement Stone Masonry Structure	94
		Table.9 MATERIAL STATEMENT AND COST OF MATERIAL	96

CHAPTER NO.	NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
		Table. 10. LABOUR COST	97
		Table. 11. ABSTRACT OF COST	97
		Table. 12 Detailed estimate of Dry Stone Masonry Check Dam	99
		Table-13: Detailed estimate of Pond	101
		Table. 14. Abstract of cost of estimate for Digging Village Pond	102
		Table. 15. Estimate of Orchard Development in the Watersheds	104
		Table. 16. Estimate of Agro-Forestry/Afforestation	106
	7.3	PRODUCTION SYSTEM	109
	7.3.1	Crop Production	109
	7.3.2	Horticulture	110
	7.3.3	Vegetable cultivation	111
	7.3.4	Promotion of Farm Forestry and Agro-forestry	111
	7.3.5	Livestock Improvement Including Fodder Production	111
	7.3.6	Marketing Arrangements and Proposal for Improvement	112
	7.3.7	Detail of production system to be promoted	113
		Table 17. Detail of Production System proposed to be promoted in the project village	113
	7.3.8	Vermin Compost	115
		Table 18: Model/ Estimate for a Vermin Compost Unit	116
		LIVELIHOOD ACTIVITIES FOR THE ASSET LESS PERSONS-9%	118
	7.4	Livelihood support to SHG's	119
	7.4.1	Activities those are likely to be taken up by SHGs/individuals	120

CHAPTER NO.	NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
		Table 19. Revolving Fund Assistance for SHGs	120
		Table 20. Skill Trainings/Skill up gradation for SHGs	121
		Table 21. Computer Training (6 months) for unemployed youth above 12th passed male and female both recommended by Watershed Development Committee	122
		Table 22. One time assistance as Revolving Fund to unemployed youth who have successfully completed Computer Training for setting up a computer centre	122
		Table 23. Cutting and Tailoring Centre for female beneficiaries	123
		Table 24. Embroidery Centre for female beneficiaries	124
		Table 25. Livelihood Support	124
		CONVERGENCE	126
	7.5	Introduction	127
	7.5.1	Convergence between MGNREGA And Watershed Programmes	128
		Table 26. GAPS IN FUNDS REQUIREMENT – MICRO WATERSHED WISE	128
	7.5.2	Non-Negotiable for works executed under MGNREGA	129
	7.5.3	Convergence with Forest Department	129
	7.5.4	Convergence with Horticulture Department	129
	7.5.5	Convergence with Agriculture Department	130
	7.5.6	Convergence with Animal Husbandry Department	130
CHAPTER-8		QUALITY AND SUSTAINABILITY	131-139

CHAPTER NO.	NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
	8.1	Monitoring and Evaluation	131
	8.1.1	Plans for Monitoring and Evaluation	131
	8.1.2	Monitoring	131
		Table 1. Micro Watershed wise details	132
	8.2	Evaluation	132
		Table 2. Micro Watershed wise details	133
	8.3	Consolidation Phase	135
		Table 3. Consolidated Phase: Dhawana (part) Micro- Watershed	136
		Table 4. Consolidated Phase: Siha Micro- Watershed	136
		Table 5. Consolidated Phase: Bitori Micro- Watershed	137
	Table 6. Consolidated Phase: Khaleta Micro- Watershed	137	
	Table 7. Consolidated Phase: Nimoth Micro- Watershed	138	
	Table 8. Consolidated Phase: Buroli-A Micro- Watershed	138	
	Table 9. Consolidated Phase: Buroli-B Micro- Watershed	139	
CHAPTER-9		EXPECTED OUTCOME	140-155
	9.1	Employment	141
		Table 1. Expected Employment Generation in the Project area	141
	9.2	Migration Pattern	142
		Table 2. Pre and Post Migration	142
	9.3	Ground Water Table	143
		Table 3. Detail of average pre- post ground water table depth in the project area (in meters)	143
	9.4	Crops	144

CHAPTER NO.	NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
		Table 4. Increase in Expected Yield	144
	9.5	Horticulture	145
		Table 5. Pre and post project area under Horticulture	145
	9.6	Afforestation/ Vegetative Cover	146
		Table 6. Pre and post project forest and vegetative cover	146
	9.7	Livestock	146
		Table 7. Details of livestock in the project area	146
	9.8	Linkages	147
		Table No. 8: Backward-Forward Linkages	148
	9.8.1	Logical Framework Analysis	149
		Table 9. Logical Framework Analysis	149
ANNEXURES			
	Annexure I	Base Map	
	Annexure II	Contour and drainage map	
	Annexure III	Land use map	
	Annexure IV	Slope map	
	Annexure V	Soil map	
	Annexure VI	Soil fertility map	
	Annexure VII	Land Capability map	
	Annexure VIII	Depth to water level map	
	Annexure IX	Water quality map	
	Annexure X	Proposed Action Plan map	

CHAPTER- 1

METHODOLOGY

INTRODUCTION

The Government of India (GOI) adopted watershed management as a strategy to address the sustainable agricultural productivity in the rainfed areas since the last three decades. Further, GOI has adopted watershed management as a national policy since 2003. Several studies have highlighted that appropriate natural resource management and its utilization results in enhancement agricultural productivity. In order to achieve food security, minimize the water conflicts and reduce poverty, it has become essential to increase productivity of rainfed / dry land farming by utilization of available natural resources.

In Haryana, watershed activities were undertaken by Department of Agriculture (Soil Conservation), Forest Department and Rural Development Department. The existing scheme of watershed, like DPAP, DDP, IWDP & Haryali were brought under one umbrella in the name of Integrated Watershed Management Programme in the year 2008. The scheme is basically for rainfed area, Common Guidelines were framed by National Rainfed Area Authority. Rural Development Department is the Nodal Department for implementation of IWMP through State Level Nodal Agency.

To implement watershed area (IWMP III) programme a systematic baseline survey has been conducted to know the potentiality of the village. With this view, a baseline survey was conducted in six micro- watersheds Dhawana (2C5G6f5), Siha (2C5G6f4), Bitori (2C5G6k3), Khaleta (2C5G6k5), Nimoth (2C5G2f7), Buroli A+B (2C5G6f3) spread over in six villages each representing the micro watersheds. The base line survey conducted shall be considered as bench mark against which the results of project could be compared at the end of the implementation. It would also be helpful in guiding watershed programmes and to plan its goal in identifiable terms and be used as future reference. PRA techniques and transect walk were conducted with the Gram Sabha members and beneficiaries for building confidence in participation during project planning.

1.1 SCIENTIFIC PLANNING

1.1.1 Cluster Approach

This envisages a broader vision of Geo- hydrological unit which involves treating the cluster (IWMP III) of 6 micro watersheds namely Dhawana (2C5G6f5), Siha (2C5G6f4), Bitori (2C5G6k3), Khaleta (2C5G6k5), Nimoth (2C5G2f7), Buroli A+B (2C5G6f3) with their respective codes.

1.1.2 Base Line Survey

Bench mark survey was conducted for collection of base line data on various bio-physical and socio-economic aspects. The methodology adopted was as follows:

1.1.3 Collection of Primary Data

Though the project was sanctioned in September, 2011 the preparatory phase started in 2012. Initially, a meeting was arranged with officials of concerned departments and technical experts located at Dhawana, Siha, Bitori, Khaleta, Nimoth, Buroli A+B micro-watersheds. During this meeting, Preliminary Project Report (PPR) was discussed.

In order to have first hand information, a joint visit in the project area was made along with PRI members. In this survey, physical location of the watershed, drainage pattern, land use, employment scenario, agriculture produce and other problems related to the area were assessed. Sarpanches and local people were involved in the discussions and a note of the local needs and scope of watershed works was taken up.

The survey of India toposheets (Survey of India) of the area available on the 1:50000 scales were procured of the project area and all assigned villages were marked on the copies of the toposheets (Survey of India) as well as on the maps prepared by Soil and Land Use Survey of India (SLUSI).

The primary data related to land holding, crop area and production was collected from agriculture and revenue records of the village, the socio economic data of the target villages were collected from Anganwari workers and Panchayat Secretary in the village and district.

1.1.4 Collection of Secondary data

The data with regard to Demographic, socio-economic, infrastructure, land use, primary and secondary occupation, major crops grown and the yield level of fruits and vegetable crops, marketing facilities, fodder production, agro-forestry crops, live stock and milk production, status of self help groups, previous watershed schemes and works undertaken under MGNREGA etc. was gathered with the help of a designed Performa. Additional information was gathered by group and individual discussions with women groups, landless and other poor sections of the society. The issues concerning water availability, use of common property resources, fuel and fodder availability, wage employment opportunity and other major concerns were discussed, debated and recorded. Rainfall data was collected from the Deputy Director Agriculture (PPR).

1.2 PARTICIPATORY RURAL APPRAISAL

The due process of Participatory Rural Appraisal was followed in which village committees were sensitized about project activities. An appraisal of land resources, water resources, forest and pasture land resources, common property resources, production system and livestock resources was carried out by collecting data from primary and secondary sources. Group meetings were organized at common places and problems with possible solution were debated, discussed and efforts were made to reach an agreement on activities required under the projects. This was followed by transect walks across the entire area of the village and spots indicated by the community. The Technical possibilities were discussed and measurements were recorded for jointly agreed activities. Similarly, discussions were held about entry point activities and items of work were finalized keeping in view the availability of funds in the project. Through discussions were held on production activities and new innovative techniques of improving crop, fruit and milk production. The women groups were sensitized about income generating activities and skill improvement by various types of trainings. The department field staff facilitated the process of participation at the planning stage. The department officials simultaneously stated the process of forming watershed committees for each village. The roles and responsibilities of all stake holders as per guidelines, the mechanism of fund flows, cost sharing arrangement in different components, and operational mechanism of the projects were thoroughly discussed with the community and to the Watershed Committees (WC) in detail.

1.2.1 Participatory Net Planning

The action plan was formulated based on the PRA, Geo-hydrological condition, Drainage pattern, Soil class, Soil erosion, forest and agriculture land. The project proposals were deliberated in the Gram Sabha meetings which were approved with required amendments.

Based on the experience of the experts working in the area and catchment area characteristics each structures like Renovation / Dug Out Pond, Water conveyance system, Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel, Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support, Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet, Ramp/Inlet and Outlet, Roof top rain water recharge structure, Community water storage Tank, Dry stone Check Dam etc. were recommended to conserve and store water used for life saving additional irrigation potential in the rainfed area and to avoid further degradation of the land.

1.2.2 Community Participants in Social Mapping

The village communities were apprised about project activities. Group meetings were organized at common places, problems and possible solutions were debated, discussed and efforts were made to reach agreement on activities required under the project. Social mapping involving local community was prepared. Infrastructure services and other village resources such as ponds, wells, agriculture land etc. were mapped.

1.2.3 Transect Walk

Reconnaissance survey was carried out through transect walk in order to identify the needs, treatments required and worksites. The sites were marked on the maps and different treatment measures required were recommended.





Transect walk

1.2.4 Focus Group Discussions

Focus Group Discussions (FGD) were conducted in order to obtain communities' approval on various identified needs. It was helpful in complementing the assessment emerged from PRA and to derive the opinion of the communities on various issues.





Gram Sabha member's participation in group discussion

1.3 USE OF GIS TECHNOLOGY FOR PLANNING

Scientific tool has been promoted at various stages of watershed development planning.

Various maps were prepared such as Base map, Present Land Use, Drainage and Contours, Slope, Soil Classification, Land Capability Classification, Soil Fertility Status, Ground Water Depth and Quality, Proposed and existing activities of works. All Watershed maps (micro- watershed) have been prepared using Soil and Land use Survey of India (SLUSI) maps with coding.

1.3.1 Prioritization

With the assistance of Geographical Information System (GIS), various layers were created like Topography (slope), Drainage and contour, Groundwater conditions, Slope, Soil, Soil fertility and Land Capability classes. All these parameters were given weightage as per the guidelines issued by Govt. of India. The map prepared was used during the field visit for finalization of works and project objectives.

1.3.2 Planning

Based on the land use and Topographical maps in addition to social maps (PRA) prepared by the participants, analysis was carried out for the planning in micro- watersheds. The action plan was formulated using maps of Drainage pattern, Soil class, Soil erosion, forest, hydrology and present land use. The project proposals were deliberated in the Gram Sabha meetings which were approved with required amendments.

Based on the experience of the experts working in the area and catchment area characteristics each structure like Renovation / Dug Out Pond, Water conveyance system, Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel, Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support, Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet, Ramp/Inlet and Outlet, Roof top rain water recharge structure, Community water storage Tank, Dry stone Check Dam etc. were provided in consultation with the Gram Sabha Members. However finally only those activities are included which were suggested by the Gram Sabha according to their needs.

1.3.3 Hydrological modeling

The relevant hydrological parameters were used for delineation of micro- watersheds as per the existing drainage system. The works/ activities under drainage line treatment are proposed as per topography, present land use, site conditions and run- off in consultation with WC. These maps were generated as per SLUSI coding system. The maps are produced by developing different layers using GIS technology.

Table 1. Detail of scientific planning and inputs in IWMP projects

S.No.	Scientific Criteria/input used	Whether Scientific Criteria was used
A	Planning	
	Cluster approach	Yes

S.No.	Scientific Criteria/input used	Whether Scientific Criteria was used
	Hydro-geological survey	Yes
	Contour Mapping	Yes
	Participatory net planning (PNP)	Yes
	Remote sensing data-especially soil	Yes
	Ridge to valley treatment	Yes
	Online IT connectivity between	Yes
	1. Project and DRDA cell/ZP	Yes
	2. DRDA and SLNA	Yes
	3. SLNA and DoLR	Yes
	Availability of GIS layers	Yes
	1. Survey of India map/imagery /SLUSI map	Yes
	2. Micro- Watershed Boundary	Yes
	3. Drainage pattern	Yes
	4. Soil (soil fertility status)	Yes

S.No.	Scientific Criteria/input used	Whether Scientific Criteria was used
	5. Land use	Yes
	6. Ground water status	Yes
B	Inputs	-
	Bio pesticides	Yes
	Organic manure	Yes
	Vermin- compost	Yes
	Bio Fertilizer	Yes
	Water saving devices	Yes
	Mechanical tools	Yes
	Bio fencing	No
	Nutrient Budgeting	No
	Automatic water level recorder & sedimentation samplers	No

1.4 Preparation of Action Plan and Approval

Based on the need and problems in watershed area; a draft action plan was prepared and placed before the concerned watershed development committee as per schedule circulated by Additional Deputy Commissioner for approval of the Watershed Committees. After detailed deliberation and incorporation of relevant recommendation/ suggestions into the plan, the action plan was approved in the meeting of Gram Sabha. The resolution of each village falling in the watershed has been received. The record is available with the PIA and WAPCOS.

CHAPTER – 2

PROJECT BACKGROUND

2.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP-III) project is falls in Khol block of Rewari district in Haryana state. The project is a cluster of six micro- watersheds namely Dhawana (2C5G6f5), Siha (2C5G6f4), Bitori (2C5G6k3), Khaleta (2C5G6k5), Nimoth (2C5G2f7), Buroli A+B (2C5G6f3), Buroli B (2C5G6f3). The total geographical area of the project is **4608 ha** out of which **4091 ha** has been undertaken to be treated under IWMP-III starting from year 2011-2012. The project is divided into six micro watersheds. The Base map is shown in Annexure I.

Table 1: Basic Project Information

Sr. No	Name of the project	Name of the micro watersheds	Code No.	Name of the villages	Block	District	Area of the Project (ha)	Area proposed to be treated (ha)	Total Project cost (Rs lacs)	PIA
1	Dhawana watershed (IWMP III)	Dhawana	2C5G6f5	Dhawana (part)	Khol	Rewari	705	658	78.96	ASCO Rewari

Sr. No	Name of the project	Name of the micro watersheds	Code No.	Name of the villages	Block	District	Area of the Project (ha)	Area proposed to be treated (ha)	Total Project cost (Rs lacs)	PIA
2	Dhawana watershed (IWMP III)	Siha	2C5G6f4	Siha (part)	Khol	Rewari	520	438	52.56	ASCO Rewari
3	Dhawana watershed (IWMP III)	Bitori	2C5G6k3	Bitori	Khol	Rewari	362	320	38.40	ASCO Rewari
4	Dhawana watershed (IWMP III)	Khaleta	2C5G6k5	Khaleta (part)	Khol	Rewari	575	490	58.80	ASCO Rewari
5	Dhawana watershed (IWMP III)	Nimoth	2C5G2f7	Nimoth	Khol	Rewari	889	765	91.80	ASCO Rewari
6	Dhawana watershed (IWMP III)	Buroli A	2C5G6f3	Buroli A	Khol	Rewari	825	753	90.36	ASCO Rewari
7	Dhawana watershed (IWMP III)	Buroli B	2C5G6f3	Buroli B	Khol	Rewari	732	667	80.04	ASCO Rewari
					Grand Total		4608	4091	490.92	

2.2 NEED OF WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

Watershed development programme is prioritized on the basis of thirteen parameters namely;

- i. poverty index,
- ii. percentage of SC,
- iii. actual wages,
- iv. percentage of small and marginal farmers,
- v. ground water status,
- vi. moisture index,
- vii. area under rain fed agriculture,
- viii. drinking water situation in the area ,
- ix. percentage of degraded land,
- x. productivity potential of land,
- xi. continuity of any other watershed already developed/treated,
- xii. cluster approach for plain terrain,
- xiii. cluster approach for hilly terrain,

The criteria and weightage of each of the parameters has been given in **Table 2**.

Table 2. Criteria and Weightage for Selection of Watershed

S. No.	Criteria	Maximum Score	Ranges and Scores			
i.	Poverty index (% of poor to population)	10	Above 80 % (10)	80 to 50 % (7.5)	50 to 20 % (5)	Below 20% (2.5)
ii.	% of SC/ST	10	More than 40 % (10)	20 to 40 % (5)	Less than 20%	

S. No.	Criteria	Maximum Score	Ranges and Scores			
	population				(3)	
iii.	Actual wages	5	Actual wages are significantly lower than minimum wages (5)	Actual wages are equal to or higher than minimum wages (0)		
iv.	% of small and marginal farmers	10	More than 80 % (10)	50 to 80 % (5)	Less than 50% (3)	
v.	Ground water status	5	Over exploited (5)	Critical (3)	Sub Critical (2)	Safe (0)
vi.	Moisture index/ DPAP/DDP block	15	-66.7 & below (15) DDP block	-33.3 to -66.6 (10) DPAP Block	0 to -33.2 (0) Non DPAP/DDP Block	
vii	Area under rain fed agriculture	15	More than 90 % (15)	80 to 90 % (10)	70 to 80 % (5)	Below 70 % (Reject)
viii	Drinking water	10	No source (10)	Problematic village (7.5)	Partially covered (5)	Fully covered(0)
ix	Degraded land	15	High-above 20 % (15)	Medium-10 to 20 % (10)	Low-less than 10 % of TGA (5)	
x	Productivity potential of the land	15	Lands with low production & where productivity can be significantly enhanced with reasonable efforts (15)	Lands with moderate production & where productivity can be enhanced with reasonable efforts (10)	Lands with high production & where productivity can be marginally enhanced with reasonable efforts	

S. No.	Criteria	Maximum Score	Ranges and Scores			
					(5)	
xi	Contiguity to another watershed that has already been developed/treated	10	Contiguous to previously treated watershed & contiguity within the micro-watersheds in the project (10)	Contiguity within the micro-watersheds in the project but non contiguous to previously treated watershed (5)	Neither contiguous to previously treated watershed nor contiguity within the micro-watersheds in the project (0)	
xii	Cluster approach in the plains (More than one contiguous micro-watersheds in the project)	15	Above 6 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	4 to 6 micro-watersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 4 micro-watersheds in cluster (5)	
xiii	Cluster approach in the hilly tract (More than one contiguous micro-watersheds in the project)	15	Above 5 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	3 to 5 micro-watersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 3 micro-watersheds in cluster (5)	
	Total	150	150	93	37	2.5

Based on above criteria and weightage of 80 concerning these thirteen parameters, a composite ranking was given to Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III) project as given in **Table- 3**.

The total numbers of families under BPL are less than the total number of households in the village. Hence a score of 5 was allotted. Rain fed agriculture is more and more than 80 percent and more than 50 % farmers are small and marginal. So the scoring is done 5. The project area comes under Arravalli range and Sahibi basin of Haryana and has no assured irrigation facility, erratic rainfall, deep quality of ground water is saline and the shallow are being exploited for development of irrigation, hence the block falls over exploited and score 5 is given. The percentage of schedule castes in this watershed is about 30 percent of the total population, hence 5 score was allotted. Due to high percentage of the poor population i.e. about 70 percent thus the scope of poverty index is 5. More than 60 percent of the farmers are small and marginal in nature. Hence a composite rank of 5 is allotted. With all the parameters taken together gives the watershed score to be 80.

Table- 3: Weightage of the Project

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9													
Sr. No	District	Name of the project	No. of micro-watersheds proposed to be covered	Geographical area (ha)	Proposed Area for Development	Type of project (Hilly/ Desert/ Others)	Proposed cost (Rs. In Lakh)	Weightage under the criteria													
								i	ii	iii	iv	v	vi	vii	viii	ix	x	xi	xii	xiii	Total
1.	Rewari	Dhawana watershed (IWMP III)	6	4608	4091	others	490.92	5	5	0	5	5	10	5	5	10	10	5	15	0	80

Table 4: Watershed Information

Name of the Project	No. of Micro-Watersheds to be Treated	Watershed codes	Watershed regime/type/order
Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)	6	2C5G6f5, 2C5G6f4, 2C5G6k3, 2C5G6k5, 2C5G2f7, 2C5G6f3	Others

2.3 OTHER ONGOING DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS / SCHEMES IN THE PROJECT VILLAGES

These villages being backward have been on top priority in number of developmental projects. These programmes are Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MGNREGS), Total Sanitation Campaign (TSC), Swarnajaynti Gram Swarojgar Yojna (SGSY) and Indira Awas Yojana (IAY), NWDPRA. The programmes that are active in this area are tabulated in **Table 5.**

Table 5. Ongoing Developmental Programs in the Project Area

S. No.	Name of the Program /Project	Name of Micro watersheds	Sponsoring agency	Objective	Estimated number of beneficiaries for year
---------------	-------------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------	------------------	---

					2011-12 (Job card issued)
1	MGNREGA	Dhawana	DRDA, Rewari	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	132
2	MGNREGA	Siha	DRDA, Rewari	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	219
3	MGNREGA	Bitori	DRDA, Rewari	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	136
4	MGNREGA	Khaleta	DRDA, Rewari	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	130
5	MGNREGA	Nimoth	DRDA, Rewari	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	223
6	MGNREGA	Buroli A+B	DRDA, Rewari	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	310

The District Rural Development Agency has undertaken various schemes under watershed development programme and the status is presented in **Table 6**.

Table 6: Previous Watershed Programme in the Project Area (if any)

<u>Watershed Area Development Treated/Sanctioned</u>							
1	2	3	4			5	
S. No	Names of	Total micro watersheds in the District	Micro- watersheds covered so far			Total watersheds covered	Net watersheds to be covered
			Deptt. of Land Resources	Other Ministries/ Deptt.			
			Pre- IWMP projects (DPAP+DDP+IWDP)	Any	other watershed project		

	District	No.	Area (ha)	No.	Area (ha)	No.	Area (ha)	No.	Area (ha)	No.	Area (ha)
1	Rewari	402	150678	115	57500	15 (EAS)	7500	130 (221 villages)	65000	181	85678

CHAPTER – 3

BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT AREA

GEOGRAPHY AND GEOHYDROLOGY

Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III) falls in Khol Block of District Rewari. The area is Indo- Gangetic alluvium plains and is traversed and drained by Krishnawati seasonal streams of Sahibi river system. Physiographically, the area is in Arravali hillocks, with dunes along hillocks and Interdunal plains/ recent flood plains. The area of watershed lies in between 28°13'15"to 28°17'15" N Latitude & 76°20'30" to 76°27'15" east longitude general elevation varies between 245-385 m MSL (google earth map) above mean sea level. The average rainfall of district is 702mm. About 80 percent of its annual rainfall is received in the month of July to September. Intensity of rainfall is scattered and erratic in this area, water retention capacity is very low, so area suffers of drought conditions in once in a four years. The Contour and Drainage map is presented in Annexure II.

3.1 LAND USE PATTERN

The village wise land use pattern is tabulated in **Table-1**. Land use map is shown in Annexure-III.

Table. 1 Land use pattern of Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages with Code	Geographic Area in (ha)	Treatable area of the village(ha)	Land under agriculture use (ha)	Rain fed area (ha)	Wasteland	
						Cultivable	Non-Cultivable
1	Dhawana (2C5G6f5) (part)	705	658	520	473	109	76
2	Siha (2C5G6f4) (part)	520	438	290	208	50	180
3	Bitori (2C5G6k3)	362	320	314	272	0	48
4	Khaleta (2C5G6k5) (part)	575	490	311	226	4	260
5	Nimoth (2C5G2f7)	889	765	794	670	16	79
6	Buroli A (2C5G6f3)	825	753	761	689	0	64
7	Buroli B (2C5G6f3)	732	667	676	611	0	56
		4608	4091	3666	3149	179	763

(Source – District Census Handbook, 2001 Rewari)

3.2 SOIL AND TOPOGRAPHY

The soil of Dhawana Watershed is characterized as shallow to deep on hillocks and hill side slopes are gentle to steep slope, loamy skeletal, lithic Ustorthent. Soil is very deep, sandy to coarse loamy, typic ustipssamant/ torripssammant, typic ustorthent/ torriorthent and typic haplocambids in most of the areas. The topography of the area ranges from nearly level in most areas and very gentle/ steep rolling slopes in the hilly areas. Soil erosion is susceptible to severe to very severe water erosion along the hillocks and moderate to severe in lower areas. The slope ranges from 1 to 10% and above. Slope map is presented in Annexure IV.

Table 2. Soil type and Topography

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Code	Geographical area (ha)	Major Soil types	Topography
1	Dhawana (part)	2C5G6f5	705	Loamy skeletal and sandy to coarse loamy	Nearly level to steep slope
2	Siha (Part)	2C5G6f4	520	Loamy skeletal and sandy to coarse loamy	Nearly level to steep slope
3	Bitori	2C5G6k3	362	Sandy to coarse loamy	Level to nearly level
4	Khaleta (part)	2C5G6k5	575	Loamy skeletal and sandy to coarse loamy	Nearly level to steep slope
5	Nimoth	2C5G2f7	889	Sandy to coarse loamy	Level to nearly level
6	Buroli A	2C5G6f3	825	Sandy to coarse loamy	Level to nearly level
7	Buroli B	2C5G6f3	732	Sandy to coarse loamy	Level to nearly level
			4608		

Source: - Department of Agriculture, Haryana

3.2.1 Flood and Drought Condition

There have been few incidences of flood observed in past years, however excess rainfall is observed once in a four years. The drought occurs in watershed villages, the rainfall data reveals, drought incidence to be once in every four years. In absence of assured irrigation and drought resulted in low to very low in crop yield.

Table 3. Flood and Drought condition

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Flood Incidence	Drought Incidence
1	Dhawana	Once in 8-10 years	Once in a four Year
2	Siha	Once in 8-10 years	Once in a four Year
3	Bitori	Once in 8-10 years	Once in a four Year
4	Khaleta	Once in 8-10 years	Once in a four Year
5	Nimoth	Once in 8-10 years	Once in a four Year
6	Buroli A+B	Once in 8-10 years	Once in a four Year

3.3 SOIL

3.3.1 Soil Erosion

In the identified six micro watersheds, it is observed that due to erratic rains, unscientific mining and thin vegetative cover results in soil erosion in the watershed area. Thus results in degradation of agricultural land, deforestation and lowering organic matter in the soil. The erosion materials brought by the small nalas are deposited in the sloping areas and are deposited in lower fields. The repeated deposition of coarse sediments render these areas comparatively low in agriculture production. Average annual rainfall 702mm of the district falling under these watersheds during heavy storms in rainy season the top soil washed away in the form of

runoff which also carries valuable top soil (sheet). Soil erosion in respect of sheet is quite high. Majority of the watershed community are dependent on agriculture. Agriculture suffers due to area being rain fed and excess rains in the region, resulting in further deterioration of socio economic conditions of community. It is also observed that sand deposition along hillocks and dunes are formed near hillocks with the wind blow (dust storm) from Rajasthan area.

3.3.2 Soil Salinity/Alkalinity (Salinity ingress):

There is low to moderate soil salinity in the Project and pH is normal and within the limits of 7.05 to 8.15.

Based on the soil samples analysis and reports the village wise distribution of pH is tabulated and shown in Table. 4.

Table 4. Soil pH and Salinity

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Soil pH	Type of salinity
1	Dhawana	7.10- 7.86	Low to Moderate
2	Siha	7.05 – 8.05	Low to Moderate
3	Bitori	7.11- 8.15	Low to Moderate
4	Khaleta	7.12- 8.03	Low to Moderate
5	Nimoth	7.15- 8.10	Low to Moderate
6	Buroli A+B	7.25- 8.11	Low to Moderate

3.3.3 SOIL CLASSIFICATION

Major soil associations fall in the watershed are four units. The detailed description of all soil associations are given below. The Soil map is presented in **Annexure V**. The fertility status of the project area, reveals low level of available nitrogen and available phosphorus to be low to medium level. However, the available potash varies from medium to high. The fertility status map of the project area is exhibited in **Annexure-VI**.

Soil Mapping Unit- 2 (Ruppu Saroi Soil Association)

The Ruppu Saroi soil series is only series in this soil association. The soil series is excessively drained, Sandy loam to Loam, Loamy Skeletal Mixed hyperthermic Lithic Ustorthents. The soil series is non calcareous, shallow to deep, pH 7.15- 7.45, dark yellowish brown to yellowish brown in colour (10YR 4/4-10YR 5/4) developed on Steep to Very steep slope in Aravali Hills with "Rock out crops".

Soil Mapping Unit- 5 (Berli- Bawal Soil Association)

The Berli soil series is dominated in this soil association falls under Bawal soil series. The dominant soil are well drained, Loamy sand to Sandy loam to Sandy clay loam, Coarse loamy Mixed hyperthermic Typic Haplustepts, 1st associate soil series is well drained, Loamy sand to Sandy loam, Sandy Mixed hyperthermic Typic Ustipsamments, Berli soil series is non calcareous, very deep, pH 8.40-9.20, brown to yellowish brown in colour (10YR 4/3-10YR 5/4) developed on Interdunal valley-dune complex/Very gentle sloping/Fine aeolian sand and Bawal soil series is non calcareous, very deep, pH 8.10-8.21, yellowish brown in colour (10YR 5/4-10YR 5/6) developed on Fluvio-aeolian plains/old dry riverbeds.

Soil Mapping Unit- 6 (Khol- Madola Soil Association)

The Khol soil series is dominated in this soil association and associated soil series is Madola soil series. The dominant soil is excessive to well drained, Sandy, Sandy Mixed hyperthermic Typic Torripsamments, 1st associate soil series is excessively drained, Loamy sand to Silt loam, Coarse loamy Mixed hyperthermic Typic Torriorthents, Khol soil series is strongly calcareous, very deep, pH 8.00-8.70, pale brown to yellowish brown in colour (10YR 6/3-10YR 5/4) developed on Unstable sand dunes/Undulating terrain and Madola soil series is Moderately strong calcareous, very deep, pH 8.40-8.80, brown to yellowish brown in colour (10YR 5/3-10YR 5/6) developed on Pediments formed by the accumulation of eroded particles from Aravali hills with Common medium and hard concretions of calcium carbonate in C- horizon.

Soil Mapping Unit- 13 (Bharawas- Sulkha Soil Association)

The Bharawas soil series is dominated in this soil association and associated soil series is Sulkha soil series. The dominant soil is well drained, Sandy loam to Silty loam, Coarse loamy Mixed hyperthermic Typic Haplocambids, 1st associate soil series is excessively drained, loamy sand, Sandy Mixed hyperthermic Typic Torripsamments, Bharawas soil series is non calcareous, very deep, pH 8.50-8.70, dark brown to brown in colour (10YR 4/3-10YR 5/4) developed on Slope of undulating Aeolian plains/Aeolian sand under arid climate with Many calcium carbonate concretions in B and C horizon and Sulkha soil series is moderately strong calcareous, very deep, pH 8.40-8.60, yellowish brown in colour (10YR 5/4-10YR 5/8) developed on Gentle sloping Aeolian plains/Aeolian sand under arid climate with Common medium hard concretions of calcium carbonate in C- horizon.

(Source: Received from HARSAC on 1: 50000 scale)

3.3.4 Land Capability Classification

It is an interpretative grouping of soil based on inherent soil characteristics, external land features and environmental factors that limit the use of land. As per land capability classification, class 1 to class IV land is suited to agriculture. Classes V to VIII are not suitable for agriculture. These are used for pastures, forestry, and wildlife and recreation purposes and other industrial and township. Depending upon the degree of limitation and the kind of problems involved in management of soil, the land capability sub classes were indicated by adding the following limitation symbols to the capability classes:

1. Erosion and runoff (e) including risk of erosion and great erosion damage.
2. Excess of water (w) including wetness, high water table, and problem of drainage.
3. Root zone limitation (s) including shallow depth, low water holding capacity, salinity or alkalinity/rockiness.
4. Climate limitation (c).

The soil of the selected Watersheds have been grouped into three land capability classes. A brief description of each capability sub class is given as under and the **Land capability map is exhibited in Annexure-VII.**

Land capability subclass III e2s2

This soil is very deep, light to coarse loamy/ fine loamy texture located on level to nearly level slope. These are well drained, moderately permeable, moderate water holding capacity and moderate to severe erosion hazard.

Following recommendations are suggested for the economic use of this sub-class:

1. Land leveling should be done at 50% subsidy, because farmers are not economically capable to bear the rate of land leveling.
2. Engineering measures like Percolation Embankments and other related measures are to be under taken.

3. Agronomic measures like Dry farming, strip & Mixed cropping with other soil conservation measures like agro forestry and rainfed horticulture are recommended.
4. Green manuring should be promoted for increase physical and chemical properties of soil.
5. Masonry structure (outlet) should be constructed with field bunds and percolation embankments for rills control and insitu moisture conservation.
6. Strengthening of old abandoned water courses.
7. Provide water storage tanks for storage of excess water for using supplementary irrigation during lean period.

Land capability subclass IV e3s3

This soil is very deep, light textured and nearly level lands. The water holding capacity is poor to very poor and the water erosion hazard is moderate to severe. The wind erosion is also a main cause to create undulating topography.

Following recommendations are suggested for the economic use of this sub-class:

1. Special soil conservation measures should be adopted to check water erosion and increase ground water recharge; soil should be provided permanent vegetation (Agro-forestry) cover to check further deterioration of soil.
2. Soil would be cultivated in suitable crop rotation with adopting dry farming techniques.
3. Masonry structure should be constructed in field bunds and percolation embankment.
4. Land leveling should be done at 50% subsidy, because farmers are not economically capable to bear the rate of land leveling.
5. Construction of percolation ponds and embankments for increasing ground water recharge.
6. Construction of small earthen embankments with vegetative support for Sand dunes stabilization.
7. Strengthening of old abandoned water courses.
8. Provide water storage tanks for storage of excess water for using supplementary irrigation during lean period.

Land capability subclass VI es

This soil is shallow to deep developed on gentle to steep slope, light to medium skeletal structure textured soil, complex sloping, moderate to severely eroded lands. The water holding capacity is very poor and the water erosion hazard is moderate to severe.

Following recommendations are suggested for the economic use of this sub-class:

1. Specific and special soil conservation measures should be adopted to check water erosion adopting land development majors soil should be provided permanent vegetation (A forestation) and rainfed horticulture cover to check further deterioration of soil.
2. Restrict to unscientific mining in the hilly area.
3. Soil would be suitable for pasture development; forestation and other major water harvesting structures (Percolation pond).

3.3.5 Climatic Conditions

The average rainfall of the district is 702 mm (during the past 19 year's data). The highest rainfall is 1138 mm during the year 2008. The uneven rainfall distribution is leading to run off soil every year to the steams/ nalas on hillocks and depressed area of the Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III). The year wise rainfall from 1994 to 2012 is presented in **Table.5**

Table-5. Rainfall during the years 1994-12

S.No.	Year	Rainfall (in mm)
1	1994	791

2	1995	1087
3	1996	1064
4	1997	589
5	1998	953
6	1999	718
7	2000	479
8	2001	665
9	2002	408
10	2003	1024
11	2004	527
12	2005	889
13	2006	557
14	2007	575
15	2008	1138
16	2009	428
17	2010	625
18	2011	508
19	2012	323
	Average Rainfall	702

(Source: - Deputy Director Agriculture, Rewari)

The mean maximum temperature is 41.8° C (May and June) and mean minimum is 5.6° C (January) of the district.

3.3.6 Physiography and Reliefs

Physiographically, the area is divided into two parts from South –West to North - East. The general Elevation in the area belongs to hillocks, sand dunes with Recent and old Alluvial Plains 245 – 385 m above mean sea level (google earth maps). The rain water is drained through seasonal streams namely: many tributaries of Krishnawati river which flows South –West to North - East and

ultimately merge in Sahibi. Upper area is badly dissected by these drainage pattern and unscientific mining activities in the hills and foothills. The elevation range and percentage slope distribution has been presented in **Table 6**.

Table 6. Physiography and Relief

Project Name	Elevation (MSL)	Slope Range (%)	Major Streams
Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)	245- 385	1 to 10	1. Krishna wati 2. Sahibi

3.4 LAND AND AGRICULTURE

The land holding pattern of the villages under Dhawana Watershed shows that the majority of the land holding is below 5.0 ha. The lack of assured irrigation source has forced the majority of the small farmers and landless labours of Watershed to migrate from village to ensure there, employment and livelihood to nearest Industrial towns is Delhi, Gurgaon, Dharuhera, Rewari and Bhiwadi (Rajasthan). This affects directly the demographic profile of the villages.

The major crops Bajra, Gawar, green fodder and pulses in Kharif under rainfed conditions. The major crops during Rabi wheat, mustard, gram, green fodder and seasonal vegetables in rainfed and irrigated conditions. The soil and water conservation measures such as Engineering like Renovation / Dug Out Pond, Water conveyance system, Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel, Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support, Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet, Ramp/Inlet and Outlet, Roof top rain water recharge structure, Community water storage Tank, Dry stone Check Dam etc. The project would help the farmers to take crop production which will enhance the net production value. The following plants are commonly observed in the Project Area. The natural vegetation in the project area is exhibited in **Table 7**.

Table 7. NATURAL VEGETATION

Sr. No.	Trees	Fruits	Grasses and Shurbs
1	Babool & Australian Babool	Pomegranate	Saccharum munja
2	Black Siris	Ber	Bhroot
3	Jal	Lemon	Jharberi
4	Shisham	Guava	Ker (Tint)
5	Neem	Jamun	
6	Khejri	Amla	
7	Pipal	Papaya	
8	Eucalyptus		

3.4.1 Land Ownership Details

The Caste wise land owned (in ha) is Tabulated in Table 8.

Table-8:- Land Ownership Details

GENERAL	OBC	SC	ST	Total owners
771	2890	-----	-----	3753

3.4.2 AGRICULTURE/PATTERN

Table 9. Agriculture/ Pattern

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Land under agriculture use (ha)	Net Sown area (ha)	
			One time	Two times
1	Dhawana	520	410	370
2	Siha	290	235	200
3	Bitori	314	243	207
4	Khaleta	311	250	215
5	Nimoth	794	615	575
6	Buroli A+B	1437	1126	987
	Total	3666	2879	2554

(Source: Department of Agriculture, Haryana)

3.4.3 IRRIGATION

Lack of Assured Irrigation Facilities

The area falls under acute water stress category. The present source of irrigation is ground water where the area is underlain by fresh to marginal water quality. The remaining cultivable area is under rainfed agriculture. The present source of irrigation in the watershed has been tabulated in **Table 10**.

Table 10. Irrigation Pattern.

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Source 1: Groundwater (Tube wells)	
		Availability months	Net area (ha)
1	Dhawana	July to June	540
2	Siha	July to June	378
3	Bitori	July to June	260
4	Khaleta	July to June	356
5	Nimoth	July to June	580
6	Buroli A+B	July to June	820
	Total		2934

(Source – District Census Handbook Rewari)

3.4.4 CROPPING PATTERN (crop details)

Cropping Pattern

The village wise area production and productivity of each crop is tabulated in **Table 11 A and 11 B** (Rabi and Kharif).

Table 11 A. Crop Details (Rabi)

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Rabi crops(Wheat)				(Mustard)				(Barley)		
		Area (ha)	Prod. (kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer	Area (ha)	Prod. (kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer	Area (ha)	Prod. (kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Rabi crops(Wheat)				(Mustard)				(Barley)		
		Area (ha)	Prod. (kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer	Area (ha)	Prod. (kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer	Area (ha)	Prod. (kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.
1	Dhawana	171	592515	3465	Yes	141	176250	1250	Yes	2	6050	3025
2	Siha	75	259125	3455	Yes	98	121618	1241	Yes	1	3021	3021
3	Bitori	87	301455	3465	Yes	102	122400	1200	Yes	1	3000	3000
4	Khaleta	59	200718	3402	Yes	115	143750	1250	Yes	2	6050	3025
5	Nimoth	239	812600	3400	Yes	267	333750	1250	Yes	3	9066	3022
6	Buroli A+B	343	1188495	3465	Yes	501	623745	1245	Yes	7	21168	3024
	Grand Total	974				1224				16		

Table 11 B. Crop Details (Kharif)

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	(Bajra)				(Gwar)			
		Area (ha)	Prod. (kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer	Area (ha)	Prod. (kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer
1	Dhawana	320	398720	1246	Yes	21	36750	1750	Nil
2	Siha	178	221610	1245	Yes	24	41880	1745	Nil
3	Bitori	198	237600	1200	Yes	1	1729	1729	Nil
4	Khaleta	155	193130	1246	Yes	58	101500	1750	Nil
5	Nimoth	453	563985	1245	Yes	58	100978	1741	Nil

6	Buroli A+B	626	779996	1246	Yes	47	82250	1750	Nil
	Grand Total	1930				209			

3.4.5 Livestock

Farmers in these villages have already been keeping the milch animals; mostly buffalos. The milk production of these animals (local breeds) is low (**Table 12**). There is a need for the improvement of the local breed through artificial insemination, proper vaccination and nutritive feed. Introduction of cross breed cows and murrah buffalo with better milk production will popularize dairy farming in the area. Also, the farmyard manure procured from these animals will help improve the soil health.

Table 12. Village Wise Distribution of Milk Production in Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Buffalo(*Lit/per day/annum) for 6 months	Cow(*lit/per day/annum) for 6 months	Sheep	Goat	Camel
1	Dhawana	1134/9072/1632960 (Lit/annum)	156/858/154440 (Lit/annum)	60	216	37
2	Siha	1237/9896/1781280 (Lit/annum)	109/599.5/107910 (Lit/annum)	-	149	59
3	Bitori	537/4296/773280 (Lit/annum)	132/726/130680 (Lit/annum)	-	47	14
4	Khaleta	837/6696/1205280 (Lit/annum)	148/814/146520 (Lit/annum)	-	212	43
5	Nimoth	1311/10488/1887840 (Lit/annum)	185/1017.5/183150 (Lit/annum)	-	226	-
6	Buroli A+B	1396/11168/2010240 (Lit/annum)	207/1138.5/204930 (Lit/annum)	-	134	38

(Source: Animal Husbandry, Rewari)

***Average yield of Buffalo is 7-8 lit/day and Average yield of Cow is 3-4 lit/day**

3.4.6 Ground Water Concern

a) Depth to Water

Ground Water Cell of Haryana has fixed hydrograph station scattered in the districts whose monitoring is undertaken during pre and post monsoon season. The water level data has been analyzed for the purpose of ground water studies in the watershed area. The ground water behavior in the watershed reveals the variation of depth to water level from 33 to 57.6 m below ground level. In Bitori and Buroli micro- watersheds area is between 30- 40m depth. The remaining areas of the watershed in the villages of Dhawana, Siha, Khaleta and Nimoth micro- watersheds, the depth range is 40-60m. The depth to water level follows the topography of the area. The village wise water level data has been tabulated in **Table 13**. Depth to water level map has been prepared and presented in the **Annexure VIII**.

Table 13. Village Wise Depth to Water Level of Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Source	Pre- Project level (m)
1	Dhawana	Open wells	55.8

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Source	Pre- Project level (m)
2	Siha	Open wells	57.6
3	Bitori	Open wells	39.0
4	Khaleta	Open wells	57.3
5	Nimoth	Open wells	57.2
6	Buroli A+B	Open wells	33

The source of drinking water supply is through the tube wells as well as canal network in the area. The quality of the ground water as per the sample analyzed by Ground Water Cell, Haryana of shallow wells reveals the fresh ground water in the areas under IWMP III. The water quality map of the area is presented in **Annexure-IX**. The drinking water supply is available throughout the year. The department of Public Health Engineering is responsible for the water supply for drinking purpose.

b) Water table fluctuation

In reference to the data available from the period June 2007 to June 2012, it is observed that the level of water table is falling at the rate of 1.51m per year.

The Seasonal fluctuation varies from 2.38 to (-) 0.38 m in the area.

c) Rain water harvesting and Recharging

The rapid growth of rural population leads to escalation of water demand. Conservation of ground water is important because it takes years to be replenished. In areas where ground water is used, care must be taken to replenish with rainwater.

It has been proposed to make rainwater-harvesting by construction of water harvesting structures. The provision of this has been provided in the project proposal.

3.4.7 DETAILS OF COMMON PROPERTY RESOURCES: The department of Panchayat has maintained the record of common property resources of area under various institutions. The data has been taken has been collected DDPO, Rewari. The details of common property resource in Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III) are tabulated in **Table 14**.

Table 14. Detail of Common Property Resources

Name of the Project	CPR Particulars	Total Area, ha (Area owned / in possession of)				Area available for treatment (ha)			
		Pvt. Person	Govt.	PRI	Any Other	Pvt. Person	Govt.	PRI	Any Other
Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)	Waste land	---	---	777	---	---	---	179	---
	Pasture	---	---	70	---	---	---	70	---
	Orchards	7	---	---	---	7	---	---	---
	Village wood lot	---	---	22	---	---	---	22	---
	Forest	---	---	95	---	---	---	95	---
	Village ponds, lake	---	---	20	---	---	---	20	---

Name of the Project	CPR Particulars	Total Area, ha (Area owned / in possession of)				Area available for treatment (ha)			
		Pvt. Person	Govt.	PRI	Any Other	Pvt. Person	Govt.	PRI	Any Other
	Community Buildings	---	18	---	---	---	18	---	---
	Weekly Mkts	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Permanent Mkts	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Temples/place of worship	---	---	12	---	---	---	12	---
	Others	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

3.5 SOCIO ECONOMIC AND LITERACY PROFILE

Land holdings: The area under the project is cultivated by small and marginal farmers. Almost 70 percent of the farmers fall under this category.

Poor economic conditions of farmers: The general socio economic condition of the farmers in this area are quite poor. They cannot use necessary agriculture inputs in a timely fashion due to financial constraints which adversely affects the crop yield.

Village wise household, total population and schedule caste population has been worked out from the census book and is tabulated in **table 15**. The literacy rate of micro watershed wise distribution is also exhibited in **Table 16**.

3.5.1 Demographic Status

Table 15. Demographic Status/ Population Pattern

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Total no. of houses	Total Population			SC			
			Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	%age
1	Dhawana	864	2092	1968	4060	298	291	589	14
2	Siha	966	2627	2421	5048	236	224	460	9
3	Bitori	649	1656	1570	3226	151	154	305	9
4	Khaleta	600	1524	1396	2920	267	235	502	17
5	Nimoth	844	2289	2027	4316	581	525	1106	25
6	Buroli A+B	843	2283	2082	4365	350	296	646	15
	Total	4766	12471	11464	23935	1883	1725	3608	15

(Source- District Census 2011)

Table16. Literacy Rate in Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Total population	Literacy					
			Total Literates	% age	Male	% age	Female	% age
1	Dhawana	4060	2888	71	1694	58	1194	42
2	Siha	5048	3472	69	2033	58	1439	42
3	Bitori	3226	2196	68	1280	58	916	42
4	Khaleta	2920	2013	70	1210	60	803	40
5	Nimoth	4316	3064	71	1846	60	1218	40
6	Buroli A+B	4365	2979	68	1778	59	1201	41
	Total	23935	16612	69	9841	59	6771	41

(Source- District Census- 2011)

Table 17. EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Schedule caste		Cultivators		Agricultural labourers		Household industry workers		Other workers	
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
1	Dhawana	298	291	573	318	56	26	5	3	263	31
2	Siha	236	224	707	427	34	33	35	5	446	81

3	Bitori	151	154	330	15	9	0	7	0	399	30
4	Khaleta	267	235	258	19	13	2	15	1	235	35
5	Nimoth	581	525	437	309	7	8	11	4	494	247
6	Buroli A+B	350	296	619	273	8	2	14	108	415	28
	Total	1883	1725	2924	1361	127	71	87	121	2252	452

Source: Census 2011

3.5.2 MIGRATION PATTERN

The major reason for migration is lack of employment opportunities, small uneconomical holding, and lack of fodder availability in summer etc. The village wise migration, period, reason for migration and probe able income generation has been compiled and shown in **Table 18**.

Table 18. Migration Pattern in Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Total Population	No. of persons migrating	No. of days per year of migration	Main reason for migration	Income during migration/ month/person
1	Dhawana	4060	14	60	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
2	Siha	5048	12	120	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
3	Bitori	3226	20	120	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
4	Khaleta	2920	22	60	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
5	Nimoth	4316	26	90	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Total Population	No. of persons migrating	No. of days per year of migration	Main reason for migration	Income during migration/ month/person
6	Buroli A+B	4365	28	90	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000

POVERTY: The distribution of the BPL and their percentage is presented in table 19.

Table 19. BPL Pattern

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Total houses	Total Household-BPL	% of BPL HH
1	Dhawana	864	138	16
2	Siha	966	146	15
3	Bitori	649	103	16
4	Khaleta	600	245	41
5	Nimoth	844	243	29
6	Buroli A+B	843	174	21
		4766	1049	22

(Source: District Administration Rewari, Haryana)

INFRASTRUCTURE DETAILS

All the villages are well connected by pucca road and primary or middle school exists in all villages. Health facility is available in villages and have easy access to Health Centers. The village wise details of infrastructure are shown in **Table 20** and the facilities/ household assets in the villages under watershed is shown in **Table 21**.

Table 20. Village Infrastructure

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Bank Y/N	Post office Y/N	School Primary/ High/ Sr.Sec	Milk Collection Centre Y/N	Pucca Road to Village Y/N	Health Facility Govt/Private Y/N	Veterinary facility Y/N
1	Dhawana	N	Y	Sr. Sec. School	Y	Y	N	N
2	Siha	Y	N	Primary School	Y	Y	Y	Y
3	Bitori	N	Y	High School	N	Y	N	N
4	Khaleta	N	Y	Middle School	Y	Y	Y	Y
5	Nimoth	N	Y	Sr. Sec. School	Y	Y	N	N
6	Buroli A+B	Y	Y	Sr. Sec. School	Y	Y	Y	N

FACILITIES/ HOUSEHOLD ASSETS

Table 21. Facilities/ Household assets in Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Total no. of Houses	HHs with Safe latrines	HHs with phones		HHs with vehicles		HHs with TV sets	HHs with cooking gas	HHs with drinking water	HHs with fridge
				Landline	Mobile	2 wheelers	4 wheelers				

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Total no. of Houses	HHs with Safe latrines	HHs with phones		HHs with vehicles		HHs with TV sets	HHs with cooking gas	HHs with drinking water	HHs with fridge
				Landline	Mobile	2 wheelers	4 wheelers				
1	Dhawana	864	216	51	432	129	34	138	69	864	47
2	Siha	966	241	57	483	144	38	154	77	966	53
3	Bitori	649	162	38	324	97	25	103	51	649	35
4	Khaleta	600	150	36	300	90	24	96	48	600	33
5	Nimoth	844	195	35	387	117	16	107	67	844	24
6	Buroli A+B	843	210	50	421	126	33	134	67	843	46

3.5.3 LIVELIHOOD PATTERN: The livelihood from agriculture, animal husbandry, casual labour and others in the micro watershed (village wise) is shown in table 22. There is no major income from the common property resource to the individuals.

Table 22. Per capita (Household) income Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Agriculture in Rs. P.A	Animal Husbandry in Rs. P.A	Casual labour in Rs. P.A	Others in Rs. P.A	Total in Rs.
1	Dhawana	20500	17400	4900	5200	48000
2	Siha	18400	14400	4200	4900	41900

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Agriculture in Rs. P.A	Animal Husbandry in Rs. P.A	Casual labour in Rs. P.A	Others in Rs. P.A	Total in Rs.
3	Bitori	21600	18400	5400	4300	49700
4	Khaleta	24500	20500	6500	5500	57000
5	Nimoth	23200	22000	6000	5200	56400
6	Buroli A+B	22300	20200	6500	4800	53800

3.5.4 Comparative Status of crop Productivity

Three major crops namely Wheat, Mustard, Bajra and Gwar are sown in Watershed villages. Though, main crop grown in this area is Wheat, Mustard, Bajra and Gwar. Compared to rest of the district and the state, the average yield of these crops is quite low.

3.6 REASONS FOR LOW PRODUCTIVITY

- Lack of assured irrigation for agriculture.
- Poor availability and quality of ground water.
- Irregular and erratic rainfall: there is long span between two subsequent rainfalls in the area.
- Sudden change in climate of the area.
- Low organic carbon content.
- Poor physical and chemical properties of the soil are light in texture with boulders in pockets and poor fertility.
- Low water holding/ retention capacity.
- Moderate to rapid permeability.

- Poor phosphorous and medium potash nutrients availability.
- Acceptance of hybrid/ high yielding varieties are nil to negligible.
- Soil erosion.
- Essential micro- nutrient deficiency in the soil.
- Dependence of monsoon.
- Low fertilizer consumption per unit cropped area.
- Lack of economic condition of farmers.
- Lack of good quality of seeds and fertilizer.
- Lack of post harvesting facilities such as storage and marketing.


CHAPTER-4

PROJECT MANAGEMENT AGENCIES

4.1 INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENT

Institutions play a major role in managing the projects. Realizing the importance of Community Participation, Decentralized Participatory Approach has been adopted for Watershed Management. Following decentralization and to achieve the objectives, there is a dire need for establishment of Institutional set up from National to Village Level (Micro Watershed Level), including cluster (Sub Watershed Level) and district level. These institutions need to be oriented from time to time and also empowered so that they take up the assigned tasks and work as per their responsibilities from the start of the program to effective management of Project. Considering the prevalent circumstances, these institutions should take decisions at their respective level. The involvement and participation of beneficiaries and other stakeholders is desired to be encouraged right from the planning stage.

The institutional set up is given below:



4.2 STATE LEVEL NODAL AGENCY, HARYANA

State Level Nodal Agency (SLNA) is headed by Chief Executive Officer and supported by Technical Experts is fully functional. The regular meetings with PIA and other stake holders are held to provide necessary guidance to them as per the revised, common guidelines, 2011. The main functions of SLNA are:

- ❖ To implement the approved perspective and strategy plan of watershed development for the state.
- ❖ Acts as Nodal Agency at State Level for appraisal and clearance.
- ❖ To establish and maintain a State Level data cell from the funds sanctioned to the State and connect it online with the National Level Data Centre.
- ❖ To provide technical support to Watershed Cell cum Data Centre throughout the state.
- ❖ To approve a list of independent institutions for capacity building of various stakeholders within the state and work out the overall capacity building strategy in consultation with NRAA/Nodal Ministry.
- ❖ To approve project implementing agencies identified/selected by WCDC/District Level Committee by adopting appropriate objective selection criteria and transparent systems.
- ❖ To establish monitoring, evaluation and learning systems at various levels (Internal and external/independent system).
- ❖ To ensure regular and quality online monitoring of watershed projects in the State in association with Nodal Agency at the Central Level and securing feedback by developing partnerships with independent and capable agencies.

4.3 WATERSHED CELL CUM DATA CENTRE, REWARI

WCDC has been notified by SLNA and the same has been constituted. The team comprises of 3 to 4 subject matter specialists on Agriculture, Water Management, Social Mobilization and Management & Accounts. WCDC is headed by Deputy Commissioner and Additional Deputy Commissioner has been designated as Project Manager under IWMP. The WCDC members comprise of Technical Expert, Computer Operator and Accountant. As per guideline 3 to 6 full time staff (3 in district with less than 25000 ha project area and 6 in districts with more than 25000 ha project area) would assist the Project Manager. The Project Manager will prepare well defined annual goals against which the performance will be monitored. The WCDC will be financially supported by the DoLR after review of available staff, infrastructure and actual requirement.

Organization of WCDC and its Objective

The primary objective is successful implementation of watershed programme. The organization bears the responsibility to assist and facilitate PIA from time to time. The broad functions of WCDC are as under:

- ❖ Providing technical support in planning and implementation of the project.
- ❖ Facilitation in preparation of Annual Action Plan.
- ❖ Monitoring and of project activities.
- ❖ Co-ordination with allied departments.
- ❖ Submission of various reports to SLNA.

4.4 Project Implementation Agency

The project Implementing Agencies (PIA), ASCO Rewari is selected by the State Level Nodal Agency (SLNA) for Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP) in Haryana. In the district Rewari, where the area of development is 25100 ha, a separate dedicated unit, called the Watershed Cell cum Data Centre has been established which will oversee the implementation of watershed programme. The PIA is responsible for implementation of watershed project. Soils and Water Conservation Department, Rewari. He has a vast experience in implementing various watershed development Projects.

PIA will put dedicated watershed development team and will provide necessary technical guidance to the Gram Sabha /Watershed Committee for implementation of development plans for the watershed projects through Participatory Rural Appraisal Exercise.

PIA will also undertake:

- a) Community Organization,
- b) Trainings for the village communities,
- c) Supervise Watershed Development Activities,
- d) Inspect & authenticate project accounts,
- e) Monitor & review the overall project implementation,
- f) Set up institutional arrangements for post project operations and
- g) Maintenance and further development of the assets created during the project period.

Table 1. PIA/ Project Implementing Agency

S.No.	Name of the Project	Details of PIA	
1	Dhawana Watershed (IWMP-III)	i) Type of organization	District Level Nodal Agency
		ii) Name of organization	District Watershed Development Unit

		iii) Designation & Address	Assistant Soil Conservation Officer, Rewari
		iv) Telephone	094160- 69536
		v) Fax	01274- 225240
		vi) E-mail	drdarwr@hry.nic.in

The PIA is well competent to effectively manage this project and has a good rapport with the village community. The watershed committee members are giving them positive response in the preparatory phase. The overall responsibility of the PIA would be to oversee the project progresses well and to provide technical knowhow as when required. PIA has qualified and highly experienced staff to accomplish this task and take this project forward for its logical conclusion. PIA will be assisted by the Watershed Development Team.

4.4.1 Monitoring Level Staff at PIA Head Office

The highly experienced staff is engaged in the monitoring the project. The technical guidance to field staff from time to time is being provided. Meetings are being periodically held by head office with officials from the Rewari district to apprise themselves of the status of ongoing project.

4.5 Watershed Development Team

The watershed development team (WDT) is an integral part of the PIA. WDT would consist of subject specialists such as Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Horticulture, Soil & Water Management and Forest. One woman member with experience in Social mobilization is

also included in WDT. Assistant Soil Conservation Officer would be team leader of the WDTs. Team Leader will coordinate with other WDT members for smooth implementation of the project. One member of the WDT will be departmental official of the rank ADO (Soil Conservation)/ ADO (Agriculture) who will also be responsible for disbursement of funds along with Secretary Watershed Committee.

WDT will guide the watershed committee in the formulation of watershed action plan. An indicative list of the roles and responsibilities of the WDT would include among others, the following.

- a) Constitution of Watershed Committee and its functioning,
- b) Organizing and strengthening User groups, Self Help Groups,
- c) Mobilizing women to ensure that the perspectives and interests of women are adequately reflected in the watershed action plan
- d) Conducting Training and Capacity Building,
- e) Common property resource management and equitable sharing
- f) Preparing detailed resource development plan including Soil & Water Conservation,
- g) Undertake engineering surveys,
- h) Prepare engineering drawings and cost estimate for structures to be built.
- i) Monitoring, checking, assessing, undertaking physical verification and measurements of the work done
- j) Facilitating the development of livelihood opportunities for the landless
- k) Maintaining project accounts
- l) Arranging physical, financial and social audit of the work undertaken
- m) Setting up suitable arrangements for post- project operation, maintenance and future development of the assets created during the project period.

4.6 WATERSHED COMMITTEE DETAILS

The process of formation of watershed committees of all villages has been completed and watershed committees have been formed in all villages. The representation on these committees consists of members from- SC, landless, women and members from self help groups and user groups. The committees would be imparted training for smooth management of the activities related to watershed.

Their representation of various groups is as under:

- ❖ Minimum of 50% members from SHGs and UGs, SCs, women and landless.
- ❖ One member from Watershed Development Team, especially women member (subject matter specialist in Social Science).

The Govt. of Haryana vide department memo No. PO (IWMP)-2012/1479 dated 05.03.2012 has decided to include the following members as members of the Watershed Committees.

- ❖ All alive ex-Sarpanches of concerned Gram Panchayats,
- ❖ Concerned member of Panchayat Samiti,
- ❖ Concerned member of Zila Parishad,

One of the members of Watershed Committees is nominated as Watershed Secretary to perform the following duties:

- ❖ Convening meetings of Watershed Committee, Gram Sabha,
- ❖ Maintaining all records and proceedings of the meetings.
- ❖ Follow up action on all decisions taken in the meetings.
- ❖ Ensuring people's participation.

4.6.1 Formation of Watershed Committees (WC)

The watershed committee has been constituted as per the guidelines para 6.3 (44) after convening a meeting of Gram Sabha. The schedule of the meeting was circulated by the Additional Deputy Commissioner well in advance. The watershed committees were constituted in each village as detailed in **Table 2**.

Table 2. Watershed Committees (WC) Details

Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Name of President	Name of Secretary	Name of Members
Dhawana	Dalbir Singh	Sunil Kumar	Sanjay, Vikram, Subhash, Satyavir Singh, Hawa Singh, Santosh Devi, Inderjeet singh, Sumer Singh, Swarn Singh
Siha	Rajesh	Satish	Bodan Ram, Chander Kalan, Bijender, Ram Kishan, Bhim Singh, Lila Ram, Babu lal, Rishi Pal, Om Prakash, Deepak, Jyoti

Name of Micro Watersheds/ Villages	Name of President	Name of Secretary	Name of Members
Bitori	Chander Shakher	Laxmi Narain	Sahjad, Komal, Rameshwar, Resham, Hakikat Rai, Ramesh, Bhim Singh, Kitabo
Khaleta	Jagdish Singh	Suresh	Pista Devi, Laxmi Devi, Shiv Charan, Sheo Narain, Ram Singh, Tula Ram, Sanwat Ram, Munni Lal
Nimoth	Hosiar Singh	Surender Kumar	Mool Chand, Aarti, Mahabir, Anil Kumar, Kalawati, Ramotar, Lal Singh, Mahabir
Buroli	Krishana Devi	Tajvir	Sona Devi, Bhanwari Devi, Surender Singh, Susila, Chandan Singh, Dharamveer, Om Prakash, Chander Bhan

As per the Government decision, Sarpanch of the village is the chairman of the watershed committee. The Secretary of the Watershed Committee has been appointed by the Watershed Committee in the meeting of Gram Sabha. The Secretary will be paid honorarium and would be independent from the functioning of Panchayat Secretary. The secretary would be dedicated in the project activities and would take care of the watershed supervision and would be fully responsible for organizing the meeting and maintenance of records. The main responsibilities of secretary are as under:

- Convening the meeting and recording the minutes of WC meeting and will be responsible for follow up the decision taken by the WC Committee.
- The secretary will be responsible for financial transactions of the project and will sign the cheques with WDT nominee on the behalf of WC.
- He will motivate the villagers for voluntary contribution and ensure equitable distribution of resources.

4.7 INSTITUTIONAL SETUP AT WATERSHED LEVEL

4.7.1 Self Help Groups

The formation of the self help group in all the villages is underway. It is proposed to form at least 2 self help group in each village. In each village Self Help Groups consisting of 10 to 15 members having common goal are being formed. The members of SHGs would be drawn from very poor families, BPL families, SC families, Land less families, Small and Marginal farmers SHG would be homogeneous in nature and would work together for their socio-economic up-liftment. SHGs need to be imparted. Under the project, each SHGs would be given revolving fund Rs. 25000 each after 6 months of the date of formation. The income generating activities would be identified. For adopting economic activities would depend upon the decision of Self Help Group. Accordingly the Orientation and Trainings for their skill up gradation would be arranged in the project as activity. It is the responsibility of Watershed Committee to form SHGs in their respective villages under the guidance of Watershed Development Team and Project Implementing Agency.

4.7.2 User Groups

The Watershed Committee will constitute user group in the watershed area with the help of the WDT. In each Watershed village, user groups are also being formed. Members of these groups would be the beneficiaries of the Watershed project. User group are formed to manage the activities and also asset created under the programme on the long term basis. These groups would also be homogeneous in nature. User groups shall be given technical support as and when required by Watershed Committee and Watershed Development Team. During the preparatory stage while discussing with the Gram Sabha member it was decided that each group would formulate certain internal rules and have a feeling of ownership with community spirit. The members would be from various categories like landless, small farmer, marginal farmer and large farmer.

CHAPTER- 5

BUDGETING

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENTS AND THEIR YEAR WISE PHASING BUDGET UNDER IWMP

IWMP- III DHAWANA WATERSHED

5.1 BUDGETING

The State Level Nodal Agency will distribute funds to WCDC keeping in view the detailed annual action plan of each micro-watershed. The expenditure under the various component of the project will be carried out as per the guidelines. The activity wise allocations of funds as per the provision of budget components have been work out and exhibited in table. 1. The first step in the budgeting is dividing the cost of project into various components as detailed in the revised common guidelines. It would help the PIA in further identifying activities under different components and allocate appropriate funds.

**MICRO WATERSHED WISE / COMPONENT WISE PHASING
YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP III**

Area in Hectares and
Funds in Rs.

Table 1. Activity wise allocation of funds for Project Village

(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)

Name of the	Project Area	Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
--------------------	---------------------	-----------------------	------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	--------------

project										
Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)	4608	4091	49092000	Administrative costs	490920	490920	1472760	1472760	981840	4909200
				Monitoring	0	0	0	490920	0	490920
				Evaluation	0	0	0	0	490920	490920
				Entry point activities	1963680	0	0	0	0	1963680
				Institution and capacity building	0	2454600	0	0	0	2454600
				Detailed project report	490920	0	0	0	0	490920
				Watershed development works	0	3927360	7854720	8345640	7363800	27491520
				Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	1472760	2454600	490920	4418280
				Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	1472760	1963680	1472760	4909200
				Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	1472760	1472760
				Total	2945520	6872880	12273000	14727600	12273000	49092000
Percentage of total cost	6%	14%	25%	30%	25%	100%				

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP

Area in Hectares and

Funds in Rs.

Table 2. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Dhawana)

(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
658	7896000	Administrative costs	78960	78960	236880	236880	157920	789600
		Monitoring	0	0	0	78960	0	78960
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	78960	78960
		Entry point activities	315840	0	0	0	0	315840
		Institution and capacity building	0	394800	0	0	0	394800
		Detailed project	78960	0	0	0	0	78960

	report						
	Watershed development works	0	631680	1263360	1342320	1184400	4421760
	Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	236880	394800	78960	710640
	Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	236880	315840	236880	789600
	Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	236880	236880
	Total	473760	1105440	1974000	2368800	1974000	7896000
	Percentage of total cost	6%	14%	25%	30%	25%	100%

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP

Area in Hectares and

Funds in Rs.

Table 3. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Siha)

(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
438	5256000	Administrative costs	52560	52560	157680	157680	105120	525600
		Monitoring	0	0	0	52560	0	52560
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	52560	52560
		Entry point activities	210240	0	0	0	0	210240
		Institution and capacity building	0	262800	0	0	0	262800
		Detailed project report	52560	0	0	0	0	52560
		Watershed development works	0	420480	840960	893520	788400	2943360
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	157680	262800	52560	473040
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	157680	210240	157680	525600
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	157680	157680
		Total		315360	735840	1314000	1576800	1314000
Percentage of total cost		6%	14%	25%	30%	25%	100%	

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP

Area in Hectares and

Funds in Rs.

Table 4. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Bitori)

(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
320	3840000	Administrative costs	38400	38400	115200	115200	76800	384000
		Monitoring	0	0	0	38400	0	38400
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	38400	38400
		Entry point activities	153600	0	0	0	0	153600
		Institution and capacity building	0	192000	0	0	0	192000

	Detailed project report	38400	0	0	0	0	38400
	Watershed development works	0	307200	614400	652800	576000	2150400
	Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	115200	192000	38400	345600
	Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	115200	153600	115200	384000
	Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	115200	115200
	Total	230400	537600	960000	1152000	960000	3840000
	Percentage of total cost	6%	14%	25%	30%	25%	100%

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP

Area in Hectares and

Funds in Rs.

Table 5. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Khaleta)

(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
490	5880000	Administrative costs	58800	58800	176400	176400	117600	588000
		Monitoring	0	0	0	58800	0	58800
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	58800	58800
		Entry point activities	235200	0	0	0	0	235200
		Institution and capacity building	0	294000	0	0	0	294000
		Detailed project report	58800	0	0	0	0	58800
		Watershed development	0	470400	940800	999600	882000	3292800

		works					
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	176400	294000	529200
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	176400	235200	588000
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	176400	176400
		Total	352800	823200	1470000	1470000	5880000
		Percentage of total cost	6%	14%	25%	25%	100%

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP

Area in Hectares and
Funds in Rs.

Table 6. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Nimoth)

(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
765	9180000	Administrative costs	91800	91800	275400	275400	183600	918000
		Monitoring	0	0	0	91800	0	91800
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	91800	91800
		Entry point activities	367200	0	0	0	0	367200
		Institution and capacity building	0	459000	0	0	0	459000
		Detailed project report	91800	0	0	0	0	91800
		Watershed development works	0	734400	1468800	1560600	1377000	5140800

		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	275400	459000	91800	826200
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	275400	367200	275400	918000
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	275400	275400
		Total	550800	1285200	2295000	2754000	2295000	9180000
		Percentage of total cost	6%	14%	25%	30%	25%	100%

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP

Area in Hectares and
Funds in Rs.

Table 7. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Buroli A)

(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
753	9036000	Administrative costs	90360	90360	271080	271080	180720	903600
		Monitoring	0	0	0	90360	0	90360
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	90360	90360

	Entry point activities	361440	0	0	0	0	361440
	Institution and capacity building	0	451800	0	0	0	451800
	Detailed project report	90360	0	0	0	0	90360
	Watershed development works	0	722880	1445760	1536120	1355400	5060160
	Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	271080	451800	90360	813240
	Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	271080	361440	271080	903600
	Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	271080	271080
	Total	542160	1265040	2259000	2710800	2259000	9036000
	Percentage of total cost	6%	14%	25%	30%	25%	100%

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP

**Area in Hectares and
Funds in Rs.**

Table 8. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Buroli B)

(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
667	8004000	Administrative costs	80040	80040	240120	240120	160080	800400
		Monitoring	0	0	0	80040	0	80040
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	80040	80040
		Entry point activities	320160	0	0	0	0	320160
		Institution and capacity building	0	400200	0	0	0	400200
		Detailed project report	80040	0	0	0	0	80040
		Watershed development works	0	640320	1280640	1360680	1200600	4482240
		Livelihood activities for	0	0	240120	400200	80040	720360

		the asset less persons						
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	240120	320160	240120	800400
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	240120	240120
		Total	480240	1120560	2001000	2401200	2001000	8004000
		Percentage of total cost	6%	14%	25%	30%	25%	100%

CHAPTER – 6

PREPARATORY PHASES

During the first year, all activities involved by adopting participatory approach and empowerment of local institutions (WC, SHG, and UG). WAPCOS team assumed the role of facilitator during this phase. In this phase, the main activities are as follows:

6.1 AWARENESS GENERATION AND MOTIVATION FOR PARTICIPATION

Fortunately, due to the implementation of earlier watershed management projects and operation of various ongoing soil and water conservation schemes, there has been regular interaction of the departmental staff with the community. Because of positive result of earlier projects, people are responsive and are looking forward for projects intervention. The need for the soil and water conservation works have emerged due to persistent draught, which the area is facing. However, production system need lot of improvement and hence the need of awareness generation and motivation for collective efforts to face the malady of recurrent floods and draught.

6.1.1 Collection of Base Line Data and Hydrological Data

As explained earlier, baseline data from all possible sources is collected for the purpose of not only future impact assessment but also to design project intervention. Most of this was done at the PPR and DPR stages, which forms integral part of the preparatory phase. In addition, data on rain fall amount and distribution, weather conditions and frequency of floods and drought was compiled at DPR stage.

6.1.2 Formation of Village Level Institutions

It has been decided by the state that project activities shall be implemented throughout the watershed committees (WCs). In collaboration with the department, the village level WCs were formed by holding well-attended meeting in which all settlement and section of the society were represented. Due representation was given to women, landless and BPL families as per norms issued by DoLR.

The self- Help Groups were formed during earlier projects but most of them are inactive and non – functional. Those groups will be revived and new ones were formed depending upon willingness of the interest groups. The type of activities these groups want pursue and their capacity building requirements were noted.

6.1.3 Preparation of DPR

PRA exercise and comprehensive data base have been carried out for DPR preparation. Meetings were held at district, micro-watershed wise and village wise with the lined departments and members of Gram Sabha on this aspect. The Draft Project Report was prepared on the basic information generated from primary and secondary sources. This also includes the outcome of

participatory rural appraisal and outcome of transect walk and stakeholders' discussions. A list of scope of works that finally emerged was prepared. Based on the technical survey, detailed cost estimates were prepared for components including resource management, entry point activities and production system. A broad frame work for capacity building at all levels as per the guidelines of DoLR was prepared. The livelihood opportunities which emerged from local product and market facility were analyzed and outlines of the same were included. Since the financial provisions were decided according to the area proposed to be covered, these provisions were distributed across project activities. The project activities are sequenced into three phase's namely preparatory phase, work phase, consolidation and withdrawal phase. So, the activities were segregated in the sequence and explained in detail. Finally the details about budget and its spilt up into annual action plan were also attempted. Various maps using GIS were created likes Base map, Present Land Use, Geo-hydrological, Micro Watershed, Drainage, Contours, Slope, Soil Classification, Soil fertility, Land Capability Classification, Ground Water Depth and Quality, Proposed and existing Activities of works. All the works proposed in the DPR are location specific and are as per the local demand and socio- economic conditions of the watersheds.

Strength, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat (SWOT) analysis of IWMP

A critical analysis of main strength of the proposed project, evident weaknesses, opportunities available for successful implementation and scope of achieving set objectives was made. Attention is also paid to possible threat against which sufficient inbuilt safeguards are provided. Such an analysis was done for the project in hand and summaries of observations were made and are mentioned below in all six watersheds in Rewari district.

Strengths

- ❖ Moderate rain fall

- ❖ Strong linkage with national and state level institutes and KGK for capacity building and technical guidance.

- ❖ Most families are engaged in animal husbandry activities.
- ❖ Availability of drinking water.
- ❖ Good response to earlier watershed management programmes.
- ❖ Local residents are active in micro enterprises.

Weaknesses

- ❖ Erratic rainfall
- ❖ Lack of good quality fodder.
- ❖ Lack of advanced cattle breed.
- ❖ Low level of milk production.
- ❖ Lack of knowledge base regarding scientific cattle management.
- ❖ Prevalence of soil erosion

- ❖ No organized micro enterprises activities.
- ❖ Lack of technical skills.

Opportunities

- ❖ Rain Water harvesting/recharging for production.
- ❖ Promotion of organic farming.
- ❖ Promotion of horticultural activities (dry land plants).
- ❖ Provide training on dairy farming and other income generating activities.
- ❖ Promotion of nursery raising and pasture development.
- ❖ There would be horizontal integration and convergence of development programmes being organized and run by govt.

Threats

There are few negative issues that may have adverse effect

- ❖ Unreliable rainfall.
- ❖ Absence of assured irrigation.
- ❖ Lack of cooperation and contribution from local residents.
- ❖ Low literacy rate in the project area.
- ❖ Rapid climate change affecting crops.
- ❖ Lack of awareness of Dairy farming as a commercial activity.
- ❖ The area is underlain by marginal to saline ground water.
- ❖ Frequent droughts.

CAPACITY BUILDING- 5%

Rs. 24, 54, 600/-

6.2 Capacity Building

1. Introduction

Watershed development is conceived as a strategy for protecting livelihoods of people inhabiting fragile ecosystems, which over period of time have become subject to multidimensional land degradation. Main stress has been to ensure availability of water for drinking and irrigation to support agro-horti-forestry operation vis-à-vis raise income level and provide adequate employment opportunities for communities living in such areas of concerns. As an intervention Integrated Wasteland Development is nearly 20 years old. The initiatives have been subject to periodic reviews by expert committees with a broader view to improve upon its strategy and components as well as match with the growing socio-ecological requirements

Para 9.VIII of common guidelines necessitate capacity building and training of all functionaries and stakeholders involved watershed programme on a war footing with definite action plan, requisite professionalism and all round competence.

2. Vision

A sincere effort to provide required professionalism and competence to the stakeholders associated with planning and implementation of IWMP in the state. This would include organisation development, human resource development, cooperation and network development and institutional development, all seen as a continuous process enabling functionaries to enhance their knowledge and skills and to develop the required orientation and perspectives thereby becoming more effective in discharging their roles and responsibilities.

3. Need

The term Capacity Development is understood as the development of peoples, organizations and societies' capability to manage resources effectively and efficiently in order to realize their own goals on a sustainable basis. In this context, four dimensions have to be distinguished:

- The development of the human resource or personnel development.
- The strengthening of the effectiveness and efficiency of organization or organizational development.
- The strengthening of cooperation between organizations and network development.
- The promotion of institutional frameworks for development.

Further, already 47 projects sanctioned in 2011-2012 in the state covering around 248 micro watersheds measuring 179531 hectares of area. The implementation of these new projects under the umbrella of common guidelines is reported to be in the initial stage under preparatory phase. The establishment of desired institutional setup at all levels, required level of awareness for ensuring effectiveness of all institutions and community participation is therefore necessitated for conclusive participation by all.

This also necessitates a comprehensive package to provide appropriate knowledge for speedy implementation of the projects in the state particularly in the districts.

4. Rationale

Para 81 of common guidelines for watershed development lays special emphasis on the following key elements of Capacity building strategy.

- Dedicated & decentralized institutional support & delivery mechanism
- Annual Action Plan for Capacity Building
- Pool of resource persons
- Well prepared training modules and reading materials
- Mechanism for effective monitoring and follow-up.

Keeping in firsthand experience of the state in launching 47 projects under IWMP and current state of planning and implementation under preparatory phase the current action plan is primarily prepared to build the capacity of different principal stakeholders of projects to speed up further implementation and also lay a strong foundation for subsequent phases.

5. Objectives

The main objectives of the current action plan for ongoing 47 projects are outlined as follows:-

- Create common understanding on different features and provisions of common guidelines as well as instructions directions issued from time to time by Central and State Governmental agencies.
- Develop proper conceptual understanding about integrated participatory watershed management including other issues such as equity, environmental and social sustainability among all implementing agencies at project and village levels, PRIs and local communities (**KNOWLEDGE**).
- Build necessary and required skills and managerial competence of all stakeholders about planning, implementation and management of various project activities using participatory approach (**SKILLS**).
- Help institutional growth of watershed committees at GP level.

- Strengthening community participation, ensuring positive involvement of communities and improvement of socio economic conditions in watershed areas (**ATTITUDES**).

Table 1. Statement of Targets under Proposed Training Action Plan at Micro Watershed Level to be conducted by WDT members of Rewari District

Sl. No.	Title of Training Programme and Duration	Level of Participants	Total persons	Trainees Per Programme	Number of Programmes
01	District Level Sensitization Workshop for Watershed Committees. <u>One Day</u>				
	Rewari	Members of Watershed Committees @ 10 per committee would also include accompanying WDT Members.	770	300-350	2
02	Block Level Functional Programmes for Secretaries of Watershed Committees. <u>Two Days</u>				
	Rewari	Secretaries of Village Watershed Committees	77	35-40	2
03	Project Level Sensitization Camps for WC <u>One Days</u>				
	Rewari	Members of Watershed Committees @ 10 Persons (Tentative) per WC	770	50	15
04	Village Level Awareness Camps on IWMP at Micro Watershed Level for User Groups <u>One Day</u>				
	Rewari	Approximately 50 <u>prospective</u> user groups per micro watershed.	2150	50	43
05	Block Level Functional Programmes for SHGs [Leader, Secretary and Treasurer] under IWMP <u>One Day</u>				
	Rewari	Three persons (Leader, Secretary and Treasurer) per Self Help Group @ around one SHG per village.	231	50	5

Note: Training programmes under Sl. No. 01 are proposed to be conducted by HIRD in collaboration with SLNA and WCDCs.

6. Training Methods

A group of selected Watershed Development Team members would be trained on various methods to ensure that they are able to conduct the proposed interventions effectively with the help of some of the following methods.

- Interactive learning.
- Experience Sharing.
- Experimental Learning.
- Presentation of case studies.
- Classroom deliberations.
- Group [structured] exercises and discussions.

7. Tools

- Projectors
- Flip Charts

- Electronic films
- Print Material
- Other IEC material.

8. Resource Persons

8.1. Internal

Around two persons per WDT identified from the initial training activities by HIRD, Nilokheri would be trained on various aspects for designing and conducting the training programmes. It is expected that each WDT members would be required to function as a internal resource person for the proposed training programmes. Technical experts from each WCDC and PIA would also function as facilitators in the proposed training activities.

8.2. External

Further, in order to make the proposed interventions meaningful for achieving the broader objectives efforts would be made to liaison with various experts from district level line departments, agencies and state level institutions including HIRD as per the need of the programme.

9. Fund Requirement

The **approved revised norms for training for PRIs and RD functionaries” by MoRD, GoI in 2010** have been strictly used [for fixed and variable costs].

Table 2. Statement showing funds Requirement for training on IWMP in Haryana (Preparatory Phase – District Level)

Sr. No.	Training Programmes for SLNA, WDT, PIA , Field Functionary , WDC member's , SHG & UG organize by HIRD	Total Funds
1	District Level Sensitization Workshop(s) for Watershed Committees	46476
2	Block Level Functional Programmes for Secretaries of Watershed Committees. <u>Two Days</u>	8622
3	Village Level Sensitization Camps for WC <u>One Days</u>	44129
4	Village Level Awareness Camps on IWMP at Micro Watershed Level for Prospective User Groups <u>One Day</u>	56769
5	Block Level Functional Programmes for SHGs [Leader, Secretary and Treasurer] under IWMP <u>One Day</u>	17668
	Total	173664

Table 3. Micro Watershed Wise Exposure cum training Visit for SLNA, WDT, PIA , Field Functionary , WDC, SHG & UG Members of IWMP III (Rewari)

S. No.	Target Group	Training Topics	No. of days	Budget per camp	No. of Camps	No. of Participants per camp	Cost for all participants per day	Cost per participant/ per day	Cost per person	Total Budget
1	Self Help Groups- 2 SHGs- micro watershed level	Orientation on IWMP, SHGs cum Exposure Visit	2	19600	5	14	9800	700	2100	147000
2	User groups from each micro watershed	NRM, Post Project Management etc. –Exposure Visit	2	19600	5	14	9800	700	2100	147000
3	Sub watershed Level- WDT Members	Part II-Module I to V-Exposure Visit Outside State- Conceptual, Technical, Social, Management of Finance, Monitoring and Evaluation.	4	42000	5	7	10500	1500	4500	157500
4	Sub watershed Level- PIA Members	Exposure Visit- Within Fundamentals of Watershed, Finance Management,	2	9800	5	7	4900	700	4500	157500

S. No.	Target Group	Training Topics	No. of days	Budget per camp	No. of Camps	No. of Participants per camp	Cost for all participants per day	Cost per participant/ per day	Cost per person	Total Budget
		Final Report on WDP etc								
5	District Level-WDC	Exposure visit to successful watershed/ University.	2	19600	5	14	9800	700	1400	98000
6	District Level-Line Deptt., WDC	Exposure visit to successful watersheds within state.	2	19600	5	14	9800	700	1400	98000
7	SLNA and District Level Controlling Officers	Exposure visit to successful watersheds outside state	4	42000	5	7	10500	1500	6000	210000
Total										1015000

Table 4. Farmer's / Beneficiaries training camps with Extension Programmes of IWMP III (Rewari)

S. No.	District	No. Micro watersheds	No. of Camps/ Year/ Micro watershed	Total No. of camps per Year	Total No. of camps for 5 Year's	Amount of per Camp	Amount per Micro watershed	Total Budget
1.	Farmer Training Camp in each season	7	2	14	70	12,000	1,68,000	8,40,000
2.	Propaganda & Documentation (Puppet show, documentary movies show, video-graphy, Photography, wall Painting, Display Board, pamphlets, leaf lets. Etc)	7	2	14	70	5000	70,000	3,50,000
3	Contingency charges							75936
	Total							1265936

- i) **Training Programmes for SLNA, WDT, PIA , Field Functionary , WDC member's , SHG & UG organize by HIRD = Rs. 1,73,664/-**
 - ii) **Micro Watershed Wise Exposure cum training Visit For SLNA, WDT, PIA , Field Functionary , WDC, SHG & UG Members = Rs. 10, 15,000/-**
 - iii) **Farmer's / Beneficiaries training camps with Extension Program's = Rs. 12,65,936/-**
- Grand Total = Rs. 24, 54,600/-**

6.2.1. EXPECTED OUTCOME OF CAPACITY BUILDING

- All principal stakeholders would be covered under proposed training interventions by March, 2013.
- The knowledge level of different stakeholders on various provisions of Common Guidelines will increase to a significant level.
- The skill level of the principal stakeholders will be improved in managing watershed projects in consonance with the provisions of common guidelines and state government instructions.
- The programmes will help in ensuring that all stakeholders/agencies/institutions work with positive attitudes in order to utilize the benefit of the projects in fulfilling the objectives set forth.
- Programmes will create a sense of responsible partnership amongst various stakeholders.
- The programmes will also help in further identifying areas for future interventions.
- Improved participation of different stakeholders leading to speedy implementation of watershed development work phase.
- Experiences would help in consolidating other gaps for better planning and management of Capacity Building and Training interventions under new projects in future.

6.3 Entry Point Activities 4%

EPA activities are taken up under the watershed to build rapport with village community at the beginning of the project, generally certain important works which are in urgent demand of the local community are taken up. A group discussion was conducted in the Gram Sabha meeting/watershed committee regarding EPA activities. It was conveyed to the Gram Sabha that an amount of **Rs. 19, 63,680/-** was provided for EPA. The provision of IEC material for community will be met under EPA. The stake holders discussed the various activities which they felt is important but after the discussion the following activities were finalized. The convergence with the other project can also be undertaken.

Table 5. Entry Point Activities in Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)

(Rs. In Lacs)

Sr. No.	Block	Name of Project	No. of EPAs Identified	No. of EPAs Completed	Name/Nature of EPA	Location	Expenditure
1.	Khol	Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)	6	6	Retaining Wall	Dhawana	3.00
					Retaining Wall	Siha	2.15
					Water Tanki/ Cattle Khol	Bitori	1.80
					Pacca Nala	Khaleta	2.34
					UGP Pipe Line/ Water Tanki	Nimoth	3.49
					Retaining Wall	Budoli	6.85
						Total	19.63

CHAPTER- 7

WORK PHASE

7.1 WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT WORKS - 56%

The Works under the project have been identified after the detailed survey of the Project Area and discussions held with watershed development team members along with officers from other field like Agriculture, Horticulture and Animal Husbandry. Participatory approach has been adopted to identify the activities under the project. The detailed discussions were held with watershed committees and works identified along with villagers after making visits to identified sites. The works mainly relate to soil and water conservation activities like Renovation / Dug Out Pond, Water conveyance system, Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel, Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support, Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet, Water Channel, Ramp/Inlet and Outlet, Roof top rain water recharge structure, Community water storage Tank, Dry stone Check Dam etc. The proposed project proposals were presented in the Gram Sabha meeting as per the schedule and were approved with certain changes. The works thus identified are given in the attached sheets along with estimates – micro watershed wise.

Drainage line Treatment/ Natural Resource Management

There are no large/ deep gullies in the area because most of the area is nearly level, however at few places near hillocks or sand dunes where slopes are gentle to steep, small gullies with complex slope have been formed which need specific treatment like construction of check dam (stone masonry), earthen embankments, roof top rain water harvesting structures, agro- forestry, rainfed horticulture, dug out ponds, small earthen embankments with vegetative support, community water storage tank etc.

The project area having small or large old ponds which have been silted up and needs strengthening. The land holding is small and any loss of land nearby area would be loss to the farmer. Under the IWDP/ Haryali some works like construction/renovation of farm ponds, small earthen embankments with vegetative support has been undertaken but still at few places water channel, inlet of the ponds and outlet needs to be constructed. So there repair and renovation is proposed. During the discussion it was felt to be genuine demand for repair, renovation and capacity enhancement of ponds in the area.

Proposed System: Run-off from upper area shall be reduced by a- forestation and other soil conservation measures which would also recharge the aquifer. As per need, retaining walls are proposed at strategic locations to protect the farm lands and bank of ponds, loose boulder check dam in small nalas formed on hill slopes to reduce velocity of run-off water and increase insitu moisture conservation. The earthen embankments have also proposed in between nearly level to gentle slope along hill side slopes.

Proposed Activity: Renovation and, construction of new ponds. The provision for construction of inlet, outlet, ramp and retaining walls are the basic need by project stakeholders which has been provided. In some villages, the construction of new ponds are proposed, subject to availability of funds. In summer months, it is widely held that buffaloes must spend 3 to 4 hours in pond for cooling which save the animal from heat stress. Hence, there was much demand of ponds renovation and repairs. Ponds as such are the best source of rainwater conservation and ground water recharge.

Gram Panchayats spend meager money on repair and renovation under different schemes but due to paucity of funds, works are taken up in piece meal and main work of retaining wall is ignored. The stakeholders gave high priority for the construction of retaining walls as lot of water was leaking from sides and cutting of banks by waves and animal intervention to reduce capacity of pond. In most villages, the first priority of the entire community is the construction of retaining walls of the ponds as these are considered sacred due to the presence of historic village temples nearby. Some of the works had been covered under entry point activities. It is also stressed to use the labor component from MGNREGA and material from provision from the IWMP so that maximum amount of rainwater is harvested.

7.2 Earthen Embankments

In order to conserve the rain water, the provisions of small earthen embankment with vegetative support have been provided along the field boundaries across the slope for in-situ moisture conservation.

Suggested Interventions: In a number of villages, sites have been identified for in-situ moisture conservation and construction of embankments where village paths have got converted in to nalas due to severe erosion. The provision of earthen embankments besides being sustained source of water will help in checking further deterioration due to erosion in the project area.

This phase has been started after the completion of the preparatory phase is by and large complete. It is considered as the heart of the program in which the DPR proposals shall be implemented in participatory mode. In this watershed management program, it was planned to rehabilitate the degraded watersheds by the control of runoff and soil loss by biological and masonry works for conservation measures. In this water stressed project area, rainwater harvesting to reduce soil erosion, recharge ground water, improve moisture regime and use of harvesting water for human and livestock use. This was coupled with land development,

production improvement, and promotion of subsidiary occupations for improved livelihoods. Many village ponds are silted, several are filled with filth and sewage water and giving foul smell. Repair renovation and retaining walls of village ponds has emerged as an important activity. The scope of integrated watershed regeneration/rehabilitation works which emerged from the PRA is now presented.

Sample estimates are as follows:

7.2.1 Activities under NRM (56%) Micro Watershed Wise (IWMP III Rewari) is given below and the proposed action plan/treatment plan map shown in Annexure-X.

Table. 1 Name of Project IWMP III Name of Watershed : Dhawana Name of Village : Dhawana							
Sr. No.	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.	Objective
				Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)	Phy.		
1	Renovation / Dug Out Pond	Bainwala pond bhageshwar pond South side of Village	No.	3	3	9.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Ramp/ Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Bainwala pond bhageshwar pond South side of	Cum.	0.0326	174	5.67	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture

		Village					conservation.
3	Water conveyance system	Minor to Nala wala pond	Meter	0.007	100	0.70	To insured availability of water during lean period in ponds
4	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	2	4.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
5	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	20276	5.88	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
6	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	$0.77+0.20=0.97$	12	11.64	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
7	Dry Stone Check Dam	In Hilly area	Cum.	0.00256	782	2.00	
8	Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields)	Agriculture Fields	Meter	0.013	356	4.63	Reduce loss of canal water during irrigation
9	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	10	1.50	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers

10	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	4	1.00	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						46.02	
Available Fund						44.22	
Convergence						1.80	

Table. 2. Name of Project IWMP III		Name of Watershed : Dhawana			Name of Village : Siha		
Sr. No.	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.	Objective
				Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)	Phy.		
1	Renovation / Dug Out Pond	Near cremation ground & shatri wali	No.	3	2	6.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for

		pond					village community animals.
2	Ramp/Inlet & Outlet	Near Temple pond & near cremation ground east of village	Cum.	0.0326	154	5.02	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
3	Water conveyance system	Canal to Bainwala pond West to East side of village	Meter	0.007	623	4.36	To insured availability of water during lean period in ponds
4	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	1	2.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
5	Dry stone Check Dam	In hilly area West of the village	Cum.	0.00256	783.1	2.00	
6	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	Cum.	0.029	16931	4.91	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
7	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	$0.77+0.20=0.97$	3	2.91	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
8	Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields)	Agriculture Fields	Rmt.	0.013	275	3.58	Reduce loss of canal water during irrigation
9	Agro Forestry/Afforestati	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	7	1.05	Increase biomass and additional income to the

	on						farmers
10	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	2	0.50	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						32.33	
Available Fund						29.43	
Convergence						2.90	

Table.3		Name of Project IWMP III		Name of Watershed : Dhawana		Name of Village : Bitori	
Sr. No.	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.	Objective
				Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)	Phy.		
1	Water conveyance system	Canal to Bainwala pond West to East side of village	Meter	0.007	800	5.60	To insured availability of water during lean period in ponds

2	Ramp, Inlet and Outlet	Village Pond West of the Village	Cum.	0.0326	118	3.85	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
3	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	East & South side of Village	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
4	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	Cum.	0.029	7536	2.19	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
5	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	2	4.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
6	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	$0.77+0.20=0.97$	4	3.88	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
7	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	5	0.75	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
8	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	2	0.50	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						23.76	
Available Fund						21.5	
Convergence						2.26	

Table. 4 Name of Project IWMP III Name of Watershed : Dhawana Name of Village : Khaleta							
Sr. No.	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.	Objective
				Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)	Phy.		
1	Renovation / Dug Out Pond	In Village pond	No.	3	2	6.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Ramp/ Ghat Inlet and Outlet	In Village pond	Cum.	0.0326	115	3.75	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
3	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	1	2.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
4	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	12897	3.74	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
5	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	0.77+0.20=0.97	10	9.70	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
6	Dry Stone Check Dam	In Hilly Area	Cum.	0.00256	586	1.50	

7	Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields)	Agriculture Fields	Meter	0.013	456	5.93	Reduce loss of canal water during irrigation
8	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	6	0.90	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
9	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	3	0.75	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
		Total Cost				34.27	
		Available Fund				32.93	
		Convergence				1.34	

Table. 5 Name of Project IWMP III Name of Watershed : Dhawana Name of Village : Nimoth							
Sr. No.	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.	Objective
				Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)	Phy.		
1	Renovation / Dug Out Pond	Boothee pond East of Village	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.

2	Ramp/Inlet and outlet	Boothee pond East of Village	Cum.	0.0326	151	4.92	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
3	Water conveyance system	Dhawana minor to Boothee wala pond	Meter	0.007	1500	10.50	To insured availability of water during lean period in ponds
4	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village +Harijan Chopal	No.	2	2	4.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
5	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	$0.77+0.20=0.97$	10	9.70	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
6	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	44449	12.89	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
7	Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields) (Water Saving technology)	Agriculture Fields	Meter	0.013	545	7.09	Reduce loss of canal water during irrigation
8	Agro Forestry/ Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	5	0.75	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers


9	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	3	0.75	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						53.60	
Available Fund						51.41	
Convergence						2.19	

Table. 6		Name of Project IWMP III		Name of Watershed : Dhawana		Name of Village : Buroli	
Sr. No.	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.	Objective
				Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)	Phy.		
1	Renovation / Dug Out Pond	Bainwala pond khabra sadhuwala sheetli bass wala South East & West side	No.	3	5	15.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Water conveyance system	Canal to Bainwala pond West to East side of village	Meter	0.007	1320	9.24	To insured availability of water during lean period in ponds
3	Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields) (Water Saving Technology)	Agriculture Fields	Meter	0.013	1200	15.60	Reduce loss of canal water during irrigation
4	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	Cum.	0.029	15250	4.42	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.

5	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	$0.77+0.20=0.97$	15	14.55	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
6	Ramp/Inlet and Outlet	Bainwala pond basswala sadhuwala pond South & East side of Village	Cum.	0.0326	180	5.87	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
7	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	4	8.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
8	Community water storage Tank with pipeline	In agriculture fields near minor/canal	Nos	3	6	18.00	For store of surplus canal water for irrigation during lean period
9	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	25	3.75	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
10	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	10	2.50	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						96.93	
Available Fund						95.42	
Convergence						1.51	

Table 7. DETAILED ESTIMATE OF EARTHEN EMBANKMENT

	Let the Average length =	40 meters			
	Let the Average Height =	3.0 meters			
	Up Stream Slope =	1 : 2.5			
	Down Stream Slope =	1 : 2.5			



Earthen Embankment

<u>Leads Statement :-</u>					
Cross Section Area = (Base + Top) ÷ 2 x Height i.e. $\{(17.00 + 2.00) \div 2\} \times 3.00 = 28.50$ Square meters					
Horizontal leads = (Base/2) + (Cross section area/ 2 x 0.6) i.e. $(17.00/2) + \{28.50/(2 \times 0.6)\} = 32.25$ meters					
Vertical leads = (Height + 0.60) x 0.4 x 10 i.e. $(3.00 + 0.60) \times 0.4 \times 10 = 14.40$ meters					
Total leads = 32.25 meters + 14.40 meters = 46.65 meters					
Number of leads = $(46.65 - 15.00) / 7.5 = 4.22$ leads Or Say 5 No. of Leads					
<u>Area of Jungle Clearance :-</u>					
Area to be covered by the body of Dam = Length x Average base i.e. $40.00 \times 17.00 = 680.00$ Sq. meters					
Area from where E/W is to be excavated = Av. Length x leads i.e. $40.00 \times 46.65 = 1866.00$ Sq. meters					
Total Area = $680.00 + 1866.00 =$		2546.00	Sq. meters.		
<u>Volume of Loose soil to be removed :-</u>					
Area to be covered by the body of Dam X Depth of loose soil i.e. $(680.00 \times 0.30) =$				204.00	cum

<u>Volume of Earthwork in bund filling :-</u>					
(Cross Section Area X Length) + Loose soil to be removed i.e.(28.50 x 40.00)+ 204.00 =				1344.00	cum
<u>ABSTRACT OF COST</u>					
<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Item of Work</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1	Jungle clearance including uprooting of rank vegetarian, grass, bush woods etc H.S.R.6.26	2546.00 sq.m	Rs.66.80 + 300% C. Prem. =267.20	100 sq.m	6802.91
2	Removal of loose soil up to 0.3 m below Natural surface level H.S.R. 6.2 (b)	204.00 cum	Rs.586.60 + 350% C. Prem.= 2639.70	100 cum	5384.99
3	E/work excavation for making embankment undressed including breaking of Clods. H.S.R. 6.2 (b)	1344.00 cum	Rs.586.60 + 350% C. Prem.= 2639.70	100 cum	35477.57
4	Extra for admixture for single or kanker Exceeding 30% but up to 40%. H.S.R. 6.2 (h) ii	1344.00 cum	Rs. 318.55 + 350% C. Prem.= 1433.48	100 cum	19265.97
5	Extra for every 7.5 meter additional lead beyond 60mt but up to 255 m by the animal or animal driven cart (5 leads) H.S.R. 6.2 (c) (ii)	1344.00 cum	[(15.00 x 5 No.)+ 350% C. Prem.= 337.50	100 cum	4536.00

6	Dressing of earthwork H.S.R. 6.3 (i)	1344.00 cum	Rs.45.90 + 350 % C. Prem.= 206.55	100 cum	2776.03
Total =					74243.4712
Add Contingency at the rate of 3% =					2227.30
Grand Total =					76470.78

Table. 8. Detail Estimate of Cement Stone Masonry Structure

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Length</u> <u>(mts)</u>	<u>Breadth</u> <u>(mts)</u>	<u>Height</u> <u>(mts)</u>	<u>Content</u> <u>(cums)</u>
1	Excavation of earthwork in foundation And plinth	H.S.R 6.6				
	Crest wall with extensions	1	8.00	2.00	1.20	19.20
	Side walls	2	1.50	1.00	1.20	3.60
	Wing walls	2	2.00	1.00	1.20	4.80
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	1.00	1.20	7.20
	Apron	1	4.00	1.50	0.30	1.80
				Total =		36.60
2	Cement concrete work 1 : 4 : 8 in the Foundation and plinth	H.S.R 10.39				

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Length</u> <u>(mts)</u>	<u>Breadth</u> <u>(mts)</u>	<u>Height</u> <u>(mts)</u>	<u>Content</u> <u>(cums)</u>
	Crest wall with extensions	1	8.00	1.70	0.20	2.72
	Side walls	2	1.50	0.70	0.20	0.42
	Wing walls	2	2.00	0.70	0.20	0.56
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	0.70	0.20	0.84
	Apron	1	4.00	1.50	0.20	1.20
				Total =		5.74
3	Square rubble stone masonry course1: 5 in foundation and plinth H.S.R 12.23					
	Crest wall with extensions	1	8.00	$(1.5+1.0)/2= 1.25$	1.00	10.00
	Side walls	2	1.50	0.50	1.00	1.50
	Wing walls	2	2.00	0.50	1.00	2.00
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	0.50	1.00	3.00
				Total =		16.50
4	Square rubble stone masonry course1: 5 above G.L. H.S.R 12.23 and 12.31					
	Crest wall with extensions	1	8.00	$(1.0+0.5)/2= 0.75$	1.20	7.20
	Side walls	2	$(1.5+2.0)/2= 1.75$	0.50	$(1.7+0.5)/2= 1.1$	1.93
	Wing walls	2	2.00	0.50	1.70	3.40

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Length</u> <u>(mts)</u>	<u>Breadth</u> <u>(mts)</u>	<u>Height</u> <u>(mts)</u>	<u>Content</u> <u>(cums)</u>
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	0.50	0.20	0.60
	Toe wall extensions	1	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.25
				Total =		13.38
5	Cement concrete work 1 : 2 : 4 in the Foundation and plinth			H.S.R 10.41		
	On the top of crest wall	1	4.00	$(1.0+0.5)/2= 0.75$	0.05	0.15
	On the top of crest wall extensions	2	2.00	0.50	0.05	0.10
	On the top of side walls	2	1.50	0.50	0.05	0.08
	On the top of wing walls	2	2.00	0.50	0.05	0.10
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	0.50	0.05	0.15
	Apron	1	4.00	1.50	0.10	0.60
				Total =		1.18
6	Cement plastering work 1:4 on the					
	Crest wall both side	2	4.00	–	1.20	9.60
	Crest wall extensions	2 x 2	2.00	–	0.50	4.00
	Side walls	2	$(1.5+2.0)/2= 1.75$	–	$(1.7+0.5)/2= 1.1$	3.85
	Wing walls	2	2.00	–	1.70	6.80

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Length</u> (mts)	<u>Breadth</u> (mts)	<u>Height</u> (mts)	<u>Content</u> (cums)
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	–	0.20	1.20
	Toe wall extensions	2 x 2	1.00	–	0.50	2.00
				Total =		27.45

Table. 9. MATERIAL STATEMENT AND COST OF MATERIAL

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Item of work</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Cement</u>	<u>Sand</u>	<u>Stone blast</u>	<u>Bajri 20 mm</u>	<u>Stone boulders</u>
		(cum)	(bags)	(cum)	(cum)	(cum)	(cum)
1	C.C work 1 : 4 : 8	5.74	19.516	2.7552	5.5104	–	–
2	Sq. stone masonry work	16.50	28.38	4.95	–	–	18.15
	1: 5 in foundation.						
3	Sq. stone masonry work	13.38	23.005	4.0125	–	–	14.7125
	1: 4 above ground level.						
4	C.C work 1 : 2 : 4	1.18	7.4025	0.517	–	1.034	–
5	C. plastering work 1 : 4	27.45 sqm	3.02	0.41	–	–	–

	Total =	81.323	12.64645	5.5104	1.034	32.8625
	Rates of material	245.00 per bag	950.00 per cum	965.00 per cum	985.00 per cum	945.00 per cum
	Cost of Materials	19924	12014	5318	1018	31055
	Total Cost of Materials =	Rupees	69329	/-only		

Table. 10. LABOUR COST


S. No.	Item of work Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1	Excavation of earthwork in foundation and plinth H.S.R 36.60 cum 6.6	1108.10 +350% C. Prem. =4986.45	100 cum	1825.04
2	Cement concrete work 1 : 8 : 16 in the Foundation and plinth H.S.R 10.39 5.74 cum	64.95 +370% C. Prem. =305.27	cum	1752.25
3	Square rubble stone masonry course1: 5 in foundation and plinth H.S.R 12.23 16.50 cum	(160.35+26.00) +250% C. Prem. =652.22	cum	10761.63
4	Square rubble stone masonry course1: 5 above G.L. H.S.R 12.23 and 12.31 13.38 cum	(160.35+26.00+27.20) +200% Prem.= 747.42	cum	9996.74
5	Cement concrete work 1 : 2 : 4 in the Foundation and plinth H.S.R 10.41 1.18 cum	64.95 +370% C. Prem. =305.27	cum	358.69

S. No.	Item of work Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
6	Cement plastering work 1:4 on the stone walls H.S.R 15.5	27.45 sqm	5.50 +340 % C. Prem. =24.2	cum 664.29
	Total =	29.875 cum		25358.64525
				or say Rs.25359/- only


Table. 11. ABSTRACT OF COST

Labour cost	25359.00
Cost of Materials as per detail attached	69329.00
Total =	94688.00
Add contingency at the rate of 3%	2841.00
Grand Total =	97529.00
Per cum Rate = $97529 / 29.88 = 3264.02$ or say Rs.3260/- only	

WORK PLAN OF CEMENT STONE MASONRY STRUCTURE



PLAN



X-SECTION

* Not to Scale
* All Dimension in m.


X-section of Masonry Structure

Table. 12. Detail Estimate of Dry Stone Masonry Check Dam


<u>S No.</u>	<u>Particulars</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Length (mts)</u>	<u>Breadth (mts)</u>	<u>D/H (mts)</u>	<u>Content (cums)</u>
1	Earth work in excavation of foundation in	1	4.00	3.00	$(1.0+0.3+1.0)/3=0.77$	9.24

<u>S No.</u>	<u>Particulars</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Length (mts)</u>	<u>Breadth (mts)</u>	<u>D/H (mts)</u>	<u>Content (cums)</u>
	all type of soils. H.S.R. 6.6					
2	Dry Stones Masonry work for purely temporary nature. H.S.R. 12.57	1	4.00	(3.0 +1.0) / 2 =2.00	1.00	8.00
<u>ABSTRACT OF COST</u>						
<u>S No.</u>	<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Qty</u>	<u>Rates</u>		<u>Unit</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1	Earth work in excavation of foundation in all type of soils. H.S.R. 6.6	9.24 cum	1108.10	+350% C. Prem. =4986.45	100 cum	460.75
2	Rough Hammer dressing of S. boulders H.S.R. 12.55 ©	8.00 cum	35.00	+ 250% C. Prem. =122.5	cum	980.00
3	Dry Stones Masonry work for purely temporary nature. H.S.R. 12.57	8.00 cum	35.30	+ 250% C. Prem. =123.55	cum	988.40
4	Cost of Stone boulders stone boulders 136annually locally @ 0.50 per person per day for 164.00 cum.	8.00 cum	945.00		P/day	7560.00
Total =						9989.15
Add contingency at the rate of 3%						299.67
Grand Total =						10288.82
Per cum Rate = 10288.82 /8.00 = 1286.10 or say Rs.1285/- only						

**WORK PLAN OF DRY STONE MASSONRY
CHECK DAM**



PLAN



X-SECTION

* Not to Scale
* All Dimension in m.

Work Plan of Dry Stone Masonry Check Dam

Table. 13. Detailed estimate of Pond

Detail Estimate of village Pond					
	Volume of Pond	=	$\frac{A+AB+C}{3} \times D$		
			6		

		=	$(50 \times 50) + 4(41 \times 41) + (32 \times 32)$	X 3.00	
			6		
		=	5124 cum		
Volume of Stone Pitching		=	Area X Depth/ Height		
		=	3824 X 0.15		
		=	423.60 cum		
			or say - 1461.55 cft.		
<u>Leads Statement</u>					
Horizontal Leads		=	$(\text{length}/2) + (\text{cross section area}/2 \times 0.60)$		
		=	$80/2 + \{(16.50 + 3)/2 \times 2.25\}/2 \times 0.60$		
		=	61.94 mtr.		
Vertical Leads		=	$(\text{Depth} + \text{Height}) \times 0.4 \times 10$		
		=	21.00 mtr.		
Total Leads		=	$\{(61.94 + 21.00) - 15.00\}/7.5$		
		=	9 Leads		

Table. 14. Abstract of cost of estimate for Digging Village Pond

S.No.	Particulars	H.S.R. No.	Quantity	Rates	Unit	Amount
1	Excavation of earth work for digging of the vill. Pond	6.2 (b)	5124.00	2243.75	100 cum	114969.75
2	Extra for every 7.50 mtr. Additional lead upto 60 mtr. For 6 No. leads	6.2 (c')(i)	5124.00	496.29	100 cum	25429.90
3	Extra for admixture of shingle or Kanker upto 30%-40%		5124.00	1218.45	100 cum	62433.38
4	Extra for compaction in 25 cm layers but excluding rolling	6.2 (g_(i))	5124.00	260.48	100 cum	13347.00
5	Extra for watering in 25 cm layers as per specifications for compaction	6.2 (g_(ii))	5124.00	286.88	100 cum	14699.73
6	Extra for rolling in 25 cm layers as per specifications by sheep foot roller	6.2 (g)(v)	5124.00	401.62	100 cum	20579.01
Total						251458.76
Add. Contingency @2%						5029.1753
Grand Total						256487.94
Or say `						2.60 Lac




Table. 15. Estimate of Orchard Development in the Watersheds Per Hectare (Lemon, Kinnoo)

A. Horticulture

Sr. No.	Particulars	Quantity	Unit	Rate	Amount
1	Soil working 1m x 1m x 1m size pits (390 Nos.) including cost of refilling(At the distance 15'x15')	390.00	cum	36.66	14297.40
2	Application of Farmacyard Manure, including cost			L.S.	750.00
3	Cost of fertiliser/ pesticide @250gm/plant			L.S.	750.00
4	Cost of plants (including 15% etc. for mortality) including transportation and planting	450.00	Nos.	15/Plant	6750.00
5	Casualty replacement @ 10% of item No. 4 & 5				465.00
6	Cost of 2 weedings and hoeing			1.00/Pant	540.00
7	Contingency and unforeseen (3%)				492.00
Total					24044.40
Say `					24000.00
	Maintenance cost 2 nd year			L.S.	1000.00
	For next 5 years i.e. , ` 1000 x 5				5000.00
Total					30000.00
Say `					30000.00

Estimate of Orchard Development in the Watersheds Per Hectare (Guava ,Amla & Ber)

A. Horticulture

Sr. No.	Particulars	Quantity	Unit	Rate	Amount
1	Soil working 1m x 1m x 1m size pits (225 Nos.) including cost of refilling(At the distance 20'x20')	225.00	cum	36.66	8248.50
2	Application of Farmacyard Manure, including cost			L.S.	450.00
3	Cost of fertiliser/ pesticide @250gm/plant			L.S.	450.00
4	Cost of plants (including 15% etc. for mortality) including transportation and planting	260.00	Nos.	30/Plant	7800.00
5	Casualty replacement @ 10% of item No. 4 & 5				465.00
6	Cost of 2 weedings and hoeing			1.00/Pant	540.00

7	Contingency and unforeseen (3%)				492.00
Total					18445.50
Say `					18500.00
8	Maintenance cost 2 nd year			L.S.	1000.00
	For next 5 years i.e. , ` 1000 x 5				5000.00
Total					24500.00
Say `					24500.00

Table. 16. Estimate of Agro- Forestry/ Afforestation

Plantation Model

Cost statement of 1 Ha. Of activities of Plantation for 1st year (wage rate Rs. 94.13/-)

Sr. No.	Item of work	Unit	Qty.	SOR	Man days	Cost
B	Nursery					
i	Raising of Plants in nursery	Nos.	660	18	5601.00	11880.00

C	Carriage					
i	Loading/ Unloading of plants up to 100 mtr.	Nos.	605	21.18	1.36	128.139
ii	Multistage carriage of plants					
a)	By tractor up to 10 km.	Nos.	605	18.83	12.10	1139.22
c)	By manual labour in plantation area	Nos.	605	42.36	2.72	256.28
					Total	1523.63

D	Planting					
ii	Soil working for patch sowing	M3	31.25	61.18	20.31	1911.88
	500 x 0.50 x 0.50 x 0.25					
iii	Planting of seeding including 10% replacement 20 x 30 cm.	Nos.	550	188.26	10.99	1035.43
					Total	2947.31

E	Cultural operations & chemical treatment					
i	Fertilizer application	Nos.	500	9.41	0.50	47.05
ii	Insecticide application	Nos.	500	9.41	0.50	47.05
iii	First Weeding & hoeing	Nos.	500	141.2	7.5	706.00
vi	Subsequent weeding & hoeing two time	Nos.	1000	94.13	10.00	941.30
					Total	1741.40

G	Material					
ii	Spade and pick axes	----	----	----	----	135.00
iii	Basket/Bucket	----	----	----	----	135.00
v	Fertilizer	----	----	----	----	135.00
vi	Insecticide	----	----	----	----	270.00
					Total	675.00

G. Total =		18767.34
or Say =		18767.00

PRODUCTION SYSTEM- 10%

7.3 PRODUCTION SYSTEM

7.3.1 Crop Production

Present Status: Agriculture is the mainstay of the inhabitants of the project area which is mainly rainfed and people gamble with the uncertain rains. The fertility of the soil is very poor especially in available nitrogen is low and available phosphorous in the soil is low to medium and the available potash in the soil is medium to high. Mustard, Wheat and Bajra are the main crops. Due to frequent droughts, crop failures are common, and yield levels are low. Farmers maintain fodder plants on the field bunds. Because of extensive damage by wildlife, farmers are gradually shifting towards dairy farming. But there is acute shortage of green and dry fodder. Still traditional farm practices are followed such as manual weeding and hoeing, use of desi ploughs and bullock power in tillage operations. The systematic and regular soil testing has not been done. Only farm yard manure is added to maintain yield levels. Food grains are hardly sufficient for 6 to 8 months with small farmers. Post-harvest grain storage, food processing and value addition techniques are not prevalent.

Scope of Improvement: There appears tremendous scope in improving production systems of the project area. The following practices are suggested for better harvests.

- Conservation farming concept based on getting highest yield per drop of water shall be introduced. This would also include better tillage practices for in-situ rain water conservation.
- Weather related contingent crop planning shall be introduced to reduce the impact of droughts.
- The varieties of wheat are old and shall be replaced with latest varieties.
- There is a good scope of introducing hybrid varieties of Bajra. Intercropping of Moong and Urad is suggested with Bajra.

- The application of fertilizers on soil test basis and minimum use of chemicals for weed and disease control shall be promoted.
- Farmers would be linked to farm advisory services and Krishi Vigyan Kendras.
- The dry land farming techniques should be adopted for better production.
- Agro-forestry with integration of trees like Neem, Acacia, Shisham would be promoted on large scale.
- Leguminous crops mainly Moong and mash short duration varieties needs to be introduced

7.3.2 Horticulture

Existing System: Ber, Amla and Guava are the most preferred fruit crop of the farmers and scattered plants of local citrus fruits are seen in farm lands. Some farmers have started raising Guava and Kinnow where irrigation facilities are available. Citrus fruits also raised but mostly for domestic use. Well organized marketing system in fruit plants.

Proposed System: The average annual rainfall is 702 mm in the project area. The project areas are well connected by roads and the economic condition of the locals can be improved by introducing improved cultural practices of fruit plants coupled with rain water harvesting and efficient use of water. Large number of farmers are interested to increase area under Guava, Ber and Kinnow requested for supply of good quality nursery raised plants. Several families have shown interest in raising Citrus fruits and Amla. The following activities are proposed to promote horticulture in the area.

- Supply of quality seedlings arranged from approved nurseries as per choice of farmers.
- Soil testing up to a depth of 180 cm depth to ensure suitability of soil for fruit plants.

- Proper back up technical support on orchard management by involving HAU Farm Advisory Service and department of horticulture.
- Appropriate safeguards from wildlife damage, frost damage and wind breaks.
- Arrangements for limited irrigation at least for first few years.
- Organizing SHGs around horticulture and joint purchase of inputs and marketing.

7.3.3 Vegetable cultivation

Present status: Vegetable cultivation as such for market purpose is not followed mainly because of the limitation of irrigation facilities. Most farmers raise vegetable crops in back yards for domestic use. Some poly houses have come up in the area with financial support from National Horticulture Mission and have started commercial cultivation of off season vegetables.

7.3.4 Promotion of Farm Forestry and Agro-forestry

Most of the privately owned non-arable the area is under mix of trees and bushes. Lantana, sarkanda and parthenium, the most obnoxious weeds have invaded such area.

- Planting of improved cultivars of Neem in the project as single rows on field bunds and also as blocks has been proposed to promote agro-forestry as an alternate source of income.

7.3.5 Livestock Improvement Including Fodder Production

Livestock rearing is the most important subsidiary occupation of the project villagers. In addition to selling milk for regular daily income, farm yard manure is most needed to maintain fertility and moisture retention of soils. Even landless families also maintain few numbers of animals. The animal breed improvement work was initiated in these villages under Aravali, DDP, DPAP projects and it is a regular program of the Animal Husbandry Department. However, the availability of animal health services at the door step is grossly lacking. The programs proposed under the project for livestock improvement include:

- In order to promote animal health care camps shall be organized and medicines for de-worming, mineral mixture shall be supplied in addition to awareness generation about prevention of animal diseases.
- Provision of quality seed of fodder crops and demonstration.

7.3.6 Marketing Arrangements and Proposal for Improvement

There is no organized system of marketing although market surplus is limited. The marketing of Wheat, Mustard and Bajra is not a problem because of fixed prices and government controlled procurement system. There is no organized system of marketing of vegetables and milk though both are source of income with many families.

The efforts through the project are made towards diversification of agriculture to include fruit and vegetable crops and dairy development. The transfer of area to these high value crops would depend on development of irrigation facilities, facilitation in input supplies, transfer of production technology, easy credit and market linkages. Efforts have been made to reactivate the non-functional

SHGs and UGs. New watershed committees have been formed in each village. Farmers have shown interest in joint management of resources and join hands for processing, value addition and marketing.

Fortunately, the involvement of Rural Development Department means regular interaction with the district administration whose good offices would be used to involve rural banking institutions in funding support for SHGs, User Groups and other interest groups.

7.3.7 Detail of production system to be promoted

Based on the discussions during PRA, the scope of production systems was worked out and as per the provision of funds @ 10% of the budget, the following activities were finalized.

Table 17:..Detail of Production System proposed to be promoted in the project village

S. No.	Particulars	Contents	No. of micro watersheds	No. of beneficiaries per micro watershed	No. of total beneficiaries	Cost per beneficiaries	Total
1	Agriculture	To introduce Summer Moong or Mash, gwar and groundnut as a third crop in bajra-wheat rotation. Supply of mini- kits	7	315(farmers)	1575 (mini kits)	200 per mini kits	315000

S. No.	Particulars	Contents	No. of micro watersheds	No. of beneficiaries per micro watershed	No. of total beneficiaries	Cost per beneficiaries	Total
		to 45 farmers of each micro watershed/year @ Rs.200/ kit as assistance is provided.					
	Agriculture	Application of farm inputs like Zinc Sulphate or Sulphur or weedicides or pesticides. 45 farmer of each micro watershed/ year @ Rs.200/ kits as assistance is provided.	7	315(farmers)	1575 (mini kits)	200 per mini kits	315000
	Agriculture	Supplying of Agriculture implements – 15 farmers (average) per micro watershed @ Rs. 1000/ units as assistance is provided.	7	105(farmers)	525	1000	525000
	Agriculture	Agro Forestry: Neem on 50% subsidy @ Rs. 10/ plant as assistance is provided.	7	4200(plants)	21000 plants	Rs. 10 per plant	210000
2	Horticulture	Potential for Grafted Horticulture plants. Supply of plants at 50 % cost share for cultivation of fruits like Citrus fruits, Guava, Amla, Ber floriculture and vegetables (especially, turmeric, garlic, onion and tomato)	7	700 plants	3500 plants	Rs.40 per plant	140000
	Horticulture	Kitchen gardening Packets distributed to 100 farmers in each micro watershed/ year @ Rs.25/ packet.	7	700	3500	Rs. 25 Per packet	87500
	Horticulture	Three units of Bee keeping in each micro watershed @ 3000/ unit as assistance are provided.	7	21	105	3000	315000
	Horticulture	Two units of Vermi compost in each micro	7	14	70	10000	700000

S. No.	Particulars	Contents	No. of micro watersheds	No. of beneficiaries per micro watershed	No. of total beneficiaries	Cost per beneficiaries	Total
		watershed per year @ Rs. 10000 per unit as assistance is provided.					
3	Animal Husbandry	Problems being faced due to some diseases in the animals and low yield of milk. Production of free life saving medicines/ minerals for animals – the provision for 45 farmers of each micro watershed/year @ Rs.225 has been provided.	7	315	1575	225	354375
	Animal Husbandry	Livestock Management supply of feed supplements to improve health of cattle's. The provision to benefit 45 farmers of each micro watershed/year @ Rs.225 has been kept in the project proposals.	7	315	1575	225	354375
	Animal Husbandry	Supply of mini- kits of high yielding variety green fodder seeds to 25 farmers in each micro watershed/year @ Rs.200/- mini kits.	7	175(farmers)	875 Seeds of mini kit	200 per mini kit of seeds	175000
4	Joint camps with Line Departments	Two training camps to beneficiaries on Proven technology in agriculture are provided (during pre kharif and rabi season).	7	14	70	20000	140000 0
		Contingency					17950

Total: Rs. 4909200/-

Note. The development of Horticulture, Animal Husbandry and Agro forestry has limited scope because of scattered & small land holding, wild life problems and drought conditions. The National Horticulture Mission has already implementing various schemes in the project area. The beneficiaries are taking advantages under their ongoing schemes.

In order to manage the fodder scarcity the latest rain fed varieties of fodder crop will be introduced on the recommendation of experts of Haryana Agriculture University and Central Soil and Water Conservation Research Institute, Chandigarh. Necessary provision for organizing the various training programme / exposure visits has been provided in the Capacity Building activity.

7.3.8. Vermin Compost

The vermin compost is one of the very useful organic manure. The vermin compost prepared by induction of various types worms (Earth Worm), to de compost and converted from raw animal dung to well de compost highly nutritive organic manure.

One of the important occupations of villagers is the animal husbandry. At present, the animal wastes are not being used by the villagers. This waste can be utilized as vermin- compost on the farm where the productivity and physical condition of the soil can be increased manifold. The animal waste can be used for preparation of vermin- compost. The available nutrients in vermin- compost are higher than country type farmyard manure. As per NHM guideline, the installation cost of structure of 1 vermin compost unit (size) 500 Sq. ft., the total cost of the unit would be is Rs. 60000/-. Out of this the 50% subsidy i.e. Rs.30000/- is met from the ongoing programme of horticulture department. The additional amount i.e. Rs. 10000/- will be form under IWMP Programme. The nutrition value of vermin compost is more than Farm Yard Manure and compost i.e. nitrogen- 1.2 to 1.6%, Phosphorous 1.5 to 1.8%, Potash 1.2 to 2% are just double.

Table 18: Model/ Estimate for a Vermin Compost Unit

Sr. No	Component	Expenditure to be incurred
1	Construction of shed of size 500 Sq. ft. @ Rs. 100 per Sq. ft. with pacca floor, beds and coverings etc.	50000/-
2	Cost on breeding material and purchase of worms etc.	8000/-
3	Tools and equipments etc.	2000/-
	Total	60000/-

Components of Vermin Compost Unit

1. Shed

Due to the high temperature in summer, shed structure is needed for vermin compost unit. It can be made by use of bricks/ concrete pillars. While designing the shed adequate room has to be left around the beds for easy movements of labours attending to the filling and harvesting the beds.

2. Vermin- beds

Scientific bed side depending upon the provision of filtered for drainage of excess water is prepared of about 75- 90 cm thick. The whole bed should be above the ground, the proper bed width to be not more than 1.5 m to allow easy access to the centre of the bed is constructed.

3. Land

About 125 sq. m. land is required to set up the vermin compost production. It should have 2- 3 sheds each of 180- 200 sq. ft. Good watering arrangement is required as the moisture is very essential for vermin compost production.

4. Seed Stock

This is important because worms multiply at the rate of 350 worms per cubic meter of bed space over a period of six months in a year.

5. Machinery

Farm machinery and implements are required for cutting the raw material in small pieces, conveying shredded raw material to the out sheds, loading, unloading, collection of compost, loosening of beds for aeration, shifting of the compost. Costs of providing necessary implements and the machinery have to be included in the project cost.

LIVELIHOOD ACTIVITIES FOR THE ASSET LESS PERSONS-9%

7.4 LIVELIHOOD SUPPORT TO SHG'S

The key issue of inclusion of this chapter is that about 70% of the population in the proposed villages depends on agriculture and allied activities, but it rarely provides sufficient means of survival to small and marginal farmers. During the base line survey, this aspect was discussed with the existing Self Help Group/ Gram Sabha members. The representative of WAPCOS, Sociologist of the team held comprehensive discussions on the possibilities of livelihood in the rain fed areas. The main objectives of these discussions were:

1. Assure one livelihood option to poor families.
2. Assured livelihood for at least 300 days in a year including MGNREGA.
3. At least one daily job per family mainly SCs/BPL/very poor families.

SHGs would be imparted Skill Training on identified Economic Activities and it is proposed to impart them trainings at Krishi Vigyan Kender (CCSHAU) Rewari and Haryana Institute of Rural Development, Nilokheri, Agriculture University, Hisar, Central Soil and Water Research and Training Institute, Chandigarh. It is proposed to lend revolving fund of Rs. 25000/- to each SHG/individual formed in the watershed villages. Since the members from SHGs/landless are very poor, they do not have resources to start micro enterprises, it is envisaged that they should be assisted and given loan of this amount in the shape of Revolving Fund Assistance (RFA) so that they do not get trapped by money lenders. Funds thus given on loan are recoverable from SHGs/individuals in easy installments. It is also proposed to impart skill training to at least 10 unemployed youth from each village and give them trainings of their choice so that they establish some small enterprises. It is further proposed to give them interest free loan of Rs. 12000/- each as Revolving Fund Assistance to meet their urgent needs of funds for establishing micro enterprises. Such funds recovered could either be given back to SHGs/individual or some other SHGs/individuals depending upon assessment of their respective needs. It is

proposed to form 2 SHGs in each village and identify at least 10 youths in each village for imparting training and giving Revolving Fund.

7.4.1 Activities those are likely to be taken up by SHGs/individuals

- 1. Cutting and Tailoring
- 2. Embroidery
- 3. Mushroom cultivation
- 4. Plumbing
- 5. Carpentry
- 6. Bee keeping
- 7. Animal husbandry
- 8. Vermi composting
- 9. Cattle rearing and selling milk
- 10. Household wiring, Motor winding
- 11. Backyard poultry
- 12. Skill Development in Computer

The details of funds proposed to be utilized under this component are as under:

Table 19. Revolving Fund Assistance for SHGs

S.No.	Name of micro	No. of	Total SHGs	Amount of RFA per SHG	Total
-------	---------------	--------	------------	-----------------------	-------

	watersheds	villages			
1	Dhawana	1	2	25000	50000
2	Siha	1	2	25000	50000
3	Bitori	1	2	25000	50000
4	Khaleta	1	2	25000	50000
5	Nimoth	1	2	25000	50000
6	Buroli A	1	2	25000	50000
7	Buroli B	1	2	25000	50000
		7	14		350000

Table 20. Skill Trainings/Skill up gradation for SHGs

S.No.	Name of micro watersheds	No. of villages	Total SHGs	Amount of Training per SHG	Total
1	Dhawana	1	2	35000	70000
2	Siha	1	2	35000	70000
3	Bitori	1	2	35000	70000

4	Khaleta	1	2	35000	70000
5	Nimoth	1	2	35000	70000
6	Buroli A	1	2	35000	70000
7	Buroli B	1	2	35000	70000
		7	14		490000

Note: This training cost includes Travel, boarding/lodging, cost of training and faculty support for different discipline e.g. Bakery Product, Soap and detergent making, fisheries, Bee keeping, Vermi Compost, Domestic poultry, Mushroom cultivation, Plumbing, Carpentry, Food Processing, Animal Husbandry, Product Processing etc.

Table 21. Computer Training (6 months) for unemployed youth above 12th passed male and female both recommended by Watershed Development Committee

S.No.	Name of micro watersheds	No. of villages	No. of Persons in micro watershed	Amount of Training per trainee for 6 month	Total
1	Dhawana	1	15	10000	150000
2	Siha	1	15	10000	150000
3	Bitori	1	15	10000	150000
4	Khaleta	1	15	10000	150000
5	Nimoth	1	15	10000	150000
6	Buroli A	1	12	10000	120000
7	Buroli B	1	12	10000	120000
		7	99		990000

Note: The beneficiaries will contribute 10% as cost sharing of the livelihood support programme Rs. 990000 @ 10% cost sharing.

= 990000- 99000

= **891000/-**

Table 22. One time assistance as Revolving Fund to unemployed youth who have successfully completed Computer Training for setting up a computer centre

S. No.	Name of micro watersheds	No. of villages	No. of Persons in micro watershed	Amount of Training per Trainee	Total
1	Dhawana	1	15	20000	300000
2	Siha	1	15	20000	300000
3	Bitori	1	15	20000	300000
4	Khaleta	1	15	20000	300000
5	Nimoth	1	15	20000	300000
6	Buroli A	1	12	20000	240000
7	Buroli B	1	12	20000	240000
		7	99		1980000

Note: This training cost includes Travel, boarding/lodging, cost of training and faculty support.

Note: The beneficiaries will contribute 10% as cost sharing of the livelihood support programme Rs. 1980000 @ 10% cost sharing.

= 1980000- 198000

= **1782000/-**

Table 23. Cutting and Tailoring Centre for female beneficiaries

S. No.	Name of micro watersheds	No. of villages	No. of centre's	Requirement for sewing machines per village (2 No.)	Payment to trainer per months	Period of training for each centre	Total payment to trainer
1	Dhawana	1	1	2	2000	6	12000
2	Siha	1	1	2	2000	6	12000
3	Bitori	1	1	2	2000	6	12000
4	Khaleta	1	1	2	2000	6	12000
5	Nimoth	1	1	2	2000	6	12000
6	Buroli A	1	1	2	2000	6	12000
7	Buroli B	1	1	2	2000	6	12000
		7	7	14			84000

Total cost for 7 Centres

1. Payment to trainers 84000/-

2. Sewing Machine Cost 30000/- (lump sum)

Table 24. Embroidery Centre for female beneficiaries

S.No.	Name of micro watersheds	No. of villages	No. of centers	Payment to Trainer per Month	Period months	Payment to trainer for 6 months @ Rs. 2000 p.m	Total trainers	Grand Total
1	Dhawana	1	1	2000	6	12000	1	12000
2	Siha	1	1	2000	6	12000	1	12000
3	Bitori	1	1	2000	6	12000	1	12000
4	Khaleta	1	1	2000	6	12000	1	12000
5	Nimoth	1	1	2000	6	12000	1	12000
6	Buroli A	1	1	2000	6	12000	1	12000
7	Buroli B	1	1	2000	6	12000	1	12000
		7	7				7	84000

Total Cost:

Payment to trainer: Rs.84000/-

Table 25. Livelihood Support

S.No.	Name of micro watersheds	No. of villages	Revolving fund assistance to individuals unemployed youth/ landless, women	
			Dairy Unit	Bee Keeping, Mushroom Cultivation, Vermi compost etc.
1	Dhawana	1	3	3

2	Siha	1	3	3
3	Bitori	1	2	2
4	Khaleta	1	2	2
5	Nimoth	1	3	3
6	Buroli A	1	3	3
7	Buroli B	1	2	2
	Total	7	18	18
	Rate (Rs)		25000	10000
	Cost (Lakh Rs)		4.50	1.80

Contingency, printing material and other unseen items: Rs. 77280/-

Total funds available under this component are Rs. 4418280/-

In addition to HAU, the following institutions are also identified for imparting trainings:

- i. HIRD, Nilokheri
- ii. Agriculture, Technology and Extension, Hisar Agriculture University
- iii. Central Soil and Water research and training Institute, Chandigarh
- iv. Mushroom Training Centre, Sonipat and Solan
- v. NIRD, Hyderabad
- vi. Krishi Vigyan Kender (CCSHAU), Rewari

There appears to be great potential for these activities and these activities are likely to generate income of Rs. 2000/- to Rs. 2500/- per member per month. However no activities would be forced upon on any SHGs and they would be free to decide the activity they would like to opt for their additional income. The PIA can take up the activities as per the need and approval of the Watershed Committee. Based on their choice, Project report for the specified activity would be prepared and revolving fund of Rs. 20000/ Rs. 25000/- per SHG would be given for running their respective micro enterprise. If need arises for more funds for their Income Generation Activities at later stage, they would be assisted in getting loan from banks. SHGs thus formed would be provided all possible assistance to uplift for their Socio- Economic conditions.

CONVERGENCE

7.5 INTRODUCTION

The National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (NREGA), notified on September 7, 2005, marked a paradigm shift from the previous wage employment programmes with its rights-based approach that makes the Government legally accountable for providing

employment to those who demand it. The act aims at enhancing livelihood security households in rural areas of the country by providing at least one hundred days of guaranteed wage employment in a financial year to every household whose audit members volunteer to do unskilled manual work. Such Inter sectoral convergence becomes instrumental towards.

- Establishing synergy among different government programmes in planning and implementation to optimize use of public investments
- Enhancing economic opportunities
- Strengthening democratic Processes
- Mitigating the effects of Climate Change
- Creating conditions for sustainable development.
- One of the significant areas for convergence is the Watershed Management Programme of the Dept. of Land Resources (DoLR) in the Ministry of Rural Development (MoRD),
- Convergence is an evolving process and while broad principles can be laid out at the centre, the actual contours of convergence will be determined by the resources at the Central, State, District and the project level. Also, to fully identify the possibilities of convergence, it may be necessary to make a beginning with select programmes, so that the experience of implementation may further inform and refine strategies for convergence.

7.5.1 Convergence between MGNREGA and Watershed Programmes

Most of the activities under watershed development are covered under MGNREGA and there is a need for convergence to meet gap in requirement under IWMP. The labour component would be met out of funds made available under MGNREGA. The village wise details of the funds requirement are exhibited below (table. 26)

Detail of Convergence of IWMP and other schemes

Table 26. GAPS IN FUNDS REQUIREMENT – MICRO WATERSHED WISE

S.No	Name of micro watersheds	Total cost requirement for works	Total funds available under IWMP for works	Gap in funds requirement for works	Convergence with MGNREGA
1	Dhawana	46.02	44.22	1.80	1.80
2	Siha	32.33	29.43	2.90	2.90
3	Bitori	23.76	21.50	2.26	2.26
4	Khaleta	34.27	32.93	1.34	1.34
5	Nimoth	53.60	51.41	2.19	2.19
6	Buroli A+B	96.93	95.42	1.51	1.51
	Total	286.91	274.91	12	12

- Under NREGA almost all the activities required for watershed development are permitted. Convergence between NREGA and Watershed Programmes of DoLR will be mutually beneficial for rain fed areas.

7.5.2 Non-Negotiable for works executed under MGNREGA

- Only Job Card holders to be employed for MGNREGA component.
- Muster rolls will be maintained on work site, with copies in the Gram Panchayat and to be electronically maintained on nrega.nic.in
- Wage payments will be through no-frills accounts in banks/post offices.

Need for Convergence: Since more than 56% of activities related to Watershed development are covered under MGNREGA, there is need for convergence to meet gap in Funds requirements under IWMP. Detailed survey had been conducted in Watershed villages and it has emerged that there is need for more funds to augment and strengthen the activities under IWMP. All seven micro watersheds need more funds to meet the gap. Therefore, some of the works are proposed to be converged with MGNREGA. The labour component would be met out of funds made available under MGNREGA.

7.5.3 Convergence with Forest Department

The unit cost of agro- forestry component for 1 ha area (1100 plant) for plantation and other activity is Rs. 18767/-. The provision of Rs. 15000/- per ha has given in IWMP programme. The rest amount of Rs. 3767/- will be convergent from lined department from departmental schemes or MGNREGA.

7.5.4 Convergence with Horticulture Department

National Horticulture Mission is implementing the horticulture development programme which includes construction of water harvesting structures, drip and sprinkler irrigation activities which would be undertaken in convergence with the horticulture department. Under this activity 24 ha horticulture development programme with the financial assistance of Rs. 6.00 lakh has been provided in the project proposals. This would also be undertaken by convergence with the horticulture department.

7.5.5 Convergence with Agriculture Department

The activities under NRM like Renovation / Dug Out Pond, Water conveyance system, Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel, Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support, Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet, Ramp/Inlet and Outlet, Roof top rain water recharge structure, Community water storage Tank, Dry stone Check Dam etc. where the machinery and material component is required and the unit cost exceeds for completion exceeds to the project provision, the same will be met in convergence with the similar activities of the agriculture.

7.5.6 Convergence with Animal Husbandry Department

The watershed falls in the water deficit conditions for production of fodder and depends upon the rain. The rainfall pattern is erratic. There is deficiency of green fodder and nutrients for the animals. The provision has been kept for providing mini kits for of life saving medicines/ mineral mixture, concentrate feed and fodder seeds. Since the provision of these kits is less than the required, hence this would be met with the lined department who has a provision under their ongoing programmes.

CHAPTER – 8

QUALITY AND SUSTAINABILITY

8.1 Monitoring and Evaluation

8.1.1 Plans for Monitoring and Evaluation:

Web based GIS system is being developed for Monitoring and Evaluation at various stages of project under progress and post project. The satellite imageries are also helpful in monitoring all activities of the watershed area (Pre project, during project and post project). All the details relating to Watershed Activities would be available on website. The system is very useful to know the progress of the project at the click of the button. The higher officials would be able to monitor the progress and could generate the desired reports. The system would also help beneficiaries to know the area of importance, already treated area/ area to be treated. The system would serve an aiding tool to the planners and evaluators for judging the efficacy of the project.

8.1.2 Monitoring

Regular Monitoring of the project will have to be carried out at each stage to monitor the progress of the project. Different streams of monitoring are proposed as under:

1. Internal Monitoring by PIA/ WCDC
2. Progress and Process monitoring
3. GIS/ On line Monitoring
4. Sustainability monitoring
5. Self Monitoring by communities
6. Social Audits
7. Independent and external monitoring

Monitoring of watershed related activities will be carried out after completion of each phase. 1% amount of the project is earmarked under this component. Micro Watershed wise details are given below:

Table 1. Micro Watershed wise details

S. No.	Name of the Micro Watersheds	Effective Area	Total Cost	Monitoring 1%
1	Dhawana	658	78,96,000	78,960
2	Siha	438	52,56,000	52,560
3	Bitori	320	38,40,000	38,400

4	Khaleta	490	58,80,000	58,800
5	Nimoth	765	91,80,000	91,800
6	Buroli A	753	90,36,000	90,360
7	Buroli B	667	80,04,000	80,040

8.2 EVALUATION

Each evaluation will include physical, financial, and social audit of all work done. The objective of evaluation of the project is to assess the status of watershed related interventions in the project. The evaluation will be taken up in three stages of the project. The Evaluation will be done by agencies empanelled on SLNA.

1% amount of the project is earmarked under this component. Micro Watershed wise details were as under:

Table 2. Micro Watershed wise details

S. no.	Name of the Micro Watersheds	Effective Area	Total Cost	Evaluation 1%
1	Dhawana	658	7896000	78960
2	Siha	438	5256000	52560

3	Bitori	320	3840000	38400
4	Khaleta	490	5880000	58800
5	Nimoth	765	9180000	91800
6	Buroli A	753	9036000	90360
7	Buroli B	667	8004000	80040

CONSOLIDATION PHASE- 3 %

Consolidation Phase = Rs. 14, 72,760 /-

8.3 CONSOLIDATION PHASE

This is another important activity under the project. In this phase, the resources augmented and economic plans developed in Phase II are made the foundation to create new nature based, sustainable livelihoods and raise productivity levels. There needs to be some mechanism at Watershed Level for the following crucial Activities as detailed below:

- I. Managing/upgrading of all activities taken up under the Project.
- II. Preparation of Project completion report and

III. Documentation of success stories

IV. Management of proper utilization of WDF

V. Mechanism for Quality and sustainability issues under the Project.

VI. Mechanism for fixation and collection of User Charges.

VII. Consolidation of works

VIII. Building the capacity of community based organizations to carry out the new agenda – post project period.

IX. Intensification of farm production systems/off farm livelihoods

X. Project Management related aspects

To take up these activities, it is proposed In the DPR as under:

Name of Micro watershed: Dhawana

Table 3. Consolidated Phase

S. No	Type of activity	Amount earmarked (Rs. In lacs)
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.47
2	Preparation of Project completion report	0.12
3	Documentation of success stories	0.12
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.35
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.12
6	Watershed activities	1.19

Total: 2.37lacs

Name of Micro watershed: Siha

Table 4. Consolidated Phase

S. No	Type of activity	Amount earmarked (Rs. In lacs)
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.32
2	Preparation of Project completion report	0.08
3	Documentation of success stories	0.08
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.24
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.07
6	Watershed activities	0.79

Total: 1.58lacs

Name of Micro watershed: Bitori

Table 5. Consolidated Phase

S. No	Type of activity	Amount earmarked (Rs. In lacs)
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.23
2	Preparation of Project completion report	0.06
3	Documentation of success stories	0.06
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.17
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.06
6	Watershed activities	0.57

Total: 1.15 lacs

Name of Micro watershed: Khaleta

Table 6. Consolidated Phase

S. No	Type of activity	Amount earmarked (Rs. In
--------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------

		lacs)
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.35
2	Preparation of Project completion report	0.09
3	Documentation of success stories	0.09
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.26
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.09
6	Watershed activities	0.88

Total: 1.76 lac

Name of Micro watershed: Nimoth

Table 7. Consolidated Phase

S. No	Type of activity	Amount earmarked (Rs. In lacs)
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.55
2	Preparation of Project completion report	0.14
3	Documentation of success stories	0.14
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.41
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.14
6	Watershed activities	1.37

Total: 2.75 lacs

Name of Micro watershed: Buroli A

Table 8. Consolidated Phase

S. No	Type of activity	Amount earmarked (Rs. In lacs)
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.54
2	Preparation of Project completion report	0.14
3	Documentation of success stories	0.13
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.41
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.14
6	Watershed activities	1.35

Total: 2.71 lacs

Name of Micro watershed: Buroli B

Table 9. Consolidated Phase

S. No	Type of activity	Amount earmarked (Rs. In lacs)
--------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------------

1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.48
2	Preparation of Project completion report	0.12
3	Documentation of success stories	0.12
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.36
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.12
6	Watershed activities	1.20

Total: 2.40 lacs

As per the common guideline the management of developed natural resources would involve the following features:

- Improving the sustainability of various structures and equitable distribution. The watershed committee will fix the charges of water and the funds generated would be utilized O & M Structures. These users charges account will be maintained separately.
- Involvement of Gram Panchayat for repair, maintenance and protection of created structures.

CHAPTER – 9

EXPECTED OUTCOME

EXPECTED OUTCOMES

The effective area is 4091 ha and the Project Cost is 490.92 lacs covering 6 no. micro watersheds and in all 6 villages. Benefits will be much more than the project cost as detailed below:

With the several interventions under IWMP III project such as Livelihood support, Farm production system, various types of activities relating to soil conservation measures for diversification of crops, Protection to field by constructing the structures etc, it is expected that these Watershed villages shall be benefited. This intervention will have multiple benefits available to communities in terms of employment, check in migration, improvement in water table, more area under agriculture and horticulture, check in soil loss and decrease in Flood and drought incidences, improvement in crop yield, milk yield, check in degradation of land etc. The benefits thus accrued would be short term and long term. With the judicious use of funds available under IWMP and with convergence from MGNREGA and other schemes of Departments, this project of Dhawana Watershed III will prove to be very beneficial in improving socio – economic status of people residing in Project villages.

Expected outcomes as mentioned above are given in the following tables:

9.1 EMPLOYMENT

Employment has always been a problem in the village. The principal occupations of the people are rain fed agriculture, animal husbandry and casual labour work. However, rainfall being limited and erratic, agriculture suffers, i.e. thus limiting them for a single crop, which keeps them partially engaged for 4 to 5 months. Similarly due to lack of fodder animal husbandry does not keep them fully engaged. Thus the people mainly depend upon casual labour either in the villages is in Delhi, Gurgaon, Bhiwadi (Rajasthan), Dharuhera Industrial Complex.

Table 1. Expected Employment Generation in the Project area

S. No.	Name of micro	Wage employment		Self employment
		No of man days	No. of Beneficiaries	No. of Beneficiaries

	watersheds	SC	others	Total	SC	others	Total	SC	others	Women	Total
1	Dhawana	354	5645	5999	25	428	453	11	-	11	22
2	Siha	245	5539	5784	16	458	474	-	11	11	22
3	Bitori	178	3263	3441	18	324	342	11	11	-	22
4	Khaleta	326	6018	6344	21	288	309	11	-	11	22
5	Nimoth	687	6463	7150	176	371	547	-	11	11	22
6	Buroli A+B	358	14910	15268	17	479	496	11	11	22	44
	Total	2148	41838	43986	273	2348	2621	44	44	66	154

43986 man days would be generated with the implementation of the project in Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III), which means 88 person for 100 days per year would be employed for the period of five years. In addition to this cropped area/ productivity would be increased and will also generate employment.

9.2 MIGRATION PATTERN

Table 2. Pre and Post Migration in Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)

S.	Name of	No. of persons migrating	No. of days per year of	Comments
----	---------	--------------------------	-------------------------	----------

No	micro watersheds	migration				
		Pre Project	Expected post project	Pre Project	Expected post project	
1	Dhawana	14	7	60	30	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%
2	Siha	12	6	120	60	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%
3	Bitori	20	10	120	60	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%
4	Khaleta	22	11	60	30	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%
5	Nimoth	26	13	90	45	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%
6	Buroli A+B	28	14	90	45	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%

A comparison of above table with expected migration of table 19 of the Chapter 3 reveals that there will be about 50% reduction in the migration.

9.3 GROUND WATER TABLE (Drinking Water)

The Drinking Water supply is managed by Public health Department by Installing Tube well and Canal water supply in the area. The area is facing scarcity of water during May and June.

The area in this Watershed comes under fresh and falls in over exploited category. The present water level varies from 33 to 57.6m. The water table of such area is depleting from 0.38 to 1.15 m/year in block falling in Khol. The provision of water harvesting/recharging has been provided in the project proposal.

Table 3. Detail of average pre- post ground water table depth in the project area (in meters)

Sr. No.	Name of Name of Micro Watersheds/Villages	Source	Existing pre-project ground water table level (m)	Expected post project conditions
1	Dhawana	Open wells	55.8	The area being over exploited, rain water harvesting/recharging has been provided in the project proposals through construction of percolation tank/ ponds.
2	Siha	Open wells	57.6	
3	Bitori	Open wells	39.0	
4	Khaleta	Open wells	57.3	
5	Nimoth	Open wells	57.2	
6	Buroli A+B	Open wells	33	

Source: Ground Water Cell, Haryana

9.4 CROPS

Agriculture primary depends upon water, Due to lack of proper canal system and deeper ground water there is a struggle in irrigating crops all this can change with the integrated land and water management during the watershed project. The planned Renovation / Dug Out Pond, Water conveyance system, Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel, Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support, Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet, Ramp/Inlet and Outlet, Roof top rain water recharge structure, Community water storage Tank, Dry stone Check Dam etc. can preserve sub moisture in the soil. This will help in additional area coming under cultivation and increasing productivity too. The crop yield pre project and expected and post project is presented in table 4.

Table 4. Increase in Expected Yield in Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)

Name of Micro-Watersheds	Name of Crops	Pre project		Total Production (in Kg)	Total Value Rs (in lacs)	Expected post project		Total Production (in Kg)	Total Value Rs (in lacs)
		Area ha	Average yield kg. Per ha			Area ha	Average yield kg. Per ha		
Dhawana	Wheat	171	3465	592515	79.99	188	3638	683944	92.33
	Mustard	141	1250	176250	52.87	155	1300	201500	60.45

Name of Micro-Watersheds	Name of Crops	Pre project		Total Production (in Kg)	Total Value Rs (in lacs)	Expected post project		Total Production (in Kg)	Total Value Rs (in lacs)
		Area ha	Average yield kg. Per ha			Area ha	Average yield kg. Per ha		
	Bajra	320	1246	398720	49.84	346	1308	452568	56.57
Siha	Wheat	75	3455	259125	34.98	82	3610	296020	39.96
	Mustard	98	1241	121618	36.49	108	1303	140724	42.22
	Bajra	178	1245	221610	27.70	196	1307	256172	32.02
Bitori	Wheat	87	3465	301455	40.69	96	3638	349248	47.14
	Mustard	102	1200	122400	36.72	111	1248	138528	41.55
	Bajra	198	1200	237600	29.7	214	1260	269640	33.70
Khaleta	Wheat	59	3402	200718	27.10	65	3555	231075	31.20
	Mustard	115	1250	143750	43.13	126	1312	165312	49.59
	Bajra	155	1246	193130	24.14	170	1308	222360	27.80
Nimoth	Wheat	239	3400	812600	109.70	258	3570	921060	124.34
	Mustard	267	1250	333750	100.12	294	1300	382200	114.66
	Bajra	453	1245	563985	70.49	489	1307	639123	79.89
Buroli A+B	Wheat	343	3465	1188495	160.44	377	3621	1365117	184.29
	Mustard	501	1245	623745	187.12	551	1307	720157	216.04

Name of Micro-Watersheds	Name of Crops	Pre project		Total Production (in Kg)	Total Value Rs (in lacs)	Expected post project		Total Production (in Kg)	Total Value Rs (in lacs)
		Area ha	Average yield kg. Per ha			Area ha	Average yield kg. Per ha		
	Bajra	626	1246	779996	97.49	676	1308	884208	110.52
Total		4128			1208.71	4502			1384.27

Source: Revenue Department and Department of Agriculture, Rewari (Haryana)

9.5 HORTICULTURE

Table 5. Pre and post project area under Horticulture

S.No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Existing area under horticulture (ha)	Additional Area under horticulture proposed to be covered through IWMP	Total area in ha – Post Project
1	Dhawana	2	4	6
2	Siha	1	2	3
3	Bitori	1	2	3
4	Khaleta	2	3	5
5	Nimoth	1	3	4

6	Buroli A +B	4	10	14
	Total	11	24	35

9.6 AFFORESTATION/ VEGETATIVE COVER

Table 6. Pre and post project forest and vegetative cover

S.No.	Name of micro watersheds	Existing area under tree covered, ha	Area under tree cover proposed ha	Total
1	Dhawana	15	10	25
2	Siha	35	7	42
3	Bitori	17	5	22
4	Khaleta	41	6	47
5	Nimoth	12	5	17
6	Buroli A +B	28	25	53
	Total	148	58	206

9.7 LIVESTOCK

Table 7. Details of livestock in the project area

S. No.	Name of micro watershed	Type of Animals	Pre project			Post project			Remarks
			No.	Yield Kg/ day	Income In Rs per day	No.	Yield Kg/ day	Income In Rs per day	
1	Dhawana	Buffalo	1134	7-8	238-272	1304	8-9	320-360	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	156	3-4	75-100	179	5-6	150-180	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
2	Siha	Buffalo	1237	7.5- 8.5	255-289	1422	9.5- 10.5	380-420	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	109	3.5- 4.5	87-112	125	5.5- 6.5	165-195	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
3	Bitori	Buffalo	537	8-9	272-306	617	10-12	400-480	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	132	4.5- 5.5	87-112	152	6-8	180-240	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
4	Khaleta	Buffalo	837	7-8	238-272	962	9-11	360-440	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	148	4-5	100-125	170	6.5- 8.5	195-255	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
5	Nimoth	Buffalo	1311	7.5 – 8.5	255-289	1507	10-12	400-480	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%

S. No.	Name of micro watershed	Type of Animals	Pre project			Post project			Remarks
			No.	Yield Kg/ day	Income In Rs per day	No.	Yield Kg/ day	Income In Rs per day	
		Cow	185	4.5- 5.5	87-138	213	6-8	180-240	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
6	Buroli A+B	Buffalo	1396	8-9	272-306	1605	10-12	400-480	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	207	4.5- 5.5	87-112	238	6-8	180-240	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%

9.8 LINKAGES

The direct livelihood activities need good forward and backward support system. The activities may fail to deliver the desired results. These linkages would involve credit, machinery, input supply, marketing etc.

The backward forward linkages will involved the extension services which are brought available in the project proposal as capacity building and the provision have been kept. 20 kits of agriculture implement have been provided. Milk and other collection centre would be constituted with increased milk production under the project.

Table 8: Backward-Forward Linkages

Sr. No.	Project	Type of Marketing Facility	Pre-Project (no.)	During the Project (no.)	Post-project (no.)
1	Dhawana Watershed (IWMP III)	Backward linkages	-	-	-
		Seed certification	Moderate	Extension and Training	Improved
		Seed supply system	Moderate	Extension and Training	Improved
		Fertilizer supply system	Moderate	Extension and Training	Improved
		Pesticide supply system	Moderate	Extension and Training	Improved
		Credit institutions	Banks	Coordinate to lead banks	Bank intensity increased
		Water supply for irrigation	Scarcity	Promote rain water harvesting	Would be promoted
		Extension services	KGK& Agriculture deptt.	Extension & Training in village level	Improved
		Nurseries	Horticulture and forest	To be promoted	Improved
		Tools/ machinery suppliers	Subsides	Educate by Extension & Training	Supplies would be improved
		Price support system	Major crops	-	Needs for all crops
		Labour	-	Employment generate through works activities	Migration reduce
Any other (please specify)	-	-	-		

Sr. No.	Project	Type of Marketing Facility	Pre-Project (no.)	During the Project (no.)	Post-project (no.)
		Road network	Available	Coordinate with lined department	Would be strengthen
		Transport facilities	Moderate	Coordinate with lined department	Would be promoted
		Markets / Mandies	Exists	Coordinate with lined department	Intensity would be increased
		Agro and other industries	-	Coordinate with lined department to establish Cottage industries (Kutir Udyog) for landless and unemployed youth	Would be strengthen
		Milk and other collection centres	Milk collection centre in long distance	Coordinate with lined department	For installation on nearest door steps
		Any other (please specify)	-	-	-
			Vermi-compost unit	Convergence with NHM (Horticulture) department	To be increased
			Mushroom Cultivation	Convergence with NHM (Horticulture) department	To be increased
			Animal vitamins/ Minerals Deficit	Coordinate with lined department, to organize camps in watershed area	Animal vitamins feeds Would be

Sr. No.	Project	Type of Marketing Facility	Pre-Project (no.)	During the Project (no.)	Post-project (no.)
					promoted

9.8.1 LOGICAL FRAMEWORK ANALYSIS

Table 9. Logical Framework Analysis

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
Village Institution Formation	Formation of Watershed Community, User Groups	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Watershed Committee each village Number of user groups depending on the coverage of particular intervention 	Project can be implemented and managed in a democratic and Participatory way ensuring equity and transparency.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unity and prosperity in the village management. People's Participation and positive perception towards the programme.
Strengthening Village operations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organizing training and awareness programme for village institutions (I.E.C. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Awareness camps to be organized Trainings and exposure visits UGs and WCs to be held Capacity building workshops to be 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality of management of common resources improved. Quality of distribution of benefits between 	

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
	<p>Activities).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capacity Building workshops and exposure visits for User Group and Watershed Community • Facilitating and monitoring the functioning of UGs and WCs • Strengthen linkages between UGs and WCs and Panchayat Institutions • Gender sensitization of UGs and WCs to increase inclusiveness of Samuh (Joint) decision making. • Sensitize 	<p>organized one.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Federations of UGs and WC to be formed. 	<p>people improved.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased awareness amongst women about village resources • Women participation enhanced in decision-making of GVCs. • Involvement of youth and children in village development. 	

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
	<p>Village communities to involve children and youth in development</p>			
Fund Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve management and utilization of UGs and WCs • Prepare communities to explore other sources of income for UGs and WCs. 	<p>UGs and WCs operating bank account and managing resources on their own.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purpose, frequency and volume of use of the fund enhanced • Volume of funds generated for UGs and WCs from other sources of income increased 	
Ecological restoration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protection, Treatment and regeneration of common and private lands. • Protection, treatment and regeneration of forest lands. • Plantation of fruits and forest species. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Common and private lands to be brought under new plantations and agro-horti- forestry like Neem, Adussa, prosopis, Banyan and Peepul. • Forest lands to be brought under new plantations and protection. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fodder availability from common and private land increased. • Accessibility to common and forest lands increased with removal of encroachments and resolution of conflicts 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better Ecological order in the area. • Increase in the proportion of households having more security of fodder. • Reduction in drudgery of fodder and fuel collection, especially women

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Input trainings, conduct meetings and organize exposure visits for communities, village volunteers and staff to effectively plan, execute and monitor activities. • Identification and promotion of non-timber forest produce based income generation activities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trainings, exposure visits and meetings to be organized for communities, village volunteers and staff. • Income generation intervention promoted 		
Rainfed Area Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Treatment of land through improved soil and moisture conservation practices on 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land to be brought under improved soil moisture conservation practices. • Good agricultural 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved productivity of treated land. • Increased availability of water in cells. 	<p>Increase in proportion of households having more security of food Increase in contribution of agricultural income to the household income</p>

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
	<p>watershed basis.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promotion of good agricultural practices- horticulture, improved crop and vegetable. • Promotion of organic farming practices. • Formation of Fodder banks to increase fodder security and promote dairy development among communities. • Identification and promotion of agri-produce based income generation activities like grading, 	<p>practices to be promoted.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organic farming to be promoted. Fodder banks to be established. • Agriculture based livelihood income generation activities to be promoted • Water harvesting structures to be constructed. • Drip irrigation facilities to be distributed among farmers. • Approx 15000 person days of employment to be generated. • Trainings, exposure visits and meetings to be organized for communities, village volunteers. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase in annual agricultural production. • Farmers adopt organic farming practices. • Fodder security of farmers enhanced. • Increased availability of water for 9 to12 months. • Increased availability of water for livestock • Increase in agricultural productivity of land. • Augmentation of drinking water supply. 	

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
	<p>processing and packaging.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promotion of better irrigation practices like drip irrigation • Impart trainings, conduct meetings and organize exposure visits of communities. 			
<p>Women's socio-political and economic empowerment</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Formation and strengthening of women' SHG groups • Capacity building of women folk. • Capacity building of SHG leaders and accountants <p>Linking SHGs with external financial institutions</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Women's SHG groups to be formed. • Federation of Women's SHGs to be formed. • Trainings to be conducted for preparation of woolen products from sheep and goats 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhanced capacities of leaders of women's group in taking initiatives to solve problems at different levels. • Improved access to credit for livelihood purposes Increased household income. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Position of women in household, community, society (politically, socially and economically) as perceived by women and community at large. • Performance enhancement of SHGs in terms of participation, decision-making, leadership and fund management.

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Equality and equity in gender relations at home (decision making, expenditure, children's education, health)

The adoption of soil and water management practices, renovation of village ponds and plantations not only improve productivity but also improve village environment. The investments made in water resources development would ease shortage of water both for domestic use and livestock and also make water available for supplemental irrigation.

The introduction of improved production technologies would stabilize crop production, save crops from adverse impacts of droughts and raise income level of farmers. The increased fodder availability and animal health care, the milk production would increase. There would be increased cash flows from subsidiary occupations. The increased awareness, operations through SHGs and easy availability of finance would make the communities more vibrant and enterprising.