Contents (IWMP V)

CHAPTER- 1

METHODOLOGY

INTRODUCTION

The Government of India (GOI) adopted watershed management as a strategy to address the sustainable agricultural productivity in the rainfed areas since the last three decades. Further, GOI has adopted watershed management as a national policy since 2003. Several studies have highlighted that appropriate natural resource management and its utilization results in enhancement in agricultural productivity. In order to achieve food security, minimize the water conflicts and reduce poverty, it has become essential to increase productivity of rainfed / dry land farming by complete utilization of the available natural resources.

In Haryana, watershed activities were undertaken by Department of Agriculture (Soil Conservation), Forest Department and Rural Development Department. The existing scheme of watershed, like DPAP, DDP, IWDP & Haryali were brought under one umbrella in the name of Integrated Watershed Management Programme in the year 2008. The scheme is basically for rainfed area, Common Guidelines were framed by National Rainfed Area Authority. Rural Development Department is the Nodal Department for implementation of IWMP through State Level Nodal Agency.

In order to implement watershed area (IWMP V) programme, a systematic baseline survey has been conducted to know the potentiality of the village. With this view, a baseline survey was conducted in eight micro- watersheds Mangleshwar (2C5H2b7), Gujjar majri (2C5H2f3), Raipur (2C5H2b4), Tihara (2C5H2h3), Dulhera Khurd (2C5H2g2), Bidhawas (2C5H2f4), Shahpur (2C5H2h5), Subaseri (2C5H2g4). The base line survey conducted shall be considered as bench mark against which the results of project could be compared at the end of the implementation. It would also be helpful in guiding watershed programmes and to plan

its goal in identifiable terms and be used as future reference. PRA techniques and transect walk were conducted with the Gram Sabha members and beneficiaries for building confidence in participation during project planning.

1.1 SCIENTIFIC PLANNING

1.1.1 Cluster Approach

This envisages a broader vision of Geo- hydrological unit which involves treating the cluster (IWMP V) of 8 micro watersheds namely Mangleshwar (2C5H2b7), Gujjar majri (2C5H2f3), Raipur (2C5H2b4), Tihara (2C5H2h3), Dulhera Khurd (2C5H2g2), Bidhawas (2C5H2f4), Shahpur (2C5H2h5), Subaseri (2C5H2g4) with their respective codes.

1.1.2 Base Line Survey

Bench mark survey was conducted for collection of base line data on various bio-physical and socio-economic aspects. The methodology adopted was as follows:

1.1.3 Collection of Primary Data

Though the project was sanctioned in September, 2011 the preparatory phase started in 2012. Initially, a meeting was arranged with officials of concerned departments and technical experts located at Mangleshwar, Gujjar majri, Raipur, Tihara, Dulhera Khurd, Bidhawas, Shahpur, Subaseri micro- watersheds. During this meeting, Preliminary Project Report (PPR) was thoroughly discussed.

In order to have first hand information, a joint visit in the project area was made along with PRI members. In this survey, physical location of the watershed, drainage pattern, land use, employment scenario, agriculture produce and other problems related to the area were assessed. Sarpanches and local people were involved in the discussions and a note of the local needs and scope of watershed works was taken up.

The survey of India toposheets (Survey of India) of the area available on the 1:50000 scales of the project area were procured and all assigned villages were marked on the copies of the toposheets (Survey of India) as well as on the maps prepared by Soil and Land Use Survey of India (SLUSI).

The primary data related to land holding, crop area and production were collected from agriculture and revenue records of the village, the socio economic data of the target villages were procured from Anganwari workers and Panchayat Secretary in the village and district.

1.1.4 Collection of Secondary data

The data with regard to Demographic, socio-economic, infrastructure, land use, primary and secondary occupation, major crops grown and the yield level of fruits and vegetable crops, marketing facilities, fodder production, agro-forestry crops, live stock and milk production, status of self help groups, previous watershed schemes and works undertaken under MGNREGA etc. was gathered with the help of a designed Performa. Additional information was gathered by group and individual discussions with women groups, landless and other poor sections of the society. The issues concerning water availability, use of common property resources, fuel and fodder availability, wage employment opportunity and other major concerns were discussed, debated and recorded. Rainfall data was collected from the Deputy Director Agriculture (PPR).

1.2 PARTICIPATORY RURAL APPRAISAL

The due process of Participatory Rural Appraisal was followed in which village committees were sensitized about project activities. An appraisal of land resources, water resources, forest and pasture land resources, common property resources, production system and livestock resources was carried out by collecting data from primary and secondary sources. Group meetings were organized at common places and problems with possible solution were debated, discussed and efforts were made to reach an agreement on activities required under the projects. This was followed by transect walks across the entire area of the village and spots indicated by the community. The Technical possibilities were discussed and measurements were recorded for jointly agreed activities. Similarly,

discussions were held about entry point activities and items of work were finalized keeping in view the availability of funds in the project. Through discussions were held on production activities and new innovative techniques of improving crop, fruit and milk production. The women groups were sensitized about income generating activities and skill improvement by various types of trainings. The department field staff facilitated the process of participation at the planning stage. The department officials simultaneously stated the process of forming watershed committees for each village. The roles and responsibilities of all stake holders as per guidelines, the mechanism of fund flows, cost sharing arrangement in different components, and operational mechanism of the projects were thoroughly discussed with the community and to the Watershed Committees (WC) in detail.

1.2.1 Participatory Net Planning

The action plan was formulated based on the PRA, Geo-hydrological condition, Drainage pattern, Soil class, Soil erosion, forest and agriculture land. The project proposals were deliberated in the Gram Sabha meetings which were approved with required amendments.

Based on the experience of the experts working in the area and catchment area characteristics each structures like Water Conveyance System, Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields) (Water Saving Technology), Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation), Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet, Roof top rain water recharge structures, Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet, Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support, etc. were recommended to conserve and store water used for life saving additional irrigation potential in the rainfed area and to avoid further degradation of the land.

1.2.2 Community Participants in Social Mapping

The village communities were apprised about project activities. Group meetings were organized at common places, problems and possible solutions were debated, discussed and efforts were made to reach agreement on activities required under the project.

Social mapping involving local community was prepared. Infrastructure services and other village resources such as ponds, wells, agriculture land etc. were mapped.

1.2.3 Transect Walk

Reconnaissance survey was carried out through transect walk in order to identify the needs, treatments required and worksites. The sites were marked on the maps and different treatment measures required were recommended.

Transect walk

1.2.4 Focus Group Discussions

Focus Group Discussions (FGD) were conducted in order to obtain communities' approval on various identified needs. It was helpful in complementing the assessment emerged from PRA and to derive the opinion of the communities on various issues.

Gram Sabha member's participation in group discussion

1.3 USE OF GIS TECHNOLOGY FOR PLANNING

Scientific tool has been promoted at various stages of watershed development planning.

Various maps were prepared such as Base map, Present Land Use, Drainage and Contours, Slope, Soil Classification, Land Capability Classification, Soil Fertility Status, Ground Water Depth and Quality, Proposed and existing activities of works. All Watershed maps (micro- watershed) have been prepared using Soil and Land use Survey of India (SLUSI) maps with coding.

1.3.1 Prioritization

With the assistance of Geographical Information System (GIS), various layers were created like Topography (slope), Drainage and contour, Groundwater conditions, Slope, soil and Land Capability classes. All these parameters were given weightage as per the guidelines issued by Govt. of India. The map prepared was used during the field visit for finalization of works and project objectives.

1.3.2 Planning

Based on the land use and Topographical maps in addition to social maps (PRA) prepared by the participants, analysis was carried out for the planning in micro- watersheds. The action plan was formulated using maps of Drainage pattern, Soil class, Soil erosion, forest, hydrology and present land use. The project proposals were deliberated in the Gram Sabha meetings which were approved with required amendments.

Based on the experience of the experts working in the area and catchment area characteristics each structure like Water Conveyance System, Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields) (Water Saving Technology), Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation), Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet, Roof top rain water recharge structures, Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet, Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support, etc. were provided in consultation with the Gram Sabha Members. However finally only those activities are included which were suggested by the Gram Sabha according to their needs.

1.3.3 Hydrological modeling

The relevant hydrological parameters were used for delineation of micro- watersheds as per the existing drainage system. The works/ activities under drainage line treatment are proposed as per topography, present land use, site conditions and run- off in consultation with WC. These maps were generated as per SLUSI coding system. The maps are produced by developing different layers using GIS technology.

Table 1. Detail of scientific planning and inputs in IWMP projects

S.No.	Scientific Criteria/input used	Whether Scientific Criteria was used
A	**Planning**	
	Cluster approach	Yes
	Hydro-geological survey	Yes
	Contour Mapping	Yes
	Participatory net planning (PNP)	Yes
	Remote sensing data-especially soil	Yes
	Ridge to valley treatment	Yes
	Online IT connectivity between	Yes
	1. Project and DRDA cell/ZP	Yes
	2. DRDA and SLNA	Yes
	3. SLNA and DoLR	Yes

S.No.	Scientific Criteria/input used	Whether Scientific Criteria was used
	Availability of GIS layers	Yes
	1. Survey of India map/imagery /SLUSI map	Yes
	2. Micro- Watershed Boundary	Yes
	3. Drainage pattern	Yes
	4. Soil (soil fertility status)	Yes
	5. Land use	Yes
	6. Ground water status	Yes
B	Inputs	-
	Bio pesticides	Yes
	Organic manure	Yes
	Vermin- compost	Yes
	Bio Fertilizer	Yes
	Water saving devices	Yes
	Mechanical tools	Yes

S.No.	Scientific Criteria/input used	Whether Scientific Criteria was used
	Bio fencing	No
	Nutrient Budgeting	No
	Automatic water level recorder & sedimentation samplers	No

1.4 Preparation of Action Plan and Approval

Based on the need and problems in watershed area; a draft action plan was prepared and placed before the concerned watershed development committee as per schedule circulated by Additional Deputy Commissioner for approval of the Watershed Committees. After detailed deliberations and incorporation of relevant recommendation/ suggestions, the action plan was approved in the meeting of Gram Sabha. The resolution of each village falling in the watershed has been received. The record is available with the PIA and WAPCOS.

CHAPTER – 2

PROJECT BACKGROUND

2.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP-V) project is falls in Bawal block of Rewari district in Haryana state. The project is a cluster of eight micro- watersheds namely Mangleshwar (2C5H2b7), Gujjar majri (2C5H2f3), Raipur (2C5H2b4), Tihara (2C5H2h3), Dulhera Khurd (2C5H2g2), Bidhawas (2C5H2f4), Shahpur (2C5H2h5), Subaseri (2C5H2g4). The total geographical area of the project is **5028 ha** out of which **4616 ha** has been undertaken to be treated under IWMP-V starting from year 2011-2012. The project is divided into eight micro watersheds. The Base map is shown in Annexure I.

Table 1: Basic Project Information

Sr. No	Name of the project	Name of the micro watersheds	Code No.	Name of the villages	Block	District	Area of the Project (ha)	Area proposed to be treated (ha)	Total Project cost (Rs lacs)	PIA
1	Khera Murar watershed (IWMP V)	Mangleshwar	2C5H2b7	Mangleshwar Khera Murar	Bawal	Rewari	558	520	62.40	ASCO Rewari
2	Khera Murar watershed (IWMP V)	Gujjar Majri	2C5H2f3	Gujjar Majri Bishanpur	Bawal	Rewari	547	510	61.20	ASCO Rewari
3	Khera Murar	Raipur	2C5H2b4	Raipur	Bawal	Rewari	581	538	64.56	ASCO

Sr. No	Name of the project	Name of the micro watersheds	Code No.	Name of the villages	Block	District	Area of the Project (ha)	Area proposed to be treated (ha)	Total Project cost (Rs lacs)	PIA
	watershed (IWMP V)			Nangal Sahbajpur Nangli Parsarpur						Rewari
4	Khera Murar watershed (IWMP V)	Tihara	2C5H2h3	Tihara Rasiawas	Bawal	Rewari	558	513	61.56	ASCO Rewari
5	Khera Murar watershed (IWMP V)	Dulhera Khurd	2C5H2g2	Dulhera Khurd Dulhera Kalan	Bawal	Rewari	718	658	78.96	ASCO Rewari
6	Khera Murar watershed (IWMP V)	Bidhawas	2C5H2f4	Bidhawas Bhadoj	Bawal	Rewari	516	500	60.00	ASCO Rewari
7	Khera Murar watershed (IWMP V)	Shahpur	2C5H2h5	Shahpur Anandpur Kheri Dalusingh Keshopur	Bawal	Rewari	658	600	72.00	ASCO Rewari
8	Khera Murar watershed (IWMP V)	Subaseri	2C5H2g4	Subaseri Dharchana Sekhpur	Bawal	Rewari	892	777	93.24	ASCO Rewari
					Grand Total		**5028**	**4616**	**553.92**	

2.2 NEED OF WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

Watershed development programme is prioritized on the basis of thirteen parameters namely;

i. poverty index,
ii. percentage of SC,
iii. actual wages,
iv. percentage of small and marginal farmers,
v. ground water status,
vi. moisture index,
vii. area under rain fed agriculture,
viii. drinking water situation in the area ,
ix. percentage of degraded land,
x. productivity potential of land,
xi. continuity of any other watershed already developed/treated,
xii. cluster approach for plain terrain,
xiii. cluster approach for hilly terrain,

The criteria and weightage of each of the parameters has been given in **Table 2**.

Table 2. Criteria and Weightage for Selection of Watershed

S. No.	Criteria	Maximum Score	Ranges and Scores			
i.	Poverty index (% of poor to population)	10	Above 80 % (10)	80 to 50 % (7.5)	50 to 20 % (5)	Below 20% (2.5)

S. No.	Criteria	Maximum Score	Ranges and Scores			
ii.	% of SC/ST population	10	More than 40 % (10)	20 to 40 % (5)	Less than 20% (3)	
iii.	Actual wages	5	Actual wages are significantly lower than minimum wages (5)	Actual wages are equal to or higher than minimum wages (0)		
iv.	% of small and marginal farmers	10	More than 80 % (10)	50 to 80 % (5)	Less than 50% (3)	
v.	Ground water status	5	Over exploited (5)	Critical (3)	Sub Critical (2)	Safe (0)
vi.	Moisture index/ DPAP/DDP block	15	-66.7 & below (15) DDP block	-33.3 to -66.6 (10) DPAP Block	0 to -33.2 (0) Non DPAP/DDP Block	
vii	Area under rain fed agriculture	15	More than 90 % (15)	80 to 90 % (10)	70 to 80 % (5)	Below 70 % (Reject)
viii	Drinking water	10	No source (10)	Problematic village (7.5)	Partially covered (5)	Fully covered(0)
ix	Degraded land	15	High-above 20 % (15)	Medium-10 to 20 % (10)	Low-less than 10 % of TGA (5)	
x	Productivity potential of the land	15	Lands with low production & where productivity can be significantly enhanced with reasonable efforts (15)	Lands with moderate production & where productivity can be enhanced with reasonable efforts (10)	Lands with high production & where productivity can be marginally enhanced with reasonable efforts (5)	

S. No.	Criteria	Maximum Score	Ranges and Scores			
xi	Contiguity to another watershed that has already been developed/treated	10	Contiguous to previously treated watershed & contiguity within the micro-watersheds in the project (10)	Contiguity within the micro-watersheds in the project but non contiguous to previously treated watershed (5)	Neither contiguous to previously treated watershed nor contiguity within the micro-watersheds in the project (0)	
xii	Cluster approach in the plains (More than one contiguous micro-watersheds in the project)	15	Above 6 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	4 to 6 micro-watersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 4 micro-watersheds in cluster (5)	
xiii	Cluster approach in the hilly tract (More than one contiguous micro-watersheds in the project)	15	Above 5 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	3 to 5 micro-watersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 3 micro-watersheds in cluster (5)	
	Total	**150**	**150**	**93**	**37**	**2.5**

Based on above criteria and weightage of 80 concerning these thirteen parameters, a composite ranking was given to Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V) project as given in **Table- 3.**

The total numbers of families under BPL are less than the total number of households in the village. Hence a score of 5 was allotted. Rainfed agriculture is more and more than 80 percent and more than 50 % farmers are small and marginal. So the scoring is done 5. The project area comes under Arravalli range and Sahibi basin of Haryana, has no assured irrigation facility, erratic rainfall, deep, poor quality and less ground water discharge, hence the ground water status score is 5. The percentage of schedule castes in this watershed is about 30 percent of the total population, hence 5 score was allotted. Due to high percentage of the poor population i.e. about 70 percent thus the scope of poverty index is 5. More than 60 percent of the farmers are small and marginal in nature and the actual wages earned by them are less than the minimum wages. Hence a composite rank of 5 is allotted. With all the parameters taken together gives the watershed score to be 80.

Table- 3: Weightage of the Project

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8												
Sr. No.	**District**	**Name of the project**	**No. of micro-water-sheds proposed to be covered**	**Proposed Area for Development**	**Type of project (Hilly/ Desert/ Others)**	**Proposed cost (Rs. In Lakh)**	**Weightage under the criteria**												
							i	**ii**	**iii**	**iv**	**v**	**vi**	**vii**	**viii**	**ix**	**x**	**xi**	**xii**	**xiii**	**Total**
1.	Rewari	Khera Murar watershed (IWMP V)	8	4616	others	553.92	5	5	0	5	5	10	10	5	5	10	5	15	0	80

Table 4: Watershed Information

Name of the Project	No. of Micro-Watersheds to be Treated	Watershed codes	Watershed regime/type/order
Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)	8	2C5H2b7, 2C5H2f3, 2C5H2b4, 2C5H2h3, 2C5H2g2, 2C5H2f4, 2C5H2h5, 2C5H2g4	Others

2.3 OTHER ONGOING DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS / SCHEMES IN THE PROJECT VILLAGES

These villages being backward have been on top priority in number of developmental projects. These programmes are Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MGNREGS), Total Sanitation Campaign (TSC), Swarnajaynti Gram Swarojgar Yogna (SGSY) and Indira Awas Yojana (IAY), NWDPRA. The programmes that are active in this area are tabulated in **Table 5.**

Table 5. Ongoing Developmental Programs in the Project Area

S. No.	Name of the Program /Project	Name of Micro watersheds	Sponsoring agency	Objective	Estimated number of beneficiaries for year 2011-12 (Job card issued)
1	MGNREGA	Mangleshwar	DRDA, Rewari	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	117
2	MGNREGA	Gujjar Majri	DRDA, Rewari	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	130
3	MGNREGA	Raipur	DRDA, Rewari	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	145
4	MGNREGA	Tihara	DRDA, Rewari	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	117

5	MGNREGA	Dulhera Khurd	DRDA, Rewari	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	139
6	MGNREGA	Bidhawas	DRDA, Rewari	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	56
7	MGNREGA	Shahpur	DRDA, Rewari	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	105
8	MGNREGA	Subaseri	DRDA, Rewari	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	131

The District Rural Development Agency has undertaken various schemes under watershed development programme and the status is presented in **Table 6.**

Table 6: Previous Watershed Programme in the Project Area (if any)

Watershed Area Development Treated/Sanctioned											
1	2	3		4						5	
S. No	**Names of District**	**Total micro watersheds in the District**		**Micro- watersheds covered so far**						**Net watersheds to be covered**	
				Deptt. of Land Resources		**Other Ministries/ Deptt.**		**Total watersheds covered**			
				Pre- IWMP projects (DPAP+DDP+IWDP)		**Any other watershed project**					
		No.	**Area (ha)**	**No.**	**Area (ha)**	**No.**	**Area (ha)**	**No.**	**Area (ha)**	**No.**	**Area (ha)**
1	Rewari	402	150678	115	57500	15 (EAS)	7500	130 (221 villages)	65000	181	85678

CHAPTER – 3

BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT AREA

GEOGRAPHY AND GEOHYDROLOGY

Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V) falls in Bawal Block of District Rewari. The area is occupied by Indo- Gangetic alluvium plains and area is traversed and drained by seasonal streams of Sahibi river system. Physiographically, the area is divided in recent and old alluvial plains. The area of watershed lies in between 28˚0'15''to 28˚5'30'' N Latitude & 76˚31'15'' to 76˚39'45'' east longitude with general elevation varies between 249- 265 m (google earth map) above mean sea level MSL. The average rainfall of district is 702mm. About 80 percent of its annual rainfall is received in the month of July to September. Intensity of rainfall is scattered and erratic in this area, water retention capacity is very low, so area suffers of drought conditions in alternative years. The Contour and Drainage map is presented in Annexure II.

3.1 **LAND USE PATTERN**

The village wise land use pattern is tabulated in **Table-1.** Land use map is shown in Annexure-III.

Table. 1 Land use pattern of Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds With Code	Name of Villages	Geographical Area in (ha)	Treatable area of the village(ha)	Land under agriculture use (ha)	Rain fed area (ha)	Wasteland	
							Cultivable	Non-Cultivable
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	252	235	210	193	10	32

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds With Code	Name of Villages	Geographical Area in (ha)	Treatable area of the village(ha)	Land under agriculture use (ha)	Rain fed area (ha)	Wasteland	
							Cultivable	Non-Cultivable
	(2C5H2b7)	Khera Murar	306	285	251	230	4	51
2	Gujjar Majri (2C5H2f3)	Gujjar Majri	238	220	212	194	3	23
		Bishanpur	309	290	252	233	30	27
3	Raipur (2C5H2b4)	Raipur	265	255	237	227	0	28
		Nangal Sahbajpur	185	170	153	138	6	26
		Nangli Parsarpur	131	113	112	94	1	18
4	Tihara (2C5H2h3)	Tihara	286	262	249	225	1	36
		Rasiawas	272	251	237	216	4	31
5	Dulhera Khurd (2C5H2g2)	Dulhera Khurd	304	268	286	250	1	17
		Dulhera Kalan	414	390	394	370	0	20
6	Bidhawas (2C5H2f4)	Bidhawas	282	275	243	236	10	29
		Bhadoj	234	225	190	181	12	32
7	Shahpur (2C5H2h5)	Shahpur	215	204	182	171	1	32
		Anandpur	217	202	181	166	10	26
		Kheri Dalusingh	130	109	108	87	0	22
		Keshopur	96	85	82	71	0	14

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds With Code	Name of Villages	Geographical Area in (ha)	Treatable area of the village(ha)	Land under agriculture use (ha)	Rain fed area (ha)	Wasteland	
							Cultivable	Non-Cultivable
8	Subaseri (2C5H2g4)	Subaseri	354	318	324	288	4	26
		Dharchana	297	229	259	191	4	34
		Sekhpur	241	230	218	207	7	16
Grand Total			**5028**	**4616**	**4380**	**3968**	**108**	**540**

(Source – District Census Handbook, 2001 Rewari)

3.2 SOIL AND TOPOGRAPHY

The soils of Khera Murar Watershed are very deep, sandy to coarse loamy, typic torripssammant, typic torriorthent and typic haplocambids. The topography of the area ranges from level to nearly level. Soils are subject to susceptible to moderate to severe water erosion. The slope ranges from 0.5- 3%. Slope map is presented in Annexure IV.

Table 2. Soil type and Topography

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Code	Geographical area (ha)	Major Soil types	Topography
1	Mangleshwar	2C5H2b7	558	Sandy to coarse loamy	Level to nearly level
2	Gujjar Majri	2C5H2f3	547	Sandy to coarse loamy	Level to nearly level
3	Raipur	2C5H2b4	581	Sandy to coarse loamy	Level to nearly level

4	Tihara	2C5H2h3	558	Sandy to coarse loamy	Level to nearly level
5	Dulhera Khurd	2C5H2g2	718	Sandy to coarse loamy	Level to nearly level
6	Bidhawas	2C5H2f4	516	Sandy to coarse loamy	Level to nearly level
7	Shahpur	2C5H2h5	658	Sandy to coarse loamy	Level to nearly level
8	Subaseri	2C5H2g4	892	Sandy to coarse loamy	Level to nearly level
	Total		**5028**		

Source: - Department of Agriculture, Haryana

3.2.1 Flood and Drought Condition

There have been incidences of flood and drought as well in watershed villages. The data collected from the revenue department reveals the instances of flood on an average once in 5- 8 years in micro- watersheds Raipur, Dulhera Khurd and Bidhawas and drought every or alternative Year. The absence of assured irrigation and drought resulted in low to very low in crop yield.

Table 3. Flood and Drought condition

S.No.	Name of Micro- watersheds	Flood Incidence	Drought Incidence
1.	Mangleshwar	Nil	Every or Alternative Year
2.	Gujjar Majri	Nil	Every or Alternative Year
3.	Raipur	1 time in 5-8 Years	Every or Alternative Year
4.	Tihara	Nil	Every or Alternative Year
5	Dulhera Khurd	1 time in 5-8 Years	Every or Alternative Year
6.	Bidhawas	1 time in 5-8 Years	Every or Alternative Year

7.	Shahpur	Nil	Every or Alternative Year
8.	Subaseri	Nil	Every or Alternative Year

3.3 SOILS

3.3.1 Soil Erosion

In the identified eight micro watersheds in twenty villages, it is observed that due to thin vegetative cover to increase the loss of surface soil in the watershed area. This results in degradation of agricultural land and low organic matter contents. The organic carbon content in areas comparatively low to restrict average in agriculture production and degradation of soil physical and chemical properties. Average annual rainfall 702mm of the district falling under these watersheds during heavy storms in rainy season the top soils washed away in the form of runoff which also carries valuable top soil (sheet). Soil erosion in respect of sheet is quite high. Majority of the watershed Community are dependent on rainfed agriculture due to lack of assured irrigation facilities and unsafe ground water conditions. Agriculture suffers due to area being rain fed and due to erratic rains in the region, resulting in further deterioration of socio economic conditions of community.

3.3.2 Soil Salinity/Alkalinity (Salinity ingress):

There is low to moderate soil salinity in the Project and pH is normal and within the limits of 7.05 to 8.15.

Based on the soil samples analysis and reports the village wise distribution of pH is tabulated and shown in Table. 4.

Table 4. Soil pH and Salinity

S.No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Soil pH	Type of salinity
1.	Mangleshwar	7.10- 7.86	Low to Moderate
2.	Gujjar Majri	7.05 – 8.05	Low to Moderate
3.	Raipur	7.11- 8.15	Low to Moderate
4.	Tihara	7.12- 8.03	Low to Moderate
5	Dulhera Khurd	7.15- 8.10	Low to Moderate
6.	Bidhawas	7.25- 8.11	Low to Moderate
7.	Shahpur	7.10- 7.86	Low to Moderate
8.	Subaseri	7.05 – 8.05	Low to Moderate

3.3.3 SOIL CLASSIFICATION

Major soil associations fall in the watershed are two units. The detailed description of all soil associations are given below. The Soil map is presented in **Annexure V.** The fertility status of the project area, available nitrogen and available phosphorus are low. However, the available potash is medium. The fertility status map of the project area is exhibited in **Annexure-VI**.

Soil Mapping Unit- 12 (Jamalpur- Ahrod Soil Association)

The Jamalpur soil series is dominated in this soil association and associated soil series is Ahrod soil series. The dominant soil is excessively drained, sand, Sandy Mixed hyperthermic Typic Ustipsamments, 1st associate soil series is well drained, Loamy sand to Sandy loam to Loam, Coarse loamy Mixed hyperthermic Typic Torriorthents, Jamalpur soil series is non calcareous, very deep, pH

8.00-8.20, dark yellowish brown to yellowish brown in colour (10YR 4/4- 10YR 5/4) developed on Dunal complex/Aeolian over alluvium and Ahrod soil series is strongly calcareous, very deep, pH 7.90-8.50, dark yellowish brown to yellowish brown in colour (10YR 4/4-10YR 5/4) developed on Very gentle sloping/Undulating in Aeolian plain with Fine medium hard broken dark concretion of calcium carbonate in C horizon.

Soil Mapping Unit- 13 (Bharawas- Sulkha Soil Association)

The Bharawas soil series is dominated in this soil association and associated soil series is Sulkha soil series. The dominant soil is well drained, Sandy loam to Silty loam, Coarse loamy Mixed hyperthermic Typic Haplocambids, 1st associate soil series is excessively drained, loamy sand, Sandy Mixed hyperthermic Typic Torripsamments, Bharawas soil series is non calcareous, very deep, pH 8.50-8.70, dark brown to brown in colour (10YR 4/3-10YR 5/4) developed on Slope of undulating Aeolian plains/Aeolian sand under arid climate with Many calcium carbonate concretions in B and C horizon and Sulkha soil series is moderately strong calcareous, very deep, pH 8.40-8.60, yellowish brown in colour (10YR 5/4-10YR 5/8) developed on Gentle sloping Aeolian plains/Aeolian sand under arid climate with Common medium hard concretions of calcium carbonate in C- horizon.

(Source: Received from HARSAC on 1: 50000 scale)

3.3.4 Land Capability Classification

It is an interpretative grouping of soils based on inherent soil characteristics, external land features and environmental factors that limit the use of land. As per land capability classification, class 1 to class IV land is suited to agriculture. Classes V to VIII are not suitable for agriculture. These are used for pastures, forestry, and wildlife and recreation purposes and other industrial and township. Depending upon the degree of limitation and the kind of problems involved in management of soils, the land capability sub classes were indicated by adding the following limitation symbols to the capability classes:

1. Erosion and runoff (e) including risk of erosion and great erosion damage.
2. Excess of water (w) including wetness, high water table, and problem of drainage.
3. Root zone limitation (s) including shallow depth, low water holding capacity, salinity or alkalinity/rockiness.
4. Climate limitation (c).

The soils of the selected Watersheds have been grouped into two land capability classes. A brief description of each capability sub class is given as under and the **Land capability map is exhibited in Annexure-VII.**

Land capability subclass III e2s2

These soils are very deep, light to coarse loamy/ fine loamy texture located on level to nearly level slope. These soils are well drained, moderately permeable, moderate water holding capacity and moderate to severe erosion hazard.

Following recommendations are suggested for the economic use of this sub-class:

1. Land leveling should be done at 50% subsidy, because farmers are not economically capable to bear the rate of land leveling.
2. Engineering measures like Percolation Embankments and other related measures are to be under taken.
3. Agronomic measures like Dry farming, strip& Mixed cropping with other soil conservation measures like agro forestry and rainfed horticulture are recommended.
4. Green manuring should be promoted for increase physical and chemical properties of soil.
5. Masonry structure (outlet) should be constructed with field bandhs and percolation embankments for rills control and insitu moisture conservation.
6. Strengthening of old abandoned water courses.
7. Provide water storage tanks for storage of excess canal water for using supplementary irrigation during lean period.

Land capability subclass IV e3s3

These soils are very deep, light textured soils nearly level lands. The water holding capacity is poor to very poor and the water erosion hazard is moderate to severe. The wind erosion is also a main cause to create undulating topography.

Following recommendations are suggested for the economic use of this sub-class:

1. Special soil conservation measures should be adopted to check water erosion and increase ground water recharge; soils should be provided permanent vegetation (Agro-forestry) cover to check further deterioration of soils.
2. Soils would be cultivated in suitable crop rotation with adopting dry farming techniques.
3. Masonry structure should be constructed in field bunds and percolation embankment.
4. Land leveling should be done at 50% subsidy, because formers are not economically capable to bear the rate of land leveling.
5. Construction of percolation ponds and embankments for increasing ground water recharge.
6. Construction of small earthen embankments with vegetative support for Sand dunes stabilization.
7. Strengthening of old abandoned water courses.
8. Provide water storage tanks for storage of excess canal water for using supplementary irrigation during lean period.

3.3.5 Climatic Conditions

The average rainfall of the district is 702 mm (during the past 19 years data). The highest rainfall is 1138 mm during the year 2008. The uneven rainfall distribution is leading to run off soil every year to the depressions to create seasonal water logging conditions in the Watershed. The year wise rainfall from 1994 to 2012 is presented in **Table.5.**

Table 5. Rainfall during the years 1994-12

S.No.	Year	Rainfall (in mm)
1	1994	791
2	1995	1087
3	1996	1064
4	1997	589
5	1998	953
6	1999	718
7	2000	479
8	2001	665
9	2002	408
10	2003	1024
11	2004	527
12	2005	889
13	2006	557
14	2007	575
15	2008	1138
16	2009	428
17	2010	625
18	2011	508
19	2012	323
	Average Rainfall	**702**

(Source: - Deputy Director Agriculture, Rewari)

The mean maximum temperature is 41.8˚ C (May and June) and mean minimum is 5.6˚ C (January) of the district.

3.3.6 Physiography and Reliefs

Physiographically, the area is divided into two parts from South to North. The general Elevation in the area belongs to Recent and old Alluvial Plains 249 – 265 m above mean sea level (google earth maps). The water is drained through seasonal streams namely: The watershed area comes under Sahibi River system which flows nearby in the east side of the watershed area. The elevation range and percentage slope distribution has been presented in **Table 6.**

Table 6. Physiography and Relief

Project Name	Elevation (MSL)	Slope Range (%)	Major Streams
Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)	249- 265	0.5- 3	Sahibi

3.4 LAND AND AGRICULTURE

The land holding pattern of the villages under Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V) shows that the majority of the land holding is below 5.0 ha. The lack of assured irrigation source has forced the majority of the small farmers and landless labours of Watershed to migrate from village to ensure there, employment and livelihood to nearest Industrial towns is Delhi, Gurgaon, Dharuhera, Rewari and Bhiwadi (Rajasthan). This affects directly the demographic profile of the villages.

The major crops Bajra, Gawar, green fodder and pulses in Kharif under rain fed conditions. The major crops during Rabi wheat, mustard, gram, green fodder and seasonal vegetables in rain fed and irrigated conditions. The soil and water conservation measures such as Engineering like Water Conveyance System, Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields) (Water Saving Technology), Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation), Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet, Roof top rain water recharge structures, Earthen

Embankment with pucca outlet, Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support, etc. The project would help the farmers to take crop production which will enhance the net production value. The following plants are commonly observed in the Project Area. The natural vegetation in the project area is exhibited in **Table 7.**

Table 7. NATURAL VEGETATION

Sr. No.	Trees	Fruits	Grasses and Shurbs
1	Babool & Australian Babool	Pomegranate	Saccharum munja
2	Black Siris	Ber	Bhroot
3	Jal	Lemon	Jharberi
4	Shisham	Guava	Ker (Tint)
5	Neem	Jamun	
6	Khejri	Amla	
7	Pipal	Papaya	
8	Eucalyptus		

3.4.1 Land Ownership Details

The Caste wise land owned (in ha) is Tabulated in Table 8.

Table 8:- Land Ownership Details

GENERAL	OBC	SC	ST	Total owners
870	2249	416	-----	3535

3.4.2 AGRICULTURE/PATTERN

Table 9. Agriculture/ Pattern

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Village	Land under agriculture use (ha)	Net Sown area (ha)	
				One time	Two times
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	210	173	153
		Khera Murar	251	203	181
2	Gujjar Majri	Gujjar Majri	212	172	148
		Bishanpur	252	212	169
3	Raipur	Raipur	237	196	174
		Nangal Sahbajpur	153	126	107
		Nangli Parsarpur	112	86	76
4	Tihara	Tihara	249	183	174
		Rasiawas	237	179	169
5	Dulhera Khurd	Dulhera Khurd	286	217	196
		Dulhera Kalan	394	317	284
6	Bidhawas	Bidhawas	243	197	169
		Bhadoj	190	153	143
7	Shahpur	Shahpur	182	142	126

Sr. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Village	Land under agriculture use (ha)	Net Sown area (ha)	
				One time	Two times
		Anandpur	181	139	124
		Kheri Dalusingh	108	86	74
		Keshopur	82	66	53
8	Subaseri	Subaseri	324	262	243
		Dharchana	259	219	189
		Sekhpur	218	176	143
			4380	**3504**	**3095**

(**Source: Department of Agriculture, Haryana)**

3.4.3 IRRIGATION

Lack of Assured Irrigation Facilities

The present source of irrigation is ground water where the area is underlain by fresh to marginal water quality and partially (499 ha) by canal network. The remaining cultivable area is under rainfed agriculture. The present source of irrigation in the watershed has been tabulated in **Table 10.**

Table 10. Irrigation Pattern

S.No	Name of Micro Watersheds	Name of Villages	Source 1: Canal		Source 2: Groundwater (Tube wells)		Total
			Availability months	Net area (ha)	Availability months	Net area (ha)	
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	-	-	July to June	210	210
		Khera Murar	July to March	66	July to June	173	239
2	Gujjar Majri	Gujjar Majri	-	-	July to June	195	195
		Bishanpur	-	-	July to June	252	252
3	Raipur	Raipur	July to March	56	July to June	181	237
		Nangal Sahbajpur	-	-	July to June	153	153
		Nangli Parsarpur	July to March	112	-		112
4	Tihara	Tihara	-	-	July to June	235	235
		Rasiawas	-	-	July to June	124	124
5	Dulhera Khurd	Dulhera Khurd	-	-	July to June	286	286
		Dulhera Kalan	-	-	July to June	348	348
6	Bidhawas	Bidhawas	-	-	July to June	132	132
		Bhadoj	-	-	July to June	149	149

S.No	Name of Micro Watersheds	Name of Villages	Source 1: Canal		Source 2: Groundwater (Tube wells)		Total
			Availability months	Net area (ha)	Availability months	Net area (ha)	
7	Shahpur	Shahpur	-	-	July to June	182	182
		Anandpur	-	-	July to June	112	112
		Kheri Dalusingh	-	-	July to June	108	108
		Keshopur	-	-	July to June	82	82
8	Subaseri	Subaseri	July to March	265	July to June	35	300
		Dharchana	-	-	July to June	224	224
		Sekhpur	-	-	July to June	190	190
		Total		**499**		**3371**	**3870**

(Source – District Census Handbook Rewari**)**

3.4.4 CROPPING PATTERN (crop details)

The village wise area production and productivity of each crop is tabulated in Table 11 A and 11 B (Rabi and Kharif).

Table 11 A. Crop Details (Rabi)

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Villages	Rabi crops(Wheat)				(Mustard)				(Barley)		
			Area (ha)	Prod. (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer	Area (ha)	Prod. (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer	Area (ha)	Prod. (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	62	284208	4584	Yes	83	138195	1665	Yes	2	5638	2819
		Khera Murar	87	397938	4574	Yes	77	127512	1656	Yes	2	5604	2802

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Villages	Rabi crops(Wheat)				(Mustard)				(Barley)		
			Area (ha)	Prod. (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer	Area (ha)	Prod. (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer	Area (ha)	Prod. (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.
2	Gujjar Majri	Gujjar Majri	54	245808	4552	Yes	72	119808	1664	Yes	5	14000	2800
		Bishanpur	86	389666	4531	Yes	63	101556	1612	Yes	3	8457	2819
3	Raipur	Raipur	86	394224	4584	Yes	79	128691	1629	Yes	2	5624	2812
		Nangal Sahbajpur	31	141763	4573	Yes	55	89705	1631	Yes		0	
		Nangli Parsarpur	25	114500	4580	Yes	35	58275	1665	Yes	2	5638	2819
4	Tihara	Tihara	62	284022	4581	Yes	92	152260	1655	Yes	1	2811	2811
		Rasiawas	60	275040	4584	Yes	92	151340	1645	Yes	-		
5	Dulhera Khurd	Dulhera Khurd	102	467568	4584	Yes	81	134865	1665	Yes	5	14075	2815
		Dulhera Kalan	81	370494	4574	Yes	163	269928	1656	Yes	1	2805	2805
6	Bidhawas	Bidhawas	45	204840	4552	Yes	105	174720	1664	Yes	3	8457	2819
		Bhadoj	82	371542	4531	Yes	45	72540	1612	Yes	2	5604	2802
7	Shahpur	Shahpur	12	55008	4584	Yes	97	158013	1629	Yes	-		
		Anandpur	20	91460	4573	Yes	89	145159	1631	Yes	-		
		Kheri Dalusingh	13	59540	4580	Yes	48	79920	1665	Yes	1	2819	2819
		Keshopur	16	73296	4581	Yes	29	47995	1655	Yes	-		-
8	Subaseri	Subaseri	71	325464	4584	Yes	145	238525	1645	Yes	5	14000	2800

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Villages	Rabi crops(Wheat)				(Mustard)				(Barley)		
			Area (ha)	Prod. (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer	Area (ha)	Prod. (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer	Area (ha)	Prod. (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.
		Dharchana	54	247536	4584	Yes	86	143190	1665	Yes	3	8457	2819
		Sekhpur	34	155516	4574	Yes	88	145728	1656	Yes	5	14010	2802
		Grand Total	1083				1624				42		

Table 11 B. Crop Details (Kharif)

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Villages	(Bajra)				(Gwar)			
			Area (ha)	Prod. (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer	Area (ha)	Prod. (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	153	304164	1988	Yes	4	7000	1750	Nil
		Khera Murar	148	293928	1986	Yes	30	58350	1945	Nil
2	Gujjar Majri	Gujjar Majri	150	296250	1975	Yes	7	12915	1845	Nil
		Bishanpur	90	178650	1985	Yes	10	17250	1725	Nil
3	Raipur	Raipur	108	214704	1988	Yes	65	123500	1900	Nil
		Nangal Sahbajpur	104	204360	1965	Yes	6	11670	1945	Nil
		Nangli Parsarpur	63	124236	1972	Yes	30	59340	1978	Nil
4	Tihara	Tihara	130	257660	1982	Yes	5	9925	1985	Nil
		Rasiawas	60	119280	1988	Yes		-		

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Villages	(Bajra)				(Gwar)			
			Area (ha)	Prod. (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer	Area (ha)	Prod. (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of fertilizer
5	Dulhera Khurd	Dulhera Khurd	114	226632	1988	Yes	13	22750	1750	Nil
		Dulhera Kalan	105	208530	1986	Yes	-			
6	Bidhawas	Bidhawas	146	288350	1975	Yes	-			
		Bhadoj	87	172695	1985	Yes	44	87340	1985	Nil
7	Shahpur	Shahpur	112	222656	1988	Yes	2	3710	1855	Nil
		Anandpur	55	108075	1965	Yes	-		-	-
		Kheri Dalusingh	10	19720	1972	Yes	-		-	-
		Keshopur	52	103064	1982	Yes	-		-	-
8	Subaseri	Subaseri	140	278320	1988	Yes	60	114900	1915	Nil
		Dharchana	95	188860	1988	Yes	2	3804	1902	Nil
		Sekhpur	136	270096	1986	Yes	5	9390	1878	Nil
		Grand Total	2058				283			

3.4.5 Livestock

Farmers in these villages have already been keeping the milch animals; mostly buffalos. The milk production of these animals (local breeds) is low (**Table 12)**. There is a need for the improvement of the local breed through artificial

insemination, proper vaccination and nutritive feed. Introduction of cross breed cows and murrah buffalo with better milk production will popularize dairy farming in the area. Also, the farmyard manure procured from these animals will help improve the soil health.

Table 12. Village Wise Distribution of Milk Production in Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)

S. No	Name of Micro Watersheds	Villages	Buffalo(*Lit/per day/annum) for 6 months	Cow(*lit/per day/annum) for 6 months	Sheep	Goat	Camel
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	324/2592/46656 (Lit/annum)	87/478.5/86130 (Lit/annum)	-	-	135
		Khera Murar	909/7272/1308960 (Lit/annum)	135/742.5/133650 (Lit/annum)	-	11	195
2	Gujjar Majri	Gujjar Majri	123/984/177120 (Lit/annum)	208/1144/205920 (Lit/annum)	41	-	101
		Bishanpur	382/3056/550080 (Lit/annum)	133/731.5/131670 (Lit/annum)	-	11	309
3	Raipur	Raipur	416/3328/599040 (Lit/annum)	163/896.5/161370 (Lit/annum)	-	6	-
		Nangal Sahbajpur	239/1912/344160 (Lit/annum)	88/484/87120 (Lit/annum)	-	8	-
		Nangli Parsarpur	191/1528/275040 (Lit/annum)	56/308/55440 (Lit/annum)	-	3	46
4	Tihara	Tihara	561/4488/807840 (Lit/annum)	185/1017.5/183150 (Lit/annum)	-	-	40
		Rasiawas	435/3480/626400 (Lit/annum)	111/610.5/109890 (Lit/annum)	-	-	62
5	Dulhera Khurd	Dulhera Khurd	91/728/131040 (Lit/annum)	14/77/13860 (Lit/annum)	-	2	-
		Dulhera Kalan	36/288/51840 (Lit/annum)	5/27.5/4950 (Lit/annum)	-	-	-
6	Bidhawas	Bidhawas	584/4672/840960 (Lit/annum)	30/165/29700 (Lit/annum)	-	13	-

S. No	Name of Micro Watersheds	Villages	Buffalo(*Lit/per day/annum) for 6 months	Cow(*lit/per day/annum) for 6 months	Sheep	Goat	Camel
		Bhadoj	144/1152/207360 (Lit/annum)	31/170.5/30690 (Lit/annum)	-	-	-
7	Shahpur	Shahpur	418/3344/601920 (Lit/annum)	52/286/51480 (Lit/annum)	-	-	-
		Anandpur	467/3736/672480 (Lit/annum)	37/203.5/36630 (Lit/annum)	-	-	-
		Kheri Dalusingh	232/1856/334080 (Lit/annum)	24/132/23760 (Lit/annum)	39	1	59
		Keshopur	265/2120/381600 (Lit/annum)	48/264/47520 (Lit/annum)	-	-	-
8	Subaseri	Subaseri	567/4536/816480 (Lit/annum)	28/154/27720 (Lit/annum)	-	4	-
		Dharchana	0	0	-	0	0
		Sekhpur	342/2736/492480 (Lit/annum)	58/319/57420 (Lit/annum)	-	2	33

(Source: Animal Husbandry, Rewari)

***Average yield of Buffalo is 7-8 lit/day and Average yield of Cow is 3-4 lit/day**

3.4.6 Ground Water Concern

a. Depth to Water

Ground Water Cell of Haryana has fixed hydrograph station scattered in the district whose monitoring is undertaken during pre and post monsoon season. The water level data has been analyzed for the purpose of ground water studies in the watershed area. The ground water behavior in the watershed reveals the variation of depth to water level from 19.2 to 24.6 m below ground level. In Tihara, Subaseri and Shahpur (part) micro- watersheds falls in between 10- 20m depth

whereas the remaining areas are in the category of 20-30m depth except a small pocket of micro watershed of Mangleshwar and Gujjar Majri where the water table depth is 10-20m. The depth to water level follows the topography of the area. The village wise water level data has been tabulated in **Table 13.** Depth to water level map has been prepared and presented in the **Annexure VIII.**

Table 13. Village Wise Depth to Water Level of Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Name of Villages	Source	Pre- Project level (m)
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	Open wells	24.6
		Khera Murar	Open wells	23.6
2	Gujjar Majri	Gujjar Majri	Open wells	23.6
		Bishanpur	Open wells	23.5
3	Raipur	Raipur	Open wells	23.5
		Nangal Sahbajpur	Open wells	23.5
		Nangli Parsarpur	Open wells	23.5
4	Tihara	Tihara	Open wells	20.0
		Rasiawas	Open wells	19.4
5	Dulhera Khurd	Dulhera Khurd	Open wells	23.6
		Dulhera Kalan	Open wells	23.5
6	Bidhawas	Bidhawas	Open wells	23.5
		Bhadoj	Open wells	23.5
7	Shahpur	Shahpur	Open wells	19.4

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Name of Villages	Source	Pre- Project level (m)
		Anandpur	Open wells	21.2
		Kheri Dalusingh	Open wells	20.3
		Keshopur	Open wells	20.2
8	Subaseri	Subaseri	Open wells	20.0
		Dharchana	Open wells	19.2
		Sekhpur	Open wells	19.2

The source of drinking water supply is through the tube wells as well as canal network in the area. The micro watershed wise quality ranges from fresh to saline. The water quality distribution is fresh in parts of Subaseri, Shahpur and Bidawas whereas the area under marginal in the micro watersheds Tihara, Dulhera Khurd, Raipur and parts in micro watershed is Subaseri, Shahpur and Bidawas. The brackish water quality is observed in the micro watersheds Mangleshwar and Gujjarmajri. The water quality map of the area is presented in **Annexure-IX**. The drinking water supply is available thought the year but shortage in villages during May and June where the supply is augmented by tankers. The department of Public Health Engineering is responsible for the water supply for drinking purpose.

b. Water table fluctuation

In reference to the data available from the period June 2007 to June 2012, it is observed that the water table is declining in general at the rate of 0.32m per year whereas the areas underlain by poor quality, the water level reveals rising trend. The seasonal fluctuation i.e. Pre and Post monsoon period is on an average 0.38 to 1.15 m/year. The pattern of ground water depletion is almost uniform in the project area.

c. Rain water harvesting and Recharging

The rapid growth of rural population leads to escalation of water demand. Conservation of ground water is important because it takes years to be replenished. In areas where ground water is used, care must be taken to replenish with rainwater.

It has been proposed to make rainwater-harvesting by construction of water harvesting structures. The provision of this has been provided in the project proposal.

3.4.7 DETAILS OF COMMON PROPERTY RESOURCES: The department of panchayat has maintained the record of common property resources of area under various institutions. The data has been taken has been collected DDPO, Rewari. The details of common property resource in Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V) are tabulated in **Table 14.**

Table 14. Detail of Common Property Resources

Name of the Project	CPR Particulars	Total Area, ha (Area owned / in possession of)				Area available for treatment (ha)			
Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)		Pvt. Person	Govt.	PRI	Any Other	Pvt. Person	Govt.	PRI	Any Other
	Waste land	---	---	503	---	---	---	108	---
	Pasture	---	---	68	---	---	---	68	---
	Orchards	19	---	---	---	19	---	---	---
	Village wood lot	---	---	72	---	---	---	72	---
	Forest	---	---	78	---	---	---	78	---
	Village ponds, lake	---	---	41	---	---	---	41	---
	Community Buildings	---	57	---	---	---	57	---	---
	Weekly Mkts	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Permanent Mkts	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

	Temples/place of worship	---	---	21	---	---	---	21	---
	Others	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

3.5 SOCIO ECONOMIC AND LITERACY PROFILE

Land holdings: The area under the project is cultivated by small and marginal farmers. Almost 70 percent of the farmers fall under this category.

Poor economic conditions of farmers: The general socio economic conditions of the farmers in this area are quite poor. They cannot use necessary agriculture inputs in a timely fashion due to financial constraints which adversely affects the crop yield.

Village wise household, total population and schedule caste population has been worked out from the census book and is tabulated in **table 15.** The literacy rate of micro watershed wise distribution is also exhibited in **Table 16**.

3.5.1 Demographic Status

Table 15. Demographic Status/ Population Pattern

S. No.	Name of the Micro watersheds	Name of villages	Total no. of houses	Total Population			SC			
				Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	%age
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	202	690	635	1325	150	127	277	201
		Khera Murar	354	1217	1108	2325	163	154	317	14
2	Gujjar Majri	Gujjar Majri	368	1102	963	2065	247	239	486	23
		Bishanpur	132	436	405	841	0	0	0	0
3	Raipur	Raipur	355	1116	993	2109	155	140	295	14

S. No.	Name of the Micro watersheds	Name of villages	Total no. of houses	Total Population			SC			
				Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	%age
		Nangal Sahbajpur	287	831	760	1591	80	79	159	10
		Nangli Parsarpur	154	434	366	800	121	112	233	29
4	Tihara	Tihara	331	1047	922	1969	232	207	439	22
		Rasiawas	614	1577	1320	2897	768	661	1429	49
5	Dulhera Khurd	Dulhera Khurd	172	456	392	848	393	342	735	86
		Dulhera Kalan	114	283	267	550	281	258	539	98
6	Bidhawas	Bidhawas	296	842	788	1630	171	194	365	22
		Bhadoj	82	218	208	426	21	18	39	9
7	Shahpur	Shahpur	249	883	770	1653	227	208	435	26
		Anandpur	258	750	629	1379	45	39	84	6
		Kheri Dalusingh	194	486	451	937	85	71	156	16
		Keshopur	135	370	343	713	64	58	122	17
8	Subaseri	Subaseri	244	705	621	1326	206	185	391	29
		Dharchana	158	453	433	886	42	33	75	8
		Sekhpur	227	648	556	1204	234	223	457	38
			4926	**14544**	**12930**	**27474**	**3685**	**3348**	**7033**	**25**

(Source- District Census 2011)

Table16. Village wise Literacy Rate in Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)

S.No.	Name of the Micro watersheds	Name of villages	Total population	Literacy					
				Total Literates	% age	Male	% age	Female	% age
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	1325	896	67	547	61	349	39
		Khera Murar	2325	1414	61	924	65	490	35
2	Gujjar Majri	Gujjar Majri	2065	1176	57	781	66	395	34
		Bishanpur	841	423	50	292	69	131	31
3	Raipur	Raipur	2109	1452	689	875	60	577	40
		Nangal Sahbajpur	1591	1109	70	656	59	453	41
		Nangli Parsarpur	800	583	73	359	61	224	39
4	Tihara	Tihara	1969	1334	68	820	61	514	39
		Rasiawas	2897	1421	49	904	64	517	36
5	Dulhera Khurd	Dulhera Khurd	848	539	63	332	61	207	39
		Dulhera Kalan	550	381	69	222	58	159	42
6	Bidhawas	Bidhawas	1630	1145	70	678	59	467	41
		Bhadoj	426	296	69	170	57	126	43
7	Shahpur	Shahpur	1653	1155	70	717	62	438	38
		Anandpur	1379	940	68	594	63	346	37
		Kheri Dalusingh	937	694	74	397	57	297	43
		Keshopur	713	501	70	300	60	201	40

8	Subaseri	Subaseri	1326	877	66	516	60	361	40
		Dharchana	886	587	66	351	60	236	40
		Sekhpur	1204	784	65	500	64	284	36
			27474	**17707**	**64**	**10935**	**62**	**6772**	**38**

(Source- District Census- 2011)

Table 17. EMPLOYMENT STATUS

S.No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Name of villages	Schedule caste		Cultivators		Agricultural labourers		Household industry workers		Other workers	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	150	127	118	1	120	2	1	0	80	10
		Khera Murar	163	154	267	50	6	0	10	0	242	149
2	Gujjar Majri	Gujjar Majri	247	239	206	26	42	1	7	0	191	15
		Bishanpur	0	0	126	8	1	0	0	0	45	4
3	Raipur	Raipur	155	140	236	16	4	2	10	0	202	14
		Nangal Sahbajpur	80	79	116	15	18	3	9	0	225	17
		Nangli Parsarpur	121	112	53	15	13	1	0	0	96	7
4	Tihara	Tihara	232	207	207	5	43	7	0	0	196	10
		Rasiawas	768	661	80	150	27	50	14	1	712	355
5	Dulhera Khurd	Dulhera Khurd	393	342	30	3	4	3	3	0	126	9

		Dulhera Kalan	281	258	2	0	0	0	0	0	86	25
6	Bidhawas	Bidhawas	171	194	208	77	8	5	9	2	117	9
		Bhadoj	21	18	53	49	14	12	0	0	21	1
7	Shahpur	Shahpur	227	208	234	1	23	0	0	0	132	10
		Anandpur	45	39	97	20	9	6	12	1	116	22
		Kheri Dalusingh	85	71	63	2	4	2	6	0	149	7
		Keshopur	64	58	61	1	15	0	2	0	104	4
8	Subaseri	Subaseri	206	185	124	4	17	6	17	0	106	4
		Dharchana	42	33	96	3	0	0	3	0	54	5
		Sekhpur	234	223	83	82	48	12	6	0	162	10
			3685	**3348**	**2460**	**528**	**416**	**112**	**109**	**4**	**3162**	**687**

Source: Census 2011

3.5.2 MIGRATION PATTERN

The major reason for migration is lack of employment opportunities, small uneconomical holding, and lack of fodder availability in summer etc. The village wise migration, period, reason for migration and probe able income generation has been compiled and shown in **Table 18.**

Table 18. Migration Pattern in Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Name of villages	Total Population	No. of persons migrating	No. of days per year of migration	Main reason for migration	Income during migration/ month/person
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	1325	12	60	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
		Khera Murar	2325	8	60	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
2	Gujjar Majri	Gujjar Majri	2065	9	90	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
		Bishanpur	841	2	60	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
3	Raipur	Raipur	2109	7	60	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
		Nangal Sahbajpur	1591	18	60	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
		Nangli Parsarpur	800	2	90	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
4	Tihara	Tihara	1969	22	60	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
		Rasiawas	2897	18	60	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
5	Dulhera Khurd	Dulhera Khurd	848	2	90	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
		Dulhera Kalan	550	14	90	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
6	Bidhawas	Bidhawas	1630	15	90	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
		Bhadoj	426	11	90	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
7	Shahpur	Shahpur	1653	16	90	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Name of villages	Total Population	No. of persons migrating	No. of days per year of migration	Main reason for migration	Income during migration/ month/person
		Anandpur	1379	16	60	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
		Kheri Dalusingh	937	9	120	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
		Keshopur	713	5	60	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
8	Subaseri	Subaseri	1326	27	60	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
		Dharchana	886	17	60	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000
		Sekhpur	1204	22	60	Lack of employment opportunity	6500- 10000

POVERTY: The distribution of the BPL and their percentage is presented in table 19.

Table 19. BPL Pattern

S. No.	Name of Micro watersheds	Name of villages	Total houses	Total Household- BPL	% of BPL HH
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	202	93	46
		Khera Murar	354	91	26
2	Gujjar Majri	Gujjar Majri	368	126	34
		Bishanpur	132	8	6
3	Raipur	Raipur	355	40	11
		Nangal Sahbajpur	287	120	42
		Nangli Parsarpur	154	60	39

S. No.	Name of Micro watersheds	Name of villages	Total houses	Total Household- BPL	% of BPL HH
4	Tihara	Tihara	331	74	22
		Rasiawas	614	80	13
5	Dulhera Khurd	Dulhera Khurd	172	98	57
		Dulhera Kalan	114	56	49
6	Bidhawas	Bidhawas	296	89	30
		Bhadoj	82	22	27
7	Shahpur	Shahpur	249	88	35
		Anandpur	258	63	24
		Kheri Dalusingh	194	72	37
		Keshopur	135	36	26
8	Subaseri	Subaseri	244	82	33
		Dharchana	158	34	21
		Sekhpur	227	99	44
	Total		**4926**	**1431**	**29**

(Source: District Administration Rewari, Haryana)

INFRASTRUCTURE DETAILS

All the villages are well connected by pucca road and primary or middle school exists in all villages. Health facilities are available in villages and have easy access to Health Centers. The village wise details of infrastructure are shown in **Table 20** and the facilities/ household assets in the villages under watershed is shown in **Table 21**.

Table 20. Village Infrastructure

S. No.	Name of Micro watersheds	Name of villages	Bank Y/N	Post office Y/N	School Primary/ High/ Sr.Sec	Milk Collection Centre Y/N	Pucca Road to Village Y/N	Health Facility Govt/Private Y/N	Veterinary facility Y/N
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	N	Y	Primary School	Y	Y	N	Y
		Khera Murar	N	Y	Middle School	N	Y	N	Y
2	Gujjar Majri	Gujjar Majri	N	Y	Sr. Sec. School	N	Y	N	N
		Bishanpur	N	N	Primary School	N	Y	N	N
3	Raipur	Raipur	N	N	Primary School	Y	Y	N	N
		Nangal sahbajpur	Y	Y	High School	N	Y	Y	N
		Nangli parsarpur	Y	N	Primary School	Y	Y	N	Y
4	Tihara	Tihara	N	N	High School	Y	Y	N	N
		Rasiawas	N	N	Middle School	Y	Y	N	N
5	Dulhera khurd	Dulhera khurd	N	N	Primary School	N	Y	N	N
		Dulhera kalan	N	N	High School Private	N	Y	N	N
6	Bidhawas	Bidhawas	N	N	Primary School	N	Y	N	N
		Bhadoj	N	N	Primary School	Y	Y	N	N
7	Shahpur	Shahpur	N	N	Middle School	N	Y	N	N
		Anandpur	N	N	Middle School	N	Y	Y	Y
		Kheri Dalusingh	N	N	Primary School	N	Y	N	N
		Keshopur	N	N	Primary School	N	Y	N	N
8	Subaseri	Subaseri	N	N	Middle School	Y	Y	N	N
		Dharchana	-	-	-	-	Y	-	-
		Sekhpur	N	N	Middle School	N	Y	N	N

FACILITIES/ HOUSEHOLD ASSETS

Table 21. Facilities/ Household assets in Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)

S. No.	Name of micro water sheds	Name of villages	Total no. of Houses	HHs with Safe latrines	HHs with phones		HHs with vehicles		HHs with TV sets	HHs with cooking gas	HHs with drinking water	HHs with fridge
					Landline	Mobile	2 wheelers	4 wheelers				
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	202	50	12	101	30	8	32	16	202	11
		Khera Murar	354	88	21	177	53	14	56	28	354	19
2	Gujjar Majri	Gujjar Majri	368	92	22	184	55	14	58	29	368	20
		Bishanpur	132	33	7	66	19	5	21	10	132	7
3	Raipur	Raipur	355	88	21	177	53	14	56	28	355	19
		Nangal Sahbajpur	287	71	17	143	43	11	45	22	287	15
		Nangli Parsarpur	154	38	9	77	23	6	24	12	154	8
4	Tihara	Tihara	331	82	19	165	49	13	52	26	331	18
		Rasiawas	614	153	36	307	92	24	98	49	614	33
5	Dulhera Khurd	Dulhera Khurd	172	43	10	86	25	6	27	13	172	9
		Dulhera Kalan	114	28	6	57	17	4	18	9	114	6

S. No.	Name of micro water sheds	Name of villages	Total no. of Houses	HHs with Safe latrines	HHs with phones		HHs with vehicles		HHs with TV sets	HHs with cooking gas	HHs with drinking water	HHs with fridge
					Landline	Mobile	2 wheelers	4 wheelers				
6	Bidhawas	Bidhawas	296	74	17	148	44	11	47	23	296	16
		Bhadoj	82	20	4	41	12	3	13	6	82	4
7	Shahpur	Shahpur	249	62	14	124	37	9	39	19	249	13
		Anandpur	258	64	15	129	38	10	41	20	258	14
		Kheri Dalusingh	194	48	11	97	29	7	31	15	194	10
		Keshopur	135	33	8	67	20	5	21	10	135	7
8	Subaseri	Subaseri	244	61	14	122	36	9	39	19	244	13
		Dharchana	158	39	9	79	23	6	25	12	158	8
		Sekhpur	227	56	13	113	34	9	36	18	227	12

3.5.3 LIVELIHOOD PATTERN: The livelihood from agriculture, animal husbandry, casual labour and others in the micro watershed (village wise) is shown in table 22.There is no major income from the common property resource to the individuals.

Table 22. Per capita (Household) income Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)

S. No.	Name of micro watersheds	Name of villages	Agriculture in Rs. P.A	Animal Husbandry in Rs. P.A	Casual labour in Rs. P.A	Others in Rs. P.A	Total in Rs.
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	22500	18600	5800	4400	51300

S. No.	Name of micro watersheds	Name of villages	Agriculture in Rs. P.A	Animal Husbandry in Rs. P.A	Casual labour in Rs. P.A	Others in Rs. P.A	Total in Rs.
		Khera Murar	20500	17400	4900	5200	48000
2	Gujjar Majri	Gujjar Majri	18400	14400	4200	4900	41900
		Bishanpur	21600	18400	5400	4300	49700
3	Raipur	Raipur	24500	20500	6500	5500	57000
		Nangal Sahbajpur	23200	22000	6000	5200	56400
		Nangli Parsarpur	22300	20200	6500	4800	53800
4	Tihara	Tihara	21700	18400	5300	4200	49600
		Rasiawas	20400	19400	5300	4900	50000
5	Dulhera Khurd	Dulhera Khurd	24600	22400	6000	5500	58500
		Dulhera Kalan	20300	18400	5400	4600	48700
6	Bidhawas	Bidhawas	17500	13500	4400	4500	39900
		Bhadoj	23400	19200	6200	4000	52800
7	Shahpur	Shahpur	19800	17800	5600	4300	47500
		Anandpur	25000	20000	6000	5000	56000
		Kheri Dalusingh	22300	18500	5700	4700	51200
		Keshopur	25600	22000	6500	5500	59600
8	Subaseri	Subaseri	26000	23000	6000	5000	60000
		Dharchana	24900	23400	5900	4900	59100

S. No.	Name of micro watersheds	Name of villages	Agriculture in Rs. P.A	Animal Husbandry in Rs. P.A	Casual labour in Rs. P.A	Others in Rs. P.A	Total in Rs.
		Sekhpur	25600	22300	6200	4800	58900

3.5.4 Comparative Status of crop Productivity

Three major crops namely Wheat, Mustard, Bajra and Gwar are sown in Watershed villages. Though main crops grown in this area is Wheat, Mustard, Bajra and Gwar. Compared to rest of the district and the state, the average yield of these crops is quite low.

3.6 REASONS FOR LOW PRODUCTIVITY

- Lack of assured irrigation for agriculture.
- Poor availability and quality of ground water.
- Irregular and erratic rainfall: there is long span between two subsequent rainfalls in the area.
- Sudden change in climate of the area.
- Low organic carbon content.
- Poor physical and chemical properties of the soils are light in texture with boulders in pockets and poor fertility.
- Low water holding/ retention capacity.
- Moderate to rapid permeability.
- Poor phosphorous and medium potash nutrients availability.
- Acceptance of hybrid/ high yielding varieties are nil to negligible.
- Soil erosion.
- Essential micro- nutrient deficiency in the soil.
- Dependence of monsoon.

- Low fertilizer consumption per unit cropped area.
- Lack of economic condition of farmers.
- Lack of good quality of seeds and fertilizer.
- Lack of post harvesting facilities such as storage and marketing.

CHAPTER-4

PROJECT MANAGEMENT AGENCIES

4.1 INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENT

Institutions play a major role in managing the projects. Realizing the importance of Community Participation, Decentralized Participatory Approach has been adopted for Watershed Management. Following decentralization and to achieve the objectives, there is a dire need for establishment of Institutional set up from National to Village Level (Micro Watershed Level), including cluster (Sub Watershed Level) and district level. These institutions need to be oriented from time to time and also empowered so that they take up the assigned tasks and work as per their responsibilities from the start of the program to effective management of Project. Considering the prevalent circumstances, these institutions should take decisions at their respective level. The involvement and participation of beneficiaries and other stakeholders is desired to be encouraged right from the planning stage.

The institutional set up is given below:


DoLR, Ministry of Rural Development
State Level Nodal Agency (SLNA)
Chairman, Principle Secretary Rural Development, Haryana
Watershed Cell cum Data Center (WCDC)
Headed by Deputy Commissioner, Rewari
Project Implementing Agency (PIA)
Assistant Soil Conservation Officer, Rewari
Watershed Development Team
WC
SHGs/UGs/VOs
Gram Sabha

4.2 STATE LEVEL NODAL AGENCY, HARYANA

State Level Nodal Agency (SLNA) is headed by Chief Executive Officer and supported by Technical Experts is completely functional. The regular meetings with PIA and other stake holders are held to provide necessary guidance as per the revised, common guidelines, 2011. The main functions of SLNA are:

- ❖ To implement the approved perspective and strategy plan of watershed development for the state.
- ❖ Acts as Nodal Agency at State Level for appraisal and clearance.
- ❖ To establish and maintain a State Level data cell from the funds sanctioned to the State and connect it online with the National Level Data Centre.
- ❖ To provide technical support to Watershed Cell cum Data Centre throughout the state.
- ❖ To approve a list of independent institutions for capacity building of various stakeholders within the state and work out the overall capacity building strategy in consultation with NRAA/Nodal Ministry.
- ❖ To approve project implementing agencies identified/selected by WCDC/District Level Committee by adopting appropriate objective selection criteria and transparent systems.
- ❖ To establish monitoring, evaluation and learning systems at various levels (Internal and external/independent system).
- ❖ To ensure regular and quality online monitoring of watershed projects in the State in association with Nodal Agency at the Central Level and securing feedback by developing partnerships with independent and capable agencies.

4.3 WATERSHED CELL CUM DATA CENTRE, REWARI

WCDC has been notified by SLNA and the same has been constituted. The team comprises of 3 to 4 subject matter specialists on Agriculture, Water Management, Social Mobilization and Management & Accounts. WCDC is be headed by Deputy Commissioner

and Additional Deputy Commissioner has been designated as Project Manager under IWMP. The WCDC members comprise of Technical Expert, Computer Operator and Accountant. As per guideline 3 to 6 full time staff (3 in district with less than 25000 ha project area and 6 in districts with more than 25000 ha project area) would assist the Project Manager. The Project Manager will prepare well defined annual goals against which the performance will be monitored. The WCDC will be financially supported by the DoLR after review of available staff, infrastructure and actual requirement.

Organization of WCDC and its Objective

The primary objective is successful implementation of watershed programme. The organization bears the responsibility to assist and facilitate PIA from time to time. The broad functions of WCDC are as under:

- Providing technical support in planning and implementation of the project.
- Facilitation in preparation of Annual Action Plan.
- Monitoring and of project activities.
- Co-ordination with allied departments.
- Submission of various reports to SLNA.

4.4 Project Implementation Agency

The project Implementing Agencies (PIA), ASCO Rewari is selected by the State Level Nodal Agency (SLNA) for Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP) in Haryana. In the district Rewari, where the area of development is 25100 ha, a separate dedicated unit, called the Watershed Cell cum Data Centre has been established which is to oversee the implementation of watershed programme. The PIA is responsible for implementation of watershed project. Soil and Water Conservation Department, Rewari, will guide with its vast experience in implementing various watershed development Projects.

PIA will put dedicated watershed development team and will provide necessary technical guidance to the Gram Sabha /Watershed Committee for implementation of development plans for the watershed projects through Participatory Rural Appraisal Exercise.

PIA will also undertake:

a) Community Organization,
b) Trainings for the village communities,
c) Supervise Watershed Development Activities,
d) Inspect & authenticate project accounts,
e) Monitor & review the overall project implementation,
f) Set up institutional arrangements for post project operations and
g) Maintenance and further development of the assets created during the project period.

Table 1. PIA/ Project Implementing Agency

S.No.	Name of the Project	Details of PIA	
1	Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP-V)	i) Type of organization	District Level Nodal Agency
		ii) Name of organization	District Watershed Development Unit
		iii) Designation & Address	Assistant Soil Conservation Officer, Rewari
		iv) Telephone	094160- 69536
		v) Fax	01274- 225240
		vi) E-mail	drdarwr@hry.nic.in

The PIA is well competent to effectively manage this project and has a good rapport with the village community. The watershed committee members are giving them positive response in the preparatory phase. The overall responsibility of the PIA would be to

oversee the project progresses well and to provide technical knowhow as when required. PIA has qualified and highly experienced staff to accomplish this task and take this project forward and attain to a logical conclusion. PIA will be assisted by the Watershed Development Team.

4.4.1 Monitoring Level Staff at PIA Head Office

The highly experienced staff is engaged in the monitoring the project. The technical guidance to field staff from time to time is being provided. Meetings are being periodically held by head office with officials from the Rewari district to apprise themselves of the status of ongoing project.

4.5 Watershed Development Team

The watershed development team (WDT) is an integral part of the PIA. WDT would consist of subject specialists such as Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Horticulture, Soil & Water Management and Forest. One woman member with experience in Social mobilization is also included in WDT. Assistant Soil Conservation Officer would be team leader of the WDTs. Team Leader will coordinate with other WDT members for smooth implementation of the project. One member of the WDT will be departmental official of the rank ADO (Soil Conservation)/ ADO (Agriculture) who will also be responsible for disbursement of funds along with Secretary Watershed Committee.

WDT will guide the watershed committee in the formulation of watershed action plan. An indicative list of the roles and responsibilities of the WDT would include among others, the following.

a) Constitution of Watershed Committee and its functioning,
b) Organizing and strengthening User groups, Self Help Groups,

c) Mobilizing women to ensure that the perspectives and interests of women are adequately reflected in the watershed action plan
d) Conducting Training and Capacity Building,
e) Common property resource management and equitable sharing
f) Preparing detailed resource development plan including Soil & Water Conservation,
g) Undertake engineering surveys,
h) Prepare engineering drawings and cost estimate for structures to be built.
i) Monitoring, checking, assessing, undertaking physical verification and measurements of the work done
j) Facilitating the development of livelihood opportunities for the landless
k) Maintaining project accounts
l) Arranging physical, financial and social audit of the work undertaken
m) Setting up suitable arrangements for post- project operation, maintenance and future development of the assets created during the project period.

4.6 WATERSHED COMMITTEE DETAILS

The process of formation of watershed committees of all villages has been completed and watershed committees have been formed in all villages. The representation on these committees consists of members from- SC, landless, women and members from self help groups and user groups. The committees would be imparted training for smooth management of the activities related to watershed.

Their representation of various groups is as under:

- Minimum of 50% members from SHGs and UGs, SCs, women and landless.

- One member from Watershed Development Team, especially women member (subject matter specialist in Social Science).

The Govt. of Haryana vide department memo No. PO (IWMP)-2012/1479 dated 05.03.2012 has decided to include the following members as members of the Watershed Committees.

- All alive ex-Sarpanches of concerned Gram Panchayats,
- Concerned member of Panchayat Samiti,
- Concerned member of Zila Parishad,

One of the members of Watershed Committees is nominated as Watershed Secretary to perform the following duties:

- Convening meetings of Watershed Committee, Gram Sabha,
- Maintaining all records and proceedings of the meetings.
- Follow up action on all decisions taken in the meetings.
- Ensuring people's participation.

4.6.1 Formation of Watershed Committees (WC)

The watershed committee has been constituted as per the guidelines para 6.3 (44) after convening a meeting of Gram Sabha. The schedule of the meeting was circulated by the Additional Deputy Commissioner well in advance. The watershed committees were constituted in each village as detailed in **Table 2.**

Table 2. Watershed Committees (WC) Details

Name of Micro Watersheds	Name of Villages	Name of President	Name of Secretary	Name of Members
Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	Gauri Shanker	Rajinder	Bishamber Dayal, Rajpal, Sunil, Surjit Singh, Sumitra Devi, Susila Devi, Banwari Devi, Paltu Ram
	Khera Murar	Bhoop Singh	Om Prakash	Dharam Singh, Kamlesh Devi, Sushila Devi, Ant Ram, Shri Kishan, Bula Ram, Jai Narain, Ranvir
Gujjar Majri	Gujjar majri	Jagat Singh	Amarjeet	Raju, Krishana Devi, Ram Ji Lal, Birju, Santa Devi, Badlu Ram, Chander Bhan, Desh Raj
	Bishanpur	Pehlad Singh	Manoj	Hawa Singh, Shyam Lal, Lal Chand, Hari Ram, Rohtash, Ram Parkash, Durga Devi, Bimla Devi
Raipur	Raipur	Asha devi	Narender Kumar	Sunder Singh, Ramphal, Amari devi, Savita, Sube Singh, Rajaram, Prabhati, Ishwar Singh, Kanwar Singh, Ishwara Singh
	Nangal Sahbajpur	Champa	Deep Chand	Somdutt, Manisha, Jit Ram, Prem, Yasoda, Sheodan, Hira Singh, Duli Chand
	Nangli Prasarpur	Sanwat Singh	Satish Kumar	Bhoop Singh, Roshan Lal, Shanti, Saroj, Rajiv, Rajender, Varinder, Vidya Devi
Tihara	Tihara	Santosh	Ashish	Shish Ram, Ami Chand, Kanta Devi, Hem Singh, Randhir Singh, Gajraj Singh, Shiv Kumar, Chando Devi, Ravinder Kumar
	Rasiawas	Nirmala Devi	Under Process	Bhim Singh, Hukam Singh, Meena Devi, Devi Ram, Santra Devi, Hosiar Singh, Suraj Singh, Desh Ram, Ram Kesh, Dalip Singh
Dulhera Khurd	Dulhera Khurd	Seema	Shaitan Singh	Ramvati, Ramu, Balu Ram, Asha, Resham, Payare Lal, Karan Singh, Vikram

Name of Micro Watersheds	Name of Villages	Name of President	Name of Secretary	Name of Members
	Dulhera Kalan	Shiv Charan	Under process	Vijay Singh, Mangu Ram, Krishana, Santosh, Shashi Kant, Manphool, Ram Kanwar, Rameshar, Kavita
Bidhawas	Bidhawas	Hukum Singh	Net Ram	Bhoop Singh, Kanta Devi, Asha Devi, Chiranji Lal, Bhoop Singh, Raju, Bhagwan Singh, Mool Chand, Satbir
	Bhadoj	Mahipal	Ran Singh	Badan Singh, Jagdish, Dharamveer, Babu Lal, Chandervati, Rajbala, Kavinder, Sudesh,
Shahpur	Shahpur	Banwar Lal	Raj Singh	Dharminder, Smt. Madhu, Dhauli Devi, Ram Kishan, Rajesh Kumar, Mange Ram, Sultan Singh, Satbir Singh
	Anandpur	Kulbir Singh	Sandeep	Jagdish, Phool Kanwar, Lilu, Neelam, Hira Lal, Sonu, Surender, Lila Ram
	Kheri Dalusingh	Dhan Singh	Karan Singh	Ram Kalam, Rajpal, Madan, Pawan, Inder, Parmeshwari, Solha Devi, Rajpal
	Keshopur	Prabhu Dayal	Hari Singh	Luxmi Chand, Girdhari Lal, Saroj Devi, Sandeep, Banwari Lal, Rajesh, Ashok, Rajesh
Subaseri	Subaseri	Asharafi	Yogesh	Desh Raj, Mam Chand, Nihal Singh, Seema, Samay Singh, Phoolwati, Pardeep, Zile Singh
	Dharchana	Satbir Singh	Under Process	Bijender Singh, , Kamal Singh, Bhagwati, Kamlesh, Dharam Chand, Ram Niwas, Sube Singh, Rajbir, Ram Singh
	Sekhpur	Shiv Charan	Under Process	Vijay Singh, Mangu Ram, Krishan, Santosh, Shashi Kant, Manphool, Ram Kanwar, Ramesher, Kavita

As per the Government decision, Sarpanch of the village is the chairman of the watershed committee. The Secretary of the Watershed Committee has been appointed by the Watershed Committee in the meeting of Gram Sabha. The Secretary will be paid honorarium and would be independent from the functioning of Panchayat Secretary. The secretary would be dedicated in the project activities and would take care of the watershed supervision and would be fully responsible for organizing the meeting and maintenance of records. The main responsibilities of secretary are as under:

- Convening the meeting and recording the minutes of WC meeting and will be responsible for follow up the decision taken by the WC Committee.
- The secretary will be responsible for financial transactions of the project and will sign the cheques with WDT nominee on the behalf of WC.
- He will motivate the villagers for voluntary contribution and ensure equitable distribution of resources.

4.7 INSTITUTIONAL SETUP AT WATERSHED LEVEL

4.7.1 Self Help Groups

The formation of the self help group in all the villages is underway. It is proposed to form at least 2 self help group in each village. In each village Self Help Groups consisting of 10 to 15 members having common goal are being formed. The members of SHGs would be drawn from very poor families, BPL families, SC families, Land less families, Small and Marginal farmers SHG would be homogeneous in nature and would work together for their socio-economic up-liftment. SHGs need to be imparted. Under the project, each SHGs would be given revolving fund Rs. 25000 each after 6 months of the date of formation. The income generating activities would be identified. For adopting economic activities would depend upon the decision of Self Help Group. Accordingly the Orientation and Trainings for their skill up gradation would be arranged in the project as activity. It is the responsibility of Watershed Committee to form SHGs in their respective villages under the guidance of Watershed Development Team and Project Implementing Agency.

4.7.2 User Groups

The Watershed Committee will constitute user group in the watershed area with the help of the WDT. In each Watershed village, user groups are also being formed. Members of these groups would be the beneficiaries of the Watershed project. User group are formed to manage the activities and also asset created under the programme on the long term basis. These groups would also be homogeneous in nature. User groups shall be given technical support as and when required by Watershed Committee and Watershed Development Team. During the preparatory stage while discussing with the Gram Sabha member it was decided that each group would formulate certain internal rules and have a feeling of ownership with community spirit. The members would be from various categories like landless, small farmer, marginal farmer and large farmer.

CHAPTER- 5

BUDGETING

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENTS AND THEIR YEAR WISE PHASING BUDGET UNDER IWMP

IWMP- V KHERA MURAR WATERSHED

5.1 BUDGETING

The State Level Nodal Agency will distribute funds to WCDC keeping in view the detailed annual action plan of each micro-watershed. The expenditure under the various component of the project will be carried out as per the guidelines. The activity wise allocations of funds as per the provision of budget components have been work out and exhibited in table. 1. The first step in the budgeting is dividing the cost of project into various components as detailed in the revised common guidelines. It would help the PIA in further identifying activities under different components and allocate appropriate funds.

MICRO WATERSHED WISE / COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP-V

Area in Hectares and

Funds in Rs.

Table 1. Activity wise allocation of funds for Project Village

(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)

Name of the project	Project Area	Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total

Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)	5028	4616	55392000	Administrative costs	553920	553920	1661760	1661760	1107840	5539200
				Monitoring	0	0	0	553920	0	553920
				Evaluation	0	0	0	0	553920	553920
				Entry point activities	2215680	0	0	0	0	2215680
				Institution and capacity building	0	2769600	0	0	0	2769600
				Detailed project report	553920	0	0	0	0	553920
				Watershed development works	0	4431360	8862720	9416640	8308800	31019520
				Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	1661760	2769600	553920	4985280
				Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	1661760	2215680	1661760	5539200
				Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	1661760	1661760
				Total	**3323520**	**7754880**	**13848000**	**16617600**	**13848000**	**55392000**
				Percentage of total cost	**6%**	**14%**	**25%**	**30%**	**25%**	**100%**

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP

Area in Hectares and

Funds in Rs.

Table 2. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Mangleswar)

(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
520	6240000	Administrative costs	62400	62400	187200	187200	124800	624000
		Monitoring	0	0	0	62400	0	62400
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	62400	62400
		Entry point activities	249600	0	0	0	0	249600
		Institution and capacity building	0	312000	0	0	0	312000
		Detailed project report	62400	0	0	0	0	62400
		Watershed	0	499200	998400	1060800	936000	3494400

		development works						
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	187200	312000	62400	561600
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	187200	249600	187200	624000
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	187200	187200
		Total	**374400**	**873600**	**1560000**	**1872000**	**1560000**	**6240000**
		Percentage of total cost	**6%**	**14%**	**25%**	**30%**	**25%**	**100%**

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP

Area in Hectares and

Funds in Rs.

Table 3. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Gujjar Majri)

(**BUDGET AT A GLANCE)**

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
510	6120000	Administrative costs	61200	61200	183600	183600	122400	612000
		Monitoring	0	0	0	61200	0	61200
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	61200	61200
		Entry point activities	244800	0	0	0	0	244800
		Institution and capacity building	0	306000	0	0	0	306000
		Detailed project report	61200	0	0	0	0	61200
		Watershed development works	0	489600	979200	1040400	918000	3427200
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	183600	306000	61200	550800
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	183600	244800	183600	612000
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	183600	183600
		Total	**367200**	**856800**	**1530000**	**1836000**	**1530000**	**6120000**
		Percentage of total cost	**6%**	**14%**	**25%**	**30%**	**25%**	**100%**

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP

Area in Hectares and

Funds in Rs.

Table 4. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Raipur)

(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
538	6456000	Administrative costs	64560	64560	193680	193680	129120	645600
		Monitoring	0	0	0	64560	0	64560
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	64560	64560
		Entry point activities	258240	0	0	0	0	258240

		Institution and capacity building	0	322800	0	0	0	322800
		Detailed project report	64560	0	0	0	0	64560
		Watershed development works	0	516480	1032960	1097520	968400	3615360
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	193680	322800	64560	581040
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	193680	258240	193680	645600
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	193680	193680
		Total	**387360**	**903840**	**1614000**	**1936800**	**1614000**	**6456000**
		Percentage of total cost	**6%**	**14%**	**25%**	**30%**	**25%**	**100%**

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP

Area in Hectares and

Funds in Rs.

Table 5. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Tihara)

(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
513	6156000	Administrative costs	61560	61560	184680	184680	123120	615600
		Monitoring	0	0	0	61560	0	61560
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	61560	61560
		Entry point activities	246240	0	0	0	0	246240
		Institution and capacity building	0	307800	0	0	0	307800
		Detailed project report	61560	0	0	0	0	61560
		Watershed development works	0	492480	984960	1046520	923400	3447360
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	184680	307800	61560	554040
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	184680	246240	184680	615600
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	184680	184680
		Total	**369360**	**861840**	**1539000**	**1846800**	**1539000**	**6156000**

		Percentage of total cost	**6%**	**14%**	**25%**	**30%**	**25%**	**100%**

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP

Area in Hectares and Funds in Rs.

Table 6. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Dulhera Khurd)

(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
658	7896000	Administrative costs	78960	78960	236880	236880	157920	789600

		Monitoring	0	0	0	78960	0	78960
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	78960	78960
		Entry point activities	315840	0	0	0	0	315840
		Institution and capacity building	0	394800	0	0	0	394800
		Detailed project report	78960	0	0	0	0	78960
		Watershed development works	0	631680	1263360	1342320	1184400	4421760
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	236880	394800	78960	710640
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	236880	315840	236880	789600
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	236880	236880
		Total	**473760**	**1105440**	**1974000**	**2368800**	**1974000**	**7896000**
		Percentage of total cost	**6%**	**14%**	**25%**	**30%**	**25%**	**100%**

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP

Area in Hectares and
Funds in Rs.

Table 7. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Bidhawas)

(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
500	6000000	Administrative costs	60000	60000	180000	180000	120000	600000
		Monitoring	0	0	0	60000	0	60000
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	60000	60000
		Entry point activities	240000	0	0	0	0	240000
		Institution and capacity building	0	300000	0	0	0	300000
		Detailed project report	60000	0	0	0	0	60000

		Watershed development works	0	480000	960000	1020000	900000	3360000
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	180000	300000	60000	540000
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	180000	240000	180000	600000
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	180000	180000
		Total	**360000**	**840000**	**1500000**	**1800000**	**1500000**	**6000000**
		Percentage of total cost	**6%**	**14%**	**25%**	**30%**	**25%**	**100%**

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP

Area in Hectares and
Funds in Rs.

Table 8. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Shahpur)

(**BUDGET AT A GLANCE)**

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
600	7200000	Administrative costs	72000	72000	216000	216000	144000	720000
		Monitoring	0	0	0	72000	0	72000
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	72000	72000
		Entry point activities	288000	0	0	0	0	288000
		Institution and capacity building	0	360000	0	0	0	360000
		Detailed project report	72000	0	0	0	0	72000
		Watershed development works	0	576000	1152000	1224000	1080000	4032000
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	216000	360000	72000	648000
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	216000	288000	216000	720000
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	216000	216000
		Total	**432000**	**1008000**	**1800000**	**2160000**	**1800000**	**7200000**

		Percentage of total cost	**6%**	**14%**	**25%**	**30%**	**25%**	**100%**

MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING

YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP

Area in Hectares and Funds in Rs.

Table 9. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Subaseri)

(**BUDGET AT A GLANCE)**

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year	4th Year	5th Year	Total
777	9324000	Administrative costs	93240	93240	279720	279720	186480	932400
		Monitoring	0	0	0	93240	0	93240
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	93240	93240
		Entry point activities	372960	0	0	0	0	372960
		Institution and capacity building	0	466200	0	0	0	466200
		Detailed project report	93240	0	0	0	0	93240
		Watershed development works	0	745920	1491840	1585080	1398600	5221440
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	279720	466200	93240	839160
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	279720	372960	279720	932400
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	279720	279720
		Total	**559440**	**1305360**	**2331000**	**2797200**	**2331000**	**9324000**
		Percentage of total cost	**6%**	**14%**	**25%**	**30%**	**25%**	**100%**

CHAPTER – 6

PREPARATORY PHASES

During the first year, all activities involved by adopting participatory approach and empowerment of local institutions (WC, SHG, and UG). WAPCOS team assumed the role of facilitator during this phase. In this phase, the main activities are as follows:

6.1 AWARENESS GENERATION AND MOTIVATION FOR PARTICIPATION

Fortunately, due to the implementation of earlier watershed management projects and operation of various ongoing soil and water conservation schemes, there has been regular interaction of the departmental staff with the community. Because of positive result of earlier projects, people are responsive and are looking forward for projects intervention. The need for the soil and water conservation works have emerged due to persistent draught, which the area is facing. However, production system need lot of improvement and hence the need of awareness generation and motivation for collective efforts to face the malady of recurrent floods and draught.

6.1.1 Collection of Base Line Data and Hydrological Data

As explained earlier, baseline data from all possible sources is collected for the purpose of not only future impact assessment but also to design project intervention. Most of this was done at the PPR and DPR stages, which forms integral part of the preparatory phase. In addition, data on rain fall amount and distribution, weather conditions and frequency of floods and drought was compiled at DPR stage.

6.1.2 Formation of Village Level Institutions

It has been decided by the state that project activities shall be implemented throughout the watershed committees (WCs). In collaboration with the department, the village level WCs were formed by holding well-attended meeting in which all settlement and section of the society were represented. Due representation, was given to women, landless and BPL families as per norms issued by DoLR.

The self- Help Groups were formed during earlier projects but most of them are inactive and non – functional. These groups shall be revived and new ones were to be formed depending upon willingness of the interest groups. Considering and understanding the type of activities these groups wish to pursue and their capacity building requirements were given importance and duly noted.

6.1.3 Preparation of DPR

PRA exercise and comprehensive data base have been carried out for DPR preparation. Meetings were held at district level, micro-watershed wise and village wise by involving the concerned departments and members of Gram Sabha on this aspect**.** The Draft Project Report was prepared on the basic information generated from primary and secondary sources. This also includes the outcome of participatory rural appraisal and outcome of transect walk and stakeholders' discussions. A list of scope of works that finally emerged was prepared. Based on the technical survey, detailed cost estimates were prepared for components including resource management, entry point activities and production system. A broad frame work for capacity building at all levels as per the guidelines of DoLR was prepared. The livelihood opportunities which emerged from local product and market facility were analyzed and outlines of the same were included. Since the financial provisions were decided according to the area proposed to be covered, these provisions were distributed across project activities. The project activities are sequenced into three phase's namely preparatory phase, work phase, consolidation and withdrawal phase. So, the activities were segregated in the sequence and explained in detail. Finally the details about budget and its spilt up into annual action plan were also attempted. Various maps using GIS were created likes Base map, Present Land Use, Geo-hydrological, Micro Watershed, Drainage, Contours, Slope, Soil Classification, Land

Capability Classification, Ground Water Depth and Quality, Proposed and existing Activities of works. All the works proposed in the DPR are location specific and are as per the local demand and socio- economic conditions of the watersheds.

Strength, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat (SWOT) analysis of IWMP

A critical analysis of main strength of the proposed project, evident weaknesses, opportunities available for successful implementation and scope of achieving set objectives was made. Attention is also paid to possible threat against which sufficient inbuilt safeguards are provided. Such an analysis was done for the project in hand and summaries of observations were made and are mentioned below in all six watersheds in Rewari district.

Strengths

- Moderate rain fall
- Strong linkage with national and state level institutes and KGK for capacity building and technical guidance.
- Most families are engaged in animal husbandry activities.
- Availability of drinking water.
- Good response to earlier watershed management programmes.
- Local residents are active in micro enterprises.

Weaknesses

- Erratic rainfall
- Lack of good quality fodder.
- Lack of advanced cattle breed.
- Low level of milk production.
- Lack of knowledge base regarding scientific cattle management.

- Prevalence of soil erosion
- No organized micro enterprises activities.
- Lack of technical skills.

Opportunities

- Rain Water harvesting/recharging for production.
- Promotion of organic farming.
- Promotion of horticultural activities (dry land plants).
- Provide training on dairy farming and other income generating activities.
- Promotion of nursery raising and pasture development.
- There would be horizontal integration and convergence of development programmes being organized and run by govt.

Threats

There are few negative issues that may have adverse effect

- Unreliable rainfall.
- Absence of assured irrigation.
- Lack of cooperation and contribution from local residents.
- Low literacy rate in the project area.
- Rapid climate change affecting crops.
- Lack of awareness of Dairy farming as a commercial activity.
- The area is underlain by marginal to saline ground water.
- Frequent droughts.

CAPACITY BUILDING- 5%

Rs. 27, 69, 600/-

6.2 Capacity Building

1. Introduction

Watershed development is conceived as a strategy for protecting livelihoods of people inhabiting fragile ecosystems, which over period of time have become subject to multidimensional land degradation. Main stress has been to ensure availability of water for drinking and irrigation to support agro-horti-forestry operation vis-à-vis raise income level and provide adequate employment opportunities for communities living in such areas of concerns. As an intervention, Integrated Wasteland Development is nearly 20 years old. The initiatives have been subjected to periodic reviews by expert committees with a broader view to improve upon its strategy and components as well as match with the growing socio-ecological requirements

Para 9.VIII of common guidelines necessitate capacity building and training of all functionaries and stakeholders involved watershed programme on a war footing with definite action plan, requisite professionalism and all round competence.

2. Vision

A sincere effort to provide required professionalism and competence to the stakeholders associated with planning and implementation of IWMP in the state. This would include organisation development, human resource development, cooperation and network development and institutional development, all seen as a continuous process enabling functionaries to enhance their knowledge and skills and to develop the required orientation and perspectives thereby becoming more effective in discharging their roles and responsibilities.

3. Need

The term Capacity Development is understood as the development of people, organizations and society capability to manage resources effectively and efficiently in order to realize their own goals on a sustainable basis. In this context, four dimensions have to be distinguished:

- The development of the human resource or personnel development.
- The strengthening of the effectiveness and efficiency of organization or organizational development.
- The strengthening of cooperation between organizations and network development.
- The promotion of institutional frameworks for development.

Further, 47 projects have already been sanctioned in 2011-2012 in the state covering around 248 micro watersheds measuring 179531 hectares of area. The implementation of these new projects under the umbrella of common guidelines is reported to be in the initial stage under preparatory phase. The establishment of desired institutional setup at all levels, required level of awareness for ensuring effectiveness of all institutions and community participation is therefore necessitated for conclusive participation by all.

This also necessitates a comprehensive package to provide appropriate knowledge for speedy implementation of the projects in the state particularly in the districts.

4. Rationale

Para 81 of common guidelines for watershed development lays special emphasis on the following key elements of Capacity building strategy.

- Dedicated & decentralized institutional support & delivery mechanism
- Annual Action Plan for Capacity Building
- Pool of resource persons
- Well prepared training modules and reading materials
- Mechanism for effective monitoring and follow-up.

Keeping in firsthand experience of the state in launching 47 projects under IWMP and current state of planning and implementation under preparatory phase is to primarily prepared and build the capacity of different principal stakeholders of projects to speed up further implementation and also lay a strong foundation for subsequent phases.

5. Objectives

The main objectives of the current action plan for ongoing 47 projects are outlined as follows:-

- Create common understanding on different features and provisions of common guidelines as well as instructions directions issued from time to time by Central and State Governmental agencies.
- Develop proper conceptual understanding about integrated participatory watershed management including other issues such as equity, environmental and social sustainability among all implementing agencies at project and village levels, PRIs and local communities (**KNOWLEDGE**).
- Build necessary and required skills and managerial competence of all stakeholders about planning, implementation and management of various project activities using participatory approach (**SKILLS**).
- Help institutional growth of watershed committees at GP level.
- Strengthening community participation, ensuring positive involvement of communities and improvement of socio economic conditions in watershed areas (**ATTITUDES**).

Table 1. Statement of Targets under Proposed Training Action Plan at Micro Watershed Level to be conducted by WDT members of Rewari District

Sl. No.	Title of Training Programme and Duration	Level of Participants	Total persons	Trainees Per Programme	Number of Programmes
01	District Level Sensitization Workshop for Watershed Committees. **One Day**				
	Rewari	Members of Watershed Committees @ 10 per committee would also include accompanying WDT Members.	770	300-350	2
02	Block Level Functional Programmes for Secretaries of Watershed Committees. **Two Days**				
	Rewari	Secretaries of Village Watershed Committees	77	35-40	2
03	Project Level Sensitization Camps for WC **One Days**				
	Rewari	Members of Watershed Committees @ 10 Persons (Tentative) per WC	770	50	15
04	Village Level Awareness Camps on IWMP at Micro Watershed Level for User Groups **One Day**				
	Rewari	Approximately 50 prospective user groups per micro watershed.	2150	50	43
05	Block Level Functional Programmes for SHGs [Leader, Secretary and Treasurer] under IWMP **One Day**				
	Rewari	Three persons (Leader, Secretary and Treasurer) per Self Help Group @ around one SHG per village.	231	50	5

Note: Training programmes under Sl. No. 01 are proposed to be conducted by HIRD in collaboration with SLNA and WCDCs.

6. Training Methods

A group of selected Watershed Development Team members would be trained on various methods to ensure that they are able to conduct the proposed interventions effectively with the help of some of the following methods.

- Interactive learning.
- Experience Sharing.
- Experimental Learning.
- Presentation of case studies.
- Classroom deliberations.
- Group [structured] exercises and discussions.

7. Tools

- Projectors
- Flip Charts
- Electronic films
- Print Material
- Other IEC material.

8. Resource Persons

8.1. Internal

Around two persons per WDT identified from the initial training activities by HIRD, Nilokheri would be trained on various aspects for designing and conducting the training programmes. It is expected that each WDT members would be required

to function as a internal resource person for the proposed training programmes. Technical experts from each WCDC and PIA would also function as facilitators in the proposed training activities.

8.2. External

Further, in order to make the proposed interventions meaningful for achieving the broader objectives efforts would be made to liaison with various experts from district level line departments, agencies and state level institutions including HIRD as per the need of the programme.

9. Fund Requirement

The **approved revised norms for training for PRIs and RD functionaries" by MoRD, GoI in 2010** have been strictly used [for fixed and variable costs].

Table 2. Statement showing funds Requirement for training on IWMP in Haryana (Preparatory Phase – District Level)

Sr. No	Training Programmes for SLNA, WDT, PIA , Field Functionary , WDC member's , SHG & UG organize by HIRD	Total Funds
1	District Level Sensitization Workshop(s) for Watershed Committees	52440
2	Block Level Functional Programmes for Secretaries of Watershed Committees. Two Days	9728
3	Village Level Sensitization Camps for WC One Days	49792
4	Village Level Awareness Camps on IWMP at Micro Watershed Level for Prospective User Groups One Day	64054
5	Block Level Functional Programmes for SHGs [Leader, Secretary and Treasurer] under IWMP One Day	19935
	Total	**195949**

Table 3. Micro Watershed Wise Exposure cum training Visit for SLNA, WDT, PIA , Field Functionary , WDC, SHG & UG Members of IWMP V (Rewari)

S. No.	Target Group	Training Topics	No. of days	Budget per camp	No. of Camps	No. of Participants per camp	Cost for all participants per day	Cost per participant/ per day	Cost per person	Total Budget
1	Self Help Groups- 2 SHGs- micro watershed level	Orientation on IWMP, SHGs cum Exposure Visit	2	22400	5	16	11200	700	2100	168000
2	User groups from each micro watershed	NRM, Post Project Management etc. –Exposure Visit	2	22400	5	16	11200	700	2100	168000
3	Sub watershed Level- WDT Members	Part II-Module I to V-Exposure Visit Outside State- Conceptual, Technical, Social, Management of Finance, Monitoring and Evaluation.	4	48000	5	8	12000	1500	4500	180000
4	Sub watershed	Exposure Visit- Within	2	11200	5	8	5600	700	4500	180000

S. No.	Target Group	Training Topics	No. of days	Budget per camp	No. of Camps	No. of Participants per camp	Cost for all participants per day	Cost per participant/ per day	Cost per person	Total Budget
	Level- PIA Members	Fundamentals of Watershed, Finance Management, Final Report on WDP etc								
5	District Level-WDC	Exposure visit to successful watershed/ University.	2	22400	5	16	11200	700	1400	112000
6	District Level-Line Deptt., WDC	Exposure visit to successful watersheds within state.	2	22400	5	16	11200	700	1400	112000
7	SLNA and District Level Controlling Officers	Exposure visit to successful watersheds outside state	4	48000	5	8	12000	1500	6000	240000
Total			**18**	**196800**	**35**	**88**				**1160000**

Table 4. Farmer's / Beneficiaries training camps with Extension Programmes of IWMP V (Rewari)

S. No.	District	No. Micro watershed	No. of Camps/ Year/ Micro watershed	Total No. of camps per Year	Total No. of camps for 5 Year's	Amount of per Camp	Amount per Micro watershed	Total Budget

1.	Farmer Training Camp in each season	8	2	16	80	12,000	1,92,000	9,60,000
2.	Propaganda & Documentation (Puppet show, documentary movies show, video-graphy, Photography, wall Painting, Display Board, pamphlets, leaf lets. Etc)	8	2	16	80	5000	80,000	4,00,000
3	Contingency charges							53651
	Total							**1413651**

i) **Training Programmes for SLNA, WDT, PIA , Field Functionary , WDC member's , SHG & UG organize by HIRD = Rs. 1,95,949/-**

ii) **Micro Watershed Wise Exposure cum training Visit For SLNA, WDT, PIA , Field Functionary , WDC, SHG & UG Members**
= Rs. 11, 60,000/-

iii) **Farmer's / Beneficiaries training camps with Extension Program's = Rs. 14,13,651/-**

Grand Total = Rs. 27, 69,600/-

6.2.1. EXPECTED OUTCOME OF CAPACITY BUILDING

- All principal stakeholders would be covered under proposed training interventions by March, 2013.
- The knowledge level of different stakeholders on various provisions of Common Guidelines will increase to a significant level.
- The skill level of the principal stakeholders will be improved in managing watershed projects in consonance with the provisions of common guidelines and state government instructions.

- The programmes will help in ensuring that all stakeholders/agencies/institutions work with positive attitudes in order to utilize the benefit of the projects in fulfilling the objectives set forth.
- Programmes will create a sense of responsible partnership amongst various stakeholders.
- The programmes will also help in further identifying areas for future interventions.
- Improved participation of different stakeholders leading to speedy implementation of watershed development work phase.
- Experiences would help in consolidating other gaps for better planning and management of Capacity Building and Training interventions under new projects in future.

6.3 Entry Point Activities 4%

EPA activities are taken up under the watershed to build rapport with village community at the beginning of the project, generally certain important works which are in urgent demand of the local community are taken up. A group discussion was conducted in the Gram Sabha meeting/watershed committee regarding EPA activities. It was conveyed to the Gram Sabha that an amount of **Rs. 22, 15,680/-** was provided for EPA. The provision of IEC material for community will be met under EPA. The stake holders discussed the various activities which they felt is important but after the discussion the following activities were finalized. The convergence with the other project can also be undertaken.

Table 5. Entry Point Activities in Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)

(Rs. In Lacs)

Sr. No.	Block	Name of Project	No. of EPAs Identified	No. of EPAs Completed	Name/Nature of EPA	Location	Expenditure
1.	Bawal	Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)	20	20	Retaining Wall	Khera Murar	1.50
					Retaining Wall	Gujjar Majri	1.50

Sr. No.	Block	Name of Project	No. of EPAs Identified	No. of EPAs Completed	Name/Nature of EPA	Location	Expenditure
					Retaining Wall	Bisanpur	1.74
					Retaining Wall	Bidawas	0.93
					Retaining Wall	Bhadoj	0.81
					Ramp/Inlet	Mangleshwar	2.24
					Retaining Wall	Nangal Shabazpur	0.34
					Retaining Wall	Raipur	1.24
					Raiseup of R/Wall	Nangli Parsapur	1.29
					Cattle Khol	Dulhera Kalan	0.10
					Cattle Khol	Dulhera Khurd	0.10
					Pacca Nala	Suba Seri	0.62
					Pacca Nala	Rasiyawas	1.64
					Retaining Wall	Kheri Dali Singh	0.51
					Pacca Nala	Kesopur	1.04
					Retaining Wall	Dharchana	2.00
					Ramp/Inlet	Shahpur	2.16
					Retaining Wall	Tihara	0.60
					Retaining Wall	Shekhpur	0.97

Sr. No.	Block	Name of Project	No. of EPAs Identified	No. of EPAs Completed	Name/Nature of EPA	Location	Expenditure
					Retaining Wall	Anandpur	0.82
					Total		**22.15**

CHAPTER- 7

WORK PHASE

7.1 WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT WORKS - 56%

The Works under the project have been identified after the detailed survey of the Project Area and discussions held with watershed development team members along with officers from other field like Agriculture, Horticulture and Animal Husbandry. Participatory approach has been adopted to identify the activities under the project. The detailed discussions were held with watershed committees and works identified along with villagers after making visits to identified sites. The works mainly relate to soil and water conservation activities like Water Conveyance System, Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields) (Water Saving Technology), Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation), Water channel, Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet, Roof top rain water recharge structures, Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet, Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support, etc. The proposed project proposals were presented in the Gram Sabha meeting as per the schedule and were approved with certain changes. The works thus identified are given in the attached sheets along with estimates – micro watershed wise.

Drainage line Treatment

There are no large/ deep gullies in the area because most of the area is nearly level, however at few places near stabilized sand dunes where slopes are gentle to steep, small gullies with complex slope have been formed which need specific treatment like construction of check dam (stone masonry) and earthen embankment.

The project area having small or large old ponds which have been silted up and needs strengthening. The land holding is small and any loss of land nearby area would be loss to the farmer. Under the IWDP/ Haryali some works like construction/renovation of farm ponds, small earthen embankments with vegetative support has been undertaken but still at few places water channel, inlet of the ponds and outlet needs to be constructed. So there repair and renovation is proposed. During the discussion it was felt to be genuine demand for repair, renovation and capacity enhancement of ponds in the area.

Proposed System: Run-off from upper area shall be reduced by a- forestation and other soil conservation measures which would also recharge the aquifer. As per need, retaining walls are proposed at strategic locations to protect the farm lands and bank of ponds.

Proposed Activity: Renovation and, construction of new ponds. The provision for construction of inlet, outlet, ramp and retaining walls are the basic need by project stakeholders which has been provided. In some villages, the constructions of new ponds are proposed, subject to availability of funds. In summer months, it is widely held that buffaloes must spend 3 to 4 hours in pond for cooling which save the animal from heat stress. Hence, there was much demand of ponds renovation and repairs. Ponds as such are the best source of rainwater conservation and ground water recharge.

Gram Panchayats spend meger money on repair and renovation under different schemes but due to paucity of funds, works are taken up in piece meal and main work of retaining wall is ignored. The stakeholders gave high priority for the construction of retaining walls as lot of water was leaking from sides and cutting of banks by waves and animal intervention to reduce capacity of pond. In most villages, the first priority of the entire community is the construction of retaining walls of the ponds as these are considered sacred due to the presence of historic village temples nearby. Some of the works had been covered under entry point activities. It is

also stressed to use the labor component from MGNREGA and material from provision from the IWMP so that maximum amount of rainwater is harvested.

7.2 Earthen Embankment

In order to conserve the rain water, the provisions of earthen embankment have been provided along the field boundaries across the slope for in-situ moisture conservation.

Suggested Interventions: In a number of villages, sites have been identified for in-situ moisture conservation and construction of embankments where village paths have got converted in to nalas due to severe erosion. The provision of earthen embankments besides being sustained source of water will help in checking further deterioration due to erosion in the project area.

This phase has been started after the completion of the preparatory phase is by and large complete. It is considered as the heart of the program in which the DPR proposals shall be implemented in participatory mode. In this watershed management program, it was planned to rehabilitate the degraded watersheds by the control of runoff and soil loss by biological and masonry works for conservation measures. In this water stressed project area, rainwater harvesting to reduce soil erosion, recharge ground water, improve moisture regime and use of harvesting water for human and livestock use. This was coupled with land development, production improvement, and promotion of subsidiary occupations for improved livelihoods. Many village ponds are silted, several are filled with silt and sewage water and giving foul smell. Repair renovation and retaining walls of village ponds has emerged as an important activity. The scope of integrated watershed regeneration/rehabilitation works which emerged from the PRA is now presented.

Sample estimates are as follows:

7.2.1 Activities under NRM (56%) Micro Watershed Wise (IWMP V Rewari) is given below and the proposed action plan/treatment plan map shown in **Annexure-X.**

Table. 1. Name of Project IWMP V Name of Watershed : Khera Murar Name of Village : Khera Murar							
Sr. No.	**Nature of Works**	**Location**	**Unit**	**Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)**	**Works Proposal (**Phy.)	**Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.**	**Objective**
1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Panchayat Baan	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	East to North side of the village	Cum.	0.0326	59	1.92	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
3	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	2	4.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.

4	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	0.77+0.20 =0.97	3	2.91	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
5	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	7863	2.28	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
6	Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields)	Agriculture Fields	Rmt.	0.013	350	4.55	Reduce loss of canal water during irrigation
7	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	3	0.45	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
8	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	1	0.25	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						**19.36**	
Available Fund						**19.15**	
Convergence						**0.21**	

Table. 2. Name of Project IWMP V Name of Watershed : Khera Murar Name of Village : Gujjar Majri							
Sr.	**Nature of Works**	**Location**	**Unit**	**Unit Cost (Rs.**	**Works Proposal**	**Estimated Cost Rs. in**	**Objective**

No.				in Lacs)	(Phy.)	Lacs.	
1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Panchayat Baan	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	1	2.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
3	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Baba Mohan das pond	Cum.	0.0326	60	1.96	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
4	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	10656	3.09	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
5	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	0.77+0.20=0.97	5	4.85	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
6	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	5	0.75	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
7	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	2	0.50	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						**16.15**	

Available Fund	**14.78**	
Convergence	**1.37**	

Table. 3. Name of Project IWMP V Name of Watershed : Khera Murar Name of Village : Bishanpur

Sr. No.	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)	Works Proposal (Phy.)	Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.	Objective
1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Baba Mohan das pond	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Baba Mohan das pond	Cum.	0.0326	75	2.45	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
3	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	0.77+0.20 =0.97	4	3.88	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
4	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	15794	4.58	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.

5	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	1	2.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
6	Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields)	Agriculture Fields	Rmt.	0.013	350	4.55	Reduce loss of canal water during irrigation
7	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	5	0.75	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
8	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	2	0.50	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						**21.71**	
Available Fund						**19.49**	
Convergence						**2.22**	

Table. 4. Name of Project IWMP V Name of Watershed : Khera Murar Name of Village : Bidawas

Sr. No.	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)	Works Proposal (Phy.)	Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.	Objective

1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Panchayat Bani	No.	3	1	3	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Water Conveyance System	Bidawas distributry to johar wala pond	Meter	0.007	1000	7	To insured availability of water during lien period in ponds
3	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Panchayat Bani	Cum.	0.0326	48	1.56	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
4	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	5393	1.56	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
5	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	0.77+0.20= 0.97	4	3.88	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
6	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	1	2	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
7	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	5	0.75	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
8	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	2	0.5	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						**20.25**	

Available Fund	**18.48**	
Convergence	**1.77**	

Table. 5. Name of Project IWMP V Name of Watershed : Khera Murar Name of Village : Bhadoj

Sr. No.	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)	Works Proposal (Phy.)	Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.	Objective
1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	neemadi pond	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	neemadi pond	Cum.	0.0326	45	1.47	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
3	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	8457	2.45	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
4	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	0.77+0.20 =0.97	3	2.91	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
5	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	1	2.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
6	Strengthening of Water Conveyance	Agriculture Fields	Rmt.	0.013	250	3.25	Reduce loss of canal water during

	Channel (Water Course in fields)						irrigation
7	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	5	0.75	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
8	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	2	0.50	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						**16.33**	
Available Fund						**15.12**	
Convergence						**1.21**	

Table. 6.	**Name of Project IWMP V**	**ame of Watershed : Khera Murar**			**Name of Village : Mangleshwar**		
Sr. No.	**Nature of Works**	**Location**	**Unit**	**Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)**	**Works Proposal (Phy.)**	**Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.**	**Objective**
1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Babaji wala pond	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village

							community animals.
2	Water Conveyance System	Minor to new pond	Meter	0.007	90	0.63	To insured availability of water during lien period in ponds
3	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Babaji wala pond	Cum.	0.0326	45	1.47	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
4	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	2	4.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
5	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	8054	2.34	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
6	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	0.77+0.20=0.97	5	4.85	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
7	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	2	0.50	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
8	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	5	0.75	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
Total Cost						**17.53**	
Available Fund						**15.79**	

Convergence	**1.74**	

Table. 7.	**Name of Project IWMP V**	**Name of Watershed : Khera Murar**			**Name of Village : Nangli Shahbajpur**		
Sr. No.	**Nature of Works**	**Location**	**Unit**	**Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)**	**Works Proposal (Phy.)**	**Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.**	**Objective**
1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Main Pond	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	1	2.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
3	Water Conveyance System	Minor to New Pond	Meter	0.007	100	0.70	To insured availability of water during lien period in ponds
4	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Main Pond	Cum.	0.0326	52	1.70	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
5	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	13458	3.90	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
6	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	3	0.45	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers

7	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	1	0.25	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						**12.00**	
Available Fund						**11.42**	
Convergence						**0.58**	

Table 8. Name of Project IWMP V Name of Watershed : Khera Murar Name of Village : Raipur

Sr. No.	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)	Works Proposal (Phy.)	Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.	Objective
1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Old pond	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Old pond	Cum.	0.0326	85	2.77	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
3	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	1	2.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
4	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	7658	2.22	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
5	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	0.77+0.20 =0.97	3	2.91	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.

6	Water Conveyance System	Minor to new pond	Meter	0.007	120	0.84	To insured availability of water during lien period in ponds
7	Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields)	Agriculture Fields	Rmt.	0.013	250	3.25	Reduce loss of canal water during irrigation
8	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	2	0.50	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
9	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	5	0.75	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
Total Cost						**18.24**	
Available Fund						**17.14**	
Convergence						**1.10**	

Table 9.	**Name of Project IWMP V**	**Name of Watershed : Khera Murar**				**Name of Village : Nangli Parsapur**	
Sr. No.	**Nature of Works**	**Location**	**Unit**	**Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)**	**Works Proposal (Phy.)**	**Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.**	**Objective**

1	Water Conveyance System	Bidawas rajwa to old pond	Meter	0.007	220	1.54	To insured availability of water during lien period in ponds
2	Renovation/New of pond	South side of the village	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
3	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	South side of the village	Cum.	0.0326	75	2.45	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
4	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	4575	1.33	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
5	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	2	0.30	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
6	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	1	0.25	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						**8.86**	
Available Fund						**7.59**	
Convergence						**1.27**	

Table 10. Name of Project IWMP V		Name of Watershed : Khera Murar			Name of Village : Dulhera Kalan		
Sr. No.	**Nature of Works**	**Location**	**Unit**	**Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)**	**Works Proposal (Phy.)**	**Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.**	**Objective**
1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Pasture pond	No.	3	2	6.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Pasture pond	Cum.	0.0326	98	3.19	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
3	Water Conveyance System	Canal to pond	Meter	0.007	150	1.05	To insured availability of water during lien period in ponds
4	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	0.77+0.20=0.97	5	4.85	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
5	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	7345	2.13	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.

6	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	2	4.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
7	Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields)	Agriculture Fields	Rmt.	0.013	450	5.85	Reduce loss of canal water during irrigation
8	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	5	0.75	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
9	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	2	0.50	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						**28.32**	
Available Fund						**26.21**	
Convergence						**2.11**	

Table 11.	**Name of Project IWMP V**		**Name of Watershed : Khera Murar**			**Name of Village : Dulhera Khurd**	
Sr. No.	**Nature of Works**	**Location**	**Unit**	**Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)**	**Works Proposal (Phy.)**	**Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.**	**Objective**
1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Panch peera pond	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.

2	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Panch peera pond	Cum.	0.0326	150	4.89	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
3	Water Conveyance System	Canal to new pond	Meter	0.007	1000	7.00	To insured availability of water during lien period in ponds
4	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	1	2.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
5	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	6311	1.83	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
6	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	1	0.25	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
7	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	5	0.75	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
Total Cost						**19.72**	
Available Fund						**18.01**	
Convergence						**1.71**	

Table 12. Name of Project IWMP V Name of Watershed : Khera Murar Name of Village : Suba Seri							
Sr. No.	**Nature of Works**	**Location**	**Unit**	**Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)**	**Works Proposal (Phy.)**	**Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.**	**Objective**
1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	SC pond, Banjar wala pond	No.	3	2	6.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	2	4.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
3	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	SC pond, Banjar wala pond	Cum.	0.0326	117	3.81	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
4	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	9069	2.63	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
5	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	0.77+0.20=0.97	5	4.85	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
6	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	2	0.50	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
7	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	5	0.75	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers

Total Cost	**22.54**	
Available Fund	**21.37**	
Convergence	**1.17**	

Table. 13.	**Name of Project IWMP V**	**Name of Watershed : Khera Murar**				**Name of Village : Rasiyawas**	
Sr. No.	**Nature of Works**	**Location**	**Unit**	**Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)**	**Works Proposal (Phy.)**	**Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.**	**Objective**
1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	North side of the village	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	North side of the village	Cum.	0.0326	68	2.22	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
3	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	1	2.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
4	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	7104	2.06	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.

5	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	0.77+0.20=0.97	4	3.88	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
6	Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields)	Agriculture Fields	Rmt.	0.013	350	4.55	Reduce loss of canal water during irrigation
7	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	2	0.30	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
8	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	2	0.50	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						**18.51**	
Available Fund						**16.87**	
Convergence						**1.64**	

Table 14.	**Name of Project IWMP V**	**Name of Watershed : Khera Murar**			**Name of Village : Kheri Dalu Singh**		
Sr. No.	**Nature of Works**	**Location**	**Unit**	**Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)**	**Works Proposal (Phy.)**	**Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.**	**Objective**

1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Main pond	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Main pond	Cum.	0.0326	45	1.47	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
3	Water Conveyance System	Khandola distributer to Lachoda point	Meter	0.007	650	4.55	To insured availability of water during lien period in ponds
4	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	2	0.30	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
Total Cost						**9.32**	
Available Fund						**7.32**	
Convergence						**2.00**	

Table 15.	**Name of Project IWMP V**	**Name of Watershed : Khera Murar**			**Name of Village : Keshopur**		
Sr. No.	**Nature of Works**	**Location**	**Unit**	**Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)**	**Works Proposal (Phy.)**	**Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.**	**Objective**
1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Main pond	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community

							animals.
2	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Main pond	Cum.	0.0326	40	1.30	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
3	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	1	2.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
4	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	2	0.30	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
5	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	1	0.25	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						**6.85**	
Available Fund						**5.71**	
Convergence						**1.14**	

Table 16.	**Name of Project IWMP V**	**Name of Watershed : Khera Murar**				**Name of Village : Dharchana**	
Sr. No.	**Nature of Works**	**Location**	**Unit**	**Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)**	**Works Proposal (Phy.)**	**Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.**	**Objective**

1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Main pond to village	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	1	2.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
3	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Main pond to village	Cum.	0.0326	60	1.96	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
4	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	6985	2.03	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
5	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	0.77+0.20=0.97	3	2.91	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
6	Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields)	Agriculture Fields	Rmt.	0.013	355	4.62	Reduce loss of canal water during irrigation
7	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	2	0.30	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
8	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	1	0.25	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						**17.06**	

Available Fund	**15.39**	
Convergence	**1.67**	

Table. 17.	**Name of Project IWMP V**	**Name of Watershed : Khera Murar**				**Name of Village : Shahpur**	
Sr. No.	**Nature of Works**	**Location**	**Unit**	**Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)**	**Works Proposal (Phy.)**	**Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.**	**Objective**
1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Main pond to village	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Main pond to village	Cum.	0.0326	45	1.47	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
3	Water Conveyance System	From Takri rajwan minor to old pond	Meter	0.007	1000	7.00	To insured availability of water during lien period in ponds
4	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	9289	2.69	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
5	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	2	0.30	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers

6	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	1	0.25	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
Total Cost						**14.71**	
Available Fund						**13.71**	
Convergence						**1.00**	

Table 18. Name of Project IWMP V Name of Watershed : Khera Murar Name of Village : Tihara

Sr. No.	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)	Works Proposal (Phy.)	Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.	Objective
1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Baba johar	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Water Conveyance System	From channel nahar to baba johar	Meter	0.007	600	4.20	To insured availability of water during lien period in ponds
3	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Baba johar	Cum.	0.0326	72	2.35	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
4	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	1	2.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.

5	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	9587	2.78	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
6	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	0.77+0.20 = 0.97	4	3.88	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
7	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	1	0.25	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
8	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	2	0.30	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
Total Cost						**18.76**	
Available Fund						**17.61**	
Convergence						**1.15**	

Table 19.	**Name of Project IWMP V**	**Name of Watershed : Khera Murar**			**Name of Village : Sekhpur**		
Sr. No.	**Nature of Works**	**Location**	**Unit**	**Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)**	**Works Proposal (Phy.)**	**Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.**	**Objective**

1	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Main pond of the village	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
2	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Main pond of the village	Cum.	0.0326	64	2.09	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
3	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	1	2.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
4	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	6253	1.81	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
5	Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	No.	0.77+0.20=0.97	3	2.91	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
6	Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields)	Agriculture Fields	Rmt.	0.013	355	4.62	Reduce loss of canal water during irrigation
7	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	2	0.50	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
8	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	4	0.60	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
Total Cost						**17.52**	

Available Fund	**15.46**	
Convergence	**2.06**	

Table 20.	**Name of Project IWMP V**	**Name of Watershed : Khera Murar**				**Name of Village : Anandpur**	
Sr. No.	**Nature of Works**	**Location**	**Unit**	**Unit Cost (Rs. in Lacs)**	**Works Proposal (Phy.)**	**Estimated Cost Rs. in Lacs.**	**Objective**
1	Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet	Bainwala pond basswala sadhuwala pond South & East side of Village	Cum.	0.0326	65	2.12	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
2	Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation)	Bainwala pond basswala sadhuwala pond South & East side of Village	No.	3	1	3.00	For ground water recharging & availability of water for village community animals.
3	Roof top rain water recharge structure	Govt. School of village	No.	2	1	2.00	For the conservation of water and ground water recharging.
4	Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support	common Land and undulated Agriculture fields	100 Cum.	0.029	12923	3.75	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture conservation.
5	Earthen Embankment	common Land and undulated Agriculture	No.	0.77+0.20=0.9	3	2.91	For the control of soil erosion, in situ moisture

	with pucca outlet	fields		7			conservation.
6	Rainfed Horticulture	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.25	2	0.50	Proper utilization of uncultivated fields and additional income for farmers.
7	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	Boundary of Agriculture fields	Ha.	0.15	4	0.60	Increase biomass and additional income to the farmers
Total Cost						**14.88**	
Available Fund						**13.57**	
Convergence						**1.31**	

Table 21. DETAILED ESTIMATE OF EARTHEN EMBANKMENT

	Let the Average length =	40 meters			
	Let the Average Height =	3.0 meters			
	Up Stream Slope =	1 : 2.5			
	Down Stream Slope =	1 : 2.5			



Earthen Embankment

Leads Statement :-					
Cross Section Area = (Base + Top) ÷ 2 x Height i.e {(17.00 +2.00) ÷ 2} x 3.00 = 28.50 Square meters					
Horizontal leads = (Base/2) + (Cross section area/ 2 x 0.6) i.e. (17.00/2) + [{28.50}/(2 x 0.6)] =32.25 meters					
Vertical leads = (Height +0.60) x 0.4 x 10 i.e. (3.00 +0.60) x 0.4 x 10 = 14.40 meters					
Total leads = 32.25 meters + 14.40 meters = 46.65 meters					
Number of leads = (46.65 - 15.00) / 7.5 = 4.22 leads Or Say 5 No. of Leads					
Area of Jungle Clearance :-					
Area to be covered by the body of Dam = Length x Average base i.e. 40.00 x 17.00 = 680.00 Sq. meters					
Area from where E/W is to be excavated = Av. Length x leads i.e. 40.00 x 46.65 = 1866.00 Sq. meters					
Total Area = 680.00 + 1866.00 =	2546.00	Sq. meters.			
Volume of Loose soil to be removed :-					
Area to be covered by the body of Dam X Depth of loose soil i.e (680.00 x 0.30) =				204.00	cum
Volume of Earthwork in bund filling :-					

(Cross Section Area X Length) + Loose soil to be removed i.e.(28.50 x 40.00)+ 204.00 =				1344.00	cum
ABSTRACT OF COST					
S.No.	**Item of Work**	**Quantity**	**Rate**	**Unit**	**Amount**
1	Jungle clearance including uprooting of rank vegetarian, grass, bush woods etc H.S.R.6.26	2546.00 sq.m	Rs.66.80 + 300% C. Prem. =267.20	100 sq.m	6802.91
2	Removal of loose soil up to 0.3 m below Natural surface level H.S.R. 6.2 (b)	204.00 cum	Rs.586.60 + 350% C. Prem.= 2639.70	100 cum	5384.99
3	E/work excavation for making embank- ment undressed including breaking of Clods. H.S.R. 6.2 (b)	1344.00 cum	Rs.586.60 + 350% C. Prem.= 2639.70	100 cum	35477.57
4	Extra for admixture for single or kanker Exceeding 30% but up to 40%. H.S.R. 6.2 (h) ii	1344.00 cum	Rs. 318.55 + 350% C. Prem.= 1433.48	100 cum	19265.97
5	Extra for every 7.5 meter additional lead beyond 60mt but up to 255 m by the animal or animal driven cart (5 leads) H.S.R. 6.2 (c) (ii)	1344.00 cum	[(15.00 x 5 No.)+ 350% C. Prem.= 337.50	100 cum	4536.00
6	Dressing of earthwork H.S.R. 6.3 (i)	1344.00 cum	Rs.45.90 + 350 % C. Prem.= 206.55	100 cum	2776.03
Total =					**74243.4712**

Add Contingency at the rate of 3% =	2227.30
Grand Total =	**76470.78**

Table. 22. Detail Estimate of Cement Stone Masonry Structure

S.No.	**Description**	**No.**	**Length (mts)**	**Breadth (mts)**	**Height (mts)**	**Content (cums)**
1	**Excavation of earthwork in foundation And plinth H.S.R 6.6**					
	Crest wall with extensions	1	8.00	2.00	1.20	19.20
	Side walls	2	1.50	1.00	1.20	3.60
	Wing walls	2	2.00	1.00	1.20	4.80
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	1.00	1.20	7.20
	Appron	1	4.00	1.50	0.30	1.80
				Total =		**36.60**
2	**Cement concrete work 1 : 4 : 8 in the Foundation and plinth H.S.R 10.39**					
	Crest wall with extensions	1	8.00	1.70	0.20	2.72
	Side walls	2	1.50	0.70	0.20	0.42
	Wing walls	2	2.00	0.70	0.20	0.56
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	0.70	0.20	0.84

S.No.	Description	No.	Length (mts)	Breadth (mts)	Height (mts)	Content (cums)
	Appron	1	4.00	1.50	0.20	1.20
				Total =		**5.74**
3	**Square rubble stone masonry course1: 5 in foundation and plinth H.S.R 12.23**					
	Crest wall with extensions	1	8.00	(1.5+1.0)/2= 1.25	1.00	**10.00**
	Side walls	2	1.50	0.50	1.00	**1.50**
	Wing walls	2	2.00	0.50	1.00	**2.00**
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	0.50	1.00	**3.00**
				Total =		**16.50**
4	**Square rubble stone masonry course1: 5 above G.L. H.S.R 12.23 and 12.31**					
	Crest wall with extensions	1	8.00	(1.0+0.5)/2= 0.75	1.20	7.20
	Side walls	2	(1.5+2.0)/2= 1.75	0.50	(1.7+0.5)/2= 1.1	1.93
	Wing walls	2	2.00	0.50	1.70	3.40
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	0.50	0.20	0.60
	Toe wall extensions	1	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.25
				Total =		**13.38**
5	**Cement concrete work 1 : 2 : 4 in the Foundation and plinth H.S.R 10.41**					
	On the top of crest wall	1	4.00	(1.0+0.5)/2= 0.75	0.05	0.15

S.No.	Description	No.	Length (mts)	Breadth (mts)	Height (mts)	Content (cums)
	On the top of crest wall extensions	2	2.00	0.50	0.05	0.10
	On the top of side walls	2	1.50	0.50	0.05	0.08
	On the top of wing walls	2	2.00	0.50	0.05	0.10
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	0.50	0.05	0.15
	Apron	1	4.00	1.50	0.10	0.60
				Total =		**1.18**
6	**Cement plastering work 1:4 on the**					
	Crest wall both side	2	4.00	_	1.20	9.60
	Crest wall extensions	2 x 2	2.00	_	0.50	4.00
	Side walls	2	(1.5+2.0)/2= 1.75	_	(1.7+0.5)/2= 1.1	3.85
	Wing walls	2	2.00	_	1.70	6.80
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	_	0.20	1.20
	Toe wall extensions	2 x 2	1.00	_	0.50	2.00
				Total =		**27.45**

Table. 23. MATERIAL STATEMENT AND COST OF MATERIAL

S.No.	**Item of work Quantity**	**Cement**	**Sand**	**Stone blast**	**Bajri 20 mm**	**Stone boulders**
	(cum)	(bags)	(cum)	(cum)	(cum)	(cum)
1	C.C work 1 : 4 : 8 5.74	19.516	2.7552	5.5104	_	_
2	Sq. stone masonry work 16.50	28.38	4.95	_	_	18.15
	1: 5 in foundation.					
3	Sq. stone masonry work 13.38	23.005	4.0125	_	_	14.7125
	1: 4 above ground level.					
4	C.C work 1 : 2 : 4 1.18	7.4025	0.517	_	1.034	_
5	C. plastering work 1 : 4 27.45 sqm	3.02	0.41	_	_	_
	Total =	**81.323**	**12.64645**	**5.5104**	**1.034**	**32.8625**
	Rates of material	245.00 per bag	950.00 per cum	965.00 per cum	985.00 per cum	945.00 per cum
	Cost of Materials	**19924**	**12014**	**5318**	**1018**	**31055**
	Total Cost of Materials =	**Rupees**	**69329**	**/-only**		

Table. 24. LABOUR COST

S. No.	Item of work Quantity		Rate		Unit	Amount
1	Excavation of earthwork in foundation and plinth H.S.R 6.6	36.60 cum	1108.10 +350% C. Prem. =4986.45		100 cum	**1825.04**
2	Cement concrete work 1 : 8 : 16 in the Foundation and plinth H.S.R 10.39	5.74 cum	64.95 +370% C. Prem. =305.27		cum	**1752.25**
3	Square rubble stone masonry course1: 5 in foundation and plinth H.S.R 12.23	16.50 cum	(160.35+26.00) +250% C. Prem. =652.22		cum	**10761.63**
4	Square rubble stone masonry course1: 5 above G.L. H.S.R 12.23 and 12.31	13.38 cum	(160.35+26.00+27.20) +200% Prem.= 747.42		cum	**9996.74**
5	Cement concrete work 1 : 2 : 4 in the Foundation and plinth H.S.R 10.41	1.18 cum	64.95 +370% C. Prem. =305.27		cum	**358.69**
6	Cement plastering work 1:4 on the stone walls H.S.R 15.5	27.45 sqm	5.50 +340 % C. Prem. =24.2		cum	**664.29**
	Total =	29.875 cum				**25358.64525**
					or say Rs.25359/- only	

Table. 25. ABSTRACT OF COST

Labour cost	25359.00
Cost of Materials as per detail attached	69329.00

Total =	**94688.00**
Add contingency at the rate of 3%	2841.00
Grand Total =	**97529.00**
Per cum Rate = 97529 /29.88 = 3264.02 or say Rs.3260/- only	


WORK PLAN OF CEMENT STONE
MASONRY STRUCTURE
8.00
0.50
2.00
WING WALL
4.00
CREST WALL
CREST WALL
EXT.
0.50
SIDE WALL
4.00 x 1.50
Appon
1.50
0.50
TOE WALL
6.00
PLAN
FREE BOARD
0.50
0.50
SIDE WALL
TOE WALL EXT.
CREST
WALL
1.20
0.50
1.00
CC 1:2:4
G.L.
0.20
1.00
1.00
1.50
CC 1:4:8
0.50
0.20
1.70
CREST WALL
0.70
TOE WALL
X-SECTION
* Not to Scale
*All Dimension in m.

clv

X-section of Masonry Structure

Table. 26. Detailed estimate of Pond

Detail Estimate of village Pond						
	Volume of Pond		=	A+AB+C x D		
				6		
			=	(50x50)+4(41x41)+(32x32)	X 3.00	

				6			
			=	5124 cum			
Volume of Stone Pitching			=	Area X Depth/ Height			
			=	3824 X 0.15			
			=	423.60 cum			
				or say - 1461.55 cft.			
Leads Statement							
	Horizontal Leads		=	(length/2) +(cross section area/2 x 0.60)			
			=	80/2 + {(16.50 + 3)/2 x 2.25}/2 x0.60			
			=	61.94 mtr.			
	Vertical Leads		=	(Depth + Height) x 0.4 x 10			
			=	21.00 mtr.			
	Total Leads		=	{(61.94 + 21.00) - 15.00}/7.5			
			=	9 Leads			

Table. 27 Abstract of cost of estimate for Digging Village Pond

S.No.	Particulars	H.S.R. No.	Quantity	Rates	Unit	Amount
1	Excavation of earth work for digging of the vill. Pond	6.2 (b)	5124.00	2243.75	100 cum	114969.75
2	Extra for every 7.50 mtr. Additional lead upto 60 mtr. For 6 No. leads	6.2 (c')(i)	5124.00	496.29	100 cum	25429.90
3	Extra for admixture of shingle or Kanker upto 30%-40%		5124.00	1218.45	100 cum	62433.38
4	Extra for compaction in 25 cm layers but excluding rolling	6.2 (g_(i)	5124.00	260.48	100 cum	13347.00
5	Extra for watering in 25 cm layers as per specifications for compaction	6.2 (g_(ii)	5124.00	286.88	100 cum	14699.73
6	Extra for rolling in 25 cm layers as per specifications by sheep foot roller	6.2 (g)(v)	5124.00	401.62	100 cum	20579.01
					Total	**251458.76**
					Add. Contigency @2%	**5029.1753**
					Grand Total	**256487.94**
					Or say `	**2.60 Lac**


83.00
69.50
50.00
32.00
OUT SIDE SLOPE 1:3
TOP OF EARTH FILLING SUND
IN SIDE SLOPE 1:3
BED OF POND
32 M. X 32 M.
9.00
6.75
6.75
32.00
50.00
69.50
83.00
PLAN OF VILLAGE POND
3.00
2.25
3.00
NSL
1:3
1:3
3.00
32.00
63.50
69.50
83.00
X-SECTION OF VILLAGE POND

clix

Table. 28. Estimate of Orchard Development in the Watersheds Per Hectare (Lemon, Kinnoo)

A. Horticulture

Sr. No.	Particulars	Quantity	Unit	Rate	Amount
1	Soil working 1m x 1m x 1m size pits (390 Nos.) including cost of refilling(At the distance 15'x15')	390.00	cum	36.66	14297.40
2	Application of Farmyard Manure, including cost			L.S.	750.00
3	Cost of fertiliser/ pesticide @250gm/plant			L.S.	750.00
4	Cost of plants (including 15% etc. for mortality) including transportation and planting	450.00	Nos.	15/Plant	6750.00
5	Casualty replacement @ 10% of item No. 4 & 5				465.00
6	Cost of 2 weedings and hoeing			1.00/Pant	540.00
7	Contingency and unforeseen (3%)				492.00
				Total	**24044.40**
				Say `	**24000.00**
	Maintenance cost 2nd year			**L.S.**	**1000.00**
	For next 5 years i.e. , ` 1000 x 5				**5000.00**
				Total	**30000.00**
				Say `	**30000.00**

Estimate of Orchard Development in the Watersheds Per Hectare (Guava ,Amla & Ber)

A. Horticulture

Sr. No.	Particulars	Quantity	Unit	Rate	Amount
1	Soil working 1m x 1m x 1m size pits (225 Nos.) including cost of refilling(At the distance 20'x20')	225.00	cum	36.66	8248.50
2	Application of Farmyard Manure, including cost			L.S.	450.00
3	Cost of fertiliser/ pesticide @250gm/plant			L.S.	450.00
4	Cost of plants (including 15% etc. for mortality) including transportation and planting	260.00	Nos.	30/Plant	7800.00
5	Casualty replacement @ 10% of item No. 4 & 5				465.00
6	Cost of 2 weedings and hoeing			1.00/Pant	540.00
7	Contingency and unforeseen (3%)				492.00

				Total	**18445.50**
				Say `	**18500.00**
8	Maintenance cost 2nd year			L.S.	**1000.00**
	For next 5 years i.e. , ` 1000 x 5				**5000.00**
				Total	**24500.00**
				Say `	**24500.00**

Table. 29. Estimate of Agro- Forestry/ Afforestation

Plantation Model
Cost statement of 1 Ha. Of activities of Plantation for 1st year (wage rate Rs. 94.13/-)

Sr. No.	Item of work	Unit	Qty.	SOR	Man days	Cost
B	**Nursery**					
i	Raising of Plants in nursery	Nos.	660	18	5601.00	**11880.00**

C	**Carriage**					
i	Loading/ Unloading of plants up to 100 mtr.	Nos.	605	21.18	1.36	128.139
ii	Multistage carriage of plants					
a)	By tractor up to 10 km.	Nos.	605	18.83	12.10	1139.22
c)	By manual labour in plantation area	Nos.	605	42.36	2.72	256.28
					Total	**1523.63**

D	**Planting**					
ii	Soil working for patch sowing	M3	31.25	61.18	20.31	1911.88
	500 x 0.50 x 0.50 x 0.25					
iii	Planting of seeding including 10% replacement 20 x 30 cm.	Nos.	550	188.26	10.99	1035.43
					Total	**2947.31**

E	**Cultural operations & chemical treatment**					
i	Fertilizer application	Nos.	500	9.41	0.50	47.05

ii	Insecticide application	Nos.	500	9.41	0.50	47.05
iii	First Weeding & hoeing	Nos.	500	141.2	7.5	706.00
vi	Subsequent weeding & hoeing two time	Nos.	1000	94.13	10.00	941.30
					Total	**1741.40**

G	**Material**					
ii	Spade and pick axes	----	----	----	----	135.00
iii	Basket/Bucket	----	----	----	----	135.00
v	Fertilizer	----	----	----	----	135.00
vi	Insecticide	----	----	----	----	270.00
					Total	**675.00**

	G. Total =	**18767.34**
	or Say =	**18767.00**

PRODUCTION SYSTEM- 10%

7.3 PRODUCTION SYSTEM

7.3.1 Crop Production

Present Status: Agriculture is the mainstay of the inhabitants of the project area which is mainly rainfed and people gamble with the uncertain rains. The fertility of the soil is very poor especially in nitrogen and phosphorous because the organic carbon contained in the soil is very low and the available potash in the soil is medium. Mustard, Wheat and Bajra are the main crops. Due to frequent droughts, crop failures are common, and yield levels are low. Farmers maintain fodder plants on the field bunds. Because of extensive damage by wildlife, farmers are gradually shifting towards dairy farming. But there is acute shortage of green and dry fodder. Still traditional farm practices are followed such as manual weeding and hoeing, use of desi ploughs and bullock power in tillage operations. The systematic and regular soil testing has not been done. Only farm yard manure is added to maintain yield

levels. Food grains are hardly sufficient for 6 to 8 months with small farmers. Post-harvest gain storage, food processing and value addition techniques are not prevalent.

Scope of Improvement: There appears tremendous scope in improving production systems of the project area. The following practices are suggested for better harvests.

- Conservation farming concept based on getting highest yield per drop of water shall be introduced.
  This would also include better tillage practices for in-situ rain water conservation.
- Weather related contingent crop planning shall be introduced to reduce the impact of droughts.
- The varieties of wheat are old and shall be replaced with latest varieties.
- There is a good scope of introducing hybrid varieties of Bajra. Intercropping of Moong and Urad is suggested with Bajra.
- The application of fertilizers on soil test basis and minimum use of chemicals for weed and disease control shall be promoted.
- Farmers would be linked to farm advisory services and Krishi Vigyan Kendras.
- The dry land farming techniques should be adopted for better production.
- Agro-forestry with integration of trees like Neem, Acacia, Shisham would be promoted on large scale.
- Leguminous crops mainly Moong and mash short duration varieties needs to be introduced

7.3.2 Horticulture

Existing System: Ber, Amla and Guava are the most preferred fruit crop of the farmers and scattered plants of local citrus fruits are seen in farm lands. Some farmers have started raising Guava and Kinnow where irrigation facilities are available. Citrus fruits also raised but mostly for domestic use. Well organized marketing system in fruit plants.

Proposed System: The average annual rainfall is 702 mm in the project area. The project areas are well connected by roads and the economic condition of the locals can be improved by introducing improved cultural practices of fruit plants coupled with rain water harvesting and efficient use of water. Large numbers of farmers are interested to increase area under Guava, Ber and Kinnow requested for supply of good quality nursery raised plants. Several families have shown interest in raising Citrus fruits and Amla. The following activities are proposed to promote horticulture in the area.

- Supply of quality seedlings arranged from approved nurseries as per choice of farmers.
- Soil testing up to a depth of 180 cm depth to ensure suitability of soil for fruit plants.
- Proper back up technical support on orchard management by involving HAU Farm Advisory Service and department of horticulture.
- Appropriate safeguards from wildlife damage, frost damage and wind breaks.
- Arrangements for limited irrigation at least for first few years.
- Organizing SHGs around horticulture and joint purchase of inputs and marketing.

7.3.3 Vegetable cultivation

Present status: Vegetable cultivation as such for market purpose is not followed mainly because of the limitation of irrigation facilities. Most farmers raise vegetable crops in back yards for domestic use. Some poly houses have come up in the area with financial support from National Horticulture Mission and have started commercial cultivation of off season vegetables.

7.3.4 Promotion of Farm Forestry and Agro-forestry

Most of the privately owned non-arable the area is under mix of trees and bushes. Lantana, sarkanda and parthenium, the most obnoxious weeds have invaded such area.

- Planting of improved cultivars of Neem in the project as single rows on field bunds and also as blocks has been proposed to promote agro-forestry as an alternate source of income.

7.3.5 Livestock Improvement Including Fodder Production

Livestock rearing is the most important subsidiary occupation of the project villagers. In addition to selling milk for regular daily income, farm yard manure is most needed to maintain fertility and moisture retention of soils. Even landless families also maintain few numbers of animals. The animal breed improvement work was initiated in these villages under Aravali, DDP, DPAP projects and it is a regular program of the Animal Husbandry Department. However, the availability of animal health services at the door step is grossly lacking. The programs proposed under the project for livestock improvement include:

- In order to promote animal health care camps shall be organized and medicines for de-worming, mineral mixture shall be supplied in addition to awareness generation about prevention of animal diseases.
- Provision of quality seed of fodder crops and demonstration.

7.3.6 Marketing Arrangements and Proposal for Improvement

There is no organized system of marketing although market surplus is limited. The marketing of Wheat, Mustard and Bajra is not a problem because of fixed prices and government controlled procurement system. There is no organized system of marketing of vegetables and milk though both are source of income with many families.

The efforts through the project are made towards diversification of agriculture to include fruit and vegetable crops and dairy development. The transfer of area to these high value crops would depend on development of irrigation facilities, facilitation in input supplies, transfer of production technology, easy credit and market linkages. Efforts have been made to reactivate the non-functional SHGs and UGs. New watershed committees have been formed in each village. Farmers have shown interest in joint management of resources and join hands for processing, value addition and marketing.

Fortunately, the involvement of Rural Development Department means regular interaction with the district administration whose good offices would be used to involve rural banking institutions in funding support for SHGs, User Groups and other interest groups.

7.3.7 Detail of production system to be promoted

Based on the discussions during PRA, the scope of production systems was worked out and as per the provision of funds @ 10% of the budget, the following activities were finalized.

Table 30.Detail of Production System proposed to be promoted in the project village

S. No.	Particulars	Contents	No. of micro watersheds	No. of beneficiaries per micro watershed	No. of total beneficiaries	Cost per beneficiaries	Total

S. No.	Particulars	Contents	No. of micro watersheds	No. of beneficiaries per micro watershed	No. of total beneficiaries	Cost per beneficiaries	Total
1	Agriculture	To introduce Summer Moong or Mash, gwar and groundnut as a third crop in bajra-wheat rotation. Supply of mini- kits to 45 farmers of each micro watershed/year @ Rs.200/ kit as assistance is provided.	8	360(farmers)	1800 (mini kits)	200 per mini kits	360000
	Agriculture	Application of farm inputs like Zinc Sulphate or Sulphur or weedicides or pesticides. 45 farmer of each micro watershed/ year @ Rs.200/ kits as assistance is provided.	8	360(farmers)	1800 (mini kits)	200 per mini kits	360000
	Agriculture	Supplying of Agriculture implements – 15 farmers (average) per micro watershed @ Rs. 1000/ units as assistance is provided.	8	120(farmers)	600	1000	600000
	Agriculture	Agro Forestry: Neem on 50% subsidy @ Rs. 10/ plant as assistance is provided.	8	4000(plants)	20000 plants	Rs. 10 per plant	200000
2	Horticulture	Potential for Grafted Horticulture plants. Supply of plants at 50 % cost share for cultivation of fruits like Citrus fruits, Guava, Amla, Ber floriculture and vegetables (especially, turmeric, garlic, onion and tomato)	8	800 plants	4000 plants	Rs.40 per plant	160000
	Horticulture	Kitchen gardening Packets distributed to 100 farmers in each micro watershed/ year @ Rs.25/ packet.	8	800	4000	Rs. 25 Per packet	100000
	Horticulture	Three units of Bee keeping in each micro watershed @ 3000/ unit as assistance are provided.	8	24	120	3000	360000
	Horticulture	Two units of Vermi compost in each micro watershed per year @ Rs. 10000 per unit as assistance is provided.	8	16	80	10000	800000

S. No.	Particulars	Contents	No. of micro watersheds	No. of beneficiaries per micro watershed	No. of total beneficiaries	Cost per beneficiaries	Total
3	Animal Husbandry	Problems being faced due to some diseases in the animals and low yield of milk. Production of free life saving medicines/ minerals for animals – the provision for 45 farmers of each micro watershed/year @ Rs.225 has been provided.	8	360	1800	225	405000
	Animal Husbandry	Livestock Management supply of feed supplements to improve health of cattle's. The provision to benefit 45 farmers of each micro watershed/year @ Rs.225 has been kept in the project proposals.	8	360	1800	225	405000
	Animal Husbandry	Supply of mini- kits of high yielding variety green fodder seeds to 20 farmers in each micro watershed/year @ Rs.200/- mini kits.	8	160(farmers)	800 Seeds of mini kit	200 per mini kit of seeds	160000
4	Joint camps with Line Departments	Two training camps to beneficiaries on Proven technology in agriculture are provided (during pre kharif and rabi season).	8	16	80	20000	1600000
		Contingency					29200

Total: Rs. 5539200/-

Note. The development of Horticulture, Animal Husbandry and Agro forestry has limited scope because of scattered & small land holding, wild life problems and drought conditions. The National Horticulture Mission has already implementing various schemes in the project area. The beneficiaries are taking advantages under their ongoing schemes.

In order to manage the fodder scarcity the latest rain fed varieties of fodder crop will be introduced on the recommendation of experts of Haryana Agriculture University and Central Soil and Water Conservation Research Institute, Chandigarh. Necessary provision for organizing the various training programme / exposure visits has been provided in the Capacity Building activity.

7.3.8. Vermin Compost

The vermin compost is one of the very useful organic manure. The vermin compost prepared by induction of various types worms (Earth Worm), to de compost and converted from raw animal dung to well de compost highly nutritive organic manure.

One of the important occupations of villagers is the animal husbandry. At present, the animal wastes are not being used by the villagers. This waste can be utilized as vermin- compost on the farm where the productivity and physical condition of the soil can be increased manifold. The animal waste can be used for preparation of vermin- compost. The available nutrients in vermin- compost are higher than country type farmyard manure. As per NHM guideline, the installation cost of structure of 1 vermin compost unit (size) 500 Sq. ft., the total cost of the unit would be is Rs. 60000/-. Out of this the 50% subsidy i.e. Rs.30000/- is met from the ongoing programme of horticulture department. The additional amount i.e. Rs. 10000/- will be form under IWMP Programme. The nutrition value of vermin compost is more than Farm Yard Manure and compost i.e. nitrogen- 1.2 to 1.6%, Phosphorous 1.5 to 1.8%, Potash 1.2 to 2% are just double.

Table 31: Model/ Estimate for a Vermin Compost Unit

Sr. No	Component	Expenditure to be incurred
1	Construction of shed of size 500 Sq. ft.@ Rs. 100 per Sq. ft. with pacca floor, beds and coverings etc.	50000/-
2	Cost on breeding material and purchase of worms etc.	8000/-
3	Tools and equipments etc.	2000/-
	Total	**60000/-**

Components of Vermin Compost Unit

1. Shed

Due to the high temperature in summer, shed structure is needed for vermin compost unit. It can be made by use of bricks/ concrete pillars. While designing the shed adequate room has to be left around the beds for easy movements of labours attending to the filling and harvesting the beds.

2. Vermin- beds

Scientific bed side depending upon the provision of filtered for drainage of excess water is prepared of about 75- 90 cm thick. The whole bed should be above the ground, the proper bed width to be not more than 1.5 m to allow easy access to the centre of the bed is constructed.

3. Land

About 125 sq. m. land is required to set up the vermin compost production. It should have 2- 3 sheds each of 180- 200 sq. ft. Good watering arrangement is required as the moisture is very essential for vermin compost production.

4. Seed Stock

This is important because worms multiply at the rate of 350 worms per cubic meter of bed space over a period of six months in a year.

5. Machinery

Farm machinery and implements are required for cutting the raw material in small pieces, conveying shredded raw material to the out sheds, loading, unloading, collection of compost, loosening of beds for aeration, shifting of the compost. Costs of providing necessary implements and the machinery have to be included in the project cost.

LIVELIHOOD ACTIVITIES FOR THE ASSET LESS PERSONS-9%

7.4 LIVELIHOOD SUPPORT TO SHG'S

The key issue of inclusion of this chapter is that about 70% of the population in the proposed villages depends on agriculture and allied activities, but it rarely provides sufficient means of survival to small and marginal farmers. During the base line survey, this aspect was discussed with the existing Self Help Group/ Gram Sabha members. The representative of WAPCOS, Sociologist of the team held comprehensive discussions on the possibilities of livelihood in the rain fed areas. The main objectives of these discussions were:

1. Assure one livelihood option to poor families.
2. Assured livelihood for at least 300 days in a year including MGNREGA.
3. At least one daily job per family mainly SCs/BPL/very poor families.

SHGs would be imparted Skill Training on identified Economic Activities and it is proposed to impart them trainings at Krishi Vigyan Kender (CCSHAU) Rewari and Haryana Institute of Rural Development, Nilokheri, Agriculture University, Hisar, Central Soil and Water Research and Training Institute, Chandigarh. It is proposed to lend revolving fund of Rs. 25000/- to each SHG/individual formed in the watershed villages. Since the members from SHGs/landless are very poor, they do not have resources to start micro enterprises, it is envisaged that they should be assisted and given loan of this amount in the shape of Revolving Fund Assistance (RFA) so that they do not get trapped by money lenders. Funds thus given on loan are recoverable from SHGs/individuals in easy installments. It is also proposed to impart skill training to at least 10 unemployed youth from each village and give them trainings of their choice so that they establish some small enterprises. It is further proposed to give them interest free loan of Rs. 12000/- each as Revolving Fund Assistance to meet their urgent needs of funds for establishing micro enterprises. Such funds recovered could either be given back to SHGs/individual or some other SHGs/individuals depending upon assessment of their respective needs. It is

proposed to form 2 SHGs in each village and identify at least 10 youths in each village for imparting training and giving Revolving Fund.

7.4.1 Activities those are likely to be taken up by SHGs/individuals

1. Cutting and Tailoring
2. Embroidery
3. Mushroom cultivation
4. Plumbing
5. Carpentry
6. Bee keeping
7. Animal husbandry
8. Vermi composting
9. Cattle rearing and selling milk
10. Household wiring, Motor winding
11. Backyard poultry
12. Skill Development in Computer

The details of funds proposed to be utilized under this component are as under:

Table 32. Revolving Fund Assistance for SHGs

S.No.	Name of micro watersheds	No. of villages	Total SHGs	Amount of RFA per SHG	Total
1	Mangleswar	2	2	25000	50000

2	Gujjar Majri	2	2	25000	50000
3	Raipur	3	3	25000	75000
4	Tihara	2	2	25000	50000
5	Dulhera Khurd	2	2	25000	50000
6	Bidawas	2	2	25000	50000
7	Sahapur	4	4	25000	100000
8	Subaseri	3	3	25000	75000
		20	**20**		**500000**

Table 33. Skill Trainings/Skill up gradation for SHGs

S.No.	Name of micro watersheds	No. of villages	Total SHGs	Amount of Training per SHG	Total
1	Mangleswar	2	2	35000	70000
2	Gujjar Majri	2	2	35000	70000
3	Raipur	3	3	35000	105000
4	Tihara	2	2	35000	70000
5	Dulhera Khurd	2	2	35000	70000
6	Bidawas	2	2	35000	70000
7	Sahapur	4	4	35000	140000
8	Subaseri	3	3	35000	105000

		20	**20**		**700000**

Note: This training cost includes Travel, boarding/lodging, cost of training and faculty support for different discipline e.g. Bakery Product, Soap and detergent making, fisheries, Bee keeping, Vermi Compost, Domestic poultry, Mushroom cultivation, Plumbing, Carpentry, Food Processing, Animal Husbandry, Product Processing etc.

Table 34. Computer Training (6 months) for unemployed youth above 12th passed male and female both recommended by Watershed Development Committee

S.No.	Name of micro watersheds	No. of villages	No. of Persons in micro watershed	Amount of Training per trainee for 6 month	Total
1	Mangleswar	2	8	10000	80000
2	Gujjar Majri	2	10	10000	100000
3	Raipur	3	10	10000	100000
4	Tihara	2	10	10000	100000
5	Dulhera Khurd	2	10	10000	100000
6	Bidawas	2	10	10000	100000
7	Sahapur	4	12	10000	120000
8	Subaseri	3	10	10000	100000
		20	**80**		**800000**

Note: The beneficiaries will contribute 10% as cost sharing of the livelihood support programme Rs. 800000 @ 10% cost sharing.

= 800000- 80000

= 720000/-

Table 35. One time assistance as Revolving Fund to unemployed youth who have successfully completed Computer Training for setting up a computer centre

S.No.	Name of micro watersheds	No. of villages	No. of Persons in micro watershed	Amount of Training per Trainee	Total
1	Mangleswar	2	8	20000	160000
2	Gujjar Majri	2	10	20000	200000
3	Raipur	3	10	20000	200000
4	Tihara	2	10	20000	200000
5	Dulhera Khurd	2	10	20000	200000
6	Bidawas	2	10	20000	200000
7	Sahapur	4	12	20000	240000
8	Subaseri	3	10	20000	200000
		20	**80**		**1600000**

Note: This training cost includes Travel, boarding/lodging, cost of training and faculty support.

Note: The beneficiaries will contribute 10% as cost sharing of the livelihood support programme Rs. 1600000 @ 10% cost sharing.

= 1600000- 160000

= 1440000/-

Table 36. Cutting and Tailoring Centre for female beneficiaries

S. No.	Name of micro watersheds	No. of villages	No. of centre's	Requirement for sewing machines per village (2 No.)	Payment to trainer per months	Period of training for each centre	Total payment to trainer
1	Mangleswar	2	2	4	2000	6	24000
2	Gujjar Majri	2	2	4	2000	6	24000
3	Raipur	3	2	4	2000	6	24000
4	Tihara	2	2	4	2000	6	24000
5	Dulhera Khurd	2	2	4	2000	6	24000
6	Bidawas	2	2	4	2000	6	24000
7	Sahapur	4	2	4	2000	6	24000
8	Subaseri	3	3	6	2000	6	36000
		20	**17**	**34**			**204000**

Total cost for 17 Centres

1. Payment to trainers 204000/-

2. Sewing Machine Cost 65000/- (lump sum)

Table 37. Embroidery Centre for female beneficiaries

S.No.	Name of micro watersheds	No. of villages	No. of centers	Payment to Trainer per Month	Period months	Payment to trainer for 6 months @ Rs. 2000 p.m	Total trainers	Grand Total
1	Mangleswar	2	2	2000	6	12000	2	24000
2	Gujjar Majri	2	2	2000	6	12000	2	24000
3	Raipur	3	2	2000	6	12000	2	24000
4	Tihara	2	2	2000	6	12000	2	24000
5	Dulhera Khurd	2	2	2000	6	12000	2	24000
6	Bidawas	2	2	2000	6	12000	2	24000
7	Sahapur	4	2	2000	6	12000	2	24000
8	Subaseri	3	3	2000	6	12000	3	36000
		20	**17**					**204000**

Total Cost:

Payment to trainer: Rs.204000/-

Table 38. Livelihood Support

S.No.	Name of micro	No. of	Revolving fund assistance to individuals unemployed youth/ landless, women	
			Dairy Unit	Bee Keeping, Mushroom Cultivation, Vermi compost etc.

	watersheds	villages		
1	Mangleswar	2	3	3
2	Gujjar Majri	2	3	3
3	Raipur	3	4	4
4	Tihara	2	4	4
5	Dulhera Khurd	2	4	4
6	Bidawas	2	3	3
7	Sahapur	4	5	5
8	Subaseri	3	5	5
	Total	**20**	**31**	**31**
	Rate (Rs)		**25000**	**10000**
	Cost (Lakh Rs)		**7.75**	**3.10**

Contingency, printing material and other unseen items: Rs. 67280/-

Total funds available under this component are Rs. 4985280/-

In addition to HAU, the following institutions are also identified for imparting trainings:

i. HIRD, Nilokheri
ii. Agriculture, Technology and Extension, Hisar Agriculture University
iii. Central Soil and Water research and training Institute, Chandigarh
iv. Mushroom Training Centre, Sonipat and Solan

v. NIRD, Hyderabad
vi. Krishi Vigyan Kender (CCSHAU), Rewari

There appears to be great potential for these activities and these activities are likely to generate income of Rs. 2000/- to Rs. 2500/- per member per month. However no activities would be forced upon on any SHGs and they would be free to decide the activity they would like to opt for their additional income. The PIA can take up the activities as per the need and approval of the Watershed Committee. Based on their choice, Project report for the specified activity would be prepared and revolving fund of Rs. 20000/ Rs. 25000/- per SHG would be given for running their respective micro enterprise. If need arises for more funds for their Income Generation Activities at later stage, they would be assisted in getting loan from banks. SHGs thus formed would be provided all possible assistance to uplift for their Socio- Economic conditions.

CONVERGENCE

7.5 INTRODUCTION

The National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (NREGA), notified on September 7, 2005, marked a paradigm shift from the previous wage employment programmes with its rights-based approach that makes the Government legally accountable for providing employment to those who demand it. The act aims at enhancing livelihood security households in rural areas of the country by providing at least one hundred days of guaranteed wage employment in a financial year to every household whose audit members volunteer to do unskilled manual work. Such Inter sectoral convergence becomes instrumental towards.

- Establishing synergy among different government programmes in planning and implementation to optimize use of public investments
- Enhancing economic opportunities
- Strengthening democratic Processes
- Mitigating the effects of Climate Change
- Creating conditions for sustainable development.
- One of the significant areas for convergence is the Watershed Management Programme of the Dept. of Land Resources (DoLR) in the Ministry of Rural Development (MoRD),

- Convergence is an evolving process and while broad principles can be laid out at the centre, the actual contours of convergence will be determined by the resources at the Central, State, District and the project level. Also, to fully identify the possibilities of convergence, it may be necessary to make a beginning with select programmes, so that the experience of implementation may further inform and refine strategies for convergence.

7.5.1 Convergence between MGNREGA and Watershed Programmes

Most of the activities under watershed development are covered under MGNREGA and there is a need for convergence to meet gap in requirement under IWMP. The labour component would be met out of funds made available under MGNREGA. The village wise details of the fund requirement are exhibited below (table. 39)

Detail of Convergence of IWMP and other schemes

Table 39. GAPS IN FUNDS REQUIREMENT – MICRO WATERSHED WISE

S.No	Name of micro watersheds	Total cost requirement for works	Total funds available under IWMP for works	Gap in funds requirement for works	Convergence with MGNREGA
1	Mangleswar	36.89	34.94	1.95	1.95
2	Gujjar Majri	37.86	34.27	3.59	3.59
3	Raipur	39.10	36.15	2.95	2.95
4	Tihara	37.27	34.47	2.8	2.8
5	Dulhera Khurd	48.04	44.22	3.82	3.82

6	Bidawas	36.58	33.60	2.98	2.98
7	Sahapur	45.76	40.32	5.44	5.44
8	Subaseri	57.12	52.21	4.91	4.91
	Total	**337.32**	**310.18**	**28.44**	**28.44**

- Under NREGA almost all the activities required for watershed development are permitted. Convergence between NREGA and Watershed Programmes of DoLR will be mutually beneficial for rain fed areas.

7.5.2 Non-Negotiable for works executed under MGNREGA

- Only Job Card holders to be employed for MGNREGA component.
- Muster rolls will be maintained on work site, with copies in the Gram Panchayat and to be electronically maintained on nrega.nic.in
- Wage payments will be through no-frills accounts in banks/post offices.

Need for Convergence: Since more than 56% of activities related to Watershed development are covered under MGNREGA, there is need for convergence to meet gap in Funds requirements under IWMP. Detailed survey had been conducted in Watershed villages and it has emerged that there is need for more funds to augment and strengthen the activities under IWMP. All eight micro watersheds need more funds to meet the gap. Therefore, some of the works are proposed to be converged with MGNREGA. The labour component would be met out of funds made available under MGNREGA.

7.5.3 Convergence with Forest Department

The unit cost of agro- forestry component for 1 ha area (1100 plant) for plantation and other activity is Rs. 18767/-. The provision of Rs. 15000/- per ha has given in IWMP programme. The rest amount of Rs. 3767/- will be convergent from lined department from departmental schemes or MGNREGA.

7.5.4 Convergence with Horticulture Department

National Horticulture Mission is implementing the horticulture development programme which includes construction of water harvesting structures, drip and sprinkler irrigation activities which would be undertaken in convergence with the horticulture department. Under this activity 30 ha horticulture development programme with the financial assistance of Rs. 7.50 lakh has been provided in the project proposals. This would also be undertaken by convergence with the horticulture department.

7.5.5 Convergence with Agriculture Department

The activities under NRM like masonry Water Conveyance System, Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields) (Water Saving Technology), Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation), Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet, Roof top rain water recharge structures, Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet, Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support, etc. where the machinery and material component is required and the unit cost exceeds for completion exceeds to the project provision, the same will be met in convergence with the similar activities of the agriculture.

7.5.6 Convergence with Animal Husbandry Department

The watershed falls in the water deficit conditions for production of fodder and depends upon the rain. The rainfall pattern is erratic. There is deficiency of green fodder and nutrients for the animals. The provision has been kept for providing mini kits for of life saving

medicines/ mineral mixture, concentrate feed and fodder seeds. Since the provision of these kits is less than the required, hence this would be met with the lined department who has a provision under their ongoing programmes.

CHAPTER – 8

QUALITY AND SUSTAINABILITY

8.1 Monitoring and Evaluation

8.1.1 Plans for Monitoring and Evaluation:

Web based GIS system is being developed for Monitoring and Evaluation at various stages of project while in progress and post project stage. The satellite imageries are also helpful in monitoring all activities of the watershed area (Pre project, during project and post project). All the details relating to Watershed Activities would be available on website. The system is very useful to know the progress of the project at the click of the button. The higher officials would be able to monitor the progress and could generate the desired reports. The system would also help beneficiaries to know the area of importance, already treated area/ area to be treated. The system would serve an aiding tool to the planners and evaluators for judging the efficacy of the project.

8.1.2 Monitoring

Regular Monitoring of the project will have to be carried out at each stage to monitor the progress of the project. Different streams of monitoring are proposed as under:

1. Internal Monitoring by PIA/ WCDC
2. Progress and Process monitoring
3. GIS/ On line Monitoring
4. Sustainability monitoring
5. Self Monitoring by communities
6. Social Audits
7. Independent and external monitoring

Monitoring of watershed related activities will be carried out after completion of each phase. 1% amount of the project is earmarked under this component. Micro Watershed wise details are given below:

Table 1. Micro Watershed wise details

S.no	Name of the Micro Watersheds	Effective Area	Total Cost	Monitoring 1%
1	Mangleswar	520	62,40,000	62,400
2	Gujjar Majri	510	61,20,000	61,200
3	Raipur	538	64,56,000	64,560
4	Tihara	513	61,56,000	61,560
5	Dulhera Khurd	658	78,96,000	78,960
6	Bidawas	500	60,00,000	60,000
7	Sahapur	600	72,00,000	72,000
8	Subaseri	777	93,24,000	93,240

8.2 EVALUATION

Each evaluation will include physical, financial, and social audit of all work done. The objective of evaluation of the project is to assess the status of watershed related interventions in the project. The evaluation will be taken up in three stages of the project. The Evaluation will be done by agencies empanelled on SLNA.

1% amount of the project is earmarked under this component. Micro Watershed wise details were as under:

Table 2. Micro Watershed wise details

S.no	Name of the Micro Watersheds	Effective Area	Total Cost	Evaluation 1%
1	Mangleswar	520	62,40,000	62,400
2	Gujjar Majri	510	61,20,000	61,200
3	Raipur	538	64,56,000	64,560
4	Tihara	513	61,56,000	61,560
5	Dulhera Khurd	658	78,96,000	78,960
6	Bidawas	500	60,00,000	60,000
7	Sahapur	600	72,00,000	72,000
8	Subaseri	777	93,24,000	93,240

CONSOLIDATION PHASE- 3 %

Consolidation Phase = Rs. 16, 61,760 /-

8.3 CONSOLIDATION PHASE

This is another important activity under the project. In this phase, the resources augmented and economic plans developed in Phase II are made the foundation to create new nature based, sustainable livelihoods and raise productivity levels. There needs to be some mechanism at Watershed Level for the following crucial Activities as detailed below:

I. Managing/upgrading of all activities taken up under the Project.

II. Preparation of Project completion report and

III. Documentation of success stories

IV. Management of proper utilization of WDF

V. Mechanism for Quality and sustainability issues under the Project.

VI. Mechanism for fixation and collection of User Charges.

VII. Consolidation of works

VIII. Building the capacity of community based organizations to carry out the new agenda – post project period.

IX. Intensification of farm production systems/off farm livelihoods

X. Project Management related aspects

To take up these activities, it is proposed In the DPR as under:

Name of Micro watershed: Mangleswar

Table 3. Consolidated Phase

S. No	Type of activity	Amount earmarked (Rs. in lacs)
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.37
2	Preparation of Project completion report	0.10
3	Documentation of success stories	0.09
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.28
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.09
6	Watershed activities	0.94

Total: 1.87 lacs

Name of Micro watershed: Gujjar Majri

Table 4. Consolidated Phase

S. No	Type of activity	Amount earmarked (Rs. in lacs)
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.37
2	Preparation of Project completion report	0.09
3	Documentation of success stories	0.09
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.28
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.09
6	Watershed activities	0.92

Total: 1.84 lacs

Name of Micro watershed: Raipur

Table 5. Consolidated Phase

S. No	Type of activity	Amount earmarked (Rs. in lacs)
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.39
2	Preparation of Project completion report	0.10
3	Documentation of success stories	0.09
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.29
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.10
6	Watershed activities	0.97

Total: 1.94 lacs

Name of Micro watershed: Tihara

Table 6. Consolidated Phase

S. No	Type of activity	Amount earmarked (Rs. in lacs)
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.37
2	Preparation of Project completion report	0.09
3	Documentation of success stories	0.09
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.28
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.09
6	Watershed activities	0.93

Total: 1.85 lacs

Name of Micro watershed: Dulhera Khurd

Table 7. Consolidated Phase

S. No	Type of activity	Amount earmarked (Rs. in lacs)
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.47
2	Preparation of Project completion report	0.12
3	Documentation of success stories	0.12
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.35
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.12
6	Watershed activities	1.19

Total: 2.37 lacs

Name of Micro watershed: Bidawas

Table 8. Consolidated Phase

S. No	Type of activity	Amount earmarked (Rs. in lacs)
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.36
2	Preparation of Project completion report	0.09
3	Documentation of success stories	0.09
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.27
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.09
6	Watershed activities	0.90

Total: 1.80 lacs

Name of Micro watershed: Sahapur

Table 9. Consolidated Phase

S. No	Type of activity	Amount earmarked (Rs. in lacs)
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.43
2	Preparation of Project completion report	0.11
3	Documentation of success stories	0.11
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.32

5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.11
6	Watershed activities	1.08

Total: 2.16 lacs

Name of Micro watershed: Subaseri

Table 10. Consolidated Phase

S. No	Type of activity	Amount earmarked (Rs. in lacs)
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.56
2	Preparation of Project completion report	0.14
3	Documentation of success stories	0.14
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.42
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.14
6	Watershed activities	1.40

Total: 2.80 lacs

As per the common guideline the management of developed natural resources would involve the following features:

- Improving the sustainability of various structures and equitable distribution. The watershed committee will fix the charges of water and the funds generated would be utilized O& M Structures. These users charges account will be maintained separately.
- Involvement of Gram Panchayat for repair, maintenance and protection of created structures.

CHAPTER – 9

EXPECTED OUTCOME

EXPECTED OUTCOMES

The effective area is 4616 ha and the Project Cost is 553.92 lacs covering 8 no. micro watersheds and in all 20 villages. Benefits will be much more than the project cost as detailed below:

With the several interventions under IWMP V project such as Livelihood support, Farm production system, various types of activities relating to soil conservation measures for diversification of crops, Protection to field by constructing the structures etc, it is expected that these Watershed villages shall be benefited. This intervention will have multiple benefits available to communities in terms of employment, check in migration, improvement in water table, more area under agriculture and horticulture, check in soil loss and decrease in Flood and drought incidences, improvement in crop yield, milk yield, check in degradation of land etc. The benefits thus accrued would be short term and long term. With the judicious use of funds available under IWMP and with convergence from MGNREGA and other schemes of Departments, this project of Khera Murar Watershed V will prove to be very beneficial in improving socio – economic status of people residing in Project villages.

Expected outcomes as mentioned above are given in the following tables:

9.1 EMPLOYMENT

Employment has always been a problem in the village. The principal occupations of the people are rain fed agriculture, animal husbandry and casual labour work. However, rainfall being limited and erratic, agriculture suffers, i.e. thus limiting them for a single crop, which keeps them partially engaged for 4 to 5 months. Similarly due to lack of fodder animal husbandry does not keep them fully engaged. Thus the people mainly depend upon casual labour either in the villages is in Delhi, Gurgaon, Bhiwadi (Rajasthan) and Dharuhera Industrial Complex**.**

Table 1. Expected Employment Generation in the Project area

S. No.	Name of micro watersheds	Wage employment						Self employment			
		No of man days			No. of Beneficiaries			No. of Beneficiaries			
		SC	others	Total	SC	others	Total	SC	others	Women	Total
1	Mangleshwar	266	6235	6501	40	278	318	11	-	11	22
2	Gujjar Majri	210	6166	6376	36	250	286	-	11	11	22
3	Raipur	303	6423	6726	57	398	455	11	11	11	33
4	Tihara	850	5564	6414	68	472	540	11	11	-	22
5	Dulhera Khurd	573	7654	8227	20	143	163	11	-	11	22

6	Bidhawas	163	6088	6251	27	189	216	-	11	11	22
7	Shahpur	358	7143	7501	60	418	478	11	11	22	44
8	Subaseri	410	9304	9714	45	314	359	11	11	11	33
	Total	**3133**	**54577**	**57711**	**353**	**2462**	**2815**	**66**	**66**	**88**	**220**

57711 man days would be generated with the implementation of the project in Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V), which means 115 person for 100 days per year would be employed for the period of five years. In addition to this cropped area/ productivity would be increased and will also generate employment.

9.2 MIGRATION PATTERN

Table 2. Pre and Post Migration in Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)

S. No	Name of micro watersheds	No. of persons migrating		No. of days per year of migration		Comments
		Pre Project	Expected post project	Pre Project	Expected post project	
1	Mangleshwar	20	10	120	60	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%
2	Gujjar Majri	11	6	150	75	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%
3	Raipur	27	14	210	105	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%
4	Tihara	40	20	120	60	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%

5	Dulhera Khurd	16	8	180	90	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%
6	Bidhawas	26	13	180	90	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%
7	Shahpur	46	23	330	165	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%
8	Subaseri	66	33	180	90	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%

A comparison of above table with expected migration of table 19 of the Chapter 3 reveals that there will be about 50% reduction in the migration.

9.3 GROUND WATER TABLE (Drinking Water)

The Drinking Water supply is managed by Public health Department by Installing Tube well and Canal water supply in the area. The area is facing scarcity of water during May and June.

The area in this Watershed comes under fresh and falls in over exploited category. The present water level varies from 19.2 to 24.6m. The water table of such area is depleting from 0.38 to 1.15 m/year in block falling in Bawal. The provision of water harvesting/ recharging has been provided in the project proposal.

Table 3. Detail of average pre- post ground water table depth in the project area (in meters)

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Name of Villages	Source	Existing pre- project ground water table level (m)	Expected post project conditions

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Name of Villages	Source	Existing pre- project ground water table level (m)	Expected post project conditions
1	Mangleshwar	Mangleshwar	Open wells	24.6	The area being over exploited, rain water harvesting/recharging has been provided in the project proposals through construction of percolation tank/ ponds.
		Khera Murar	Open wells	23.6	
2	Gujjar Majri	Gujjar Majri	Open wells	23.6	
		Bishanpur	Open wells	23.5	
3	Raipur	Raipur	Open wells	23.5	
		Nangal Sahbajpur	Open wells	23.5	
		Nangli Parsarpur	Open wells	23.5	
4	Tihara	Tihara	Open wells	20.0	
		Rasiawas	Open wells	19.4	
5	Dulhera Khurd	Dulhera Khurd	Open wells	23.6	
		Dulhera Kalan	Open wells	23.5	
6	Bidhawas	Bidhawas	Open wells	23.5	
		Bhadoj	Open wells	23.5	
7	Shahpur	Shahpur	Open wells	19.4	
		Anandpur	Open wells	21.2	
		Kheri Dalusingh	Open wells	20.3	
		Keshopur	Open wells	20.2	
8	Subaseri	Subaseri	Open wells	20.0	

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Name of Villages	Source	Existing pre- project ground water table level (m)	Expected post project conditions
		Dharchana	Open wells	19.2	
		Sekhpur	Open wells	19.2	

Source: Ground Water Cell, Haryana

9.4 CROPS

Agriculture primary depends upon water, Due to lack of proper canal system and deeper ground water there is a struggle in irrigating crops all this can change with the integrated land and water management during the watershed project. The planned Water Conveyance System, Strengthening of Water Conveyance Channel (Water Course in fields) (Water Saving Technology), Dug Out Pond (New/Renovation), Ramp/Ghat Inlet and Outlet, Roof top rain water recharge structures, Earthen Embankment with pucca outlet, Small Earthen Embankment with vegetative support, etc. can preserve sub moisture in the soil. This will help in additional area coming under cultivation and increasing productivity too. The crop yield pre project and expected and post project is presented in table 4**.**

Table 4. Increase in Expected Yield in Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)

Name of Micro-Watersheds	Name of Crops	Pre project		Total Production(in Kg)	Total Value Rs (in lacs)	Expected post project		Total Production (in Kg)	Total Value Rs (in lacs)
		Area ha	Average yield Qtl. Per ha			Area ha	Average yield Qtl. Per ha		
Mangleshwar	Wheat	149	4584	683016	92.21	164	4951	811964	109.62
	Mustard	160	1665	266400	79.92	176	1748	307648	92.29
	Bajra	301	1988	598388	74.80	331	2107	697417	87.18

Gujjar Majri	Wheat	140	4552	637280	86.03	154	4916	757064	102.20
	Mustard	135	1664	224640	67.39	149	1747	260303	78.09
	Bajra	240	1975	474000	59.25	264	2094	552816	69.10
Raipur	Wheat	142	4584	650928	87.88	156	4951	772356	104.27
	Mustard	169	1629	275301	82.59	186	1710	318060	95.42
	Bajra	275	1986	546150	68.27	303	2105	637815	79.73
Tihara	Wheat	122	4581	558882	75.45	134	4947	662898	89.49
	Mustard	184	1655	304520	91.36	202	1738	351076	105.32
	Bajra	190	1982	376580	47.07	209	2101	439109	54.89
Dulhera Khurd	Wheat	183	4584	838872	113.25	201	4951	995151	134.35
	Mustard	244	1665	406260	121.88	268	1748	468464	140.54
	Bajra	219	1988	435372	54.42	241	2107	507787	63.47
Bidhawas	Wheat	127	4552	578104	78.04	140	4916	688240	92.91
	Mustard	150	1664	249600	74.88	165	1747	288255	86.48
	Bajra	233	1975	460175	57.52	256	2094	536064	67.01
Shahpur	Wheat	61	4573	278953	37.66	67	4939	330913	44.67
	Mustard	263	1631	428953	128.69	289	1713	495057	148.52
	Bajra	229	1988	455252	56.91	252	2107	530964	66.37

Subaseri	Wheat	159	4584	728856	98.40	175	4951	866425	116.97
	Mustard	319	1645	524755	157.43	351	1727	606177	181.85
	Bajra	371	1986	736806	92.10	408	2105	858840	107.36
Total		**4765**			**1983.38**		**5241**		**2318.09**

Source: Revenue Department and Department of Agriculture, Rewari (Haryana)

9.5 HORTICULTURE

Table 5. Pre and post project area under Horticulture

S.No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Existing area under horticulture (ha)	Additional Area under horticulture proposed to be covered through IWMP	Total area in ha – Post Project
1	Mangleshwar	1	3	4
2	Gujjar Majri	2	4	6
3	Raipur	1.2	4	5.2
4	Tihara	1	3	4
5	Dulhera Khurd	1	3	4
6	Bidhawas	2	4	6
7	Shahpur	2	4	6
8	Subaseri	3	5	8
	Total	**13.2**	**30**	**43.2**

9.6 AFFORESTATION/ VEGETATIVE COVER

Table 6. Pre and post project forest and vegetative cover

S.No.	Name of micro watersheds	Existing area under tree covered, ha	Area under tree cover proposed ha	Total
1	Mangleshwar	6	8	14
2	Gujjar Majri	11	10	21
3	Raipur	11	10	21
4	Tihara	6	4	10
5	Dulhera Khurd	12	10	22
6	Bidhawas	14	10	24
7	Shahpur	13	10	23

8	Subaseri	9	11	20
	Total	**82**	**73**	**155**

9.7 LIVESTOCK

Table 7. Details of livestock in the project area

S. No.	Name of micro watersheds	Type of Animals	Pre project			Post project			Remarks
			No.	Yield Kg/ day	Income In Rs per day	No.	Yield Kg/ day	Income In Rs per day	
1	Mangleshwar	Buffalo	1233	7-8	238-272	1417	8-9	320-360	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	222	3-4	75-100	255	5-6	150-180	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
2	Gujjar Majri	Buffalo	505	7.5- 8.5	255-289	581	9.5- 10.5	380-420	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	341	3.5- 4.5	87-112	392	5.5- 6.5	165-195	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
3	Raipur	Buffalo	846	8-9	272-306	973	10-12	400-480	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	307	4.5- 5.5	87-112	353	6-8	180-240	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
4	Tihara	Buffalo	996	7-8	238-272	1145	9-11	360-440	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%

S. No.	Name of micro watersheds	Type of Animals	Pre project			Post project			Remarks
			No.	Yield Kg/ day	Income In Rs per day	No.	Yield Kg/ day	Income In Rs per day	
		Cow	296	4-5	100-125	340	6.5- 8.5	195-255	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
5	Dulhera Khurd	Buffalo	127	7.5 – 8.5	255-289	146	10-12	400-480	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	17	4.5- 5.5	87-138	19	6-8	180-240	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
6	Bidhawas	Buffalo	720	7-8	238-272	828	8-9	320-360	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	61	3-4	75-100	70	5-6	150-180	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
7	Shahpur	Buffalo	1382	7.5- 8.5	255-289	1589	9.5- 10.5	380-420	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	161	3.5- 4.5	87-112	185	5.5- 6.5	165-195	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
8	Subaseri	Buffalo	909	8-9	272-306	1045	10-12	400-480	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	86	4.5- 5.5	87-112	99	6-8	180-240	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%

9.8 LINKAGES

The direct livelihood activities need good forward and backward support system. The activities may fail to deliver the desired results. These linkages would involve credit, machinery, input supply, marketing etc.

The backward forward linkages will involved the extension services which are brought available in the project proposal as capacity building and the provision have been kept. 20 kits of agriculture implement have been provided. Milk and other collection centre would be constituted with increased milk production under the project.

Table. 8: Backward-Forward Linkages

Sr. No.	Project	Type of Marketing Facility	Pre-Project (no.)	During the Project (no.)	Post-project (no.)
1	Khera Murar Watershed (IWMP V)	Backward linkages	-	-	-
		Seed certification	Moderate	Extension and Training	Improved
		Seed supply system	Moderate	Extension and Training	Improved
		Fertilizer supply system	Moderate	Extension and Training	Improved
		Pesticide supply system	Moderate	Extension and Training	Improved
		Credit institutions	Banks	Coordinate to lead banks	Bank intensity increased
		Water supply for irrigation	Scarcity	Promote rain water harvesting	Would be promoted
		Extension services	KGK& Agriculture deptt.	Extension & Training in village level	Improved

		Nurseries	Horticulture and forest	To be promoted	Improved
		Tools/ machinery suppliers	Subsides	Educate by Extension & Training	Supplies would be improved
		Price support system	Major crops	-	Needs for all crops
		Labour	-	Employment generate through works activities	Migration reduce
		Any other (please specify)	-	-	-
		Road network	Available	Coordinate with lined department	Would be strengthen
		Transport facilities	Moderate	Coordinate with lined department	Would be promoted
		Markets / Mandies	Exists	Coordinate with lined department	Intensity would be increased
		Agro and other industries	-	Coordinate with lined department to establish Cottage industries (Kutir Udyog) for landless and unemployed youth	Would be strengthen
		Milk and other collection centres	Milk collection centre in long distance	Coordinate with lined department	For installation on nearest door steps
		Any other (please specify)	-	-	-
			Vermi-compost unit	Convergence with NHM (Horticulture) department	To be increased
			Mushroom Cultivation	Convergence with NHM (Horticulture) department	To be increased
			Animal vitamins/	Coordinate with lined department, to	Animal vitamins feeds Would be

			Minerals Deficit	organize camps in watershed area	promoted

9.9.1 LOGICAL FRAMEWORK ANALYSIS

Table 9. Logical Framework Analysis

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
Village Institution Formation	Formation of Watershed Community, User Groups	• Watershed Committee each village • Number of user groups depending on the coverage of particular intervention	Project can be implemented and managed in a democratic and Participatory way ensuring equity and transparency.	• Unity and prosperity in the village management. • People's Participation and positive perception towards the programme.
Strengthening Village operations	• Organizing training and awareness programme for village institutions (I.E.C. Activities). • Capacity Building workshops and exposure visits for User Group and Watershed Community • Facilitating and monitoring the functioning of UGs and WCs	• Awareness camps to be organized • Trainings and exposure visits UGs and WCs to be held Capacity building workshops to be organized one. • Federations of UGs and WC to be formed.	• Quality of management of common resources improved. • Quality of distribution of benefits between people improved. • Increased awareness amongst women about village resources • Women participation enhanced in decision-making of GVCs. • Involvement of youth and children in village development.	

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
	Strengthen linkages between UGs and WCs and Panchayat Institutions • Gender sensitization of UGs and WCs to increase inclusiveness of Samuh (Joint) decision making. • Sensitize Village communities to involve children and youth in development			
Fund Management	• Improve management and utilization of UGs and WCs • Prepare communities to explore other sources of income for UGs and WCs.	UGs and WCs operating bank account and managing resources on their own.	• Purpose, frequency and volume of use of the fund enhanced • Volume of funds generated for UGs and WCs from other sources of income increased	
Ecological restoration	• Protection, Treatment and regeneration of common and private lands. • Protection, treatment and	• Common and private lands to be brought under new plantations and agro-horti- forestry like Neem, Adussa, prosopis, Banyan and Peepul. • Forest lands to be brought	• Fodder availability from common and private land increased. • Accessibility to common and forest lands increased with removal of encroachments and	• Better Ecological order in the area. • Increase in the proportion of households having more security of fodder. • Reduction in drudgery of fodder and fuel collection,

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
	regeneration of forest lands. • Plantation of fruits and forest species. • Input trainings, conduct meetings and organize exposure visits for communities, village volunteers and staff to effectively plan, execute and monitor activities. • Identification and promotion of non-timber forest produce based income generation activities.	under new plantations and protection. • Trainings, exposure visits and meetings to be organized for communities, village volunteers and staff. • Income generation intervention promoted	resolution of conflicts	especially women
Rainfed Area Development	• Treatment of land through improved soil and moisture conservation practices on watershed basis. • Promotion of good agricultural practices- horticulture, improved crop and vegetable. • Promotion of	• Land to be brought under improved soil moisture conservation practices. • Good agricultural practices to be promoted. • Organic farming to be promoted. Fodder banks to be established. • Agriculture based livelihood income generation activities to be promoted • Water harvesting	• Improved productivity of treated land. • Increased availability of water in cells. • Increase in annual agricultural production. • Farmers adopt organic farming practices. • Fodder security of farmers enhanced. • Increased availability of water for 9 to12 months.	Increase in proportion of households having more security of food Increase in contribution of agricultural income to the household income

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
	organic farming practices. • Formation of Fodder banks to increase fodder security and promote dairy development among communities. • Identification and promotion of agri-produce based income generation activities like grading, processing and packaging. • Promotion of better irrigation practices like drip irrigation • Impart trainings, conduct meetings and organize exposure visits of communities.	structures to be constructed. • Drip irrigation facilities to be distributed among farmers. • Approx 15000 person days of employment to be generated. • Trainings, exposure visits and meetings to be organized for communities, village volunteers.	• Increased availability of water for livestock • Increase in agricultural productivity of land. • Augmentation of drinking water supply.	
Women's socio-political and economic empowerment	• Formation and strengthening of women' SHG groups • Capacity building of women folk. • Capacity building of SHG leaders and	• Women's SHG groups to be formed. • Federation of Women's SHGs to be formed. • Trainings to be conducted for preparation of woolen products from sheep and	• Enhanced capacities of leaders of women's group in taking initiatives to solve problems at different levels. • Improved access to credit for livelihood	• Position of women in household, community, society (politically, socially and economically) as perceived by women and community at large.

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
	accountants Linking SHGs with external financial institutions	goats	purposes Increased household income.	• Performance enhancement of SHGs in terms of participation, decision-making, leadership and fund management. • Equality and equity in gender relations at home (decision making, expenditure, children's education, health)

The adoption of soil and water management practices, renovation of village ponds and plantations not only improve productivity but also improve village environment. The investments made in water resources development would ease shortage of water both for domestic use and livestock and also make water available for supplemental irrigation.

The introduction of improved production technologies would stabilize crop production, save crops from adverse impacts of droughts and raise income level of farmers. The increased fodder availability and animal health care, the milk production would increase. There would be increased cash flows from subsidiary occupations. The increased awareness, operations through SHGs and easy availability of finance would make the communities more vibrant and enterprising.