

## Contents (IWMP VI)

Chapter No.	No.	TITLE	Page No.
Chapter- 1		<b>PROJECT BACKGROUND AND METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>1-9</b>
			1
	1.1	Scientific Planning	2
	1.1.1	Cluster Approach	2
	1.1.2	Base Line Survey	2
	1.1.3	Collection of Primary Data	2
	1.1.4	Collection of Secondary data	3
	1.2	Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA)	3
	1.2.1	Participatory Net Planning	4
	1.2.2	Community Participants in Social Mapping	4
	1.2.3	Transect Walk	5
	1.2.4	Focus Group Discussions	5
	1.3	Use of GIS Technology for Planning	6
	1.3.1	Prioritization	6
	1.3.2	Planning	7
	1.3.3	Hydrological modelling	7
		Table. 1: Detail of scientific planning and inputs in IWMP projects	7
1.4	Preparation of Action Plan and Approval	9	
Chapter- 2		<b>PROJECT BACKGROUND</b>	<b>10-16</b>
	2.1	Project Background	10

		Table. 1: Basic Project Information	10
	<b>2.2</b>	Need of Watershed Development Programme	11
		Table. 2: Criteria and Weight Age for Selection of Watershed	12
		Table. 3: Weight-age of the Project	14
		Table. 4: Watershed Information	15
	<b>2.3</b>	Other ongoing Development Projects / Schemes in the project villages	15
		Table. 5: Ongoing Developmental Programs in the Project Area	15
		Table. 6: Previous Watershed Programme in the Project Area (if any)	16
<b>Chapter- 3</b>		<b>BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT AREA</b>	<b>17-53</b>
		Geography And Geo hydrology	
	<b>3.1</b>	Land Use Pattern	17
		Table 1. Land use pattern	18
	<b>3.2</b>	Soil and Topography:	19
		Table 2. Soil type and Topography	19
	<b>3.2.1</b>	Flood And Drought Condition	20
		Table 3. Flood and Drought condition	20
	<b>3.3</b>	Soils	20
	<b>3.3.1</b>	Soil Erosion	20
		Table 4:- Soil Erosion	21
	<b>3.3.2</b>	Soil Salinity/Alkalinity (Salinity ingress):	21
		Table 5. Soil pH and Salinity	21
	<b>3.3.3</b>	Soil Classification	22

<b>3.3.4</b>	Land Capability Classification	27
<b>3.3.5</b>	Climatic Conditions	30
	Table-6. Rainfall	30
<b>3.3.6</b>	Physiography and Reliefs	31
	Table 7. Physiography and Relief	31
<b>3.4</b>	Land and Agriculture:	31
	Table 8. Natural Vegetation	32
<b>3.4.1</b>	Land Ownership Details	33
	Table-9:- Land Ownership Details	33
<b>3.4.2</b>	Agriculture/Pattern	33
	Table 10. Agriculture/ Pattern	33
<b>3.4.3</b>	Irrigation	34
	Table 11. Irrigation Pattern	34
<b>3.4.4</b>	Cropping Pattern (crop details)	36
	Table 12 A. Crop Details (Rabi)	36
	Table 12 B. Crop Details (Kharif)	37
<b>3.4.5</b>	Livestock	37
	Table 13. Village Wise Distribution of Milk Production	38
<b>3.4.6</b>	Ground Water Concern	39
	Table 14. Village Wise Depth to Water Level Range	39
<b>3.4.7</b>	Details of Common Property Resources	41
	Table 15. Detail of Common Property Resources	41
<b>3.5</b>	Socio Economic And Literacy Profile	42
<b>3.5.1</b>	Demographic Status	43

		Table 16. Demographic Status/ Population Pattern	43
		Table 17. Village wise Literacy Rate	44
		Table 18. Employment Status	44
	<b>3.5.2</b>	<b>MIGRATION PATTERN</b>	45
		Table 19. Migration Pattern	46
		Table 20. BPL Pattern	47
		Table 21. Village Infrastructure	48
		Table 22. Facilities/ Household assets	49
	<b>3.5.3</b>	<b>LIVELIHOOD PATTERN</b>	50
		Table 23. Per capita (Household) income	50
	<b>3.5.4</b>	<b>Comparative Status of crop Productivity</b>	51
		Table 24. Average yield (kg/hectare) of crops	51
	<b>3.6</b>	<b>Reason for low productivity:</b>	53
<b>Chapter- 4</b>		<b>PROJECT MANAGEMENT AGENCIES</b>	<b>54-64</b>
	<b>4.1</b>	<b>Institutional Arrangement</b>	54
	<b>4.2</b>	<b>State Level Nodal Agency, Haryana</b>	56
	<b>4.3</b>	<b>Watershed Cell cum Data Centre, Yamunanagar</b>	56
	<b>4.4</b>	<b>Project Implementation Agency</b>	57
		Table 1. PIA/ Project Implementing Agency	58
	<b>4.4.1</b>	<b>Monitoring Level Staff at PIA Head Office</b>	59
	<b>4.5</b>	<b>Watershed Development Team</b>	59
	<b>4.6</b>	<b>Watershed Committee details</b>	60
	<b>4.6.1</b>	<b>Formation of Watershed Committees (WC)</b>	61
		Table No. - 2 Watershed Committees (WC) Details	61

	<b>4.7</b>	Institutional Setup at Watershed Level	63
	<b>4.7.1</b>	Self Help Groups	63
	<b>4.7.2</b>	User Groups	64
<b>Chapter- 5</b>		<b>BUDGETING</b>	<b>65-73</b>
	<b>5.1</b>	Micro Watershed Wise / Component Wise Phasing year Wise Budget Phasing Under IWMP-VI	65
		Table. 1: Entire Project	66
		Table. 2: Nagli Khol Micro- Watershed	67
		Table. 3: Bari Nagli Micro- Watershed	68
		Table. 4: Mohdinpur Micro- Watershed	69
		Table. 5: Jaitpur Micro- Watershed	70
		Table. 6: Ibrahimpur Micro- Watershed	71
		Table. 7: Pirthipur Micro- Watershed	72
		Table. 8: Haidarpur Micro- Watershed	73
<b>Chapter- 6</b>		<b>PREPARATORY PHASE</b>	<b>74-91</b>
	<b>6.1</b>	Awareness Generation And Motivation For Participation	74
	<b>6.1.1</b>	Collection of Base Line Data And Hydrological Data	74
	<b>6.1.2</b>	Formation of Village Level Institutions	75
	<b>6.1.3</b>	Preparation of DPR	75
	<b>6.2</b>	Capacity Building	80
		Table 1: Statement of Targets under Proposed Training Action Plan at Micro Watershed Level to be conducted by WDT members of Yamunanagar District	83
		Table: 2: Statement showing funds Requirement for training on IWMP in Haryana	85

	(Preparatory Phase – District Level)	
	Table. 3: Micro Watershed Wise Exposure cum training Visit for SLNA, WDT, PIA , Field Functionary , WDC, SHG & UG Members of IWMP -VI ( Yamunanagar )	86
	Table No. 4:-Farmer’s / Beneficiaries training camps with Extension Programmes of IWMP -VI (Yamunanagar)	87
<b>6.2.1</b>	Expected Outcome of Capacity Building	88
<b>6.3</b>	Entry Point Activities	89
	Table 5. Entry Point Activities	90
<b>Chapter- 7</b>	<b>WORK PHASE</b>	<b>92-173</b>
<b>7.1</b>	Watershed development Works - 56%	92
<b>A</b>	<b>DRAINAGE LINE TREATMENT</b>	92
<b>7.1.1</b>	Dry stone check dams/ Dry stone Masonry Structure reinforced by vegetation	92
<b>7.1.2</b>	Crate Wire Structures (Gabian type and Spur)	93
<b>7.1.3</b>	Drop Structures/ Cement stone Masonry Structure	93
<b>7.1.4</b>	Construction of Retaining Walls for Bank Protection	94
<b>B.</b>	<b>WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT</b>	94
<b>7.2.1</b>	Water harvesting structures, Earthen Gully Plug, Silt Detention Dam and Earthen Embankment	94
	Table.1 Village wise distribution of works: MICRO WATER SHED – Nagli Khol – Bari Nagli Dense Jungle)	96
	Table. 2. Village wise distribution of works MICRO WATERSHED – Mohdinpur – (Village- Mohdinpur)	98
	Table-3 Village wise distribution of works: MICRO WATERSHED – Mohdinpur _	99

	(Village – Bari Nagli Part)	
	Table-4: Village wise distribution of works: MICRO WATERSHED – Jaitpur – Village – Jaitpur)	100
	Table-5: Village wise distribution of works : MICRO WATERSHED – Jaitpur - (Village –Gohrabani)	101
	Table-6: Village wise distribution of works : MICRO WATERSHED – Jaitpur - (Village – Rajpur)	102
	Table-7: Village wise distribution of works : MICRO WATERSHED – Jaitpur - (Village – Jaitpur Forest)	103
	Table-8: Village wise distribution of works : MICRO WATERSHED – Ibrahimpur - (Village – Ibrahimpur)	104
	Table-9: Village wise distribution of works : MICRO WATERSHED – Ibrahimpur - (Village – Ibrahimpur Forest)	105
	Table-10: Village wise distribution of works : MICRO WATERSHED – Pirthipur - (Village – Pirthipur)	106
	Table-11: Village wise distribution of works : MICRO WATERSHED – Pirthipur - (Village - Jogiwara)	107
	Table-12: Village wise distribution of works : MICRO WATERSHED – Pirthipur - (Village – Chhabutron)	108
	Table-13: Village wise distribution of works : MICRO WATERSHED – Haidarpur - (Village – Taharpur Kalan)	109
	Table-14: Village wise distribution of works : MICRO WATERSHED – Haidarpur - (Village – Haidarpur)	110
	Table-15: Village wise distribution of works : MICRO WATERSHED – Haidarpur -	111

	(Village – Taharpur Khurd)	
	Table-16: Village wise distribution of works : MICRO WATERSHED – Haidarpur - (Village – Forest)	112
	Table-17. Detailed Estimate of Infiltration Gallery for Sub-Surface Dam	113
	Table-18. Material Estimate	116
	Table-19. Abstract Cost of Sub- Surface Dam (Infiltration Gallery)	116
	Table-20. Abstract Estimate of Conveyance System (UPVC pipe line) for Sub-Surface Dam of 1560m length, 160mm dia with man holes and protection measures	117
	Table-21. Material Estimate	119
	Table-22. Detailed Estimate of Silt Detention Dam	121
	Table. 23. Leads Statement	122
	Table 24. Detailed Estimate of Earthen Gully Plug	128
	Table. 25. Detail Estimate of Crate Wire Structure	132
	Table. 26. Detail Estimate of Cement Stone Masonry Structure	135
	Table. 27. Material Statement and Cost of Material	136
	Table. 28. Labour Cost	137
	Table. 29. Abstract of Cost	138
	Table. 30. Detail Estimate of Dry Stone Masonry Check Dam	140
	Table. 31. Work Detail Estimate for Retaining Wall	142
	Table. 32. Abstract Cost of Retaining Wall	143
	Table. 33. Estimate of Orchard Development in the Watersheds Per Hectare	145
	Table. 34. Estimate of Agro- Forestry/ Afforestation	147
<b>7.3</b>	<b>PRODUCTION SYSTEM</b>	<b>150</b>



<b>7.3.1</b>	Crop Production	150
<b>7.3.2</b>	Horticulture	151
<b>7.3.3</b>	Vegetable cultivation	152
<b>7.3.4</b>	Promotion of Farm Forestry and Agro-forestry	152
<b>7.3.5</b>	Livestock Improvement Including Fodder Production	152
<b>7.3.6</b>	Marketing Arrangements and Proposal for Improvement	153
<b>7.3.7</b>	Detail of production system to be promoted	153
	Table 35. Detail of Production System proposed to be promoted in the project village	154
<b>7.3.8</b>	Vermin Compost	157
	Table 36: Model/Estimate for a Vermin Compost Unit	158
	<b>LIVELIHOOD ACTIVITIES FOR THE ASSET LESS PERSONS-9%</b>	160
<b>7.4</b>	Livelihood support to SHG's	161
<b>7.4.1</b>	Activities those are likely to be taken up by SHGs/individuals	162
	Table 37. Revolving Fund Assistance for SHGs	163
	Table 38. Skill Trainings/Skill up gradation for SHGs	163
	Table 39. Computer Training (6 months) for unemployed youth above 12th passed male and female both recommended by Watershed Development Committee	164
	Table 40. One time assistance as Revolving Fund to unemployed youth who have successfully completed Computer Training for setting up a computer centre.	165
	Table 41. Cutting and Tailoring Centre for female beneficiaries	165
	Table 42. Embroidery Centre for female beneficiaries	166
	Table 43. Livelihood Support	167
	<b>CONVERGENCE</b>	169
<b>7.5</b>	Introduction	170

	<b>7.5.1</b>	Convergence between MGNREGA And Watershed Programmes	171
		Table 44. Gaps in Funds Requirement – Micro Watershed Wise	171
	<b>7.5.2</b>	Non-Negotiable for works executed under MGNREGA	171
	<b>7.5.3</b>	Convergence with Forest Department	172
	<b>7.5.4</b>	Convergence with Horticulture Department	172
	<b>7.5.5</b>	Convergence with Agriculture Department	173
	<b>7.5.6</b>	Convergence with Animal Husbandry Department	173
<b>Chapter- 8</b>		<b>QUALITY AND SUSTAINABILITY</b>	<b>174-182</b>
	<b>8.1</b>	Monitoring and Evaluation	174
	<b>8.1.1</b>	Plans for Monitoring and Evaluation:	174
	<b>8.1.2</b>	Monitoring:	174
		Table 1. Micro Watershed wise details	175
	<b>8.2</b>	Evaluation	175
		Table 2. Micro Watershed wise details	176
	<b>8.3</b>	Consolidation Phase	178
		Table 3. Consolidated Phase: Nagli Khol Micro- Watershed	179
		Table 4. Consolidated Phase: Bari Nagli Micro- Watershed	179
		Table 5. Consolidated Phase: Mohdinpur Micro- Watershed	180
		Table 6. Consolidated Phase: Jaitpur Micro- Watershed	180
		Table 7. Consolidated Phase: Ibrahimpur Micro- Watershed	181
		Table 8. Consolidated Phase: Pirthipur Micro- Watershed	181
		Table 9. Consolidated Phase: Haidarpur Micro- Watershed	182
<b>Chapter- 9</b>		<b>EXPECTED OUTCOME</b>	<b>183-200</b>
	<b>9.1</b>	Employment	183

		Table 1. Expected Employment Generation in the Project area	184
	<b>9.2</b>	Migration Pattern	185
		Table 2. Pre and Post Migration	185
	<b>9.3</b>	Ground Water Table	185
		Table 3. Detail of average pre- post ground water table depth in the project area (in meters)	186
	<b>9.4</b>	Crops	186
		Table 4. Increase in Expected Yield	187
	<b>9.5</b>	Horticulture	188
		Table 5. Pre and post project area under Horticulture	188
	<b>9.6</b>	Afforestation/ Vegetative Cover	189
		Table 6. Pre and post project forest and vegetative cover	189
	<b>9.7</b>	Expected reduction in Soil loss	190
		Table 7. Pre and post project soil losses	190
	<b>9.8</b>	Livestock	190
		Table 8. Details of livestock in the project area	190
	<b>9.9</b>	Linkages	191
		Table No. 9: Backward-Forward Linkages	192
	<b>9.9.1</b>	Logical Framework Analysis	194
		Table 10. Logical Framework Analysis	194
<b>ANNEXURES</b>			
	Annexure I	Base Map	
	Annexure II	Contour and drainage map	
	Annexure III	Land use map	

	Annexure IV	Slope map	
	Annexure V	Soil map	
	Annexure VI	Land Capability map	
	Annexure VII	Depth to water level map	
	Annexure VIII	Existing Location of Works	
	Annexure IX	Proposed Action Plan map	

# CHAPTER- 1

## METHODOLOGY

### INTRODUCTION

The Government of India (GOI) adopted watershed management as a strategy to address the sustainable agricultural productivity in the rainfed areas since the last three decades. Further, GOI has adopted watershed management as a national policy since 2003. Several studies have highlighted that appropriate rain water management and utilization results in enhanced agricultural productivity. To achieve food security, minimize the water conflicts and reduce poverty, it has become essential to increase productivity of rainfed systems by harnessing the existing potential.

In Haryana, watershed activities were undertaken by Department of Agriculture (Soil Conservation), Forest Department and Rural Development Department. The existing scheme of watershed, like DPAP, DDP & IWDP were brought under one umbrella in the name of Integrated Watershed Management Programme in the year 2008. The scheme is basically for rainfed area, Common Guidelines were framed by National Rainfed Area Authority. Rural Development Department is the Nodal Department for implementation of IWMP through State Level Nodal Agency.

To implement watershed area program systematically the survey has been conducted for knowing the potentiality of the village. With this view baseline survey was conducted in Seven micro- watersheds Nagli Khol (2C5J3p3), Bari Nagli (2C5J3p2), Mohdinpur (2C5J3p4), Jaitpur (2C5J3p1) Ibrahimpur (2C5J3n3) Pirthipur (2C5J3n1), Haidarpur (2C5J3n2).The survey will serve as a bench mark against which the results of project could be compared at the end of the implementation. It would also helpful in guiding watershed programme to plan its goal in identifiable terms for future

reference. PRA techniques and transect walk were conducted with the Gram Sabha members and beneficiaries for building confidence for participation during project planning.

## **1.1**

### **SCIENTIFIC PLANNING**

#### **1.1.1 Cluster Approach**

This envisages a broader vision of Geo- hydrological unit which involves treating the cluster of 7 micro watersheds namely Nagli Khol (2C5J3p3), Bari Nagli (2C5J3p2), Mohdinpur (2C5J3p4) Jaitpur (2C5J3p1), Ibrahimpur (2C5J3n3) , Pirthipur (2C5J3n1) Haidarpur 2C5J3n2) with their respective codes. This watershed is in continuation to with other watershed projects namely Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI).

#### **1.1.2 Base Line Survey**

Bench mark survey was conducted for collection of base line data on various bio-physical and socio-economic aspects initiated by the following methods.

#### **1.1.3 Collection of Primary Data**

Though the project was sanctioned by the September, 2011 but the preparatory phase started in 2012. Initially, a meeting was arranged with officials of concerned departments and technical experts located at Nagli Khol ,Bari Nagli , Mohdinpur, Jaitpur, Ibrahimpur , Pirthipur ,Haidarpur micro- watersheds. During this meeting, preliminary details of the proposed project including location of villages and criteria of selection and PPR were discussed.

In order to have first hand information, a joint visit in the project area was made along with PRI members. In this survey, physical location of the watershed, important villages, drain system, main land use and other problems related to the area were assessed. Sarpanches and local people were involved in the discussions and a note of the local needs and scope of watershed works was taken up.

The survey of India toposheets of the area available on the 1:50000 scales were procured of the project area and all assigned villages were marked on the copies of the toposheets as well as on the maps prepared by Soil and Land Use Survey of India (SLUSI).

The primary data was also compiled from revenue records, Anganwari workers and statistical officers of the district. Rainfall data was collected from rain gauge station located in the Sub division/district headquarter of the project area.

#### **1.1.4 Collection of Secondary data**

The data with regard to Demographic, socio-economic, infrastructure, land use, primary and secondary occupation, major crops grown and the yield level of fruits and vegetable crops, marketing facilities, fodder production, agro-forestry crops, live stock and milk production, status of self help groups, previous watershed schemes and works undertaken under MGNREGA etc. was gathered with the help of a specially designed Performa by social development associates. Additional information were gathered by group and individual discussions with women groups, landless and other poor sections of the society. The issues concerning water availability, use of common property resources, fuel and fodder availability, wage employment opportunity and other major concerns were discussed, debated and recorded.

#### **1.2 PARTICIPATORY RURAL APPRAISAL**

The due process of participatory Appraisal was followed in which village committees were sensitized about project activities. An appraisal of land resources, water resources, forest and pasture land resources, common property resources, production system and livestock resources was carried out by collecting data from primary and secondary sources. Group meeting were organized at common places and problem and possible solution were debated, discussed and efforts were made to reach agreement on activities required under the projects. This was followed by transit walks across the entire area of the village and spots indicated by the community. The Technical possibilities were discussed and measurements were recorded for jointly agreed activities. Similarly, discussions were held about entry point activities

and items of work were finalized keeping in view the availability of funds in the project. Through discussion were held on production activities and new innovative techniques of improving crop, fruit and milk production. The women groups were sensitized about income generating activities and skill improvement by various types of trainings. The department field staff facilitated the process of participation at the planning stage. The department officials simultaneously stated the process of forming watershed committees for each village. The roles and responsibilities of all stake holders as per guidelines , the mechanism of fund flows, cost sharing arrangement in different components , and operational mechanism of the projects was thoroughly discussed with the community and to the WC in detail.

### **1.2.1 Participatory Net Planning**

The action plan was formulated based on the PRA, Geo-hydrological condition, Drainage pattern, Soil class, Soil erosion, forest and agriculture land. The project proposals were deliberated in the Gram Sabha meetings which were approved with required amendments.

Based on the experience of the experts working in the area and catchment area characteristics each structures like Sub Surface Dam/Water Conveyance System, Water Harvesting Structure, Silt Detention Dam's, Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment, Crate Wire Structure/Spurs, Cement Stone/Brick Masonry Structures/Drop Structures/Retaining walls, Dry Stone Check Dams/Small Stone Check Dams etc. were recommended to conserve and store water used for life saving additional irrigation potential in the rain fed area and to avoid degradation of the land.

### **1.2.2 Community Participants in Social Mapping**

The village communities were apprised about project activities. Group meetings were organized at common places, problems and possible solutions were debated, discussed and efforts were made to reach agreement on activities required under the project. Social mapping involving local community was prepared. Infrastructure services and other village resources such as ponds, wells, agriculture land etc. were mapped.



### **1.2.3 Transect Walk**

Reconnaissance survey was carried out through transect walk in order to identify the needs, treatments required and worksites. The sites were marked on the maps and different treatment measures required were recommended.



**Transect walk and site visit**

### **1.2.4 Focus Group Discussions**

Focus group discussions (FGD) were conducted in order to obtain communities' approval on various identified needs. It was helpful in complementing the assessment emerged from PRA and to derive the opinion of the communities on various issues.



**Gram Sabha member's participation in group discussion**

### **1.3 USE OF GIS TECHNOLOGY FOR PLANNING**

Use of high scientific tools has been promoted at various stages of watershed development planning.

Geographical Information System (GIS) has been used in planning. Various layer maps were created like Base map, Present Land Use, Geo-hydrological, Micro Watershed, Drainage, Contours, Soil Classification, Land Capability Classification, Ground Water, Proposed and existing Activities or works. All Watershed maps (micro- watershed wise) have been prepared according to watershed maps issued by Soil and Land use Survey of India (SLUSI) with coding.

#### **1.3.1 Prioritization**

With the assistance of Geographical Information System (GIS), various layers were created like Geo morphological, Soils, Groundwater conditions, Slope percent and Land Capability classes. All these parameters were given weightage as per the guidelines issued by Govt. of India. This has helped in prioritization of various watershed areas.

### 1.3.2 Planning

Based on the land use and hydrology maps in addition to social maps (PRA) prepared by the participants, analysis was carried out for the planning in micro- watersheds. The action plan was formulated based on Geo-hydrological condition, Drainage pattern, Soil class, Soil erosion, forest and agriculture land. The project proposals were deliberated in the Gram Sabha meetings which were approved with required amendments.

Based on the experience of the experts working in the area and catchment area characteristics each structure like Sub Surface Dam/Water Conveyance System, Water Harvesting Structure, Silt Detention Dam's, Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment, Crate Wire Structure/Spurs, Cement Stone/Brick Masonry Structures/Drop Structures/Retaining walls, Dry Stone Check Dams/Small Stone Check Dams etc. were provided.

### 1.3.3 Hydrological modeling

The relevant hydrological parameters were used for delineation of micro- watersheds as per the existing drainage system. The works/ activities under drainage line treatment are proposed as per stream orders (I to V orders), stream flow, stream width and length, stream diversions, run- off and topography. These maps were generated as per SLUSI coding system. The maps are produced by developing different layers using GIS technology.

**Table 1. Detail of scientific planning and inputs in IWMP projects**

<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Scientific Criteria/input used</b>	<b>Whether Scientific Criteria was used</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Planning</b>	
	Cluster approach	Yes
	Hydro-geological survey	Yes
	Contour Mapping	Yes
	Participatory net planning (PNP)	Yes

<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Scientific Criteria/input used</b>	<b>Whether Scientific Criteria was used</b>
	Remote sensing data-especially soil/crop/run off cover	Yes
	Ridge to valley treatment	Yes
	Online IT connectivity between	Yes
	1. Project and DRDA cell/ZP	Yes
	2. DRDA and SLNA	Yes
	3. SLNA and DoLR	Yes
	Availability of GIS layers	Yes
	1. Survey of india map/imagery /SLUSI map	Yes
	2. Micro- Watershed Boundary	Yes
	3. Drainage pattern	Yes
	4. Soil (soil fertility status)	Yes
	5. Land use	Yes
	6. Ground water status	Yes
	7. Watershed boundaries	Yes
	8. Activities	Yes
	Crop simulation model	NA
	Integrated coupled analyzer/near infrared visible spectroscopy/medium/high	-
	Normalize difference vegetation index(NDVI)#	-
	Weather station	-
<b>B</b>	Inputs	-

<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Scientific Criteria/input used</b>	<b>Whether Scientific Criteria was used</b>
	Bio pesticides	Yes
	Organic manure	Yes
	Vermin- compost	Yes
	Bio Fertilizer	Yes
	Water saving devices	Yes
	Mechanical tools	Yes
	Bio fencing	No
	Nutrient Budgeting	No
	Automatic water level recorder & sedimentation samplers	No

#### **1.4 PREPARATION OF ACTION PLAN AND APPROVAL**

Based on the need and problems in watershed area; a draft action plan was prepared and placed before the concerned watershed development committee as per schedule circulated by Additional Deputy Commissioner for approval of the Watershed Committees. After detailed deliberation and incorporation of relevant suggestions into the plan, the action plan was approved in the meeting of Gram Sabha. The resolution of each village falling in the watershed has been received. The record is available with the PIA and WAPCOS.

## CHAPTER – 2

### PROJECT BACKGROUND

#### 2.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP VI) project is located in Bilaspur & Chhachhrauli block, Yamunanagar district of Haryana state. The project is a cluster of seven micro- watersheds Nagli Khol (2C5J3p3), Bari Nagli (2C5J3p2), Mohdinpur (2C5J3p4) Jaitpur (2C5J3p1), Ibrahimpur (2C5J3n1), Pirthipur (2C5J3n1) Haidarpur (2C5J3n2). The total geographical area of the project is 7825 ha out of which 3996 ha has been undertaken to be treated under IWMP-VI starting year 2011-2012. The project is divided into six micro watersheds. The Base map is shown in Annexure I.

**Table 1: BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION**

S. No.	Name of the project	Name of the micro watershed	Code No.	Name of the villages	Block	District	Area of the Project (ha)	Area proposed to be treated (ha)	Total Project cost (Rs lacs)	PIA
1	Upper Pathrala Nadi watershed (IWMP VI)	Nagli Khol	2C5J3p3	Fairly dense jungle	Bilaspur	Yamuna nagar	7129	558	66.96	DFO Yamuna nagar
2	Upper Pathrala Nadi watershed (IWMP VI)	Bari Nagli	2C5J3p2	Bari Nagli	Bilaspur	Yamuna nagar		618	74.16	DFO Yamuna nagar
3	Upper Pathrala Nadi watershed (IWMP VI)	Mohdinpur	2C5J3p4	Mohdinpur	Chhachhrauli	Yamuna nagar		395	47.40	DFO Yamuna nagar
4	Upper Pathrala Nadi watershed	Jaitpur	2C5J3p1	Jaitpur Gohra bani	Chhachhrauli	Yamuna nagar		773	92.76	DFO Yamuna

S. No.	Name of the project	Name of the micro watershed	Code No.	Name of the villages	Block	District	Area of the Project (ha)	Area proposed to be treated (ha)	Total Project cost (Rs lacs)	PIA
	(IWMP VI)			Rajpur						nagar
5	Upper Pathrala Nadi watershed (IWMP VI)	Ibrahimpur	2C5J3n3	Ibrahimpur	Chhachhrauli	Yamuna nagar		579	69.48	DFO Yamuna nagar
6	Upper Pathrala Nadi watershed (IWMP VI)	Pirthipur	2C5J3n1	Pirthipur	Chhachhrauli	Yamuna nagar		437	52.44	DFO Yamuna nagar
				Jogiwala						
				Chabutaron (part)						
7	Upper Pathrala Nadi watershed (IWMP VI)	Haidarpur	2C5J3n2	Taharpur kalan	Chhachhrauli	Yamuna nagar		702	84.24	DFO Yamuna nagar
				Haidarpur						
				Taharpur khurd						
				<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>7129</b>	<b>4062</b>	<b>487.44</b>	

## 2.2

### NEED OF WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

Watershed development programme is prioritized on the basis of thirteen parameters namely;

- i. poverty index,
- ii. percentage of SC,
- iii. actual wages,
- iv. percentage of small and marginal farmers,
- v. ground water status,
- vi. moisture index,
- vii. area under rain fed agriculture,
- viii. drinking water situation in the area ,

- ix. percentage of degraded land,
- x. productivity potential of land,
- xi. continuity of any other watershed already developed/treated,
- xii. cluster approach for plain terrain,
- xiii. cluster approach for hilly terrain,

The criteria and weight age of each of the parameters has been given in **Table 2**.

**Table 2. Criteria and Weight Age for Selection of Watershed**

S. No.	Criteria	Maximum Score	Ranges and Scores			
i.	Poverty index (% of poor to population)	10	Above 80 % (10)	80 to 50 % (7.5)	50 to 20 % (5)	Below 20% (2.5)
ii.	% of SC/ST population	10	More than 40 % (10)	20 to 40 % (5)		
iii.	Actual wages	5	Actual wages are significantly lower than minimum wages (5)	Actual wages are equal to or higher than minimum wages (0)		
iv.	% of small and marginal farmers	10	More than 80 % (10)	50 to 80 % (5)		
v.	Ground water status	5	Over exploited (5)	Critical (3)	Sub Critical (2)	Safe (0)
vi.	Moisture index/ DPAP/DDP block	15	-66.7 and below (15) DDP block	-33.3 to -66.6 (10) DPAP Block	0 to -33.2 (0) Non DPAP/DDP Block	
II	Area under rainfed agriculture	15	More than 90 % (15)	80 to 90 % (10)	70 to 80 % (5)	Above 70 % (Reject)
Ili	Drinking water	10	No source (10)	Problematic village (7.5)	Partially covered (5)	Fully covered(0)
ix	Degraded land	15	High-above 20 %	Medium-10 to 20 %	Low-less than 10 % of	



S. No.	Criteria	Maximum Score	Ranges and Scores			
			(15)	(10)	TGA (5)	
x	Productivity potential of the land	15	Lands with low production and where productivity can be significantly enhanced with reasonable efforts (15)	Lands with moderate production and where productivity can be enhanced with reasonable efforts (10)	Lands with high production and where productivity can be marginally enhanced with reasonable efforts (5)	
xi	Contiguity to another watershed that has already been developed/treated	10	Contiguous to previously treated watershed and contiguity within the micro-watersheds in the project (10)	Contiguity within the micro-watersheds in the project but non contiguous to previously treated watershed (5)	Neither contiguous to previously treated watershed nor contiguity within the micro-watersheds in the project (0)	
xii	Cluster approach in the plains (More than one contiguous micro-watersheds in the project)	15	Above 6 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	4 to 6 micro-watersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 4 micro-watersheds in cluster (5)	
xiii	Cluster approach in the plains (More than one contiguous micro-watersheds in the project)	15	Above 5 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	3 to 5 micro-watersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 3 micro-watersheds in cluster (5)	
		<b>150</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>2.5</b>

Based on above criteria and weight age of 80 concerning these thirteen parameters, a composite ranking was given to Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI) project as given in **Table- 3**.

**Total**

The total numbers of families under BPL are less than the total number of households in the village. Hence a score of 5 was allotted. Rain fed agriculture is more and more than 80 percent of the farmers are small and marginal. So the scoring was done as 5 and 2 respectively. So accordingly, scoring was done like project area comes under Shivalik hills, foothill and piedmont plains of Haryana in northern part, and has no canal network, erratic rainfall, deep and poor ground water discharge aquifer conditions; hence the ground water status score is 2. The percentage of schedule castes in this watershed is about 30 percent of the total population, hence 3 score was allotted. Due to high percentage of the poor population i.e. about 70 percent thus the scope of poverty index is 5. More than 60 percent of the farmers are small and marginal by nature and the actual wages earned by them are less than the minimum wages. Hence a composite rank of 5 is allotted. With all the parameters taken together gives the watershed score to be 80.

**Table 3: Weight-age of the Project**

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9													
S. No.	District	Name of the project	No. of micro-watersheds proposed to be covered	Geographical area (ha)	Proposed Area for Development	Type of project (Hilly/ Desert/ Others)	Proposed cost (Rs. In Lakh)	Weight age under the criteria													
								i	ii	iii	iv	v	vi	vii	viii	ix	x	xi	xii	xiii	Total
1.	Yamuna nagar	Upper Pathrala Nadi watershed (IWMP VI)	7	7129	4062	Sub Hilly/ others	487.44	5	3	5	5	2	0	10	5	15	10	5	10	5	80

**Table 4: Watershed Information**

Name of the Project	No. of Watersheds to be Treated	Watershed code	Watershed regime/type/order
Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)	6	2C5J3p3,2C5J3p2,2C5J3p4,2C5J3p1,2C5J3n3,2C5J3n1,2C5J3n2	Sub-Hilly

**2.3 OTHER ONGOING DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS / SCHEMES IN THE PROJECT VILLAGES**

These villages being backward have been on top priority of a number of development projects. These programmes are Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MGNREGS), Total Sanitation Campaign (TSC), Swarnajaynti Gram Swarojgar Yogna (SGSY) and Indira Awas Yojana (IAY), NWDPRRA and FPR (Ghaggar). The programmes running are tabulated in **Table 5**.

**Table 5. Ongoing Developmental Programs in the Project Area**

S.No.	Name of the Program/ Project	Name of Micro watersheds	Sponsoring agency	Objective	Estimated number of beneficiaries for year 2011-12 (Job card issued)
1	MGNREGA	Nagli Khol	DRDA, Yamunanagar	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	Nil
2	MGNREGA	Bari Nagli	DRDA, Yamunanagar	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	87
3	MGNREGA	Mohdinpur	DRDA, Yamunanagar	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	15
4	MGNREGA	Jaitpur	DRDA,	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a	1

S.No.	Name of the Program/ Project	Name of Micro watersheds	Sponsoring agency	Objective	Estimated number of beneficiaries for year 2011-12 (Job card issued)
			Yamunanagar	year to unskilled labour and development of village.	
5	MGNREGA	Ibrahimpur	DRDA, Yamunanagar	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	17
6	MGNREGA	Pirthipur	DRDA, Yamunanagar	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	138
7	MGNREGA	Haidarpur	DRDA, Yamunanagar	To provide assured employment of 100 days in a year to unskilled labour and development of village.	136

The District Rural Development Agency has undertaken various schemes under watershed development programme and the status is presented in **Table 6**.

**Table 6: Previous Watershed Programme in the Project Area (if any)**

Watershed Area Development Treated/Sanctioned											
1	2	3		4				5		5	
S. No	Names of District	Total micro watersheds in the District		Deptt of Land Resources		Other Ministries/ Deptt.		Total watersheds covered		Net watersheds to be covered	
				Pre- IWMP projects		Any other watershed include settlement etc. project					
		No.	Area (ha)	No.	Area (ha)	No.	Area (ha)	No.	Area (ha)	No.	Area (ha)
1	Yamunanagar	230	175600	10	6158	82	66446	92	72604	138	102996

## **CHAPTER – 3**

### **BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT AREA**

#### **GEOGRAPHY AND GEOHYDROLOGY**

The Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI) falls in Chhachhrauli & Bilaspur Block of District Yamunanagar. The area is occupied by Indo- Gangetic alluvium plains and area is traversed and drained by seasonal streams namely Pathrala Nadi which merge in Yamuna River below Tajewala headworks. Physiographically, the area is divided by shivalik hills, piedmont plains, active flood plains, recent alluvial plains and old alluvial plains, that falls in the zone of “Dissected Rolling Plain”. The area of Watershed lies in between 30°25’30” to 30°20’30” north latitude and 77°25’30”to 77°27’30” east longitude with general elevation varies between 298 to 610 m (MSL) above mean sea level. Area experiences the second highest rainfall in the state about 80 percent of its annual rainfall is received in the month of June to September. Despite heavy rainfall in this area, water retention is very low. It is due to high surface run off and water is drained through the seasonal streams namely Upper Pathrala Nadi which flows to the east and causing erosion in the agriculture fields. The Contour and Drainage map is presented in Annexure II.

#### **3.1 LAND USE PATTERN**

The village wise land use pattern is tabulated in **Table-1**. Land use map is shown in Annexure-III.

**Table-1.Land use pattern of Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)**

S.No	Name of Micro watersheds with codes	Name of Villages	Treatable area of the village(ha)	Forest area (ha)	Land under agriculture use (ha)	Rain fed area (ha)	Permanent pastures (ha)	Wasteland	
								Cultivable	Non-Cultivable
1	Nagli Khol (2C5J3p3)	Fairly dense jungle & Bari Nagli	1176	558	186	186	-	3	429
2	Bari Nagli (2C5J3p2)								
3	Mohdinpur (2C5J3p4)	Mohdinpur	331	-	157	157	-	2	172
		Bari nagli part	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
4	Jaitpur (2C5J3p1)	Jaitpur	198	-	118	118	-	71	9
		Gohra bani	194	-	104	104	-	27	63
		Rajpur	113	-	68	68	-	15	30
		Forest	268	268	-	-	-	-	-
5	Ibrahimpur (2C5J3n3)	Ibrahimpur	273	-	226	226	-	11	36
		Forest	306	306	-	-	-	-	-
6	Pirhipur (2C5J3n1)	Pirhipur	232	-	192	192	-	-	40
		Jogiwara	124	-	114	114	-	-	10
		Chabutaron(part)	81	-	52	52	-	6	23
7	Haidarpur (2C5J3n2)	Taharpur kalan	413	-	327	327	-	-	86
		Haidarpur	92	-	52	52	-	9	31
		Taharpur khurd	78	-	65	65	-	-	13
		Forest	119	119	-	-	-	-	-
		<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>4062</b>	<b>1315</b>	<b>1661</b>	<b>1661</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>942</b>

(Source: - Census 2001)

### 3.2 SOIL AND TOPOGRAPHY

The soils of Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI) are shallow to very deep, loamy sand skeletal to sandy loam skeletal and coarse loamy to fine loamy, typic and udic, ustorthent in upper area of Watershed and sandy to sandy clay loam, typic and udic ustocreptes, usti pssammant and usti fluvent in lower area of Watershed. The topography of the area ranges from gentle foothills rolling slopes to steep hilly track in upper area of Watershed with level to nearly level sloping land in lower area of Watershed. Soils are subject to highly susceptible, severe to very severe water erosion in upper area and along river and streams, moderate to severe erosion in lower area. The slope ranges from 1 to 50% and above most of the area of micro watersheds falls under gentle slopes to steep slope on dissected foothills and hilly zone. Slope map is presented in Annexure IV.

**Table 2. Soil type and Topography**

S.No	Name of Micro Watershed	Code	Geographical area (ha)	Major Soil types	Topography
				Type	
1.	Nagli Khol	2C5J3p3	7129	Sandy loam, loam and sandy clay loam with coarse fragments	Hilly
2.	Bari Nagli	2C5J3p2		Do	Hilly and piedmont plain
3.	Mohdinpur	2C5J3p4		Do	Do
4.	Jaitpur	2C5J3p1		Loamy sand, sandy loam, loam and sandy clay loam	Nearly level to gentle slope
5.	Ibrahimpur	2C5J3n3		Do	Do
6.	Pirthipur	2C5J3n1		Do	Do
7.	Haidarpur	2C5J3n2		Do	Do
			<b>7129</b>		

Source: - Department of Agriculture, Haryana

### 3.2.1 FLOOD AND DROUGHT CONDITION

There has been incidence of flood and drought as well in watershed villages. The data collected from the revenue department reveals the instances of flood on an average once in five years and drought once in 10 years. The flood and drought resulted in low to very low yields of the crops.

**Table 3. Flood and Drought condition**

<b>S.No</b>	<b>Name of Micro-watersheds</b>	<b>Flood Incidence</b>	<b>Drought Incidence</b>
1.	Nagli Khol	One time in five years	One time in 10 years
2.	Bari Nagli	One time in five years	One time in 10 years
3.	Mohdinpur	One time in five years	One time in 10 years
4.	Jaitpur	One time in five years	One time in 10 years
5.	Ibrahimpur	One time in five years	One time in 10 years
6	Pirhipur	One time in five years	One time in 10 years
7	Haidarpur	One time in five years	One time in 10 years

### 3.3 SOILS

#### 3.3.1 Soil Erosion

In the identified seven micro watersheds, it is observed that due to heavy rains, heavy loss of soil has occurred along river bank and hilly track. This results in degradation of agricultural land, deforestation and low organic matter contents. The erosion materials brought by the chaos are deposited in the sloping piedmont and are deposited along the rivulets make recent alluvium plains. The repeated deposition of coarse sediments render these areas comparatively low in agriculture production. Average annual rainfall of the area falling under these watersheds gets washed away in the form of runoff



which also carries valuable top soil (sheet). Soil erosion in respect of sheet is quite high. Majority of the watershed Community are dependent on agriculture. Farmers suffers due to area being rain fed and due to excess rains in the region, resulting in further deterioration of socio economic conditions of community. On an average soil loss is estimated 15/35 tonnes /ha/year. The type of erosion, area, runoff and average soil loss in the Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI) is exhibited in **Table 4.**

**Table 4:- Soil Erosion**

Cause of erosion	Types of erosion	Area affected (ha)	Average soil loss (Tonnes/ha/year)
Water Erosion			
Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)			15- 35 tonnes per ha/year
		1825	
		1645	
		592	
	<b>Sub- Total</b>	<b>4062</b>	

Department of Agriculture, Haryana)

### 3.3.2 Soil Salinity/Alkalinity (Salinity ingress):

(Source:

Based on the soil samples analysis and reports the village wise distribution of PH is tabulated and shown in Table. 5.

**Table 5 Soil pH and Salinity**

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Soil pH	salinity / alkalinity
1.	Nagli Khol	Neutral	Nil
2.	Bari Nagli	Neutral	Nil

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Name of Micro Watersheds</b>	<b>Soil pH</b>	<b>salinity / alkalinity</b>
3.	Mohdinpur	Neutral	Nil
4.	Jaitpur	Neutral	Nil
5.	Ibrahimpur	Neutral	Nil
6	Pirthipur	Neutral	Nil
7	Haidarpur	Neutral	Nil

### **3.3.3 Soil Classification**

Major soils associations' fall in the watershed are nine soil associations unit. The detail description of all soil associations are given below. The Soil map is presented in Annexure V.

#### **Soil Mapping Unit- 11 (Mirpur- Taharpur- Nadnah Soil Association)**

The Mirpur soil series is dominated in this soil association and associated soil series 1<sup>st</sup> is Taharpur soil series and 2<sup>nd</sup> Nadnah soil series. The dominant soil series is well to excessive drained, Coarse Loamy Mixed hyperthermic Typic Ustorthents, 1<sup>st</sup> associate soil series is well drained, Fine Loamy Mixed hyperthermic Dystric Haplustepts and 2<sup>nd</sup> associated soil series is well drained, Fine loamy Mixed hyperthermic Typic Haplustepts. Mirpur soil series is sandy loam in texture, non- calcareous, very deep, pH 6.90-7.68, reddish brown in colour (5YR 4/3-5YR 4/4) developed on River sediments/Moderate to Strongly sloping denuded mounds, Taharpur soil series is Sandy clay loam to sandy Loam in texture, Slight to Strong calcareous, very deep, pH 6.56-7.40, very dark brown to dark yellowish brown in colour (10YR 2/2- 10YR 4/4) developed on Moderate to strong sloping foot hill slopes/Piedmonts over Alluvio-colluvial with Stones,

Gravels and boulders with some soil in clayey matrix in C- horizon and Nadnah soil series is Clay loam to Silt clay loam to Silt clay in texture, violent calcareous, deep, pH 8.18-8.81, yellowish brown in colour(10YR 5/6) developed on Denuded foot slopes with slope/Lower Shiwalik rolling with Few fine calcium concretions in B21& Cr horizons.

#### **Soil Mapping Unit- 12 (Thana- Baral- Chikan Soil Association)**

The Thana soil series is dominated in this soil association and associated soil series 1<sup>st</sup> is Baral soil series and 2<sup>nd</sup> Chikan soil series. The dominant soil series is well drained, Loamy-skeletal Mixed hyperthermic Typic Ustorthents, 1<sup>st</sup> associate soil series is well drained, Loamy-skeletal Mixed hyperthermic Dystric Haplustepts and 2<sup>nd</sup> associated soil series is well drained, Loamy Mixed hyperthermic Typic Haplustepts. Thana soil series is clay loam in texture, violent calcareous, deep, pH 8.05-8.40, dark brown to reddish brown in colour (7.5YR 4/3-7.5YR 3/4, 5YR 4/3) developed on Steep to Very steep sloping/Hill side slopes with Stones and boulders in Cr horizon, Baral soil series is Sandy clay loam in texture, non calcareous, deep, pH 5.91-6.56, dark brown in colour (7.5YR 3/2-7.5YR 4/4) developed on Sandstone material/Steep to very Steeply sloping hill side slopes with Semi weathered and weathered materials of sandstone in Cr- horizon and Chikan soil series is sandy clay loam in texture, strong to violent calcareous, deep, pH 7.76-7.95, dark grayish brown to dark brown in colour(10YR 4/2- 10YR 3/3) developed on Steep to Very steep sloping/Hill side slopes with Semi weathered and weathered materials of sandstone in Cr horizons.

#### **Soil Mapping Unit- 14 (Nanakpur- Bhud Soil Association)**

The Nanakpur soil series is dominated series in this soil association and Bhud is associated series. The dominant soil series is well drained, loamy, mixed hyperthermic, dystric haplustepts and associate soil series Bhud is well drained, fine loamy, mixed hyperthermic, typic haplustepts. The dominant soil series is sandy clay loam soil in texture, non calcareous, deep, pH 5.67- 6.67, dark reddish brown to reddish brown in colour (5YR 3/3- 5YR 4/3) developed on moderate to gentle

sloping piedmont plains over colluvio alluvial material and associate soil series have sandy clay loam in texture, non calcareous, deep, pH 6.39- 6.83, dark brown to dark yellowish brown in colour (10YR 4/3- 10YR 3/4) developed on colluvio alluvial deposits/ gentle to moderate slopping/ piedmont plains.

#### **Soil Mapping Unit- 15 (Garhi Soil Association)**

The Garhi soil series is well drained Loamy Mixed hyperthermic Dystric Haplustepts, sandy clay loam in texture, slight calcareous, deep, pH 5.47-5.72, dark brown to dark yellowish brown in colour (10YR 4/3- 10YR 3/4) developed on Colluvio-alluvial deposits/Gently sloping Piedmont plains with Stones and pebbles in Cr horizon.

#### **Soil Mapping Unit- 16 (Rampur- Tograshu- Haripur Soil Association)**

The Rampur soil series is dominated in this soil association associated soil series 1<sup>st</sup> is Tograshu soil series and 2<sup>nd</sup> Haripur soil series. The dominant soil series is well drained, loamy, mixed hyperthermic typic ustorthents, 1<sup>st</sup> associate soil series is well drained, loamy- skeletal, mixed hyperthermic dystric haplustepts and 2<sup>nd</sup> associate soil series is well drained, loamy, mixed hyperthermic typic haplustepts. The dominant soils is sandy clay loam in texture, non calcareous, deep, pH 6.57- 6.95, brown to dark brown in colour (7.5YR 5/3-7.5YR 3/3) developed on colluvio- alluvio material on gently to sloping piedmont plains with stones and pebbles in C horizon, 1<sup>st</sup> associated soil series have sandy clay loam in texture, non calcareous, deep, pH 6.15- 6.23, dark brown to reddish brown in colour (7.5YR 3/4, 5YR 4/4) developed on gently sloping piedmont plains over colluvio alluvial material with sandstone in C horizon and 2<sup>nd</sup> associate soil series have sandy clay loam in texture, non calcareous, deep, pH 6.29- 6.88, brown to dark brown in colour (7.5YR 5/3-7.5YR 3/3) developed on Colluvio- alluvial deposits/ gently sloping piedmont plains/ forested with gravels in C horizon.

#### **Soil Mapping Unit- 17 (Budha Khera- Malikpur- Khora Soil Association)**

The Budha Khera soil series is dominated in this soil association and associated soil series 1<sup>st</sup> is Malikpur soil series and 2<sup>nd</sup> Khora soil series. The dominant soils are well drained, fine loamy, mixed hyperthermic, typic haplustepts, 1<sup>st</sup> associate soil series is slightly calcareous, moderately well drained, silty clay, fine mixed hyperthermic, sodic, typic haplustepts and 2<sup>nd</sup> associated soil series is well drained, loamy- skeletal, mixed hyperthermic, dystric haplustepts. Budha Khera soil series is sandy clay loam to sandy loam in texture, slightly calcareous, very deep, pH 6.25- 6.83, dark yellowish brown to dark brown in colour (10YR 3/4- 10YR 4/6, 7.5YR 4/4) developed on dissected alluvial plains over recent to sub- recent alluvium, Malikpur soil series is silty clay in texture, non calcareous, very deep, pH 7.38- 9.28, dark brown to brown in colour (10YR 4/3- 10YR 5/3) developed on gently to moderate slopping dissected alluvium plains over alluvial material and Khora soil series is Loamy sand to Sandy clay loam to Sandy clay in texture, non calcareous, very deep, pH 6.90- 7.40, brown to reddish brown in colour (7.5YR 5/4- 5YR 5/4) developed on colluvio- alluvial material/ gentle moderate slopes/ dissected piedmont plains.

#### **Soil Mapping Unit- 26 (Jasar- Beri - Shambhili Soil Association)**

The Jasar soil series is dominated in this soil association and associated soil series 1<sup>st</sup> is Beri soil series and 2<sup>nd</sup> Shambhili soil series. The dominant soil series is moderately well drained, fine, mixed hyperthermic, typic haplustepts, 1<sup>st</sup> associate soil series is moderately well to imperfect drained, fine loamy, calcareous, mixed hyperthermic, typic haplustepts and 2<sup>nd</sup> associate soil series is moderately well drained, fine loamy, mixed hyperthermic, petrocalcic, calciustepts. The dominant soil series have clay loam to clay in texture, strong to very strong calcareous, very deep, pH 8.05- 8.24, dark brown to dark yellowish brown in colour (10YR 4/3- 10YR 3/4) developed on level to very gentle sloping/ alluvial plains over alluvium. The calcium concretions are found in lower horizons, 1<sup>st</sup> associated soil series have loam to silty loam in texture, moderate to very strong calcareous, very deep, pH 7.90- 8.50, dark brown, light brownish gray to light yellowish brown in colour (10YR 4/3, 2.5Y 6/3- 2.5Y 6/4) developed on basin/ slight depressions/ fluvio aeolian plain over

alluvium and 2<sup>nd</sup> associate soil series have clay loam to sandy clay loam in texture, strong to very strong calcareous, very deep, pH 8.48- 9.50, dark grayish brown to dark yellowish brown in colour (10YR 4/2- 10YR 4/4) developed on level to very gentle sloping/ alluvial plains over alluvium.

### **Soil Mapping Unit- 31 (Sitaura- Beri Soil Association)**

The Sitaura soil series is dominated in this soil association and associated soil series 1<sup>st</sup> is Beri soil series. The dominant soil series is well to imperfect drained, fine loamy, mixed hyperthermic, fluviatic haplustepts, 1<sup>st</sup> associate soil series is moderately well to imperfect drained, fine loamy, calcareous, mixed hyperthermic, typic haplustepts. The dominant soil series is clay loam to sandy clay loam in texture, strong to violent calcareousness, very deep, pH 8.44- 8.78, dark grayish brown to light yellowish brown in colour (10YR 4/2- 10YR 6/4) developed on level to very gentle sloping flood/ alluvial plain over alluvium, 1<sup>st</sup> associated soil series have loam to silty loam in texture, moderate to very strong calcareous, very deep, pH 7.90- 8.50, dark brown, light brownish gray to light yellowish brown in colour (10YR 4/3, 2.5Y 6/3- 2.5Y 6/4) developed on basin/ slight depressions/ fluvio aeolian plain over alluvium.

### **Soil Mapping Unit- 34 (Jhundpur- Sitaura- Morkhi Soil Association)**

The Jhundpur soil series is dominated in this soil association associated soil series 1<sup>st</sup> is Sitaura soil series and 2<sup>nd</sup> Morkhi soil series. The dominant soil series is well drained coarse loamy, mixed hyperthermic, typic ustorthent 1<sup>st</sup> associate soil series is well to imperfect drained, fine loamy, mixed hyperthermic, fluviatic haplustepts and 2<sup>nd</sup> associate soil series is well drained coarse loamy, calcareous, mixed hyperthermic, typic haplustepts. The dominant soil series is sandy loam in textures, slightly calcareous, very deep, pH 7.58- 8.51, dark grayish brown to olive brown in colour (2.5Y 4/3- 2.5Y 4/4) developed on gentle sloping floods/ alluvial plains over recent and sub- recent alluvium, 1<sup>st</sup> The dominant soil series is clay loam to sandy clay loam in texture, strong to violent calcareousness, very deep, pH 8.44- 8.78, dark grayish brown to

light yellowish brown in colour (10YR 4/2- 10YR 6/4) developed on level to very gentle sloping flood/ alluvial plain over alluvium and 2<sup>nd</sup> associate soil series have sand to sandy loam in texture, slight to strong calcareous, very deep, pH 7.80-7.90, dark brown to Yellowish brown and grayish brown in colour (10YR 4/3-10YR 5/4, 2.5Y 5/2-2.5Y 5/3) developed on alluvial plains. The few fine hard calcium carbonate concretions found in C horizon of 2<sup>nd</sup> associated soil series.

**(Source: Received from HARSAC on 1: 50000 scale)**

### **3.3.4 Land Capability Classification**

It is an interpretative grouping of soils based on inherent soil characteristics, external land features and environmental factors that limit the use of land. As per land capability classification, class 1 to class IV land is suited to agriculture. Classes V to III are not suitable for agriculture. These are used for pastures, forestry, and wildlife and recreation purposes and other industrial & town strips. Depending upon the degree of limitation and the kind of problems involved in management of soils, the land capability sub classes were indicated by adding the following limitation symbols to the capability classes:

1. Erosion and runoff (e) including risk of erosion and great erosion damage.
2. Excess of water (w) including wetness, high water table, and problem of drainage.
3. Root zone limitation (s) including shallow depth, low water holding capacity, salinity or alkalinity/rockiness.
4. Climate limitation (c).

The soils of the selected Watersheds have been grouped into three subclasses. A brief description of each capability sub class is given as under and the **Land capability map is exhibited in Annexure-VI.**

#### **Land capability subclass III e2s2**

These soils are moderately deep to deep soils, light to coarse loamy texture located on slight to gentle slope. These soils are well drained, moderately permeable and moderate to severe erosion hazard. It includes total area **2130 Ha** of the Watershed.

Following recommendations are suggested for the economic use of this sub-class:

1. Land leveling should be done at 50% subsidy, because formers are not economically capable to bear the rate of land leveling.
2. Engineering measures like contour bunding should with others be under taken.
3. Agronomic measures, mainly strip cropping, soil & conservation measures mixed cropping and cover cropping are recommended.
4. Crate wire structure or Masonry structure should be constructed for rills and gullies control.

#### **Land capability subclass IV e3s3**

These soils are greatly, light to medium textured soils on very gently sloping lands. The water holding capacity is poor to very poor and the water erosion hazard is moderate to severe. It includes total area **525 Ha** of the Watershed.

Following recommendations are suggested for the economic use of this sub-class:

1. Special soil conservation measures should be adopted to check water erosion and gully control; soils should be provided permanent vegetation (Aforestation) cover to check further deterioration of soils.
2. Soils would be occasionally cultivated in suitable crop rotation with indigenous grasses.
3. Crate wire structure or Masonry structure should be constructed.
4. Land leveling should be done at 50% subsidy, because formers are not economically capable to bear the rate of land leveling.



5. Construct guide bandh along river banks to control river current and protect banks.

### **Land capability subclass VI es**

These soils are deep, gravely/ bouldry light to medium textured soils on gently to steeply slopping severely eroded lands. The water holding capacity is very poor and the water erosion hazard is severe. It includes total area **230Ha** of the Watershed.

Following recommendations are suggested for the economic use of this sub-class:

1. Specific and Special soil conservation measures should be adopted to check water erosion and gully control; soils should be provided permanent vegetation (Aforestation) cover to check further deterioration of soils.
2. Soils would be suitable for pasture development, forestation, recreation activity and other major water conservation structures (Water harvesting structure, silt detention dam, etc).

### **Land capability subclass VII es**

These soils are shallow to deep, gravely/ bouldry/ rocky, light to medium textured soils on steep to very steep slopping hilly tracks. The water holding/ retention is poor to negligible and the water erosion hazard is severe to highly severe. It includes total area **1177Ha** of the Watershed.

Following recommendations are suggested for the economic use of this sub-class:

1. Specific and special soil conservation measures should be adopted to check water erosion and gully control; soils should be provided permanent vegetation (Aforestation) cover to check further deterioration of soils.
2. Soils would be suitable for forestation; recreation activity and other major water conservation structures (Water harvesting structure, silt detention dam, etc).

### 3.3.5 Climatic Conditions

The average rainfall of this area is 1002 mm (during the past 12 year's data). The highest rainfall is 1538mm during the year 2010. The uneven rainfall distribution is leading to run off soil every year to the steams, rivulets and depressed area of the Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI). The year wise rainfall from 2000 to 2011 is presented in Table 6.

**Table-6. Rainfall during the years 2000-11**

S.No.	Year	Rainfall (in mm)
1	2000	1237
2	2001	832
3	2002	1143
4	2003	964
5	2004	778
6	2005	1005
7	2006	662
8	2007	890
9	2008	1105
10	2009	942
11	2010	1538
12	2011	924

Source: - Ground Water Cell, Yamunanagar (Dadupur station)

In general, May is the hottest month with mean daily maximum temperature of 40.8° C and record 6.8 to 7.1° C as minimum. After the withdrawl of monsoon, day temperature continuous to remain as high during monsoon but night becomes cooler. After October, there is decrease in both the day and night temperature and decrease is more rapid after

mid Nov. January is the coldest month when the mean temperature varying from 6.8 to 7.1°C. **(Source: State Water Plan)**

### 3.3.6 Physiography and Reliefs

Physiographically, the area is divided into two parts from North to South –East. The general Elevation in the area belongs to Piedmont Rolling Plains, Recent Alluvial Plains and active flood plains on 298 to 610 m above mean sea level. Area experiences second highest rainfall and water is drained through seasonal streams namely: Upper Pathrala Nadi which flows north to south east and ultimately merge in Yamuna River. Upper area is badly dissected by these drainage pattern and mining activities. The elevation range and percentage slope distribution has been presented in **Table 7.**

**Table 7. Physiography and Relief**

Project Name	Elevation ( MSL)	Slope Range (%)	Major Streams
Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)	298 to 610m	>50% (875 ha) 15-50% (330 ha) 5-15% (670 ha) 3-5% (1152 ha) <3% (1035 ha)	Pathrala nadi and its tributaries

### 3.4 LAND AND AGRICULTURE

The land holding pattern of the villages under Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI) shows that the majority of the land holding is below 3.0 ha. The lack of irrigation source has forced the majority of the farmers of northern part of Watershed to migrate from village to ensure their livelihood and availability of fodder. The nearest Industrial Area is Kala amb, Ponta Sahib, Jagadhari and Yamunanagar. This affects directly the demographic profile of the village.

The major crops maize, green fodder and pulses in Kharif under rain fed conditions and paddy, sugarcane and seasonal vegetables in the small area where irrigation potential exists. The major crops during Rabi wheat, green fodder and seasonal vegetables, gram, oilseed in rain fed and irrigated conditions. The soil and water conservation measures such as Engineering like small check dam, earthen gully plugs, crate wire structures, drop structures and rainwater harvesting. The project would help the farmers to take crop production which will enhance the net production value. The following plants are commonly observed in the Project Area. The natural vegetation in the project area is exhibited in **Table 8**.

**Table 8. Natural Vegetation**

<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Trees</b>	<b>Fruits</b>	<b>Grasses and Shurbs</b>
1	Khair	Mango	Bhabbar
2	Black Siris	Ber	Lantana
3	Simbal	Lemon	Mehander
4	Shisham	Galgal	Narkul
5	Safeda	guava	Dob
6	Toon	Jamun	Curry Patta

#### **3.4.1 Land Ownership Details:-**

The Caste wise land owned (in ha) is Tabulated in Table 9:

**Table-9:- Land Ownership Details**

GENERAL	OBC	SC	ST	Total owners
583	846	188	-	1617

**3.4.2 AGRICULTURE/PATTERN:-**

**Table 10. Agriculture/ Pattern:**

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Village	Net Sown area (ha)	
			One time	Two times
1.	Nagli Khol	Fairly dense jungle & Bari Nagli	147	117
2	Bari Nagli			
3	Mohdinpur	Mohdinpur	118	102
		Bari nagli part	-	-
4	Jaitpur	Jaitpur	89	82
		Gohra bani	80	72
		Rajpur	50	45
		Forest	0	0
5	Ibrahimpur	Ibrahimpur	175	159
		Forest	0	0
6	Pirhipur	Pirhipur	144	134
		Jogiwara	87	78
		Chabutaron(part)	41	35
7	Haidarpur	Taharpur kalan	253	225
		Haidarpur	39	35
		Taharpur khurd	48	41
		Forest	0	0
			<b>1271</b>	<b>1125</b>

(Source: Department of Agriculture, Haryana)

**3.4.3 Irrigation**

### Lack of Assured Irrigation Facilities

The state of Haryana has more than 84% of its sown area as irrigated, with canals and tube wells being the primary sources. In Upper Pathrala nadi Watershed (IWMP VI) around 65% of the sown area is rain fed. The present source of irrigation in the Watershed has been tabulated in **Table 11**.

**Table 11. Irrigation Pattern.**

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Village	Source 1: Canal		Source 2: Check Dam/ pond/ natural source/Others		Source 3: Well		Source 4: Groundwater (Tube wells)		Total area
			Availability months	Net area (ha)	Availability months	Net area (ha)	Availability months	Net area (ha)	Availability months	Net area (ha)	
1.	Nagli Khol	Fairly dense jungle	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	Bari Nagli	-	-	-	-	-	-	July to June	17	17
3	Mohdinpur	Mohdinpur	-	-	July to March	11	-	-	July to June	16	27
4	Jaitpur	Jaitpur	-	-	July to March	66	-	-	July to June	77	143
		Gohra bani	-	-	July to March	5	-	-	July to June	88	93
		Rajpur	-	-	-	-	-	-	July to June	62	62
5	Ibrahimpur	Ibrahimpur	-	-	July to March	59	-	-	July to June	59	118
6	Pirthipur	Pirthipur	-	-	-	-	-	-	July to June	200	200

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Village	Source 1: Canal		Source 2: Check Dam/ pond/ natural source/Others		Source 3: Well		Source 4: Groundwater (Tube wells)		Total area
			Availability months	Net area (ha)	Availability months	Net area (ha)	Availability months	Net area (ha)	Availability months	Net area (ha)	
		Jogiwala	-	-	-	-	-	-	July to June	116	116
		Chabutaron (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	July to June	49	49
7	Haidarpur	Taharpur kalan	-	-	-	-	-	-	July to June	48	48
		Haidarpur	-	-	-	-	-	-	July to June	49	49
		Taharpur khurd	-	-	-	-	-	-	July to June	55	55
		<b>Total</b>				<b>141</b>				<b>836</b>	<b>977</b>

(Source – Census 2001)

### 3.4.4 CROPPING PATTERN (crop details)

## Cropping Pattern

The village wise area production and productivity of each crop is tabulated in **Table 12 A and 12 B** (Rabi and Kharif).

**Table 12 A. Crop Details (Rabi)**

Name of Micro Watersheds	Village	Rabi crops(Wheat)				(Oilseed)				(Pulses)		
		Area (ha)	Production (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Average	Use of fertilizer	Area (ha)	Production (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Average	Use of fertilizer	Area (ha)	Production (000'kg)	Productivity (kg/ha) Average
Nagli Khol	Fairly dense jungle	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bari Nagli	Bari Nagli	66	291390	4415	Yes	12	12600	1050	Yes	6	6750	1125
Mohdinpur	Mohdinpur	55	256520	4664	Yes	5	4750	950	Yes	4	4200	1050
Jaitpur	Jaitpur	49	228536	4664	Yes	5	5750	1150	Yes	3	3360	1120
	Gohra bani	45	209880	4664	Yes	4	4500	1125	Yes	2	2280	1140
	Rajpur	24	111936	4664	Yes	2	2160	1080	Yes	2	2290	1145
Ibrahimpur	Ibrahimpur	91	424424	4664	Yes	15	14775	985	Yes	11	12375	1125
Pirthipur	Pirthipur	82	382448	4664	Yes	9	8730	970	Yes	7	7350	1050
	Jogiwara	44	205216	4664	Yes	8	8640	1080	Yes	15	16200	1080
	Chabutaron(part)	21	97944	4664	Yes	2	2100	1050	Yes	1	1085	1085
Haidarpur	Taharpur kalan	151	704264	4664	Yes	17	17340	1020	Yes	12	12660	1055
	Haidarpur	22	102608	4664	Yes	4	4000	1000	Yes	2	2156	1078
	Taharpur khurd	25	116600	4664	Yes	2	2200	1100	Yes	1	1092	1092
<b>Total</b>		675				<b>85</b>				<b>66</b>		



**Table 12 B. Crop Details (Kharif)**

Name of Micro Watersheds	Village	Kharif crops (Paddy)				(Maize)				(Sugarcane)				(Pulses)		
		Area (ha)	Produc. (000'kg)	Produc. (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of Fertilizer	Area (ha)	Produc. (000'kg)	Produc. (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of Fertilizer	Area (ha)	Produc. (000'kg)	Produc. (kg/ha) Avg.	Use of Fertilizer	Area (ha)	Produc. (000'kg)	Produc. (kg/ha) Avg.
Nagli Khol	Fairly dense jungle	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bari Nagli	Bari Nagli	6	18690	3115	Yes	82	118900	1450	Yes	4	255128	63782	Yes	20	22100	1105
Mohdinpur	Mohdinpur	8	25208	3151	Yes	75	106875	1425	Yes	6	360648	60108	Yes	12	12600	1050
Jaitpur	Jaitpur	11	34661	3151	Yes	35	53375	1525	Yes	10	601080	60108	Yes	6	5880	980
	Gohra bani	15	47265	3151	Yes	18	25650	1425	Yes	12	721296	60108	Yes	3	3240	1080
	Rajpur	8	25208	3151	Yes	12	18600	1550	Yes	6	360648	60108	Yes	2	2140	1070
Ibrahimpur	Ibrahimpur	25	78775	3151	Yes	55	79750	1450	Yes	21	1262268	60108	Yes	11	11825	1075
Pirhipur	Pirhipur	23	72473	3151	Yes	16	23600	1475	Yes	35	2103780	60108	Yes	8	8640	1080
	Jogiwala	16	50416	3151	Yes	12	18600	1550	Yes	27	1622916	60108	Yes	7	7280	1040
	Chabutaron(part)	12	37812	3151	Yes	4	5920	1480	Yes	15	901620	60108	Yes	1	1150	1150
Haidarpur	Taharpur kalan	35	110285	3151	Yes	55	82225	1495	Yes	40	2404320	60108	Yes	12	13440	1120
	Haidarpur	4	12604	3151	Yes	10	14750	1475	Yes	10	601080	60108	Yes	2	2350	1175
	Taharpur khurd	7	22057	3151	Yes	10	14000	1400	Yes	14	841512	60108	Yes	3	3300	1100
<b>Total</b>		170				<b>384</b>				<b>200</b>				<b>87</b>		

### 3.4.5 Livestock

Farmers in these villages have already been keeping the milch animals; mostly buffalos. The milk production of these animals (local breeds) is low (**Table 13**). There is a need for the improvement of the local breed through artificial insemination, proper vaccination and nutritive feed. Introduction of cross breed cows and murrah buffalo with better milk production will popularize dairy farming in the area. Also, the farmyard manure procured from these animals will help improve the soil health.

**Table 13.** Village wise distribution of milk production in Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Village	Buffalo(Lit/ day/annum ) for 6 months	Cow(lit/ day/annum) for 6 months	Sheep	Goat	Camel
1.	Nagli Khol	Fairly dense jungle	-	-	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	Bari Nagli	621/4347/782460(Lit/ day/annum )	436/2180/392400(Lit/ day/annum )	-	304	-
3	Mohdinpur	Mohdinpur	145/1160/208800(Lit/ day/annum )	335/2010/361800(Lit/ day/annum )	-	197	-
4	Jaitpur	Jaitpur	439/3293/592650(Lit/ day/annum )	178/979/176220(Lit/ day/annum )	-	226	-
		Gohra bani	64/544/97920(Lit/ day/annum )	42/273/49140(Lit/ day/annum )	-	-	-
		Rajpur	261/1957/352350(Lit/ day/annum )	116/638/114840(Lit/ day/annum )	-	-	-
5	Ibrahimpur	Ibrahimpur	507/4309/775710(Lit/ day/annum )	-	-	141	-
6	Pirthipur	Pirthipur	303/2121/381780(Lit/ day/annum )	155/775/139500(Lit/ day/annum )	-	18	-
		Jogiwara	191/1528/275040(Lit/ day/annum )	82/492/88560(Lit/ day/annum )	-	1	-
		Chabutaron(part)	72/540/97200(Lit/ day/annum )	63/347/62370(Lit/ day/annum )	-	-	-
7	Haidarpur	Taharpur kalan	890/7565/1361700(Lit/ day/annum )	315/2048/368550(Lit/ day/annum )	-	281	-
		Haidarpur	137/959/172620(Lit/ day/annum )	88/440/79200(Lit/ day/annum )	-	5	-
		Taharpur khurd	98/784/141120(Lit/ day/annum )	186/1116/200880(Lit/ day/annum )	-	-	-

(Source: Animal Husbandry, Yamunanagar)

### 3.4.6 Ground Water Concern

**a) Depth to Water**

The study of ground water hydrology focuses the occurrence and distribution of movement of water below the surface. The ground water characteristics of the small streams falling in the watershed reveal both influent and effluent behavior within the watershed.

The depth to water table of the villages falling in Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI) has been collected from the Ground Water Cell data where the water levels of hydro- graph stations are observed during pre and post monsoon period. The depth to water table of the villages has been observed during the survey from time to time. The water level data of the villages falling under Watershed has been tabulated in **Table 14**.

**Table 14. Village wise depth to water level range in Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)**

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Name of Micro Watersheds</b>	<b>Village</b>	<b>Average Water (m) Table June 2001-06</b>	<b>Average Water (m) Table June 2007-12</b>
1.	Nagli Khol	Fairly dense jungle	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	Bari Nagli	19.5	20.5
3	Mohdinpur	Mohdinpur	-	-
4	Jaitpur	Jaitpur	7.00	8.00
		Gohra bani	6.50	7.50
		Rajpur	6.50	7.50
5	Ibrahimpur	Ibrahimpur	5.00	6.00
6	Pirthipur	Pirthipur	5.50	6.50
		Jogiwala	-	-
		Chabutaron(part)	10.00	11.00
		Taharpur kalan	3.00	4.00
7	Haidarpur	Haidarpur	8.50	10.00
		Taharpur khurd	7.50	9.50

Depth to water level map has been prepared and presented in the Annexure VII.A comparison of five year average depth (2001- 06 and 2007-12) which reveals that the area is under falling water table conditions. The present depth to water table ranges from 4.00 to 20.50 m.

The source of drinking water supply is through the tube wells installed in absence of canal network in the area. There is adequate availability of drinking water in the villages. Availability of potable water is almost throughout the year except scarcity during May and June.

#### **b) Water table fluctuation**

From the availability of the data from the period June 1999 to June 2012, it is observed that the water table is declining at the rate of 20 cm per year. This is due to the development of minor irrigation unit and absence of recharging. The seasonal fluctuation i.e. Pre and Post monsoon period is 0.00- 1.70 m. The pattern of ground water depletion is almost uniform in the project area.

#### **c) Rain water harvesting and Recharging**

The rapid growth of Rural and Urban population leads to escalation of water demand. Conservation of ground water is important because it takes years to be replenished. In areas where ground water is used, care must be taken to replenish with rainwater.

It has been proposed to make rainwater-harvesting by construction of water harvesting structures. The provision of this has been provided in the project proposal.

#### **The Modern Methods**

The modern methods of rainwater harvesting can be broadly categorized under two -

- (a) Collection and storage of rainwater for direct use, and
- (b) Groundwater recharging.

The combination of the above two methods would be implemented. The run off generated from the projected villages will not be allowed to run away. The rain water harvesting will involve three components (i) treatment of catchment area (ii) collection system (iii) the utilization.

The project proposals on rainwater harvesting/ recharging by utilizing existing ponds/ depressions and proposed water harvesting and recharging structures.

**3.4.7 DETAILS OF COMMON PROPERTY RESOURCES:** The department of panchayats has maintained the record of common property resources of area under various institutions. The data has been taken has been collected DDPO, Yamunanagar. The detail of common property resource in Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI) is tabulated in Table 15.

**Table 15. Detail of Common Property Resources**

Name of the Project	CPR Particulars	Total Area, ha (Area owned / in possession of)				Area available for treatment (ha)			
		Person	Govt.	PRI	Any Other	Pvt. Person	Govt.	PRI	Any Other
Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)	Waste land	-	-	942	-	-	-	942	-
	Pasture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Orchards	14	-	-	-	30	-	-	-
	Village wood lot	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

	<b>Forest</b>	-	1315	-	-	70	-	-	-
	<b>Village ponds, lake</b>	-	-	25	-	-	-	12	-
	<b>Community Buildings</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Weekly Mkts</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Permanent Mkts</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Temples/place of worship</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Others</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

### 3.5 SOCIO ECONOMIC AND LITERACY PROFILE

**Small and Scattered land holdings:** The area under the project is cultivated by small and marginal farmers. Almost 70 percent of the farmers fall under this category.

**Poor economic conditions of farmers:** The general economic condition of the farmers in this area is quite poor. They cannot use necessary agriculture inputs in a timely fashion due to financial constraints which adversely affects the crop yield.

Village wise household, total population and schedule caste population has been worked out from the census book and is tabulated in table 16. The literacy rate of micro watershed wise distribution is also exhibited in table 17.

### 3.5.1 Demographic Status

Table 16. Demographic Status// Population Pattern

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Village	Total no. of houses	Total Population			SC			
				Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	%age
1.	Nagli Khol	Fairly dense jungle	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	Bari Nagli	236	841	707	1548	76	55	131	8
3	Mohdinpur	Mohdinpur	141	462	386	848	3	2	5	1
4	Jaitpur	Jaitpur	156	506	486	992	-	-	-	-
		Gohra bani	23	72	62	134	4	3	7	5
		Rajpur	80	317	271	588	17	14	31	5
5	Ibrahimpur	Ibrahimpur	230	721	652	1373	2	1	3	0.2
6	Pirthipur	Pirthipur	171	529	460	989	224	184	408	41
		Jogiwara	58	177	167	344	11	13	24	7
		Chabutaron(part)	33	108	100	208	46	38	84	40
7	Haidarpur	Taharpur kalan	392	1185	1112	2297	184	158	342	15
		Haidarpur	68	242	180	422	50	43	93	22
		Taharpur khurd	29	113	90	203	-	-	-	-
			<b>1617</b>	<b>5273</b>	<b>4673</b>	<b>9946</b>	<b>617</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>1128</b>	<b>11</b>

Source: Census 2001, Yamunanagar

**Table 17. Village wise Literacy Rate in Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)**

S. No.	Name of the Micro watershed	Name of villages	Total population	Literacy					
				Total Literates	% age	Male	% age	Female	% age
1.	Nagli Khol	Fairly dense jungle	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	Bari Nagli	1548	268	17	219	82	49	18
3	Mohdinpur	Mohdinpur	848	155	18	110	71	45	29
4	Jaitpur	Jaitpur	992	61	6	45	74	16	26
		Gohra bani	134	32	24	23	72	9	28
		Rajpur	588	157	27	134	85	23	15
5	Ibrahimpur	Ibrahimpur	1373	109	8	98	90	11	10
6	Pirthipur	Pirthipur	989	454	46	283	62	171	38
		Jogiwara	344	231	67	131	57	100	43
		Chabutaron(part)	208	113	54	60	53	53	47
7	Haidarpur	Taharpur kalan	2297	789	34	492	62	297	38
		Haidarpur	422	173	41	126	73	47	27
		Taharpur khurd	203	116	57	73	63	43	37
			<b>9946</b>	<b>2658</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>1794</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>864</b>	<b>33</b>

(Source- District Census- 2001)

**Table 18. EMPLOYMENT STATUS**

S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Name of villages	Schedule caste		Cultivators		Agricultural labourers		Household industry workers		Other workers	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
1	Nagli Khol & BariNagli	Fairly dence jungle & Bari Nagli	76	55	82	1	203	1	2	2	45	76
2	Mohdinpur	Mohdinpur	3	2	74	2	104	1	1	-	30	-
3	Jaitpur	Jaitpur	-	-	44	1	161	2	-	-	-	-



S. No.	Name of Micro Watersheds	Name of villages	Schedule caste		Cultivators		Agricultural labourers		Household industry workers		Other workers	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
		Gohra bani	4	3	13	-	6	-	-	-	5	1
		Rajpur	17	14	55	-	19	-	-	-	16	2
		Forest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Ibrahimpur	Ibrahimpur	2	1	130	1	20	1	6	1	153	60
		Forest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Prithipur	Prithipur	224	184	73	5	100	30	15	10	64	32
		Jogiwara	11	13	62	7	5	-	4	-	13	6
5		Chabutaron(Part)	45	38	21	0	20	-	2	-	10	4
6	Haidarpur	Taharpur kalan	184	158	157	2	20	2	29	43	250	12
		Haidarpur	50	43	47	5	6	-	-	-	27	-
		Taharpur khurd	-	-	42	-	17	-	-	-	3	2
		Forest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		<b>Total</b>	<b>616</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>681</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>616</b>	<b>195</b>

Source: Census 2001

### 3.5.2 MIGRATION PATTERN

The major reason for migration is lack of employment opportunities, small uneconomical holding, and lack of fodder availability in summer etc. The village wise migration, period, reason for migration and probe able income generation has been compiled and shown in table 19.

**Table 19. - Migration Pattern in Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)**

S. No	Name of Micro Watersheds	Name of villages	Total Population	Migration			Migration by months			Main reason for migration	Income during migration/month/person
				Male	Female	Total	0-3 months	3-6 months	More than 6 months		
1.	Nagli Khol	Fairly dense jungle	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	Bari Nagli	1548	75	-	75	-	75	-	Lack of availability of fodder for cattle	1000-2500
3	Mohdinpur	Mohdinpur	848	42	-	42	-	42	-	Lack of availability of fodder for cattle	1000-2500
4	Jaitpur	Jaitpur	992	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Gohra bani	134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Rajpur	588	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Ibrahimpur	Ibrahimpur	1373	66	-	66	-	66	-	Lack of availability of fodder for cattle	1000-2500
6	Pirhipur	Pirhipur	989	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Jogiwara	344	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Chabutara (part)	208	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Haidarpur	Taharpur kalan	2297	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Haidarpur	422	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Taharpur khurd	203	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Source: Baseline Survey

**POVERTY:** Most of the residents are very poor; having poverty had been mostly accepted as inevitable as traditional modes of production were insufficient to give an entire population a comfortable standard of living. The distribution of the BPL and their percentage is presented in table 20.

**Table 20. BPL Pattern**

S.No.	Name of Micro watersheds	Name of villages	Total houses	Total Household-BPL	% of BPL HH
1.	Nagli Khol	Fairly dense jungle	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	Bari Nagli	236	151	64
3	Mohdinpur	Mohdinpur	141	105	74
4	Jaitpur	Jaitpur	156	13	8
		Gohra bani	23	15	65
		Rajpur	80	45	56
5	Ibrahimpur	Ibrahimpur	230	72	31
6	Pirhipur	Pirhipur	171	98	57
		Jogiwala	58	12	21
		Chabutaron(part)	33	25	76
7	Haidarpur	Taharpur kalan	392	184	47
		Haidarpur	68	24	35
		Taharpur khurd	29	4	14
				<b>748</b>	<b>46</b>

(Source: District Administration Yamunanagar, Haryana)

## INFRASTRUCTURE DETAILS

All the villages are well connected by pucca road and primary or middle school exists in all villages. Health facility is available in villages or nearby Health Centers. The village wise details of infrastructure are shown in table 21 and the facilities/ household assets in the villages under Watershed is shown in **Table 22**.

**Table 21. Village Infrastructure**

S. No.	Name of Micro watersheds	Name of villages	Bank Y/N	Post office Y/N	School Primary/ High/ Sr.Sec	Milk Collection Centre Y/N	Pucca Road to Village Y/N	Health Facility Govt/Private Y/N	Veterinary facility Y/N
1.	Nagli Khol	Fairly dense jungle	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	Bari Nagli	N	N	Middle School	N	Y	N	N
3	Mohdinpur	Mohdinpur	N	N	Middle School	N	Y	N	Y
4	Jaitpur	Jaitpur	N	N	Middle School	N	Y	N	N
		Gohra bani	N	N	Nil	N	Y	N	N
		Rajpur	N	N	Middle School	N	Y	N	N
5	Ibrahimpur	Ibrahimpur	N	N	High School	N	Y	N	N
6	Pirthipur	Pirthipur	N	N	Middle School	N	Y	N	N
		Jogiwara	N	N	Nil	N	Y	N	N
		Chabutaron(p art)	N	N	Middle School	N	Y	N	N
7	Haidarpur	Taharpur kalan	N	N	High School	N	Y	N	N
		Haidarpur	N	N	Middle School	N	Y	N	N
		Taharpur khurd	N	N	Nil	N	Y	N	N

Source: District Administration, Yamunanagar)

## FACILITIES/ HOUSEHOLD ASSETS

Table 22. Facilities/ Household assets in Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)

S. No.	Name of micro water sheds	Name of villages	Total no. of Houses	HHs with Safe latrines	HHs with phones		HHs with vehicles		HHs with TV sets	HHs with cooking gas	HHs with drinking water	HHs with fridge
					Landline	Mobile	2 wheelers	4 wheelers				
1.	Nagli Khol	Fairly dense jungle	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	Bari Nagli	236	21	16	217	153	19	19	15	236	9
3	Mohdinpur	Mohdinpur	141	13	10	130	92	11	11	9	141	5
4	Jaitpur	Jaitpur	156	14	11	143	101	12	12	10	156	6
		Gohra bani	23	2	2	21	15	2	2	1	23	1
		Rajpur	80	7	6	74	52	6	6	5	80	3
5	Ibrahimpur	Ibrahimpur	230	21	16	212	149	18	18	15	230	
6	Pirthipur	Pirthipur	171	15	12	157	111	14	14	11	171	7
		Jogiwala	58	5	4	53	38	5	5	4	58	2
		Chabutaron(part)	33	3	2	30	21	3	3	2	33	1
7	Haidarpur	Taharpur kalan	392	35	27	361	255	31	31	25	392	15
		Haidarpur	68	6	5	62	44	5	5	4	68	3
		Taharpur khurd	29	3	2	27	19	2	2	2	29	1

Source: Baseline Survey

**3.5.3 LIVELIHOOD PATTERN:** The livelihood from agriculture, animal husbandry, casual labour and others in the micro watershed (village wise) shown in table 23. There is no major income from the common property resource to the individuals.

**Table 23. Per capita (Household) income Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)**

S. No.	Name of micro watersheds	Name of villages	Agriculture in Rs. P.A	Animal Husbandry in Rs. P.A	Casual labour in Rs. P.A	Others in Rs. P.A	Total in Rs.
1.	Nagli Khol	Fairly dense jungle	-	-	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	Bari Nagli	25000	20000	6000	5000	56000
3	Mohdinpur	Mohdinpur	22000	18200	5500	4300	50000
4	Jaitpur	Jaitpur	22500	18600	5800	4400	51300
		Gohra bani	21600	18400	5400	4300	49700
		Rajpur	20500	17400	4900	5200	48000
5	Ibrahimpur	Ibrahimpur	26500	24200	6200	5500	62400
6	Pirthipur	Pirthipur	25200	22300	5600	4800	57900
		Jogiwala	26000	23000	6000	5000	60000
		Chabutaron(part)	24600	22400	6000	5500	58500
7	Haidarpur	Taharpur kalan	25000	20000	6000	5000	56000
		Haidarpur	26400	22500	6600	5200	60700
		Taharpur khurd	20200	17800	5400	4200	47600

### 3.5.4 Comparative Status of crop Productivity

Three major crops namely Wheat, Maize and Paddy are sown in Watershed villages. Though main crops grown in the area are wheat and maize, Paddy is also cultivated in some of the villages where irrigation facilities are available through the privately owned tube wells. Compared to rest of the district and the state, the average yield of these crops is quite low.


**Table 24** exhibits the average yield of major crops in the watershed and comparisons have been made at block, district, and state and India level.

**Table 24. Average yield (kg/hectare) of crops in Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)**

Name of the Crop	India	State	District	Block	Watershed Villages
Wheat	4307	4624	3608	2945	1768
Maize	3519	2600	2979	2470	1412
Rice	3990	3044	3884	3679	2415

The Project area has low productivity because of the following reasons:

- Full dependence of monsoon.
- Low use of fertilizer per unit cropped area.
- Lack of finances for farmers.
- Lack of good quality of seeds and fertilizer.
- Lack of other facilities such as storage and marketing.



**Fig. 1 Average yield of major crops**



### **3.6 REASON FOR LOW PRODUCTIVITY**

- Moderate to severe erosion hazard
- Physical properties of the soils are light in texture and with boulders here and there.
- Low water holding capacity.
- Moderate to rapid permeability.
- Low organic carbon.
- Poor phosphorous and medium potash nutrients.
- Lack of assured irrigation facility.
- Acceptance of hybrid/ high yielding varieties are nil to negligible.
- Irregular and erratic rainfall: there is long span between two subsequent rainfalls in the area.
- Sudden change in climate of the area.
- Essential micro- nutrient deficiency in the soil.


## **CHAPTER - 4**

### **PROJECT MANAGEMENT AGENCIES**

#### **4.1 INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENT**

Institutions play a major role in managing the projects. Realizing the importance of Community Participation, Decentralized Participatory Approach has been adopted for Watershed Management. Following decentralization and to achieve the objectives, there is a dire need for establishment of Institutional set up from National to Village Level (Micro Watershed Level), including cluster (Sub Watershed Level) and district level. These institutions need to be oriented from time to time and also empowered so that they take up the assigned tasks and work as per their responsibilities from the start of the program to effective management of Project. Considering the prevalent circumstances, these institutions should take decisions at their respective level. The involvement and participation of beneficiaries and other stakeholders is desired to be encouraged right from the planning stage.

The institutional set up is given below:



## **4.2 STATE LEVEL NODAL AGENCY, HARYANA**

State Level Nodal Agency (SLNA) is headed by Chief Executive Officer and supported by Technical Experts is fully functional. The regular meetings with PIA and other stake holders are held to provide necessary guidance to them as per the revised, common guidelines, 2011. The main functions of SLNA are:

- ❖ To implement the approved perspective and strategy plan of watershed development for the state.
- ❖ Acts as Nodal Agency at State Level for appraisal and clearance.
- ❖ To establish and maintain a State Level data cell from the funds sanctioned to the State and connect it online with the National Level Data Centre.
- ❖ To provide technical support to Watershed Cell cum Data Centre throughout the state.
- ❖ To approve a list of independent institutions for capacity building of various stakeholders within the state and work out the overall capacity building strategy in consultation with NRAA/Nodal Ministry.
- ❖ To approve project implementing agencies identified/selected by WCDC/District Level Committee by adopting appropriate objective selection criteria and transparent systems.
- ❖ To establish monitoring, evaluation and learning systems at various levels (Internal and external/independent system).
- ❖ To ensure regular and quality online monitoring of watershed projects in the State in association with Nodal Agency at the Central Level and securing feedback by developing partnerships with independent and capable agencies.

## **4.3 WATERSHED CELL CUM DATA CENTRE, YAMUNANAGAR**

WCDC has been notified by SLNA and the same has been constituted. The team comprises of 3 to 4 subject matter specialists on Agriculture, Water Management, Social Mobilization and Management & Accounts. WCDC is be headed by

Deputy Commissioner and Additional Deputy Commissioner has been designated as Project Manager under IWMP. The WCDC members comprise of Technical Expert, Computer Operator and Accountant. As per guideline 3 to 6 full time staff (3 in district with less than 25000 ha project area and 6 in districts with more than 25000 ha project area) would assist the Project Manager. The Project Manager will prepare well defined annual goals against which the performance will be monitored. The WCDC will be financially supported by the DoLR after review of available staff, infrastructure and actual requirement.

### **Organization of WCDC and its Objective**

The primary objective is successful implementation of watershed programme. The organization bears the responsibility to assist and facilitate PIA from time to time. The broad functions of WCDC are as under:

- ❖ Providing technical support in planning and implementation of the project.
- ❖ Facilitation in preparation of Annual Action Plan.
- ❖ Monitoring and of project activities.
- ❖ Co-ordination with allied departments.
- ❖ Submission of various reports to SLNA.

### **4.4 PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION AGENCY**

The project Implementing Agencies (PIA), DFO, Yamunanagar is selected by the State Level Nodal Agency (SLNA) for Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP) in Haryana. In the district Yamunanagar, where the area of development is 25321 ha, a separate dedicated unit, called the Watershed Cell cum Data Centre has been established which will oversee the implementation of watershed programme. The PIA is responsible for implementation of watershed project. Divisional Forest Officer, Yamunanagar. He has a vast experience in implementing various watershed development Projects.

PIA will put dedicated watershed development team and will provide necessary technical guidance to the Gram Sabha /Watershed Committee for implementation of development plans for the watershed projects through Participatory Rural Appraisal Exercise.

PIA will also undertake:

- a) Community Organization,
- b) Trainings for the village communities,
- c) Supervise Watershed Development Activities,
- d) Inspect & authenticate project accounts,
- e) Monitor & review the overall project implementation,
- f) Set up institutional arrangements for post project operations and
- g) Maintenance and further development of the assets created during the project period.

**Table No. 1 PIA/ Project Implementing Agency**

S.No.	Name of the Project	Details of PIA	
1	Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP-VI)	i) Type of organization	Forest
		ii) Name of organization	Forest Department, YNR, Haryana
		iii) Designation and Address	DFO (T), Yamuna Nagar
		iv) Telephone	01732-237821, 09466117411
		v) Fax	-----
		vi) E-mail	dfo_tynr@rediffmail.com

The PIA is well competent to effectively manage this project and has a good rapport with the village community. The watershed committee members are giving them positive response in the preparatory phase. The overall responsibility of the PIA would be to oversee the project progresses well and to provide technical knowhow as when required. PIA has

qualified and highly experienced staff to accomplish this task and take this project forward for its logical conclusion. PIA will be assisted by the Watershed Development Team.

#### **4.4.1 Monitoring Level Staff at PIA Head Office**

The highly experienced staff is engaged in the monitoring the project. The technical guidance to field staff from time to time is being provided. Meetings are being periodically held by head office with officials from the Yamunanagar district to apprise themselves of the status of ongoing project.

#### **4.5 WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT TEAM**

The watershed development team (WDT) is an integral part of the PIA. WDT would consist of subject specialists such as Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Horticulture, Soil & Water Management and Forest. One woman member with experience in Social mobilization is also included in WDT. Divisional Forest Officer would be team leader of the WDTs. Team Leader will coordinate with other WDT members for smooth implementation of the project. One member of the WDT will be departmental official of the rank ADO (Soil Conservation)/ ADO (Agriculture)/ Range Officer who will also be responsible for disbursement of funds along with Secretary Watershed Committee.

WDT will guide the watershed committee in the formulation of watershed action plan. An indicative list of the roles and responsibilities of the WDT would include among others, the following.

- a) Constitution of Watershed Committee and its functioning,
- b) Organizing and strengthening User groups, Self Help Groups,
- c) Mobilizing women to ensure that the perspectives and interests of women are adequately reflected in the watershed action plan
- d) Conducting Training and Capacity Building,
- e) Common property resource management and equitable sharing

- f) Preparing detailed resource development plan including Soil & Water Conservation,
- g) Undertake engineering surveys,
- h) Prepare engineering drawings and cost estimate for structures to be built.
- i) Monitoring, checking, assessing, undertaking physical verification and measurements of the work done
- j) Facilitating the development of livelihood opportunities for the landless
- k) Maintaining project accounts
- l) Arranging physical, financial and social audit of the work undertaken
- m) Setting up suitable arrangements for post- project operation, maintenance and future development of the assets created during the project period.

#### **4.6 WATERSHED COMMITTEE DETAILS**

The process of formation of watershed committees of all villages has been completed and watershed committees have been formed in all villages. The representation on these committees consists of members from- SC, landless, women and members from self help groups and user groups. The committees would be imparted training for smooth management of the activities related to watershed.

Their representation of various groups is as under:

- ❖ Minimum of 50% members from SHGs and UGs, SCs, women and landless.
- ❖ One member from Watershed Development Team, especially women member (subject matter specialist in Social Science).

The Govt. of Haryana vide department memo No. PO (IWMP)-2012/1479 dated 05.03.2012 has decided to include the following members as members of the Watershed Committees.

- ❖ All alive ex-Sarpanches of concerned Gram Panchayats,
- ❖ Concerned member of Panchayat Samiti,



- ❖ Concerned member of Zila Parishad,

One of the members of Watershed Committees is nominated as Watershed Secretary to perform the following duties:

- ❖ Convening meetings of Watershed Committee, Gram Sabha,
- ❖ Maintaining all records and proceedings of the meetings.
- ❖ Follow up action on all decisions taken in the meetings.
- ❖ Ensuring people's participation.

#### 4.6.1 Formation of Watershed Committees (WC)

The watershed committee has been constituted as per the guidelines para 6.3 (44) after convening a meeting of Gram Sabha. The schedule of the meeting was circulated by the Additional Deputy Commissioner well in advance. The watershed committees were constituted in each village as detailed below: **(Table 2)**

**Table 4. Watershed Committees (WC) Details**

Name of Micro Watershed	Name of Villages	Name of President	Name of Secretary	Name of Members
Nagli Khol /Bari Nagli	Fairly dense Jungle			
	Nagli	Hazi ameer Hasan	Haroon Khan	Sahid Ahamad , Baggu Khan , Noor Ahamand , Suleman , Chuhara Khan , Aliahamand , Babli , Mamta , Roshni , Nawal Kishore
Mohidinpur	Mohidinpur	Hazara Begam	Rijwan	Jai Pal Singh , Mijran , Harfan , Deenu , Mustak , Anil Kumar , Yushuf , Nasar , Mustkeem , Nawal Kishore
Jaitpur	Jaitpur	Nazar Hasan	Abdul Kaume	Jannat , Sahida , Naseema , Sageera , Walideen , Yaseen , Nazar Hasan , Jameel Ahamand , Asgar , Ahamand Ali , Ayaub Hasan , Rafeek Ahamand , Nawal Kishore
	Gohorabni	Fatima	Sakur Ahamand	Mmustak , Gafur Ahamand , Rafeek , Niranjan , Prem Kumar , Ramjan , Rahamat Ali , Sabrin , Alaharakhi

<b>Name of Micro Watershed</b>	<b>Name of Villages</b>	<b>Name of President</b>	<b>Name of Secretary</b>	<b>Name of Members</b>
				,Yakob , Nawal Kishore
	Rajpur			
Ibrahimpur	Ibrahimpur	Roshan Ali	Sakeem Khan	Jasbeer Singh , Jai Parkash , Jayna , Meena , Yaseen, Fakria , Nasra , Taseem , Satpal , Raseeda , Munshi , Nawal Kishore
	Forest			
Pirthipur	Pirthipur	Karam Singh	Anil Kumar	Amar Singh , Suresh , Sukhvinder, Mohd.Rafi , Pardeep Singh , Parshotum , Gurmeet Singh , Daleep Kour , Shanti , Kirna , Ramesh Kumar,Babli devi , Nawal Kishore
	Joggiwara	Raten Mol Singh	Vikash Kumar	Pirthi , Subash , Narander , Vikash , Ram kumar, Rajender , Jagdish , Satish , Amarpal , Sukhbeer , Sanjeev , Yushuf , Nawal Kishore
	Chabutaron			
Haidarpur	Taharpur Kalan	Asra	Mohd. Yameen	Surender Kumar , Purnchand , Rameshwer , Yameen , Neefu , Noor Mohamand , Roshan , Jameela , Rampal , Rajo Devi , Noordeen , Nawal Kishore
	Haidarpur			
	Forest			

The Secretary of the Watershed Committee has been appointed by the Watershed Committee in the meeting of Gram Sabha. The Secretary will be paid honorarium and would be independent from the functioning of Panchayat Secretary. The secretary would be dedicated in the project activities and would take care of the watershed supervision and would be

fully responsible for organizing the meeting and maintenance of records. The main responsibilities of secretary are as under:

- Convening the meeting and recording the minutes of WC meeting and will be responsible for follow up the decision taken by the WC Committee.
- The secretary will be responsible for financial transactions of the project and will sign the cheques with WDT nominee on the behalf of WC.
- He will motivate the villagers for voluntary contribution and ensure equitable distribution of resources.

#### **4.7 INSTITUTIONAL SETUP AT WATERSHED LEVEL**

##### **4.7.1 Self Help Groups**

The formation of the self help group in all the villages is underway. It is proposed to form at least 2 self help group in each village. In each village Self Help Groups consisting of 10 to 15 members having common goal are being formed. The members of SHGs would be drawn from very poor families, BPL families, SC families, Land less families, Small and Marginal farmers SHG would be homogeneous in nature and would work together for their socio-economic up-liftment. SHGs need to be imparted. Under the project, each SHGs would be given revolving fund Rs. 25000 each after 6 months of the date of formation. The income generating activities would be identified. For adopting economic activities would depend upon the decision of Self Help Group. Accordingly the Orientation and Trainings for their skill up gradation would be arranged in the project as activity. It is the responsibility of Watershed Committee to form SHGs in their respective villages under the guidance of Watershed Development Team and Project Implementing Agency.

#### **4.7.2 User Groups**

The Watershed Committee will constitute user group in the watershed area with the help of the WDT. In each Watershed village, user groups are also being formed. Members of these groups would be the beneficiaries of the Watershed project. User group are formed to manage the activities and also asset created under the programme on the long term basis. These groups would also be homogeneous in nature. User groups shall be given technical support as and when required by Watershed Committee and Watershed Development Team. During the preparatory stage while discussing with the Gram Sabha member it was decided that each group would formulate certain internal rules and have a feeling of ownership with community spirit. The members would be from various categories like landless, small farmer, marginal farmer and large farmer.

# **CHAPTER- 5**

## **BUDGETING**

### **MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENTS AND THEIR YEAR WISE PHASING BUDGET UNDER IWMP IWMP- VI UPPER PATHRALA NADI WATERSHED**

#### **5.1 BUDGETING**

The State Level Nodal Agency will distribute funds to WCDC keeping in view the detailed annual action plan of each micro- watershed. The expenditure under the various component of the project will be carried out as per the guidelines. The activity wise allocations of funds as per the provision of budget components have been work out and exhibited in table. 1. The first step in the budgeting is dividing the cost of project into various components as detailed in the revised common guidelines. It would help the PIA in further identifying activities under different components and allocate appropriate funds.

**MICRO WATERSHED WISE / COMPONENT WISE PHASING  
YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP-VI**

Area in Hectares and Funds in Rs.

**Table 1. Activity wise allocation of funds for Project Village**

**(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)**

Name of the project	Project Area	Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year	Total
Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)	7162	4062	48744000	Administrative costs	487440	487440	1462320	1462320	974880	4874400
				Monitoring	0	0	0	487440	0	487440
				Evaluation	0	0	0	0	487440	487440
				Entry point activities	1949760	0	0	0	0	1949760
				Institution and capacity building	0	2437200	0	0	0	2437200
				Detailed project report	487440	0	0	0	0	487440
				Watershed development works	0	3899520	7799040	8286480	7311600	27296640
				Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	1462320	2437200	487440	4386960
				Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	1462320	1949760	1462320	4874400
				Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	1462320	1462320
				<b>Total</b>	<b>2924640</b>	<b>6824160</b>	<b>12186000</b>	<b>14623200</b>	<b>12186000</b>	<b>48744000</b>
				<b>Percentage of total cost</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING  
YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP**

Area in Hectares and  
Funds in Rs.

**Table 2. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Nagli Khol)  
(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)**

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year	Total	
558	6696000	Administrative costs	66960	66960	200880	200880	133920	669600	
		Monitoring	0	0	0	66960	0	66960	
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	66960	66960	
		Entry point activities	267840	0	0	0	0	267840	
		Institution and capacity building	0	334800	0	0	0	334800	
		Detailed project report	66960	0	0	0	0	66960	
		Watershed development works	0	535680	1071360	1138320	1004400	3749760	
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	200880	334800	66960	602640	
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	200880	267840	200880	669600	
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	200880	200880	
		<b>Total</b>		<b>401760</b>	<b>937440</b>	<b>1674000</b>	<b>2008800</b>	<b>1674000</b>	<b>6696000</b>
		<b>Percentage of total cost</b>		<b>6%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING  
YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP**

Area in Hectares and  
Funds in Rs.

**Table 3. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Bari Nagli)  
(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)**

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year	Total	
618	7416000	Administrative costs	74160	74160	222480	222480	148320	741600	
		Monitoring	0	0	0	74160	0	74160	
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	74160	74160	
		Entry point activities	296640	0	0	0	0	296640	
		Institution and capacity building	0	370800	0	0	0	370800	
		Detailed project report	74160	0	0	0	0	74160	
		Watershed development works	0	593280	1186560	1260720	1112400	4152960	
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	222480	370800	74160	667440	
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	222480	296640	222480	741600	
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	222480	222480	
		<b>Total</b>		<b>444960</b>	<b>1038240</b>	<b>1854000</b>	<b>2224800</b>	<b>1854000</b>	<b>7416000</b>
		<b>Percentage of total cost</b>		<b>6%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>100%</b>



**MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING  
YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP**

Area in Hectares and Funds in Rs

**Table 4. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Mohdinpur)  
(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)**

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year	Total	
395	4740000	Administrative costs	47400	47400	142200	142200	94800	474000	
		Monitoring	0	0	0	47400	0	47400	
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	47400	47400	
		Entry point activities	189600	0	0	0	0	189600	
		Institution and capacity building	0	237000	0	0	0	237000	
		Detailed project report	47400	0	0	0	0	47400	
		Watershed development works	0	379200	758400	805800	711000	2654400	
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	142200	237000	47400	426600	
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	142200	189600	142200	474000	
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	142200	142200	
		<b>Total</b>		<b>284400</b>	<b>663600</b>	<b>1185000</b>	<b>1422000</b>	<b>1185000</b>	<b>4740000</b>
		<b>Percentage of total cost</b>		<b>6%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING  
YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP**

Area in Hectares and  
Funds in Rs.

**Table 5. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Jaitpur)  
(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)**

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year	Total	
773	9276000	Administrative costs	92760	92760	278280	278280	185520	927600	
		Monitoring	0	0	0	92760	0	92760	
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	92760	92760	
		Entry point activities	371040	0	0	0	0	371040	
		Institution and capacity building	0	463800	0	0	0	463800	
		Detailed project report	92760	0	0	0	0	92760	
		Watershed development works	0	742080	1484160	1576920	1391400	5194560	
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	278280	463800	92760	834840	
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	278280	371040	278280	927600	
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	278280	278280	
		<b>Total</b>		<b>556560</b>	<b>1298640</b>	<b>2319000</b>	<b>2782800</b>	<b>2319000</b>	<b>9276000</b>
		<b>Percentage of total cost</b>		<b>6%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING  
YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP**

Area in Hectares and  
Funds in Rs.

**Table 6. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Ibrahimpur)  
(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)**

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year	Total	
579	6948000	Administrative costs	69480	69480	208440	208440	138960	694800	
		Monitoring	0	0	0	69480	0	69480	
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	69480	69480	
		Entry point activities	277920	0	0	0	0	277920	
		Institution and capacity building	0	347400	0	0	0	347400	
		Detailed project report	69480	0	0	0	0	69480	
		Watershed development works	0	555840	1111680	1181160	1042200	3890880	
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	208440	347400	69480	625320	
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	208440	277920	208440	694800	
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	208440	208440	
		<b>Total</b>		<b>416880</b>	<b>972720</b>	<b>1737000</b>	<b>2084400</b>	<b>1737000</b>	<b>6948000</b>
		<b>Percentage of total cost</b>		<b>6%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING  
YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP**

Area in Hectares and  
Funds in Rs.

**Table 7. PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Pirthipur)  
(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)**

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year	Total	
437	5244000	Administrative costs	52440	52440	157320	157320	104880	524400	
		Monitoring	0	0	0	52440	0	52440	
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	52440	52440	
		Entry point activities	209760	0	0	0	0	209760	
		Institution and capacity building	0	262200	0	0	0	262200	
		Detailed project report	52440	0	0	0	0	52440	
		Watershed development works	0	419520	839040	891480	786600	2936640	
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	157320	262200	52440	471960	
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	157320	209760	157320	524400	
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	157320	157320	
		<b>Total</b>		<b>314640</b>	<b>734160</b>	<b>1311000</b>	<b>1573200</b>	<b>1311000</b>	<b>5244000</b>
		<b>Percentage of total cost</b>		<b>6%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**MICRO WATERSHED WISE/COMPONENT WISE PHASING  
YEAR WISE BUDGET PHASING UNDER IWMP**

Area in Hectares and  
Funds in Rs.

**Table 8 . PHASING YEAR WISE (Name of the Micro Watershed: Haidarpur)  
(BUDGET AT A GLANCE)**

Effective Area	Funds Available	Name of activity	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year	Total	
702	8424000	Administrative costs	84240	84240	252720	252720	168480	842400	
		Monitoring	0	0	0	84240	0	84240	
		Evaluation	0	0	0	0	84240	84240	
		Entry point activities	336960	0	0	0	0	336960	
		Institution and capacity building	0	421200	0	0	0	421200	
		Detailed project report	84240	0	0	0	0	84240	
		Watershed development works	0	673920	1347840	1432080	1263600	4717440	
		Livelihood activities for the asset less persons	0	0	252720	421200	84240	758160	
		Production system and micro enterprises	0	0	252720	336960	252720	842400	
		Consolidation phase	0	0	0	0	252720	252720	
		<b>Total</b>		<b>505440</b>	<b>1179360</b>	<b>2106000</b>	<b>2527200</b>	<b>2106000</b>	<b>8424000</b>
		<b>Percentage of total cost</b>		<b>6%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>100%</b>

## **CHAPTER – 6**

### **PREPARATORY PHASES**

The Preparatory Phase of the project will be the first year of the project. The major objective of this phase is to build appropriate mechanism for adoption of participatory approach and empowerment of local institutions (WC, SHG, and UG). WDT will assume the role of facilitator during this phase. In this phase, the main activities will include:

#### **6.1 AWARENESS GENERATION AND MOTIVATION FOR PARTICIPATION**

Fortunately, due to the implementation of earlier watershed management projects and operation of various ongoing soil and water conservation schemes, there has been regular interaction of the departmental staff with the community. Because of positive result of earlier projects, people are responsive and are looking forward for projects intervention. The need for the soil and water conservation works have emerged due to persistent draught, which the area is facing. However, production system need lot of improvement and hence the need of awareness generation and motivation for collective efforts to face the malady of recurrent floods and draught.

##### **6.1.1 Collection of Base Line Data and Hydrological Data**

As explained earlier, baseline data from all possible sources is collected for the purpose of not only future impact assessment but also to design project intervention. Most of this was done at the PPR and DPR stages, which forms integral part of the preparatory

phase. In addition, data on rain fall amount and distribution, weather conditions and frequency of floods and drought was compiled at DPR stage.

### **6.1.2 Formation of Village Level Institutions**

It has been decided by the state that project activities shall be implemented throughout the watershed committees (WCs). In collaboration with the department, the village level WCs were formed by holding well-attended meeting in which all settlement and section of the society were represented. Due representation was given to women, landless and BPL families as per norms issued by DoLR.

The self- Help Groups were formed during earlier projects but most of them are inactive and non – functional. Those groups will be revived and new ones were formed depending upon willingness of the interest groups. The type of activities these groups want pursue and their capacity building requirements were noted.

### **6.1.3 Preparation of DPR**

PRA exercise and comprehensive data base have been carried out for DPR preparation. Meetings were held at district, micro-watershed wise and village wise with the lined departments and members of Gram Sabha on this aspect. The Draft Project Report was prepared on the basic information generated from primary and secondary sources. This also includes the outcome of participatory rural appraisal and outcome of transect walk and stakeholders' discussions. A list of scope of works that finally emerged was prepared. Based on the technical survey, detailed cost estimates were prepared for components including resource management, entry point activities and production system. A broad frame work for capacity building at all levels as per the guidelines of DoLR was prepared. The livelihood opportunities which emerged from local product and market facility were analyzed

and outlines of the same were included. Since the financial provisions were decided according to the area proposed to be covered, these provisions were distributed across project activities. The project activities are sequenced into three phase's namely preparatory phase, work phase, consolidation and withdrawal phase. So, the activities were segregated in the sequence and explained in detail. Finally the details about budget and its spilt up into annual action plan were also attempted. Since the DPR will be part of MIS from which details are arranged on two various layers on GIS. All the works proposed in the DPR are location specific and are as per the local demand and socio- economic conditions of the watersheds.

### **Strength, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat (SWOT) analysis of IWMP**

A critical analysis of main strength of the proposed project, evident weaknesses, opportunities available for successful implementation and scope of achieving set objectives was made. Attention is also paid to possible threat against which sufficient inbuilt safeguards are provided. Such an analysis was done for the project in hand and summaries of observations were made and are mentioned below for the all Seven Watersheds in Yamunanagar district.

#### **Strengths**

- ❖ Good Rain fall
- ❖ Strong linkage with national and state level institutes and KGK for capacity building and technical guidance.
- ❖ Favorable environment for raising fruits, vegetables and medicinal plants.
- ❖ Most families are engaged in animal husbandry activities.
- ❖ Availability of drinking water.
- ❖ Good response to earlier watershed management programmes.
- ❖ Local residents are active in micro enterprises.



## **Weaknesses**

- ❖ Erratic rainfall
- ❖ Lack of good quality fodder.
- ❖ Lack of advanced cattle breed.
- ❖ Low level of milk production.
- ❖ Lack of knowledge base regarding scientific cattle management.
- ❖ Prevalence of soil erosion
- ❖ No organized micro enterprises activities.
- ❖ Lack of technical skills.

## **Opportunities**

- ❖ Rain Water harvesting for production.
- ❖ Promotion of organic farming.
- ❖ Promotion of horticultural activities (dry land plants).
- ❖ Provide training on dairy farming and other income generating activities.
- ❖ Promotion of nursery raising and pasture development.
- ❖ There would be horizontal integration and convergence of development programmes being organized and run by govt.

## **Threats**

**There are few negative issues that may have adverse effect**

- ❖ Unreliable rainfall.

- ❖ Absence of assured irrigation.
- ❖ Lack of cooperation and contribution from local residents.
- ❖ Low literacy rate in the project area.
- ❖ Rapid climate change affecting crops.
- ❖ Lack of awareness of Dairy farming as a commercial activity.
- ❖ Frequent droughts.
- ❖ Poor avenues for employment.
- ❖ Wild life menance.

**CAPACITY BUILDING- 5%**  
**Rs. 24, 37,200/-**

## **6.2 CAPACITY BUILDING**

### **1. Introduction**

Watershed development is conceived as a strategy for protecting livelihoods of people inhabiting fragile ecosystems, which over period of time have become subject to multidimensional land degradation. Main stress has been to ensure availability of water for drinking and irrigation to support agro-horti-forestry operation vis-à-vis raise income level and provide adequate employment opportunities for communities living in such areas of concerns. As an intervention Integrated Wasteland Development is nearly 20 years old. The initiatives have been subject to periodic reviews by expert committees with a broader view to improve upon its strategy and components as well as match with the growing socio-ecological requirements

Para 9.VIII of common guidelines necessitate capacity building and training of all functionaries and stakeholders involved watershed programme on a war footing with definite action plan, requisite professionalism and all round competence.

### **2. Vision**

A sincere effort to provide required professionalism and competence to the stakeholders associated with planning and implementation of IWMP in the state. This would include organisation development, human resource development, cooperation and network development and institutional development, all seen as a continuous process enabling functionaries to enhance their knowledge and skills and to develop the required orientation and perspectives thereby becoming more effective in discharging their roles and responsibilities.

### **3. Need**

The term Capacity Development is understood as the development of peoples, organizations and societies' capability to manage resources effectively and efficiently in order to realize their own goals on a sustainable basis. In this context, four dimensions have to be distinguished:

- The development of the human resource or personnel development.
- The strengthening of the effectiveness and efficiency of organization or organizational development.
- The strengthening of cooperation between organizations and network development.
- The promotion of institutional frameworks for development.

Further, already 47 projects sanctioned in 2011-2012 in the state covering around 248 micro watersheds measuring 179531 hectares of area. The implementation of these new projects under the umbrella of common guidelines is reported to be in the initial stage under preparatory phase. The establishment of desired institutional setup at all levels, required level of awareness for ensuring effectiveness of all institutions and community participation is therefore necessitated for conclusive participation by all.

This also necessitates a comprehensive package to provide appropriate knowledge for speedy implementation of the projects in the state particularly in the districts.

### **4. Rationale**

Para 81 of common guidelines for watershed development lays special emphasis on the following key elements of Capacity building strategy.

- Dedicated & decentralized institutional support & delivery mechanism
- Annual Action Plan for Capacity Building
- Pool of resource persons
- Well prepared training modules and reading materials
- Mechanism for effective monitoring and follow-up.

Keeping in firsthand experience of the state in launching 47 projects under IWMP and current state of planning and implementation under preparatory phase the current action plan is primarily prepared to build the capacity of different principal stakeholders of projects to speed up further implementation and also lay a strong foundation for subsequent phases.

## 5. Objectives

The main objectives of the current action plan for ongoing 47 projects are outlined as follows:-

- Create common understanding on different features and provisions of common guidelines as well as instructions directions issued from time to time by Central and State Governmental agencies.
- Develop proper conceptual understanding about integrated participatory watershed management including other issues such as equity, environmental and social sustainability among all implementing agencies at project and village levels, PRIs and local communities (**KNOWLEDGE**).
- Build necessary and required skills and managerial competence of all stakeholders about planning, implementation and management of various project activities using participatory approach (**SKILLS**).
- Help institutional growth of watershed committees at GP level.

- Strengthening community participation, ensuring positive involvement of communities and improvement of socio economic conditions in watershed areas (**ATTITUDES**).

**Table 1. Statement of Targets under Proposed Training Action Plan at Micro Watershed Level to be conducted by WDT members of Yamunanagar District**

Sr. No.	Title of Training Programme and Duration	Level of Participants	Total persons	Trainees Per Programme	Number of Programmes
<b>01</b>	District Level Sensitization Workshop for Watershed Committees. <b>One Day</b>				
	Yamunanagar District	Members of Watershed Committees @ 10 per committee would also include accompanying WDT Members.	1100	300-350	3
02	Block Level Functional Programmes for Secretaries of Watershed Committees. <b>Two Days</b>				
	Yamunanagar District	Secretaries of Village Watershed	110	35-40	3
03	Project Level Sensitization Camps for WC <b>One Days</b>				
	Yamunanagar District	Members of Watershed Committees @ 10 Persons (Tentative) per WC	1100	50	22
04	Village Level Awareness Camps on IWMP at Micro Watershed Level for User Groups <b>One Day</b>				
	Yamunanagar District	Approximately 50 <u>prospective</u> user groups per micro watershed.	1850	50	35
05	Block Level Functional Programmes for SHGs [Leader, Secretary and Treasurer] under IWMP <b>One Day</b>				
	Yamunanagar District	Three persons (Leader, Secretary and Treasurer) per Self Help Group @ around one SHG per village.	330	50	7

**Note:** Training programmes under Sl. No. 01 are proposed to be conducted by HIRD in collaboration with SLNA and WCDCs.

## **6. Training Methods**

A group of selected Watershed Development Team members would be trained on various methods to ensure that they are able to conduct the proposed interventions effectively with the help of some of the following methods.

- Interactive learning.
- Experience Sharing.
- Experimental Learning.
- Presentation of case studies.
- Classroom deliberations.
- Group [structured] exercises and discussions.

## **7. Tools**

- Projectors
- Flip Charts
- Electronic films
- Print Material
- Other IEC material.

## **8. Resource Persons**

### **8.1. Internal**

Around two persons per WDT identified from the initial training activities by HIRD, Nilokheri would be trained on various aspects for designing and conducting the training programmes. It is expected that each WDT members would be required to function as a



internal resource person for the proposed training programmes. Technical experts from each WCDC and PIA would also function as facilitators in the proposed training activities.

## 8.2. External

Further, in order to make the proposed interventions meaningful for achieving the broader objectives efforts would be made to liaison with various experts from district level line departments, agencies and state level institutions including HIRD as per the need of the programme.

## 9. Fund requirement

The **approved revised norms for training for PRIs and RD functionaries**” by MoRD, GoI in 2010 have been strictly used [for fixed and variable costs].

**Table 2. Statement showing funds Requirement for training on IWMP in Haryana (Preparatory Phase – District Level)**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Training Programmes for SLNA, WDT, PIA , Field Functionary , WDC member’s , SHG &amp; UG organize by HIRD</b>	<b>Total Funds</b>
1	District Level Sensitization Workshop(s) for Watershed Committees	89306
2	Block Level Functional Programmes for Secretaries of Watershed Committees. <u>Two Days</u>	12288
3	Village Level Sensitization Camps for WC <u>One Days</u>	54270
4	Village Level Awareness Camps on IWMP at Micro Watershed Level for Prospective User Groups <u>One Day</u>	47885
5	Block Level Functional Programmes for SHGs [Leader, Secretary and Treasurer] under IWMP <u>One Day</u>	24769
	<b>Total</b>	<b>228519</b>

**Table 3. Micro Watershed Wise Exposure cum training Visit for SLNA, WDT, PIA, Field Functionary, WDC, SHG & UG Members of IWMP VI (Yamunanagar)**

S. No.	Target Group	Training Topics	No. of days	Budget per camp	No. of Camps	No. of Participants per camp	Cost for all participants per day	Cost per participant/ per day	Cost per person	Total Budget
1	Self Help Groups- 2 SHGs- village level	Orientation on IWMP, SHGs cum Exposure Visit	2	19600	5	14	9800	700	1400	98000
2	User groups from each village	NRM, Post Project Management etc. – Exposure Visit	2	19600	5	14	9800	700	1400	98000
3	Sub watershed Level- WDT Members	Part II-Module I to V- Exposure Visit Outside State- Conceptual, Technical, Social, Management of Finance, Monitoring and Evaluation.	4	42000	5	7	10500	1500	6000	210000

S. No.	Target Group	Training Topics	No. of days	Budget per camp	No. of Camps	No. of Participants per camp	Cost for all participants per day	Cost per participant/ per day	Cost per person	Total Budget
4	Sub watershed Level- PIA	Exposure Visit- Within and outside State. Fundamentals of Watershed, Finance Management, Final Report on WDP etc.	2	42000	5	14	21000	1500	3000	210000
5	District Level- WDC	Exposure visit to successful watershed, University.	2	19600	5	14	9800	700	1400	98000
6	District Level- Line Deptt., WDC	Exposure visit to successful watersheds within state.	2	9800	5	7	4900	700	1400	49000
7	District Level trainers/Resource Persons	Exposure visit to successful watersheds outside state	4	42000	5	7	10500	1500	6000	210000
	<b>Total</b>									<b>973000</b>

**Table 4. Farmer's / Beneficiaries training camps with Extension Programmes of IWMP VI (Yamunanagar)**

S. No.	District	No. Micro watershed	No. of Camps/ Year/ Micro watershed	Total No. of camp per Year	Total No. of camps for 5 Years	Amount of per Camp	Amount per Micro watershed	Total Budget
1	Farmer Training Camp in each season	7	2	14	70	12000	120000	840000
2	Propaganda & Documentation (Puppet show, documentary movies show, videography, Photography, wall Painting, Display Board, pamphlets, leaf lets. Etc)	7	2	14	70	5000	50000	350000
3	Contingency charges							45681
<b>Total</b>								<b>1235681</b>

- i) **Training Programmes for SLNA, WDT, PIA , Field Functionary , WDC member's , SHG & UG organize by HIRD = 2,28,519/-**
- ii) **Micro Watershed Wise Exposure cum training Visit For SLNA, WDT, PIA , Field Functionary , WDC, SHG & UG Members = 9,73,000/-**
- iii) **Farmer's / Beneficiaries training camps with Extension Program's = 12,35,681/-**

**Grand Total = 24,37,200/-**

### **6.2.1 Expected Outcome of Capacity Building**

- All principal stakeholders would be covered under proposed training interventions by March, 2013.
- The knowledge level of different stakeholders on various provisions of Common Guidelines will increase to a significant level.

- The skill level of the principal stakeholders will be improved in managing watershed projects in consonance with the provisions of common guidelines and state government instructions.
- The programmes will help in ensuring that all stakeholders/agencies/institutions work with positive attitudes in order to utilize the benefit of the projects in fulfilling the objectives set forth.
- Programmes will create a sense of responsible partnership amongst various stakeholders.
- The programmes will also help in further identifying areas for future interventions.
- Improved participation of different stakeholders leading to speedy implementation of watershed development work phase.
- Experiences would help in consolidating other gaps for better planning and management of Capacity Building and Training interventions under new projects in future.

### **6.3 ENTRY POINT ACTIVITIES 4%**

EPA activities are taken up under the watershed to build rapport with village community at the beginning of the project, generally certain important works which are in urgent demand of the local community are taken up. A group discussion was conducted in the Gram Sabha meeting/watershed committee regarding EPA activities. It was conveyed to the Gram Sabha that an amount of **Rs. 19, 49, 760/-** was provided for EPA. The provision of IEC material for community will be met under EPA. The stake holders discussed the various activities which they felt is important but after the discussion the following activities were finalized. The convergence with the other project can also be undertaken.

**Table 5. Entry Point Activities in Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)**

Block	Name of Project	No. of EPA Targeted/ Identified	No. of EPAs not yet started	No. of EPA undertaken/ in-Progress	No. of EPAs Completed	Name/Nature of EPA	Location Village	Exp. of EPAs completed (Rs. In lacs)	Remarks
Bilaspur	Upper pathrala nadi watershed (IWMP VI)	3	0	0	3	(S.D.D in Nagli Khol)	Nagli (Forest)	2.67840	---
						Augmentation of Drinking Water	Badi Nagli	2.96640	---
						Drinking Water Storage Tank (4 No.)	Mohideen pur	1.89600	---
Chhachhrauli	13		0	0	13	Renovation of Pond	Jaitpur	0.95040	---
						Create Wire Spur	Goharabani	0.93120	---
						Cattle Drinking water Khol	Rajpur	0.54240	---
						Create Wire Spur	Forest (Goharabani)	1.28640	---
						Repair of Water Kool	Ibrahimpur	1.31040	---
						Repair of S.D.D	Forest (Ibrahimpur )	1.46880	---
						Renovation of Pond	Pirthipur	1.11360	---
						Cattle Drinking water Khol	Jogiwara	0.59520	---
						Water drainage nala	Chabturen(part )	0.38880	Chabutaron village is in part. Included

Block	Name of Project	No. of EPA Targeted/ Identified	No. of EPAs not yet started	No. of EPA undertaken/ in-Progress	No. of EPAs Completed	Name/Nature of EPA	Location Village	Exp. of EPAs completed (Rs. In lacs)	Remarks
									in other micro watershed also.
						Renovation of Pond	Taharpur Kalan	1.98240	---
						Cattle Drinking water Khol	Haidherpur	0.44160	---
						Cattle Drinking water Khol	Taharpur Khurd	0.37440	---
						Boundry Trench	Forest (Taharpur )	0.57120	---
		<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>19.49760</b>	

**Total Cost of project area @ 4%: Rs. 19,49,760/-**

# CHAPTER - 7

## WORK PHASE

### 7.1 WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT WORKS - 56%

All the Works under the project have been identified after detailed survey of the Project Area and discussions held with team of experts consisted of DSCO, DFO, Hydrologist from Haryana supported by Livelihood expert, Agriculture and Horticulture expert and expert in Animal Husbandry. Participatory approach has been adopted to identify the activities under the project. The detailed discussions were held with watershed committees and works identified along with villagers after making visits to affected sites. The works mainly relate to soil moisture conservation activities, renovation of ponds, structures for protecting fields etc. The proposed project proposals were presented in the Gram Sabha meeting as per the schedule and were approved with certain changes. The works thus identified are given in the attached sheets along with estimates – micro watershed wise.

#### A. Drainage line Treatment

##### 7.1.1 Dry stone check dams/ Dry stone Masonry Structure reinforced by vegetation

**Present Status:** The network of small first and second order streams/ rills is extending and spreading in all possible directions and converting flatter slopes to nala beds adjoining ridges of Watershed.

**Proposed Treatment:** This requires the construction of series of stone check dams/ Dry stone Masonry Structure in small streams/ rills having height about one meter. These shall be supported by vegetative reinforcement.



**7.1.2 Crate Wire Structures (Gabian type and Spur):** Where ever local stones are available in prescribe size in the drainage lines, crate wire structures (Gabian type) have been proposed. The height of such structure has 1 to 1.2 meters of each step. Simultaneously in seasonal torrents have high velocity due to steep slope and meander quite often. In this process, lands located along banks are eroded and converted to stony gully beds. The infrastructure like local paths, culverts, buildings are also damaged and threatened by flash floods.

**Proposed system:** There is pertinent need to afforest the area and reduce runoff. The crate wire (Gabian type)/woven spurs supported by live hedges are proposed to protect the land. Incidentally stones of suitable size are available in some khads. This type of work has already been done under different schemes by agriculture, forest and drainage wing of irrigation department and is quite successful but lot more needs to be done.

### **7.1.3 Drop Structures/ Cement stone Masonry Structure**

**Present Status:** The rainwater from upper lands located at hill slopes passes through the farm lands and forms a network of shallow and deep gullies which keep on widening and deepening. These gullies not only damage the lands located along their banks but are source of debris which is carried down and deposited in gully beds and cause meandering patterns, again a cause of bank erosion source.

**Proposed Activity:** Drop Structures/ Cement stone Masonry Structure in series are proposed to break the velocity and safe disposal of rain water and induce deposition of sediment in nala beds and terraces also. Such structures planned as per size of the gully and discharge carried by them. Number of check dams is requested by the farmers to save the land. Such check dams have already been constructed under Kandi Project and State Soil Conservation schemes of agriculture and forest department but many more are needed at strategic locations.

A check dam constructed under Kandi Project in many villages of hills and foothills of Shivalik area has saved the houses from under cutting by the gully.

#### **7.1.4 Construction of Retaining Walls for Bank Protection**

**Existing System:** The whole project area is infested with large network of gullies which are damaging the farm lands/habitation located along the banks of nalas and rivers. The land holdings are small and any loss of land and its conversion to a Nala badly affects the economy of the family. Under, the Kandi Project stone masonry retaining walls were constructed at strategic locations which saved the land of the farmers and banks of village ponds.

**Proposed System:** Run-off from upper area shall be reduced and flood peaks moderated by afforestation and rain water harvesting structures. Then as per need, retaining walls are proposed at strategic locations to protect the farm lands, bank of ponds, habitation and infrastructure.

### **B. Water Resources Development**

#### **7.2.1 Water harvesting structures, Earthen Gully Plug, Silt Detention Dam and Earthen Embankment**

**Present Status:** Rain-fed agriculture is gambling with rains. There is no assured irrigation facility available in the project area to stabilize crop production through limited supplemented irrigation. There are sites where water harvesting structures can be constructed but people do not get organize for common cause. Moreover, they are unable to spend money from their own resources. Only few harvesting dams were constructed earlier under Kandi project and state schemes but demand was always more than supply.

**Suggested Interventions:** In quite a number of villages, sites have been identified for Water Harvesting Structures, Earthen Gully Plug, Silt Detention Dam, Earthen Embankment, Guide Bandh and Percolation tank etc. but GPs are

interested to get the dams constructed from other schemes of the Department. In some watershed village paths have converted in nalas due to erosion to be strengthened by construction of earthen embankments. As such no earthen dam for water harvesting was planned in this project.

This phase would start after the preparatory phase is by and large complete. It was considered as the heart of the program in which the DPR proposals shall be implemented in participatory mode. In this watershed management program, it was planned to rehabilitate the degraded watersheds by the control of runoff and soil loss by biological and mechanical conservation measures adopting ridge to valley approach. The protective vegetation cover would be regenerated in forest and common lands. The drainage lines treatment is proposed after afforestation of hill slopes. This includes vegetative barriers, shall scale dry stone, crate wire and stone masonry check dams and silt detention structures. In this water stressed project area, rainwater harvesting to reduce soil erosion, recharge ground water, improve moisture regime and use of harvesting water for human and livestock use and in some case for irrigation was given very high priority. This was coupled with land development, production improvement, and promotion of subsidiary occupations for improved livelihoods. Many village ponds are silted, several are filled with filth and sewage water and giving foul smell. Repair renovation and retaining walls of village ponds has emerged as an important activity. The scope of integrated watershed regeneration/rehabilitation works which emerged from the PRA is now presented.

Sample estimates are as follows:

**Activities under NRM (56%) Micro Watershed Wise (IWMP VI Yamunanagar) is given below and** The Existing location of works and Proposed Action/ Treatment Plan map shown in Annexure VIII and IX.

**Village wise distribution of 56% developments works**

**Table 1. Name of Project IWMP-6 Name of Micro Watershed: Nagli Khol Name of Village: Bari Nagli Dense Jungle**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks
				Phy	Unit Cost Rs. in Lacs			
1	Sub Surface Dam/Water Conveyance System/Disilting & Strengthening of old WHS	-----	No.	3 (Strengthening of old WHS)	25	25.00	To provide the proper water management for irrigation purpose.	-----
2	Silt Detention Dam's/	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchayat land & village area. (Individual Land/Panchayat land)	No.	2	4.95	9.90	To take the runoff water & waste water of the portion of the village which would help in water conservation & improvement of water table/soil conservation.	-----
3	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line. (Individual Land/Panchayat Land)	No.	6	0.77	4.62	To divert the runoff/ soil conservation.	-----
4	Crate Wire Structure/Spurs	At Suitable land of UGs / Panchayat land & village area. (individual Land/Panchayat Land)	Cum.	500	0.0228	11.40	To break the speed of runoff	-----

5	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchayat land & village area. (Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	15	0.15	2.25	To improve environment and help in water/soil conservation to increase income opportunities of farmers/SHGs .	-----
6	Cement Stone/Brick Masonry Structures/Drop Structures/Retaining walls	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchayat land & village area. (Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Cum.	779	0.0326	25.40	For the control of soil erosion /recharging excess runoff management to improve Ag. Production.	-----
7	Rain fed Horticulture	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchayat land & village area. (Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	3	0.4	1.20	To Improve and help in water/soil conservation & to increase income of farmer.	-----
8	Dry Stone Check Dams/Small Stone Check Dams	-----	Cum.	250	0.01285	3.21	To break the speed of runoff	-----
<b>Total Cost</b>						<b>82.98</b>		
<b>Available Funds</b>						<b>79.03</b>		
<b>Convergence</b>						<b>3.95</b>		

**Table 2. Name of Project IWMP-6 Name of Micro Watershed: Mohdinpur Name of Village: Mohdinpur**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks
				Phy	Unit Cost Rs. in Lacs			
1	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line. (Individual Land/Panchayat Land)	No.	1	0.77	0.77	To divert the runoff/ soil conservation.	-----
2	Crate Wire Structure/Spurs	At Suitable land of UGs / Panchayat land & village area. (individual Land/Panchayat Land)	Cum	200	0.0228	4.56	To break the speed of runoff	-----
3	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area. (Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	15	0.15	2.25	To improve environment and help in water/soil conservation to increase income opportunities of farmers/SHGs .	-----
4	Cement Stone/Brick Masonry Structures/Drop Structures/Retaining walls	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area. (Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Cum	411	0.0326	13.40	For the control of soil erosion /recharging excess runoff management to improve Ag. Production.	-----
5	Rain fed Horticulture	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area. (Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	6	0.4	2.40	To Improve and help in water/soil conservation & to increase income of farmer.	-----
<b>Total Cost</b>						<b>23.38</b>		
<b>Available Funds</b>						<b>22.24</b>		
<b>Convergence</b>						<b>1.14</b>		

**Table 3. Name of Project IWMP-6 Name of Micro Watershed: Mohdinpur Name of Village: Bari Nagli Part**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks
				Phy	Unit Cost Rs. in Lacs			
1	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line.(Individual Land/Panchayat Land)	No.	1	0.77	0.77	To divert the runoff/soil conservation.	-----
2	Crate Wire Structure/Spurs	At Suitable land of UGs / Panchayat land & village area. (individual Land/Panchayat Land)	Cum.	135	0.0228	3.08	To break the speed of runoff	-----
3	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area. (Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	5	0.15	0.75	To improve environment and help in water/soil conservation to increase income opportunities of farmers/SHGs.	-----
<b>Total Cost</b>						<b>4.60</b>		
<b>Available Funds</b>						<b>4.30</b>		
<b>Convergence</b>						<b>0.30</b>		

**Table 4. Name of Project IWMP-6 Name of Micro Watershed: Jaitpur Name of Village: Jaitpur**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks
				Phy	Unit Cost Rs. in Lacs			
1	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line. (Individual Land/Panchayat Land)	No.	1	0.77	0.77	To divert the runoff/ soil conservation.	-----
2	Crate Wire Structure/Spurs	At Suitable land of UGs / Panchayat land & village area. (individual Land/ Panchayat Land)	Cum.	90	0.0228	2.05	To break the speed of runoff	-----
3	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	20	0.15	3.00	To improve environment and help in water/soil conservation to increase income opportunities of farmers/SHGs .	-----
4	Cement Stone/Brick Masonry Structures/Drop Structures/Retaining walls	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Cum.	190	0.0326	6.19	For the control of soil erosion /recharging excess runoff management to improve Ag. Production.	-----
5	Rain fed Horticulture	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	5	0.4	2.00	To Improve and help in water/soil conservation & to increase income of farmer.	-----
<b>Total Cost</b>						<b>14.02</b>		
<b>Available Funds</b>						<b>13.31</b>		



**Convergence**

0.71

**Table 5. Name of Project IWMP-6 Name of Micro Watershed: Jaitpur Name of Village: Gohrabani**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks
				Phy	Unit Cost Rs. in Lacs			
1	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line. (Individual Land/Panchayat Land)	No.	2	0.77	1.54	To divert the runoff/ soil conservation.	-----
2	Crate Wire Structure/Spurs	At Suitable land of UGs / Panchayat land & village area.(individual Land/Panchayat Land)	Cum.	251	0.0228	5.72	To break the speed of runoff	-----
3	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	10	0.15	1.50	To improve environment and help in water/ soil conservation to increase income opportunities of farmers/SHGs.	-----
4	Cement Stone/Brick Masonry Structures/Drop Structures/Retaining walls	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land &village area. (Individual Land/ Panchayat land)	Cum.	120	0.0326	3.91	For the control of soil erosion /recharging excess runoff management to improve Ag. Production.	-----
5	Rain fed Horticulture	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area. (Individual Land/ Panchayat land)	Ha.	2.5	0.4	1.00	To Improve and help in water/soil conservation & to increase income of farmer.	-----
<b>Total Cost</b>						<b>13.67</b>		
<b>Available Funds</b>						<b>13.04</b>		

**Convergence**

**0.63**

**Table 6. Name of Project IWMP-6 Name of Micro Watershed: Jaitpur Name of Village: Rajpur**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks
				Phy	Unit Cost Rs. in Lacs			
1	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line.(Individual Land/Panchayat Land)	No.	1	0.77	0.77	To divert the runoff/ soil conservation.	-----
2	Crate Wire Structure/Spurs	At Suitable land of UGs / Panchayat land & village area.(individual Land/Panchayat Land)	Cum.	116	0.0228	2.64	To break the speed of runoff	-----
3	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	9	0.15	1.35	To improve environment and help in water/soil conservation to increase income opportunities of farmers/SHGs.	-----
4	Rain fed Horticulture	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area. (Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	8	0.4	3.20	To Improve and help in water/soil conservation & to increase income of farmer.	-----
<b>Total Cost</b>						<b>7.96</b>		
<b>Available Funds</b>						<b>7.59</b>		
<b>Convergence</b>						<b>0.37</b>		

**Table 7. Name of Project IWMP-6 Name of Micro Watershed: Jaitpur Name of Village: Jaitpur Forest**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks
				Phy	Unit Cost Rs. in Lacs			
1	Silt Detention Dam's/	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	No.	1	4.95	4.95	To take the runoff water & waste water of the portion of the village which would help in water conservation & improvement of water table/soil conservation.	-----
2	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line. (Individual Land/Panchayat Land)	No.	2	0.77	1.54	To divert the runoff/ soil conservation.	-----
3	Crate Wire Structure/Spurs	At Suitable land of UGs / Panchayat land & village area. (individual Land/Panchayat Land)	Cum.	250	0.0228	5.70	To break the speed of runoff	-----
4	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	25	0.15	3.75	To improve environment and help in water/soil conservation to increase income opportunities of farmers/SHGs.	-----
5	Cement Stone/Brick Masonry Structures/Drop	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.	Cum.	91	0.0326	2.97	For the control of soil erosion /recharging excess runoff	-----

Structures/Retaining walls	(Individual Land/Panchayat land)					management to improve Production.
<b>Total Cost</b>					<b>18.91</b>	
<b>Available Funds</b>					<b>18.01</b>	
<b>Convergence</b>					<b>0.90</b>	Ag.

**Table 8. Name of Project IWMP-6 Name of Micro Watershed: Ibrahimpur Name of Village: Ibrahimpur**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks
				Phy	Unit Cost Rs. in Lacs			
1	Sub Surface Dam/Water Conveyance System/Disilting & Strengthening of old WHS	-----	No.	1 (Repair of conveyance)	25	15.00	To provide the proper water management for irrigation purpose.	-----
3	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line.(Individual Land/Panchayat Land)	No.	1	0.77	0.77	To divert the runoff/ soil conservation.	-----
4	Crate Wire Structure/Spurs	At Suitable land of UGs / Panchayat land & village area. (individual Land/Panchayat Land)	Cum.	91	0.0228	2.07	To break the speed of runoff	-----
5	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchayat land & village area. (Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	4	0.15	0.60	To improve environment and help in water/soil conservation to increase income opportunities of	-----

							farmers/SHGs.	
7	Rain fed Horticulture	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	2	0.4	0.80	To Improve and help in water/soil conservation & to increase income of farmer.	-----
<b>Total Cost</b>						<b>19.24</b>		
<b>Available Funds</b>						<b>18.35</b>		
<b>Convergence</b>						<b>0.89</b>		

**Table 9. Name of Project IWMP-6 Name of Micro Watershed: Ibrahimpur Name of Village: Ibrahimpur Forest**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks
				Phy	Unit Cost Rs. in Lacs			
1	Sub Surface Dam/Water Conveyance System/Disilting & Strengthening of old WHS	-----	No.	3 (Strengthening of old WHS)	25	20.00	To provide the proper water management for irrigation purpose.	-----
2	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line. (Individual Land/Panchayat Land)	No.	1	0.77	0.77	To divert the runoff/ soil conservation.	-----
3	Rain fed Horticulture	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.	Ha.	2	0.4	0.80	To Improve and help in water/soil conservation & to increase income of	-----

	(Individual Land/Panchayat land)				farmer.	
<b>Total Cost</b>					<b>21.57</b>	
<b>Available Funds</b>					<b>20.56</b>	
<b>Convergence</b>					<b>1.01</b>	

**Table 10. Name of Project IWMP-6**

**Name of Village: Pirthipur**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Name of Micro Location	Watershed: Pirthipur Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks
				Phy	Unit Cost Rs. in Lacs			
1	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line. (Individual Land/Panchayat Land)	No.	1	0.77	0.77	To divert the runoff/ soil conservation.	-----
2	Crate Wire Structure/Spurs	At Suitable land of UGs / Panchayat land & village area.(individual Land/Panchayat Land)	Cum .	206	0.0228	4.70	To break the speed of runoff	-----
3	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	5	0.15	0.75	To improve environment and help in water/soil conservation to increase income opportunities of farmers/SHGs.	-----
4	Cement Stone/Brick Masonry Structures/Drop Structures/Retaining walls	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Cum .	250	0.0326	8.15	For the control of soil erosion /recharging excess runoff management to improve Ag. Production.	-----

5	Rain fed Horticulture	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	5	0.4	2.00	To Improve and help in water/soil conservation & to increase income of farmer.	-----
<b>Total Cost</b>						<b>16.37</b>		
<b>Available Funds</b>						<b>15.59</b>		
<b>Convergence</b>						<b>0.78</b>		

**Table 11. Name of Project IWMP-6**

**Name of Village: Jogiwara**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Name of Micro Watershed: Pirthapur	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks
				Phy	Unit Cost Rs. in Lacs			
1	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line. (Individual Land/Panchayat Land)	No.	1	0.77	0.77	To divert the runoff/ soil conservation.	-----
2	Crate Wire Structure/Spurs	At Suitable land of UGs / Panchayat land & village area.(individual Land/Panchayat Land)	Cum .	150	0.0228	3.42	To break the speed of runoff	-----
3	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	5	0.15	0.75	To improve environment and help in water/soil conservation to increase income opportunities of farmers/SHGs.	-----
4	Cement Stone/Brick Masonry Structures/Drop Structures/Retaining	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Cum .	117	0.0326	3.81	For the control of soil erosion /recharging excess runoff management to improve	-----

walls						Ag. Production.	
<b>Total Cost</b>						<b>8.75</b>	
<b>Available Funds</b>						<b>8.33</b>	
<b>Convergence</b>						<b>0.42</b>	

**Table 12. Name of Project IWMP-6**

**Name of Village: Chhabutron (part)**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Location of Micro Watershed	Watershed: Pimpripur	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks
				Phy	Unit Cost Rs. in Lacs			
1	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line. (Individual Land/Panchayat Land)	No.	1	0.77	0.77	To divert the runoff/ soil conservation.	-----
2	Crate Wire Structure/Spurs	At Suitable land of UGs / Panchayat land & village area.(individual Land/Panchayat Land)	Cum.	116	0.0228	2.64	To break the speed of runoff	-----
3	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchayat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	10	0.15	1.50	To improve environment and help in water/soil conservation to increase income opportunities of farmers/SHGs.	-----



4	Rain fed Horticulture	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	2	0.4	0.80	To Improve and help in water/soil conservation & to increase income of farmer.	-----
<b>Total Cost</b>						<b>5.71</b>		
<b>Available Funds</b>						<b>5.44</b>		
<b>Convergence</b>						<b>0.27</b>		

**Table 13. Name of Project IWMP-6**

**Name of Village: Taharpur Kalan**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Name of Micro Watershed: Haldernur	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks
				Phy	Unit Cost Rs. in Lacs			
1	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line. (Individual Land/Panchayat Land)	No.	2	0.77	1.54	To divert the runoff/ soil conservation.	-----
2	Crate Wire Structure/Spurs	At Suitable land of UGs / Panchayat land & village area.(individual Land/Panchayat Land)	Cum.	400	0.0228	9.12	To break the speed of runoff	-----
3	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	20	0.15	3.00	To improve environment and help in water/soil conservation to increase income opportunities of farmers/SHGs.	-----
4	Cement Stone/Brick Masonry Structures/Drop Structures/Retaining walls	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Cum.	364	0.0326	11.87	For the control of soil erosion /recharging excess runoff management to improve Ag. Production.	-----

5	Rain fed Horticulture	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	9	0.4	3.60	To Improve and help in water/soil conservation & to increase income of farmer.	-----
<b>Total Cost</b>						<b>29.13</b>		
<b>Available Funds</b>						<b>27.75</b>		
<b>Convergence</b>						<b>1.38</b>		

**Table 14. Name of Project IWMP-6**

**Name of Village: Haiderpur**

**Name of Micro Watershed: Haiderpur**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks
				Phy	Unit Cost Rs. in Lacs			
1	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line.(Individual Land/Panchayat Land)	No.	1	0.77	0.77	To divert the runoff/ soil conservation.	-----
2	Crate Wire Structure/Spurs	At Suitable land of UGs / Panchayat land & village area.(individual Land/Panchayat Land)	Cum.	135	0.0228	3.08	To break the speed of runoff	-----
3	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	10	0.15	1.50	To improve environment and help in water/soil conservation to increase income opportunities of farmers/SHGs .	-----

4	Rain fed Horticulture	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	3	0.4	1.20	To Improve and help in water/soil conservation & to increase income of farmer.	-----
<b>Total Cost</b>						<b>6.55</b>		
<b>Available Funds</b>						<b>6.18</b>		
<b>Convergence</b>						<b>0.37</b>		

**Table 15. Name of Project IWMP-6 Name of Micro Watershed: Haiderpur Name of Village: Taharpur Khurd**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Location	Unit	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks
				Phy	Unit Cost Rs. in Lacs			
1	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line. (Individual Land/Panchayat Land)	No.	1	0.77	0.77	To divert the runoff/ soil conservation.	-----
2	Crate Wire Structure/Spurs	At Suitable land of UGs / Panchayat land & village area.(individual Land/Panchayat Land)	Cum	107	0.0228	2.44	To break the speed of runoff	-----
3	Agro Forestry/Afforestation	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	10	0.15	1.50	To improve environment and help in water/soil conservation to increase income opportunities of farmers/SHGs.	-----

4	Rain fed Horticulture	At Suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Ha.	2	0.4	0.80	To Improve and help in water/soil conservation & to increase income of farmer.	-----
<b>Total Cost</b>						<b>5.51</b>		
<b>Available Funds</b>						<b>5.24</b>		
<b>Convergence</b>						<b>0.27</b>		

**Table 16. Name of Project IWMP-6**

**Name of Village: Forest**

Sr. No	Nature of Works	Location	Name of Micro Watershed	No. of Works		Estimated Cost Rs. In Lacs	Objective	Remarks	
				Unit	Phy				
1	Silt Detention Dam's/	At suitable land of UGs/ Panchyat land & village area.(Individual Land/Panchayat land)	Haiderpur	No.	1	4.95	4.95	To take the runoff water & waste water of the portion of the village which would help in water conservation & improvement of water table/soil conservation.	-----
2	Earthen Gully Plug/Earthen Embankment (the outlet structure from CSMS/ CBMS)	Along the main drainage line. (Individual Land/Panchayat Land)		No.	1	0.77	0.77	To divert the runoff/ soil conservation.	-----
3	Crate Wire Structure/Spurs	At Suitable land of UGs Panchayat land & village area.(individual Land/Panchayat Land)		Cum.	117	0.0228	2.67	To break the speed of runoff	-----
<b>Total Cost</b>						<b>8.39</b>			
<b>Available Funds</b>						<b>8.00</b>			
<b>Convergence</b>						<b>0.39</b>			

**Cost Sharing:** During the PRA exercise and meeting with the stake holders from time to time, the beneficiaries agreed to contribute in form of material, labour and cash to 10% of structure cost. The watershed development funds and pattern of utilization would be decided by the UGs/ WDT and PIA during implementation programme.

**Table 17. Detailed Estimate of Infiltration Gallery for Sub-Surface Dam**

S.No.	Particulars	No.	Length (mts)	Breadth (mts)	Height (mts)	Content (cums)
1	Gravel work in excavation with occasional use of picks with lead up to 15 meters with percentage of gravel or kanker exceeding 60% but up to 80% extra for additional leads 4 No. extra for work under flowing water & extra for dressing work H.S.R. 6.5 (b), (d), (g) & (h) Infiltration gallery and Key/Core wall	1	20.00	$(1.90+14.20)/2 = 8.05$	4.10	660.10
	Wing Walls	2	20.00	$(1.90+14.20)/2 = 8.05$	4.10	660.10
	Toe Walls	1	20.00	1.30	2.00	52.00
	Drain	1	123.00	$(1.0 + 3.05)/2 = 2.025$	$(4.10 + 0)/2 = 2.05$	510.60
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>1882.80</b>
2	Cement concrete work 1:4:8 with 20 mm aggregates in the foundation and plinth H.S.R. 10.38 Infiltration gallery	1	20.00	$(2.05 + 1.15) = 3.20$	0.30	19.20
	Wing Wall	2	20.00	1.30	0.30	15.60
	Toe Wall	1	20.00	1.30	0.30	7.80
	Apron	1	20.00	4.50	0.30	27.00
	Key/Core wall	1	20.00	1.30	0.30	7.80
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>77.40</b>
3	Cement concrete 1:15:3 with stone aggregates 20 mm for RCC work but excluding steel reinforcement					

S.No.	Particulars	No.	Length (mts)	Breadth (mts)	Height (mts)	Content (cums)
	but including the centering and shuttering in foundation.					
	Infiltration Gallery	1	20.00	1.60	0.30	9.60
	Wing Walls	2	20.00	1.00	$(0.20+0.30)/2 = 0.25$	10.00
	Toe Wall	1	20.00	1.00	$(0.20+0.30)/2 = 0.25$	5.00
	Apron	1	20.00	4.50	0.30	27.00
	Key/Core wall	1	20.00	$(0.70 + 1.00) = 1.70$	$(0.20+0.30)/2 = 0.25$	8.50
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>60.10</b>
4	Cement concrete 1:15:3 with stone aggregates 20 mm for RCC work for walls exceeding 20 cm thickness excluding the steel reinforcement but including the centering and shuttering etc. H.S.R. 10.86					
	Key/Core wall	1	20.00	0.30	1.50	9.00
	Side Walls	2	1.00	0.30	1.20	0.72
	Downstream wall & up steam wall	2	20.00	0.30	1.20	14.40
	Wing Walls	2	20.00	0.30	3.80	45.60
	Toe Wall	1	20.00	0.30	1.60	9.60
	Parapet Wall	1	20.00	0.30	0.30	1.80
	Deduction for pipe in D/S wall				$22/7 \times (0.09 \times 0.09)/4 \times 4 \text{ rows} \times 40 \text{ No.} \times 0.30 \text{ m each}$	(-) 0.30
	Deduction for pipe in D/S wall				$22/7 \times (0.2 \times 0.2)/4 \times 0.20 \text{ m}$	(-) 0.01
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>80.81</b>
5	Cement concrete 1:15:3 for reinforcement concrete work in slabs excluding steel reinforcement but including centering and shuttering etc. H.S.R. 10.82					
	Slab on the Infiltration gallery	1	20.00	1.60	0.20	6.40

S.No.	Particulars	No.	Length (mts)	Breadth (mts)	Height (mts)	Content (cums)
	Deduction for pipes in slab	22/7	$(0.09 \times 0.09)/4$	3 rows	20 No.	$x 0.30$ (-) 0.08
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>6.32</b>
	Work including bending, binding & placing in position complete H.S.R. 18.22	Quintel of RCC work at item No. 3,4 and 5 ( $60.10 + 80.81 + 6.32$ ) = 147.23 cums				
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>147.23 quintel</b>
6	Laying, jointing and fixing of P.V.C. Pipes 80 mm diameter H.S.R. 28.19					
	In upstream wall		4 rows	20 No.	$x 0.30$ m each	24.00
	In Slab		3 rows	16 No.	$x 0.20$ m each	12.00
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>36.00 meters</b>
7	Re handling of earthwork and gravel work:- around the infiltration gallery & excavated drain after completion of work. Note-II Chapter 6 of H.S.R. Original earth work as Item No. 1					
	Deduction for Item No. 1					1882.80
	Deduction for Item No. 2,3, 4 and 5 i.e.	$(77.40 + 60.10 + 80.81 + 6.32) =$ (-)				224.63
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>1658.17</b>

**Table 18. Material Statement**

Sr. No.	Item of Work	Quantity (cum)	Cement (bags)	Sand (cum)	PVC Pipes 80 mm dia. (m)	Bajri (cum)	S.Boulders (cum)
1	CC work 1:4:8	77.40	263.16	37.15		74.30	---
2	RCC work 1:15:3 Item No. 3,4 and 5 (60.10 + 80.81 + 6.32) =	147.23	1185.20	61.84		123.67	147.23 Quintel Steel
3	PVC pipes 80 mm dia	36.00 m			36.00		
	<b>Total =</b>			98.99	36.00	197.98	147.23
	Rates of materials		245.00 Per bag	950.00 Per cum	150.00 Per meter	985.00 Per cum	4500.00 Per Quintel
	<b>Cost</b>	148.36	<b>354849</b>	<b>94039</b>	<b>5400</b>	<b>195008</b>	<b>662535</b>

**Total cost of materials = Rs. 1311830/-**

**Table 19. Abstract Cost of Sub- Surface Dam (Infiltration Gallery) = 40m length and 2.5m deep**

S. No.	Item of Work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1	Gravel work in excavation with occasional use of picks with lead up to 15 meters with percentage of gravel or kanker exceeding 60% but upto 80% extra for additional leads 2 No. and extra for wet work, above sub soil level HSR 6.5 (b), (d) & (e)	3538.50 cum	[1038.80 + (2 No. x 30.45) + 244.45] - 15% + 350% C. Prem. = 5141.37	100 cum	181927.38
2	Cement concrete work 1:4:8 in the foundation and plinth HSR 10.38	5.00 cum	64.95 - 15% + 370% C.Prem. = 259.48	cum	1297.40
3	Square rubble stone masonry course 1:5 foundation & plinth HSR 12.23	12.29 cum	(160.35 + 26.00) - 15% + 200% Pre. = 475.19	cum	5839.13
4	Cement concrete work 1:2:4 in the foundation and plinth	1.68	64.95 - 15% +		434.63



S. No.	Item of Work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
	HSR 10.41	cum	370% C. Prem. = 259.48		
5	Cement plastering work 1:45 on the stone walls HSR 15.5	46.40 sqm	5.50 - 15% + 340% C. Prem. = 20.57		
6	Cold twisted deformed steel bars for RCC work including bending, binding & placing in position complete HSR 18.22	0.70 quintel	49.55 - 15% + 450% C. Prem. = 231.65	Quintel 954.45	162.16
7	Laying, jointing and fixing of P.V.C. Pipes of 160 mm diameter. HSR 28.19 (i)	200.00 meters	4.15 - 15% + 250% C. Prem. = 12.35	meter	2469.25
8	Cost of materials as per detail attached				<b>480560.00</b>
	<b>Total =</b>				<b>6736440.40</b>
					<b>Or say Rs. 673644/-.</b>

**Table 20. Abstract Estimate of Conveyance System (uPVC pipe line) for Sub-Surface Dam of 1560m length, 160mm dia with man holes and protection measures**

Sr. No.	Particulars	No.	Length (mts)	Breadth (mts)	Height (mts)	Content (cums)
1	Gravel work in excavation with occasional use of picks with lead upto 15 meters with percentage of gravel or kanker exceeding 60% but upto 80% extra for additional leads 2 No. and extra for wet work, above subsoil level H.S.R. 6.5 (b), (d) & (e) for pipe line R.D. 0 to RD 480	1	480.00	(3.0 + 1.0) = 2.00	(3.0 + 1.5) = 1.75	1680.00
	For pipe line RD 480 to RD 1020	1	540.00	(2.0 + 1.0) = 1.50	(1.5 + 1.0) = 1.25	1012.50
	For pipe line RD 1020 to RD 1560	1	540.00	(2.0 + 1.0) =	1.00	810.00


Sr. No.	Particulars	No.	Length (mts)	Breadth (mts)	Height (mts)	Content (cums)
				1.50		
	Ho-dies	4	3.00	3.00	1.00	36.00
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>3538.50</b>
2	Labor for laying, jointing, fixing and testing PVC/Pipeline & specials in trenches (i) 160 mm internal diameter H.S.R. 28.19	1	1560.00	--	--	1560.00
3	Cement concrete work 1:4:8 for ho-dies in the foundation and plinth H.S.R. 28.19	4	2.50	2.50	0.20	5.00
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>5.00</b>
4	Square rubble stone masonry course 1:5 in foundation H.S.R. 12.23 Long walls	8	2.20	0.60	0.80	8.45
	Short walls	8	1.00	0.60	0.80	3.84
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>12.29</b>
5	Square rubble stone masonry course 1:5 A.G.L. H.S.R. 12.23 & 12.31 Long walls	8	2.00	0.50	0.70	5.60
	Short walls	8	1.00	0.50	0.70	2.80
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>8.40</b>
6	Cement concrete work 1:2:4 in the foundation and plinth H.S.R. 10.41 On the top of Long walls	8	2.00	0.50	0.05	0.40
	On the top of Short walls	8	1.00	0.50	0.05	0.20
	In the bed of ho-dies	4	1.00	1.00	0.10	0.40
	Slabs on the ho-dies	12	1.50	0.50	0.075	0.68
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>1.68</b>
7	Cement plastering work 1:4 on the stone walls H.S.R. 15.5 Inner walls of hodies	16	1.00	--	1.50	24.00
	Upstream wall	16	2.00	--	0.70	22.40

Sr. No.	Particulars	No.	Length (mts)	Breadth (mts)	Height (mts)	Content (cums)
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>46.40</b>


**Table 21. Material Statement**

Sr. No.	Item of Work	Quantity (cum)	Cement (bags)	Sand (cum)	S. blast (cum)	Bajri (cum)	S. Boulders (cum)
1	CC work 1:4:8	5.00	17.00	2.40	4.80		
2	Sq. stone masonry work 1:5 in foundation (12.29 + 8.40 = 20.69)	20.69	35.50	6.21			22.76
3	CC work 1:2:4	1.68	10.55	0.74	--	1.47	--
4	C. plastering work 1:2:4	46.20 sqm	5.10	0.70	--	--	--
	<b>Total</b>		<b>68.24 bags</b>	<b>10.04 cum</b>	<b>4.80 cum</b>	<b>1.47 cum</b>	<b>22.76 cum</b>
	Also can say		68 bags	354.59 cft	169.54 cft	52.06 cft	803.77 cft
	Or say		68 bags	360.00 cft	170.00 cft	55.00 cft	800.00 cft
	Rates of material		245.00 Per bag	23.50 per cft	21.00 per cft	24.00 per cft	18.00 per cft
	<b>Cost of materials</b>		<b>16660</b>	<b>8460</b>	<b>3570</b>	<b>1320</b>	<b>14400</b>
	Cost of steel bars 12 mm dia for 70 kgs @ Rs. 45/- per kg						3150
	Cost of PVC pipes 160 mm dia 4 kg/sq. cm for 1560 meters @ Rs. 275/- meter						429000
	Cost of solvent cement 10 liters @ Rs. 400/- per liter						4000
	<b>Total cost of Materials</b>				<b>= Rs.</b>		<b>480560/-</b>

# Typical plan and section of infiltration gallery of sub-surface dam



Plan




Section

**Typical Plan and Section of Infiltration Gallery of Sub- Surface Dam.**

**Table 22. DETAILED ESTIMATE OF SILT DETENTION DAM**

Let the Average length of the Dam =	50 meters			
Let the Average Height of the Dam =	4.5 meters			
Up Stream Slope of the Dam =	1 : 3			
Down Stream Slope of the Dam =	1 : 2.5			



**Silt Detention Dam**

**Table 23. Leads Statement**

<b>Leads Statement :-</b>								
Cross Section Area = (Base + Top) ÷ 2 x Height i.e $\{(27.75 + 3.00) \div 2\} \times 4.50 = 69.19$ Square meters								
Horizontal leads = (Base/2) + (Cross section area/ 2 x 0.6) i.e. $(27.75/2) + [(69.19)/(2 \times 0.6)] = 71.54$ meters								
Vertical leads = (Height +0.60) x 0.4 x 10 i.e. $(4.50 + 0.60) \times 0.4 \times 10 = 20.40$ meters								
Total leads = 71.54 meters + 20.40 meters = 91.94 meters								
Number of leads = ( 91.94 - 15.00 ) / 7.5 = 10.25 leads Or Say 11 No. of Leads								
<b>Area of Jungle Clearance :-</b>								
Area to be covered by the body of Dam = Length x Average base i.e. $50.00 \times 27.75 = 1387.50$ Sq. meters								
Area from where E/W is to be excavated = Av. Length x leads i.e. $50.00 \times 91.94 = 4597.00$ Sq. meters								
Total Area = 1387.50 + 4597.00 =		5984.50	Sq. meters.					
<b>Volume of Key Trench :-</b>								
(Length - 2 x 2.50 ) x Av. Width x Height i.e $(50.00 - 2 \times 2.50 ) \times (6.00 + 2.00 )/2 \times 2.00 =$					360.00	cum		
<b>Volume of Loose soil to be removed :-</b>								
Area to be covered by the body of Dam X Depth of loose soil i.e $(1387.50 \times 0.30 ) =$					416.25	cum		
<b>Volume of Earthwork in bund filling :-</b>								
(Cross Section Area X Length) + Loose soil to be removed i.e. $(69.19 \times 50.00) + 416.25 =$					3875.75	cum		
<b>DETAILED ESTIMATE OF CHUTE SPILLWAY</b>								
<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Length</u> <u>( mts )</u>	<u>Breadth</u> <u>( mts )</u>	<u>Height</u> <u>( mts )</u>	<u>Content</u> <u>( cums )</u>		
1	<b>Excavation of earthwork in foundation And plinth</b>						<b>6.6</b>	
	Crest wall	1	2.00	1.00	1.50 H.S.R	3.00		

	Side walls	2	24.00	1.00	1.50	72.00	
	Wing walls	2	2.00	1.00	1.50	6.00	
	Toe with extension	1	4.00	1.00	1.50	6.00	
	Apron	1	24.00	2.00	$(2.0+1.0)/2$ =1.50	72.00	
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>159.00</b>	
	<b>Cement concrete work 1 : 4 : 8 in the Foundation and plinth H.S.R 10.39</b>						
2	Crest wall	1	2.00	0.90	0.20	0.36	
	Side walls	2	24.00	0.90	0.20	8.64	
	Wing walls	2	2.00	0.90	0.20	0.72	
	Toe with extension	1	4.00	0.90	0.20	0.72	
	Apron	1	24.00	2.00	0.20	9.60	
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>20.04</b>	
	<b>Square rubble stone masonry course 1: 5 in foundation and plinth H.S.R 12.23</b>						
3	Crest wall	1	2.00	0.70	1.30	1.82	
	Side walls	2	24.00	0.70	0.30	10.08	
	Wing walls	2	2.00	0.70	1.30	3.64	
	Toe with extension	1	4.00	0.70	0.30	0.84	
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>16.38</b>	
4	<b>Square rubble stone masonry course 1: 5 above G.L. H.S.R 12.23 and 12.31</b>						
	Side walls	2	24.00	0.50	$(1.0+0.6)/2=0.80$	19.20	
	Wing walls	2	2.00	0.50	1.00	2.00	

	Toe with extension	1	6.00	0.50	0.20	0.60	
		1	1.00	0.50	0.60	0.30	
	Toe wall extensions			<b>Total =</b>		<b>22.10</b>	
	<b>Cement concrete work 1 : 2 : 4 in the Foundation and plinth H.S.R 10.41</b>						
	On top of crest wall	1	2.00	0.50	0.05	0.05	
	On top of side walls	2	24.00	0.50	0.05	1.20	
	On top of wing walls	2	2.00	0.50	0.05	0.10	
	On top of Toe wall	1	4.00	0.50	0.05	0.10	
		1	24.00	2.00	0.10	4.80	
<b>5</b>	Apron			<b>Total =</b>		<b>6.25</b>	
	<b>Cement plastering work 1:4 on the</b>						
	Crest wall both side	2	2.00	–	1.30	5.20	
	Side walls	2	24.00	–	$(1.0+0.6)/2=0.80$	38.40	
	Wing walls	2	2.00	–	2.30	9.20	
	Toe with extensions	1	4.00	–	0.20	0.80	
		2 x 2	1.00	–	0.60	2.40	
<b>6</b>	Toe wall extensions			<b>Total =</b>		<b>56.00</b>	
	<b>Material Statement and cost of Material:-</b>						
<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Item of Work</b>	<b>Quantity ( cum )</b>	<b>Cement (bags)</b>	<b>Sand ( cum )</b>	<b>Stone blast ( cum )</b>	<b>Bajri 20 mm ( cum )</b>	<b>Stone boulders ( cum )</b>
1	C.C work 1 : 4 : 8	20.04	68.136	9.6192	19.2384	–	–
2	Sq. Rub. Masonry 1: 5	16.38	28.1736	4.914	–	–	18.018



	in foundation.						
3	Sq. Rub. Masonry 1: 5 above ground level.	22.10	38.012	6.63	–	–	24.31
4	C.C work 1 : 2 : 4		39.375	2.75	–	5.50	–
5	C. plastering work 1 : 2 : 4 6.25	56.00 sqm	6.16	0.84	–	–	–
<b>Total =</b>			<b>179.8566</b>	<b>24.7532</b>	<b>19.2384</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>42.328</b>
	Rates of material	245.00 per bag	950.00 per cum	965.00 per cum	985.00 per cum	945.00 per cum	
	<b>Cost of Materials</b>	<b>44065</b>	<b>23516</b>	<b>18565</b>	<b>5418</b>	<b>40000</b>	
<b>Total Cost of Materials =</b>			<b>Rupees</b>	<b>131563</b>	<b>/-only</b>		

**ABSTRACT OF COST**

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Item of Work</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1	Jungle clearance including uprooting of rank vegetarian, grass, bush woods etc H.S.R.6.26	5984.50 sq.m	Rs.66.80 + 300% C. Prem. =267.20	100 sq.m	15990.58
2	Removal of loose soil up to 0.3 m below Natural surface level H.S.R. 6.2 (b)	416.25 cum	Rs.586.60 + 350% C. Prem.= 2639.70	100 cum	10987.75
3	E/Work excavation for digging of the key trench H.S.R. 6.6	360.00 cum	Rs.1108.10 + 350% C. Prem.= 4986.45	100 cum	17951.22

4	Excavation of E/Work for clay filling in Key trench including lead up to 495 mts. H.S.R. 6.2(b)and 6.2 (c)	360.00 cum	586.60+(6x15)+(32x13.25)+ (26x12.00) + 350% C. Prem.= 6356.70	100 cum	22884.12
5	Extra for puddling work in key trench H.S.R. 6.6 ( f )	360.00 cum	Rs. 498.60 + 350% C. Prem.= 2243.70	100 cum	8077.32
6	E/work excavation for making embank- ment undressed including breaking of Clods. H.S.R. 6.2 (b)	3875.75 cum	Rs.586.60 + 350% C. Prem.= 2639.70	100 cum	102308.17
7	Extra for admixture for single or kanker Exceeding 30% but up to 40%. H.S.R. 6.2 (h) ii	3875.75 cum	Rs. 318.55 + 350% C. Prem.= 1433.48	100 cum	55558.10
8	Extra for every 7.5 meter additional lead beyond 60mt but up to 255 m by the animal or animal driven cart (11 leads) H.S.R. 6.2 (c) ( ii )	3875.75 cum	[(15.00 x 6 No.)+ (13.25 x 5 No.)] + 350% C. Prem.= 703.12	100 cum	27251.17
9	Extra for compaction and watering earth laying in 25cm layers source of water leads up to 1 km. H.S.R. 6.2 (g) (ii),( i )	3875.75 cum	Rs.(75.00+ 68.10)+350% C. Prem.= 643.95	100 cum	24957.89


10	Extra for rolling with road roller / tractor H.S.R. 6.2 (g) (v)	3875.75 cum	Rs.225.00 + 110 % C. Prem.= 472.50	100 cum	18312.92
11	Excavation of earthwork in foundation and plinth H.S.R 6.6	159.00 cum	Rs.1108.10 + 350 % C. Prem. =4986.45	100 cum	<b>7928.46</b>
12	Cement concrete work 1 : 4 : 8 in the Foundation and plinth H.S.R 10.39	20.04 cum	Rs. 64.95 + 370 % C. Prem. =305.27	cum	<b>6117.61</b>
13	Square rubble stone masonry course1: 5 in foundation and plinth H.S.R 12.23	16.38 cum	Rs. (160.35+26.00) +250% C. Prem. =652.22	cum	<b>10683.36</b>
14	Square rubble stone masonry course1: 5 above G.L. H.S.R 12.23 and 12.31	22.10 cum	Rs. (160.35+26.00+27.20) +200% C. Prem.= 747.42	cum	<b>16517.98</b>
15	Cement concrete work 1 : 2 : 4 in the Foundation and plinth H.S.R 10.41	6.25 cum	Rs.64.95 + 370 % C. Prem. =305.27	cum	<b>1907.94</b>
16	Cement plastering work 1:4 on the stone walls 15.5	56.00 sqm	Rs. 5.50 + 340 % C. Prem. =24.20	cum	<b>1355.20</b>
17	Total Cost of Materials H.S.R				<b>131562.923</b>
<b>Total =</b>					<b>480352.726</b>

Add Contingency at the rate of 3% =	14410.5818
<b>Grand Total =</b>	<b>494763.31</b>
<b>Say Rs. 4.95 Lacs</b>	

**Table 24. DETAILED ESTIMATE OF EARTHEN GULLY PLUG**

	Let the Average length of the Gully Plug =	40 meters			
	Let the Average Height of the Gully Plug =	3.0 meters			
	Up Stream Slope of the Gully Plug	1 : 2.5			
	Down Stream Slope of the Gully Plug =	1 : 2.5			

=



Earthen gully plug

<b><u>Leads Statement :-</u></b>					
Cross Section Area = (Base + Top) ÷ 2 x Height i.e. $\{(17.00 + 2.00) \div 2\} \times 3.00 = 28.50$ Square meters					
Horizontal leads = (Base/2) + (Cross section area/ 2 x 0.6) i.e. $(17.00/2) + \{[28.50]/(2 \times 0.6)\} = 32.25$ meters					
Vertical leads = (Height + 0.60) x 0.4 x 10 i.e. $(3.00 + 0.60) \times 0.4 \times 10 = 14.40$ meters					
Total leads = 32.25 meters + 14.40 meters = 46.65 meters					
Number of leads = $(46.65 - 15.00) / 7.5 = 4.22$ leads Or Say 5 No. of Leads					
<b><u>Area of Jungle Clearance :-</u></b>					
Area to be covered by the body of Dam = Length x Average base i.e. $40.00 \times 17.00 = 680.00$ Sq. meters					
Area from where E/W is to be excavated = Av. Length x leads i.e. $40.00 \times 46.65 = 1866.00$ Sq. meters					
Total Area = $680.00 + 1866.00 =$		2546.00	Sq. meters.		
<b><u>Volume of Loose soil to be removed :-</u></b>					
Area to be covered by the body of Dam X Depth of loose soil i.e. $(680.00 \times 0.30) =$				204.00	cum
<b><u>Volume of Earthwork in bund filling :-</u></b>					
(Cross Section Area X Length) + Loose soil to be removed i.e. $(28.50 \times 40.00) + 204.00 =$				1344.00	cum
<b><u>ABSTRACT OF COST</u></b>					
<b><u>S.No.</u></b>	<b><u>Item of Work</u></b>	<b><u>Quantity</u></b>	<b><u>Rate</u></b>	<b><u>Unit</u></b>	<b><u>Amount</u></b>
1	Jungle clearance including uprooting	2546.00	Rs.66.80 + 300%	100	6802.91

	of rank vegetarian, grass, bush woods etc H.S.R.6.26	sq.m	C. Prem. =267.20	sq.m	
2	Removal of loose soil up to 0.3 m below Natural surface level H.S.R. 6.2 (b)	204.00 cum	Rs.586.60 + 350% C. Prem.= 2639.70	100 cum	5384.99
3	E/work excavation for making embank- ment undressed including breaking of Clods. H.S.R. 6.2 (b)	1344.00 cum	Rs.586.60 + 350% C. Prem.= 2639.70	100 cum	35477.57
4	Extra for admixture for single or kanker Exceeding 30% but up to 40%. H.S.R. 6.2 (h) ii	1344.00 cum	Rs. 318.55 + 350% C. Prem.= 1433.48	100 cum	19265.97
5	Extra for every 7.5 meter additional lead beyond 60mt but up to 255 m by the animal or animal driven cart (5 leads) H.S.R. 6.2 (c) ( ii )	1344.00 cum	[(15.00 x 5 No.)+ 350% C. Prem.= 337.50	100 cum	4536.00
6	Dressing of earthwork H.S.R. 6.3 (i)	1344.00 cum	Rs.45.90 + 350 % C. Prem.= 206.55	100 cum	2776.03
<b>Total =</b>					<b>74243.4712</b>
Add Contingency at the rate of 3% =					2227.30
<b>Grand Total =</b>					<b>76470.78</b>


**Table 25. DETAIL ESTIMATE OF CRATE WIRE STRUCTURE**

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Particulars</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Length (Mts)</u>	<u>Breadth (Mts)</u>	<u>Height/ Depth(M)</u>	<u>Content (Cums)</u>
1	Excavation of Earthwork in foundation H.S.R. 6.6					
	C.W.S.	1	5.00	3.00	0.50	7.50
	Wing walls	1	1.50	3.00	1.50	6.75
	<b>Total</b>					<b>14.25</b>
2	Weaving of wire knitting 15 cm x 15 cm H.S.R.23.29					
	C.W.S first step					
	Top And Bottom	2	5.00	2.50		25.00
	Sides	2	5.00		0.50	5.00
	Edges	2		2.50	0.50	2.50
	Second step					
	Top	1	5.00	2.00		10.00
	Sides	2	5.00		0.50	5.00
	Edges	2		2.00	0.50	2.00
	Third step					
	Top	1	5.00	1.50		7.50
	Sides	2	5.00		0.50	5.00
	Edges	2		2.00	0.50	2.00
	Wing walls					
	Top	2	1.50	1.50		4.50
	Sides	4	1.50		0.50	3.00
	Edges	4		1.50	0.50	3.00
<b>Total</b>					<b>74.50</b>	
<b>Quantity of G.I wire 4 mm dia for 88.50 Sq.m @ 2.31kg per Sqaremetre =</b>					<b>172</b>	<b>kilograms</b>
3	Stone Filling in to wire crates HSR23.32					




<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Particulars</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Length (Mts)</u>	<u>Breadth (Mts)</u>	<u>Height/Depth(M)</u>	<u>Content (Cums)</u>
	C.W.S. First step	1	5.00	2.50	0.50	6.25
	C.W.S. Second step	1	5.00	2.00	0.50	5.00
	C.W.S. Third step	1	5.00	1.50	0.50	3.75
	Wing walls	2	1.50	1.50	0.50	2.25
					<b>Total</b>	<b>17.25</b>
4	Earth work in bund filling for making	2	3.00	(4.0+1.0)/2=2.50	1.50	<b>22.50</b>
<b>ABSTRACT OF COST</b>						
<u>S No.</u>	<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Qty</u>	<u>Rates</u>		<u>Unit</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1	Excavation of Earthwork in foundation H.S.R.6.6	14.25 cums	1108.10 + 350% Prem. =4986.45		100 cums	710.57
2	Weaving of wire knitting 15 cm x 15 cm H.S.R.23.29	74.50 sqm	3.50 + 400% Prem. =17.5		sqm	1303.75
3	Hammer dressing of stone boulders for face work H.S.R. 12.56	74.50 sqm	14.25 + 250% Prem. =49.88		sqm	3716.06
4	Stone Filling in to the wire crates H.S.R.23.32	17.25 cums	15.35 + 300% Prem. =61.4		cum	1059.15
5	Tipping of the wire crates H.S.R.23.33	17.25 cums	11.10 + 300% Prem. =44.4		cum	765.90
6	Earth work in bund filling for making embankment. ) H.S.R. 6.2 (b	22.50 cum	586.60 +350 % C. Prem. =2639.7		100 cum	593.93
	stone boulders manually locally @ 0.50	17.25 cums	Rupees	945.00	cum	16301.25
7	Cost of G.I wire 4 mm dia hot dip 8 No.	172.00 kgs	Rupees	80.00	Kg	13760.00
					<b>Total =</b>	<b>38210.61</b>
					Add contingency at the rate of 3%	1146.32
					<b>Grand Total =</b>	<b>39356.93</b>
	<b>Per cum Rate = 39356.93 /17.25 = 2281.56or say Rs.2280- only</b>					

## WORK PLAN OF CRATE WIRE STRUCTURE



PLAN



X-SECTION

\* Not to Scale  
\* All Dimension in m.

**Work plan of crate wire structure**

**Table 26. Detail Estimate of Cement Stone Masonry Structure**

<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>Length (mts)</b>	<b>Breadth (mts)</b>	<b>Height (mts)</b>	<b>Content (cums)</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Excavation of earthwork in foundation And plinth</b>					<b>6.6</b>
	Crest wall with extensions	1	8.00	2.00	1.20	19.20
	Side walls	2	1.50	1.00	1.20	3.60
	Wing walls	2	2.00	1.00	1.20	4.80
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	1.00	1.20	7.20
	Apron	1	4.00	1.50	0.30	1.80
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>36.60</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Cement concrete work 1 : 4 : 8 in the Foundation and plinth</b>					<b>10.39</b>
	Crest wall with extensions	1	8.00	1.70	0.20	2.72
	Side walls	2	1.50	0.70	0.20	0.42
	Wing walls	2	2.00	0.70	0.20	0.56
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	0.70	0.20	0.84
	Apron	1	4.00	1.50	0.20	1.20
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>5.74</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Square rubble stone masonry course1: 5 in foundation and plinth H.S.R</b>					<b>12.23</b>
	Crest wall with extensions	1	8.00	$(1.5+1.0)/2=$ 1.25	1.00	<b>10.00</b>
	Side walls	2	1.50	0.50	1.00	<b>1.50</b>
	Wing walls	2	2.00	0.50	1.00	<b>2.00</b>
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	0.50	1.00	<b>3.00</b>
			<b>Total =</b>		<b>16.50</b>	
<b>4</b>	<b>Square rubble stone masonry course1: 5 above G.L. H.S.R</b>					<b>12.23 and 12.31</b>
	Crest wall with extensions	1	8.00	$(1.0+0.5)/2=$ 0.75	1.20	7.20
	Side walls	2	$(1.5+2.0)/2=$ 1.75	0.50	$(1.7+0.5)/2=$ 1.1	1.93
	Wing walls	2	2.00	0.50	1.70	3.40
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	0.50	0.20	0.60

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Length (mts)</u>	<u>Breadth (mts)</u>	<u>Height (mts)</u>	<u>Content (cums)</u>	
	Toe wall extensions	1	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.25	
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>13.38</b>	
<b>5</b>	<b>Cement concrete work 1 : 2 : 4 in the Foundation and plinth</b>					<b>10.41</b>	
	On the top of crest wall	1	4.00	$(1.0+0.5)/2=0.75$	0.05	0.15	
	On the top of crest wall extensions	2	2.00	0.50	0.05	0.10	
	On the top of side walls	2	1.50	0.50	0.05	0.08	
	On the top of wing walls	2	2.00	0.50	0.05	0.10	
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	0.50	0.05	0.15	
	Apron	1	4.00	1.50	0.10	0.60	
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>1.18</b>	
<b>6</b>	<b>Cement plastering work 1:4 on the</b>						
	Crest wall both side	2	4.00	—	1.20	9.60	
	Crest wall extensions	2 x 2	2.00	—	0.50	4.00	
	Side walls	2	$(1.5+2.0)/2=1.75$	—	$(1.7+0.5)/2=1.1$	3.85	
	Wing walls	2	2.00	—	1.70	6.80	
	Toe wall with extensions	1	6.00	—	0.20	1.20	
	Toe wall extensions	2 x 2	1.00	—	0.50	2.00	
				<b>Total =</b>		<b>27.45</b>	

**Table 27. MATERIAL STATEMENT AND COST OF MATERIAL**

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Item of work</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Cement</u>	<u>Sand</u>	<u>Stone blast</u>	<u>Bajri 20 mm</u>	<u>Stone boulders</u>
			(bags)	( cum )	( cum )	( cum )	( cum )
1	C.C work 1 : 4 : 8	5.74	19.516	2.7552	5.5104	—	—
2	Sq. stone masonry work	( cum )	28.38	4.95	—	—	18.15

16.50

	1: 5 in foundation.					
3	Sq. stone masonry work 1: 4 above ground level. 13.38	23.005	4.0125	—	—	14.7125
4	C.C work 1 : 2 : 4 1.18	7.4025	0.517	—	1.034	—
5	C. plastering work 1 : 4 sqm 27.45	3.02	0.41	—	—	—
	<b>Total =</b>	<b>81.323</b>	<b>12.64645</b>	<b>5.5104</b>	<b>1.034</b>	<b>32.8625</b>
	<b>Rates of material</b>	245.00 per bag	950.00 per cum	965.00 per cum	985.00 per cum	945.00 per cum
	<b>Cost of Materials</b>	<b>19924</b>	<b>12014</b>	<b>5318</b>	<b>1018</b>	<b>31055</b>
	<b>Total Cost of Materials =</b>	<b>Rupees</b>	<b>69329</b>	<b>/-only</b>		

Table 28. LABOUR COST



<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Item of work</u> <u>Quantity</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1	Excavation of earthwork in foundation and plinth H.S.R 6.6 36.60 cum	1108.10 +350% C. Prem. =4986.45	100 cum	<b>1825.04</b>
2	Cement concrete work 1 : 8 : 16 in the Foundation and plinth H.S.R 10.39 5.74 cum	64.95 +370% C. Prem. =305.27	cum	<b>1752.25</b>
3	Square rubble stone masonry course 1: 5 in foundation and plinth H.S.R 12.23 16.50 cum	(160.35+26.00) +250% C. Prem. =652.22	cum	<b>10761.63</b>
4	Square rubble stone masonry course 1: 5 above G.L. H.S.R 12.23 and 12.31 13.38 cum	(160.35+26.00+27.20) +200% Prem.= 747.42	cum	<b>9996.74</b>
5	Cement concrete work 1 : 2 : 4 in the Foundation and plinth H.S.R 10.41 1.18 cum	64.95 +370% C. Prem. =305.27	cum	<b>358.69</b>
6	Cement plastering work 1:4 on the stone walls 27.45 sqm	5.50 +340 % C. Prem. =24.2	cum	<b>664.29</b>
	<b>Total =</b> H.S.R 15.5	29.875		<b>25358.64525</b>

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Item of work</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Amount</u>
		cum			
					or say Rs.25359/- only

**Table 29. ABSTRACT OF COST**

Labour cost	25359.00
Cost of Materials as per detail attached	69329.00
<b>Total =</b>	<b>94688.00</b>
Add contingency at the rate of 3%	2841.00
<b>Grand Total =</b>	<b>97529.00</b>
<b>Per cum Rate =</b>	<b>97529 / 29.88 = 3264.02 or say Rs.3260/- only</b>

## WORK PLAN OF CEMENT STONE MASONRY STRUCTURE



\* Not to Scale  
\* All Dimension in m.


**X-section of Masonry Structure**

**Table 30. Detail Estimate of Dry Stone Masonry Check Dam**


<b>S No.</b>	<b>Particulars</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>Length (mts)</b>	<b>Breadth (mts)</b>	<b>D/H (mts )</b>	<b>Content (cums)</b>
1	Earth work in excavation of foundation in all type of soils. H.S.R. 6.6	1	4.00	3.00	(1.0+0.3+1.0)/3=0.77	9.24
2	Dry Stones Masonry work for purely temporary nature. H.S.R. 12.57	1	4.00	(3.0 +1.0) / 2 =2.00	1.00	8.00
<b><u>ABSTRACT OF COST</u></b>						
<b>S No.</b>	<b>Particulars</b>	<b>Qty</b>	<b>Rates</b>		<b>Unit</b>	<b>Amount</b>
1	Earth work in excavation of foundation in all type of soils. H.S.R. 6.6	9.24 cum	1108.10 +350% C. Prem. =4986.45		100 cum	460.75
2	Rough Hammer dressing of S. boulders H.S.R. 12.55 ©	8.00 cum	35.00 + 250% C. Prem. =122.5		cum	980.00
3	Dry Stones Masonry work for purely temporary nature. H.S.R. 12.57	8.00 cum	35.30 + 250% C. Prem. =123.55		cum	988.40
4	Cost of Stone boulders stone boulders - 140 -anually locally @ 0.50 per person per day for 164.00 cum.	8.00 cum	945.00		P/day	7560.00
<b>Total =</b>						<b>9989.15</b>
Add contingency at the rate of 3%						299.67
<b>Grand Total =</b>						<b>10288.82</b>
<b>Per cum Rate = 10288.82 /8.00 = 1286.10 or say Rs.1285/- only</b>						



**WORK PLAN OF DRY STONE MASSONRY  
CHECK DAM**



**PLAN**



**X-SECTION**

\* Not to Scale  
\* All Dimension in m.

**Work Plan of Dry Stone Masonry Check Dam**


**Table 31. Work Detail Estimate For Retaining Wall**

Sr. No.	Particulars	No.	L	B	D	Contents	Unit
1	Earth Work Excavtion for R/wal	1	8.00	1.00	1.30	10.40	cum.
2	C.C. 1:3:6 in foundation	1	8.00	1.00	0.30	2.40	cum.
3	Sq. Rubble Masonary work 1:4 For R/wall	1	8.00	0.80	3.00	19.20	cum.
4	C.C. 1:2:4	1	8.00	1.00	0.05	0.40	cum.
5	20 mm Thick plaster 1:3						
i	R/wall outer side	1	8.00	--	3.00	24.00	sqm.
<b>Material Statement</b>							
Sr. No.	Particulars	Qty.	Cement	Sand	Concrete	Gatka	Stone
1	C.C. 1:3:6 in foundation	240	10.56	1.10	--	2.20	--
2	Masonry work in 1:4	19.2	41.28	5.76	--	--	21.12
3	C.C. 1:2:4	0.24	1.51	0.10	0.20	--	--
4	20 mm Thick Plaster in 1:3	24.00 Sqm.	6.00	0.36	--	--	--
	<b>Total</b>		<b>59.35</b>	<b>7.32</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>2.20</b>	<b>21.12</b>
	<b>Rate</b>		340/- P/bag	1400/- P/cum	1500/- Per cum.	1450/- Per cum.	
	<b>Total</b>		<b>21539.00</b>	<b>10248.00</b>	<b>300.00</b>	<b>3190.00</b>	
	<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>35298.12</b>				


**Table 32. Abstract Cost of Retaining Wall**

Sr. No.	Particular	Qty.	Rate	Unit	Amount
1	Earth work excavation in foundation and trench with pick and jumper HSR 7.2	10.40 cum	1745+400% = 8725	Per 100 cum	907.40
2	C.C. 1:3:6 in foundation per HSR 10.40	2.40 cum	64.85+550% = 422.18	per cum	1013.23
3	Sq. Rubble masonry work in 1:4 HSR 12.23+12.31	19.20 cum	(160.35+27.20)+300% = 750.20	per cum	14403.84
4	C.C. 1:2:4 on top as per HSR 10.41	0.24 cum	64.95+550% = 422.18	per cum	101.32
5	20mm. Thick plaster work in 1:3 as HSR 10.41	40 sqm.	8.15 + 500% = 48.90	Per sq.m.	1956.00
6	Collection the stone by donkey load upto 1 qtl. 'and distance upto 10 km excluding donkey man HSR. 5.3(a)	21.12 x 23.20 = 489.00	8.00 + 200% = 24.00	each	11736.00
7	Donkeies as HSR. 5.3 (b)	489.98/6	20.52+200% = 61.56	each	5027.19
8	Tipping work of Crate as HSR. 23.33	7.20 cum	11.10+450% = 61.05	Per cum	439.56
<b>Total</b>					<b>35584.55</b>
<b>Cost of material as per detail attached</b>					<b>35494.00</b>
<b>G. Total</b>					<b>71078.55</b>
<b>or Say Rs. =</b>					<b>71100.00</b>

# RETAINING WALL



SECTION-AA'



PLAN

**Table 33. Estimate of Orchard Development in the Watersheds Per Hectare ( Lemen, Lichi, &Kinnoo)**  
**A. Horticulture**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Particulars</b>	<b>Quantity</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Rate</b>	<b>Amount</b>
1	Soil working 1m x 1m x 1m size pits (390 Nos.) including cost of refilling(At the distance 15'x15')	390.00	cum	36.66	14297.40
2	Application of Farmacyard Manure, including cost			L.S.	750.00
3	Cost of Fertiliser/ pesticide @250gm/plant			L.S.	750.00
4	Cost of plants (including 15% etc. for mortality) including transportation and planting	450.00	Nos.	15/Plant	6750.00
5	Casualty replacement @ 10% of item No. 4 & 5				465.00
6	Cost of 2 weedings and hoeing			1.00/Pant	540.00
7	Contingency and unforeseen (3%)				492.00
				<b>Total</b>	<b>24044.40</b>
				<b>Say `</b>	<b>24000.00</b>
	Maintenance cost 2 <sup>nd</sup> year			<b>L.S.</b>	<b>1000.00</b>
	For next 5 years i.e. , ` 1000 x 5				<b>5000.00</b>
				<b>Total</b>	<b>30000.00</b>
				<b>Say `</b>	<b>30000.00</b>

**Estimate of Orchard Development in the Watersheds Per Hectare (Guava ,Amla & Ber)**

**A. Horticulture**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Particulars</b>	<b>Quantity</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Rate</b>	<b>Amount</b>
1	Soil working 1m x 1m x 1m size pits (225 Nos.) including cost of refilling(At the distance 20'x20')	225.00	cum	36.66	8248.50
2	Application of Farmyard Manure, including cost			L.S.	450.00
3	Cost of Fertiliser/ pesticide @250gm/plant			L.S.	450.00
4	Cost of plants (including 15% etc. for mortality) including transportation and planting	260.00	Nos.	30/Plant	7800.00
5	Casualty replacement @ 10% of item No. 4 & 5				465.00
6	Cost of 2 weedings and hoeing			1.00/Pant	540.00
7	Contingency and unforeseen (3%)				492.00
<b>Total</b>					<b>18445.50</b>
<b>Say `</b>					<b>18500.00</b>
8	Maintenance cost 2 <sup>nd</sup> year			L.S.	1000.00
	For next 5 years i.e. , ` 1000 x 5				5000.00
<b>Total</b>					<b>24500.00</b>
<b>Say `</b>					<b>24500.00</b>

**Estimate of Orchard Development in the Watersheds Per Hectare (Mango, Chikoo & Lichi)**

**A. Horticulture**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Particulars</b>	<b>Quantity</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Rate</b>	<b>Amount</b>
1	Soil working 1m x 1m x 1m size pits (105 Nos.) including cost of refilling(At the distance 30'x30')	105.00	cum	36.66	3849.30
2	Application of Farmyard Manure, including cost			L.S.	250.00
3	Cost of Fertiliser/ pesticide @250gm/plant			L.S.	250.00

4	Cost of plants (including 15% etc. for mortality) including transportation and planting	121.00	Nos.	30/Plant	3630.00
5	Casualty replacement @ 10% of item No. 4 & 5				465.00
6	Cost of 2 weedings and hoeing			1.00/Pant	540.00
7	Contingency and unforeseen (3%)				492.00
<b>Total</b>					<b>9476.30</b>
<b>Say `</b>					<b>9500.00</b>
	Maintenance cost 2 <sup>nd</sup> year			L.S.	<b>800.00</b>
	For next 5 years i.e. , ` 800 x 5				<b>4000.00</b>
<b>Total</b>					<b>14300.00</b>
<b>Say `</b>					<b>14300.00</b>

**Table 34. Estimate of Agro- Forestry/ Afforestation**

<b>Plantation Model</b>						
<b>Cost statement of 1 Ha. Of activities of Plantation for 1st year (wage rate Rs. 94.13/-)</b>						
<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Item of work</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Qty.</b>	<b>SOR</b>	<b>Man days</b>	<b>Cost</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Nursery</b>					
i	Raising of Plants in nursery	Nos.	660	18	5601.00	<b>11880.00</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Carriage</b>					
i	Loading/ Unloading of plants upto 100 mtr.	Nos.	605	21.18	1.36	128.139
ii	Multistage carriage of plants					
a)	By tractor upto 10 km.	Nos.	605	18.83	12.10	1139.22
c)	By manual labour in plantation area	Nos.	605	42.36	2.72	256.28
					<b>Total</b>	<b>1523.63</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>Planting</b>					
ii	Soil working for patch sowing	M3	31.25	61.18	20.31	1911.88
	500 x 0.50 x 0.50 x 0.25					

iii	Planting of seeding including 10% replacement 20 x 30 cm.	Nos.	550	188.26	10.99	1035.43
					<b>Total</b>	<b>2947.31</b>

<b>E</b>	<b>Cultural operations &amp; chemical treatment</b>					
i	Fertilizer application	Nos.	500	9.41	0.50	47.05
ii	Insecticide application	Nos.	500	9.41	0.50	47.05
iii	First Weeding & hoeing	Nos.	500	141.2	7.5	706.00
vi	Subsequent weeding & hoeing two time	Nos.	1000	94.13	10.00	941.30
					<b>Total</b>	<b>1741.40</b>

<b>G</b>	<b>Material</b>					
ii	Spade and pick axes	----	----	----	----	135.00
iii	Basket/Bucket	----	----	----	----	135.00
v	Fertilizer	----	----	----	----	135.00
vi	Insecticide	----	----	----	----	270.00
					<b>Total</b>	<b>675.00</b>

					<b>G. Total =</b>	<b>18767.34</b>
					<b>or Say =</b>	<b>18767.00</b>



**PRODUCTION SYSTEM- 10%**

## 7.3 PRODUCTION SYSTEM

### 7.3.1 Crop Production

**Present Status:** Agriculture is the mainstay of the inhabitants of the project area which is mainly rain-fed and people gamble with the uncertain rains. Rain-fed Wheat and Maize are the main crops. Due to frequent droughts, crop failures are common, and yield levels are low. Farmers maintain fodder plants on the field bunds. Because of extensive damage by wildlife, farmers are gradually shifting towards tree farming and dairy farming. But there is acute shortage of green and dry fodder. Still traditional farm practices are followed such as manual weeding and hoeing, use of desi ploughs and bullock power in tillage operations. The use of chemical fertilizer is limited to urea upto 50 Kg/acre in maize and wheat. Pulses are not raised due to the fear of wildlife damage. Soil testing has never been done. Only farm yard manure is added to maintain yield levels. Food grains are hardly sufficient for 6 to 8 months with small farmers. Post-harvest grain storage, food processing and value addition techniques are not prevalent.

**Scope of Improvement:** There appears tremendous scope in improving production systems of the project area. The following practices are suggested for better harvests.

- Conservation farming concept based on getting highest yield per drop of water shall be introduced.
- This would also include better tillage practices for in-situ rain water conservation.
- Weather related contingent crop planning shall be introduced to reduce the impact of droughts.
- The varieties of wheat are old and shall be replaced with latest varieties.
- There is a good scope of introducing hybrid varieties of maize. Intercropping of Rajmah is suggested with maize.
- The application of fertilizers on soil test basis and minimum use of chemicals for weed and disease control shall be promoted.
- Farmers would be linked to farm advisory services and KrishiVigyanKendras.
- The concept of precision farming and non-monetary inputs shall be introduced.

- Agro-forestry by integrating Eucalyptus, Drake and Popular would be promoted on large scale.
- Leguminous crops mainly Moong and mash short duration varieties needs to be introduced

### 7.3.2 Horticulture

**Existing System:**Desi mango and guava are the most preferred fruit crop of the farmers and scattered plants of local galgal are seen in farm lands. The main problem in mango is the alternate year bearing and shedding of fruit during wind storms in the month of March. Some farmers have started raising Guava and Kinnow where irrigation facilities are available. Lemon and galgal are also raised but mostly for domestic use. There is no well organized marketing system in fruit plants. In case of mango for example; the produce is sold to the local traders. During the month of May, Mango contractors visit these villages and buy the standing crop. The fruit is plucked in a bit raw form and transported to market.

**Proposed System:** The annual rainfall is 1107 mm in the project area. All the areas are well connected by road and the economic condition of the locals can be improved by introducing improved cultural practices of fruit plants coupled with rain water harvesting and efficient use. Large number of farmers are interested to increase area under Guava and Kinnow and requested for supply of good quality nursery raised plants. Several families have shown interest in raising Citrus Lemon, Kinnou, Galgal, Chikkoo. The following activities are proposed to promote horticulture in the area.

- Supply of quality seedlings arranged from approved nurseries as per choice of farmers.
- Soil testing up to a depth of 90 cm depth to ensure suitability of soil for fruit plants.
- Proper back up technical support on orchard management by involving HAU Farm Advisory Service and department of horticulture.
- Appropriate safeguards from wildlife damage, frost damage and wind breaks.
- Arrangements for limited irrigation at least for first few years.
- Proper planning for raising filler plants like Papaya, pomegranate and shade loving crops like turmeric and ginger.
- Organizing SHGs around horticulture and joint purchase of inputs and marketing

### **7.3.3 Vegetable cultivation**

**Present status:** Vegetable cultivation as such for market purpose is not followed mainly because of the limitation of irrigation facilities. Most farmers raise vegetable crops in back yards for domestic use. Rain-fed tomato was seen in some villages. Some poly houses have come up in the area with financial support from Horticulture Mission and have started commercial cultivation of off season vegetables.

### **7.3.4 Promotion of Farm Forestry and Agro-forestry**

Most of the privately owned non-arable the area is under mix of trees and bushes. Lantana and parthenium, the most obnoxious weeds have invaded such area. Palatable grasses and commercial grass like Bhabar (*Eulaliopsis binate*) are getting eliminated.

The following interventions are proposed to popularize agro-forestry as an alternate source of income.

- Raising of improved cultivars of Bamboo in moist drainage lines for soil conservation and income generation.
- Planting of improved cultivars of Eucalyptus, Drake and Poplars in the project both as single rows on field bunds and also as blocks.

### **7.3.5 Livestock Improvement Including Fodder Production**

Livestock rearing is the most important subsidiary occupation of the project villagers. In addition to selling milk for regular daily income, farm yard manure is most needed to maintain fertility and moisture retention of soils. Even landless families also maintain few numbers of animals. The animal breed improvement work was initiated in these villages under Kandi project and it is a regular program of the Animal Husbandry Department. However, the availability of animal health services at the door step is grossly lacking. The programs proposed under the project for livestock improvement include:

- In order to promote animal health care camps shall be organized and medicines for de-worming, mineral mixture shall be supplied in addition to awareness generation about prevention of animal diseases.
- Provision of quality seed of fodder crops and demos.
- Raising of protein rich fodder plants by promoting Napier Bajra Hybrid and Leucaena hedge rows on field bunds.

### **7.3.6 Marketing Arrangements and Proposal for Improvement**

There is no organized system of marketing although market surplus is limited. The marketing of wheat and paddy is not a problem because of fixed prices and government controlled procurement system. There is no organized system of marketing of mango and milk though both are source of income with many families.

The efforts through the project are directed towards diversification of agriculture to include fruit and vegetable crops and dairy development. The transfer of area to these high value crops would depend on development of irrigation facilities, facilitation in input supplies, transfer of production technology, easy credit and market linkages. Efforts have been made to reactivate the non-functional SHGs and UGs. New watershed committees have been formed in each village. Farmers have shown interest in joint management of resources and join hands for processing, value addition and marketing.

Fortunately, the involvement of Rural Development Department means regular interaction with the district administration whose good offices would be used to involve rural banking institutions in funding support for SHGs, User Groups and other interest groups.

### **7.3.7 Detail of production system to be promoted**

Based on the discussions during PRA, the scope of production systems was worked out and as per the provision of funds @ 10% of the budget, the following activities were finalized.

**Table 35. Detail of Production System proposed to be promoted in the project village**

S. No	Particulars	Contents	No. of micro watershed	No. of beneficiaries per micro watershed	No. of total beneficiaries	Cost per beneficiaries	Total
1	Animal Husbandry	Problems being faced due to some diseases in the animals and low yield of milk. Production of free life saving medicines for animals – the provision for 40 farmers of each micro watershed/year @ Rs.225 has been provided.	7	280	1400	225	315000
	Animal Husbandry	Livestock Management supply of feed supplements to improve health of cattle's. The provision to benefit 40 farmers of each micro watershed/year @ Rs.225 has been kept in the project proposals.	7	280	1400	225	315000
	Animal Husbandry	Supply of mini- kits of high yielding variety green fodder seeds to 25 farmers in each micro watershed/year @ Rs.200/- mini kits.	7	175(farmers )	875 Seeds of mini kit	200 per mini kit of seeds	175000
2	Agriculture	To introduce Summer Moong or Mash	7	280(farmers	1400 (mini	200 per mini	280000

S. No	Particulars	Contents	No. of micro watershed	No. of beneficiaries per micro watershed	No. of total beneficiaries	Cost per beneficiaries	Total
		or Daincha as a third crop in Rice-wheat rotation. Supply of mini- kits to 40 farmers of each micro watershed/year @ Rs.200/ kit as assistance is provided.		)	kits)	kits	
	Agriculture	Application of farm inputs like Zinc sulphate or sulphur or weedicides or pesticides. 40 farmer of each micro watershed/ year @ Rs.200/ kits as assistance is provided.	7	280(farmers )	1400 (mini kits)	200 per mini kits	280000
	Agriculture	Supplying of Agriculture implements – 15 farmers (average) per micro watershed @ Rs. 1000/ units as assistance is provided.	7	105(farmers )	525	1000	525000
	Agriculture	Agro Forestry: Poplar/ Eucalyptus/ daik on 50% subsidy @ Rs. 10/ plant as assistance are provided.	7	5600(plants)	28000 plants	Rs. 10 per plant	280000
3	Horticulture	Potential for Horticulture plants. Supply of plants at 50 % cost share for cultivation of fruits like Citrus (Lemon, kinnon, galgal), Guava, Amla, Chikoo,	7	420 plants	2100 plants	Rs.40 per plant	84000

S. No	Particulars	Contents	No. of micro watershed	No. of beneficiaries per micro watershed	No. of total beneficiaries	Cost per beneficiaries	Total
		Ber/mango), floriculture and vegetables (especially ginger, turmeric, garlic and tomato)					
	Horticulture	Kitchen gardening Packets distributed to 80 farmers in each micro watershed/year @ Rs.25/ packet.	7	560	2800	Rs. 25 Per packet	70000
	Horticulture	Four units of Bee keeping in each micro watershed @ 3000/ unit as assistance are provided.	7	28	140	3000	420000
	Horticulture	Two units of Vermi compost in each micro watershed per year @ Rs. 10000 per unit as assistance is provided.	7	14	70	10000	700000
4	Joint camps with Line Departments	Two training camps to beneficiaries on Proven technology in agriculture are provided (during pre kharif and rabi season).	7	14	70	20000	1400000
		Contingency					30400

**Total: 4874400/-**



**Note.** The development of Horticulture, Animal Husbandry and Agro forestry has limited scope because of scattered & small land holding, wild life problems and drought conditions. The National Horticulture Mission has already implementing various schemes in the project area. The beneficiaries are taking advantages under their ongoing schemes.

In order to manage the fodder scarcity the latest rain fed varieties of fodder crop will be introduced on the recommendation of experts of Haryana Agriculture University and Central Soil and Water Conservation Research Institute, Chandigarh. Necessary provision for organizing the various training programme / exposure visits has been provided in the Capacity Building activity.

Under Agro forestry, tree species commonly planted are eucalyptus and Poplar. The impacts of such type's plantation have given extra source of income.

### **7.3.8. Vermin Compost**

The vermin compost is one of the very useful organic manure. The vermin compost prepared by induction of various types worms (Earth Worm), to de compost and converted from raw animal dung to well de compost highly nutritive organic manure.

One of the important occupations of villagers is the animal husbandry. At present, the animal wastes are not being used by the villagers. This waste can be utilized as vermin- compost on the farm where the productivity and physical condition of the soil can be increased manifold. The animal waste can be used for preparation of vermin- compost. The available nutrients in vermin- compost are higher than country type farmyard manure. As per NHM guideline, the installation cost of structure of 1 vemin compost unit (size) 500 Sq. ft., the total cost of the unit would be is Rs. 60000/-. Out of this the 50% subsidy i.e. Rs.30000/- is met from the ongoing programme of horticulture department. The additional amount i.e. Rs.

10000/- will be born under IWMP Programme. The nutrition value of vermin compost is more than Farm Yard Manure and compost i.e. nitrogen- 1.2 to 1.6%, Phosphorous 1.5 to 1.8%, Potash 1.2 to 2% are just double.

**Table 36: Model/ Estimate for a Vermin Compost Unit**

Sr. No	Component	Expenditure to be incurred
1	Construction of shed of size 500 Sq. ft. @ Rs. 100 per Sq. ft. with pacca floor, beds and coverings etc.	50000/-
2	Cost on breeding material and purchase of worms etc.	8000/-
3	Tools and equipments etc.	2000/-
		<b>60000/-</b>

### **Components of Vermin Compost Unit**

#### **Total**

#### **1. Shed**

Due to the high temperature in summer, shed structure is needed for vermin compost unit. It can be made by use of bricks/ concrete pillars. While designing the shed adequate room has to be left around the beds for easy movements of labours attending to the filling and harvesting the beds.

#### **2. Vermin- beds**

Scientific bed side depending upon the provision of filtered for drainage of excess water is prepared of about 75- 90 cm thick. The whole bed should be above the ground, the proper bed width to be not more than 1.5 m to allow easy access to the centre of the bed is constructed.

#### **3. Land**

About 125 sq. m. land is required to set up the vermin compost production. It should have 2- 3 sheds each of 180- 200 sq. ft. Good watering arrangement is required as the moisture is very essential for vermin compost production.

#### **4. Seed Stock**

This is important because worms multiply at the rate of 350 worms per cubic meter of bed space over a period of six months in a year.

#### **5. Machinery**

Farm machinery and implements are required for cutting the raw material in small pieces, conveying shredded raw material to the out sheds, loading, unloading, collection of compost, loosening of beds for aeration, shifting of the compost. Costs of providing necessary implements and the machinery have to be included in the project cost.

## **LIVELIHOOD ACTIVITIES FOR THE ASSET LESS PERSONS-9%**

#### **7.4 LIVELIHOOD SUPPORT TO SHG'S**

The key issue of inclusion of this chapter is that about 80% of the population in the proposed villages depends on agriculture and allied activities, but it rarely provides sufficient means of survival to small and marginal farmers. During the base line survey, this aspect was discussed with the existing Self Help Group/ Gram Sabha members. The representative of WAPCOS Ms Manju, Sociologist of the team held comprehensive discussions on the possibilities of livelihood in the rain fed areas. The main objectives of these discussions were:

1. Assure one livelihood option to poor families.
2. Assured livelihood for at least 300 days in a year including MGNREGA.
3. At least one daily job per family mainly SCs/BPL/very poor families.

SHGs would be imparted Skill Training on identified Economic Activities and it is proposed to impart them trainings at Krishi Vigyan Kender (CCSHAU) Yamunanagar and Haryana Institute of rural development, Nilokheri. Agriculture University, Hisar, Central Soil and Water research and training Institute, Chandigarh and HIRD, Nilokheri. It is proposed to lend revolving fund of Rs. 25000/- to each SHG/individual formed in the watershed villages. Since the members from SHGs/landless are very poor, they do not have resources to start micro enterprises, it is envisaged that they should be assisted and given loan of this amount in the shape of Revolving Fund Assistance (RFP) so that do not get trapped by money lenders. Funds thus given on loan are recoverable from SHGs/individuals in easy installments. It is also proposed to impart skill training to at least 10 unemployed youth from each village and give them trainings of their choice so that they establish some small enterprises. It is further proposed to give them interest free loan of Rs. 12000/- each as Revolving Fund Assistance to meet their urgent needs of funds for establishing micro enterprises. Such funds recovered could either be given back to SHGs/individual or some other SHGs/individuals depending upon assessment of their

respective needs. It is proposed to form 2 SHGs in each village and identify at least 10 youths in each village for imparting training and giving Revolving Fund.

**7.4.1 Activities those are likely to be taken up by SHGs/individuals:**

1. Cutting and Tailoring
2. Embroidery
3. Mushroom cultivation
4. Plumbing
5. Carpentry
6. Bee keeping
7. Animal husbandry
8. Vermi compost
9. Cattle rearing and selling milk
10. Beautician
11. Carpet making
12. Household wiring, Motor winding
13. Pickles, sauces, jam, jelly etc.
14. Backyard poultry
15. Babbar grass and Sarcunda rope.
16. Floriculture

The details of funds proposed to be utilized under this component are as under:

**Table 37. Revolving Fund Assistance for SHGs**

<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Name of micro watershed</b>	<b>No. of villages</b>	<b>Total SHGs</b>	<b>Amount of RFA per SHG</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	Nagli Khol	-	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	1	2	25000	50000
3	Mohdinpur	1	2	25000	50000
4	Jaitpur	3	6	25000	150000
5	Ibrahimpur	1	2	25000	50000
6	Pirhipur	3	6	25000	150000
7	Haidarpur	3	6	25000	150000
		<b>12</b>	<b>24</b>		<b>600000</b>

**Table 38. Skill Trainings/Skill up gradation for SHGs**

<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Name of micro watershed</b>	<b>No. of villages</b>	<b>Total SHGs</b>	<b>Amount of Training per SHG</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	Nagli Khol	-	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	1	2	35000	70000
3	Mohdinpur	1	2	35000	70000
4	Jaitpur	3	6	35000	210000
5	Ibrahimpur	1	2	35000	70000
6	Pirhipur	3	6	35000	210000
7	Haidarpur	3	6	35000	210000
		<b>12</b>	<b>24</b>		<b>840000</b>

**Total**

**Note:** This training cost includes Travel, boarding/lodging, cost of training and faculty support for different discipline e.g. Bakery Product, Soap and detergent making, fisheries, Bee keeping, Vermi Compost, Domestic poultry, Mushroom cultivation, Plumbing, Carpentry, Food Processing, Animal Husbandry, Product Processing etc.

**Table 39. Computer Training (6 months) for unemployed youth above 12<sup>th</sup> passed male and female both recommended by Watershed Development Committee**

S.No.	Name of micro watershed	No. of villages	No. of Persons in micro watershed	Amount of Training per trainee for 6 month	Total
1	Nagli Khol	-	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	1	6	10000	60000
3	Mohdinpur	1	6	10000	60000
4	Jaitpur	3	16	10000	160000
5	Ibrahimpur	1	6	10000	60000
6	Pirthipur	3	16	10000	160000
7	Haidarpur	3	16	10000	160000
		<b>12</b>	<b>66</b>		<b>660000</b>

**Note:** The beneficiaries will contribute 10% as cost haring of the livelihood support programme Rs. 660000 @ 10% cost sharing.

**Total**  
 = 660000- 66000  
 = **594000/-**



**Table 40. One time assistance as Revolving Fund to unemployed youth who have successfully completed Computer Training for setting up a computer centre**

S. No.	Name of micro watershed	No. of villages	No. of Persons in micro watershed	Amount of Training per Trainee	Total
1	Nagli Khol	-			
2	Bari Nagli	1	6	20000	120000
3	Mohdinpur	1	6	20000	120000
4	Jaitpur	3	16	20000	320000
5	Ibrahimpur	1	6	20000	120000
6	Pirthipur	3	16	20000	320000
7	Haidarpur	3	16	20000	320000
		<b>12</b>	<b>66</b>		<b>1320000</b>

Note: This training cost includes Travel, boarding/lodging, cost of training and faculty support.

**Note:** The beneficiaries will contribute 10% as cost sharing of the livelihood support programme Rs. 660000 @ 10% cost sharing.

**Total**  
= 1320000- 132000  
= **1188000/-**

**Table 41. Cutting and Tailoring Centre for female beneficiaries**

S.No.	Name of micro watershed	No. of villages	No. of centres	Requirement for sewing machines per village (2 No.)	Payment to trainer per months	Period of training for each centre	Total payment to trainer
1	Nagli Khol	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	1	1	2	2000	6	12000
3	Mohdinpur	1	1	2	2000	6	12000

4	Jaitpur	3	3	6	2000	6	36000
5	Ibrahimpur	1	1	2	2000	6	12000
6	Pirthipur	3	3	6	2000	6	36000
7	Haidarpur	3	3	6	2000	6	36000
	<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>24</b>			<b>144000</b>

Total cost for 12 centres

Cost of Sewing

1. Machines 60000/- (lump sum)

2. Payment to trainers 144000/-

**Table 42. Embroidery Centre for female beneficiaries**

S.No.	Name of micro watershed	No. of villages	No. of centers	Payment to Trainer per Month	Period months	Payment to trainer for 6 months @ Rs. 2000 p.m	Total trainers	Grand Total
1	Nagli Khol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	1	1	2000	6	12000	1	12000
3	Mohdinpur	1	1	2000	6	12000	1	12000
4	Jaitpur	3	3	2000	6	12000	3	36000
5	Ibrahimpur	1	1	2000	6	12000	1	12000
6	Pirthipur	3	3	2000	6	12000	3	36000
7	Haidarpur	3	3	2000	6	12000	3	36000
		<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>					<b>144000</b>

Total Cost:

Payment to trainer: Rs. 144000/-

**Table 43. Livelihood Support**

S.No.	Name of micro watershed	No. of villages	Revolving fund assistance to individuals unemployed youth/ landless, women	
			Dairy Unit	Toy/ candle sweet boxes etc.
1	Nagli Khol	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	1	2	2
3	Mohdinpur	1	2	2
4	Jaitpur	3	4	4
5	Ibrahimpur	1	2	2
6	Pirthipur	3	4	4
7	Haidarpur	3	5	5
		<b>12</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>
	<b>Rate (Rs)</b>		<b>25000</b>	<b>10000</b>
	<b>Cost (Lakh Rs)</b>		<b>4.75</b>	<b>1.90</b>

Contingency, printing material and other unseen items: Rs. 80680/-

**Total funds available under this component are Rs.4386960 /-**

In addition to HAU, the following institutions are also identified for imparting trainings:

- i. HIRD, Nilokheri
- ii. Agriculture, Technology and Extension, Hisar Agriculture University
- iii. Central Soil and Water research and training Institute, Chandigarh
- iv. Y.S. Parmar Agriculture and Horticulture University, Nauni, Solan
- v. Mushroom Training Centre, Sonipat and Solan
- vi. NIRD, Hyderabad
- vii. Krishi Vigyan Kender (CCSHAU), Yamunanagar

There appears to be great potential for these activities and these activities are likely to generate income of Rs. 2000/- to Rs. 2500/- per member per month. However no activities would be forced upon on any SHGs and they would be free to decide the activity they would like to opt for their additional income. The PIA can take up the activities as per the need and approval of the Watershed Committee. Based on their choice, Project report for the specified activity would be prepared and revolving fund of Rs. 20000/ Rs. 25000/- per SHG would be given for running their respective micro enterprise. If need arises for more funds for their Income Generation Activities at later stage, they would be assisted in getting loan from banks. SHGs thus formed would be provided all possible assistance to uplift for their Socio- Economic conditions.

# CONVERGENCE

## 7.5 INTRODUCTION

The National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (NREGA), notified on September 7, 2005, marked a paradigm shift from the previous wage employment programmes with its rights-based approach that makes the Government legally accountable for providing employment to those who demand it. The act aims at enhancing livelihood security households in rural areas of the country by providing at least one hundred days of guaranteed wage employment in a financial year to every household whose audit members volunteer to do unskilled manual work. Such Inter sectoral convergence becomes instrumental towards.

- Establishing synergy among different government programmes in planning and implementation to optimize use of public investments
- Enhancing economic opportunities
- Strengthening democratic Processes
- Mitigating the effects of Climate Change
- Creating conditions for sustainable development.
- One of the significant areas for convergence is the Watershed Management Programme of the Dept. of Land Resources (DoLR) in the Ministry of Rural Development (MoRD),
- Convergence is an evolving process and while broad principles can be laid out at the centre, the actual contours of convergence will be determined by the resources at the Central, State, District and the project level. Also, to fully identify the possibilities of convergence, it may be necessary to make a beginning with select programmes, so that the experience of implementation may further inform and refine strategies for convergence.

### 7.5.1 Convergence between MGNREGA and Watershed Programmes

Most of the activities under watershed development are covered under MGNREGA and there is a need for convergence to meet gap in requirement under IWMP. The labour component would be met out of funds made available under MGNREGA. The village wise details of the fund requirement are exhibited below (table. 44)

#### Detail of Convergence of IWMP and other schemes

**Table 44. GAPS IN FUNDS REQUIREMENT – MICRO WATERSHED WISE**

S.no.	Name of micro watershed	Total cost requirement for works	Total funds available under IWMP for works	Gap in funds requirement for works	Convergence with MGNREGA
1	Nagli Khol/ Bari Nagli	82.98	79.03	3.95	3.95
2	Mohdinpur	27.98	26.54	1.44	1.44
3	Jaitpur	54.56	51.95	2.61	2.61
4	Ibrahimpur	40.81	38.91	1.90	1.90
5	Pirthipur	30.84	29.37	1.47	1.47
6	Haidarpur	49.57	47.17	2.40	2.40
		<b>286.74</b>	<b>272.97</b>	<b>13.77</b>	<b>13.77</b>

- Under NREGA almost all the activities required for watershed development are permitted. Convergence between NREGA and Watershed Programmes of DoLR will be mutually beneficial for rain fed areas.

### 7.5.2 Non-Negotiable for works executed under MGNREGA

- Only Job Card holders to be employed for MGNREGA component.
- Muster rolls will be maintained on work site, with copies in the Gram Panchayat and to be electronically maintained on nrega.nic.in
- Wage payments will be through no-frills accounts in banks/post offices.

**Need for Convergence:** Since more than 56% of activities related to Watershed development are covered under MGNREGA, there is need for convergence to meet gap in Funds requirements under IWMP. Detailed survey had been conducted in Watershed villages and it has emerged that there is need for more funds to augment and strengthen the activities under IWMP. All six micro watersheds need more funds to meet the gap. Therefore, some of the works are proposed to be converged with MGNREGA. The labour component would be met out of funds made available under MGNREGA.

### **7.5.3 Convergence with Forest Department**

The unit cost of agro- forestry component for 1 ha area (1100 plant) for plantation and other activity is Rs. 18767/-. The provision of Rs. 15000/- per ha has given in IWMP programme. The rest amount of Rs. 3767/- will be convergent from lined department from departmental schemes or MGNREGA.

### **7.5.4 Convergence with Horticulture Department**

National Horticulture Mission is implementing the horticulture development programme which includes construction of water harvesting structures, drip and sprinkler irrigation activities which would be undertaken in convergence with the horticulture department. Under this activity 49.5 ha horticulture development programme with the financial assistance of Rs. 19.80 lakh has been provided in the project proposals. This would also be undertaken by convergence with the horticulture department.



### **7.5.5 Convergence with Agriculture Department**

The activities under NRM like masonry structure/ large/ WHS/ Silt detention dam/ Crate wire structures where the machinery and material component is required and the unit cost exceeds for completion exceeds to the project provision, the same will be met in convergence with the similar activities of the agriculture.

### **7.5.6 Convergence with Animal Husbandry Department**

The watershed falls in the water deficit conditions for production of fodder and depends upon the rain. The rainfall pattern is erratic. There is deficiency of green fodder and nutrients for the animals. The provision has been kept for providing mini kits for of life saving medicines/ mineral mixture, concentrate feed and fodder seeds. Since the provision of these kits is less than the required, hence this would be met with the lined department who has a provision under their ongoing programmes.

# **CHAPTER – 8**

## **QUALITY AND SUSTAINABILITY**

### **8.1 MONITORING AND EVALUATION**

#### **8.1.1 Plans for Monitoring and Evaluation**

Web based GIS system is being developed for Monitoring and Evaluation at various stages of project under progress and post project. The satellite imageries are also helpful in monitoring all activities of the watershed area (Pre project, during project and post project). All the details relating to Watershed Activities would be available on website. The system is very useful to know the progress of the project at the click of the button. The higher officials would be able to monitor the progress and could generate the desired reports. The system would also help beneficiaries to know the area of importance, already treated area/ area to be treated. The system would serve an aiding tool to the planners and evaluators for judging the efficacy of the project.

#### **8.1.2 Monitoring**

Regular Monitoring of the project will have to be carried out at each stage to monitor the progress of the project. Different streams of monitoring are proposed as under:

1. Internal Monitoring by PIA/ WCDC
2. Progress and Process monitoring
3. GIS/ On line Monitoring
4. Sustainability monitoring

5. Self Monitoring by communities
6. Social Audits
7. Independent and external monitoring

Monitoring of watershed related activities will be carried out after completion of each phase. 1% amount of the project is earmarked under this component. Micro Watershed wise details are given below:

**Table 1. Micro Watershed wise detail**

<b>S.no.</b>	<b>Name of the Micro Watershed</b>	<b>Effective Area</b>	<b>Total Cost</b>	<b>Monitoring 1%</b>
1	Nagli Khol	558	6696000	66960
2	Bari Nagli	618	7416000	74160
3	Mohdinpur	395	4740000	47400
4	Jaitpur	773	9276000	92760
5	Ibrahimpur	579	6948000	69480
6	Pirthipur	437	5244000	52440
7	Haidarpur	702	8424000	84240

## **8.2 EVALUATION**

Each evaluation will include physical, financial, and social audit of all work done. The objective of evaluation of the project is to assess the status of watershed related interventions in the project. The evaluation will be taken up in three stages of the project. The Evaluation will be done by agencies empanelled on SLNA.

1% amount of the project is earmarked under this component. Micro Watershed wise details were as under:

**Table 2. Micro Watershed wise details**

<b>S.no.</b>	<b>Name of the Project</b>	<b>Effective Area</b>	<b>Total Cost</b>	<b>Evaluation 1%</b>
1	Nagli Khol	558	6696000	66960
2	Bari Nagli	618	7416000	74160
3	Mohdinpur	395	4740000	47400
4	Jaitpur	773	9276000	92760
5	Ibrahimpur	579	6948000	69480
6	Pirthipur	437	5244000	52440
7	Haidarpur	702	8424000	84240

**CONSOLIDATION PHASE- 3 %**  
**Consolidation Phase = Rs. 14, 62,320 /-**

### **8.3 CONSOLIDATION PHASE**

This is another important activity under the project. In this phase, the resources augmented and economic plans developed in Phase II are made the foundation to create new nature based, sustainable livelihoods and raise productivity levels. There needs to be some mechanism at Watershed Level for the following crucial Activities as detailed below:

- I. Managing/upgrading of all activities taken up under the Project.
- II. Preparation of Project completion report and
- III. Documentation of success stories
- IV. Management of proper utilization of WDF
- V. Mechanism for Quality and sustainability issues under the Project.
- VI. Mechanism for fixation and collection of User Charges.
- VII. Consolidation of works
- VIII. Building the capacity of community based organizations to carry out the new agenda – post project period.
- IX. Intensification of farm production systems/off farm livelihoods
- X. Project Management related aspects

To take up these activities, it is proposed In the DPR as under:

**Name of Micro watershed: Nagli Khol**

**Table 3. Consolidated Phase**

<b>S. No</b>	<b>Type of activity</b>	<b>Amount earmarked</b>
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.40
2	Preparation of Project completion report and	0.10
3	Documentation of success stories	0.10
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.30
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.10
6	Watershed activities	1.01

**Total: 2.01 lacs**

**Name of Micro watershed: Bari Nagli**

**Table 4. Consolidated Phase**

<b>S. No</b>	<b>Type of activity</b>	<b>Amount earmarked</b>
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.44
2	Preparation of Project completion report and	0.12
3	Documentation of success stories	0.11
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.33
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.11
6	Watershed activities	1.11

**Total: 2.22 lacs**

**Name of Micro watershed: Mohdinpur**

**Table 5. Consolidated Phase**

<b>S. No</b>	<b>Type of activity</b>	<b>Amount earmarked</b>
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.28
2	Preparation of Project completion report and	0.08
3	Documentation of success stories	0.07
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.21
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.07
6	Watershed activities	0.71

**Total: 1.42lacs**

**Name of Micro watershed: Jaitpur**

**Table 6. Consolidated Phase**

<b>S. No</b>	<b>Type of activity</b>	<b>Amount earmarked</b>
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.56
2	Preparation of Project completion report and	0.14
3	Documentation of success stories	0.13
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.42
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.14
6	Watershed activities	1.39

**Total: 2.78 lacs**



**Name of Micro watershed: Ibrahimpur**

**Table 7. Consolidated Phase**

<b>S. No</b>	<b>Type of activity</b>	<b>Amount earmarked</b>
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.42
2	Preparation of Project completion report and	0.11
3	Documentation of success stories	0.10
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.31
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.10
6	Watershed activities	1.04

**Total: 2.08 lacs**

**Name of Micro watershed: Pirthipur**

**Table 8. Consolidated Phase**

<b>S. No</b>	<b>Type of activity</b>	<b>Amount earmarked</b>
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.31
2	Preparation of Project completion report and	0.08
3	Documentation of success stories	0.08
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.23
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.08
6	Watershed activities	0.79

**Total: 1.57 lacs**

**Name of Micro watershed: Haidarpur**

**Table 9. Consolidated Phase**

<b>S. No</b>	<b>Type of activity</b>	<b>Amount earmarked</b>
1	Managing/ upgrading of all activities taken up under the project	0.51
2	Preparation of Project completion report and	0.13
3	Documentation of success stories	0.12
4	Management of proper utilization of WDF	0.38
5	Mechanism for quality and sustainability issues under the Project	0.13
6	Watershed activities	1.26

**Total: 2.53 lacs**

**As per the common guideline the management of developed natural resources would involve the following features:**

- Improving the sustainability of various structures and equitable distribution. The watershed committee will fix the charges of water and the funds generated would be utilized O & M Structures. These users charges account will be maintained separately.
- Involvement of Gram Panchayat for repair, maintenance and protection of created structures.

## **CHAPTER – 9**

### **EXPECTED OUTCOME**

#### **EXPECTED OUTCOMES**

The effective area is 4062 ha and the Project Cost is 487.44 lacs covering 7 no. micro watersheds and in all 12 villages. Benefits will be much more than the project cost as detailed below:

With the several interventions under IWMP VI project such as Livelihood support, Farm production system, various types of activities relating to soil conservation measures for diversification of crops, Protection to field by constructing the structures etc, it is expected that these Watershed villages will gain a lot. This intervention will have multiple benefits available to communities in terms of employment, check in migration, improvement in water table, more area under agriculture and horticulture, check in soil loss and decrease in Flood and drought incidences, improvement in crop yield, milk yield, check in degradation of land etc. The benefits thus accrued would be short term and long term. With the judicious use of funds available under IWMP and with convergence from MGNREGA and other schemes of Departments, this project of Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed VI will prove to be very beneficial in improving socio – economic status of people residing in Project villages.

Expected outcomes as mentioned above are given in the following tables:

#### **9.1 EMPLOYMENT**

Employment has always been a problem in the village. The principal occupations of the people are rain fed agriculture, animal husbandry and casual labour work. However, rainfall being limited and erratic, agriculture suffers, i.e. best they can take only single crop, which keeps them partially engage 4 to 5 months. Similarly due to lack of fodder animal husbandry does not keep them

engage full time. Thus the people mainly depend upon casual labour either in the villages is in Kala Amb , Yamunanagar and jagadhari Industrial Complex.

**Table 1. Expected Employment Generation in the Project area**

S. No.	Name of micro watershed	Wage employment										Self employment				
		No of man days					No. of Beneficiaries					No. of Beneficiaries				
		SC	ST	others	Women	Total	SC	ST	others	Women	Total	SC	ST	others	Women	Total
1	Nagli Khol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	61	-	10317	4	10382	66	-	2004	6	2076	11	-	-	11	22
3	Mohdinpur	2	-	6633	1	6636	2	-	1321	4	1327			11	11	22
4	Jaitpur	17	-	12968	1	12986	18	-	2575	4	2597	22	-	22	22	66
5	Ibrahimpur	2	-	9724	1	9727	2	-	1938	5	1945	11	-	-	11	22
6	Pirthipur	225	-	7098	19	7342	243	-	1204	21	1468	22	-	22	22	66
7	Haidarpur	187	-	11590	16	11793	202	-	2137	20	2359	22	-	22	22	66
	<b>Total</b>	<b>494</b>		<b>58330</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>58866</b>	<b>533</b>		<b>11179</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>11772</b>	<b>88</b>	-	<b>77</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>264</b>

68240 man days would be generated with the implementation of the project in Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI), which means 68 person for 200 days per year would be employed for the period of five years. In addition to this cropped area/ productivity would be increased and will also generate employment.

## 9.2 MIGRATION PATTERN

**Table 2. Pre and Post migration in Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)**

S. No.	Name of micro watersheds	No. of persons migrating		No. of days per year of migration		Comments
		Pre Project	Expected post project	Pre Project	Expected post project	
1	Nagli Khol	-	-	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	75	37	180	90	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%
3	Mohdinpur	42	21	160	80	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%
4	Jaitpur	-	-	-	-	-
5	Ibrahimpur	66	33	175	87	No. of persons migrating will be reduced and also no. of days would be reduced by over 50%
6	Pirthipur	-	-	-	-	-
7	Haidarpur	-	-	-	-	-

A comparison of above table with expected migration of table 19 of the Chapter 3 reveals that there will be about 50% reduction in the migration.

## 9.3 GROUND WATER TABLE (DRINKING WATER)

The Drinking Water supply is managed by Public health Department by Installing Tube well in the area the project is expected to augment the ground water resources with the proposed water harvesting structure

Through the ground water table is depleting over the years and presently stands 4.00 to 20.50 m. It is expected that water table would be 3.00 to 19.00 m during post project period. The expected rise has been computed from the rainfall pattern using 20% conservation component during post project.

**Table 3. Detail of average pre- post ground water table depth in the project area (in meters)**

Name of Sub watershed	Sources	Existing pre-project ground water table level (m)	Expected increase during post project (m)	Remarks
Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)	Ground water	4.00 to 20.50	3.00 to 19.00	.....
	Bore Wells			.....
	Other (specify)	.....	.....	.....

(Source: Ground Water Cell, Haryana)

#### 9.4 CROPS

Agriculture primary depends upon water, but this is availability of this is lacking without existence of canal network and deeper ground water conditions. All this can change with the integrated land and water management during the watershed project. The planned percolation tanks, sub surface dam etc. can preserve sub moisture in the soil. This will help in additional area coming under cultivation and increasing productivity too. The crop yield pre project and expected and post project is presented in table 4.

**Table 4. Increase in Expected yield in Upper Pathrala Nadi watershed (IWMP VI)**

Name of Micro-Watersheds	Name of Crops	Pre project		Total Production(in Kg)	Total Value Rs (in lacs)	Expected post project		Total Production(in Kg)	Total Value Rs (in lacs)
		Area ha	Average yield Qtl. Per ha			Area ha	Average yield Qtl. Per ha		
Nagli Khol	Maize	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Paddy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Wheat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Sugarcan e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bari Nagli	Maize	82	1450	118900	14.27	90.2	1595	143869	17.26
	Paddy	6	3115	18690	2.02	6.6	3270.75	21586.95	2.33
	Wheat	66	4415	291390	34.38	72.6	4856.5	352581.9	41.60
	Sugarcan e	4	63782	255128	5.74	4.4	66971.1	294672.8	6.63
Mohdinpur	Maize	75	1550	116250	13.95	82.5	1705	140662.5	16.88
	Paddy	8	3151	25208	2.722	8.8	3308.55	29115.24	3.14
	Wheat	55	4664	256520	30.27	60.5	5130.4	310389.2	36.62
	Sugarcan e	6	60108	360648	8.11	6.6	63113.4	416548.4	9.37
Jaitpur	Maize	65	1550	100750	12.09	71.5	1705	121907.5	14.63
	Paddy	34	3151	107134	11.57	37.4	3308.55	123739.8	13.36
	Wheat	118	4664	550352	64.94	129.8	5130.4	665925.9	78.58
	Sugarcan e	28	60108	1683024	37.87	30.8	63113.4	1943893	43.74
Ibrahimpur	Maize	55	1550	85250	10.23	60.5	1705	103152.5	12.38
	Paddy	25	3151	78775	8.51	27.5	3308.55	90985.13	9.83
	Wheat	91	4664	424424	50.08	100.1	5130.4	513553	60.59
	Sugarcan	21	60108	1262268	28.40	23.1	63113.4	1457920	32.80

Name of Micro-Watersheds	Name of Crops	Pre project		Total Production (in Kg)	Total Value Rs (in lacs)	Expected post project		Total Production (in Kg)	Total Value Rs (in lacs)
		Area ha	Average yield Qtl. Per ha			Area ha	Average yield Qtl. Per ha		
	e								
Pirthipur	Maize	32	1550	49600	5.95	35.2	1705	60016	7.20
	Paddy	51	3151	160701	17.35	56.1	3308.55	185609.7	20.04
	Wheat	147	4664	685608	80.90	161.7	5130.4	829585.7	97.89
	Sugarcane	77	60108	4628316	104.13	84.7	63113.4	5345705	120.27
Haidarpur	Maize	75	1550	116250	13.95	82.5	1705	140662.5	16.87
	Paddy	46	3151	144946	15.65	50.6	3308.55	167412.6	18.08
	Wheat	198	4664	923472	108.97	217.8	5130.4	1117401	131.85
	Sugarcane	64	60108	3846912	86.55	70.4	63113.4	4443183	99.97
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1429</b>			<b>768.60</b>	<b>1571.9</b>			<b>911.91</b>

Source: Revenue Department and Department of Agriculture, Yamunanagar (Haryana)

## 9.5 HORTICULTURE

Table 5. Pre and post project area under Horticulture

S.No.	Name of Micro Watershed	Existing area under horticulture (ha)	Additional Area under horticulture proposed to be covered through IWMP	Total area in ha – Post Project
1	Nagli Khol	-	-	-
2	Bari Nagli	3	5	8
3	Mohdinpur	2	5	7
4	Jaitpur	2	5	7
5	Ibrahimpur	2	5	7
6	Pirthipur	2	5	7



S.No.	Name of Micro Watershed	Existing area under horticulture (ha)	Additional Area under horticulture proposed to be covered through IWMP	Total area in ha – Post Project
7	Haidarpur	3	5	8
		<b>14</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>44</b>

Source: Horticulture Department, Yamunanagar

## 9.6 AFFORESTATION/ VEGETATIVE COVER

Table 6. Pre and post project forest and vegetative cover

S.No.	Name of micro watersheds	Existing area under tree covered with agro forestry, ha	Area under tree cover proposed ha (agro forestry)	Total
1	Nagli Khol	558	15	573
2	Bari Nagli			
3	Mohdinpur	64	5	69
4	Jaitpur	268	10	278
5	Ibrahimpur	306	10	316
6	Pirhipur	25	10	35
7	Haidarpur	119	20	139
		<b>1340</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>1410</b>

Source: Forest Department, Yamunanagar

## 9.7 EXPECTED REDUCTION IN SOIL LOSS

Table 7. Pre and post project soil losses in Upper Pathrala Nadi watershed (IWMP VI)

S.No.	Name of micro watersheds	Pre Project Soil loss in tonnes per ha	Post Project Soil loss in tonnes per ha
1	Nagli Khol	25-35	15-20
2	Bari Nagli	25-35	15-20
3	Mohdinpur	25-35	15-20
4	Jaitpur	20-30	10-15
5	Ibrahimpur	25-35	15-20
6	Pirthipur	20-30	10-15
7	Haidarpur	20-30	10-15

## 9.8 LIVESTOCK

Table 8. Details of livestock in the project area

S.No.	Name of micro watershed	Type of Animals	Pre project			Post project			Remarks
			No.	Yield Kg/ day	Income In Rs per day	No.	Yield Kg/ day	Income In Rs per day	
1	Nagli Khol	Buffalo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Cow	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	Bari Nagli	Buffalo	621	7-8	140-160	714	9-10	225-250	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	436	5 <sup>1/2</sup> -6 <sup>1/2</sup>	83-98	501	7 <sup>1/2</sup> -8 <sup>1/2</sup>	150-170	
3	Mohdinpur	Buffalo	145	7 <sup>1/2</sup> -8 <sup>1/2</sup>	150-170	167	9 <sup>1/2</sup> -10 <sup>1/2</sup>	238-263	Increase in milk yield and number

S.No.	Name of micro watershed	Type of Animals	Pre project			Post project			Remarks
			No.	Yield Kg/day	Income In Rs per day	No.	Yield Kg/day	Income In Rs per day	
		Cow	335	5-6	75-90	385	7-8	140-160	of animals by approx. 15%
4	Jaitpur	Buffalo	764	7-8	140-160	879	9-10	225-250	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	336	5 <sup>1/2</sup> -6 <sup>1/2</sup>	83-98	386	7 <sup>1/2</sup> -8 <sup>1/2</sup>	150-170	
5	Ibrahimpur	Buffalo	507	7-8	140-160	583	9-10	225-250	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6	Pirthipur	Buffalo	566	7-8	140-160	651	9-10	225-250	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	300	5 <sup>1/2</sup> -6 <sup>1/2</sup>	83-98	345	7 <sup>1/2</sup> -8 <sup>1/2</sup>	150-170	
7	Haidarpur	Buffalo	1125	7-8	140-160	1294	9-10	225-250	Increase in milk yield and number of animals by approx. 15%
		Cow	589	5-6	75-90	677	7-8	150-170	

## 9.9 LINKAGES

The direct livelihood activities need good forward and backward support system. The activities may fail to deliver the desired results. These linkages would involve credit, machinery, input supply, marketing etc.

The backward forward linkages will involved the extension services which are brought available in the project proposal as capacity building and the provision have been kept. 20 kits of agriculture implement have been provided. Milk and other collection centre would be constituted with increased milk production under the project.

**Table 9: Backward-Forward Linkages**

Sr. No.	Project	Type of Marketing Facility	Pre-Project (no.)	During the Project (no.)	Post-project (no.)
1	Upper Pathrala Nadi Watershed (IWMP VI)	Backward linkages	-	-	-
		Seed certification	Moderate	Extension and Training	Improved
		Seed supply system	Moderate	Extension and Training	Improved
		Fertilizer supply system	Moderate	Extension and Training	Improved
		Pesticide supply system	Moderate	Extension and Training	Improved
		Credit institutions	Banks	Coordinate to lead banks	Bank intensity increased
		Water supply for irrigation	Scarcity	Promote rain water harvesting	Would be promoted
		Extension services	KGK& Agriculture deptt.	Extension & Training in village level	Improved
		Nurseries	Horticulture and forest	To be promoted	Improved
		Tools/ machinery suppliers	Subsidies	Educate by Extension & Training	Supplies would be improved
		Price support system	Major crops	-	Needs for all crops
		Labour	-	Employment generate through works activities	Migration reduce
		Any other (please specify)	-	-	-
		Road network	Available	Coordinate with lined department	Would be strengthen
		Transport facilities	Moderate	Coordinate with lined department	Would be promoted
Markets / Mandies	Exists	Coordinate with lined department	Intensity would be increased		
Agro and other industries	-	Coordinate with lined department to establish Cottage industries (Kutir	Would be strengthen		

Sr. No.	Project	Type of Marketing Facility	Pre-Project (no.)	During the Project (no.)	Post-project (no.)
				Udyog) for landless and unemployed youth	
		Milk and other collection centres	Milk collection centre in long distance	Coordinate with lined department	For installation on nearest door steps
		Any other (please specify )	-	-	-
			Vermi-compost unit	Convergence with NHM (Horticulture) department	To be increased
			Mushroom Cultivation	Convergence with NHM (Horticulture) department	To be increased
			Animal vitamins/ Minerals Deficit	Coordinate with lined department, to organize camps in watershed area	Animal vitamins feeds Would be promoted

## 9.9.1 LOGICAL FRAMEWORK ANALYSIS

**Table 10. Logical Framework Analysis**

<b>Components</b>	<b>Activities</b>	<b>Outputs</b>	<b>Effect</b>	<b>Impact</b>
Village Institution Formation	Formation of Watershed Community, User Groups	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Watershed Committee each village</li> <li>• Number of user groups depending on the coverage of particular intervention</li> </ul>	Project can be implemented and managed in a democratic and Participatory way ensuring equity and transparency.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unity and prosperity in the village management.</li> <li>• People's Participation and positive perception towards the programme.</li> </ul>
Strengthening Village operations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Organizing training and awareness programme for village institutions (I.E.C. Activities).</li> <li>• Capacity Building workshops and exposure visits for User Group and Watershed Community</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Awareness camps to be organized</li> <li>• Trainings and exposure visits UGs and WCs to be held Capacity building workshops to be organized one.</li> <li>• Federations of UGs and WC to be formed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quality of management of common resources improved.</li> <li>• Quality of distribution of benefits between people improved.</li> <li>• Increased awareness amongst women about village resources</li> <li>• Women participation enhanced in decision-making of</li> </ul>	

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Facilitating and monitoring the functioning of UGs and WCs Strengthen linkages between UGs and WCs and Panchayat Institutions</li> <li>• Gender sensitization of UGs and WCs to increase inclusiveness of Samuh (Joint) decision making.</li> <li>• Sensitize Village communities to involve children and youth in development</li> </ul>		<p>GVCs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Involvement of youth and children in village development.</li> </ul>	
Fund Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improve management and utilization of</li> </ul>	UGs and WCs operating bank account and managing resources on their own.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Purpose, frequency and volume of use of the fund</li> </ul>	

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
	UGs and WCs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prepare communities to explore other sources of income for UGs and WCs.</li> </ul>		enhanced <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Volume of funds generated for UGs and WCs from other sources of income increased</li> </ul>	
Ecological restoration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protection, Treatment and regeneration of common and private lands.</li> <li>• Protection, treatment and regeneration of forest lands.</li> <li>• Plantation of fruits and forest species.</li> <li>• Input trainings, conduct meetings and organize exposure visits for communities, village</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Common and private lands to be brought under new plantations and agro-horti- forestry like Neem, Adussa, prosopis, Banyan and Peepul.</li> <li>• Forest lands to be brought under new plantations and protection.</li> <li>• Trainings, exposure visits and meetings to be organized for communities, village volunteers and staff.</li> <li>• Income generation intervention promoted</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fodder availability from common and private land increased.</li> <li>• Accessibility to common and forest lands increased with removal of encroachments and resolution of conflicts</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Better Ecological order in the area.</li> <li>• Increase in the proportion of households having more security of fodder.</li> <li>• Reduction in drudgery of fodder and fuel collection, especially women</li> </ul>



Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
	<p>volunteers and staff to effectively plan, execute and monitor activities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identification and promotion of non-timber forest produce based income generation activities.</li> </ul>			
Rainfed Area Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Treatment of land through improved soil and moisture conservation practices on watershed basis.</li> <li>• Promotion of good agricultural practices- horticulture, improved crop</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Land to be brought under improved soil moisture conservation practices.</li> <li>• Good agricultural practices to be promoted.</li> <li>• Organic farming to be promoted. Fodder banks to be established.</li> <li>• Agriculture based livelihood income</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improved productivity of treated land.</li> <li>• Increased availability of water in cells.</li> <li>• Increase in annual agricultural production.</li> <li>• Farmers adopt organic farming practices.</li> <li>• Fodder security of farmers enhanced.</li> </ul>	<p>Increase in proportion of households having more security of food Increase in contribution of agricultural income to the household income</p>

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
	<p>and vegetable.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Promotion of organic farming practices.</li> <li>• Formation of Fodder banks to increase fodder security and promote dairy development among communities.</li> <li>• Identification and promotion of agri-produce based income generation activities like grading, processing and packaging.</li> <li>• Promotion of better irrigation practices like drip irrigation</li> <li>• Impart trainings, conduct</li> </ul>	<p>generation activities to be promoted</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Water harvesting structures to be constructed.</li> <li>• Drip irrigation facilities to be distributed among farmers.</li> <li>• Approx 15000 person days of employment to be generated.</li> <li>• Trainings, exposure visits and meetings to be organized for communities, village volunteers.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increased availability of water for 9 to12 months.</li> <li>• Increased availability of water for livestock</li> <li>• Increase in agricultural productivity of land.</li> <li>• Augmentation of drinking water supply.</li> </ul>	

Components	Activities	Outputs	Effect	Impact
	meetings and organize exposure visits of communities.			
Women's socio-political and economic empowerment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Formation and strengthening of women' SHG groups</li> <li>• Capacity building of women folk.</li> <li>• Capacity building of SHG leaders and accountants</li> </ul> Linking SHGs with external financial institutions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Women's SHG groups to be formed.</li> <li>• Federation of Women's SHGs to be formed.</li> <li>• Trainings to be conducted for preparation of woolen products from sheep and goats</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enhanced capacities of leaders of women's group in taking initiatives to solve problems at different levels.</li> <li>• Improved access to credit for livelihood purposes Increased household income.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Position of women in household, community, society (politically, socially and economically) as perceived by women and community at large.</li> <li>• Performance enhancement of SHGs in terms of participation, decision-making, leadership and fund management.</li> <li>• Equality and equity in gender relations at home (decision making, expenditure, children's education, health)</li> </ul>

The adoption of soil and water management practices, renovation of village ponds and plantations not only improve productivity but also improve village environment. The investments made in water resources development would ease shortage of water both for domestic use and livestock and also make available water for supplemental irrigation.

The introduction of improved production technologies would stabilize crop production, save crops from adverse impacts of droughts and raise income level of farmers. The increased fodder availability and animal health care, the milk production would increase. There would be increased cash flows from subsidiary occupations. The increased awareness, operations through SHGs and easy availability of finance would make the communities more vibrant and enterprising.