

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE 3<sup>rd</sup> MEETING OF STATE LEVEL PBR QUALITY MONITORING COMMITTEE HELD ON 17.08.2021 AT 11.00 AM.**

-----  
The 3<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of the State Level PBR Quality Monitoring Committee was held through VC on 17.08.2021 at 11.00 AM under the chairmanship of Dr. G.V. Reddy, IFS (Retd.), Chairman, State Level PBR Quality Monitoring Committee on visual mode.

The list of the officers who attended the meeting is annexed.

The Chairman welcomed Sh.Vineet Kumar Garg, IFS, Chairman, HSBB, Members of State Level PBR Quality Monitoring Committee and introduced Sh. Prakash Mehta who has joined as Scientific Officer, HSBB and he has been appointed as Nodal Officer of the SLPBRQMC.

The Chairman explained the Monitoring Framework Proforma for evaluating quality of PBRs as provided by National Biodiversity Authority (NBA). The Committee Members raised some questions about the Evaluation Criteria given in the Monitoring Framework for evaluating quality of PBRs, while the Chairman provided them satisfactory solution. (Copy of the NBA framework for PBR Quality monitoring included for reference).

The Committee Members then discussed the tentative field plan and concluded that the plan will be flexible and changeable according to the field situation. The Committee also decided to organize a Feedback Meeting on 28.08.2021 at HSBB office for overall evaluation of the tour and finding the scope of improvement in the methodology and planning for further PBR quality evaluation work.

The Chairman thanked the Members of the Committee for attending the meeting.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.

-----

Endst. No. HSBB/ 1084-87

dated 07-09-2021

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action.

1. Chairman/ Members, SLPBRQMC
2. Chairman, HSBB, Panchkula
3. All TSGs, HSBB
4. All District Coordinators, HSBB, Panchkula.

*Neelam P.K.*  
06/09/2021  
Scientific Officer  
& Nodal Officer, SLPBRQMC  
O/o Chairman, HSBB, Panchkula

**LIST OF OFFICERS ATTENDING THE 3<sup>RD</sup> MEETING OF STATE LEVEL PBR QUALITY MONITORING COMMITTEE HELD THROUGH VC ON 17.08.2021 AT 11.00 AM**

- 
1. Dr. G. V. Reddy, IFS (Retd.), Chairman
  2. Sh. Vineet Kumar Garg, IFS, Chairman, HSBB
  3. Dr. Ram Chand, ADG (KVK), ICAR (Retd.) Member
  4. Dr. Samunder Singh, Professor (Retd.) Member
  5. Dr. Sharda R. Gupta, Ex. Professor, Member
  6. Sh. Gurmeet Singh, Scientific Officer, HSBB (Special invitee)
  7. Sh. Prakash Mehta, Scientific Officer, HSBB –cum- Nodal Officer, SLPBRQMC
- 

*[Faint handwritten notes and signatures are visible at the bottom of the page, including the name 'Dr. G. V. Reddy' and other illegible text.]*

## Part A

- **Name of BMC:** Local body (Urban/Rural)  
Taluk/Block/Mandal
- **District** State
- **Chair of the BMC (*ex-officio*, if any)**
- **Secretary of the BMC (*ex-officio*, if any)**
- **Date of BMC constitution (Date/ Ref of resolution)**
- **Date/ month/ year of PBR Preparation**
- **Date/ month/ year of PBR Validation**
- **Agency (ies) involved in preparation of PBR: (*TSG, institutions/volunteers etc.*)**
- **Effort of digitization of the PBRs by the SBB? Scan or database formats.**
- **TK Register, if any prepared and maintained by BMC**
- **Expenditure incurred in preparation of PBR and source of funds**
- **Use of PBR if any made by the BMC/ SBB**
- **Names of members of PBR Quality Monitoring Team**
- **Date(s) of the evaluation exercise**
- **Names of the officials/ persons/line departments consulted**
- **Observations / Recommendations by the Monitoring Team (not more than 15-20 lines)**

The contents of the PBR includes \_\_\_\_\_ species of wild flora including herbs/shrubs and trees; \_\_\_\_\_ species of wild fauna; \_\_\_\_\_ species/varieties of cultivated crops including horticultural and vegetable crops; \_\_\_\_\_ species/breeds of domesticated livestock/poultry; \_\_\_\_\_ species of fishes, \_\_\_\_\_ species of microbes and \_\_\_\_\_TKs.

## Part B

**The PBR Quality Evaluation Framework consists of 3 elements viz. Context, Process and Structure. These three elements carry equal weightage as all these elements play an equally significant role in assessing the quality of PBR. The elements are to be assessed using the “Indicators” which are 3, 4 and 5 each in respect of the 3 elements i. e. context, process and structure**

### **Criterion 1: Context**

Indicator	Explanation	Source of information for assessment	Score 1-10 (Highly Inadequate to Completely Adequate)
BMC and local body members are aware of the provisions and procedures related to PBR preparation	SBB/TSG might have conducted interactions on use and importance of PBRs. Records of meetings and responses of BMC members in this regard can give an idea.		
BMC has received training / sensitisation modules of SBB	Such actions of SBB would sensitise the BMC. Participation of BMC members in the exercise would provide a better linkage to the process and better understanding of PBR.		
BMC and local body have formally discussed the process of PBR in their area	Validation of the PBR after presentation and discussion would provide better understanding of the PBR and its use.		
<b>Score (Out of 30)</b>			

### **Criterion 2: Process**

Involvement of experts in data collection – Technical support Group and its involvement	Profile of TSG and involvement of experts in the subsequent interactions would impact the quality of PBR.		
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

BMC members / SBB oversaw the progress of data collection / collation/ analysis	Extent of involvement of the BMC members would be an important input for quality of PBR		
Consultation/ participation process followed with stakeholders (Meetings/ PRAs/Workshops/ Fairs / Festivals)	consultations with stake holders/ people coming to events like weekly market day to sell their agro products or wild gathered products will be very useful. This is the step 4 of the process in guidelines. Gender will also be a parameter in this. Diligence shown in identification of stakeholder groups using an inclusive approach.		
Existence/reference of the existing information on biodiversity (secondary literature)	Secondary information is a vital component of the register		
Correct scientific identity of the bioresources	Use of photographs, maps, graphics, data, seasonality, geo tagging, IUCN status (additionally people's perceived data on local species/land races will be more valuable. This would cover species/ races reduced due overexploitation or obscured/replaced by high yielding hybrids due to economic gains sacrificing quality traits) etc. in descriptions adds value to the information given in any document.		
<b>Total (out of 50)</b>			

### Criterion 3: Structure

Have all the relevant formats been filled with appropriate details?	Quality and adequacy of the information provided in the relevant formats can indicate quality. Seasonal collection of information is part of this.		
Have the groups been indexed for	A well indexed register would have ease of		

ease of access with contents and page numbers?	access to the contents. Bioresource wise or mere format wise index would facilitate perusal of the PBR differently		
Correct scientific identities of bioresources (both wild, cultivated and domesticated) along with available vernacular names.	This will include validated correct scientific names and credentials of the validating agency		
TK related details captured with list of resources and name of users/practitioners/knowledge holders*	How elaborate is the information on the traditional use in the columns for 'Associated TK' in the PBR formats within the local body area, and elsewhere also and how it has been safeguarded from misuse.		
<b>Out of 40</b>			

### Score Sheet

1 Element Name	2 Number of Indicators	3 Maximum Score (@ 10 per indicator)	4 Score given	5 % Weighted Score (One third for each element)	6 Final Score
Context	3	30			
Process	5	50			
Structure	4	40			
<b>Over all</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>120</b>			