

**FIRST INFORMATION REPORT**  
**(Under Section 173 B.N.S.S)**

**प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट**  
**(धारा 173 बी एन एस एस के तहत)**

1. **District (जिला):** State Vigilance & Anti Corruption Bureau, Haryana **P.S. (थाना):** ACB, Panchkula **Year (वर्ष):** 2026

**FIR No. (प्र.सू.रि. सं.):** 0002

**Date and Time of FIR (प्र.सू.रि. की दिनांक और समय):**  
22/01/2026 19:21 hrs

S.No. (क्र.सं.)	Acts (अधिनियम)	Sections (धारा(एँ))
1	IPC 1860	120-B
2	IPC 1860	409
3	IPC 1860	418
4	IPC 1860	420
5	PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, 1988	7

3. (a) **Occurrence of offence (अपराध की घटना):**

1 **Day (दिन):** Intervening Days **Date from (दिनांक से):** 01/01/2014 **Date To (दिनांक तक):** 29/12/2023  
**Time Period (समय अवधि):** **Time From (समय से):** 00:00 hrs **Time To (समय तक):** 00:00 hrs

(b) **Information received at P.S. (थाना जहां सूचना प्राप्त हुई):** **Date (दिनांक):** 22/01/2026 **Time (समय):** 18:49 hrs

(c) **General Diary Reference (रोजनामचा संदर्भ):** **Entry No. (प्रविष्टि सं.):** 014 **Date and Time (दिनांक और समय):** 22/01/2026 18:49 hrs

4. **Type of Information (सूचना का प्रकार):** Written

5. **Place of Occurrence (घटनास्थल):**

1. (a) **Direction and distance from P.S. (थाना से दूरी और दिशा):** EAST, 3 Km(s) **Beat No. (बीट सं.):**

(b) **Address (पता):** पुरातत्व विभाग हरियाणा,

(c) **In case, outside the limit of this Police Station, then Name of P.S. (यदि थाना सीमा के बाहर है तो थाना का नाम):**

**District (State) (जिला (राज्य)):**

6. **Complainant / Informant (शिकायतकर्ता / सूचनाकर्ता):**

(a) **Name (नाम):** SH. SHUKAR PAL HPS

- (b) Father's/Husband's Name (पिता/पति का नाम):
- (c) Date/Year of Birth (जन्म तिथि / वर्ष): 04/08/1970 (d) Nationality (राष्ट्रीयता): INDIA
- (e) UID No. (यूआईडी सं.):
- (f) Passport No. (पासपोर्ट सं.):
- Date of Issue (जारी करने की दिनांक): Place of Issue (जारी करने का स्थान):
- (g) ID Details (Ration Card, Voter ID Card, Passport, UID No., Driving License, PAN) (पहचान विवरण (राशन कार्ड ,मतदाता कार्ड ,पासपोर्ट, यूआईडी सं., ड्राइविंग लाइसेंस, पैन कार्ड))

S. No. (क्र.सं.)	ID Type (पहचान पत्र का प्रकार)	ID Number (पहचान संख्या)
------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------

- (h) Occupation (व्यवसाय):

- (i) Address (पता):

S.No. (क्र.सं.)	Address Type (पता का प्रकार)	Address (पता)
1	Present Address	PS SV AND ACB PKL, ACB, Panchkula, State Vigilance & Anti Corruption Bureau, Haryana, HARYANA, INDIA
2	Permanent Address	PS SV AND ACB PKL, ACB, Panchkula, State Vigilance & Anti Corruption Bureau, Haryana, HARYANA, INDIA

- (j) Phone number (दूरभाष सं.): Mobile (मोबाइल सं.): 91-9468255135

7. Details of known / suspected / unknown accused with full particulars (ज्ञात / संदिग्ध / अज्ञात अभियुक्त का पूरे विवरण सहित वर्णन):

S. No. (क्र.सं.)	Name (नाम)	Alias (उपनाम)	Relative's Name (रिश्तेदार का नाम)	Present Address(वर्तमान पता)
1	श्रीमति बिनानी भट्टाचार्य उप निदेशक पुरातत्व विभाग हरियाणा			1. उप निदेशक पुरातत्व,विभाग हरियाणा PANCHKULA,HARYANA,INDIA
2	जावेद आई.आई.टी. कानपुर			1. आईआईटी कानपुर,KANPUR CITY,UTTAR PRADESH,INDIA

8. Reasons for delay in reporting by the complainant / informant (शिकायतकर्ता / सूचनाकर्ता द्वारा रिपोर्ट देरी से दर्ज कराने के कारण):

9. Particulars of properties of interest (संबन्धित सम्पत्ति का विवरण):

S. No. (क्र.सं.)	Property Category (सम्पत्ति श्रेणी)	Property Type (सम्पत्ति के प्रकार)	Description (विवरण)	Value(In Rs/-) (मूल्य (रु में))

10. Total value of property (In Rs/-) (सम्पत्ति का कुल मूल्य(रु में)):

11. Inquest Report / U.D. case No., if any (मृत्यु समीक्षा रिपोर्ट / यू.डी.प्रकरण सं., यदि कोई हो):

S. No. (क्र.सं.)	UIDB Number (यू.डी.प्रकरण सं.)
---------------------	--------------------------------

12. First Information contents (प्रथम सूचना तथ्य):

नकल लेख इस प्रकार से है

सेवा में,

प्रबन्धक थाना,

राज्य सतकर्ता एवं भ्रष्टाचार निरोधक ब्यूरो,

सेक्टर -17 पंचकूला,

श्रीमान जी,

श्रीमति बिनानी भट्टाचार्य उप निदेशक पुरातत्व विभाग हरियाणा के विरुद्ध आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु राज्य सतकर्ता एवं भ्रष्टाचार निरोधक ब्यूरो हरियाणा पंचकूला में दो शिकायतें प्राप्त हुई थी, जिनमें से एक शिकायत दिनांक 02.09.2025 को शिकायतकर्ता सिधार्थ गौरी, डी-2, 2356 रघुनाथ पुरी, यमुनानगर, व एक शिकायत दिनांक 25.11.2025 शिकायतकर्ता सोमा रानी (E-mail Address- m.kadiyan@rediffmail.com) द्वारा कार्यालय अतिरिक्त पुलिस महानिदेशक रा.स.एवं भ.नि.ब्यूरो (ह) में प्राप्त हुई थी। जो अतिरिक्त पुलिस महानिदेशक रा.स.एवं भ.नि.ब्यूरो (ह) के कार्यालय के पत्र क्रमांक न. 19458/शिकायत/378/पंचकूला/2025/रा.स.एवं भ.नि.ब्यूरो (ह) दिनांक 29.09.2025 व ईमेल दिनांक 18.12.2025 के अनुसार उचित कार्यवाही हेतु मुख्य सचिव हरियाणा सरकार चौकसी विभाग चण्डीगढ़ को भेजी गई थी। जो मुख्य सचिव हरियाणा सरकार चौकसी विभाग चण्डीगढ़ के पत्र क्रमांक 06/01/2025-1 VIII दिनांक 20.01.2025 व कार्यालय महानिदेशक राज्य सतकर्ता एवं भ्रष्टाचार निरोधक ब्यूरो हरियाणा पंचकूला के पत्र क्रमांक 1370/शिकायत/378/544/पंचकूला/2025 दिनांक 21.01.2026 के अनुसार श्रीमति बिनानी भट्टाचार्य उप निदेशक पुरातत्व विभाग हरियाणा के विरुद्ध अभियोग अंकित करने हेतु प्राप्त हुई है।

शिकायत दिनांक 02.09.2025 का सार इस प्रकार से है:-

F.No.Complaint/Vigilance/2025/101 dated 31.08.2025.

To,

The, Additional Director General of Police, Anti Corruption Bureau,  
Head Quarters, Plot No 1, Sector -23 Panchkula.  
Haryana-134109

Subject: Complaint against Dr. Binani Bhattacharya, Deputy Director (Archeological Department) Haryana for misappropriation of funds, violation of tender norms, corruption and undue financial benefit to specific agency under section 7A, and 13 of prevention of corruption act 1988 in conducting Ground Penetration Radar survey.

Sir,

1) Respectfully, it is submitted that Dr Binani Bhattacharya, Deputy Director, Archeological department, Haryana is presently posted at Headquarters of archeological Department. She has done multiple violations during her course of duty and a detailed report on malpractices in Ground penetration radar survey (GPRS) is as follows.

Sr No. Particulars of GPRS Date

1. Dr Binani Bhattacharya mailed to Mr Javed, IIT Kanpur for 14-09-2023 the demand of proposal 14.09.2023
2. Mr. Javed, IIT Kanpur forward proposal for GPRS survey of 20-09-2023 6 sites pricing Rs 47,20,000 20.09.2023
3. Mr. Javed, IIT Kanpur forward proposal for GPRS survey of 11-10-2023 1 Site pricing Rs 12,39,000 11.10.2023
4. Mr. Javed, IIT Kanpur forward proposal for GPRS survey of 09-04-2024 1 Site pricing Rs 15,48,000 09.04.2024
5. Mr. Javed, IIT Kanpur forward proposal for GPRS survey of 3 sites pricing Rs 24,33,750 29.07.2024

Dr Binani Bhattacharya is appointed as Dept Director on Ad-Hoc basis from year 2014. This detailed observation will highlight that the mode of operation followed by her in misuse of official power and undue favoritism to specific agency.

2) Ground Penetration Radar Survey is a non-destructive geophysical method that uses radar pulses to image the subsurface. GPR equipment sends High Frequency electromagnetic waves into the ground and record the reflections that bounce back to the surface. By analysis of the reflection, 2D and 3D images of the subsurface is formed to detect buried objects, layers and anomalies.

3) Archeological department, Haryana has done GPR Survey in 2023-2024 and details are mentioned in above table. These are some observations which highlight the malpractice and corruption by the Deputy Director Archeological department, Haryana during the complete process of survey.

OBSERVATION-1

4) Dept Director, Archeological department, Haryana took charge of the complete procurement process. She intentionally concealed the bidding process from the open market. She has not advertised the tender/bidding in any of the media for coverage to general public. Archaeological department accepted that they have not advertised the tender as per reply given by them via RTI reply dated 04-06-2025 attached as Annexure - A

## OBSERVATION-2

5) Department has initiated the process of procurement of GPRS services without following the procurement procedure as described by Finance department. Haryana. She has directly violated the standard procedure without any justification or approvals from concerned agencies. She has violated Transparency in the tendering system as defined in Central Vigilance commission circular No. 01/02/11 dated 11.02.2011 attached as Annexure-B.

## OBSERVATION-3

6) She has breached the directions on the procurement of goods and services from Government e- Marketplace Portal (GeM). Government e-Marketplace (GeM) platform to enhance transparency, efficiency, and cost-effectiveness in public procurement by providing a unified, online marketplace for commonly used goods and services. GeM promotes fair competition, offers a paperless and cashless ecosystem, and makes it easier for diverse suppliers to engage with government buyers. The intentional avoidance of procurement from an authentic government portal is to benefit the specific vendor. She has violated the directive No2/2/2016-4IB-II dated 11.12.2018 attached as Annexure C. it is clear violation of the procurement procedure when the required services are available on GeM and department look for escape route to procure from direct contract. Ground penetration Radar Survey (GPRS) is available on GeM and even Indian Oil Corporation has done the bidding through GeM. Copy of the bid is attached as Annexure D.

## OBSERVATION-4

7) Governments Make in India scheme launched by Prime Minister Narendra Modi intended to boost the domestic manufacturing sector and also augment business opportunities for local vendors. Haryana state public procurement (Preference to Make in India) 2020 policy on procurement of goods and services from Class I local suppliers. In the GPRS contract, Dept Director has violated the Para 3 and 4 of Haryana state public procurement (Preference to Make in India) 2020 policy by not giving fair opportunity to Class I local vendors. This is a gross violation to the transparency and upliftment efforts of the government to the local vendors. Said policy is attached as Annexure - E.

## OBSERVATION-5

8) Tender/bidding has inherent possibility of Negotiations. That step leads to cost effective bidding. During this contract, she has discarded all possibilities of negotiations on the rate. This step was avoided to give undue financial benefit to a specific vendor. Such gross avoidance by her was direct neglect of the directive 14/29/2023-6FA dated 26.05.2023 from Finance department, Government of Haryana, she has accepted the quotation from only one agency and discarded the possibility of negotiations in the contract amounts to financial mismanagement. Copy of the directive is attached as Annexure - F.

## OBSERVATION-6

9) The Competition Act 2002 promote and sustain competition in markets, to protect the interests of consumers and to ensure freedom of trade carried on by other participants in markets. This act provides freedom of trade to all participants and open opportunities to consumers too. She has evaded the process of fair competition in the process of contract. She has violated the section 3 of The Competition Act 2002. She has abused her position to manipulate the chances for fair competition and violated the section 4 of The Competition Act 2002. It is duty-bound of the authorities to put penalties on her as per Chapter VI of The Competition Act 2002. Copy of the act is attached as Annexure - G.

## OBSERVATION-7

10) Earnest Money Deposit (EMD) a refundable monetary amount submitted by a bidder to demonstrate their serious intent to win the contract and fulfill the bid. It functions as a security deposit to ensure the bidder doesn't withdraw their bid or alter it during the validity period. If the bidder wins the contract, the EMD can be adjusted against the security deposit or held until the contract is performed. During the GPRS contract, the Dept Director has not directed the tenderer to deposit EMD. As per Directorate of supplies and disposal has not given any waiver to IIT, Kanpur (Tenderer) from EMD. It is amply clear that she has not followed basic principles of bidding during the GPRS contract.

## OBSERVATION-8

11) Dr. Binani Bhattacharya coordinated the complete process of tendering by herself as a consignee. She has intentionally avoided the basic principle of tendering to offer benefits to a specific agency. Some Issues were not deliberated by her during the process as:

a) why no pre-procurement process was followed?

- b) why No detailed Term of Reference were drawn?
- c) why No Scope of work was assigned?
- d) why was the bid not advertised?
- e) why the GeM tendering was not followed?
- f) why the EMD was not deposited?
- g) why the technical consultation was not taken during the contract, since no officer from the archeological department was GPR survey qualified?
- h) why is the No Quality assurance mechanism framed?
- i) why the technical bid and financial bid was separately processed?
- j) Why did no comparative statement of tender be prepared?
- k) Why was no other agency involved in the tendering process?
- l) why No standing purchase committee was formed?
- m) why No penalty terms were defined for not delivering the services in stipulated time?
- n) why the day-to-day data sheet for tendering work was not maintained?
- o) why no documentation was maintained for monitoring the execution process of tendering?
- p) why the sample survey at a small area was not performed to validate the desired outcome?
- q) why No Demand Certificate was not issued after completion of the contract?
- r) why the Raw data of the GPR survey was not preserved?
- s) Why was there no Archeological report issued post contract completion?
- t) why no justification or reasoning was documented for site selection in GPRS

## OBSERVATION-9

12) IIT Kanpur was the only agency called for this contract, it is important to consider why local or more geographically proximate institutions such as Kurukshetra University, which is within the state or IT Roorkee, which is closer in distance were not evaluated for feasibility. Engaging nearby institutions could potentially reduce logistical costs, mobilization me, and encourage regional collaboration Exploring such alternatives align with the principles of cost optimization, transparency, and efficient resource utilization in public projects is expected from a public servant she has intentionally circumvented the complete bidding process and allotted to IIT Kanpur

## OBSERVATION-10

13) The Ground Penetration Radar Survey is conducted by various agencies at state and national level. In Haryana Kurkshetra University Kurukshetra is competent to do GPRS but Deputy Director Archeological department, Haryana has manipulatively avoided for opportunities for other agencies to participate in bids Galaxy Geomatics Pvt Ltd is a competent Agency in conducting GPRS I requested them for the Quotation for GPRS at Topra Kalan, Ancient Mound Sugh and Ancient Mound Sandhaye. The scope of work was exactly the same as given by Dr. Binani Bhattacharya to IIT Kanpur. The quotation or which the final survey was conducted is attached as Annexure –H Dr. Binani Bhattacharya paid Rs 24,33,750 for the GPRS at Topra Kalan, Ancient Mound Sugh and Ancient Mound Sandhaye. For the same scope of work and conditions, Galaxy Gemomtics Pvt Ltd has quoted Rs 14,16,000. A copy of the quotation is attached as an Annexure-I

14) To understand the market price band, i approached Raynas Geotech Pvt Ltd. as a competent agency in conducting GPRS. I requested them too for the Quotation for GPRS at Topra Kalan, Ancient Mound Sugh and Ancient Mound Sandhaye. The scope of work was exactly the same as given by Dr Binani Bhattacharya to IIT Kanpur. For the same scope of work and conditions, Raynas Geotech Pvt Ltd has quoted Rs 16,00,000. A copy of the quotation is attached as an Annexure -I

The financial disparity of this quantum clearly explains favoritism and mishandling of public funds. Dr. Binani Bhattacharya allotted tender to favorite agency with much higher price as compared to market's standard pricing for the work. The tender did not follow the procurement procedure and allotted the contract to IIT Kanpur with pricing nearly twice of the standard market price to benefit the agency. This is a clear violation of law and punishable under the Prevention of Corruption Act 1988.

## OBSERVATION-11

15) GPRS contract was only offered to IIT Kanpur by Dr Binani Bhattacharya without giving opportunity to any other agency to bid. Mr Javed from IIT Kanpur submitted an initial proposal for 06 sites at a total cost of Rs 47,20,000. Later objected by Mr M D Sinha, Principal Secretary, Heritage and tourism department and only one site was advised to be surveyed since limited exposure and expertise available with the Archeological department. A pilot survey was approved on 20-11-2023 and assigned to Mr Javed from IIT Kanpur without giving opportunity to any other agency to bid. Later Dr Binani Bhattacharya selected three new sites and processed the case for GPRS without giving any reasonable justification to the department. Tender for GPRS of three sites were again given to Mr Javed from IIT Kanpur without giving opportunity to any other agency to bid.

16) Tender of GPRS was allotted to one agency even on the cost of keeping all procedures at the corner. I requested detail information pertaining to the GPRS via application under Right to Information Act 2005 dated 04.06.2025 Copy

of the same is attached as Annexure- J.

17) Dr Binani Bhattacharya is the superior officer to the concerned RTI clerk. That leads to refusal and avoidance in giving information through RTI application. copy of the reply is as attached Annexure K. I have submitted the First appeal to the Director, Archeological Department Haryana and reply is awaited. Copy of the appeal is as attached Annexure - L.

18) To understand the complete nexus, I have requested information pertaining to the GPRS via application under Right to Information Act 2005 dated 14-07-2025 from IIT Kanpur. Copy of the same is attached as Annexure M. But IIT Kanpur also refused to provide the basic information of the contract on frivolous grounds. Copy of the reply is as attached Annexure N. I have submitted the First appeal to the Registrar, IIT Kanpur and reply is awaited. Copy of the appeal is as attached Annexure O. It is very much clear from the responses of Ms Binani Bhattacharya and Mr Javed of IIT Kanpur that there are some malpractices that have been done by both of them.

19) It is important to note here that GPRS tendering is not the first bidding process under suspicion. After extensive follow-up, I have come up with the list of projects with malefice approach underwent in the supervision of Ms Binani Bhattacharya. I have requested the detail information of these projects via application under RTI Act 2005 dated 14-07-2025. Copy of application is attached as Annexure P. Till date I have not received any response from Archeological department, Haryana.

20) Ms Binani Bhattacharya was appointed on contractual basis as deputy director, Archeological department, Haryana in 2014. Her induction was done to circumvent all established procedures and policies. This issue was highlighted by Mr Sanjeev Verma, IAS vide his letter dated 10-04-2023. Copy of the letter is attached as Annexure - Q. Due to her influence and vicious nexus in the department, she escaped the aftermath of the said letter. Human resource department. Haryana government has noticed that the contractual/ ad-hoc staff at sensitive post handling financial matters cause vulnerability in the governance. Human resource department, Haryana government vide letter dated 01 Jul 2025 has directed that sensitive post or post handling financial issues must be handled by regular staff. Copy of the directive is attached as Annexure- R. It is requested that directions of the Human resource department be implemented on priority to safeguard exchequer money.

21) GPRS was not a new kind of contract or tendering. But malpractices and malicious intention can create such lapses as evident in this case. Gurugram Metropolitan development authority, Gurugram has initiated the bid for GPRS of underground asset mapping. This bid was published on 01-02-2022 and tendering followed all procedures directed by Haryana government. This bid was done with fair intention and without any touch of corruption. Copy of the bid is as attached as Annexure -S. I request agencies to see the difference when a similar kind of bidding done by different department of Haryana Government, how fair and transparent proceedings can be executed.

22) Vigilance departments promote integrity and transparency within organizations by detecting, investigating, and preventing corruption and misconduct. The punitive and preventive measures, ensuring strict adherence to the law and public interest. This case is an example of favoritism, misuse of the power. malpractices and financial discrepancies. It is utmost important to have a corruption free and transparent system in the state.

I request a fair and comprehensive investigation on Dr Binani Bhattacharya may kindly be initiated on priority. I have put extensive endeavor to unveil the true picture of the officer and the department. I am very optimistic that a stringent action by your department will strengthen the belief of common man in the Governance. I request section 7A, and 13 of prevention of corruption act 1988 be exercised and wrongdoer be punished.

The information is being provided as confidential and my name and address should be kept secret under the Whistle Blower Protection Act 2014. Soliciting immediate department enquiry and removal from the post of Deputy Director, Archeological department, Haryana.

Thanking You,  
Yours Faithfully

Sd Sidhartha (Sidhartha Gauri) D-2, 2356 Raghunath Puri, Yamunanagar-135001 Haryana  
sidhartha.gauri@gmail.com , 9896510806.

शिकायत संख्या 544/पंचकला/2025, दिनांक 25.11.2025 शिकायतकर्ता सोमा रानी (E-mail Address-

m.kadiyan@rediffmail.com) का सार इस प्रकार से है:-

To

Sh. Nayab Singh ji,  
The Hon'ble Chief Minister of Haryana,  
Chief Minister's Office Chandigarh, Haryana

Subject: Representation seeking urgent interverition against illegal backdoor entry in government service and continued irregular appointment/extension of contract of Smt. Banani Bhattacharyya, Deputy Director (Archaeology Museums), Haryana.

Respected Sir,

I, the undersigned, in discharge of my duty as a vigilant citizen and in furtherance of the constitutional guarantee of

equality in public employment, most respectfully submit this representation seeking your kind consideration and immediate intervention.

I humbly submit that despite sending this representation and its reminders multiple times in the past, no response has been received from the authorities concerned, which has compelled me to approach your good office once again for justice and appropriate action.

#### Statement of Facts

1. In 2015, the Department of Archaeology Museums, Haryana issued an advertisement (copy enclosed) inviting applications only from (Group-A category) retired Deputy Directors of the State Department of Archaeology Museums, the Archaeological Survey of India, and Central/State Universities, on a fixed remuneration of ₹40,000/- per month.
2. The eligibility criteria expressly restricted applications to retired employees of the above-mentioned institutions.
3. In complete disregard of these mandatory conditions, Smt. Banani Bhattacharyya, who was never a retired employee of any such institution, was recommended and appointed on a contract basis as Deputy Director (Archaeology Museums). This amounts to an illegal backdoor entry into government service, in clear contravention of both the advertisement and the approval granted by the Chief Secretary, Haryana.
4. Her remuneration, initially fixed at ₹40,000/- per month, was illegally enhanced-first to ₹56,100/- and subsequently to ₹61,700/--despite the appointment being explicitly on a consolidated basis. This has resulted in wrongful loss to the State Exchequer and undue pecuniary benefit to her.
5. Astonishingly, Smt. Bhattacharyya has been granted yearly extensions of her contract for nearly a decade, despite being ineligible ab initio. This is a blatant violation of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India, which guarantee equality and fairness in public employment.
6. As per the Service Rules of the Department of Archaeology Museums (copy enclosed), it is mandatory that for direct recruitment to the post of Deputy Director, a candidate must possess at least seven years of practical experience in archaeological operations-such as excavation, exploration, conservation, or preservation of monuments, antiquities, and remains-acquired in any recognized institution. It is evident that at the time of her appointment in 2015, Smt. Banani Bhattacharyya did not fulfill this mandatory criterion, rendering her Ineligible even for contractual appointment.
7. Departmental officers, namely Sh. Dharpal, Sh. Jasbir, Sh. Meghsham, Sh. Raghvender Ral, Smt. Sunita and others, actively facilitated this illegality by deliberately suppressing material facts, disregarding established procedures, and ignoring repeated written complaints regarding her irregular appointment, thereby abetting a clear breach of rules and regulations.

#### Legal Position

The acts complained of amount to:

Abuse of official position - Sections 13(1)(d) 13(2), Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988

Criminal breach of trust by public servant-Section 409, IPC

Cheating and wrongful gain/loss-Section 420, IPC

Criminal conspiracy - Section 120 -B, IPC

Violation of constitutional guarantees - Articles 14 16 of the Constitution of India

The Hon'ble Supreme Court has consistently held that appointments made de hors the rules are void ab initio, as reaffirmed in:

State of Karnataka v. Uma Devi, (2006) 4 SCC 1

Renu v. District Sessions Judge, (2014) 14 SCC 50

A. Uma Rani v. Registrar. (2004) 7 SCC 112

#### Prayer

In light of the foregoing, I most respectfully request your kind intervention to:

1. Terminate forthwith the legal appointment and continued extensions of Smt. Banani Bhattacharyya.
2. Order a high-level Vigilance/Anti-Corruption Inquiry to fix accountability upon all officers who facilitated the irregularity.
3. Recover the excess salary paid beyond ₹40,000/- per month from the beneficiary.
4. Initiate appropriate criminal proceedings under the Prevention of Corruption Act and relevant IPC provisions against all complicit officers and the beneficiary.
5. Issue directions to ensure strict compliance with constitutional principles of transparency, equality, and fairness in public employment to prevent recurrence of such fraudulent backdoor appointments.

#### Enclosures

1. Copy of Advertisement (2015)

2. Copy of Note dated 27.07.2015

3. Copies of wage enhancement orders, etc.

Respectfully submitted, (Soma Rani) Concerned Citizen

कार्यवाही पुलिस :- उपरोक्त शिकायतें कार्यालय महानिदेशक राज्य सतकर्ता एवं भ्रष्टाचार निरोधक ब्यूरो हरियाणा

पंचकूला के पत्र क्रमांक 1370/शिकायत/378/544/पंचकूला/2025 दिनांक 21.01.2026 के अनुसार श्रीमति बिनानी भट्टाचार्य उप निदेशक पुरातन विभाग हरियाणा व श्री जावेद (आई.आई.टी. कानपुर) के विरुद्ध अभियोग अंकित करने हेतु प्राप्त हुई है। जो शिकायतों के सार से प्रथम दृष्टया अपराध धाराधीन 7 r/w Explanation 2 (i) भ्रष्टाचार निवारण अधिनियम, 1988 व धाराधीन 409,418,420,120-B भारतीय दण्ड संहिता, 1860 का होना पाया जाता है जिस पर लेख लिखकर बराये करने अंकित अभियोग आपके पास भेजा जा रहा है। जिस पर अभियोग अंकित करके प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट की प्रतियां स्पेशल जज अदालत पंचकूला व उच्च अधिकारियों की सेवा में भिजवाई जाए। अभियोग की पुलिस फाइल व असल लेख आगामी अनुसंधान हेतु अन्य अनुसंधान अधिकारी के हवाले किया जाए।

अज- थाना राज्य सतर्कता एवं भ्रष्टाचार निरोधक ब्यूरो, सैक्टर-17 पंचकूला।

SD SHUKAR PAL HPS

(शुक्र पाल, ह.पु.से.)

उप पुलिस अधीक्षक,

थाना रा.स. एवं भ्र.नि. ब्यूरो,

सैक्टर-17 पंचकूला।

दिनांक 21.01.2026

समय 6.30PM

अज थाना- उपरोक्त तहरीर पर अभियोग नम्बर 02 दिनांक 22.01.2026 धारा 7 r/w Explanation 2 (i) भ्रष्टाचार निवारण अधिनियम, 1988 व धाराधीन 409,418,420,120-B भारतीय दण्ड संहिता, 1860 थाना राज्य चौकसी एवं भ्रष्टाचार निरोधक ब्यूरो पंचकूला अंकित करके स्पेशल रिपोर्ट ई. मेल के माध्यम से स्पेशल मैजीस्ट्रेट साहब, जिला पंचकूला की सेवा में व उच्च अधिकारियों की सेवा में भिजवाई जा रही है। असल तहरीर व अभियोग की पुलिस फाइल आगामी अनुसंधान हेतु अनुसंधान अधिकारी नियुक्त करने के लिए उच्च अधिकारियों को अलग से पत्र लिखा जाएगा। इन्द्राज रिकार्ड विधि अनुसार किया गया।

13.

**Action taken: Since the above information reveals commission of offence(s) u/s as mentioned at Item No. 2.**

(की गयी कार्यवाही : चूंकि उपरोक्त जानकारी से पता चलता है कि अपराध करने का तरीका मद सं. 2 में उल्लेख धारा के तहत है.):

(1) Registered the case and took up the investigation (प्रकरण दर्ज किया गया और जांच के लिए लिया गया): / or (या)

(2) Directed (Name of I.O.) (जांच अधिकारी का नाम): SHUKARPAL SINGH Rank (पद): Dy. SP (Deputy Superintendent of Police)

No. (सं.): PINSP to take up the Investigation (को जांच अपने पास में लेने के लिए निर्देश दिया गया) or (या)

(3) Refused investigation due to (जांच के लिए): or (के कारण इंकार किया या)

(4) Transferred to P.S. (थाना): District (ज़िला):

on point of jurisdiction (को क्षेत्राधिकार के कारण हस्तांतरित).

F.I.R. read over to the complainant / informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the complainant /informant, free of cost. (शिकायतकर्ता / सूचनाकर्ता को प्राथमिकी पढ़ कर सुनाई गयी, सही दर्ज हुई माना और एक कॉपी निशुल्क शिकायतकर्ता को दी गयी)

R.O.A.C.

(आर.ओ.ए.सी.)

14. **Signature / Thumb impression of the complainant / informant**  
(शिकायतकर्ता / सूचनाकर्ता के हस्ताक्षर / अंगूठे का निशान)

**Signature of Officer in charge, Police Station**  
(थाना प्रभारी के हस्ताक्षर)

**Name (नाम):** PANKHURI KUMAR

**Rank (पद):** SP (Superintendent of Police)

**No. (सं.):** HPS

15. **Date and time of dispatch to the court (अदालत में प्रेषण की दिनांक और समय):**

Error: Subreport could not be shown.

