

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT
(Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)

1. **District:** STATE VIG. BUREAU **PS:** SVB Hisar **Year:** 2013 **FIR No:** 3 **Date:** 23-01-2013

2. **Act(s):** **Section(s)**

- (i) PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT 1988 7
(ii)
(iii)
(iv)

3. **Occurrence of Offence:**

- (a) **Day:** Wednesday **Date From:** 23-1-2013 **Date To:** : 23-1-2013
 Time Period: **Time From:** **Time To:** 14:30 hrs
(b) **Information received at P.S:** **Date:** : 23-5-2013 **Time:** 14:30 hrs
(c) **General Diary Reference:** **Entry No.:** 7 **Time:** 14:30 hrs 4.
Type of Information: WRITTEN

5. **Place of Occurrence:**

- (a) **Direction and Distance from P.S.:** -East 30 K.M. **Beat No.:** 1
(b) **Address :** Area of Hansi Hisar
(c) **In case, Outside the limit of the Police Station:**

Name of P.S: **District:**

6. **Complainant/Informant:**

- (a) **Name:** Sarbati W/o Pardeep Kumar
(b) **Birth year:** **Nationality:** INDIAN
(c) **Passport No.** **Date of issue:** **Place of Issue:**
(d) **Occupation:**
(e) **Address:** Hno 380 Krishan Colony Hansi

7. **Details of known/Suspect/Unknown accused with full particulars(attach separate sheet if necessary):**
 5 (See Annexure For Accused Details)

8. **Reason for delay in reporting by the complainant/informant:**

9. **Particulars of the properties stolen/involved(attach separate sheet if necessary):**

Sl.No.	Property Type(Description)	Est. Value(Rs.)	Status
(i)			
(ii)			
(iii)			

10. **Total value of property stolen:**

11. **Inquest Report/U.D Case No., if any**

12. **F.I.R Contents (attach separate sheet, if required):**

आज एक इस्तगासा u/s 156(3) CrPC बाअदालत श्री Y.S Rathar ASJ/HSR बाबत करने दर्ज मुकदमा प्राप्त हुआ है जिसका मजबून जैल है । Before the court of Special Judge Exercising the power under the prevention of corruption Act 1988 Smt. Sharbati widow of Sh. Pardeep Kumar resident of 380,Krishana Colony, Hansi .Versus 1. Smt. Bimla

Bhutani ,Child department project Officer Hansi-1 Hansi Distt. Hisar.2. Smt Sushila Rawal Programmer Officer Women & Child Department Deptt. Hisar. 3. Sh. Ashok Garg, Additional Deputy Commissioner Hisar . 4. Smt Rekha wife of Ssh. Surender Kumar resident of Hansi Distt. Hisar. 5. Smt. Shakuntala wife of Devi Dayal resident of Hansi Distt. Hisar. Application u/s 156(3) CrPC for offences U/s 13(1) (d) of the prevention of corruption Act 1988 read with sections 167,420,465,468,471 & 120 IPC. Sir, 1. that the complainant is widow of Sh. Pradeep Kumar resident of 380,Krishana Colony, Hansi distt. Hisar . Copy of Resident Certificate as proof of address in enclosed . The husband of the complainant died on 03.02.2010. Copy of Death certificate is enclosed . 2. That the complainant applied for the Post of Anganwari worker at Krishna Nager Hansi Distt. Hisar in response to the application invited by the accused no .1,2 & 3 and date interviews were also published in the news paper .Copy of the information published in the newspaper is attached . 3. that the Director women & Child development deptt. Haryana vide letter no 19266-422/CD3/WCD/2008 dated 12.6.2008 issued instruction regarding appointment of Anganwari workers including reservation , weightage of qualification , preference to widow and work experience . Maximum marks of interviews were also fixed in the instruction . Copy of letter is also enclosed . Three vacancies of Anganwari workers from General Category were to be filled up. 4. that in all 24 candidates appeared for interviews and selection per forma was prepared by accused no. 1 in consultation with accused no 2 & 3 on 02.09.2010 list contained the marks obtained by each candidate under different head and the total marks obtained by each candidate. 5.That a list of selection candidates was released by accused no 1,2&3 in the evening news paper (Danik Nabchor) of 20th April 2011 and the name of the complainant was among the selected Anganwari workers . Copy of the news clippings and Selection list which was displayed on the released board of accused no 1. are attached . On reading the news paper the complainant approached accused no 1,2&3 about the appointment letter but none of the accused gave any proper reply but instead told the complainant that they are under political pressure to select other candidates in place of complainant. The complainant along with Savitri Devi wife of Vinod Kumar,who got maximum marks in the selection process,went to the office of accused no 2 and found that both of them were shown to be selected and there was no cutting or over writing in theselected list . But still the accused no

1,2&3 refused to give appointment letter to the complainant and Savitri Devi wife of Vinod Kumar. 6. That when the complainant didn't receive any appointment letter she went to the office of accused no 1 and came to know that Smt. Shakuntala wife of Devidayal, and Rekha Devi wife of Surender Kumar had already joint in place of complainant and Savitri Devi wife & of Vinod Kumar . The complainant applied for the record of selection proceeding under RTI and the information was supplied by accused no. 1 vide her letter no 413 dated 30.08.2011 . The selection list , which is enclosed , clearly show that the complainant was selected but latter on by making the cuttings in the list she was kept under waiting. The list shows that the marks of Shankutala wife of devidayal and Rekha Devi wife of Surender Kumar were changed latter on by making cutting in the selection list to help them with ulterior motive of accused no 1,2,&3. The accused no 1,2,&3 acted in criminal conspiracy with each other by abusing their position and adopting corrupt and illegal means for providing valuable and pecuniary advantage to accused no 4&5. 7. that the complainant had been going to police of P.S. Hansi but the police didn't take any action . Ultimately the complainant submitted a complaint to Superintendent of Police Hisar on 10.09.2011 for the registration of Case but the police still didn't take action against the accused persons . Copy of the complaint and the postal receipt are enclosed .8. That the accused 1,2,&3 are public and a sanction is required to prosecute them along with other accused . The complainant has no other option but to file a complaint before the Hon'ble Court who has the original criminal Jurisdiction to take cognizance of the case. 9. That the complaint is to be investigation in respect of following Acts and omission. (a) Who is in the possession of forged record & who has forged the public record . (b) How much amount the accused have accepted in getting the document tempered . (c) Record of selection process id to be taken in possession .(d) Hand writing of the accused persons is to be examined regarding their role in tempering the official record and birth record .(e) Role of each accused who have worked under a conspiracy . 10. That the cognizance can not be taken unless the matter in investigation and sanction is obtained from competent authority. It is requested that the police may kindly be directed to register and investigate the case in the interest of Justice .List of Witnesses 1. comp self 2. Record keeper office of CDPO Hansi ii along with record of selection process of Anganwari worker at Krishana Colony Hansi 3.Sh. Vinod

Gangwani press reporter Dainik Nabhchor along with News paper dt 20.4.2011 and the list of selected Anganwari Workers public shed in the news paper 4. Savitri Devi w/o Sh. Vinod Kumar resident of Krishana Colony Hansi Presented by Sh. Parveer Arya (Adv.) to come up for order in the peshion 29.09.2011 Superintendent G-ii Distt. Session Judge Hisar Complainant sd Hindi सरवती Sarvti widow of Sh. Pardeep Kumar Resident of 380 Krishana colony Hansi distt. Hisar Dated 28.09.11 Trrough Sh. Parveer Arya Advocate Hisar .अज थाना:- हस्ब आमद इस्तगासा पर मुकदमा हजा वा जुर्म उपरोक्त दर्ज रजि० किया जाकर नकूलात Fir चाक हुई इस्तगासा व नकलFir बराए तफतीश हेतू श्री फूलसिंह DSP रा.चौ.ब्यूरो हिसार को दी गई मुकदमा हजा की स्पेशल रिपोर्ट पहुंचाने ईलाका मजिस्ट्रेट वा पुलिस अधीक्षक हिसार बदस्त EASI जगजीत सिंह 277/HSR भेजी जा रही है

Action Taken (Since the above information reveals commission of offence(s)u/s as mentioned at item No.2:

(i) Registered the case and took up the investigation

OR

(ii) Directed(Name of the I.O.): Sh. Phool Singh

Rank: DSP

No.: DSP/SVB Hisar

to take up the investigation,OR

(iii) Refused investigation due to:

OR

(iv) Transferred to P.S.(name):

On point of jurisdiction:

District:

F.I.R. read over to the complainant/informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the complainant/informant, free of cost:

R.O.A.C.:

14.

Signature/Thumb Impression

Of The Complainant/Informant:

Signature of Officer

Name: Gian Singh

Rank: Insp. No. HAP 25

15 Date and Time of despatch to the court: