

न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन
COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)
दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/**Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)**
सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/**Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment**
भारत सरकार/**Government of India**
5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364
5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364
Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccpd.nic.in

Case No: 14304/1023/2023

In the Matter of

Complainant

Shri Jang Bahadur

Versus

Respondent

The Commissioner, Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan,

New Delhi

1. Hearing

1.1. A hearing was conducted on 17.12.2025 in the hybrid mode, wherein the following parties/representatives were present:

Sl. No.	Name of the Parties / Representatives	On Behalf of
1.	Shri Jang Bahadur	Complainant
2.	Shri Swami, Administrative Officer, Regional Office, Agra, KVS	Respondent

2. Record of Proceedings

2 . 1 The Complainant, Shri Jang Bahadur, a person with benchmark disability who formerly served as a Postgraduate Teacher (PGT) Mathematics in Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan (KVS), stated his grievance. He submitted that an amount of approximately ₹12,700 was recovered from him by the Respondent following an

internal audit objection relating to travel/daily allowances concerning service postings and journeys. He contended that similar recovery actions were arbitrarily initiated against seventeen individuals, causing financial prejudice.

2.2 During the proceedings, the Respondent (represented by Shri Swami, Administrative Officer) submitted that the recovery of ₹12,700 was effected pursuant to an internal audit objection raised by the internal audit department based on Government of India, Ministry of Finance (Department of Expenditure) guidelines. The Respondent explained that journeys between proximate municipal areas and newly notified municipalities (such as Ghaziabad, Faridabad, Noida, Gurgaon, etc.) are uniformly treated as local journeys for the purpose of travelling allowances, restricting eligibility primarily to daily allowances under Supplementary Rule (SR) 71, thereby precluding room rent/accommodation entitlements.

2.3 The Court noted that the Respondent had failed to submit a formal written response or counter-affidavit in response to this Court's First Notice. The Court advised the Respondent to review the case thoroughly to ascertain whether any administrative discrimination or discrepancy took place vis-à-vis the Complainant and the other affected personnel.

3. Observations and Recommendations:

3.1. Upon careful consideration of the submissions made by both parties, the Court observed that statements regarding the calculation sheets, entitlement for daily/accommodation allowances, and the specific application of audit rules to the Complainant vis-à-vis other staff members require proper verification through records.

2.4 The Court emphasised the execution of necessary compliance timelines requiring the Respondent (KVS) to thoroughly review the matter, verify the exact calculation sheets, and establish the precise statutory entitlement of the Complainant regarding the disputed allowances. The Respondent shall submit a comprehensive written statement along with supporting documents, within15 days from the date of receipt of this document, with a copy to the Complainant. The Complainant, within a further period of 15 days, shall submit the reply, if any.

Digitally signed by
Vikas Trivedi
Date: 11-11-2026
13:49:34

(Vikas Trivedi)

Dy. Chief Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities