Diary No. DNO/26/CCPD/6625 **Dated:** 21/10/2026

Case No: DNO/26/CCPD/6625

In the Matter of

Complainant

Shri Honey Dabas

Versus

Respondent(s)

1. The Secretary, Ministry of Communication
2. The Chief Postmaster General, Delhi Circle

1. Hearing

1.1. A preliminary hearing was conducted on 05.08.2026 in hybrid mode, wherein the following parties/representatives were present:

Sl. No.	Name of the Parties / Representatives	On Behalf of
1	Shri Honey Dabas	Complainant
2	Ms. Rainoka Bhat, Assistant Director (Recruitment)	Respondent

2. Record of Proceedings

2.1 The Complainant submitted that he had applied for the post of Multi-Tasking Staff (MTS) under the

Sports Quota and had uploaded the requisite documents through the online application portal. He contended that his candidature was wrongly cancelled despite having submitted the prescribed Form-I in support of his sports credentials. The Complainant further submitted that he had furnished one Form-I along with a supporting letter, and asserted that his candidature ought to have been considered on the basis of the valid Form-I already submitted. He also contended that several candidates possessing only one sporting achievement had been selected and, therefore, even if the additional supporting letter was disregarded, his candidature should have been evaluated on the basis of the single valid Form-I available on record.

2.2 The Respondent, represented by the Assistant Director (Recruitment), Department of Posts, submitted that, in terms of the recruitment notification and the applicable instructions issued by the Department of Personnel and Training (DoPT), candidates were required to submit a separate prescribed Form-I for each sporting achievement claimed. It was submitted that the Complainant had claimed two sporting achievements but had furnished only one valid Form-I, while, in respect of the second achievement, he had submitted merely a supporting letter instead of the mandatory Form-I. Since the prescribed Form-I for the second sporting achievement was not submitted, the Recruitment Committee cancelled the candidature in accordance with the applicable recruitment rules. It was further submitted that documents other than the prescribed Form-I could not be accepted in substitution thereof.

2.3 Upon hearing the submissions of both parties, the Court observed that the primary issue requiring examination was whether the candidature of an applicant could be rejected solely because Form-I had not been furnished for one of the sporting achievements, despite the applicant having submitted a valid Form-I in respect of another sporting achievement. The Court further observed that if candidates possessing only one valid sporting achievement supported by Form-I had been considered or selected during the recruitment process, the rejection of the Complainant's candidature would require closer scrutiny. The Court also noted the Respondent's contention that the Complainant had claimed two sporting achievements but had submitted only one prescribed Form-I instead of two, as required under the recruitment notification.

2.4 Accordingly, the Court recommended the Respondent to examine the recruitment records and submit a factual report within fifteen (15) days, specifically indicating whether candidates possessing only one valid sporting achievement supported by Form-I had been considered or selected during the recruitment process. The Respondent was further recommended to obtain and submit clarification from the competent authority regarding the requirement of submission of a separate Form-I for each sporting achievement claimed and whether non-submission of Form-I for one achievement warranted rejection of the

Diary No. DNO/26/CCPD/6625 **Dated:** 21/10/2026

candidature despite the existence of another valid Form-I. Upon receipt of the factual report and the clarification from the competent authority, the matter shall be examined further for passing appropriate orders in accordance with law.

3. This is issued with the approval of the Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.

Yours faithfully,

(VIKAS TRIVEDI)
Dy. Chief Commissioner