सत्यमेव जयते
न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन
COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)
दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग /**Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)**
सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय /**Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment**
भारत सरकार/**Government of India**
5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011)20892364
5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364
Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

Case No. CCPD-AD-0177/2026

In the matter of—

Shri Bangali Sardar

(Complainant)

Versus

The Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Navy)

(Respondent)

1. Background of the Case

1.1 The Complainant, working at Naval Dockyard, Visakhapatnam, submitted that his dependent son, a person with benchmark disability, has been undergoing speech therapy, occupational therapy, behavioural therapy and special education since 2016. He stated that the medical claims for these therapies were admitted by the audit authority up to 2021, but claims from 2022 onwards were rejected on the ground that the child was already availing Children Education Allowance (CEA) at double rate from the same institution. The Complainant submitted that CEA and medical reimbursement under the CS(MA) Rules are governed by different provisions and serve distinct purposes.

1.2 The Complainant further stated that the expenditure on essential dental treatment under general anaesthesia, undertaken in 2022 at a private hospital on the recommendation of a Government hospital, and the expenses on supplementary Ayurvedic and Panchakarma treatment, undertaken since September 2025 to manage the child's hyperactivity and aggressive behaviour, were also not admitted. He submitted that strict application of the rules against children with disabilities defeats the intent of the welfare provisions. He stated that the issue had been taken up by the Naval Dockyard authorities with the audit authority, but remained unresolved, and that his claims for care-giver financial support on the basis of self-certification were also pending.

2. Notice of Admissibility

2.1 A notice dated 14.09.2026 under Sections 75 and 77 of the RPwD Act, 2016, was issued to the Respondent for a hearing on 17.09.2026 to determine the admissibility of the case and find out if this can be resolved without further quasi-judicial intervention of this Court.

3. Hearing

3.1 A preliminary hearing was conducted on 17.09.2026. The following parties/representatives were present during the hearing:

Sl.No.	Name of the parties/Representatives	For Complainant/Respondent	Mode of Attendanc
1.	Shri Hari Krishna, Sr. Accounts Officer, Naval Dockyard, Visakhapatnam	Respondent	Online

3.2 No representative appeared on behalf of the Complainant during the hearing.

4. Record of Proceedings

4.1 The Respondent stated that all the claims submitted by the Complainant in respect of his son have been passed in audit and that all the bills were cleared on 07.08.2026.

5. Observations & Recommendations

5.1 The Court notes the Respondent's submission that all the claims of the Complainant, including the therapeutic claims, the dental treatment claims and the other claims in respect of his son, which were the subject matter of the grievance, have been cleared.

5.2 The Respondent is recommended to submit to this Court, within one week from the issuance of this Order, proof of the reimbursement made to the Complainant, along with an acknowledgement of compliance from the Complainant. The Complainant is requested to furnish his acknowledgement to the Respondent for this purpose.

5.3 In view of the above, the Court notes that the grievance of the Complainant, namely the admission of the therapeutic claims rejected from 2022 onwards, the reimbursement of the dental treatment and Ayurvedic/Panchakarma expenses, and the admission of care-giver financial support, stands redressed, subject to the submission of the proof and acknowledgement as recommended above.

5.4 Accordingly, the case is disposed of.

Digitally signed by S Govindaraj
Date: 14-10-2026 13:00:15

S. Govindaraj
Commissioner