Diary No. DNO/26/CCPD/6786 **Dated:** 09/10/2026

Case No: DNO/26/CCPD/6786

In the Matter of

Complainant

Shri Mehul Digodia

Versus

Respondent(s)

1. The Commandant, GREF Centre and Records
2. The Commandant, Military Hospital Khadki

1. Background of the Case

1.1 The Complainant, Shri Mehul Digodia, a person with 50% locomotor disability, submitted a grievance dated 19.08.2026 stating that he applied for the post of MSW (Cook) under Advertisement No. 01/2025 issued by BRO/GREF against vacancies reserved for OH candidates. He stated that he cleared the GREF Trade Test for the post. He stated that during the initial medical examination, he was declared temporarily unfit due to a healed scar on his right leg and a congenital deformity/shortening of the left great toe. He further stated that during the Review Medical Board conducted on 19.08.2026 at Military Hospital Khadki, Pune, he was declared unfit based on the condition of the toe. The Complainant alleged that the toe condition is congenital, does not cause any functional difficulty and does not affect his ability to perform the physical requirements of the post. He further stated that no proper diagnostic or functional tests were conducted to establish any physical limitation. He submitted that he has a valid fitness certificate confirming that he meets the prescribed physical requirements for the post. He therefore requested intervention for review of his medical rejection, supply of the complete medical report and reasons for declaring him unfit,

and, if considered appropriate, a fresh/independent medical assessment to determine his actual functional fitness for the post.

2. Hearing

2.1. A preliminary hearing was conducted on 01.10.2026 wherein the following parties/representatives were present:

Sl. No.	Name of the Parties / Representatives	On Behalf of
1.	Shri Mehul Digodia	Complainant
2.	Vishnumaya K, Executive Engineer, GREF Centre and Records	Respondent 1
3.	Shri Ujjwalnath, Assistant Administrative Officer, GREF Centre and Records	Respondent 1
4.	Major Varad Patil, GREF Centre and Records	Respondent 1

3. Record of Proceedings

3.1 During the proceedings, the Complainant reiterated his grievance and submitted that the condition of the left great toe was congenital, did not cause any functional difficulty and did not affect his ability to perform the physical requirements of the post. He further alleged that no proper diagnostic or functional assessment was undertaken to determine any actual physical limitation. He stated that he possessed a valid fitness certificate confirming that he met the prescribed physical requirements for the post and requested intervention for review of his medical rejection, supply of the complete medical report and reasons for declaring him unfit, and, if considered appropriate, a fresh medical assessment of his functional

Diary No. DNO/26/CCPD/6786 **Dated:** 09/10/2026

fitness.

3.2 The Respondent submitted that the Complainant had submitted a UDID/disability certificate pertaining to deformity of his hands and that he was not disqualified on the basis of the said deformity. It was submitted that the medical examination was conducted with respect to the remaining physical parameters and that the Complainant was subsequently found unfit on the basis of the medical assessment. The Respondent further submitted that another PwBD candidate had already been selected against the vacancy. It was also brought to the notice of the Court that the Complainant had approached the Hon'ble High Court in relation to the same issue.

3.3 The Court observed that the Complainant had already approached the Hon'ble High Court of Punjab & Haryana in respect of the same subject matter by way of Writ Petition No. 29357 of 2026. The Court noted that the same matter could not be simultaneously adjudicated before two forums and, having regard to the pendency of the proceedings before the Hon'ble High Court of Punjab & Haryana, declined to interfere with or inquire into the matter. Consequently, the Court has determined no further intervention necessary.

4. Accordingly, the case is disposed of.

Yours faithfully,

(S. Govindaraj)
Commissioner