



न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)
 दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)
 सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment
 भारत सरकार/Government of India
 5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364
 5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364
 Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccpd.nic.in

Case No. 14612/1011/2023

In the matter of:

Ms. Shweta Awasthi

...Complainant

Versus

The Chairman & Managing Director,

Food Corporation of India

...Respondent

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

1. Hearing: A hearing in the hybrid mode in the matter was conducted on **23.07.2026** wherein the following were present:

Sl. No.	Name and Designation of the Party/Representative	Parties	Mode
1.	None for Complainant	Complainant	NA
2.	Shri Chandra Sen, DGM Personnel, Zonal office North, FCI	Respondent	Online

2. Proceedings during the hearing:

2.1 The FCI submitted that the competent authority had considered the Complainant's eligibility for the post and the eligibility of the scribe. It stated that complete loss of sight was not the basis for determining eligibility and that the scribe possessed educational qualifications equivalent to those of the Complainant, which was impermissible under the applicable conditions.

2.2 FCI further submitted that the higher qualification subsequently claimed by the Complainant was obtained after the prescribed cut-off

date and could not be considered. Both the Complainant and her scribe were graduates at the relevant time.

2.3 The Court noted that FCI had filed an affidavit explaining the cancellation of the Complainant's candidature. The principal issues concerned the applicable provisions/guidelines relating to scribe eligibility and identification/suitability of the post of Assistant Grade-III for candidates with visual disabilities.

2.4 Despite being afforded an opportunity to appear or be represented, the Complainant remained absent again (Complainant was absent in the hearing scheduled on 09.12.2025) and did not file a rejoinder.

2.5. The FCI submitted that its written response/affidavit had been submitted on 13.12.2023, and was directed to resubmit the same to this Court along with relevant supporting documents.

2.5 This Court recommends that the Respondents resubmit the requisite documents/ written response within two days, clearly indicating the basis for cancellation of the candidature, including the applicable scribe eligibility condition and the relevant provision/order/guideline relied upon. It shall also place on record the document identifying the post of Assistant Grade-III and the categories of PwDs for whom it was identified.

2.6 The Court granted one last opportunity to the Complainant that in accordance with Rule 38 (6), failure to appear on the next date may force this Court to dispose of the complaint ex parte.

Digitally signed by
Ipsita Mitra
Date: 30-09-2026
18:50:59

(Ipsita Mitra)
Dy. Chief Commissioner