



न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन
COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)
 दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)
 सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment
 भारत सरकार/Government of India
 5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075 दूरभाष : (011) 20892364
 5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364
 Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

Case No. 14343/1033/2023

In the matter of —

Shri Dharendra Gautam
 ... Complainant

Versus

The Registrar,
 Maulana Azad Medical College (MAMC),
 New Delhi
 ... Respondent

1. Gist of the Complaint:

1.1 Shri Dharendra Gautam, a person with benchmark disability, filed a complaint dated 28.07.2023 regarding denial of continuation of his residence in Room No. 49, Sushruta Hostel, Maulana Azad Medical College (MAMC), New Delhi, to enable him to complete his MBBS course.

1.2 The Complainant submitted that he was a final-year MBBS student (Surgery and Obstetrics & Gynaecology) of Batch 2011 of MAMC, University of Delhi. He stated that on 24.05.2013, he met with a critical road accident, remained in coma for six months and was bedridden for the subsequent two years. He further submitted that he continued to remain under treatment and guidance at GB Pant Hospital, New Delhi.

1.3 The Complainant requested the Hostel Authority of MAMC to permit him to continue residing in the hostel so that he could complete his MBBS course, including the compulsory rotating internship, and continue his treatment at GB Pant Hospital, which is situated on the MAMC campus and was more accessible to him as a person with disability.

1.4 The Complainant further submitted that the Warden of Sushruta Hostel had imposed a penalty of ₹2,000/- per day for failure to vacate Room No. 49. He stated that, being a native of Bihar and a person with disability, he was unable to afford

accommodation outside the hostel and faced difficulties in commuting and managing independently.

2. Notice issued to the Respondent:

2.1 A Notice dated 28.07.2023 was issued to the Registrar, MAMC, directing the Respondent to furnish comments on the complaint within 30 days.

3. Reply filed by the Respondent:

3.1 The Registrar, MAMC, vide reply dated 06.09.2023, furnished a copy of the response of the Warden of Sushruta Hostel, wherein it was stated that the Complainant was then pursuing the third professional Part-II of the MBBS course. The Respondent furnished the following details regarding his academic progression:

Sl. No.	Professional Year	Year of Admission	Cleared in the Year
1.	1st Professional MBBS	2011	2017
2.	2nd Professional MBBS	2017	2019
3.	3rd Professional MBBS (Part-I)	2019	2020
4.	3rd Professional MBBS (Part-II)	2020	2020

3.2 The Respondent submitted that, as per Rule 1(d) of the Undergraduate Hostel Allotment Rules, 1977 (amended in 1996, 2010 and 2018), hostel accommodation could be allotted up to the third attempt in the final professional examination or for a maximum period of six years from the date of admission to the college. In case of failure to vacate the hostel thereafter, a provision existed for charging a fine of ₹2,000/- per day.

3.3 The Respondent further submitted that, in view of the shortage of hostel accommodation vis-à-vis the number of students, the said rules were being uniformly followed. However, considering the circumstances of the Complainant, the Respondent submitted that, as a special case, he might be permitted to continue in the hostel till his next examination so as to avoid undue stress to him.

4. Subsequent Submission of the Complainant:

4.1 In the meanwhile, the Complainant, vide email dated 25.07.2024, addressed to this Office in reference to the present case, informed that he had joined his one-year compulsory internship of the MBBS course and had been allotted a room for his stay. He expressed his gratitude to this Office for the assistance extended in the matter.

5. Observations and Decision:

5.1 The Court has considered the submissions made by both parties. It is observed that the grievance raised by the Complainant regarding continuation of hostel

accommodation, which was necessary for completion of his MBBS course and compulsory internship, stands redressed, as the Complainant himself has informed this Office vide e-mail dated 25.07.2024 that he has joined his compulsory one-year internship and has been allotted a room for his stay.

5.2 In view of the above, no further intervention by this Court is warranted in the matter.

6. Accordingly, the complaint is disposed of.

Digitally signed by
S Govindaraj
Date: 09-09-2026
17:31:27

(S. Govindaraj)

Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities