



सत्यमेव जयते
न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)
दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)
सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment
भारत सरकार/Government of India
5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364
5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364
Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

Case No: CCPD-OF-0001/1040/2026

In the Matter of

Complainant

Shri Nitesh Kumar

Versus

Respondent(s)

1. The Controller of Examinations, University of Delhi
2. The Registrar, University of Delhi
3. The Vice Chancellor, University of Delhi

1. Hearing

1.1. A hearing was conducted on 17.08.2026 wherein the following parties/representatives were present:

Sl. No.	Name of the Parties / Representatives	On Behalf of
1.	Shri Nitesh Kumar	Complainant
2.	Adv. Kushagra Sachdeva	Respondent
3.	Shri Hem Chand Pandey, Assistant Registrar	Respondent

2. Record of Proceedings

2.1 During the proceedings, the Respondents, represented by their learned Counsel, submitted that an Unfair Means (UFM) case had been registered against the Complainant, Shri Nitesh Kumar, in connection with the examination on

account of the alleged possession/use of a mobile phone by the scribe. However, the learned Counsel was not adequately apprised of the relevant facts and was unable to place the complete factual record before the Court.

2.2 The Court accordingly sought specific clarification from the Respondents regarding the circumstances of the incident, including whether the scribe had been self-appointed by the Complainant or provided/assigned by the University, and the basis on which the UFM proceedings had been initiated against the Complainant.

2.3 The Court observed that, in the absence of the relevant records and evidence, the matter could not be effectively examined. The Respondents were therefore recommended to place on record the complete documents and evidence concerning the alleged UFM incident, including the relevant examination records, statements of the Complainant and the scribe, seizure/confiscation records relating to the mobile phone, CCTV footage/recordings, if available, and any other material relied upon for the action taken against the Complainant.

2.4 The Complainant was further recommended to specifically clarify whether the scribe was self-appointed by himself or provided/assigned by the University, and to place on record the relevant documents evidencing the same. The aforesaid records and clarification shall be submitted by the Respondents as well as the Complainant by **20.09.2026** for consideration by the Court. The matter shall thereafter be considered on the basis of the documentary and evidentiary material placed on record.

(Vikas Trivedi)

Dy. Chief Commissioner