

न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन
**COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH
 DISABILITIES(DIVYANGJAN)**
 दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with
Disabilities (Divyangjan)
 सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment
 भारत सरकार/Government of India
 5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष :
 (011)20892364
 5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.:
 (011) 20892364
 Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

Case No.: CCPD-AD-0045/2026

In the matter of—

Mr. Biblap Kumar Murmu
(Complainant)

Versus

The Chairman,
 Central Water Commission
 Sewa Bhawan, New Delhi-110066
 Email: chairman-cwc@gov.in
(Respondent)

Hearing:

A preliminary hearing in hybrid mode (online/offline) was conducted on 19.06.2026. The following parties/representatives were present during the hearing:

Sl.No.	Name of the parties/Representatives	For Complainant/Respondent	Mode of Attendance
1.	Mr. Biblap Kumar Murmu	Complainant	Online
2.	Shri Ananth Sai, EE, CWC	For Respondent	Online

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

The complainant, Shri Biplap Kumar Murmu, working as SWA in the Central Water Commission, Bhubaneswar, submitted that he met with a train accident in July 2022, resulting in amputation of both his legs and 100% permanent disability. He stated that, despite acquiring the disability, he has been retained in service and is presently performing suitable duties. He further stated that he submitted an application and subsequently a reminder seeking sanction and release of the admissible compensation but the same has not been processed and no response

has been received from the department. He therefore requested that directions be issued to the Central Water Commission for sanction and release of the admissible lump-sum compensation within a stipulated time frame.

2. The respondent submitted that the case is under consideration. He stated that the department is seeking necessary clarification from the concerned Ministry and Department of Personnel & Training (DoPT) regarding the admissibility of the compensation claim, particularly since the accident did not occur in the course of official duty but occurred while the complainant was proceeding on leave. The respondent further submitted that, considering the complainant, he has been retained in service and provided suitable duties, along with necessary facilities and cooperation.

3. The Court observed that the department's action in retaining the complainant in service and providing him a suitable alternative duty is in accordance with the statutory framework relating to employees who acquire disability during service. With regard to the claim for lump-sum compensation arising out of the accident, the Court observed that the Central Water Commission may obtain and consider the appropriate legal opinion/clarification from the competent Ministry/DoPT regarding the admissibility of the claim.

4. The Court further observed that if the complainant is not satisfied with the decision or legal opinion of the department regarding the compensation claim, and the matter essentially involves determination of service-related entitlement, the appropriate forum for adjudication would be the Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT).

5. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, no further intervention by this Court is warranted, therefore, the matter is disposed of.

(S. Govindaraj)
Commissioner