



सत्यमेव जयते

न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)
 दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)
 सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment
 भारत सरकार/Government of India
 5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364
 5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364
 Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

Case No: CCPD-OF-0046/1041/2026

(CCPD-AD-0148/2026)

In the Matter of
Complainant

Mr. Maroof Ali

Versus**Respondent(s)**

The Director general, National Testing Agency

1. Hearing

1.1. A preliminary hearing was conducted on 12.08.2026 in Case No: CCPD-AD-0148/2026 wherein the following parties/representatives were present:

Sl. No.	Name of the Parties / Representatives	On Behalf of
1.	Mr. Maroof Ali	Complainant
2.	Shri Pravesh Agarwal, Young Professional	Respondent

2. Record of Proceedings

2.1 During the proceedings, the Complainant, stated to be a person with 50% locomotor disability of the right lower limb, alleged that although he was entitled to one hour of compensatory time in the UGC-NET examination, his examination session was automatically logged out after three hours, thereby depriving him of the additional time and adversely affecting his performance. During the hearing, the Court sought clarification as to whether the Complainant had specifically requested compensatory time while submitting his application and whether the

requisite documents/certificates in support of his entitlement had been submitted.

2.2 The representative of the Respondent, Shri Pravesh Agarwal, Young Professional, informed the Court that the concerned officials of the NTA were unavailable due to a meeting and sought an adjournment. The Court, however, observed that certain specific clarifications were required from the NTA before the matter could be considered further. Accordingly, the NTA was recommended to submit, in writing within five working days, whether the Complainant had requested compensatory time in his application, whether he had been identified as a person with the stated disability, and whether the requisite medical certificate/other supporting documents establishing difficulty in writing or writing at the required speed and recommending compensatory time and/or scribe had been submitted.

2.3 Accordingly, the Court recommended the Respondent to submit its written representation along with supporting documents to this Court within five days, with a copy served to the Complainant, who may submit his response (rejoinder), if any, within two days thereafter. Upon receipt of the recommended written representations, the Court may prescribe future course of action.

(Vikas Trivedi)

Dy. Chief Commissioner