



सत्यमेव जयते

न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)
दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)
सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment
भारत सरकार/Government of India
5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364
5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364
Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

Case No: CCPD-OF-0020/1022/2026

In the Matter of

Complainant

Ms. Kumari Atisha Singh

Versus

Respondent(s)

The Commissioner, Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan

1. Background of the Case

1.1 The Complainants, Kumari Atisha Singh and her siblings, submitted a mercy petition dated 12.06.2026 seeking transfer of their father, Shri Radha Charan, Principal (Grade-I), Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan, from KV Chapra, Bihar, to New Delhi. They stated that their father has 80% permanent locomotor disability affecting both legs and cannot move without an escort. He has been posted at Chapra, about 1,000 km from Delhi, since August 2024. They further stated that he has undergone 13 transfers during his service and is due to retire on 30.06.2028. The complainants stated that their mother has expired and the three siblings are living in Delhi without their father. They are facing difficulties in managing their day-to-day needs and education without his support. They also stated that their father is facing physical and emotional difficulties due to his disability and separation from the family. They requested intervention for transfer of their father to New Delhi on medical/humanitarian grounds, considering his 80% disability, the family circumstances, and his remaining service period. They also requested that his transfer to New Delhi be considered at the earliest, so that the family can stay together and provide necessary support to him.

2. Hearing

2.1. A preliminary hearing was conducted on 13.08.2026 wherein the following

parties/representatives were present:

Sl. No.	Name of the Parties / Representatives	On Behalf of
1.	Ms. Kumari Atisha Singh	Complainant
2.	Shri Radha Charan	Complainant
3.	Shri Amar Singh Brar, PGT (Eco)	Respondent

3. Record of Proceedings

3.1 During the proceedings, the Complainant, Kumari Atisha Singh, appeared and reiterated the grievance concerning the transfer of her father, Principal Grade-I, Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan, from KV Chapra, Bihar to New Delhi. It was submitted that her father has 80% permanent locomotor disability affecting both legs and requires support for mobility. He has been posted at Chapra since August 2024 and is due to retire on 30.06.2028. The Complainant further submitted that her mother has expired and that the three siblings are presently residing in Delhi, owing to which the family is facing difficulties in managing their day-to-day requirements and providing necessary support to their father. She sought consideration of his transfer to New Delhi on medical and compassionate grounds.

3.2 Upon hearing the Complainant, the Court observed that the grievance raised in the present matter essentially pertains to the posting/transfer and service conditions of her father, who is the employee of the Respondent organisation. The Court observed that the right or interest sought to be enforced in the present proceedings is a personal employment-related right of the concerned employee, and therefore the appropriate person to seek redressal of such grievance is the employee himself. The Complainant, being the daughter of the concerned employee, cannot ordinarily institute proceedings in her own name seeking relief in respect of her father's service/posting grievance, particularly in the absence of any authorisation, representation or other legally recognised basis for acting on his behalf.

3.3 The Court clarified that this observation does not prevent the concerned employee from seeking appropriate relief in respect of his posting/transfer or any alleged violation of his rights as a person with disability. The employee may himself submit a complaint before this Court, duly setting out the circumstances relating to his posting/transfer, disability, medical requirements and the relief sought, along

with the relevant supporting documents. In case the employee is unable to personally pursue the proceedings on account of his disability or any other legally recognised circumstance, the complaint may be instituted or pursued on his behalf only in accordance with the applicable procedural/legal requirements and upon establishing the authority or basis under which such representation is being made.

3.4 In view of the above, the Court observed that the present complaint, having been instituted by the daughter in relation to a service grievance concerning her father, is not maintainable in its present form. The complaint is accordingly disposed of at this stage, without prejudice to the right of the concerned employee to approach the competent authority or this Court himself in accordance with law. The concerned employee shall not be precluded from seeking appropriate relief on the same subject matter by filing a complaint in his own name, accompanied by the relevant disability, medical, service and other supporting documents. Consequently, no further intervention is considered necessary in the matter at this stage.

4. Accordingly, the case is disposed of.

(S. Govindaraj)

Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities