



सत्यमेव जयते

न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)

सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment

भारत सरकार/Government of India

5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364

5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364

Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

Case No: CCPD-AD-0085/2026**In the Matter of****Complainant**

Shri Vikram Kumar Manjhi

Versus**Respondent(s)**

1. The Chief Engineer, Teesta and Bhagirathi Damodar Basin Organisation, Kolkata
2. The Chairman, Central Water Commission, New Delhi

1. Background of the Case

1.1 The Complainant, Shri Vikram Kumar Manjhi submitted a grievance dated 23.06.2026 stating that he is a Lower Division Clerk (LDC) in the Central Water Commission and a Person with 70% locomotor disability, submitted that he was unfairly transferred from Kolkata to Maithon. He stated that although he submitted a representation against the transfer, it remained pending for nearly one year without any decision. He further submitted that he was suddenly relieved with immediate effect without joining time, while the other employees covered by the same transfer order were allowed to continue at their existing postings. After filing his complaint, he alleged that the department created a hostile work environment by removing his computer, desk, and chair, assigning him no work, and keeping his service status unclear despite his regular attendance. He also alleged that there was no sanctioned LDC post at Maithon, making the transfer arbitrary and discriminatory. He requested cancellation of the transfer, restoration of his posting and work facilities at Kolkata, and protection from retaliatory action.

2. Hearing

2.1. A preliminary hearing was conducted on 07.08.2026 wherein the following parties/representatives were present:

Sl. No.	Name of the Parties / Representatives	On Behalf of
1.	Shri Vikram Kumar Manjhi	Complainant
2.	Shri Biswas, Deputy Director, Central Water Commission, Kolkata	Respondent 2

3. Record of Proceedings

3.1 The Respondent submitted that the subject matter of the present complaint had already been challenged by the Complainant before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Kolkata Bench, in O.A. No. 350/1017/2026 on the same cause of action. It was further submitted that the Hon'ble Tribunal had passed an order in the matter on the previous day, which had been uploaded on its official website, and that the Respondent was in the process of complying with the directions contained therein. The Respondent also informed the Court that the Complainant had already joined the place of posting to which he had been transferred on 31.07.2026.

3.2 Upon hearing the submissions, the Court observed that the issues raised in the present complaint were already the subject matter of proceedings before the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal, Kolkata vide O.A. No. 1017/2026 dated 14.07.2026 challenging his transfer, and the matter was heard by the Tribunal on 20.07.2026. The Court order is awaited. In view of the pendency of the matter before the Tribunal, the Court considered that any further examination of the same grievance by this Court would result in parallel proceedings on the same cause of action and, therefore, would not be appropriate.

3.3 Accordingly, the Court did not deem it fit to proceed with the present complaint and closed the proceedings, leaving it open to the Complainant to pursue the remedies available before the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal in accordance with law.

3.4 The Court, however, observed that in the event that the Complainant subsequently has a distinct grievance relating to the denial of rights or reasonable accommodation on account of his disability which is not covered by the proceedings before the Tribunal, it shall be open to him to approach this Court afresh by filing an appropriate complaint, which shall be considered independently in accordance with the provisions of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act,

2016. Consequently, the Court has determined no further intervention necessary.

4. Accordingly, the case is disposed of.

(S. Govindaraj)

Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities