



सत्यमेव जयते
न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)
दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)
सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment
भारत सरकार/Government of India
5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364
5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364
Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

Case No: CCPD-AD-0106/2026

In the Matter of

Complainant

Shri Nannam Jayram

Versus

Respondent

The Registrar, Delhi University

1. Hearing

1.1. A preliminary hearing was conducted on 20.07.2026 in hybrid mode, wherein the following parties/representatives were present:

Sl. No.	Name of the Parties / Representatives	On Behalf of
1.	Adv. Parv Garg	Respondent
2.	Prof. Anil K. Aneja	Respondent
3.	Shri Bipin Tiwary	Respondent

1.2 No representatives from the Complainant's end were present during the proceedings.

2. Record of Proceedings

2.1 The Complainant is a 100% visually impaired candidate who had been provisionally allocated a seat in the Department of Hindi under the PwD category through the University of Delhi PG Admissions, 2026. He alleged, in his written grievance, that his candidature was arbitrarily rejected within a brief period following a portal query, without affording him a reasonable opportunity to upload the required marksheet. The Complainant further alleged that when he approached the University to resolve the issue, the officials displayed insensitivity and discriminatory conduct by failing to provide necessary assistance or acknowledge his grievance. It was contended that such actions violated the provisions of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 and jeopardised his admission. Accordingly, the Complainant sought restoration of his allotted seat and appropriate action against the concerned officials.

2.2 The Respondent, represented by officials of the University of Delhi, submitted that the grievance had arisen due to a technical glitch in the admission portal affecting candidates under various horizontal reservation categories, including Persons with Disabilities. It was stated that owing to an algorithmic error, admission offers had been inadvertently generated and were subsequently withdrawn after the error was detected, whereupon corrective emails were issued to all affected candidates. The Respondent further submitted that the Complainant had secured 58 marks, whereas the first-round cut-off under the PwD category was 60 marks, and therefore he had not been eligible for admission in the first round. It was contended that there was no violation of the RPwD Act, 2016 and that the Complainant would be considered in subsequent admission rounds subject to merit and availability of seats. The Respondent, however, sought additional time to furnish the current status of the Complainant's candidature and the relevant admission records.

2.3 The Court observed that the Respondent was unable to apprise the Court of the current status of the Complainant's candidature despite repeated queries during the hearing. The Court further observed that while the University claimed compliance with the statutory reservation policy, it was necessary to verify whether the admission process had been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the RPwD Act, 2016

2.4 The Respondent is recommended to furnish, by the following day, the current status of the Complainant's candidature along with relevant details relating to the admission process, including the number of seats, reservation quota, and admissions granted under the PwD category. Upon receipt of the Respondent's

written submission, the Court shall examine the same and, if considered necessary, schedule a further hearing in the matter.

(Vikas Trivedi)

Dy. Chief Commissioner