



न्यायालय मुख्य अधिकृत दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)
दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)
सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment
भारत सरकार/Government of India
5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075 दूरभाष : (011) 20892364
5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364
Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccpd.nic.in

Case No: CCPD-AD-0067/2026

In the Matter of

Complainant

Shri Rajendra Singh

Versus

Respondent

The Commissioner, Navodaya Vidyalaya Samiti (NVS)

1. Hearing

1.1. A preliminary hearing was conducted on 30.06.2026 in hybrid mode, wherein the following parties/representatives were present:

Sl. No.	Name of the Parties / Representatives	On Behalf of
1.	Shri Rajendra Singh, TGT Mathematics	Complainant
2.	Krishna Gaur, Navodaya Vidyalaya Samiti (NVS)	Respondent

2. Record of Proceedings

2.1 The Complainant, Shri Rajendra Singh, a person with 90% locomotor disability serving as a TGT (Mathematics) under Navodaya Vidyalaya Samiti (NVS), submitted that he sustained permanent disability following a serious accident during official escort duty in 2008. He alleged that despite being eligible for reservation and reasonable accommodation as a person with benchmark disability, he was denied these benefits during the Limited Departmental Examination (LDE) for promotion, particularly in the 2018 LDE process, resulting in denial of promotion. He further challenged his transfer from JNV Sikar (Rajasthan) to

Gujarat under the Annual Transfer Drive, 2026, contending that his request for cancellation or modification of the transfer on medical and disability grounds was rejected.

2.2 The Respondent submitted that the reservation guidelines issued by the Department of Personnel and Training (DoPT) in respect of the 2018 LDE came into effect only in 2022. Accordingly, the Complainant had participated in the 2018 LDE as a general candidate but failed to secure the prescribed qualifying marks of 45%. In the subsequent LDEs conducted in 2022 and 2024, the relaxed qualifying standard of 35% for PwD candidates was applied; however, the Complainant did not qualify in either examination. With regard to the transfer, the Respondent stated that the transfer was neither routine nor punitive but an exceptional administrative measure necessitated by large-scale student-parent agitation at JNV Sikar, which led to the transfer of all 37 staff members from the institution. It was further submitted that although the Complainant was initially transferred to JNV Bharuch (Gujarat), his request for modification was considered and he was subsequently posted to JNV Patan (Gujarat).

2.3 The Complainant disputed the Respondent's submissions regarding the transfer, asserting that he had never voluntarily opted for a posting in Gujarat. He submitted that he had initially sought a posting in or near his home district, but the request was rejected, leaving him with no practical alternative except to accept the modified posting within Gujarat. He further contended that he had no involvement in the incidents leading to the agitation at JNV Sikar and, therefore, there was no justification for his transfer.

2.4 Upon consideration of the submissions, the Court observed that the parties have placed conflicting versions regarding the circumstances of the transfer, the Complainant's alleged willingness to accept the Gujarat posting, and the implementation of reservation and relaxed qualifying standards in the LDE. These disputed issues cannot be adjudicated solely on oral submissions and require examination of the relevant documentary records.

2.5 Accordingly, the Court directs both parties to file detailed written submissions, supported by all relevant documents, rules, and records, including the applicable transfer policy, representations relating to the transfer, posting orders, LDE result sheets, reservation rosters, and any other material relied upon, within 7 days from the date of receipt of this Order, with copies exchanged simultaneously between the parties. Thereafter, each party shall be at liberty to file its comments or rejoinder to the submissions of the other party within 3 days.

2.6. Accordingly, the next date of hearing is scheduled for **24.07.2026**.

Ipsita Mitra
23.7.26

(Ipsita Mitra)

Dy. Chief Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities