



न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)

सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment

भारत सरकार/Government of India

5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364

5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364

Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccpd.nic.in

Case No. CCPD/AD/0043/2023

In the matter of-

Shri Manoj Kumar Gupta

Email: manohar.nitp2012@gmail.com

...Complainant

Versus

The Director,

National Remote Sensing Centre (NRSC),

Hyderabad

Email: director@nrsc.gov.in

...Respondent

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

1. Hearing: A hearing in hybrid mode was conducted on **18.06.2026**. The following parties/representatives were present during the hearing:

Sl. No.	Name and Designation of the Party/Representative	Parties	Mode
1.	Shri Manoj Kumar Gupta	Complainant	Online
2.	Shri Ankur Srivastava, Controller, NRSC	Respondent	Online
3.	Shri Pawan Kumar, Senior Administrative Officer	Respondent	Online

2. Proceedings of the hearing:


2 . 1 At, the outset, the Complainant submitted that despite possessing the prescribed qualifications, uploading all required documents, and securing marks above the PwBD cutoff in the CBT, he

was not called for interview. He alleged that the Respondent provided contradictory reasons for rejecting his candidature, including non-possession of essential qualifications and non-uploading of requisite documents.

2.2 The Respondent denied any discrimination on the ground of disability and stated that the candidature was rejected solely because the Complainant failed to upload the prescribed postgraduate degree certificate and mark sheets required for eligibility. It was further submitted that the reserved PwBD vacancy had been filled by another eligible candidate.

2.3 During the hearing, the Complainant produced a copy of his application form showing that the requisite documents had been uploaded, whereas the Respondent maintained that its recruitment portal records indicated otherwise. Observing a discrepancy between the records relied upon by both parties, the Court directed the Respondent to place on record the application form and all documents available on the recruitment portal, along with a detailed written statement. The Complainant was also permitted to submit supporting documents. The matter was adjourned to 20.07.2026 for further hearing.

2.7 This is issued with the approval of the Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.


14.7.26
(Ipsita Mitra)
Dy. Chief Commissioner