



न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES(DIVYANGJAN)
दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)
सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment
भारत सरकार/Government of India
5वाँ तल, एनआई.एस.डी. भवन., जी2-, सेक्टर10-, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली110075-; दूरभाष 20892364 (011) :
5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364
Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

Case No.: CCPD/14663/1011/2023

In the matter of:

Complainant(s):

Shri Sachin

Respondent:

The Commissioner, Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan (KVS)

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

1. Hearing: A hearing in the hybrid mode was conducted on 16.12.2025 wherein the following parties were present:

Sl. No.	Name & Designation of the Attendees (Smt./Shri)	On behalf of	Mode of Presence
1.	Sachin	Complainant	Online
6.	Abhishek Sharma, Asst. Commissioner, KVS	Respondent	Online

2. Proceedings during the hearing:

2.1 The Complainant, Shri Sachin (90% visual impairment), reiterated his grievance regarding non-selection for the post of Assistant Section Officer (ASO) in KVS direct recruitment 2022-23. He scored 83 marks in the written examination held on 11.03.2023 and was shortlisted for document verification dated 24.06.2023, yet was not selected. The final result (Oct 2023) showed a cutoff of 66 marks for the VH category. He prayed for declaration of selection against the two reserved VH posts, citing higher marks than the selected candidate.


2.2 The Respondent submitted that the candidature was rejected after scrutiny by the committee, as the Complainant did not possess the mandatory 3 years' experience as UDC or equivalent post prescribed in the Recruitment Rules and advertisement. Postal Assistant (Department of Posts) was not treated as equivalent. A similar matter is sub-judice before the CAT Jodhpur Bench (Hemaram & others). The Respondent undertook to file the Recruitment Rules, advertisement, scrutiny committee report and evidence of rejection within 15 days.

3. Observations and Recommendations

3.1. The Court observed that the reply dated 05.12.2023 itself mentions an "equivalent" post, which was not part of the RR/advertisement.

3.2. It is recommended that the Respondent shall submit complete documents justifying rejection and to clarify the position on "equivalent" experience. The Complainant is permitted to file a short counter reply thereafter.

3.3. Accordingly, the Respondent shall file the required documents within 15 days from the date of receipt of this Interim Order. No further adjournment shall be granted.


8.7.26

(Ipsita Mitra)

Dy. Chief Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities