



न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES(DIVYANGJAN)  
दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)  
सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment  
भारत सरकार/Government of India  
5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011)20892364  
5<sup>th</sup> Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364  
Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccpd.nic.in

### Case No.13987/1021/2023

Shri Rajeev Kumar Jaiswal

....Complainant

### Versus

The Commissioner  
Delhi Development Authority  
New Delhi

....Respondent

### 1. Hearing:

1.1 A hearing in hybrid mode was conducted on 08.04.2026. The following parties/representatives were present:

| S. No. | Name and designation of the Attendees                                               | On behalf of | Mode of Attendance |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| 1.     | Mr. Rajeev Kumar Jaiswal                                                            | Complainant  | Online             |
| 2.     | <b>Mr. Virendra Kumar Kushwaha</b> - Director (Personnel-I), Respondent Department. | Respondent   | Online             |
| 3.     | <b>Mr. Pradeep Yadav</b> - Deputy Director (Personnel-I), Respondent Department     | Respondent   | Online             |

### 2. Record of Proceedings:

2.1 During the proceedings, the Court noted that despite earlier recommendations issued in April of the previous year, the Respondent


Department had not yet submitted the reservation rosters for Group B posts from 2016 onwards, particularly relating to persons with benchmark disabilities under the Blindness and Low Vision category. The Respondent representatives explained that preparation of the rosters was a complex and time-consuming exercise involving consolidation of data from multiple departments and cadres, and that the process was still underway.

2.2 Regarding the complainant's promotion, he stated that he had become eligible for promotion from Assistant Law Officer to Junior Law Officer after completing the required four years of service and believed he should have been promoted from January 2023.

2.3 The Respondent Department clarified that he was ultimately promoted in December 2024. According to the Department, a Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC) had considered 14 eligible candidates against 12 vacancies, and Mr. Jaiswal was placed at serial number 13 in the seniority list, resulting in his non-selection at that stage. The Department further informed the Court that among the candidates considered, three were persons with disabilities and one PwD candidate, placed at serial number 11, was promoted.

2.4 The Court expressed dissatisfaction with the Department's failure to submit the reservation rosters despite having been granted sufficient time. The Court emphasized that the delay hindered verification of whether reservation provisions for persons with disabilities were being properly implemented in promotions and recruitment. The department was directed to provide the selection list showing the positions of PwD candidates and was granted a final opportunity to submit the required reservation rosters. Although the Respondent initially expressed difficulty in completing the exercise within 30 days, the Court criticized the lack of a definite timeline and ultimately granted further **two months** to submit the complete records, making it clear that no further extension would be provided.

3. This is issued with the approval of Hon'ble Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.

  
(Ipsita Mitra)  
Dy. Chief Commissioner