



न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES(DIVYANGJAN)

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)

सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment

भारत सरकार/Government of India

5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011)20892364

5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364

Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccpd.nic.in

DNO/25/CCPD/5014

CCPD-OF-0005/1021/2026

In the matter of:

Dr. Ramesh Kumar

Email- ramesh1.ncert@gmail.com

...Complainant

Versus

(1) The Secretary,

National Council of Educational Research & Training

Email- secy.ncert@nic.in

...Respondent

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

1. Hearing:

1.1 A preliminary hearing was conducted in hybrid mode on 17.12.2025 wherein following parties were present:

Sl. No.	Name and Designation of the Party/Representative	On Behalf of	Mode
1.	Shri Ramesh Kumar	Complainant	Online
2.	Shri Manish Singhal - Dy. Secretary - NCERT	Respondent	Online
3.	Shri Devender Kumar- Under Secretary -	Respondent	Online

	NCERT		
--	-------	--	--

1.2 During the hearing, the Complainant stated that his marks were wrongly reduced during the Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) promotion assessment for the post of Professor. He contended that activities performed by him as a resource person under the PM e-Vidya/PM portal at the national level were incorrectly treated as local-level activities, resulting in lower marks being awarded. According to him, if the correct marks were assigned, he would have secured marks above the eligibility threshold and would have been considered for the interview. He further stated that documents obtained through RTI supported his claim and requested verification of the assessment.

1.3 The NCERT representatives submitted that CAS applications are assessed on the basis of API scores as per the applicable regulations. They stated that the Screening/Assessment Committee found deficiencies in the Complainant's score under the relevant category and, therefore, he was not found eligible for the next stage. NCERT further contended that additional publications or documents could not be considered after the submission of the original application due to applicable UGC regulations and audit objections. However, they clarified that the marks had been awarded by the competent committee and not by the administrative section. Subsequently, NCERT expressed its willingness to reconsider and review the Complainant's case based on the documents already submitted by him.

1 . 4 The Court inquired whether the grievance could be settled internally without the need for further proceedings. Upon NCERT expressing its readiness to review the Complainant's case, the Court granted 30 days time to conduct the necessary review and to submit an Action Taken Report indicating the outcome of its efforts.

2. This is issued with the approval of the Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.

Ipsita Mitra
19.6.26

Dy. Chief Commissioner
Ipsita Mitra