



## न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)  
सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment  
भारत सरकार/Government of India

5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364

Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

**Case No:** 14110/1022/2023

**In the matter of:**

**Complainant:**

Shri Virender

**Versus**

**Respondent(s):**

The Director General, Meteorology India Meteorological Department

### 1. Hearing

1.1 A personal hearing was conducted on 08.04.2026 in online mode, wherein the following parties/representatives were present:

Sl. No.	Name of the Parties / Representatives	On Behalf of
1.	Shri Virender	Complainant
2.	M.I. Ansari, Scientist Staff	Respondent
3.	Pratap Kumar Jena, Legal Cell	Respondent

### 2. Record of Proceedings

2.1 During the proceedings, the Complainant, Shri Virender, reiterated his grievance regarding his transfer to the RMO Aya Nagar station. He submitted that despite the Respondent's claims of having completed remedial works, the current infrastructure, specifically the PwD ramp, entrance, and toilets, remains non-functional or inaccessible. He further alleged that the rotational shift duties and the physical environment of the new station pose life-threatening risks to his condition. He provided video and photo evidence, vide email dated 08.04.2026, to support his claim that the station lacks a safe, accessible working environment and requested a 5-day duty roster and placement in a location with proper medical and physical infrastructure.

2.2 The Respondent (IMD) maintained that they had already submitted an

Action Taken Report (ATR) stating that construction of a PwD-friendly toilet and wheelchair ramp had been completed. They contested that the facilities were upgraded to ensure statutory compliance and that the station is accessible. However, the Respondent could not provide an immediate, definitive rebuttal to the Complainant's specific claims regarding the current non-functional status of these facilities during the hearing.

2.3 The Court expressed serious concern over the potential for "false claims" regarding accessibility. The Court noted that while the Respondent claims the site is accessible, the Complainant's evidence suggests otherwise. The Court emphasised that the accessibility standards under the RPwD Act, 2016, are mandatory and that providing misleading information to the Court would constitute a serious violation. The Court observed that eight months have passed since the initial hearing in July 2025, which is more than sufficient time to ensure compliance.

2.4 Consequently, the Court granted a final opportunity to the Respondent to ensure full compliance with accessibility standards. The Respondent is recommended to conduct a formal accessibility audit of the premises and submit a detailed Accessibility Report and Action Taken Report to this Court within 60 days from the date of the hearing. The Court cautioned that any further delay may constrain the Court to invoke the penalty clauses.

3. This is issued with the approval of the Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.

**(Praveen Prakash Ambashta)**  
Dy. Chief Commissioner