



न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)
 दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)
 सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment
 भारत सरकार/Government of India
 5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011)20892364
 5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364
 Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

Case No.14176/1011/2023

In the matter of:

Mr. Sanyam Saini

...Complainant

Versus

The Joint Secretary,
Staff Selection Commission

...Respondent No.1

The Secretary,
Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology **...Respondent No.2**

The Director General (In-Charge of Staff Recruitment)
Comptroller and Auditor General of India **...Respondent No.3**

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

1. Hearing:

1.1 A hearing in hybrid mode (offline/online) was conducted on **10.07.2025**. The following parties/representatives were present during the hearing:

Sl.No.	Name of the Parties/Representatives	For Complainant / Respondent	Mode of Attendance
1.	Mr. Sanyam Saini	Complainant	In person

	alongwith his father		
2.	Advocate Rajan Mani	Counsel for the Complainant	Online
3.	Mr. Sanjay Kashyap, Under Secretary	For Respondent No.1	Online
4.	Mr. P. Victor Albuquerque, Deputy Secretary	For Respondent No.2	Online

2. Record of Proceedings:

2.1 The Complainant's Counsel briefed the grievance of the Complainant and submitted about the discriminatory rejection of the Complainant's candidature for the AAO post despite clearing SSC CGLE 2021. He further submitted the Complainant opted for the ASO post in MeITY, suitable for OH-BLOA, but was rejected as OH-BLA was deemed unsuitable, violating Section 34 (4% PwBD reservation) and Section 20(3) (non-discrimination) of the RPwD Act, 2016.

2.2 Respondent No.1 submitted that the Complainant's disability certificate indicated OH-BLA (Both legs & Both Arms), and the Document Verification Board considered him under PwD-Others (Multiple Disability - BLOA) category. The SSC allowed the Complainant to opt for the ASO post in MeITY, as it was identified suitable for OH-BLOA disability.

2.3 Respondent No.2 stated that the rejection of candidature for the AAO post does not fall under their organisation's domain.

2.4 After hearing both parties, the Court observed that the rules and specifications seem to have been applied rigidly without considering the Complainant's functional ability, with disability being considered based on certificates rather than individual capabilities. The Court the following:

- (a) Impleadment of the CAG as Respondent No.3 and forwarding

of the copies of the case records

(b) Respondent No.1 to submit the indent copy received from CAG within three days and,

(c) The CAG to submit a detailed response within a further period of 15 days furnishing justifications on specifications and requirements forwarded to the SSC.

3. This is issued with the approval of the Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.

(Praveen Prakash Ambashta)
Dy. Chief Commissioner