



सत्यमेव जयते

न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)

सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment

भारत सरकार/Government of India

5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364

5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364

Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

Case No.: 16260/1031/2025

In the matter of:

Complainant:

Genden Singh

Respondent:

The Director,
National Institute for the Empowerment of Persons with Visual Disabilities
(NIEPVD), Dehradun, Uttarakhand

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

1. Hearing: A personal hearing in the hybrid mode in the matter was conducted by the Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities on 07.11.2025. The following were present during the hearing:

Sl. No.	Name & Designation of the Attendees	On behalf of	Mode of Presence
1.	Shri Genden Singh	Complainant	Online
2.	Shri Vijay Singh (Representative for Complainant)	Complainant	Online
3.	Dr. Vinod Kumar Kain, Head of Department, Special Education, NIEPVD	Respondent	Online

2. Proceedings during the hearing:

2.1 The Complainant, Shri Genden Singh, a person with visual impairment, alleged that his name appeared in the initial merit list for admission to the D.Ed. Special Education (Visual Impairment) course at NIEPVD, but was subsequently removed from the revised list. He claimed that the Respondent's institution, which is approved by the Rehabilitation Council of India (RCI), had initially selected him based on his application through the RCI portal but later denied him admission without justification.

2.2 The Respondent, represented by Dr. Vinod Kumar Kain, submitted that a reply along with supporting documents had already been filed with the Court. He explained that the initial list contained a clerical error, with duplicated serial numbers (e.g., serial nos. 10 and 11 appearing twice), leading to an incorrect reflection of seats instead of the actual available in the open category. This error was corrected in the revised list issued on the same day.

2.3 The Respondent further clarified that a female candidate with higher merit (79.8% marks) approached the institution after the initial list was released, providing her RCI registration number, which had not been verified earlier. As her percentage was higher than the Complainant's (77.6%), and she met all eligibility criteria, including RCI registration, she was admitted on a merit basis against one of the available seats. The Respondent emphasized that admissions were processed strictly on merit within categories (General, SC/ST, OBC), and all seats are now filled, with classes underway and student details uploaded to the RCI portal.

2.4 The Court inquired about the basis for removing the Complainant's name from the initial list and adding the female candidate, noting that such revisions could cause confusion and a sense of discrimination for selected candidates. The Court observed that the initial inclusion of the Complainant was valid at the time, based on available data, and questioned whether the clerical error and the late submission of the female candidate's RCI details justified the change.

2.5 The Respondent assured that all admissions followed RCI guidelines, with priority given to candidates registered on the RCI portal and having higher percentages. He submitted that the female candidate's claim was verified post-initial list, and the Complainant did not qualify in the final merit order after corrections.

2.6 The Court noted the Respondent's submission of documents, including the initial and revised merit lists, RCI registration details, and admission records. However, it emphasized that the removal of a name from a published list requires careful scrutiny to avoid undue hardship to candidates. The Court directed that the documents be examined in detail.

3. This is issued with the approval of the Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.



09.01.2026

(P. P. Ambashta)
Dy. Chief Commissioner