



न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)

सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment

भारत सरकार/Government of India

5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364

5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364

Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccpd.nic.in

Case No. CCPD/14515/1141/2023

In the matter of:

Shri Rajan Kumar

...Complainant

Versus

The Commissioner,
Delhi Police Headquarters

...Respondent No. 1

The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
North-West District, Delhi

...Respondent No. 2

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

1. Hearing:

1.1 A hearing in hybrid mode was conducted on 08.09.2025, wherein the following parties/representatives were present:

S. No.	Name and designation of the Attendees	On Behalf of	Mode of Attendance
1.	Shri. Rajan Kumar	Complainant	Offline
2.	Sh Deepak Mehta, Sub Inspector	Respondent No. 1	Online
3.	Shri Sunil Panchal, Additional DCP, North-West District, Delhi	Respondent No. 2	Online

4.	ACP, Shalimar Bagh	Respondent No. 2	Online
5.	SHO, Mahindra Park	Respondent No. 2	Online

2. Proceedings During the Hearing

2.1 The Complainant reiterated that on 18.03.2022 at around 5:00 AM, while he was present at his residence in Sangam Vihar, South Delhi, four police officials, two in uniform and two in plain clothes, arrived at his house, threatened him with a pistol, dragged him out and took him away without informing him of any reason for his detention and without a registered FIR or lawful grounds of arrest. The Complainant submitted that he was kept in the police lock-up for 10–12 hours, brought there at 5:00 AM, and only at 12:00 PM, the police registered an FIR. An arrest memo was thereafter prepared at 3:45 PM despite the fact that he had already been in custody since early morning, albeit without any lawful authority or documentation.

2.2 The Complainant alleged that the FIR (No. 463/22) was registered on the basis of a complaint from Shri Sourav Mehta, who is a close associate of Inspector Sanjay Kumar and a relative of SI Deepak. It was his submission that the case was fabricated because the Complainant was demanding salary owed to him by Shri Sourav Mehta for contractual construction work. The Complainant further alleged that Inspector Sanjay Kumar and SI Deepak brutally assaulted him, including on his disabled leg, deliberately targeting areas where injuries would not appear in the medical report. He stated that he was beaten worse than animals. Complainant submitted that the police falsely labelled him a “habitual offender”, claiming he had six cases against him. However, upon release on bail, he obtained records and found only one case. He further submitted that on 19 March, he was taken in a PC van, both hands handcuffed, and paraded in front of his residence in full public view, despite being 50% disabled, causing social humiliation to him and his family and affecting their ability to live peacefully in the locality.

2.3 The Respondents submitted that all allegations of illegal detention and torture are false. They stated that a complaint of extortion had been filed against the Complainant, and he had been informed and asked to join enquiry. Since he allegedly avoided cooperation, police visited his residence to bring him for enquiry. They submitted that an FIR was registered on the basis of the said complaint and that he was arrested as per law, the grounds of arrest being: (a) recovery of extortion money, and (b) examination of his mobile phone data. The respondent further stated that the Complainant was produced before court within 24 hours, and

a police custody (PC) was granted for one day which was later extended. He remained in custody for two months due to the seriousness of allegations and the alleged extortion amount.

2.4 The Respondents stated that during enquiry, 5–6 other individuals came forward with similar complaints of extortion, and transaction proofs. They stated that the medical examination was conducted within 24 hours and his wife was informed of his arrest. Upon being asked by the Court whether records of these complaints were annexed in their reply, the Respondents stated that these were complaints, not FIRs, and that transaction proofs were annexed. The Respondent submitted that FIR No. 463/22 was filed based on the complaint of Shri Sourav Mehta, which is presently pending in Rohini Court, with the next hearing on 12 December 2025 at the stage of charge.

2.5 The Court noted that the matter is currently sub judice before the Hon'ble District Court at Rohini Court. The Complainant has opportunity to make his submission before the district court including claiming his rights under Section 92 of the RPwD Act, 2016. The Court, however, sought the Respondents to furnish documentary proof of the matter being sub-judice within 15 days.

3. This is issued with the approval of the Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.

(Praveen Prakash Ambashta)
Dy. Chief Commissioner