



सत्यमेव जयते

न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)

सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment

भारत सरकार/Government of India

5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364

5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in**Case No. CCPD/14060/1011/2023****In the matter of—**

Shri Anjani Lal Prajapati

...Complainant**Versus**The Secretary,
Railway Board, Ministry of Railways**...Respondent****RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS****1. Hearing:**

1.1 A hearing in hybrid mode was held on **09.04.2025**. The following parties/their representatives were present:

S. No.	Name & Designation of the Attendees	Mode of Appearance	On Behalf of
	Mr Ravindra Kumar Kashyap	Online	Complainant
	Mr. Mukesh Kumar Sinha (Deputy Director)	Offline	Respondent
	Nishu Gupta (ASO)	Offline	Respondent

2. Proceedings During the Hearing:

2.1 Despite best efforts and adjourning the hearing once, the Complainant could not attend the hearing due to connectivity issues at the Complainant's end. Therefore, no submissions by the Complainant

could be made in the hearing. The Court also notes that the authorisation letter by the Complainant, qua Mr Ravindra Kumar Kashyap, is not in the prescribed format.

2.2 In the absence of the Complainant, the Court perused the text of the complaint.

2.3 The representative of the Respondent stated that, for the 2022 and 2023 cycles, the previously prevailing standards in terms of functional classification and physical requirements [FC&PR] were adhered to, pursuant to which posts in the IRMS were not identified as being suitable for both legs affected [BLA] candidates. For the 2024 cycle, the DoP&T came out with new FC&PR criteria, as per which posts in the IRMS have been identified for BLA candidates.

2.4 The Respondent explained that, for the 2023 cycle, while the 'BLA' option would have been available in the drop-down list, those selecting the said option would not have been eligible to select a post in the IRMS. The Court stated that this statement being made by the Respondent, was contrary to their previously submitted reply.

2.5 The Court recommended both parties to file their written submissions within one month, with a copy of the same to the other side, who will be allowed to file their rejoinders, if any within a further period of 7 days.

3. This is issued with the approval of the Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities

(Praveen Prakash Ambashta)
Dy. Chief Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities