



सत्यमेव जयते

न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)

सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment

भारत सरकार/Government of India

5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364

5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364

Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

Case no. CCPD/14293/1011/2023

In the matter of:

Kondru Seetharam

...Complainant

Versus

The Secretary,
Railway Board

...Respondent No. 1

The Chairman,
Railway Recruitment Cell (RRC),
South Eastern Railway

...Respondent No. 2

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

1. Hearing:

1.1 A hearing was conducted on **02.09.2025** in hybrid mode. The following parties/representatives were present during the hearing:

Sl. No.	Name & Designation of the Parties/Representatives	For Complainant/ Respondent	Mode of Attendance
1.	Kondru Seetharam - Complainant	Complainant	Online
2.	S. Srinivas - Chairman, Railway Recruitment Cell (RRC), South Eastern Railway	Respondent No. 2	Online

2. Proceedings During the Hearing:

2.1 The Complainant reiterated the contents of his original complaint and stated that he had nothing further to add in reply. The Respondent submitted that 34 vacancies were notified for VI (Blind/Low Vision) candidates and that empanelled VI candidates had filled all 34. He further submitted that the Complainant was placed at No. 9 in the list of non-empanelled VI-Blind candidates at the relevant time. After two candidates above him did not join and replacements were made. So, the Complainant's position on the waiting list became No. 7. The Respondent No. 2 further submitted that document verification and medical examination in the recruitment process were completed. A total of 93 candidates were called for document verification for VI (Blind/Low Vision) combined.

2 . 2 The Court asked whether the results and marks had been published. The Respondent confirmed the same and replied that the results and related information are publicly available on the RRC website.

2.3 The Court noted that the Complainant therefore remained on the waiting list and sought from the Respondent about the likelihood of the Complainant to be appointed and by when. The Respondent No. 2 submitted that, since all vacancies notified in 2019 have been filled and a subsequent Centralised Notification was issued in 2024, the prospects of appointment from the waiting list are very low.

2 . 4 The Court directed the Respondent No. 2 to file a written statement covering their oral submission during the hearing with the supporting documents to substantiate their submissions, including the list of vacancies notified, the empanelment list, the waiting list position, and details of candidates who did not join, etc., within 15 days.

3. This is issued with the approval of the Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.

(Praveen Prakash Ambashta)
Dy. Chief Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities