



सत्यमेव जयते

न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

**COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)**

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)

सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice &amp; Empowerment

भारत सरकार/Government of India

5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364Email: [ccpd@nic.in](mailto:ccpd@nic.in); Website: [www.ccdisabilities.nic.in](http://www.ccdisabilities.nic.in)**Case No. CCPD/14219/1014/2023****In the matter of —**

Shri Tarun Saini

**...Complainant****Versus**

The Secretary,

Union Public Service Commission

**...Respondent No. 1**

The Secretary,

Ministry of Labour &amp; Employment

**...Respondent No. 2****1. Gist of Proceedings:**

1.1 The Complainant, Shri Tarun Saini, a person with 60% multiple disabilities (locomotor disability of 45% affecting the right leg and visual impairment of 30% in the left eye as a one-eyed person, UDID No. UP0910119950105149), filed a complaint under the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 (RPwD Act, 2016). He alleged arbitrary rejection of his application by the Union Public Service Commission (UPSC) for the post of Junior Time Scale (JTS) Grade of Central Labour Service (Group 'A' Organized Service), consisting of positions such as Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central), under Vacancy No. 22112106412 published vide Advertisement No. 21/2022 by UPSC on behalf of the Ministry of Labour & Employment.

1.2 The Complainant applied for the aforesaid post on 30 November 2022 as a candidate with a benchmark disability, which was eligible per the advertisement. On 18 May 2023, he received a rejection message on the application portal. No reasons were provided for the rejection, which the Complainant claimed was discriminatory and in violation of Sections 12 (4), 20, 33, and 34 of the RPwD Act, 2016, the judgment in *Vikash Kumar v. Union Public Service Commission & Others*, and point (d) of the Persons with Benchmark Disabilities criteria in the UPSC advertisement.

## **2. Notice to the Respondents and Reply/Rejoinder of the Parties**

2.1 This Court issued a notice on 21 June 2023 to the Respondents. Respondent No. 2 submitted a reply on 19 July 2023. A notice for rejoinder to the reply was issued on the same date, followed by the Complainant's rejoinder on 8 August 2023. Further, a notice for reply was issued on 9 August 2023, and a final reminder on 14 August 2023. No rejoinder was received from the Complainant. On 09<sup>th</sup> June 2025, this Court sought the current status from both parties. Responding to this vide his email dated 06<sup>th</sup> September, 2025, the Complainant submitted a closure request, indicating that the matter had been resolved to his satisfaction.

## **3. Observation:**

3.1 Upon considering the facts of the case and the material available on the records, including the Complainant's closure request dated 06th September 2025, it is apparent that the grievance of the Complainant has been redressed and as such, no further intervention of this Court is required.

3.2 Accordingly, the case is disposed of with the approval of the Chief Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.

**(Praveen Prakash Ambashta)**  
**Dy. Chief Commissioner**