



न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)

सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment

भारत सरकार/Government of India

5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364

5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364

Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccpd.nic.in

Case no. 13654/1024/2023

In the matter of:

Dr. Preeti Shukla

...Complainant

Versus

The Secretary,
Department of Consumer Affairs,
Ministry of Consumer Affairs, Food and Public Distribution

...Respondent No.1

The DGP,
Punjab Police,
P.P. HQ Sector- 9, Chandigarh

...Respondent No.2

The Chairman & Managing Director,
Food Corporation of India.

..Respondent No.3

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

1. Hearing:

1.1 A hearing was conducted on **01.04.2025** in Online mode through Video Conferencing. The following parties/representatives were present:

Sl. No.	Name & Designation of the Attendees	On Behalf of	Mode of Attendance

1.	Preeti Shukla - Complainant	Complainant	Online
2.	Dheeraj Mittal - Deputy Secretary, Department of Food and Public Distribution	Respondent No. 1	Online
3.	Naresh Kumar Arora - Additional DG, Human Rights, Punjab (On behalf of DG)	Respondent No. 2	Online
4.	Mohit - Sub Divisional Officer, Punjab	Respondent No. 2	Online
5.	Tanisha - Counsel for FCI	Respondent No. 3	Online

2. Record of Proceedings:

2.1 The Complainant stated that during a joint stock inspection at Kurali with FCI officials, an incident occurred where Mr. Naval and Mr. Chandrakant from FCI (Respondent No. 3) allegedly twisted her hand while attempting to snatch a sample. This resulted in swelling and the need for medical attention, after which she underwent an MLC examination and filed a complaint with the SHO.

2.2 Respondent No. 1 submitted that an internal inquiry had already been carried out, and based on the report dated 31.10.2022, they had requested the DGP, Punjab, and the SHO to provide an action-taken report. They also informed the Court that Mr. Naval had filed a separate complaint before the National Commission for Scheduled Castes against the Complainant.

2.3 Respondent No. 2 (DSP Shri Mohit) stated that statements from the involved FCI officials and witnesses were recorded, but the evidence was inconclusive. There were conflicting allegations, including claims that the Complainant used casteist slurs against Mr. Naval, and therefore, only a complaint was filed without registering an FIR. Counsel for FCI requested additional time to file their submissions.

2.4 The Court clarified that sampling-related issues must be raised before the competent authority and that its focus is restricted to allegations of assault on a person with disability. Observing that no proper inquiry report had been placed on record, the Court directed Respondent No. 2 to submit the investigation report within 15 days and instructed Respondent Nos. 1 and 3 to also file written submissions on the issue of harassment and assault within 15 days, with the Complainant permitted to file a rejoinder within one week thereafter. The Case was accordingly adjourned.

3. This is issued with the approval of the Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.

(P.P. Ambashta)
Dy. Chief Commissioner