

न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त

COURT OF CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग / Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan) सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय / Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment भारत सरकार/ Government of India

Case No. CCPD/15967/1141/25	Dated: 13/10/2025
------------------------------------	-------------------

In	th	6	m	at	t۵	r	of-
		_		a L			.,

Mr. Atul	Complainan
I'II i /\tal	iii Coiii piaiii aii

Versus

The Registrar,

Central University of Rajasthan,

Ajmer, Rajasthan

...Respondent

1. Hearing: A hearing in hybrid mode (online/offline) was conducted on **12.09.2025**. The following parties/representatives were present during the hearing:

SI. No.	Name and Designation of the Party/Representative	Parties	Mode
1.	Mr. Atul	Complainant	Online
2.	Dr. Hari Singh Parihar, Joint Registrar, Central University of Rajasthan	Respondent	Online

5वीं मंजिल, एनआईएसडी भवन, प्लॉट न॰. जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाषः 011-20892364, 20892275 5th Floor, NISD Building, Plot No.G-2, Sector-10, Dwaraka, New Delhi-110075;Tele# 011-20892364, 20892275



न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त

COURT OF CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग / Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan) सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय / Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment भारत सरकार/ Government of India

Case No. CCPD/15967/1141/25

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Dated: 13/10/2025

At the outset, the Court sought the status of steps taken by the Respondent pursuant to the hearing dated 30.05.2025. The Respondent submitted that, in compliance with the Court's direction, the Finance Officer had been apprised of the relevant orders. Upon review, irregularities were discovered in the initial approvals and budgetary allocations under the Bureau of Pharma PSUs of India (BPPPI) scheme.

- 2. The Court directly questioned the Respondent as to whether the University intends to make the payment due to the Complainant. In response, the Respondent stated that the University is inclined to resolve the matter; however, it is presently constrained by the unavailability of funds under the approved salary budget head. It was further stated that, had such funds been available, the payment could have already been effected.
- 3. The Court further sought clarification on whether the Complainant had been engaged without requisite administrative approval and whether any formal appointment or direction had been issued. The Respondent submitted that, subject to the University's willingness, the possibility of re-appropriating funds from other budget heads could be explored as a viable alternative. The University intends to proceed with the payment and must do so without unreasonable delay; failing which, the matter may be referred for arbitration or mediation, as continued deferment would be inequitable.
- 4. The Court also inquired whether the Complainant's services were utilised for the BPPI project. The Respondent affirmed that the Complainant's services were availed under the said project, specifically under the supervision of Dr Sarita Sharma. Nevertheless, it was reiterated that the current salary budget head does not support further disbursement.
- 5. The Court observed an inconsistency in the Respondent's submissions,

5वीं मंजिल, एनआईएसडी भवन, प्लॉट न॰. जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाषः 011-20892364, 20892275 5th Floor, NISD Building, Plot No.G-2, Sector-10, Dwaraka, New Delhi-110075;Tele# 011-20892364, 20892275



न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त

COURT OF CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग / Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan) सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय / Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment भारत सरकार/ Government of India

Case No. CCPD/15967/1141/25

noting that while payment appears to be acknowledged as due, the Respondent simultaneously contends an inability to pay, despite acknowledging prior reappropriation of \$4,00,000 and disbursement of \$3,60,000 to the Complainant.

- 6. The Court directed the Respondent to submit, within 7 days, a clear and definitive position either explicitly rejecting the claim with stated legal grounds or taking immediate steps to process the payment. The matter will be next heard **on 27.10.2025** in hybrid mode. The exact timing and link will be shared 24 to 48 hours before the hearing. The Court suggested that in the next hearing, both parties must appear fully prepared with conclusive submissions, and no request for adjournment shall be entertained.
- 7. This is issued with the approval of the Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.

Yours faithfully,

Dated: 13/10/2025

(Praveen Prakash Ambashta)

Dy. Chief Commissioner