



न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)

सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment

भारत सरकार/Government of India

5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364

5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364

Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

Case No. 13670/1021/2023

In the matter of-

Shri Kamal Kanjilal

...Complainant

Versus

Principal Chief Personnel Officer,
Eastern Railway
Kolkata

...Respondent No.1

Chief Works Manager
Eastern Railway, Kanchrapara

...Respondent No.2

1. Gist of Complaint:

1.1 Shri Kamal Kanjilal, Office Superintendent in the Mechanical Department of Eastern Railways and a person with 100% visual impairment, filed a complaint on 16.12.2022 alleging that office order EN/1392022 dated 19.11.2022, issued by Chief Works Manager Kanchrapara, violated DoP&T and Railway guidelines regarding reservations for Persons with Benchmark Disabilities (PwBDs) in promotions. He sought quashing of the order, preparation of a proper PwBD roster, and his own promotion with retrospective effect. A notice was issued to the Respondent on 23.01.2023, and the Respondent replied on 03.02.2023, stating that the promotion process and reservation roster had commenced before the Railway Board circular RBE No. 74/2022 dated 01.07.2022 and that Kanjilal's claim would be considered in future assessments as per the new guidelines. Further

correspondence clarified that Kanjilal's seniority stood at Serial No. 37 in the OS category and that the reservation benefit could not be applied retrospectively. A hearing was scheduled for 14.05.2025, but on 09.05.2025, the Complainant informed the Court that he had been promoted to the post of the Chief Office Superintendent under the PwBD quota and requested closure of the case.

2. Observations and Recommendations:

2.1 Upon reviewing the facts of the case and the available records, it is clear that the Complainant's grievance has already been addressed, and as such no further intervention is necessary. Consequently, the case is disposed of with the approval of the Chief Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.

(Praveen Prakash Ambashta)
Dy. Chief Commissioner